

Deviation factors and a new approach to divergence problems in quantum electrodynamics

Lev Sakhnovich

Abstract

We present two closely connected approaches to the divergence problems in quantum electrodynamics. In our approaches we essentially use the ideas and results of the theory of generalized wave and scattering operators. Some basic results and notions from the theory of generalized wave operators are included. Important examples are considered. We show that the divergencies appeared in these examples because the deviations of the initial and final waves from the free waves were not taken into account.

Keywords: Divergence problem, generalized wave operator, deviation factor, power series, poly-logarithmic divergencies.

1 Introduction

The well-known divergences in the higher order approximations of the scattering matrices in quantum electrodynamics present an old and very important problem which was studied by many outstanding physicists. These divergencies appear when the expansions in the small parameter “ e ” are considered. In our paper, we try to answer the basic question which was formulated by J.R. Oppenheimer in the following form:

“Can the procedure be freed of the expansion in e and carried out rigorously?”

An explicit procedure (renormalization method) of removing divergencies in each term of the power series of the corresponding scattering matrix is given in the classical works of Dyson, Feynman, Schwinger, and Tomonaga

(see, e.g., an interesting book by S. Schweber [17] on this topic). This procedure was brilliantly (and with great accuracy) confirmed by various experiments but its theoretical explanation was still missing so far. Our approach provides such explanation in several important cases. In addition, we show that the diverging terms have physical meaning. Namely, they characterize the deviation of the initial and final waves from the free waves.

We consider two closely connected approaches to the formulated divergence problems.

1. *First approach.*

It is usually assumed in the scattering theory that the initial and final states of the system are free. However, in many important cases the initial and final states of the system cannot be regarded as free at $t = \pm\infty$. In these cases the theory of generalized wave and generalized scattering operators can be applied. In Section 2 (following [4,10,14,15]), we introduce the notions of the generalized wave operators, generalized scattering operators and deviation factors. The deviation factors describe the deviation of the initial and final states from the free state.

Remark 1.1 *In this paper, we restrict ourselves to the dynamical case. However, we note that the deviation factors and generalized scattering matrices are considered in [16] for the stationary scattering problems as well.*

2. *Second approach.*

As mentioned above, the higher order approximations of matrix elements of the scattering matrix (in quantum electrodynamics) contain integrals which diverge. In the second approach (see Section 3), we directly modify scattering matrices by the factors, which are analogs of the deviation factors from Section 2. We show that the divergencies do not appear in this case.

Thus, in our opinion, the divergencies have appeared in the theory because the deviations of the initial and final waves from the free waves were not taken into account. In particular, in various important cases poly-logarithmic divergencies in Feynman amplitudes have appeared [3]. These poly-logarithmic divergencies are considered in the second half of Section 3.

2 First approach to the divergence problems: generalized wave operators

Scattering theory is one of the most important domains both in physics and mathematics (see, e.g., references in several quite recent books [2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 18, 19]). This section contains an overview of [16, Sect. 2] and presents some basic notions and results on generalized wave operators and generalized scattering matrices. Consider linear (not necessarily bounded) self-adjoint operators A and A_0 acting in some Hilbert space H . The absolutely continuous subspace of the operator A_0 (i.e., the subspace corresponding to the absolutely continuous spectrum) is denoted by G_0 , and P_0 is the orthogonal projector on G_0 . Generalized wave operators $W_+(A, A_0)$ and $W_-(A, A_0)$ are introduced by the equality

$$W_{\pm}(A, A_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} [e^{iAt} e^{-iA_0 t} W_0(t)^{-1}] P_0, \quad (2.1)$$

where i is the imaginary unit and W_0 is an operator function taking operator values $W_0(t)$ acting in G_0 in the domain $|t| > R$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) for some $R \geq 0$.

More precisely, we have the following definition (see [14, 15]) of the generalized wave operators $W_{\pm}(A, A_0)$ and deviation factor W_0 .

