

1 **CONTINUUM LIMIT OF A MESOSCOPIC MODEL WITH ELASTICITY OF**
 2 **STEP MOTION ON VICINAL SURFACES**

3 YUAN GAO, JIAN-GUO LIU, AND JIANFENG LU

ABSTRACT. This work considers the rigorous derivation of continuum models of step motion starting from a mesoscopic Burton-Cabrera-Frank (BCF) type model following the work [Xiang, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 2002]. We prove that as the lattice parameter goes to zero, for a finite time interval, a modified discrete model converges to the strong solution of the limiting PDE with first order convergence rate.

4 1. INTRODUCTION

5 In this work, we revisit the derivation of continuum model for step flow with elasticity on vicinal
 6 surfaces. The starting point is the Burton-Cabrera-Frank (BCF) type models for step flow [2]; see
 7 [5, 6, 27, 13] for extensions to include elastic effects. These are mesoscopic models which track the
 8 position of each individual step (and hence keep the discrete nature of the step fronts), while adopt
 9 a continuum approximation for the interactions of the steps with surrounding atoms of the thin
 10 film. The step motion is hence characterized by a system of ODEs. Such models are widely used
 11 for crystal growth of thin films on substrates, with many scientific and engineering applications
 12 [22, 28, 33]. The goal of this work is to rigorously understand the PDE limit of such models.

13 To avoid unnecessary technical difficulties, we will study a periodic train of steps in this work.
 14 Denote the step locations at time t by $x_i(t), i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we assume that

$$(1.1) \quad x_{i+N}(t) - x_i(t) = L, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall t \geq 0,$$

15 where L is a fixed length of the period. Thus, only the step locations in one period $\{x_i(t), i =$
 16 $1, \dots, N\}$ are considered as degrees of freedom, see Figure 1 for example.

17 We denote the height of each step as $a = \frac{1}{N}$, and thus the total height change across the N steps
 18 in the period is given by 1. Corresponding to the step locations, we define the height profile h_N of
 19 the steps as

$$(1.2) \quad h_N(x, t) = \frac{N-i}{N}, \quad \text{for } x \in [x_i(t), x_{i+1}(t)), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Date: August 13, 2018.




FIGURE 1. An example of one periodic steps.

Moreover, h_N can be further extended, consistent with the periodic assumption (1.1), such that

$$(1.3) \quad h_N(x + L) - h_N(x) = -1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For the continuum limit, we consider the step height $a \rightarrow 0$ or equivalently, the number of steps in one period $N \rightarrow \infty$.

In the pioneering work [29] (see also [30]), XIANG considered a BCF type model which incorporates the elastic interaction as¹

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{dx_i}{dt} = a^2 \left(\frac{f_{i+1} - f_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i} - \frac{f_i - f_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where f_i 's are the local chemical potential given by

$$f_i := \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_i} = - \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{x_j - x_i} - \frac{\alpha_2}{(x_j - x_i)^3} \right),$$

with the parameters $\alpha_1 = \frac{4}{\pi}a^4$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{2}{\pi}a^6$ and the energy functional E given by

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\alpha_1 \ln|x_i - x_j| + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)^2} \right).$$

For the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, XIANG [29] asymptotically derived the corresponding continuum model

$$(1.5) \quad h_t = \pi \alpha_1 a^2 \left(-H(h_x) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{ah_{xx}}{h_x} + \frac{\pi \alpha_2}{2 \alpha_1} \frac{h_x h_{xx}}{a} \right)_{xx}.$$

¹Compared to [29], we drop all the physical constants that are mathematically unimportant.

26 Here $H(\cdot)$ is the L -periodic Hilbert transform:

$$(1.6) \quad (Hu)(x) := \frac{1}{L} \text{PV} \int_0^L u(x-s) \cot\left(\frac{\pi s}{L}\right) ds.$$

27 Observe that for the particular choice of the parameters α_1 and α_2 , (1.5) suggests to rescale t to
 28 consider time scale of the order $O(a^{-6})$. Moreover, the coefficients in front of the term $h_x h_{xx}$ and
 29 the term $\frac{h_{xx}}{h_x}$ in the bracket scale as a so they become higher order terms compared with the first
 30 one. As argued in [30], the term $a \frac{h_{xx}}{h_x}$ is the correction to the misfit elastic energy density due
 31 to the discrete nature of the stepped surface. Although it is small compared to the leading-order
 32 term $H(h_x)$, it is comparable with the term $ah_x h_{xx}$, which comes from the broken bond elastic
 33 interaction between steps. When formally ignoring these terms with small a -dependent amplitude,
 34 the PDE analysis for $h_t = -H(h_x)_{xx}$ is easy because the operator $H(\cdot)_x$ is a negative operator.

35 Recently, motivated by the PDE (1.5) proposed by [29], DAL MASO, FONSECA and LEONI [4]
 36 studied the weak solution of ²

$$(1.7) \quad h_t = \left(-\frac{2\pi}{L} H(h_x) + \left(3h_x + \frac{1}{h_x} \right) h_{xx} \right)_{xx},$$

37 in terms of a variational inequality. Note that all the coefficients in this PDE are $O(1)$, unlike the
 38 PDE (1.5). They validated (1.7) analytically by verifying the positivity of h_x . Rather remarkably,
 39 they found an approximation problem and proved the limit of the solution to the approximation
 40 problem also satisfies the weak version of variational inequality, which is satisfied by strong solution.
 41 Moreover, FONSECA, LEONI and LU [9] obtained the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution.
 42 They applied Rothe method and truncation method to carefully deal with the singularity term.

Our goal is to rigorously prove the continuum limit of BCF type models for step flow. While
 it would be nice to recover (1.5) using the scaling considered in [29], it is quite challenging (if not
 impossible) since the PDE (1.5) involves two scales, correspond to the three terms on the right
 hand side:

$$O(1) : \quad H(h_x); \quad O(a) : \quad h_x h_{xx}; \quad O(a) : \quad \frac{h_{xx}}{h_x}.$$

43 Instead, we follow the scaling of the PDE (1.7) considered in [4, 9]. We will derive (1.7) as the
 44 continuum limit from a slightly modified BCF type mesoscopic model: we consider the step-flow

²For the convenience of calculation, we set the coefficients slightly different from [4]. Moreover, instead of taking
 h to be increasing as in [4], we take h to be decreasing corresponding to physical interpretation of h being the height
 of the vicinal surface, which is the same convention as [29, 30].

45 ODE (1.4) with a rescaled time, i.e.,

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{f_{i+1} - f_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i} - \frac{f_i - f_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

46 with a modified chemical potential

$$(1.9) \quad f_i := -\frac{2}{L} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a}{x_j - x_i} + \left(\frac{1}{x_{i+1} - x_i} - \frac{1}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right) + \left(\frac{a^2}{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^3} - \frac{a^2}{(x_i - x_{i-1})^3} \right);$$

47 see Section 4. The first term in f_i comes from the misfit elastic interaction between the steps,
 48 which is an attractive interaction. The second and third terms come from the broken bond elastic
 49 interaction between steps, which are repulsive terms. Different from XIANG's chemical potential in
 50 [29], we choose the scaling so that the attractive and repulsive interactions have the same order as
 51 $a \rightarrow 0$. We add the repulsive term $\frac{1}{x_{i+1} - x_i} - \frac{1}{x_i - x_{i-1}}$ to cancel a singularity from the first term, which
 52 seems to be necessary. Moreover, to ease the mathematical derivation, we restrict the repulsive
 53 terms to the nearest neighbor, which is the dominant contribution.

54 Our modified ODE system, from both the view of chemical potential and free energy, is balanced
 55 in order. Therefore unlike the original ODE systems which (at least heuristically) lead to a PDE
 56 with multiple scales, our system converges to PDE (1.7) in the limit. We are also able to obtain
 57 the convergence rate of order a for local strong solution of the continuum PDE.

58 For the study of the PDE (1.7), we discover four variational structures with four corresponding
 59 energy functionals, in terms of step height h , step location ϕ , step density ρ and anti-derivative of
 60 h , denoted as u . Those four kinds of descriptions are equivalent rigorously for strong local solution
 61 but it is convenient to use different one when studying different aspects of our problem. The height
 62 h is the original variable indicating the evolution of surface height while it is a better idea to use
 63 ρ and u to study the strong local solution of continuum model (1.7) due to its concise variational
 64 structure. In the proof of convergence rate in Section 4, 5 and 6, since the original discrete model
 65 is described by each step location x_i , it is more natural to use the variational structure of step
 66 location ϕ , which is the inverse function of step height h , i.e.

$$(1.10) \quad \alpha = h(\phi(\alpha, t), t), \quad \forall \alpha.$$

67 For the properties of local strong solution of continuum PDE (1.7), we used the variational
 68 structures for u and ρ to establish some *a-priori* estimates and then obtain the existence and
 69 uniqueness for local strong solution to the continuum PDE; see Section 3. We state the main result

70 of Section 3 below, with the notations $I := [0, L]$,

$$(1.11) \quad W_{\text{per}^*}^{k,p}(I) := \{u(x) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}); u(x+L) - u(x) = -1\},$$

71 and

$$(1.12) \quad W_{\text{per}_0}^{k,p}(I) := \{u \in W^{k,p}(I); u \text{ is } L\text{-periodic and mean value zero in one period}\}.$$

72 Standard notations for Sobolev spaces are assumed above.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $h^0 \in W_{\text{per}^*}^{m,2}(I)$, $h_x^0 \leq \beta$, for some constant $\beta < 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 6$. Then there exists time $T_m > 0$ depending on β , $\|h^0\|_{W_{\text{per}^*}^{m,2}}$ such that*

$$h \in L^\infty([0, T_m]; W_{\text{per}^*}^{m,2}(I)) \cap L^2([0, T_m]; W_{\text{per}^*}^{m+2,2}(I)) \cap C([0, T_m]; W_{\text{per}^*}^{m-4,2}(I)),$$

$$h_t \in L^\infty([0, T_m]; W_{\text{per}^*}^{m-4,2}(I))$$

73 *is the unique strong solution of (1.7) with initial data h^0 , and h satisfies*

$$(1.13) \quad h_x \leq \frac{\beta}{2}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T_m], x \in [0, L].$$

74 Moreover, we also study the stability of the linearized ϕ -PDE. This is important in the construc-
75 tion of approximate solutions to the PDE with high-order consistency, which is crucial in the proof
76 of convergence.

77 For the convergence result of mesoscopic model, we first testify our modified ODE system has a
78 global-in-time solution; see Proposition 4.1. More explicitly, we prove that the steps and terraces
79 will keep monotone if we have monotone initial data. This is consistent with the positivity of step
80 density ρ of the PDE. Then we calculate the consistency of the step location continuum equation
81 and ODE system till order a ; see Theorem 5.1. However, due to the nonlinearity and fourth order
82 derivative in our problem, we need to utilize *a-priori* assumption method and construct an auxiliary
83 solution with high-order consistency. By establishing the stability of the linearized ODE system
84 and carefully calculating the Hessian of coefficient matrix of ODE system, which is a 3rd-order
85 tensor, we finally get the convergence rate $O(a)$ of modified ODE system to its continuum PDE
86 limit.

Recall the definition (1.2) and (1.10). Denote

$$\alpha_i = h(x_i(0), 0) = \frac{N-i}{N},$$

and

$$\phi_i(t) = \phi(\alpha_i, t).$$

87 We state the main convergence result in this work as follows:

88 **Theorem 1.2.** *Let the step height be $a = \frac{1}{N}$. Assume for some constant $\beta < 0$, some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ large*
 89 *enough, the initial datum $h(0) \in W_{per^*}^{m,2}(I)$ satisfies*

$$(1.14) \quad h_x(0) \leq \beta < 0.$$

90 *Let $h(x, t)$ be the exact solution of (1.7) on $[0, T_m]$, where T_m is the maximal existence time*
 91 *for strong solution defined in Theorem 1.1. Let $\phi(\alpha, t)$ be the inverse function of $h(x, t)$ de-*
 92 *finied in (1.10), whose nodal values are denoted as $\phi_N(t) := \{\phi(\alpha_i, t), i = 1, \dots, N\}$. Let $x(t) =$
 93 $(x_1(t), \dots, x_N(t))$ be the solution to ODE (1.8) with f_i defined in (1.9) and initial data $x(0) =$
 94 $\phi_N(0)$. Then there exists N_0 large enough such that for $N > N_0$, we have $x(t)$ converges to $\phi(\alpha, t)$
 95 with convergence rate a , in the sense of*

$$(1.15) \quad \|x(t) - \phi_N(t)\|_{\ell^2} \leq C(\beta, \|h^0\|_{W_{per^*}^{m,2}})a, \text{ for } t \in [0, T_m],$$

96 where $C(\beta, \|h^0\|_{W_{per^*}^{m,2}})$ is a constant depending only on β and $\|h^0\|_{W_{per^*}^{m,2}}$.

97 Several remarks of the main result are in order.

Remark 1. In fact, we can achieve a better convergence rate $O(a^2)$, if f_i is modified to be

$$\tilde{f}_i := -\frac{2}{L} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a}{x_j - x_i} + \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{x_{i+1} - x_i} - \frac{1}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right) + \left(\frac{a^2}{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^3} - \frac{a^2}{(x_i - x_{i-1})^3} \right).$$

98 Compared with (1.9), the coefficient of the second term is changed from 1 to $1 - \frac{a}{2}$. This is done to
 99 better correct the error from the discretization of the Hilbert transform as $a \rightarrow 0$ (recall the second
 100 term in (1.9) is introduced to correct the singularity from the first term). In fact, by Lemma 5.2,
 101 we know the leading error $\frac{a}{2} \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2}$ in Lemma 5.3 can be removed by such a correction term. Hence
 102 we can get $O(a^2)$ consistency in Section 5, and consequently, the convergence rate can be improved
 103 to $O(a^2)$ in Theorem 1.2 for the modified microscopic model.

104 *Remark 2.* Theorem 1.2 is a result of local convergence to strong solutions to the PDE. The global
 105 convergence of the ODE system to the (weak) global-in-time solution to the PDE (1.7) is more
 106 challenging and will be left for the future. We hope the additional understanding of the variational

107 structures of the PDE (1.7) provided in this work would help the future investigation on global
108 convergence.

109 *Remark 3.* To avoid unnecessary technical complications and to make the presentation of the
110 convergence result clear, in this work we do not try to optimize the initial regularity that is needed
111 in the Theorem 1.2. We just set m to be large enough, so that we may assume sufficient regularity
112 of the solution.

113 While a comprehensive review of the vast literature of crystal growth is beyond the scope of this
114 work, let us review here some related works mostly in the mathematical literature. Besides the work
115 of [29], the derivation of the continuum limit of BCF models have also been considered in other
116 works, see e.g., [26, 7, 23, 19]. However, as far as we know, the derivation has not been done on the
117 rigorous level and moreover, the convergence rate is provided here, which seems to be missing before
118 in the literature. The idea using step location for formal asymptotic analysis was inspired by [29].
119 In order to get the convergence rate rigorously, we find it is better to first study the continuum
120 PDE for the inverse function ϕ , instead of the height h . Recently, in the attachment-detachment-
121 limited (ADL) regime, AL HAJJ SHEHADEH, KOHN AND WEARE [1] studied the continuum limit of
122 self-similar solution and obtained the convergence rate. Related to the stability analysis, the linear
123 stability of thin film (known as the ATG instability) has been analyzed in previous works, see e.g.,
124 [30, 11, 25]. While we consider here the one spatial dimensional models, the asymptotic derivation
125 of two dimensional continuum models have been considered in MARGETIS AND KOHN [18] and XU
126 AND XIANG [31], the rigorous aspects of these results will be interesting future research directions.

127 For the discrete BCF model considered in [29], very recently, LUO, XIANG AND YIP [15] rigorously
128 proved the step bunch phenomenon, which characterized the limiting behavior of the system as $t \rightarrow$
129 ∞ . They have also connected the step bunching with continuum models through a Γ -convergence
130 argument [16]. These works motivate further study of the continuum limit of mesoscopic models
131 of crystal growth.

132 Let us also mention that while our starting point is step flow models, the derivation of the
133 continuum limit can be also considered starting from a more atomistic description, such as a
134 kinetic Monte Carlo type model. See the works [12, 32, 10, 21] and more recently [20]. See also a
135 recent work that aims to derive BCF type models from a kinetic Monte Carlo lattice model [14].

