

A special structure of the scattering operator and infrared divergences in quantum electrodynamics

Lev Sakhnovich

E-mail: lsakhnovich@gmail.com

Abstract

We assume that the unperturbed operators A_0 are known. Then, the fact that the scattering operators S and the unperturbed operators A_0 are pairwise permutable provides some important information about the structure of the scattering operators. Using this information and the ideas from the theory of generalized wave operators, we present a new approach to the divergence problems in quantum electrodynamics. We show that the so called infrared divergences appeared because the deviations of the initial and final waves from the free waves were not taken into account.

MSC(2010): Primary 81T15, Secondary 34L25, 81Q05, 81Q30.

Keywords: *Generalized wave operator, deviation factor, divergence problem, power series, poly-logarithmic divergences.*

1 Introduction

1. In the momentum space the unperturbed Dirac equation takes the form (see [1], Ch.IV):

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(q, t) = H(q)\Phi(q, t), \quad q = (q_1, q_2, q_3), \quad (1.1)$$

where $H(q)$ and $\Phi(q, t)$ are matrix functions of order 4×4 and 4×1 respectively. Here the matrix $H(q)$ is defined by the relation (2.1). The unperturbed Dirac equation in the presence of electro dynamics field takes the form (see [1], Ch.IV):

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\Phi}(q, t) = \tilde{H}(q) \tilde{\Phi}(q, t), \quad , \quad (1.2)$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}(q, t)$ is the matrix functions of order 8×1 and

$$\tilde{H}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} H(q) & 0 \\ 0 & H(q) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.3)$$

The equations (1.1) and (1.2) can be rewritten in the forms

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(q, t) = A_0 \Phi(q, t), \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\Phi}(q, t) = \tilde{A}_0 \tilde{\Phi}(q, t), \quad (1.5)$$

where the operators A_0 and \tilde{A}_0 are defined by the relations

$$A_0 f(q) = H(q) f(q), \quad \tilde{A}_0 \tilde{f}(q) = \tilde{H}(q) \tilde{f}(q). \quad (1.6)$$

Here $f(q) \in L_4^2(R^3)$, $\tilde{f}(q) \in L_8^2(R^3)$.

Remark 1.1 *We do not take into account the perturbed operators A and \tilde{A} . We investigate only the corresponding scattering operators $S(A, A_0)$ and $S(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0)$. So, we partially follow the Heisenberg's S -matrix program. In a addition to this S -program we assume that the unperturbed operators A_0 and \tilde{A}_0 are known. This fact gives us an important information about the structure of the scattering operators.*

It is well known that the scattering operators satisfy the following conditions:

- 1) The operators $S(A, A_0)$ and $S(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0)$ are unitary operators in the spaces $L_4^2(R^3)$ and $L_8^2(R^3)$ respectively.
- 2) The commutative relations

$$A_0 S(A, A_0) = S(A, A_0) A_0, \quad \tilde{A}_0 S(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0) = S(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0) \tilde{A}_0 \quad (1.7)$$

are valid.

Remark 1.2 *The conditions 1) and 2) are fulfilled for classical and generalized scattering operators (see [5],[7], [9]).*

In the section 2 we find the eigenvectors and the eigenvalues of the matrices $H(q)$ and $\tilde{H}(q)$. Hence we reduce the matrices $H(q)$ and $\tilde{H}(q)$ to the diagonal forms. It follows from conditions 1) and 2) matrices $S(A, A_0)$ and $S(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0)$ can be reduced to the diagonal forms simultaneously with $H(q)$ and $\tilde{H}(q)$ respectively. The diagonal elements $d_k(A, A_0)$, ($1 \leq k \leq 4$) of $S(A, A_0)$ and the diagonal elements $\tilde{d}_k(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0)$, ($1 \leq k \leq 8$) of $S(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0)$ are such that

$$|d_k(A, A_0)| = 1, \quad (1 \leq k \leq 4) \quad (1.8)$$

$$|\tilde{d}_k(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0)| = 1, \quad (1 \leq k \leq 8) \quad (1.9)$$

Thus, the investigation of the scattering operators is reduced to the scalar case, i.e. to the diagonal elements d_k .

Using this fact we present a new approach to the divergence problems in quantum electrodynamics (QED). Let us explain the situation. In QED the higher order approximations of matrix elements of the scattering matrix contain integrals which diverge. We think that these divergences are result of the used scattering matrix representation: the series by a small parameter e . In the section 3 we try to answer J.R.Oppenheimer question [6]:

”Can be procedure be freed of the expansion in e and carried out rigorously?”

We introduce a new representation of the scattering matrix. We do not remove the divergences. Our aim is to prove that they are absent. In our approach we essentially use the ideas of the generalized wave operators theory [9], [11],[3]. The classical theory of infrared divergences just rejects the divergent integrals. In our approach this divergent integrals get the physical sense. We construct the deviation factors $U_0(L)$ with the help of these integrals. The invariant region of integration Ω is four dimensional sphere with radius L . The deviation factors $U_0(L)$ characterize a deviation of initial and final waves from free waves.