Definition 2.1 *An operator function $W_0(t)$ is called a deviation factor and operators $W_{\pm}(A, A_0)$ are called generalized wave operators if the following conditions are fulfilled:*

1. *The operators $W_0(t)$ and $W_0(t)^{-1}$ acting in G_0 , are bounded for all t ($|t| > R$), and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} W_0(t + \tau) W_0(t)^{-1} P_0 = P_0, \quad \tau = \bar{\tau}. \quad (2.2)$$

2. *The following commutation relations hold for arbitrary values t and τ :*

$$W_0(t) A_0 P_0 = A_0 W_0(t) P_0, \quad W_0(t) W_0(t + \tau) P_0 = W_0(t + \tau) W_0(t) P_0. \quad (2.3)$$

3. *The limits $W_{\pm}(A, A_0)$ in (2.1) exist in the sense of strong convergence.*

If $W_0(t) \equiv I$ in G_0 , then the operators $W_{\pm}(A, A_0)$ are usual wave operators.

Definition 2.2 *The operators A and A_0 are called comparable in the generalized sense if the generalized wave operators $W_{\pm}(A, A_0)$ and $W_{\pm}(A_0, A)$ exist.*

Although the notion of the generalized wave operator was introduced in [4, 6, 10, 15], its description in the form of Definition 2.1 was given several years later in [5, 14].

Proposition 2.3 *Let conditions (2.1)–(2.3) be fulfilled. Then*

$$W_{\pm}(A, A_0)e^{iA_0t}P_0 = e^{iAt}W_{\pm}(A, A_0)P_0 \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.4 *Let conditions (2.1)–(2.3) be fulfilled. Then the generalized wave operators $W_{\pm}(A, A_0)$ are called complete if*

$$W_{\pm}(A, A_0)G_0 = G_A, \quad (2.5)$$

where G_A is the absolutely continuous subspace of the operator A .

The next assertion is contained in [16, Sect. 2].

Proposition 2.5 *Let conditions (2.1)–(2.3) be fulfilled. If the operator $W_+(A, A_0)$ is complete, then*

$$A_a W_+(A, A_0)f = W_+(A, A_0)A_{0,a}f, \quad f \in G_0, \quad (2.6)$$

where A_a and $A_{0,a}$ are the operators induced by A and A_0 in the spaces G_A and G_0 , respectively.

Clearly, the choice of the deviation factor is not unique.

Remark 2.6 *Let unitary operators C_- and C_+ satisfy commutation conditions $A_0 C_{\pm} = C_{\pm} A_0$. If $W_0(t)$ is a deviation factor, then the operator function given (for $t > 0$ and $t < 0$, respectively) by the equalities $W_+(t) = C_+ W_0(t)$ ($t > 0$), and $W_-(t) = C_- W_0(t)$ ($t < 0$) is the deviation factor as well.*

The choice of the operators $C_{\pm}$ is very important and is determined by specific physical problems. The definition below shows that generalized scattering operators also depend on the choice of $C_{\pm}$.

Definition 2.7 *The generalized scattering operator $S(A, A_0)$ has the form*

$$S(A, A_0) = W_+^*(A, A_0)W_-(A, A_0), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$W_\pm(A, A_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left(e^{iAt} e^{-iA_0 t} W_\pm(t)^{-1} \right) P_0. \quad (2.8)$$

In fact, operator functions $W_\pm(t)$ are uniquely determined up to some factors $C_\pm(t)$ tending to $C_\pm$ when t tends to ∞ or $-\infty$, respectively. This means that $S(A, A_0)$ is uniquely determined by the choice of $C_\pm$.

It is not difficult to prove that the operator $S(A, A_0)$ unitarily maps G_0 onto itself and that

$$A_0 S(A, A_0) P_0 = S(A, A_0) A_0 P_0. \quad (2.9)$$

Remark 2.8 *The free wave has the form $e^{iA_0 t}$. According to (2.1), the wave $e^{iA_0 t} W_0(t)$ is the final wave when $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and is the initial wave when $t \rightarrow -\infty$. So, the deviation factor $W_0(t)$ characterizes the deviation of the initial and final waves from the free wave.*

Remark 2.9 *In many important cases the deviation factor $W_0(t)$ has the form:*

$$W_0(t) = |t|^{iB_+} \quad \text{for } t > 0; \quad W_0(t) = |t|^{iB_-} \quad \text{for } t < 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where B_+ and B_- are linear self-adjoint operators.