136 The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, after setting up some notations, we
137 introduce four equivalent forms of continuum PDE (1.7) and their variational structures. Section

138 3 is devoted to establish the existence, uniqueness and stability for local strong solution of the
 139 PDE. We then introduce the modified step-flow ODE in Section 4, and state the global existence
 140 result for the modified ODE system. Section 5 is devoted to prove the consistency result for ODE
 141 system and its continuum limit PDE. Finally, by constructing an auxiliary solution with high-order
 142 consistency, we obtain the convergence rate of the modified ODE to its continuum PDE limit in
 143 Section 6, which completes the proof of our main result Theorem 1.2.

144

2. THE CONTINUUM MODEL

145 In this section, we discuss the properties of the continuum model. Besides using the height
 146 profile h , it would be useful to rewrite the dynamics in a few equivalent ways. Let us introduce the
 147 following definitions

- step location $\phi(\alpha, t)$, the inverse function of h :

$$\alpha = h(\phi(\alpha, t), t), \quad \forall \alpha;$$

148

- step density $\rho(x, t)$, the (negative) gradient of h :

$$(2.1) \quad \rho(x, t) = -h_x(x, t);$$

149

- $u(x, t)$, the (negative) anti-derivative of h :

$$(2.2) \quad h(x, t) = -u_x(x, t) - bx - k_0,$$

150 where b, k_0 are constants chosen to guarantee the periodicity of u_x .

151 Now we establish the variational structures for h, u, ρ, ϕ . In Section 3, it will be convenient
 152 to use ρ -equation and u -equation, while it will be proper to use ϕ -equation when studying the
 153 continuum limit in Section 4, 5, 6.

154 **2.1. Equation for height profile h .** Let us consider the PDE for the height profile

$$h_t = \left(-\frac{2\pi}{L} H(h_x) + \left(3h_x + \frac{1}{h_x} \right) h_{xx} \right)_{xx}.$$

155 As mentioned in Introduction, the coefficients here are independent of a . In Section 5, we will show
 156 that this continuum PDE can be derived as the limit of a BCF type discrete atomistic model.

157 First we observe that the evolution equation (1.7) has a variational structure. Define the total
158 energy E_h as a functional of h :

$$(2.3) \quad E_h(h) := \int_0^L \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{L} (x-y) \right) \right| h_x h_y \, dy - h_x \ln(-h_x) - \frac{h_x^3}{2} \right) dx.$$

159 Then we have

$$(2.4) \quad h_t = \mu_{xx} = \left(\frac{\delta E_h}{\delta h} \right)_{xx},$$

160 where the chemical potential μ is given by

$$(2.5) \quad \mu := \frac{\delta E_h}{\delta h} = -\text{PV} \int_0^L \frac{2\pi}{L^2} \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} h_y(y) \, dy + \frac{h_{xx}}{h_x} + 3h_x h_{xx}.$$

161 To see this, let us calculate in Lemma 2.1 the functional derivative $\frac{\delta E_h^0}{\delta h}$ for

$$(2.6) \quad E_h^0(h) := \int_0^L \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| h_x h_y \, dx \, dy.$$

162 The derivative of the other two terms in E_h is straightforward.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume $h(x) \in C^2([0, L])$. We have*

$$\frac{\delta E_h^0}{\delta h} = -\text{PV} \int_0^L \frac{2\pi}{L} \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} h_y(y) \, dy.$$

Proof. First denote

$$E_h^\delta(h) := \int_0^L \left(\int_0^{x-\delta} + \int_{x+\delta}^L \right) \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| h_x h_y \, dy \, dx.$$

By the definition of the principal value integral, we have

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_h^0(h + \varepsilon \tilde{h}) = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} E_h^\delta(h + \varepsilon \tilde{h}),$$

163 and since $\ln|\sin x|$ is even, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_h^\delta(h + \varepsilon \tilde{h}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^L \left(\int_0^{x-\delta} + \int_{x+\delta}^L \right) \frac{-2\pi}{L} \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} h_y(y) \tilde{h}(x) \, dy \, dx.$$

Now we claim

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} E_h^\delta(h + \varepsilon \tilde{h}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_h^\delta(h + \varepsilon \tilde{h}).$$

Obviously, $E_h^\delta(h + \varepsilon \tilde{h})$ is continuous respect to δ . It suffices to show that $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_h^\delta(h + \varepsilon \tilde{h})$ is also continuous respect to δ . Hence, from (2.7), it suffices to prove

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^L \int_{x-\delta}^{x+\delta} \frac{\pi}{L} \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} h_y(y) \tilde{h}(x) \, dy \, dx = 0.$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L \int_{x-\delta}^{x+\delta} \frac{\pi}{L} \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} h_y(y) \tilde{h}(x) dy dx &= \int_0^L -\ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| h_y(y) \Big|_{y=x-\delta}^{x+\delta} \tilde{h}(x) dx \\ &+ \int_0^L \int_{x-\delta}^{x+\delta} \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| h_{yy}(y) \tilde{h}(x) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

164 Notice that $h(x) \in C^2([0, L])$. Let $\delta \rightarrow 0$. The first term tends to zero by Taylor expansion, and
165 the second term tends to zero as the integrand is integrable. \square

166 Note that the energy E_h we use here has a slightly different form compared to the one in [29],
167 denoted by $\bar{E}_h(h)$, which reads in the periodic setting as

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{E}_h(h) = \int_0^L \left(-\frac{\pi}{L} \left(h + \frac{x}{L} \right) H(h_x) - h_x \ln(-h_x) - \frac{h_x^3}{2} \right) dx.$$

168 In fact, the two energy functionals only differ by a null Lagrangian, as we show below, so we prefer
169 the more symmetric expression E_h .

170 **Lemma 2.2.** *Let*

$$(2.9) \quad W(h) := \frac{1}{L^2} \int_0^L \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| h_y dx dy.$$

Then we have

$$E_h(h) = \bar{E}_h(h) + W(h),$$

and

$$\frac{\delta E_h}{\delta h} = \frac{\delta \bar{E}_h}{\delta h}.$$

Proof. First by the definition of the periodic Hilbert transform,

$$\bar{E}_h(h) = \int_0^L \left(-\frac{\pi}{L^2} \left(h + \frac{x}{L} \right) \text{PV} \int_0^L \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} h_y dy - h_x \ln(-h_x) - \frac{h_x^3}{2} \right) dx.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^L \left(-\frac{\pi}{L^2} \left(h + \frac{x}{L} \right) \text{PV} \int_0^L \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} h_y dy \right) dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \left(\left(h + \frac{x}{L} \right) \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| \Big|_0^L - \text{PV} \int_0^L \left(h_x + \frac{1}{L} \right) \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| dx \right) h_y dy \\ &= \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| h_x h_y dx dy + \frac{1}{L^2} \int_0^L \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| h_y dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $h + \frac{x}{L}$ is L -periodic function. Therefore, for W defined in (2.9), we get

$$E_h(h) = \bar{E}_h(h) + W(h).$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\delta W}{\delta h}, \tilde{h} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{L^2} \int_0^L \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| dx \tilde{h}_y dy, \\ &= \frac{1}{L^2} \int_0^L \tilde{h} \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| \Big|_0^L dx - \int_0^L \text{PV} \int_0^L \frac{2\pi}{L^2} \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} dx \tilde{h}(y) dy \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

171 Hence $W(h)$ is a null lagrangian. □

172 **2.2. Equation for step location function ϕ .** Consider the step location function ϕ , which
173 defined in (1.10) as the inverse function of h . From the definition, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \phi_t = -\frac{h_t}{h_x}, \quad 1 = h_x \phi_\alpha, \quad h_{xx} = -\frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^3}.$$

174 Then changing variable from h to ϕ in (2.4), we have

$$(2.11) \quad \phi_t = -\phi_\alpha \mu_{xx} = -\partial_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha} \mu_\alpha \right),$$

175 due to (2.10) and the chain rule $\mu_x = \mu_\alpha \frac{1}{\phi_\alpha}$. Note that this immediately implies that $\int_0^1 \phi d\alpha$ is a
176 constant of motion.

177 The equation of ϕ (2.11) also has a variational structure. To this end, let us rewrite the energy
178 in terms of ϕ such that $E_\phi(\phi) = E_h(h)$:

$$(2.12) \quad E_\phi(\phi) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_0^1 \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \right| d\beta - \ln(-\phi_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2\phi_\alpha^2} \right) d\alpha.$$

179 We will show that

$$(2.13) \quad \phi_t = -\phi_\alpha \mu_{xx} = -\partial_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha} \left(\frac{\delta E_\phi}{\delta \phi} \right)_\alpha \right).$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1, let us first calculate $\frac{\delta E_\phi^0}{\delta \phi}$, where

$$E_\phi^0(\phi) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \right| d\alpha d\beta.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Assume $h(x) \in C^2([0, L])$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|h_x| \geq C$. We
have*

$$\frac{\delta E_\phi^0}{\delta \phi} = \text{PV} \int_0^1 \frac{2\pi}{L} \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} d\beta.$$

Proof. First denote

$$E_\phi^\delta(\phi) := \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{\beta-\delta} + \int_{\beta+\delta}^1 \right) \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \right| d\alpha d\beta.$$

It is obvious to see that

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_\phi^0(\phi + \varepsilon\tilde{\phi}) = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} E_\phi^\delta(\phi + \varepsilon\tilde{\phi}),$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_\phi^\delta(\phi + \varepsilon\tilde{\phi}) = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{\beta-\delta} + \int_{\beta+\delta}^1 \right) \frac{\pi}{L} \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} (\tilde{\phi}(\alpha) - \tilde{\phi}(\beta)) d\alpha d\beta.$$

Now we claim

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} E_\phi^\delta(\phi + \varepsilon\tilde{\phi}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_\phi^\delta(\phi + \varepsilon\tilde{\phi}).$$

Obviously, $E_\phi^\delta(\phi + \varepsilon\tilde{\phi})$ is continuous respect to δ . It is sufficient to proof $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_\phi^\delta(\phi + \varepsilon\tilde{\phi})$ is also continuous respect to δ . In fact, since $\cot x$ is odd,

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_\phi^\delta(\phi + \varepsilon\tilde{\phi}) = 2 \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{\beta-\delta} + \int_{\beta+\delta}^1 \right) \frac{\pi}{L} \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \tilde{\phi}(\alpha) d\alpha d\beta.$$

Hence, it is sufficient to proof

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 \int_{\beta-\delta}^{\beta+\delta} \frac{\pi}{L} \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \tilde{\phi}(\alpha) d\alpha d\beta = 0.$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_{\beta-\delta}^{\beta+\delta} \frac{\pi}{L} \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \tilde{\phi}(\alpha) d\alpha d\beta \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\tilde{\phi}(\alpha)}{\phi_\alpha(\alpha)} \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \right| \Big|_{\alpha=\beta-\delta}^{\beta+\delta} d\beta \\ & \quad - \int_0^1 \int_{\beta-\delta}^{\beta+\delta} \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \right| \left(\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\alpha)}{\phi_\alpha(\alpha)} \right)_\alpha d\alpha d\beta. \end{aligned}$$

180 As $\delta \rightarrow 0$, the first term tends to zero by Taylor expansion. $\left| \left(\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\alpha)}{\phi_\alpha(\alpha)} \right)_\alpha \right|$ is bounded since $h(x) \in$
 181 $C^2([0, L])$ and $|h_x| \geq C > 0$, so the second term tends to zero as the integrand is integrable. \square

182 Hence we have

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\delta E_\phi}{\delta \phi} = \frac{2\pi}{L^2} \text{PV} \int_0^1 \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} d\beta - \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} - 3 \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^4}.$$

It remains to show that $\mu = \frac{\delta E_\phi}{\delta \phi}$, i.e., $\frac{\delta E_\phi}{\delta \phi} = \frac{\delta E_{\tilde{h}}}{\delta \tilde{h}}$. For $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{h}$ satisfying

$$\alpha = (h + \varepsilon\tilde{h}) \circ (\phi + \varepsilon\tilde{\phi}),$$

Taylor expansion shows that

$$0 = h_x \tilde{\phi} + \tilde{h}.$$

183 Thus by (2.10), we have

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi} &= -\phi_\alpha \tilde{h}, \\ E_\phi(\phi + \varepsilon \tilde{\phi}) &= E_h(h + \varepsilon \tilde{h}). \end{aligned}$$

184 Hence

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_\phi(\phi + \varepsilon \tilde{\phi}) &= D_\phi E_\phi \cdot \tilde{\phi} \\ &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_h(h + \varepsilon \tilde{h}) = D_h E_h \cdot \tilde{h}, \end{aligned}$$

185 where $D_h E_h : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the Fréchet differential, i.e. $D_h E_h \cdot \tilde{h}$ is the dual pair which means
186 the first order variation of E_h at h along the direction of \tilde{h} .

By Riesz representation theorem, there exists $\nabla_h E_h \in L^2([0, L], dx)$, such that

$$D_h E_h \cdot \tilde{h} = \int_0^L \nabla_h E_h \tilde{h} dx,$$

187 where $\nabla_h E_h$ is gradient of $E_h(h)$ in $L^2([0, L], dx)$, which is just what we denoted as $\frac{\delta E_h}{\delta h}$.

Similarly, there exists $\nabla_\phi E_\phi \in L^2([0, 1], |\phi_\alpha| d\alpha)$, such that

$$D_\phi E_\phi \cdot \tilde{\phi} = \int_0^1 \nabla_\phi E_\phi \tilde{\phi} |\phi_\alpha| d\alpha = \int_0^1 -\nabla_\phi E_\phi \tilde{\phi} \phi_\alpha d\alpha.$$

188 where $\nabla_\phi E_\phi$ is gradient of $E_\phi(\phi)$ in $L^2([0, 1], |\phi_\alpha| d\alpha)$.

Combining (2.15) and (2.16), we get

$$\nabla_\phi E_\phi = -\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha} \nabla_h E_h \circ \phi.$$

Again we define $\frac{\delta E_\phi}{\delta \phi}$ as gradient of $E_\phi(\phi)$ in $L^2([0, 1], d\alpha)$. Noticing (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_\phi(\phi + \varepsilon \tilde{\phi}) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\delta E_\phi}{\delta \phi} \tilde{\phi} d\alpha \\ &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} E_h(h + \varepsilon \tilde{h}) = \int_0^L \nabla_h E_h \tilde{h} dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\delta E_h}{\delta h} \tilde{\phi} d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\delta E_h}{\delta h} \circ \phi = \frac{\delta E_\phi}{\delta \phi} \in L^2([0, 1], d\alpha),$$

and

$$\mu = \frac{\delta E_h}{\delta h} \circ \phi = \nabla_h E_h \circ \phi = -\phi_\alpha \nabla_\phi E_\phi = \frac{\delta E_\phi}{\delta \phi}.$$

189 Therefore, we conclude that (2.11) is equivalent with (2.13). Moreover, we obtain energy identity
190 for (2.13) as

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{dE_\phi}{dt} = \int_0^1 \frac{\delta E_\phi}{\delta \phi} \phi_t d\alpha = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\phi_\alpha} \left(\left(\frac{\delta E_\phi}{\delta \phi} \right)_\alpha \right)^2 d\alpha.$$

191 **2.3. Equation for step density ρ .** Now consider the step density ρ . From the definition, rewriting
192 the energy in terms of ρ , we obtain

$$(2.18) \quad E_\rho(\rho) := \int_0^L \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{L} (x-y) \right) \right| \rho(x) \rho(y) dy + \rho(x) \ln \rho(x) + \frac{\rho(x)^3}{2} \right) dx,$$

$$\frac{\delta E_\rho}{\delta \rho} = \int_0^L \frac{2}{L} \ln \left| \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{L} (x-y) \right) \right| \rho(y) dy + \ln \rho(x) + 1 + \frac{3}{2} \rho(x)^2,$$

193 and

$$(2.19) \quad \left(\frac{\delta E_\rho}{\delta \rho} \right)_x = \text{PV} \int_0^L \frac{2\pi}{L^2} \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \rho(y) dy + \frac{\rho_x}{\rho} + 3\rho_x \rho = \mu.$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can define

$$\text{PV} \int_0^L \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \rho(y) dy = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_0^{x-\delta} + \int_{x+\delta}^L \right) \cot \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \rho(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dx} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_0^{x-\delta} + \int_{x+\delta}^L \right) \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| \rho(y) dy \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_0^{x-\delta} + \int_{x+\delta}^L \right) \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right| \rho(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

194 Hence we also obtain a variational structure for ρ and (2.4) becomes

$$(2.20) \quad \rho_t = -\mu_{xxx} = - \left(\frac{\delta E_\rho}{\delta \rho} \right)_{xxxx}.$$

195 This also shows that $\int_0^L \rho dx$ is a constant of motion.

196 2.4. **Equation for u .** Finally, from definition of u , the energy can be rewritten in terms of u as

$$(2.21) \quad E_u(u) = \int_0^L \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{L}(x-y)\right) \right| (u_{xx} + b)(u_{yy} + b) dy + (u_{xx} + b) \ln(u_{xx} + b) + \frac{(u_{xx} + b)^3}{2} \right) dx,$$

$$\frac{\delta E_u}{\delta u} = \frac{2\pi}{L} H(u_{xx})_x + \left(\ln(u_{xx} + b) + \frac{3}{2}(u_{xx} + b)^2 + 1 \right)_{xx} = \mu_x.$$

197 Hence we also obtain a variational structure for u and (2.4) becomes

$$(2.22) \quad u_t = -\frac{\delta E_u}{\delta u}.$$

198 2.5. **Equivalence of the formulations.** We end this section with the rigorous justification of the
199 equivalence of the above formulations.