So, we not only have received exact results in the theory of the infrared divergences, but also have received the new facts about behavior of system when the parameter L is great. These facts, as it seems to us, can be checked by experiment.

2 Spectral properties of the matrices $H(q)$, $S(A, A_0)$ and $S(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0)$

Let us consider equation (1.1). The corresponding matrix $H(q)$ has the form.

$$H(q) = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 & q_3 & q_1 - iq_2 \\ 0 & m & q_1 + iq_2 & -q_3 \\ q_3 & q_1 - iq_2 & -m & 0 \\ q_1 + iq_2 & -q_3 & 0 & -m \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

The eigenvalues λ_k and the corresponding eigenvectors g_k of $H(q)$ are important, and we find them below:

$$\lambda_{1,2} = -\sqrt{m^2 + |q|^2}, \quad \lambda_{3,4} = \sqrt{m^2 + |q|^2} \quad (|q|^2 := q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2); \quad (2.2)$$

$$g_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (-q_1 + iq_2)/(m + \lambda_3) \\ q_3/(m + \lambda_3) \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -q_3/(m + \lambda_3) \\ (-q_1 - iq_2)/(m + \lambda_3) \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$g_3 = \begin{bmatrix} (-q_1 + iq_2)/(m - \lambda_3) \\ q_3/(m - \lambda_3) \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -q_3/(m - \lambda_3) \\ (-q_1 - iq_2)/(m - \lambda_3) \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

We introduce the following linear spans:

$$M_1(q) = \text{Span}\{g_k(q), k = 1, 2\}, \quad M_2(q) = \text{Span}\{g_k(q), k = 3, 4\}. \quad (2.5)$$

According to condition 2) the subspaces $M_1(q)$ and $M_2(q)$ are invariant subspaces of $H(q)$ and $S(A, A_0)$. Then there exist common eigenvectors $h_k(q)$ of $H(q)$ and $S(A, A_0)$ such that $h_k(q) \in M_1(q)$, ($k = 1, 2$) and $h_k(q) \in M_2(q)$, ($k = 3, 4$). Hence $H(q)$ and $S(A, A_0)$ can be reduced to the diagonal forms simultaneously. In the same way we prove that $\tilde{H}(q)$ and $S(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0)$ can be reduced to the diagonal forms simultaneously.

Remark 2.1 Article [2] contains formulas, which are similar to (2.2)-(2.5)

3 New approach to the divergence problems: power series

1. Let the diagonal element $d(q)$ of the scattering matrix either $S(A, A_0)$ or $S(\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_0)$ be represented in the form of the power series

$$d(q) = 1 + \epsilon a_1(q) + \epsilon^2 a_2(q) + \dots \quad (3.1)$$

We assume that

$$a_2 = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F(P, Q) d^4 P. \quad (3.2)$$

Here $P = [-ip_0, p_1, p_2, p_3]$, $Q = [-iq_0, q_1, q_2, q_3]$. In a number of concrete examples the functions $F(P, Q)$ are rational [1]. The invariant region of integration Ω is four dimensional sphere with radius L .

We shall investigate the cases when the limit in the right hand side of (3.2) does not exist.

Example 3.1 *Let the relation*

$$a_2(q, L) = \int_{\Omega} F(p, q) d^4 p = i[\phi(q) \ln L + \psi(q) + O(1/L)], \quad L \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.3)$$

is valid. Here $\phi(q) = \overline{\phi(q)}$, $\psi(q) = \overline{\psi(q)}$.

Thus, the corresponding integral (see (3.3)) diverges logarithmic. Hence the second term of power series (3.1) is equal to infinity.

Let us use a new representation of $d(q)$.

To do it we introduce $d(q, L)$:

$$d(q, L) = 1 + \epsilon a_1(q) + \epsilon^2 a_2(q, L) + \dots \quad (3.4)$$

We write

$$d(q, L) = L^{i\epsilon^2 \phi(q)} \tilde{d}(q, L), \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\tilde{d}(q, L) = [L^{-i\epsilon^2 \phi(q)} d(q, L)], \quad (3.6)$$

Using (3.4) and (3.6) we have

$$\tilde{d}(q, L) = 1 + \epsilon a_1(q) + \epsilon^2 [a_2(q, L) - i\phi(q) \ln L] + \dots \quad (3.7)$$

It follows from (3.3) that the second term

$$\tilde{a}_2(q, L) = a_2(q, L) - i\phi(q) \ln L \quad (3.8)$$

of power series (3.7) converges when $L \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 3.2 The factor $U_0(L, q) = L^{i\epsilon^2\phi(q)}$ is an analogue of deviation factor $W_0(t)$ in the theory of generalized wave and scattering operators [12].

We stress that

$$|U_0(L, q)| = 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Remark 3.3 It is well known (see [1], sections 46 and 47) that many concrete problems of collision of particles satisfy the condition (3.3).

Example 3.4 Let the relation

$$a_2(q, L) = i[\phi(q)L^2 + \psi(q)L + \nu(q)\ln L + \mu(q) + O(1/L)], \quad (3.10)$$

is valid. Here $\phi(q) = \overline{\phi(q)}$, $\psi(q) = \overline{\psi(q)}$, $\nu(q) = \overline{\nu(q)}$, $\mu(q) = \overline{\mu(q)}$ and $L \rightarrow +\infty$.