In particular, the deviation factor $W_0(t)$ has the form (2.10) in the case of the Coulomb-type potential (see [13–15]).

Remark 2.10 *If the deviation factor $W_0(t)$ has the form (2.10), then the corresponding scattering operator has the logarithmic type divergence (see Section 3).*

3 Second approach to the divergence problems: power series

This is the main section of the paper, where we actively use ideas and results from the previous section.

1. A scattering matrix element $s(q)$ (of perturbed system), which is formally represented by the power series

$$s(q) = s(q, \varepsilon) = 1 + \varepsilon a_1(q) + \varepsilon^2 a_2(q) + \dots, \quad (3.1)$$

is one of the basic notions in quantum electrodynamics. In some important cases [1, 7], it is assumed that

$$a_2(q) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} a_2(q, L), \quad a_2(q, L) := \int_{\Omega} F(p, q) d^4 p, \quad (3.2)$$

where $p = [-ip_0, p_1, p_2, p_3]$, $q = [-iq_0, q_1, q_2, q_3]$, and $F(p, q)$ is a rational function. The invariant domain of integration Ω is the four dimensional sphere with the radius L . The problem is determined by the fact that the limit in (3.2) does not (usually) exist.

Example 3.1 *Consider the case*

$$a_2(q, L) = i(\phi(q) \ln L + \psi(q) + O(1/L)) \quad \text{for } L \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\phi(q) = \overline{\phi(q)}$ and $\psi(q) = \overline{\psi(q)}$. It is well known (see, e.g., [1, 7] and references therein) that condition (3.3) holds in many problems of collision of particles. According to (3.3), $a_2(q, L)$ diverges logarithmically, and so the coefficient $a_2(q)$ in the power series (3.1) is equal to infinity.

Now, introduce the power series $s(q, L)$ (of which $s(q)$ is a formal limit):

$$s(q, L) = 1 + \varepsilon a_1(q) + \varepsilon^2 a_2(q, L) + \dots \quad (3.4)$$

Let us study (instead of $s(q, L)$) the modified series

$$\tilde{s}(q, L) = (L^{-i\varepsilon^2 \phi(q)} s(q, L)). \quad (3.5)$$

Using (3.4) and (3.5) we have

$$\tilde{s}(q, L) = 1 + \varepsilon a_1(q) + \varepsilon^2 \tilde{a}_2(q, L) + \dots, \quad \tilde{a}_2(q, L) := a_2(q, L) - i\phi(q) \ln L. \quad (3.6)$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.6) that the coefficient $\tilde{a}_2(q, L)$ in power series (3.6) converges when $L \rightarrow \infty$. We emphasize that

$$|s(q, L)| = |\tilde{s}(q, L)|. \quad (3.7)$$

Remark 3.2 *The factor $U_0(q, L) = L^{i\varepsilon^2\phi(q)}$ is an analogue of the momentum representation of the deviation factor in the theory of generalized wave and scattering operators.*

Example 3.3 *The diverging coefficients $a_2(q, L)$ in irreducible diagrams have the asymptotics*

$$a_2(q, L) = \int_{\Omega} F(p, q) d^4p = i(\phi(q)L^2 + \psi(q)L + \nu(q) \ln L + \mu(q) + O(1/L)), \quad L \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\phi(q) = \overline{\phi(q)}$, $\psi(q) = \overline{\psi(q)}$, $\nu(q) = \overline{\nu(q)}$ and $\mu(q) = \overline{\mu(q)}$ (see, e.g., [1, Sections 46 and 47]).