Recall the notations for $W_{\text{per}^*}^{k,p}(I)$, $W_{\text{per}^0}^{k,p}(I)$ in (1.11) and (1.12). If $k < 0$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $W^{k,p}$ is the dual of $W^{-k,q}$. Denote

$$\Phi(\xi) := \begin{cases} \xi \ln \xi + \frac{\xi^3}{2}, & \xi > 0, \\ 0, & \xi = 0, \\ +\infty, & \xi < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Phi_b(\xi) := \Phi(\xi + b).$$

200 By the definition (2.18), we have

$$(2.23) \quad E_\rho(\rho) = \int_0^L \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{L}(x-y)\right) \right| \rho(x)\rho(y) dy + \Phi(\rho) \right) dx.$$

By (2.21), we have

$$E_u(u) = \int_0^L \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{L}(x-y)\right) \right| (u_{xx} + b)(u_{yy} + b) dy + \Phi_b(u_{xx}) \right) dx.$$

Since

$$\frac{\delta E_u(u)}{\delta u} = \frac{2\pi}{L} H(u_{xx})_x + (\Phi_b'(u_{xx}))_{xx},$$

201 the equation (2.22) can be recast as

$$(2.24) \quad u_t + \frac{2\pi}{L} H(u_{xx})_x + (\Phi_b'(u_{xx}))_{xx} = 0.$$

202 In order to study the problem (1.7) in periodic and mean value zero set up, we establish first,
203 similar to [4], that

204 **Proposition 2.4.** *For any integer $m \geq 1$, any $T > 0$ and some constant $\beta < 0$, the following*
 205 *condition are equivalent:*

(a) *There exists $h \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{\text{per}^*}^{m,3}(I))$ with $h_t \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{\text{per}}^{m-4,3/2}(I))$ a solution of (1.7) satisfying*

$$h_x(x, t) \leq \beta < 0 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, T].$$

(b) *Set $b := \frac{1}{L} > 0$. There exists $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m+1,3}(I))$ with $u_t \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m-3,3/2}(I))$ a solution of (2.24) satisfying*

$$u_{xx}(x, t) + b \geq -\beta > 0 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, T].$$

(c) *There exists $\rho \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{\text{per}}^{m-1,3}(I))$ with $\rho_t \in L^\infty([0, T]; (W_{\text{per}}^{m-5,3/2}(I)))$ a solution of (2.20) satisfying*

$$\rho(x, t) \geq -\beta > 0 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, T],$$

and

$$\int_0^L \rho(x, t) dx = 1.$$

206 *Proof.* Step 1. For (a) \Rightarrow (c), we simply take

$$(2.25) \quad \rho(t, x) := -h_x(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) + b$$

207 and then (2.19) shows that ρ satisfies (c).

For (c) \Rightarrow (a), we take

$$h(x, t) = - \int_0^x \rho(s, t) ds + k_2(t),$$

with

$$k_2(t) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \int_0^x \rho(y, t) dy dx.$$

208 Then $h_x = -\rho$ and $h \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{\text{per}^*}^{m,3}(I))$, with mean value zero.

Noticing (2.19) again, we have

$$h_{xt} = -\rho_t = \left(\frac{\delta E_\rho}{\delta \rho} \right)_{xxxx} = \left(\frac{\delta E_h}{\delta h} \right)_{xxx},$$

in distribution sense. Integrating from 0 to x , for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, there exists a constant $c(t)$ such that

$$h_t = \left(\frac{\delta E_h}{\delta h} \right)_{xx} + c(t).$$

That is, for any test function $\varphi \in W_{\text{per}}^{3,3}(I)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle h, \varphi \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\delta E_h}{\delta h}, \varphi_{xx} \right\rangle + \langle c(t), \varphi \rangle.$$

209 Taking $\varphi = 1$, we get $c(t) = 0$, for *a.e.* $t \in [0, T]$. Hence h is the solution of (1.7).

Step 2. For (a) \Rightarrow (b), we take

$$h^T(x, t) = h(x, t) + bx,$$

210 with $b = \frac{1}{L}$. From (1.3) and (1.7), we know h^T is L -periodic function respect to x .

Denote

$$k_0 = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L h^T(s, 0) ds,$$

$$k_1(t) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \int_0^x h^T(y, t) dy dx - k_0 \frac{L}{2}.$$

211 Set

$$(2.26) \quad u(x, t) = \int_0^x \left(-h^T(y, t) + k_0 \right) dy + k_1(t).$$

212 We know u is L -periodic function with mean value zero. To prove such u satisfies (2.24), we can
213 proceed just the same as Step 1.

214 Note we also have

$$(2.27) \quad u_x = -h - bx + k_0,$$

215

$$(2.28) \quad u_{xx} = -h_x - b.$$

216 For (b) \Rightarrow (a), we simply take

$$(2.29) \quad h = -u_x - bx.$$

217 Then (2.21) and (2.22) show that h satisfies (b). □

218 **Proposition 2.5.** *For any integer $m \geq 2$, the following condition are equivalent:*

219 (i) *There exists $h \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{\text{per}^*}^{1,\infty}(I) \cap W^{m,2}(I))$ with $h_t \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{\text{per}}^{-3,\infty}(I))$ a solution
220 of (1.7) satisfying*

$$(2.30) \quad h_x(x, t) \leq \beta_1 < 0 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, T],$$

221 *for some $\beta_1 < 0$.*

222 (ii) There exists $\phi \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{per^*}^{1,\infty}([0, 1]) \cap W^{m,2}([0, 1]))$ with $\phi_t \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{per^*}^{-3,\infty}([0, 1]))$
 223 a solution of (2.13) satisfying

$$(2.31) \quad \phi_\alpha(\alpha, t) \leq \beta_2 < 0 \quad a.e. \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, T],$$

224 for some $\beta_2 < 0$.

225 *Proof.* Notice condition (2.30), (2.31). By inverse function theorem, h and ϕ are inverse functions
 226 of each other. Noticing (1.10) and (2.10), $h \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{per^*}^{1,\infty}(I))$ with condition (2.30) implies
 227 that $\phi \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{per^*}^{1,\infty}([0, 1]))$ with condition (2.31).

From the differentiation of inverse function, we also know

$$\phi^{(m)} \leq C(\beta_1)(h^{(m)} + \sum_{0 \leq \alpha_i \leq m-1} h^{(\alpha_1)} h^{(\alpha_2)} \dots h^{(\alpha_m)}).$$

Since $W^{m,2} \hookrightarrow W^{(m-1),\infty}$, we have

$$\int_0^L |\phi^{(m)}|^2 d\alpha \leq C(\beta_1)(\|h\|_{W^{m,2}}^2 + \|h\|_{W^{m,2}}^m).$$

228 Hence $h \in L^\infty([0, T]; W^{m,2}(I))$ with condition (2.30) implies that $\phi \in L^\infty([0, T]; W^{m,2}([0, 1]))$ with
 229 condition (2.31). Vice versa. \square

230 3. LOCAL STRONG SOLUTION AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We continue studying the properties of the continuum PDE. From now on, denote

$$\varphi^{(n)}(x) = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \varphi(x),$$

231 and c as a generic constant whose value may change from line to line. We first establish the existence
 232 and uniqueness of the local strong solution to (2.24).

Theorem 3.1. Assume $u^0 \in W_{per_0}^{m,2}(I)$, $u_{xx}^0 + b \geq \eta$, where η is a positive constant, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 7$.
 Then there exists time T_m depending on η , $\|u^0\|_{W_{per_0}^{m,2}}$ such that

$$u \in L^\infty([0, T_m]; W_{per_0}^{m,2}(I)) \cap L^2([0, T_m]; W_{per_0}^{m+2,2}(I)) \cap C([0, T_m]; W_{per_0}^{m-4,2}(I)),$$

$$u_t \in L^\infty([0, T_m]; W_{per_0}^{m-4,2}(I)) \cap L^2([0, T_m]; L_{per_0}^2(I))$$

is the unique strong solution of (2.24) with initial data u^0 , and u satisfies

$$u_{xx} + b \geq \frac{\eta}{2}, \quad a.e. t \in [0, T_m], x \in [0, L].$$

233 *Proof.* We first make the *a-priori* assumption

$$(3.1) \quad \min_{x \in I} (u_{xx} + b) \geq \frac{\eta}{2} > 0, \quad a.e. \ t \in [0, T_m],$$

234 in which T_m will be determined later. We will prove the existence of local strong solution under

235 (3.1) in step 1,2, then justify (3.1) in step3.

236 Let J_δ be the standard $C_c^\infty(I)$ mollifier. Denote $\bar{u}^\delta = J_\delta * u^\delta$.

Define $E_u^\delta(u) := E_u(J_\delta * u)$. Then

$$\frac{\delta E_u^\delta(u^\delta)}{\delta u^\delta} = J_\delta * \frac{\delta E_u(u)}{\delta u} \Big|_{\bar{u}^\delta}.$$

237 We study problem

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} u_t^\delta = -\frac{\delta E_u^\delta(u^\delta)}{\delta u^\delta}, \\ u^\delta(0) = J_\delta * u^0, \end{cases}$$

238 which is

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} u_t^\delta = (J_\delta * (-\frac{2\pi}{L} H(\bar{u}_{xx}^\delta)))_x - (J_\delta * \Phi_b'(\bar{u}_{xx}^\delta))_{xx}, \\ u^\delta(0) = J_\delta * u^0. \end{cases}$$

239 Step 1. We devote to obtain some *a-priori* estimates, which will be used to prove the convergence

240 of u^δ in (3.2).

Taking u as a test function in (2.24) gives

$$\int_0^L u_t u \, dx = \int_0^L \frac{2\pi}{L} H(u_{xx}) u_x - (\ln(u_{xx} + b) + \frac{3}{2}(u_{xx} + b)^2) u_{xx} \, dx.$$

Notice that

$$\int_0^L H(u_{xx}) u_x \, dx \leq \int_0^L \frac{3}{4} u_{xx}^2 + 2u^2 \, dx \leq \int_0^L \frac{1}{8} u_{xx}^3 + 2u^2 \, dx + C(L),$$

and that

$$\int_0^L \ln(u_{xx} + b) u_{xx} \, dx \leq C(\eta, L) + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^L u_{xx}^3 \, dx,$$

due to (3.1). We obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^L u^2 \, dx + \int_0^L u_{xx}^3 \, dx \leq c \int_0^L u^2 \, dx + C(\eta, L).$$

Then for some $T_1 > 0$, Grönwall's inequality implies that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T_1]; L^2(I))} \leq C(\eta, L, \|u^0\|_{W_{\text{per}0}^{m,2}}, T_1),$$

241

$$(3.4) \quad \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2([0,T_1];L^3(I))} \leq C(\eta, L, \|u^0\|_{W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}}, T_1).$$

242 Here and the following, $C(\eta, L, \|u^0\|_{W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}}, T_1)$ is a constant depending only on η , L , $\|u^0\|_{W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}}$ and
 243 T_1 .

244 Recall (2.25). We use $\rho = u_{xx} + b$ from now.

Since

$$\frac{dE_u(u)}{dt} + \int_0^L \left(\frac{\delta E_u(u)}{\delta u} \right)^2 dx = 0,$$

245 we have

$$(3.5) \quad E_u(u) \leq E_u(u_0) < +\infty.$$

246 Also notice

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^L \int_0^L \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi}{L}(x-y) \right| \rho(x)\rho(y) dx dy \right| \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^L \int_0^L \ln^2 \left| \sin \frac{\pi}{L}(x-y) \right| dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^L \rho^2(x) dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^L \rho^3 dx + C(L), \end{aligned}$$

247 and

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \int_0^L \rho \ln \rho dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^L \rho^3 dx + C(\eta, L).$$

248 These, together with (3.5), give that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{4} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} \int_0^L \rho^3 dx < E_\rho(0) + C(\eta, L).$$

249 Now we devote to get a higher-order priori estimate for $m \geq 4$.

250 Divide m times in equation (2.24) and then take $u^{(m)}$ as a test function, which implies that

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{m,2}} = \int_0^L -\frac{2\pi}{L} H(\rho)^{(m+1)} u^{(m)} - f(\rho)^{(m+2)} u^{(m)} dx,$$

where

$$f(\rho) = \Phi'(\rho) = \ln \rho + 1 + \frac{3}{2} \rho^2.$$

251 For the first term in (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad \left| \int_0^L -H(\rho)^{(m+1)} u^{(m)} dx \right| &= \left| \int_0^L -H(\rho)^{(m)} \rho^{(m-1)} dx \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^L \rho^{(m)2} dx + 2 \int_0^L \rho^{(m-1)2} dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^L \rho^{(m)2} dx + c \int_0^L \rho^{(m-2)2} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

252 For the second term in (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad \int_0^L -f(\rho)^{(m+2)} u^{(m)} dx &= \int_0^L -f(\rho)^{(m)} \rho^{(m)} dx \\
&= \int_0^L -(f'(\rho) \rho_x)^{(m-1)} \rho^{(m)} dx \\
&= \int_0^L -f'(\rho) \rho^{(m)2} dx + \int_0^L \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} C_k f'(\rho)^{(m-1-k)} \rho_x^{(k)} \rho^{(m)} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$f'(\rho) = 3\rho + \frac{1}{\rho} \geq 2\sqrt{3}, \text{ for } \rho > 0,$$

253 so the first term on the right hand of (3.11) is strictly negative. We will use it to control the other
254 terms later.

Now we carefully estimate the last term in (3.11). Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &:= \int_0^L \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} C_k f'(\rho)^{(m-1-k)} \rho_x^{(k)} \rho^{(m)} dx \\
&\leq \|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{m-2} C_k \|f'(\rho)^{(m-1-k)} \rho_x^{(k)}\|_{L^2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

First the chain rule gives

$$f'(\rho)^{(m-1-k)} = \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_\mu = m-1-k} C_{\beta} \rho^{(\beta_1)} \rho^{(\beta_2)} \dots \rho^{(\beta_\mu)} f^{(\mu+1)}(\rho).$$

Due to (3.1), we know

$$f^{(\mu+1)}(\rho) \leq \frac{C_\mu}{\rho^{\mu+1}} \leq \frac{C_\mu}{\eta^{\mu+1}}, \text{ for } \mu \geq 1.$$

Also noticing that

$$\|\rho^{(m-3)}\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f'(\rho)^{(m-1-k)}\|_{L^4} &\leq C(\eta, m) \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^{m-1}, \text{ for } 2 \leq k \leq m-2, \\
\|f'(\rho)^{(m-2)}\|_{L^4} &\leq C(\eta, m) (\|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^{m-1} + \|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^4}), \text{ for } k=1,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|f'(\rho)^{(m-1)}\|_{L^4} \leq C(\eta, m)(\|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^{m-1} + \|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^4} + \|\rho^{(m-1)}\|_{L^4}), \text{ for } k = 0.$$

255 Second by interpolating, we know

$$(3.12) \quad \|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^4} \leq c\|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}}\|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}},$$

256

$$(3.13) \quad \|\rho^{(m-1)}\|_{L^4} \leq c\|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{8}}\|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{8}},$$

257 and for $\mu < m - 2$,

$$(3.14) \quad \|\rho^{(\mu)}\|_{L^4} \leq c\|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^4} + c\|\rho\|_{L^4} \leq c\|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}}\|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} + c\|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}.$$

258 Thus (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) show that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} C_k \|f'(\rho)^{(m-1-k)} \rho_x^{(k)}\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} C_k \|f'(\rho)^{(m-1-k)}\|_{L^4} \|\rho_x^{(k)}\|_{L^4} \\ & \leq c \|f'(\rho)^{(m-2)}\|_{L^4} \|\rho_{xx}\|_{L^4} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} C(k, \eta, m) \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^{m-1} (\|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} + c \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}) \\ & \quad + C(\eta, m) \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^{m-1} \|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{8}} \|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{8}} \end{aligned}$$

259 For the first term, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|f'(\rho)^{(m-2)}\|_{L^4} \|\rho_{xx}\|_{L^4} \\ & \leq C(\eta, m) (\|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^{m-1} + \|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^4}) (\|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^4} + \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}) \\ & \leq C(\eta, m) \left[\|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^m + (\|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^{m-1} + 1) \|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} + \|\rho^{(m-2)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{4}} \|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

260 where we used (3.12) and (3.14).

261 Notice that (3.8) gives $\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(0, T_1; L^2(I))} \leq C(\eta, L)$. By interpolating, (3.15) and (3.16) lead to

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} M_1 & \leq C(\eta, m) \left[\|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{8}} \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^m + \|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^m \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^{m+1} + \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^m + C(\eta, L) \right] \|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\rho^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\eta, m) \|\rho\|_{W^{m-2,2}}^{10m} + C(\eta, L). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.10), (3.11), (3.17) and Grönwall's inequality, we finally obtain

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T_1];W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}(I))} \leq C(\eta, L, \|u^0\|_{W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}, T_1}),$$

$$\|u\|_{L^2([0,T_1];W_{\text{per}_0}^{m+2,2}(I))} \leq C(\eta, L, \|u^0\|_{W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}, T_1}).$$

Step 2. Define $F_\delta : W_{\text{per}_0}^{m+2,2} \rightarrow W_{\text{per}_0}^{m+2,2}$ with

$$F_\delta(u^\delta) := (J_\delta * (-\frac{2\pi}{L}H(\bar{u}_{xx}^\delta)))_x - (J_\delta * \Phi_b'(\bar{u}_{xx}^\delta))_{xx}.$$

262 We can easily check that F_δ is locally Lipschitz continuous in $W^{m+2,2}(I)$ for $m \geq 1$. Hence by
 263 [17, Theorem 3.1], we know (3.3) has a unique local solution $u^\delta \in C^1([0, T_0]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m+2,2}(I))$ and those
 264 estimates in Step 1 hold true uniformly in δ . That is, for T_0 , we have

$$(3.18) \quad \|u^\delta\|_{L^\infty([0,T_0];W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}(I))} \leq C(\eta, L, \|u^0\|_{W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}, T_0}),$$

265

$$(3.19) \quad \|u^\delta\|_{L^2([0,T_0];W_{\text{per}_0}^{m+2,2}(I))} \leq C(\eta, L, \|u^0\|_{W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}, T_0}).$$

Since

$$E_u^\delta(u^\delta(T)) + \int_0^T \int_0^L u_t^{\delta 2} dx dt = E_u^\delta(u^\delta(0)),$$

266 we also have

$$(3.20) \quad \|u_t^\delta\|_{L^2([0,T_0] \times I)} \leq C(\eta, L, \|u^0\|_{W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}}).$$

Notice $W^{m+2,2} \hookrightarrow W^{m+1,2}$ compactly and $W^{m+1,2} \hookrightarrow L^2$. Therefore, as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we can use Lions-Aubin's compactness lemma to obtain there exists a subsequence, still denoted as u^δ , such that

$$u^\delta \rightarrow u, \text{ in } L^2([0, T_0]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m+1,2}(I)).$$

And (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20) show that

$$u \in L^\infty([0, T_0]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}(I)) \cap L^2([0, T_0]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m+2,2}(I)),$$

$$u_t \in L^\infty([0, T_0]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m-4,2}(I)).$$

267 Thus we can take limit in (3.3) and u satisfies (2.24) almost everywhere, i.e., u is the local strong
 268 solution of (2.24).

Since

$$\|u_t\|_{L^2([0,T_0] \times I)} \leq \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|u_t^\delta\|_{L^2([0,T_0] \times I)} \leq C(\eta, L, \|u^0\|_{W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}}),$$

$$u_t \in L^2([0, T_0] \times I),$$

by [8, Theorem 4, p. 288], we actually have

$$u \in C([0, T_0]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{1,2}(I)).$$

269 Step 3. We justify the a-priori assumption (3.1). Note that

$$(3.21) \quad u_{xx}(x, t) = u_{xx}(0) + \int_0^t u_{xxt}(x, \tau) d\tau,$$

and $u_{xx}^0 + b \geq \eta$, so Step 2 and Sobolev embedding theorem lead to

$$u_{xxt} \in L^\infty([0, T_0], W^{m-6,2}(I)) \hookrightarrow L^\infty([0, T_0], L^\infty(I)),$$

for $m \geq 7$. Then

$$\left| \int_0^t u_{xxt}(x, \tau) d\tau \right| \leq t \|u_{xxt}\|_{L^\infty([0, T_0], L^\infty(I))} \leq \frac{\eta}{2}, \quad t \in [0, T_m],$$

270 where $T_m < T_0$ depends only on η , L and $\|u^0\|_{W^{m,2}(I)}$. This, together with (3.21), gives (3.1). \square

271 By using the above Theorem 3.1, we now prove the Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Step 1 (Existence). Assume $h^0 \in W_{\text{per}^*}^{m,2}(I)$, $h_x^0 \leq \beta$, for some constant $\beta < 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 6$. From (2.26), there exists $u^0 \in W_{\text{per}^*}^{m+1,2}(I)$ satisfying $u_{xx}^0 + b \geq -\beta$. Then by Theorem 3.1, there exists $T_m > 0$, such that there exists a unique u satisfying (2.24) with the following regularity:

$$u \in L^\infty([0, T_m]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m+1,2}(I)) \cap L^2([0, T_m]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m+3,2}(I)) \cap C([0, T_m]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m-3,2}(I)),$$

$$u_t \in L^\infty([0, T_m]; W_{\text{per}_0}^{m-3,2}(I)),$$

and u satisfies

$$u_{xx} + b \geq -\frac{\beta}{2}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T_m], x \in [0, L].$$

272 Let $h := -u_x - bx$. Hence we can get the existence of solution to (1.7) satisfying (1.13) and the
273 regularity stated in Theorem 1.1.

274 Step 2 (Uniqueness). Now we assume h_1, h_2 are two solutions of (1.7) satisfying (1.13) and the
275 same regularity stated in Theorem 1.1. Subtract h_2 -equation from h_1 -equation and multiply $h_1 - h_2$

276 on both sides. Then integration by parts shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^L (h_1 - h_2)^2 dx = \int_0^L (h_{1t} - h_{2t})(h_1 - h_2) dx \\
& = \int_0^L -\frac{2\pi}{L} H(h_{1x} - h_{2x})(h_{1xx} - h_{2xx}) + \left[\left(3h_{1x} + \frac{1}{h_{1x}}\right)h_{1xx} - \left(3h_{2x} + \frac{1}{h_{2x}}\right)h_{2xx} \right] (h_{1xx} - h_{2xx}) dx \\
& = \int_0^L -\frac{2\pi}{L} H(h_{1x} - h_{2x})(h_{1xx} - h_{2xx}) + \left(3h_{2x} + \frac{1}{h_{2x}}\right)(h_{1xx} - h_{2xx})^2 \\
& \quad + \left(3h_{1x} + \frac{1}{h_{1x}} - 3h_{2x} - \frac{1}{h_{2x}}\right)h_{1xx}(h_{1xx} - h_{2xx}) dx \\
& = I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

277 Since

$$(3.23) \quad 3h_{2x} + \frac{1}{h_{2x}} \leq -2\sqrt{3}, \text{ due to } h_{2x} < 0,$$

278 the second term on the right hand of (3.22) is strictly negative, which will be used to control
279 the other two terms. For I_1 , notice the property of Hilbert transform $\|H(u)\|_{L^p} \leq c\|u\|_{L^p}$ for
280 $1 < p < \infty$; see [3, Proposition 9.1.3]. We can use Young's inequality and interpolating to obtain

$$(3.24) \quad I_1 \leq \int_0^L \frac{1}{4}(h_{1xx} - h_{2xx})^2 + c(h_1 - h_2)^2 dx.$$

To estimate I_3 , first notice that h_{1xx} is bounded by $\|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}}$ and that

$$|h_{1x}| \geq -\frac{\beta}{2} > 0, \quad |h_{2x}| \geq -\frac{\beta}{2} > 0,$$

due to (1.13). Hence

$$\int_0^L \left[\left(3h_{1x} - 3h_{2x} + \frac{1}{h_{1x}} - \frac{1}{h_{2x}}\right)h_{1xx} \right]^2 dx \leq C(\beta, \|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}})(h_{1x} - h_{2x})^2 dx,$$

281 where $C(\beta, \|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}})$ depends only on $\beta, \|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}}$. Then Young's inequality and interpo-
282 lating show that

$$(3.25) \quad I_3 \leq \int_0^L C(\beta, \|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}})(h_1 - h_2)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(h_{1xx} - h_{2xx})^2 dx,$$

where $C(\beta, \|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}})$ depends only on $\beta, \|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}}$. Now combining (3.23), (3.24), (3.25) with (3.22) leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^L (h_1 - h_2)^2 dx \leq C(\beta, \|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}}) \int_0^L (h_1 - h_2)^2 dx.$$

283 Then by Grönwall's inequality, we have

$$(3.26) \quad \int_0^L (h_1 - h_2)^2 dx \leq C(\beta, \|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}}, T_m) \int_0^L (h_1(0) - h_2(0))^2 dx,$$

284 where $C(\beta, \|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}}, T_m)$ depends only on β , $\|h_1(0)\|_{W^{m,2}}$ and T_m . This gives the uniqueness
285 of the solution to (1.7). \square

3.1. Stability of linearized ϕ -PDE. Now we set up the stability of linearized ϕ -PDE under assumption

$$h_x(0) \in W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}(I), \quad h_x(0) \leq 2\beta < 0,$$

286 with $m \geq 6$.

287 Recall Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.5. There exists $T_m > 0$, such that

$$(3.27) \quad \phi(\alpha, t) \in L^\infty([0, T_m]; W_{\text{per}^*}^{6,\infty}(0, 1))$$

288 is the strong solution of (2.13) and there exists constants $m_1, m_2 > 0$ such that

$$(3.28) \quad \phi_\alpha \leq -m_1 < 0, \quad |\phi^{(i)}| \leq m_2, \quad i = 1, \dots, 6.$$

Recall equation (2.13):

$$\phi_t = -\phi_\alpha \mu_{xx} = -\partial_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha} \left(\frac{\delta E}{\delta \phi} \right)_\alpha \right),$$

where

$$\frac{\delta E}{\delta \phi} = \frac{2\pi}{L^2} \text{PV} \int_0^1 \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} d\beta - \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} - 3 \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^4}.$$

289 We want to show that the linearized ϕ -PDE is stable, which will be used in the construction of
290 high-order consistency solution (Section 6.2).

291 For $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ satisfying equation (2.13), set $\phi + \varepsilon\psi = \tilde{\phi}$. Denote

$$(3.29) \quad A := -\frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} - 3 \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^4} + \frac{2\pi}{L^2} \text{PV} \int_0^1 \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} d\beta,$$

292 and

$$(3.30) \quad B := \left(-\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha^2} - 3 \frac{1}{\phi_\alpha^4} \right) \psi_{\alpha\alpha} + \left(\frac{2\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^3} + \frac{12\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^5} \right) \psi_\alpha - \frac{2\pi^2}{L^3} \text{PV} \int_0^1 \sec^2 \frac{\pi}{L} (\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta)) (\psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta)) d\beta.$$

293 So the linearized equation of ϕ -PDE (2.13) is

$$(3.31) \quad \psi_t = -\partial_\alpha \left(-\frac{\psi_\alpha}{\phi_\alpha^2} \partial_\alpha A + \frac{\partial_\alpha B}{\phi_\alpha} \right).$$

294 **Proposition 3.2.** *Assume $\psi(0) \in L^2_{per}([0, 1])$ and $m_1, m_2 > 0$ defined in (3.28). Let $T_m > 0$ be the*
 295 *maximal existence time for strong solution ϕ in (3.27). The linearized equation (3.31) is stable in*
 296 *the sense*

$$(3.32) \quad \|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2_{per}([0,1])} \leq C(m_1, m_2, T_m) \|\psi(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2_{per}([0,1])}, \text{ for } t \in [0, T_m],$$

297 where $C(m_1, m_2, T_m)$ is a constant depending only on m_1, m_2 , and T_m .

Proof. Step 1. We perform without the Hilbert transform term $\frac{2\pi}{L^2} \text{PV} \int_0^1 \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} d\beta$. Then A, B in (3.31) become

$$A := -\frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} - 3\frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^4},$$

and

$$B := \left(-\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha^2} - 3\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha^4}\right)\psi_{\alpha\alpha} + \left(\frac{2\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^3} + \frac{12\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^5}\right)\psi_\alpha.$$

Because ψ is 1-periodic function respect to α , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t &= -\partial_\alpha \left(-\frac{\psi_\alpha}{\phi_\alpha^2} A_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \left(\frac{B}{\phi_\alpha} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha} \right)_\alpha B \right) \\ &= -\partial_{\alpha\alpha} \left(\frac{B}{\phi_\alpha} \right) + \partial_\alpha \left(\frac{\psi_\alpha}{\phi_\alpha^2} A_\alpha - \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} B \right) \\ &= -\partial_{\alpha\alpha} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha^3} - \frac{3}{\phi_\alpha^5} \right) \psi_{\alpha\alpha} + \left(\frac{2\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^4} + \frac{12\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^6} \right) \psi_\alpha \right] \\ &\quad + \partial_\alpha \left[\left(\frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^4} + \frac{3\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^6} \right) \psi_{\alpha\alpha} + \left(-\frac{2\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^2}{\phi_\alpha^5} - \frac{12\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^2}{\phi_\alpha^7} + \frac{A_\alpha}{\phi_\alpha^2} \right) \psi_\alpha \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by ψ and integration by parts show that

$$(3.33) \quad \int_0^1 \psi \psi_t d\alpha = \int_0^1 \left[\left(\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha^3} + \frac{3}{\phi_\alpha^5} \right) \psi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{3\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^4} + \frac{15\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^6} \right) \psi_\alpha \psi_{\alpha\alpha} + \left(\frac{2\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^2}{\phi_\alpha^5} + \frac{12\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^2}{\phi_\alpha^7} - \frac{A_\alpha}{\phi_\alpha^2} \right) \psi_\alpha^2 \right] d\alpha.$$

298 From Young's inequality, for any $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(3.34) \quad \psi_{\alpha\alpha} \psi_\alpha \leq \varepsilon \psi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \psi_\alpha^2,$$

299 and

$$(3.35) \quad \int_0^1 \psi_\alpha^2 d\alpha \leq \int_0^1 \left(\delta \psi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta} \psi^2 \right) d\alpha.$$

Note that ϕ_α is negative and from (3.27), (3.28), we know

$$\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha^3} + \frac{3}{\phi_\alpha^5} \leq -\left(\frac{1}{m_2^3} + \frac{1}{m_2^5} \right).$$

Now choose ε, δ in (3.34) and (3.35) such that the last two terms in (3.33) can be controlled by $\int_0^1 -\left(\frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{1}{m_2^2}\right)\psi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 + C(m_1, m_2)\psi^2 d\alpha$. Therefore, combining (3.34), (3.35) and (3.28), we have

$$(3.36) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \psi^2 d\alpha + C(m_2) \int_0^1 \psi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 d\alpha \leq \int_0^1 C(m_1, m_2)\psi^2 d\alpha,$$

300 where $C(m_2), C(m_1, m_2) > 0$ are constants depending on m_1, m_2 .