In the case of irreducible diagrams, the factor $U_0(q, L)$ has the form

$$U_0(q, L) = e^{i\varepsilon^2(\phi(q)L^2 + \psi(q)L)} L^{i\varepsilon^2\nu(q)}. \quad (3.9)$$

We modify $s(q, L)$ of the form (3.4) and introduce $\tilde{s}(q, L)$ by the formula

$$\tilde{s}(q, L) = U_0(q, L)^{-1} s(q, L). \quad (3.10)$$

Hence (in the case of equalities (3.8) and (3.9)), instead of (3.6), we obtain the series expansion

$$\tilde{s}(q, L) = 1 + \varepsilon a_1(q) + \varepsilon^2 \tilde{a}_2(q, L) + \dots, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\tilde{a}_2(q, L) = a_2(q, L) - i(\phi(q)L^2 + \psi(q)L + \nu(q) \ln L). \quad (3.12)$$

where the coefficient $\tilde{a}_2(q, L)$ again converges when L tends to infinity.

Relation (3.7) holds for Example 3.3 as well. In the simplest subcase [1, 4] of Example 3.3, we have $\phi(q) = 0$, $\nu(q) = 0$, $\psi(q) = 1$, and the equality $U_0(q, L) = e^{i\varepsilon^2 L}$ follows.

2. *An important problem is a problem of divergencies in N coefficients ($N \in \mathbb{N}$). In this paragraph we consider approximations $s(q, L)$ (of the scattering element $s(q)$), which have the form*

$$s(q, L) = B(q)c(q, L), \quad B(q) = 1 + \varepsilon b_1(q) + \varepsilon^2 b_2(q) + \dots, \quad (3.13)$$

$$c(q, L) = 1 + \varepsilon a_1(q, L) + \varepsilon^2 a_2(q, L) + \dots, \quad (3.14)$$

where the coefficients $b_m(q)$ do not depend on L and

$$a_m(q, L) = \sum_{p=1}^m \phi_{p,m}(q) (\ln L)^p + O(1/L) \quad (L \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq N. \quad (3.15)$$

It is proved (see [3] and the review [7] there in particular) that in many cases the Feynman amplitudes have the poly-logarithmic structure (3.15). Clearly, the coefficients $a_m(q, L)$ tend to infinity. However, we should use deviation factors and consider modified (via an iterative procedure) functions $\tilde{c}(q, L)$ and $\tilde{s}(q, L)$ instead of $c(q, L)$ and $s(q, L)$. First, we set

$$c(q, L, 1) = (L^{-\varphi(q, \varepsilon, 1)} c(q, L)), \quad \varphi(q, \varepsilon, 1) = \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon^m \phi_{1,m}(q). \quad (3.16)$$

Taking into account (3.14)–(3.16) we have

$$c(q, L, 1) = 1 + \sum_{m=2}^N \varepsilon^m a_m(q, L, 1) + \dots, \quad (3.17)$$

$$a_m(q, L, 1) = \sum_{p=2}^m \phi_{p,m}(q, 1) (\ln L)^p + O(1/L) \quad (2 \leq m \leq N). \quad (3.18)$$

We assume that

$$\phi_{1,m}(q) = -\overline{\phi_{1,m}(q)}, \quad (3.19)$$

which (in view of (3.16)) implies the equality

$$|c(q, L)| = |c(q, L, 1)|. \quad (3.20)$$

The second step in our iteration is given by

$$c(q, L, 2) = e^{-\varphi(q, \varepsilon, 2)} (\ln L)^2 c(q, L, 1), \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$\varphi(q, \varepsilon, 2) := \sum_{m=2}^N \varepsilon^m \phi_{2,m}(q, 1). \quad (3.22)$$

Using (3.17), (3.21) and (3.22) we have

$$c(q, L, 2) = 1 + \sum_{m=3}^N \varepsilon^m a_m(q, L, 2) + \dots$$

where

$$a_m(q, L, 2) = \sum_{p=3}^m \phi_{p,m}(q, 2) (\ln L)^p + O(1/L) \quad (3 \leq m \leq N). \quad (3.23)$$

In addition to (3.19), we assume that

$$\phi_{2,m}(q, 1) = -\overline{\phi_{2,m}(q, 1)}. \quad (3.24)$$

Taking into account (3.20)–(3.22) and (3.24), we have

$$|c(q, L)| = |c(q, L, 2)|. \quad (3.25)$$

We repeat this procedure (of modifying c) N times and at the N th step we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}(q, L) &= c(q, L, N) = e^{-\varphi(q, \varepsilon, N)} (\ln L)^N s(q, L, N-1) \\ &= 1 + \varepsilon^{N+1} a_{N+1}(q, L, N) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

The modified (via analogs of deviation factors) function $\tilde{s}(q, L)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}(q, L) &= e^{-\sum_{p=1}^N \varphi(q, \varepsilon, p) (\ln L)^p} s(q, L) \\ &= B(q) e^{-\sum_{p=1}^N \varphi(q, \varepsilon, p) (\ln L)^p} c(q, L) = B(q) \tilde{c}(q, L). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Therefore, taking into account (3.13) and (3.26) we obtain

$$\tilde{s}(q, L) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon^m b_m(q) + O(\varepsilon^{N+1}), \quad (3.28)$$

and the corresponding coefficients in the series do not diverge.

We also assumed that $\phi_{p,m}(q, p-1) = -\overline{\phi_{p,m}(q, p-1)}$, that is,

$$\varphi(q, \varepsilon, p) = -\overline{\varphi(q, \varepsilon, p)} \quad (1 \leq p \leq N). \quad (3.29)$$

In view of (3.27) and (3.29) the next proposition is immediate.

Proposition 3.4 *The modified function $\tilde{s}(q, L)$ satisfies the equality*

$$|\tilde{s}(q, L)| = |s(q, L)|. \quad (3.30)$$

We note that the coefficients $b_m(q)$ ($1 \leq m \leq N$) in (3.28) do not depend on L .

Let us consider an interesting model example.

Example 3.5 *Let the coefficients $a_m(q, L)$ in (3.4) have the form*

$$a_m(q, L) = \sum_{k=0}^m \psi_{m-k}(q) \frac{(i\phi(q) \ln L)^k}{k!} \quad (\psi_0 \equiv 1). \quad (3.31)$$

Then, it is easy to see that

$$\tilde{s}(q, L) = s(q, L, 1) = L^{-i\varepsilon\phi(q)} s(q, L) = 1 + \varepsilon\psi_1(q) + \varepsilon^2\psi_2(q) + \dots \quad (3.32)$$

Thus, in this case we obtain regular approximation $\tilde{s}(q, L)$ of the modified scattering element $\tilde{s}(q)$ after the first step. We note that coefficients of the form (3.31) appear in the case of the Coulomb potentials [13, 14].

Example 3.6 *Now, consider separately the case*

$$s(q, L) = 1 + \varepsilon A_1(q, L) + \varepsilon^2 A_2(q, L) + O(\varepsilon^3), \quad (3.33)$$

$$A_1(q, L) = b_1(q) + \psi_1(q) \ln L, \quad (3.34)$$

$$A_2(q, L) = b_2(q) + \psi_2(q) \ln L + \psi_3(q) (\ln L)^2. \quad (3.35)$$

It is easy to see that this case is the subcase of (3.13), (3.14) where $N = 2$ and the functions ϕ_k ($1 \leq k \leq 3$) in the representation (3.13)–(3.15) of $s(q, L)$ are determined (via $\psi_k(q)$ from (3.34) and (3.35)) by the iterative equalities

$$\phi_{1,1}(q) = \psi_1(q), \quad \phi_{1,2}(q) = \psi_2(q) - b_1(q)\phi_{1,1}(q), \quad \phi_{2,2}(q) = \psi_3(q). \quad (3.36)$$

In view of (3.14)–(3.18) we see that

$$\phi_{2,2}(q, 1) = \phi_{2,2}(q) - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{1,1}(q)^2. \quad (3.37)$$