301 By Grönwall's inequality, we finally achieve the stability for ψ in the sense of (3.32).

302 Step 2. If we consider Hilbert transform, then A, B are defined in (3.29) and (3.30). First notice
303 that change of variable from h to ϕ does not effect the Cauchy principal value integral and that
304 $h_x < 0$. Then for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, by variable substitution, we have

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{PV} \int_0^1 \frac{\pi}{L} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{L}(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))\right) d\beta = -\text{PV} \int_0^1 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha) - kL} d\beta \\ & = -\text{PV} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha)} d\beta = \text{PV} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{h_y}{y - x} dy \\ & = \text{PV} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{L}{2} + kL}^{\frac{L}{2} + kL} \frac{h_y}{y - x} dy = \frac{\pi}{L} \text{PV} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} h_y \cot\left(\frac{y - x}{L}\pi\right) dy \\ & = -\pi H(h_x) \circ \phi, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation for Hilbert kernel

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{x + kL} = \frac{\pi}{L} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{L}x\right).$$

Hence

$$\left(\text{PV} \int_0^1 \cot \frac{\pi}{L}(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta)) d\beta\right)_\alpha = -L(H(h_{xx}) \circ \phi)\phi_\alpha$$

305 is L^p bounded due to the property of Hilbert transform $H(u)_x = H(u_x)$ for $u_x \in L^p$ with $1 < p < \infty$.

Second, using the periodicity of ψ , integration by parts shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{L} \text{PV} \int_0^1 \sec^2 \frac{\pi}{L}(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))(\psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta)) d\beta \\ & = \text{PV} \int_0^1 \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \left[-\frac{\psi_\alpha(\beta)}{\phi_\alpha^2(\beta)} - \frac{(\psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta))\phi_{\alpha\alpha}(\beta)}{\phi_\alpha^2(\beta)} \right] d\beta. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \text{PV} \int_0^1 \psi_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha)(\psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta)) \sec^2 \frac{\pi}{L}(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta)) d\beta d\alpha \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon \int_0^1 \psi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 d\alpha + \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \left[\text{PV} \int_0^1 \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \left(-\frac{\psi_\alpha(\beta)}{\phi_\alpha^2(\beta)} - \frac{(\psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta))\phi_{\alpha\alpha}(\beta)}{\phi_\alpha^2(\beta)} \right) d\beta \right]^2 d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (3.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{PV} \int_0^1 \cot \frac{\pi(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))}{L} \left(-\frac{\psi_\alpha(\beta)}{\phi_\alpha^2(\beta)} - \frac{(\psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta))\phi_{\alpha\alpha}(\beta)}{\phi_\alpha^2(\beta)} \right) d\beta \\ &= \left[H\left(-\frac{\psi_\alpha}{\phi_\alpha^3} \circ h\right) + H\left(\frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}\psi}{\phi_\alpha^3} \circ h\right) + \psi(\alpha)H\left(\frac{-\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^3} \circ h\right) \right] \circ \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Then notice the property of Hilbert transform $\|H(u)\|_{L^p} \leq c\|u\|_{L^p}$ for $1 < p < \infty$; see [3, Proposition 9.1.3]. For any $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, by Hölder's inequality and interpolating, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \text{PV} \int_0^1 \psi_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha)(\psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta)) \sec^2 \frac{\pi}{L}(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta)) d\beta d\alpha \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon \int_0^1 \psi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 d\alpha + \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \left[H\left(-\frac{\psi_\alpha}{\phi_\alpha^3} \circ h\right) + H\left(\frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}\psi}{\phi_\alpha^3} \circ h\right) + \psi(\alpha)H\left(\frac{-\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^3} \circ h\right) \right]^2 \circ \phi d\alpha \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon \int_0^1 \psi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 d\alpha + \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{\psi_\alpha^2}{\phi_\alpha^5} + \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 \psi^2}{\phi_\alpha^5} \right] d\alpha + \left(\int_0^1 \psi^4(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^4}{\phi_\alpha^{11}} d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \left(2\varepsilon + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} \right) \int_0^1 \psi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 d\alpha + \frac{C(m_1, m_2)}{\varepsilon\delta} \int_0^1 \psi(\alpha)^2 d\alpha \end{aligned}$$

306 where $C(m_1, m_2)$ depends only on m_1, m_2 . Here we used variable substitution twice and (3.28).

307 Then we can perform just like Step 1 to get (3.36) and complete the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

308

4. MODIFIED BCF TYPE MODEL

309 We want to rigorously study the continuum limit of a BCF type model and figure out the
310 convergence rate. From now on, we assume the initial data $x_i(0)$ satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad x_i(0) < x_{i+1}(0), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

311 As mentioned in the Introduction, we need to modify the ODE as follows

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{f_{i+1} - f_i}{x_{i+1} - x_i} - \frac{f_i - f_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

312 where the chemical potential

$$(4.3) \quad f_i := -\frac{2}{L} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a}{x_j - x_i} + \left(\frac{1}{x_{i+1} - x_i} - \frac{1}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right) + \left(\frac{a^2}{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^3} - \frac{a^2}{(x_i - x_{i-1})^3} \right),$$

313 for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Notice (4.2) with (4.3) is exact the ODE (1.8) with (1.9), so we refer (4.2) in the
314 following.

315 From now on, keep in mind the relation between the Hilbert kernel and Cauchy kernel is

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{x + kL} = \frac{\pi}{L} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{L}x\right).$$

316 The corresponding energy is

$$(4.5) \quad E^N := a^2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{2}{L} \ln \left| \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{L} (x_j - x_i) \right) \right| + a \sum_{i=0}^N \left(-\ln \left| \frac{x_i - x_{i+1}}{a} \right| + \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_{i+1})^2} \right).$$

Since as $a \rightarrow 0$, we have $x_i = O(a)$, so the contribution of the various terms in E^N is on the same order. We have

$$f_i = \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial E^N}{\partial x_i},$$

317 and energy identity

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{dE^N}{dt} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(f_{i+1} - f_i)^2}{x_{i+1} - x_i} = 0,$$

318 which is analogous to (2.17).

319 We will first study some properties of (4.2) and obtain the consistence result in Section 5. Then
 320 we construct an auxiliary solution with high-order consistency in Section 6.2, which is important
 321 when we prove the convergence rate of the modified ODE system. After those preparations, the
 322 proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 6.3.

323 **4.1. Global solution of ODE.** In this section, we will prove that for any fixed $N \geq 2$, the ODE
 324 system (4.2) has a global in time solution.

325 **Proposition 4.1.** *Assume initial data satisfy (4.1). Then for any $N \geq 2$, the ODE system (4.2)*
 326 *has a global in time solution.*

327 *Proof.* Let T_{\max} be the maximal existence time. Then if $T_{\max} < +\infty$, from standard Extension
 328 Theory for ODE, we know either two steps collide, i.e. there exists i , such that $x_i(T_{\max}) =$
 329 $x_{i+1}(T_{\max})$; or step reaches infinity, i.e. $x_i(T_{\max}) = +\infty$.

Denote

$$\ell_{\min}(t) := \min_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{x_{i+1}(t) - x_i(t)\},$$

330 and we state a proposition that we have a positive lower bound for $\ell_{\min}(t)$. We will proof this
 331 proposition later.

Proposition 4.2. *For any $N \geq 2$, assume initial data satisfy (4.1) and system (4.2) has initial*
energy $E^N(0)$. Then for any time t the solution of (4.2) exist, we have

$$\ell_{\min}(t) \geq C(N) > 0,$$

332 where $C(N)$ is a constant depending only on N .

By Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\ell_{\min}(T_{\max}) \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \ell_{\min}(t) \geq C(N) > 0,$$

333 which contradicts with $x_i(T_{\max}) = x_{i+1}(T_{\max})$.

On the other hand, combining Proposition 4.2 with equation (4.2) gives

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\dot{x}_i| \leq C(N),$$

334 where $C(N)$ is a constant depending only on N . Hence there will be no finite time blow up and we

335 conclude $T_{\max} = +\infty$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.2. First from (4.6), we know, for any time t the solution exist,

$$E^N(t) \leq E^N(0).$$

Let $0 < \ell^* \leq 1$ small enough. Then

$$\frac{2\pi}{L^2} \cot \frac{\pi}{L} \ell - \frac{1}{2} \frac{a^2}{\ell^3} < 0, \quad \text{for } 0 < \ell \leq \ell^*.$$

Thus, at least for $0 < \ell \leq \min\{\ell^*, \frac{L}{2}\}$, we know

$$g(\ell) := \frac{2}{L} \ln \left(\sin \frac{\pi}{L} \ell \right) + \frac{a^2}{4\ell^2}$$

is positive, i.e.

$$\frac{2}{L} \ln \sin \frac{\pi}{L} \ell + \frac{a^2}{4\ell^2} > 0.$$

Hence

$$\frac{2}{L} \ln \sin \frac{\pi}{L} \ell + \frac{a^2}{2\ell^2} > \frac{a^2}{4\ell^2},$$

and

$$\frac{2}{L} \ln(\sin \frac{\pi}{L} \ell) - \ln \left(\frac{\ell}{a} \right) + \frac{a^2}{2\ell^2} > \frac{a^2}{4\ell^2} + \ln a \geq c_0(N),$$

where $c_0(N)$ is a constant depending only on N . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E^N &\geq a^2 \left[\frac{2}{L} \ln(\sin(\frac{\pi}{L} \ell_{\min})) - \ln(\ell_{\min}) + \ln a + \frac{a^2}{2\ell_{\min}^2} + \left(\frac{N(N-1)}{2} - 1 \right) c_0(N) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{a^4}{4\ell_{\min}^2} + c_1(N), \end{aligned}$$

336 where $c_1(N)$ is a constant depending only on N .

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{\ell_{\min}^2} \leq C(N, E^N(0)),$$

337 where $C(N, E^N(0))$ is a positive constant depending only on N and initial data.

So we finally get

$$\ell_{min} \geq \min\left\{\frac{L}{2}, \ell^*, \frac{1}{\sqrt{C(N, E^N(0))}}\right\}.$$

338

□

339

5. CONSISTENCY

In this section, we study the local consistency between exact solution ϕ of equation (2.13) and solution x of equation (4.2). From now on, we always assume there exists a constant $\beta < 0$ such that the initial data satisfy

$$h_x(0) \in W_{\text{per}_0}^{m,2}(I), \quad h_x(0) \leq 2\beta < 0,$$

340 with $m \geq 6$.

341 From Theorem 1.1, we know there exists $T_m > 0$, for $t \in [0, T_m]$, $h(x, t) \in L^\infty([0, T]; W_{\text{per}^*}^{6,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$
342 is the strong solution of (1.7) and

$$(5.1) \quad h_x \leq \beta < 0.$$

343 Also by Proposition 2.5, we know $\phi(\alpha, t)$ is the strong solution of (2.13) satisfying (3.27) and (3.28).

344 Denote

$$(5.2) \quad \bar{f}_i := -\frac{2}{L} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a}{\phi_j - \phi_i} + \left(\frac{1}{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i} - \frac{1}{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}} \right) + \left(\frac{a^2}{(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)^3} - \frac{a^2}{(\phi_i - \phi_{i-1})^3} \right).$$

345 The main result in this section is Theorem 5.1:

346 **Theorem 5.1.** *For all $i = 1, \dots, N$, let \bar{f}_i be defined in (5.2), and*

$$(5.3) \quad v_1(\alpha; \phi) := -\frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{2\phi_\alpha^2}(\alpha), \quad r_0(\alpha; \phi) := \left(\frac{v_{1\alpha}\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} - \frac{v_{1\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha} \right)(\alpha).$$

347 Then we have

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{d\phi_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\bar{f}_{i+1} - \bar{f}_i}{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i} - \frac{\bar{f}_i - \bar{f}_{i-1}}{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}} \right) + r_0(\alpha_i; \phi)a + R_i a^2, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

348 and

$$(5.5) \quad |r_0(\alpha_i; \phi)| \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)}), \quad |R_i| \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)}),$$

where $C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$ depends on $\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)}$, and R_i is defined in (5.35). In addition, we have

$$\frac{dE^N(\phi)}{dt} + a \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\bar{f}_{i+1} - \bar{f}_i}{a} \right)^2 \leq Ca.$$

349 To achieve this goal, first we need to set up some notations and lemmas.

350 From (3.27) and (3.28), there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, such that

$$(5.6) \quad c_1 a \leq \phi_{i+1} - \phi_i \leq c_2 a.$$

351 Denoting

$$(5.7) \quad F_i := \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\bar{f}_{i+1} - \bar{f}_i}{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i} - \frac{\bar{f}_i - \bar{f}_{i-1}}{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}} \right),$$

352 we want to estimate the difference between F_i and $\frac{d\phi_i}{dt}$. From PDE (1.7) and (2.10), we have

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{d\phi_i}{dt} = - \frac{(-\frac{2\pi}{L}H(h_x) + (\frac{1}{h_x} + 3h_x)h_{xx})_{xx}}{h_x} \Big|_{\phi_i}.$$

The main task is then to calculate the term F_i . Let us first estimate \bar{f}_i till order a accuracy by writing

$$\bar{f}_i = I_{1,i} + I_{2,i} + I_{3,i},$$

353 where

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{1,i} &:= -\frac{2}{L} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a}{\phi_j - \phi_i} = -\frac{2}{L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N \frac{a}{\phi_j - \phi_i + kL}, \\ I_{2,i} &:= \frac{1}{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i} - \frac{1}{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}}, \\ I_{3,i} &:= \frac{a^2}{(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)^3} - \frac{a^2}{(\phi_i - \phi_{i-1})^3}. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify notations, we will henceforth denote

$$\varphi_i = \varphi(x)|_{x=x_i}.$$

354 Next, we state four lemmas to estimate $I_{1,i}, I_{2,i}, I_{3,i}$ one by one, from which, we know $O(a)$ error
355 only show up when estimating the first term $I_{1,i}$ in Lemma 5.6.

356 **Lemma 5.2.** *Let $I_{2,i}$ be defined in (5.9) and v_2 be function of α defined as*

$$(5.10) \quad v_2(\alpha; \phi) := -\frac{\phi^{(4)}}{12\phi_\alpha^2} + \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^4} \left(\frac{1}{3} \phi_\alpha \phi^{(3)} - \frac{1}{4} \phi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 \right).$$

357 Then we have

$$(5.11) \quad I_{2,i} = \frac{h_{xx}}{h_x} \Big|_{\phi_i} + v_2(\alpha_i; \phi) a^2 + R_{2,i},$$

358 where $|R_{2,i}| \leq a^4 C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$.

Proof. Notice we have

$$(5.12) \quad \phi_{i+1} = \phi_i - \phi_{\alpha,i} a + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\alpha\alpha,i} a^2 - \frac{1}{3!} \phi_i^{(3)} a^3 + \frac{1}{4!} \phi_i^{(4)} a^4 - \frac{1}{5!} \phi_i^{(5)} a^5 + \frac{1}{6!} \phi^{(6)}(\xi^+) a^6,$$

$$(5.13) \quad \phi_{i-1} = \phi_i + \phi_{\alpha,i} a + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\alpha\alpha,i} a^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \phi_i^{(3)} a^3 + \frac{1}{4!} \phi_i^{(4)} a^4 + \frac{1}{5!} \phi_i^{(5)} a^5 + \frac{1}{6!} \phi^{(6)}(\xi^-) a^6,$$

359 where $\xi^+ \in [\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}]$, $\xi^- \in [\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i]$.

Hence, using (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,i} &= \frac{1}{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i} - \frac{1}{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{2\phi_i - \phi_{i+1} - \phi_{i-1}}{a^2}}{\left(\frac{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i}{a}\right) \left(\frac{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}}{a}\right)} \\ &= \left(-\phi_{\alpha\alpha,i} - \frac{1}{12} \phi_i^{(4)} a^2 - \frac{1}{6!} (\phi^{(6)}(\xi^+) + \phi^{(6)}(\xi^-)) a^4 \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{-\phi_{\alpha,i} + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\alpha\alpha,i} a - \frac{1}{3!} \phi_i^{(3)} a^2 + \frac{1}{4!} \phi_i^{(4)} a^3 - \frac{1}{5!} \phi^{(5)} a^4 + \frac{1}{6!} \phi^{(6)}(\xi^+) a^5} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{-\phi_{\alpha,i} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\alpha\alpha,i} a - \frac{1}{3!} \phi_i^{(3)} a^2 - \frac{1}{4!} \phi_i^{(4)} a^3 - \frac{1}{5!} \phi^{(5)} a^4 - \frac{1}{6!} \phi^{(6)}(\xi^-) a^5} \\ &= \frac{-\phi_{\alpha\alpha,i} - \frac{1}{12} \phi_i^{(4)} a^2 - \frac{1}{6!} (\phi^{(6)}(\xi^+) + \phi^{(6)}(\xi^-)) a^4}{(\phi_{\alpha,i}^2 + A_1(\alpha_i; \phi) a^2 + A_{2,i} a^4)} \\ &= \left(\frac{-\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_{\alpha}^2} \right)_i + \left(-\frac{\phi^{(4)}}{12\phi_{\alpha}^2} + \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_{\alpha}^4} A_1 \right)_i a^2 + A_{3,i} a^4 \\ &= \left(\frac{h_{xx}}{h_x^2} \right)_i + \left(-\frac{\phi^{(4)}}{12\phi_{\alpha}^2} + \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_{\alpha}^4} A_1 \right)_i a^2 + A_{3,i} a^4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1(\alpha; \phi) = \frac{1}{3} \phi_{\alpha} \phi^{(3)} - \frac{1}{4} \phi_{\alpha\alpha}^2, \quad |A_{2,i}| \leq c, \quad |A_{3,i}| \leq c.$$

360 Denote

$$(5.14) \quad v_2(\alpha; \phi) = -\frac{\phi^{(4)}}{12\phi_{\alpha}^2} + \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_{\alpha}^4} A_1,$$

361 we complete the proof of Lemma 5.2. □

362 Now we claim an approximation for periodic Hilbert transform.

363 **Lemma 5.3.** For any $\phi(\alpha_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, we have

$$(5.15) \quad \text{PV} \int_0^1 \frac{\pi}{L} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{L}(\phi(\alpha_i) - \phi(\alpha))\right) d\alpha = \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^N a \frac{\pi}{L} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{L}(\phi(\alpha_i) - \phi_j)\right) + \frac{a}{2} \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} + R_{1,i},$$

364 where $|R_{1,i}| \leq a^4 C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$.

Proof. We use the Euler-Maclaurin expansion in [24] to estimate $R_{1,i}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $i = 1, \dots, N-1$, that is $\alpha_i \neq 0, 1$. For $i = N$, we can change interval $[0, 1]$ to $[-a, 1-a]$ due to periodicity. Using (4.4), we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{PV} \int_0^1 \frac{\pi}{L} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{L}(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i))\right) d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{PV} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i) + kL} d\alpha \\ &= \text{PV} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i)} d\alpha + \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq 0}} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i) + kL} d\alpha \\ &= T_1 + T_2. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\# \sum_{j=0}^N \beta_j = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \beta_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0, N} \beta_j.$$

365 First we recall Theorem 1 and Theorem 4 in [24] as follows:

Theorem 5.4 (Theorem 1 of [24]). Let function $g(x)$ be $2m$ times differentiable on $[0, 1]$. Then

$$\int_0^1 g(x) dx = a^\# \sum_{j=0}^N g(x_j) + \sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1} \frac{B_{2\mu}}{2\mu!} [g^{(2\mu-1)}]_{|x=0}^{|x=1}| a^{2\mu} + R_{2m}[g; (0, 1)],$$

where

$$R_{2m}[g; (0, 1)] = a^{2m} \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{B}_{2m}[\frac{x}{a}] - B_{2m}}{(2m)!} g^{(2m)}(x) dx,$$

366 B_μ is the Bernoulli number and \bar{B}_μ is the periodic Bernoullian function of order μ .

Theorem 5.5 (Theorem 4 of [24]). Let function $G(x)$ be $2m$ times differentiable on $[0, 1]$ and let

$g(x) = \frac{G(x)}{x-t}$. Then

$$\int_0^1 g(x) dx = a^\# \sum_{j=0, x_j \neq t}^N g(x_j) + aG'(t) + \sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1} \frac{B_{2\mu}}{2\mu!} [g^{(2\mu-1)}]_{|x=1}^{|x=0}| a^{2\mu} + \tilde{R}_{2m}[g; (0, 1)],$$

where

$$\tilde{R}_{2m}[g; (0, 1)] = a^{2m} \text{PV} \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{B}_{2m}[\frac{x}{a}] - B_{2m}}{(2m)!} g^{(2m)}(x) dx.$$

367 For the nonsingular T_2 , we apply Theorem 5.4 to obtain

$$(5.16) \quad T_2 = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq 0}} \left[a \left(\# \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{1}{\phi(\alpha_j) - \phi(\alpha_i) + kL} \right) + a^2 \frac{B_2}{2} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i) + kL} \right) \Big|_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=0} + a^4 e_1(k) \right],$$

368 where

$$(5.17) \quad |e_1(k)| = \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{B}_4[\frac{\alpha}{a}] - B_4}{4!} \frac{d^4}{d\alpha^4} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i) + kL} \right) d\alpha \right| \leq c \max_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \frac{d^4}{d\alpha^4} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i) + kL} \right).$$

369 Due to $\phi_\alpha(1) - \phi_\alpha(0) = 0$, the second term in (5.16) becomes

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} K_2 &:= \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq 0}} \frac{B_2}{2} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i) + kL} \right) \Big|_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=0} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq 0}} \frac{B_2}{2} \phi_\alpha(0) \left(\frac{1}{(kL - \phi(\alpha_i))^2} - \frac{1}{(L + kL - \phi(\alpha_i))^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

370 To estimate the last term in (5.16), since $\max_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \frac{d^4}{d\alpha^4} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i) + kL} \right)$ in (5.17) is summable
371 respect to k , we get

$$(5.19) \quad \left| \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq 0}} e_1(k) \right| \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)}).$$

Now we deal with the singular term T_1 . Denote $G(\alpha) := \frac{\alpha - \alpha_i}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i)}$. Applying Theorem 5.5 to

$$g(\alpha) = \frac{G(\alpha)}{\alpha - \alpha_i} = \frac{\frac{\alpha - \alpha_i}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i)}}{\alpha - \alpha_i} = \frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i)},$$

372 then we have

$$(5.20) \quad T_1 = a \left(\# \sum_{j=0, j \neq i}^N \frac{1}{\phi(\alpha_j) - \phi(\alpha_i)} \right) - \frac{a}{2} \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} \Big|_{\alpha_i} + a^2 \frac{B_2}{2} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i)} \right) \Big|_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=0} + a^4 e_2,$$

where

$$e_2 := \text{PV} \int_0^1 \frac{\bar{B}_4[\frac{\alpha}{a}] - B_4}{4!} \frac{d^4}{d\alpha^4} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i)} \right) d\alpha.$$

373 Due to $\phi_\alpha(1) - \phi_\alpha(0) = 0$ again, the third term in (5.20) becomes

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &:= \frac{B_2}{2} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i)} \right) \Big|_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=0} \\ &= \frac{B_2}{2} \phi_\alpha(0) \left(\frac{1}{(-\phi(\alpha_i))^2} - \frac{1}{(L - \phi(\alpha_i))^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

374 Without loss of generality, we can also assume $\alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Denote $p(\alpha) := \frac{\bar{B}_4[\frac{\alpha}{a}] - B_4}{4!}$, we have

$$(5.22) \quad \begin{aligned} e_2 &= \text{PV} \int_0^1 p(\alpha) \frac{d^4}{d\alpha^4} \left(\frac{G(\alpha) - G(\alpha_i)}{\alpha - \alpha_i} + \frac{G(\alpha_i)}{\alpha - \alpha_i} \right) d\alpha \\ &\leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)}) + \text{PV} \int_0^1 cp(\alpha) \frac{d^4}{d\alpha^4} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_i} \right) d\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the differentiability of $G(\alpha)$. For the last term in (5.22), since α_i is the singular point, we do variable substitution to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{PV} \int_0^1 cp(\alpha) \frac{d^4}{d\alpha^4} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_i} \right) d\alpha \\ &= \text{PV} \int_{-\alpha_i}^{1-\alpha_i} cp(\alpha + \alpha_i) \frac{d^4}{d\alpha^4} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) d\alpha \\ &= \text{PV} \int_{-\alpha_i}^{\alpha_i} cp(\alpha + \alpha_i) \frac{1}{\alpha^5} d\alpha + \int_{\alpha_i}^{1-\alpha_i} cp(\alpha + \alpha_i) \frac{1}{\alpha^5} d\alpha \\ &= \int_{\alpha_i}^{1-\alpha_i} cp(\alpha + \alpha_i) \frac{1}{\alpha^5} d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used

$$\bar{B}_4 \left[\frac{\alpha + \alpha_i}{a} \right] = \bar{B}_4 \left[\frac{\alpha}{a} \right],$$

375 due to $\frac{\alpha_i}{a}$ is integer. Since $\bar{B}_4(x)$ is even, $cp(\alpha + \alpha_i) \frac{1}{\alpha^5}$ is odd, so the Cauchy principal value integral

376 $\text{PV} \int_{-\alpha_i}^{\alpha_i} cp(\alpha + \alpha_i) \frac{1}{\alpha^5} d\alpha$ is zero.

377 Hence we get

$$(5.23) \quad |e_2| \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)}).$$

On the other hand, (5.18) and (5.21) show that

$$K_1 + K_2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{B_2}{2} \phi_\alpha(0) \left(\frac{1}{(kL - \phi(\alpha_i))^2} - \frac{1}{(L + kL - \phi(\alpha_i))^2} \right) = 0.$$

Denote $e := \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq 0}} e_1(k) + e_2$. Combining the calculations for T_1 and T_2 , we obtain

$$\text{PV} \int_0^1 \frac{\pi}{L} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{L}(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i))\right) d\alpha = \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^N a \frac{\pi}{L} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{L}(\phi_j - \phi(\alpha_i))\right) - \frac{a}{2} \frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} \Big|_{\alpha_i} + ea^4,$$

378 with $|e| \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$. This concludes (5.15) and $|R_{1,i}| \leq a^4 C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$. \square

Notice that change of variable from h to ϕ does not effect the Cauchy principal value integral and that $h_x < 0$. Then similar to (3.37), by (4.4) and variable substitution, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{PV} \int_0^1 \frac{\pi}{L} \cot \left(\frac{\pi}{L} (\phi(\alpha_i) - \phi(\alpha)) \right) d\alpha = - \text{PV} \int_0^1 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i) - kL} d\alpha \\
& = - \text{PV} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\alpha_i)} d\alpha = \text{PV} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{h_x}{x - \phi_i} dx \\
& = \text{PV} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{L}{2} + kL}^{\frac{L}{2} + kL} \frac{h_x}{x - \phi_i} dx = \frac{\pi}{L} \text{PV} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} h_x \cot \left(\frac{x - \phi_i}{L} \pi \right) dx \\
& = - \pi H(h_x)|_{\phi_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

379 This, combined with Lemma 5.3, leads to

380 **Lemma 5.6.** *Let $I_{1,i}$ be defined in (5.9) and v_1 be function of α defined as*

$$(5.24) \quad v_1(\alpha; \phi) := -\frac{\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{L\phi_{\alpha}^2}.$$

381 *Then we have*

$$(5.25) \quad I_{1,i} = -\frac{2\pi}{L} H(h_x) \Big|_{\phi_i} + v_1(\alpha_i; \phi)a + R_{1,i},$$

382 *with $|R_{1,i}| \leq a^4 C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$.*

383 We now turn to estimate $I_{3,i}$.

384 **Lemma 5.7.** *Let $I_{3,i}$ be defined in (5.9) and v_3 be function of α defined as*

$$(5.26) \quad v_3(\alpha; \phi) := \frac{-\frac{5}{2}\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^3 - \frac{1}{4}\phi_{\alpha}^2\phi^{(4)} + 2\phi_{\alpha}\phi_{\alpha\alpha}\phi^{(3)}}{\phi_{\alpha}^6}.$$

385 *Then we have*

$$(5.27) \quad I_{3,i} = 3h_{xx}h_x|_{\phi_i} + v_3(\alpha_i; \phi)a^2 + R_{3,i},$$

386 *where $|R_{3,i}| \leq a^4 C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$.*

387 *Proof.* Using (2.10) and Taylor expansion, it is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3,i} &= a^2 \left(\frac{1}{(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)^3} - \frac{1}{(\phi_i - \phi_{i-1})^3} \right) \\
&= \frac{\frac{2\phi_i - \phi_{i+1} - \phi_{i-1}}{a^2}}{\left(\frac{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i}{a}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}}{a}\right)^3} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}}{a}\right) \left(\frac{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i}{a}\right) \right) \\
&= \frac{\left(-\phi_{\alpha\alpha,i} - \frac{1}{12}\phi_i^{(4)}a^2 - \frac{1}{6!}(\phi^{(6)}(\xi^+) + \phi^{(6)}(\xi^-))a^4 \right) \left(3\phi_{\alpha,i}^2 + B_{1,i}a^2 + B_{2,i}a^4 \right)}{\phi_{\alpha,i}^6 + C_{1,i}a^2 + C_{2,i}a^4} \\
&= \left(-\frac{3\phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^4} \right)_i + \left[\frac{-\frac{5}{2}\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^3 - \frac{1}{4}\phi_\alpha^2\phi^{(4)} + 2\phi_\alpha\phi_{\alpha\alpha}\phi^{(3)}}{\phi_\alpha^6} \right]_i a^2 + C_{3,i}a^4 \\
&= (3h_{xx}h_x)_i + \left[\frac{-\frac{5}{2}\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^3 - \frac{1}{4}\phi_\alpha^2\phi^{(4)} + 2\phi_\alpha\phi_{\alpha\alpha}\phi^{(3)}}{\phi_\alpha^6} \right]_i a^2 + C_{3,i}a^4,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{1,i} &= (\phi_\alpha\phi^{(3)} + \frac{1}{4}\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^2)_i, \quad |B_{2,i}| \leq c, \\
C_{1,i} &= \left(-\frac{3}{4}\phi_\alpha^4\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^2 + \phi_\alpha^5\phi^{(3)}\right)_i, \quad |C_{2,i}| \leq c, \quad |C_{3,i}| \leq c.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$v_3(\alpha; \phi) := \frac{-\frac{5}{2}\phi_{\alpha\alpha}^3 - \frac{1}{4}\phi_\alpha^2\phi^{(4)} + 2\phi_\alpha\phi_{\alpha\alpha}\phi^{(3)}}{\phi_\alpha^6}.$$

388 We conclude the proof of lemma 5.7. □

389 Denote

$$(5.28) \quad A(x; h) := \left(-\frac{2\pi}{L}H(h_x) + 3h_{xx}h_x + \frac{h_{xx}}{h_x} \right)(x),$$

and

$$R_{4,i} := R_{1,i} + R_{2,i} + R_{3,i}.$$

390 The above three lemmas yield

391 **Lemma 5.8.** For \bar{f}_i defined in (5.2), v_1 defined in (5.24), v_2 defined in (5.10), and v_3 defined in
392 (5.26), we have

$$(5.29) \quad \bar{f}_i = A(\phi_i; h) + v_1(\alpha_i; \phi)a + (v_2 + v_3)(\alpha_i; \phi)a^2 + R_{4,i},$$

393 where $|R_{4,i}| \leq a^4C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$.