Corollary 3.7 *Let the conditions of Example 3.6 hold. Then the assumptions (3.19) and (3.24) take the form*

$$\Re\psi_1(q) = 0, \quad \Re(\psi_2(q) - b_1(q)\psi_1(q)) = 0, \quad \Re(\psi_3(q) - \frac{1}{2}\psi_1(q)^2) = 0. \quad (3.38)$$

Remark 3.8 *For some important cases considered in [1], the first of the equalities (3.38) is valid. Here, we formulate a hypothesis that the next two equalities in (3.38) are valid (in physically interesting examples) as well.*

Remark 3.9 *We mentioned already that the deviation factors are not uniquely defined. The same statement is valid for the analogs $U_0(q, L)$ of the momentum representation of deviation factors. If $U_0(q, L)$ is an analog of a deviation factor, then $C(q)U_0(L, q)$ ($|C(q)| = 1$) is an analog of the deviation factor as well. The choice of $C(q)$ depends on a physical problem under consideration.*

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to A. Sakhnovich and I. Roitberg for fruitful discussions and help in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

- [1] A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii, *Quantum electrodynamics* (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1965).
- [2] D. Alpay and B. Kirstein (eds), *Recent advances in inverse scattering, Schur analysis and stochastic processes. A collection of papers dedicated to Lev Sakhnovich*. Operator Theory Adv. Appl. **244**: Linear Operators and Linear Systems (Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2015)
- [3] L. Alvarez-Consul et al (eds), *Feynman amplitudes, periods and motives*, Contemporary Mathematics **648** (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015).
- [4] F. A. Berezin, On a model for quantumfield theory, Math. USSR Sb. **5**, 1 (1968).
- [5] V. S. Buslaev and V. B. Matveev, Wave operators for the Shrödinger equation with a slowly decreasing potential, Theoret. and Math. Phys. **2**:3, 266 (1970).
- [6] J. Dollard, Asymptotic convergence and Coulomb interaction, J. Math. Phys. **5**:6, 729 (1964).
- [7] C. Duhr, Scattering amplitudes, Feynman integrals and multiple polylogarithms, Contemp. Math. **648**, 109 (2015).

- [8] H. Elvang, Y. Huang, *Scattering amplitudes in gauge theory and gravity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015).
- [9] H. Friedrich, *Scattering theory*, 2-nd edition (Springer, Heidelberg, 2016).
- [10] J. M. Jauch, B. Misra, and A. G. Gibson, On the asymptotic condition of scattering theory, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **41**, 513 (1968).
- [11] J. R. Oppenheimer, Electron theory (Report to the Solvay Conference for Physics at Brussels, Belgium, September 27 to October 2, 1948), reprinted in *Selected Papers on Quantum Electrodynamics*, edited by J. Schwinger (Dover Publications Inc. Mineola, New York, 2012) pp. 145–155.
- [12] A. L. Sakhnovich, L. A. Sakhnovich, and I. Ya. Roitberg, *Inverse Problems and Nonlinear Evolution Equations. Solutions, Darboux Matrices and Weyl–Titchmarsh Functions*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **47** (De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013).
- [13] L. A. Sakhnovich, The invariance principle for generalized wave operators, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **5**:1, 49 (1971).
- [14] L. A. Sakhnovich, Generalized wave operators, *Math. USSR Sb.* **10**:2, 197 (1970).
- [15] L. A. Sakhnovich, Dissipative operators with absolutely continuous spectrum, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* **19**, 233 (1968).
- [16] L. A. Sakhnovich, Generalized wave operators: dynamical and stationary cases and divergence problem, arXiv:1602.07087.
- [17] S. S. Schweber, *QED and the men who made it: Dyson, Feynman, Schwinger, and Tomonaga*, Princeton Series in Physics (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994).
- [18] A. Seagar, *Application of geometric algebra to electromagnetic scattering. The Clifford–Cauchy–Dirac technique* (Springer, Singapore, 2016).
- [19] R. F. Streater, *A theory of scattering for quasifree particles* (World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2015).

99 Cove ave. Milford, CT, 06461, USA
E-mail:lsakhnovich@gmail.com