394 Now we are ready to proof the main result of this section, Theorem 5.1.

395 *Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Step 1. To calculate F_i in (5.7), by (5.29) in Lemma 5.8, we first need to
 396 calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{A_{i+1} - A_i}{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i} - \frac{A_i - A_{i-1}}{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}} \\
 (5.30) \quad &= A_{xx,i} \frac{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_{i-1}}{2} + A_{xxx,i} \frac{(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_{i-1})(\phi_{i+1} + \phi_{i-1} - 2\phi_i)}{3!} + r_{1,i}a^4 \\
 &= -\phi_{\alpha,i}A_{xx,i}a + r_2(\alpha_i; \phi)a^3 + r_{3,i}a^4,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $|r_{1,i}|, |r_{3,i}| \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$ and

$$r_2(\alpha; \phi) := \left(-\frac{1}{3}\phi^{(3)}(A_{xx} \circ \phi) - 2\phi_\alpha \phi_{\alpha\alpha} \right)(\alpha).$$

Second, for any smooth function $v(\alpha)$ respect to α , notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{i+1} - v_i &= v_{\alpha,i}(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i) + \frac{1}{2}v_{\alpha\alpha,i}(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}v_i^{(3)}(\xi^+)(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)^3, \\
 v_{i-1} - v_i &= v_{\alpha,i}(\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) + \frac{1}{2}v_{\alpha\alpha,i}(\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}v_i^{(3)}(\xi^-)(\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i)^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

397 Then for other terms in (5.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{v_{i+1} - v_i}{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i} - \frac{v_i - v_{i-1}}{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}} \\
 &= \frac{v_{i+1} - v_i}{\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i} \frac{h_{i+1} - h_i}{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i} - \frac{v_i - v_{i-1}}{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}} \frac{h_i - h_{i-1}}{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}} \\
 (5.31) \quad &= \left[v_{\alpha,i} - \frac{1}{2}v_{\alpha\alpha,i}a + \frac{1}{3!}v^{(3)}(\xi^+)a^2 \right] \left[h_{x,i} + h_{xx,i} \frac{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i}{2} + \frac{1}{3!}h_{xxx}(\eta^+)(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)^2 \right] \\
 &\quad - \left[v_{\alpha,i} + \frac{1}{2}v_{\alpha\alpha,i}a + \frac{1}{3!}v^{(3)}(\xi^-)a^2 \right] \left[h_{x,i} - h_{xx,i} \frac{\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}}{2} + \frac{1}{3!}h_{xxx}(\eta^-)(\phi_i - \phi_{i-1})^2 \right] \\
 &= r_4(\alpha_i; \phi)a + r_{5,i}a^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $|r_{5,i}| \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$, $\eta^+ \in [\phi_i, \phi_{i+1}]$, $\eta^- \in [\phi_{i-1}, \phi_i]$ and

$$r_4(\alpha; \phi) := \left(\frac{v_\alpha \phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} - \frac{v_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha} \right)(\alpha).$$

398 Denote

$$(5.32) \quad r_0(\alpha; \phi) := \left(\frac{v_{1\alpha} \phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} - \frac{v_{1\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha} \right)(\alpha),$$

399 and

$$(5.33) \quad r(\alpha; \phi) := \left(\frac{v_{2\alpha} \phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} - \frac{v_{2\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha} + \frac{v_{3\alpha} \phi_{\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha^2} - \frac{v_{3\alpha\alpha}}{\phi_\alpha} \right)(\alpha) + r_2(\alpha; \phi).$$

400 Thus for F_i in (5.7), combining (5.30) and (5.31), we get

$$(5.34) \quad \begin{aligned} F_i &= -\frac{A_{xx}}{h_x}(\phi_i) + r_0(\alpha_i; \phi)a + r(\alpha_i; \phi)a^2 + \frac{R_{4,i+1} - 2R_{4,i} + R_{4,i-1}}{a^2}(h_x(\phi_i) + r_{6,i}a) \\ &= -\frac{A_{xx}}{h_x}(\phi_i) + r_0(\alpha_i; \phi)a + r(\alpha_i; \phi)a^2 + R_{5,i}a^2, \end{aligned}$$

401 where $|r_{6,i}| \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$, $A(x; h)$ defined in (5.28). To obtain $|R_{5,i}| \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$,
402 here we also used $|R_{4,i}| \leq a^4 C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$ due to Lemma 5.8.

Denote

$$(5.35) \quad R_i := r(\alpha_i; \phi) + R_{5,i}.$$

403 For a small enough, we have $|R_i| \leq |r(\alpha_i; \phi)| + |R_{5,i}| \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$. Finally, comparing
404 (5.34) with (5.8), we conclude (5.4).

405 Step 2. Now using (5.4) and Lemma 5.8, we can claim

$$(5.36) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{f}_i \left(F_i - \frac{d\phi_i}{dt} \right) \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)}),$$

406 where $C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})$ depends on $\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)}$.

From (5.36), multiplying \bar{f}_i in (5.4) and summation by parts show that

$$\frac{dE^N(\phi)}{dt} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\left(\bar{f}_{i+1}(\phi) - \bar{f}_i(\phi) \right)^2}{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i} \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})a,$$

Then by (5.6), we have

$$\frac{dE^N(\phi)}{dt} + a \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\bar{f}_{i+1}(\phi) - \bar{f}_i(\phi)}{a} \right)^2 \leq C(\beta, \|h(0)\|_{W^{7,2}(I)})a,$$

407 which completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

408

6. CONVERGENCE AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

409 In this section, our goal is to prove Theorem 1.2. The main idea is to first construct an auxiliary
410 solution with high-order consistency (see Section 6.2), and then prove the convergence rate for the
411 auxiliary solution, which helps us obtain the convergence rate for the original PDE solution.

412 **6.1. Stability of linearized x -ODE.** First of all, we devote to study the stability of linearized
 413 ODE, which is important when we estimate the convergence rate for the auxiliary solution. The
 414 procedure here is analogous to the stability result of linearized ϕ -PDE; see Section 3.1.

415 For vector x, y satisfying (4.2), set $x = y + \varepsilon z$. We also assume $y_i(t) = \phi(\alpha_i, t)$, and ϕ is the
 416 solution of (2.13) satisfying (3.27) and (3.28). Denote

$$(6.1) \quad M_i = \frac{1}{y_{i+1} - y_i} + \frac{a^2}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^3} - \frac{1}{y_i - y_{i-1}} - \frac{a^2}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^3} - \frac{2}{L} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a}{y_j - y_i},$$

417 and

$$(6.2) \quad T_i = -\frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^2} - 3a^2 \frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^4} + \frac{z_i - z_{i-1}}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^2} + 3a^2 \frac{z_i - z_{i-1}}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^4} + \frac{2}{L} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a(z_j - z_i)}{(y_j - y_i)^2}.$$

Then z satisfies the following linearized equation

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} z_i = \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{T_{i+1} - T_i}{y_{i+1} - y_i} - \frac{T_i - T_{i-1}}{y_i - y_{i-1}} \right) - \frac{1}{a} \left[\frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^2} (M_{i+1} - M_i) - \frac{z_i - z_{i-1}}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^2} (M_i - M_{i-1}) \right].$$

418 **Proposition 6.1.** Assume $z(0) \in \ell^2$ and $m_1, m_2 > 0$ defined in (3.28). Let $T_m > 0$ be the maximal
 419 existence time for strong solution ϕ in (3.27). The linearized equation (6.3) is stable in the sense

$$(6.4) \quad \|z(t)\|_{\ell^2} \leq C(m_1, m_2, T_m) \|z(0)\|_{\ell^2}, \text{ for } t \in [0, T_m],$$

420 where $C(m_1, m_2, T_m)$ is a constant depending only on m_1, m_2 , and T_m .

Proof. Step 1. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2, first we study the linearized system for (4.2) without the Hilbert transform term $-\frac{2}{L} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a}{x_j - x_i}$. Thus M_i, T_i in (6.1) and (6.2) become

$$M_i = \frac{1}{y_{i+1} - y_i} + \frac{a^2}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^3} - \frac{1}{y_i - y_{i-1}} - \frac{a^2}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^3},$$

and

$$T_i = -\frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^2} - 3a^2 \frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^4} + \frac{z_i - z_{i-1}}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^2} + 3a^2 \frac{z_i - z_{i-1}}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^4}.$$

Since $z_{i+N} = z_i$, multiplying both sides of (6.3) by az_i and taking summation by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^N az_i \dot{z}_i &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{y_{i+1} - y_i} (T_{i+1} - T_i) + \sum_{i=1}^N (z_{i+1} - z_i) \frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^2} (M_{i+1} - M_i) \\
&= -a \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{a} \frac{\frac{T_{i+1}}{y_{i+1} - y_i} - \frac{T_i}{y_i - y_{i-1}}}{a} - a \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{a} \frac{\frac{T_i}{y_i - y_{i-1}} - \frac{T_i}{y_{i+1} - y_i}}{a} \\
&\quad + a \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{a} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\left(\frac{y_{i+1} - y_i}{a} \right)^2} \frac{M_{i+1} - M_i}{a} \\
&= I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we will estimate I_1, I_2, I_3 one by one. First, we deal with

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= -a \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{a} \frac{\frac{T_{i+1}}{y_{i+1} - y_i} - \frac{T_i}{y_i - y_{i-1}}}{a} \\
&= a \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{T_i}{\frac{y_i - y_{i-1}}{a}} \frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{a} - \frac{z_i - z_{i-1}}{a}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can see

$$\begin{aligned}
T_i &= a^2 \frac{z_{i+1} - 2z_i + z_{i-1}}{a^2} \left(-\frac{1}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^2} - \frac{3a^2}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^4} \right) \\
&\quad + a \left[-\frac{1}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^2} - \frac{3a^2}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^4} + \frac{1}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^2} + \frac{3a^2}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^4} \right] \frac{z_i - z_{i-1}}{a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to Young's inequality, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{a} \right)^2 &= -a \sum_{i=1}^N z_i \frac{z_{i+1} - 2z_i + z_{i-1}}{a^2} \\
(6.5) \quad &\leq a \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{4\varepsilon} z_i^2 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{z_{i+1} - 2z_i + z_{i-1}}{a^2} \right)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Besides, due to $y_i(t) = \phi(\alpha_i, t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a \left[-\frac{1}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^2} - \frac{3a^2}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^4} + \frac{1}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^2} + \frac{3a^2}{(y_i - y_{i-1})^4} \right] \frac{a}{y_i - y_{i-1}} &\leq C_0(m_1, m_2), \\
\left(-\frac{1}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^2} - \frac{3a^2}{(y_{i+1} - y_i)^4} \right) a^2 \frac{a}{y_i - y_{i-1}} &\leq -C(m_2)
\end{aligned}$$

421 for a small enough.

Then for I_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= a \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{T_i}{\frac{y_i - y_{i-1}}{a}} \frac{\frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{a} - \frac{z_i - z_{i-1}}{a}}{a} \\ &\leq C_1(m_1, m_2)a \sum_i z_i^2 - \frac{3}{4}C(m_2)a \sum_i \left(\frac{z_{i+1} - 2z_i + z_{i-1}}{a^2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us keep in mind that functions, such as M_i , involving only $\frac{y_{i+1} - y_i}{a}$ can be bounded by a constant depending only on m_1, m_2 . Then similar to the estimate for I_1 , together with (6.5), we have

$$I_2 \leq C_2(m_1, m_2)a \sum_i z_i^2 + \frac{1}{4}C(m_2)a \sum_i \left(\frac{z_{i+1} - 2z_i + z_{i-1}}{a^2} \right)^2,$$

and

$$I_3 \leq C_3(m_1, m_2)a \sum_i z_i^2 + \frac{1}{4}C(m_2)a \sum_i \left(\frac{z_{i+1} - 2z_i + z_{i-1}}{a^2} \right)^2.$$

422 Here $C_i(m_1, m_2)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are positive constants depending only on m_1, m_2 .

Combining estimates for I_1, I_2, I_3 , we have

$$\frac{d\|z(t)\|_{\ell^2}^2}{dt} + \frac{1}{4}C(m_2)a \sum_i \left(\frac{z_{i+1} - 2z_i + z_{i-1}}{a^2} \right)^2 \leq C(m_1, m_2)\|z\|_{\ell^2}^2.$$

423 Then Grönwall's inequality yields (6.4).

424 Step 2. Now we consider Hilbert transform term $-\frac{2}{L} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a}{x_j - x_i}$. Then the terms M_i, T_i in (6.3)
425 become (6.1) and (6.2).

426 First Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.6 show that $\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a}{y_j - y_i}$ can be estimated by $C(m_1, m_2)$ and
427 $\text{PV} \int_0^1 \cot \frac{\pi}{L} (\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta)) d\beta$.

428 Second, from the proof of Lemma 5.3, we know $a \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{z_j - z_i}{(y_j - y_i)^2}$ can be estimated by $C(m_1, m_2)$
429 and $\text{PV} \int_0^1 \sec^2 \frac{(\phi(\alpha) - \phi(\beta))\pi}{L} (\psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta)) d\beta$, where ψ is the piecewise-cubic interpolant of z .

430 Then using the same arguments in step 2 of the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can conclude
431 (6.4). □

432 **6.2. Construction of solution with high-order truncation error.** From now on, we proceed
433 under the same hypothesis of Theorem 1.2, i.e. we assume for some $\beta < 0$, the initial datum $h(0)$
434 smooth enough and satisfies

$$(6.6) \quad h_x(0) \leq \beta < 0.$$

435 By Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.5, for some constant $m \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, we know there
 436 exists $T_m > 0$, such that

$$(6.7) \quad \phi(\alpha, t) \in C([0, T_m]; C^m[0, 1])$$

437 is the strong solution to (2.13). Obviously, there exist $M > 0$, whose values depend only on β and
 438 $\|h(0)\|_{W^{m,2}}$, such that

$$(6.8) \quad \phi_\alpha \leq \frac{\beta}{2} < 0, \quad |\phi^{(i)}| \leq M, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Recalling equation (2.13), we define $F(\phi) : C^\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow C^\infty[0, 1]$ as an operator

$$F(\phi) := -\partial_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha} \left(\frac{\delta E}{\delta \phi} \right)_\alpha \right).$$

439 Then we have

$$(6.9) \quad \phi_t = F(\phi).$$

For F_i defined in (5.7), denote

$$F_N := \{F_i, i = 1, \dots, N\}, \quad r_N(\phi) := \{r_0(\alpha_i; \phi), i = 1, \dots, N\},$$

where $r_0(\alpha; \phi)$ is the function defined in (5.3). Then for $\phi_N = \{\phi_i, i = 1, \dots, N\}$, Theorem 5.1
 shows that

$$\dot{\phi}_N = F_N(\phi_N) + r_N(\phi)a + O(a^2).$$

440 Now we want to construct $y = \phi + a\psi$, for ψ satisfying the same regularity with ϕ , such that y
 441 has a higher truncation error than ϕ . In fact, we state

442 **Proposition 6.2.** *Let $T_m > 0$ in (6.7) and ϕ be the solution of (6.9). Then there exists ψ smooth
 443 enough such that $\|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2([0,1])}$ is uniformly bounded for $t \in [0, T_m]$, and*

$$(6.10) \quad y(\alpha, t) = \phi(\alpha, t) + a\psi(\alpha, t)$$

444 *satisfies the ODE system (4.2) till order $O(a^7)$, i.e. the nodal values $y_N = \{y(\alpha_i, t), i = 1, \dots, N\}$
 445 satisfy*

$$(6.11) \quad \dot{y}_N = F_N(y_N) + O(a^7).$$

446 *Proof.* To simplify the calculation, first we show there exists ψ such that

$$(6.12) \quad \dot{y}_N = F_N(y_N) + O(a^2).$$

For $y_N = \phi_N + a\psi_N$, where ψ_N is the nodal values of ψ , Theorem 5.1 shows that

$$F_N(y_N) = F_N(\phi_N + a\psi_N) = F(\phi + a\psi)|_{\alpha=\alpha_i} - r_N(\phi + a\psi)a - O(a^2).$$

Hence y_N satisfies

$$\dot{y}_N - F_N(y_N) = a\dot{\psi}_N + [F(\phi) - F(\phi + a\psi)]|_{\alpha=\alpha_i} + r_N(\phi + a\psi)a + O(a^2).$$

447 Now by Proposition 3.2, we can choose ψ to be the solution of (6.9)'s linearized system

$$(6.13) \quad \psi_t = -\partial_\alpha \left(-\frac{\psi_\alpha}{\phi_\alpha^2} \partial_\alpha A + \frac{\partial_\alpha B}{\phi_\alpha} \right) - r_0(\phi),$$

448 where A, B are defined in (3.29) and (3.30). After that, (6.12) holds.

449 To obtain higher order truncation error construction, we can repeat above processes to get higher
450 order corrections. We omit the details here. \square

451 **6.3. Convergence of ODE and PDE system.** In this section, we will combine above results
452 and complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

453 *Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Assume ϕ is the strong solution of (2.13) satisfying (6.7) and (6.8) with
454 maximal existence time $T_m > 0$. Let β, M be constants in equation (6.8). Recall vector $x(t) =$
455 $\{x_i(t); i = 1, \dots, N\}$ is the solution of (4.2), and with slight abuse of notation, denote $y(t) :=$
456 $\{y(\alpha_i, t); i = 1, \dots, N\}$ being the constructed vector value function y_N in Proposition 6.2. We will
457 first obtain the convergence rate for x, y in Step 1, 2, and then obtain the convergence rate for x, ϕ
458 in Step 3.

459 Step 1. We first claim that under the *a-priori* assumption

$$(6.14) \quad \|x(t) - y(t)\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq a^{6+\frac{1}{3}}, \text{ for } t \in [0, T_m],$$

460 we have

$$(6.15) \quad \|x(t) - y(t)\|_{\ell^2} \leq C(\beta, M, T_m)a^7, \text{ for } t \in [0, T_m],$$

461 where $C(\beta, M, T_m)$ is a constant depending only on β, M, T_m . We will verify the *a-priori* assump-
462 tion (6.14) in Step 2.

In fact, from Proposition 6.2, we know y has a^7 -order consistence error, i.e.

$$\frac{d(y-x)}{dt} = F_N(y) - F_N(x) + O(a^7).$$

Denote the inner product for x, y as

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^N ax_i y_i.$$

463 Then for β, M defined in (6.8), we have

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle x-y, \dot{x}-\dot{y} \rangle &= \langle x-y, \nabla F_N(y)(x-y) \rangle + \langle x-y, (x-y)\nabla^2 F_N(y)(x-y)^T \rangle \\ &\quad + C(\beta, M)\langle x-y, a^7 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

464 where $C(\beta, M)$ depends only on β, M .

465 For the second term in (6.16), we can see

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle x-y, (x-y)\nabla^2 F_N(y)(x-y)^T \rangle \\ &\leq \|x-y\|_{\ell^2} \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^N (x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j) \partial_{ij} F_N \right\|_{\ell^2} \\ &\leq \|x-y\|_{\ell^2}^2 \|x-y\|_{\ell^\infty} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^N \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=1}^N (\partial_{ij} F_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2} \\ &\leq \|x-y\|_{\ell^2}^2 \|x-y\|_{\ell^\infty} N \max_k \left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N (\partial_{ij} F_k)^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

466 where we used Hölder's inequality in the last step.

Now keep in mind that functions involving only $\frac{y_{i+1}-y_i}{a}$ can be bounded by a constant depending only on β, M , and that

$$\left| \frac{1}{y_j - y_i} \right| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{y_{i+1} - y_i}, \frac{1}{y_i - y_{i-1}} \right\}.$$

467 We can start to estimate the term $\max_k \left(\sqrt{\sum_i \sum_j (\partial_{ij} F_k)^2} \right)$.

For $k = 1, \dots, N$, denote

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k &:= \frac{1}{y_{k+1} - y_k} \left[- \sum_{\ell \neq k+1} \frac{a}{y_\ell - y_{k+1}} + \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{a}{y_\ell - y_k} + \left(\frac{1}{y_{k+2} - y_{k+1}} - 2 \frac{1}{y_{k+1} - y_k} + \frac{1}{y_k - y_{k-1}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{a^2}{(y_{k+2} - y_{k+1})^3} - 2 \frac{a^2}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^3} + \frac{a^2}{(y_k - y_{k-1})^3} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $F_k = \frac{1}{a}(Q_k - Q_{k-1})$, and

$$(\partial_{ij}F_k)^2 \leq \frac{1}{a}[(\partial_{ij}Q_k)^2 + (\partial_{ij}Q_{k-1})^2].$$

First calculate $\partial_i Q_k$, for $k = 1, \dots, N$.

$$\partial_i Q_k = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{y_{k+1} - y_k} \left[\frac{1}{(y_i - y_{k+1})^2} - \frac{1}{(y_i - y_k)^2} \right], & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-2, \\ & k+3 \leq i \leq N; \\ \frac{a}{y_{k+1} - y_k} \left[\frac{1}{(y_{k-1} - y_{k+1})^2} - \frac{1}{(y_{k-1} - y_k)^2} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{y_{k+1} - y_k} \frac{1}{(y_k - y_{k-1})^2} + \frac{1}{y_{k+1} - y_k} \frac{3a^2}{(y_k - y_{k-1})^4}, & \text{for } i = k-1; \\ \frac{a}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^3} - \frac{4}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^3} - \frac{8a^2}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^5} \\ - \frac{y_{k+1} - 2y_k + y_{k-1}}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^2(y_k - y_{k-1})^2} - a^2 \frac{3(y_{k+1} - y_k) - (y_k - y_{k-1})}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^2(y_k - y_{k-1})^4}, & \text{for } i = k; \\ - \frac{a}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^3} + \frac{4}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^3} + \frac{8a^2}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^5} \\ + \frac{y_{k+2} - 2y_{k+1} + y_k}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^2(y_{k+2} - y_{k+1})^2} + a^2 \frac{(y_{k+2} - y_{k+1}) - 3(y_{k+1} - y_k)}{(y_{k+1} - y_k)^2(y_{k+2} - y_{k+1})^4}, & \text{for } i = k+1; \\ \frac{a}{y_{k+1} - y_k} \left[\frac{1}{(y_{k+2} - y_{k+1})^2} - \frac{1}{(y_{k+2} - y_k)^2} \right] \\ - \frac{1}{y_{k+1} - y_k} \frac{1}{(y_{k+2} - y_{k+1})^2} - \frac{1}{y_{k+1} - y_k} \frac{3a^2}{(y_{k+2} - y_{k+1})^4}, & \text{for } i = k+2. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\partial_{ij}Q_k = \begin{pmatrix} j=1 & \cdots & k-2 & k-1 & j=k & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & N-1 & j=N \\ i=1 & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \mathbf{0} & \ddots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ k-2 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & 0 & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \\ k-1 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \\ k & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \\ k+1 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \\ k+2 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & O(\frac{1}{a^4}) & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \\ k+3 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & 0 & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \ddots & \mathbf{0} \\ i=N & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) & 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & O(\frac{1}{a^3}) \end{pmatrix},$$

468 where $\{\partial_{ij}Q_k\}_{i,j=1,\dots,k-2}$ and $\{\partial_{ij}Q_k\}_{i,j=k+3,\dots,N}$ are diagonal matrixes with $O(\frac{1}{a^3})$ main diagonal
469 entries and the bold zeros $\mathbf{0}$ represent zero matrices with corresponding dimensions.

For Q_{k-1} , we have a similar Hessian matrix. Notice that only three terms in one row are nonzero and that only at most four terms in one column are order $\frac{1}{a^4}$. Hence for a small enough, we have

$$\max_k \left(\sqrt{\sum_i \sum_j (\partial_{ij}F_k)^2} \right) \leq C(\beta, M) \frac{1}{a^5}.$$

470 where $C(\beta, M)$ is a constant depending only on β, M .

Then from (6.17) and the *a-priori* condition (6.14), we have

$$\langle x - y, (x - y) \nabla^2 F_N(y) (x - y)^T \rangle \leq C(\beta, M) a^{\frac{1}{3}} \|x - y\|_{\ell^2}^2.$$

Combining this with (6.16), together with linearized stability in Proposition 6.1, gives

$$\frac{d\|x - y\|_{\ell^2}^2}{dt} \leq C(\beta, M) \|x - y\|_{\ell^2}^2 + C(\beta, M) a^7 \|x - y\|_{\ell^2}.$$

471 Therefore by Grönwall's inequality, we obtain

$$(6.18) \quad \|x(t) - y(t)\|_{\ell^2} \leq C(\beta, M, T_m) (\|x(0) - y(0)\|_{\ell^2} + a^7), \text{ for } t \in [0, T_m],$$

472 where $C(\beta, M, T_m)$ is a constant depending only on β, M, T_m . We choose initial data of y such
473 that $y(0) = x(0)$, so (6.18) leads to (6.15).

Step 2. Now we need to verify the *a-priori* assumption (6.14) is true for $t \in [0, T_m]$. In fact,

$$\|x(t) - y(t)\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq \frac{\|x(t) - y(t)\|_{\ell^2}}{\sqrt{a}} \leq C(\beta, M, T_m) a^{7-\frac{1}{2}} \ll a^{6+\frac{1}{3}},$$

474 for a small enough, $t \in [0, T_m]$. Hence (6.15) actually verifies the *a-priori* condition (6.14).

Step 3. For the exact strong solution ϕ of (2.13), recall the nodal values $\phi_N = \{\phi_i, i = 1, \dots, N\}$.

By Proposition 6.2, we know that the constructed function y in (6.10) satisfies

$$\|y(t) - \phi_N(t)\|_{\ell^2} = \|a\psi_N(t)\|_{\ell^2} \leq ca, \text{ for } t \in [0, T_m],$$

475 where we used $\psi(t)$, defined in Proposition 6.2, is uniformly bounded. This, together with (6.15),

476 shows that

$$(6.19) \quad \|x(t) - \phi_N(t)\|_{\ell^2} \leq \|x(t) - y(t)\|_{\ell^2} + \|y(t) - \phi_N(t)\|_{\ell^2} \leq C(\beta, M, T_m)a, \text{ for } t \in [0, T_m],$$

477 where $C(\beta, M, T_m)$ is a constant depending only on β, M, T_m . This completes the proof of the

478 Theorem 1.2. □

479

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

480 We would like to thank the support by the National Science Foundation under grants DMS-
481 1514826 (JGL), DMS-1454939 (JL), and also through the research network KI-Net RNMS11-07444.

482 We thank Dionisios Margetis, Jeremy Marzuola, Yang Xiang, Aaron N.K. Yip for helpful discus-
483 sions.

REFERENCES

484

- 485 [1] H. Al Hajj Shehadeh, R. V. Kohn and J. Weare, The evolution of a crystal surface: Analysis of a one-dimensional
486 step train connecting two facets in the adl regime, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* 240 (2011), no. 21, 1771–
487 1784.
- 488 [2] W. K. Burton, N. Cabrera and F. C. Frank, The growth of crystals and the equilibrium structure of their surfaces,
489 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*
490 243 (1951), no. 866, 299–358.
- 491 [3] P. L. Butzer and R. J. Nessel, *Fourier analysis and approximation*, vol. 40, Academic Press, 2011.
- 492 [4] G. Dal Maso, I. Fonseca and G. Leoni, Analytical validation of a continuum model for epitaxial growth with
493 elasticity on vicinal surfaces, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 212 (2014), no. 3, 1037–1064.
- 494 [5] C. Duport, P. Nozieres, and J. Villain, New instability in molecular beam epitaxy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74 (1995),
495 pp. 134–137.
- 496 [6] C. Duport, P. Politi, and J. Villain, Growth instabilities induced by elasticity in a vicinal surface, *J. Phys. I*
497 *France*, 5 (1995), pp. 1317–1350.
- 498 [7] W. E and N. K. Yip, Continuum theory of epitaxial crystal growth. I, *Journal Statistical Physics* 104 (2001),
499 no. 1-2, 221–253.
- 500 [8] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations (Graduate Studies in Mathematics vol 19)*, Providence, RI: American
501 *Mathematical Society*, 1998.
- 502 [9] I. Fonseca, G. Leoni and X. Y. Lu, Regularity in time for weak solutions of a continuum model for epitaxial
503 growth with elasticity on vicinal surfaces, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* 40 (2015), no. 10,
504 1942–1957.
- 505 [10] T. Funaki and H. Spohn, Motion by Mean Curvature from the Ginzburg-Landau Interface Model, *Comm. Math.*
506 *Phys.* 185 (1997) 1–36.
- 507 [11] M. Grinfeld, Instability of the separation boundary between a nonhydrostatically stressed elastic body and a
508 melt, *Soviet Physics Doklady*, 1986, 831–834.
- 509 [12] M. Z. Guo, G. C. Papanicolaou, and S. R. S. Varadhan, Nonlinear diffusion limit for a system with nearest
510 neighbor interactions, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 118 (1988) 31–59.
- 511 [13] F. Liu, J. Tersoff and M. Lagally, Self-organization of steps in growth of strained films on vicinal substrates,
512 *Physical Review Letters* 80 (1998), no. 6, 1268.
- 513 [14] J. Lu, J.-G. Liu and D. Margetis, Emergence of step flow from an atomistic scheme of epitaxial growth in 1+1
514 dimensions, *Physical Review E* 91 (2015), no. 3, 032403.
- 515 [15] T. Luo, Y. Xiang and N. K. Yip, Energy scaling and asymptotic properties of step bunching in epitaxial growth
516 with elasticity effects, *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation* 14 (2016), no. 2, 737–771.
- 517 [16] T. Luo, Y. Xiang and N. K. Yip, private communication.
- 518 [17] A. J. Majda and A. L. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and incompressible flow*, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- 519 [18] D. Margetis and R. V. Kohn, Continuum relaxation of interacting steps on crystal surfaces in 2 + 1 dimensions,
520 *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation* 5 (2006), no. 3, 729–758.

- 521 [19] D. Margetis, K. Nakamura, From crystal steps to continuum laws: Behavior near large facets in one dimension,
522 Physica D, 240 (2011), 1100–1110.
- 523 [20] J. L. Marzuola and J. Weare, Relaxation of a family of broken-bond crystal-surface models, Phys. Rev. E, 88
524 (2013), 032403.
- 525 [21] T. Nishikawa, Hydrodynamic limit for the Ginzburg-Landau $\nabla\phi$ interface model with a conservation law, J.
526 Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 9 (2002), 481–519.
- 527 [22] A. Pimpinelli and J. Villain, Physics of Crystal Growth, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1998.
- 528 [23] V. Shenoy and L. Freund, A continuum description of the energetics and evolution of stepped surfaces in strained
529 nanostructures, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 50 (2002), no. 9, 1817–1841.
- 530 [24] A. Sidi and M. Israeli, Quadrature methods for periodic singular and weakly singular fredholm integral equations,
531 Journal of Scientific Computing 3 (1988), no. 2, 201–231.
- 532 [25] D. J. Srolovitz, On the stability of surfaces of stressed solids, Acta Metallurgica 37 (1989), no. 2, 621–625.
- 533 [26] L.-H. Tang, Flattening of grooves: From step dynamics to continuum theory, Dynamics of crystal surfaces and
534 interfaces (1997), 169.
- 535 [27] J. Tersoff, Y. Phang, Z. Zhang and M. Lagally, Step-bunching instability of vicinal surfaces under stress, Physical
536 Review Letters 75 (1995), no. 14, 2730.
- 537 [28] J. D. Weeks and G. H. Gilmer, Dynamics of Crystal Growth, in Advances in Chemical Physics, Vol. 40, edited
538 by I. Prigogine and S. A. Rice (John Wiley, New York, 1979), pp. 157–228.
- 539 [29] Y. Xiang, Derivation of a continuum model for epitaxial growth with elasticity on vicinal surface, SIAM Journal
540 on Applied Mathematics 63 (2002), no. 1, 241–258.
- 541 [30] Y. Xiang and W. E, Misfit elastic energy and a continuum model for epitaxial growth with elasticity on vicinal
542 surfaces, Physical Review B 69 (2004), no. 3, 035409.
- 543 [31] H. Xu and Y. Xiang, Derivation of a continuum model for the long-range elastic interaction on stepped epitaxial
544 surfaces in $2 + 1$ dimensions, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 69 (2009), no. 5, 1393–1414.
- 545 [32] H.-T. Yau, Relative entropy and hydrodynamics of Ginzburg-Landau models, Lett. Math. Phys. 22 (1991), 63–80.
- 546 [33] A. Zangwill, Physics at Surfaces, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1988.

547 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, P. R. CHINA, DEPARTMENT OF
548 MATHEMATICS AND DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM NC 27708, USA

549 *E-mail address:* gaoyuan12@fudan.edu.cn

550 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM NC 27708, USA

551 *E-mail address:* jliu@phy.duke.edu

552 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, AND DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, DUKE UNIVER-
553 SITY, BOX 90320, DURHAM NC 27708, USA

554 *E-mail address:* jianfeng@math.duke.edu