In this case the factor $U_0(L, q)$ has the form

$$U_0(L, q) = e^{i\epsilon^2[\phi(q)L^2 + \psi(q)L]} L^{i\epsilon^2\nu(q)}. \quad (3.11)$$

We use (3.4) and write the formulas

$$d(q, L) = U_0(L, q)[\tilde{d}(q, L)], \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\tilde{d}(q, L) = [U_0^{-1}(L, q)d(q, L)]. \quad (3.13)$$

Relations (3.7) in case (3.10) takes the forms

$$\tilde{d}(q, L) = 1 + \epsilon a_1(q) + \epsilon^2 \tilde{a}_2(q, L) + \dots, \quad (3.14)$$

where term

$$\tilde{a}_2(q, L) = a_2(q, L) - i[\phi(q)L^2 + \psi(q)L + \nu(q)\ln L] \quad (3.15)$$

of power series (3.14) converges when $L \rightarrow \infty$.

Relation (3.9) holds for example 3.4 too.

Remark 3.5 All divergences in irreducible diagrams belong to the class (3.10) (see [1], sections 46 and 47).

The simplest case of Example 3.4 we obtain when

$$\phi(q) = 0, \nu(q) = 0, \psi(q) = 1. \quad (3.16)$$

In this case we have

$$U_0(L, q) = e^{i\epsilon^2 L}. \quad (3.17)$$

2. Now we assume that the coefficients $a_m(q, L)$ has the form

$$a_m(q, L) = \sum_{p=0}^m [\phi_{p,m}(q) \ln^p L + O(1/L)], \quad L \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1 \leq m \leq N). \quad (3.18)$$

It is proved (see review [4]), that in many cases the Feynman amplitudes have the poly-logarithmic structure (3.18). The integrals $a_m(q, L)$ which corresponds to the terms of series $a_m(q)$, ($1 \leq m \leq N$) diverges. It was proved in the paper [10] that the corresponding deviation factor $U_0(L, q)$ has the form

$$U_0(L, q) = \exp\left[i \sum_{p=1}^{p=N} (\ln^p L) \phi(q, p, \epsilon)\right], \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$\phi(q, p, \epsilon) = \sum_{m=2}^{m=N} \epsilon^m \psi(q, p, m), \quad \psi(q, p, m) = \overline{\psi(q, p, m)}. \quad (3.20)$$

Let us consider the interesting model example.

Example 3.6 We assume that the terms $a_m(q, L)$ of series (2.4) are given by formulas

$$a_m(q, L) = \sum_{k=0}^m \psi_{m-k}(q) \frac{(i\phi(q) \ln L)^k}{k!}. \quad (3.21)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\tilde{d}(q, L) = L^{-i\epsilon\phi(q)} d(q, L) = 1 + \epsilon\psi_1(q) + \epsilon^2\psi_2(q) + \dots \quad (3.22)$$

So, in this case we obtain the regular scattering function $\tilde{d}(q, L)$. We note that Coulomb potentials (see [8] and [9]) have the properties of type (3.21).

Remark 3.7 *Deviation factors are not uniquely defined. If $U_0(L, q)$ is the deviation factor. then $C(q)U_0(L, q)$, ($|C(q)| = 1$) is the deviation factor too. The choice of multipliers $C(q)$ depends on the particular physical problem under consideration.*

3. Now we introduce the following notion.

Definition 3.8 *We say that the deviation factor $U_0(L, q)$ belongs to the class \mathcal{A} if*

$$U_0(L + L_0, q)U_0^{-1}(L, q) \rightarrow 1, L \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.23)$$

Let us compare the introduced deviation factor $U_0(L, q)$ with deviation factor $W_0(t)$ of the generalized wave operators theory [12]. The relation (3.23) for $W_0(t)$ has the form

$$W_0(t + \tau)W_0^{-1}(t) \rightarrow 1, t \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (3.24)$$

Example 3.9 *If the relation (3.18) holds, then the corresponding deviation factors $U_0(L, q)$ belong to the class \mathcal{A} .*

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to A. Sakhnovich and I. Roitberg for fruitful discussions and help in the preparation of the manuscript, and to I. Tydniouk for his help with some important calculations in Section 2.

References

- [1] A.I.Akhiezer, V.B.Berestetskii, *Quantum electrodynamics*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1965.
- [2] A.Bermudes, M.A.Martin-Delgado, E.Solano, *Dirac Cat States in Relativistic Landau Levels* Phys. Rev. Lett., 99, 123602, 2007.
- [3] V.S.Buslaev, V.B.Matveev, *Wave operators for the Shrödinger equation with a slowly decreasing potential*, Theor. Math.Fiz., v.2, Number 3, 367-376, 1970.

- [4] C.Duhr, *Scattering amplitudes, Feynman integrals and multiple polylogarithms*, Contemporary Mathematics, 648, 109-133, 2015.
- [5] T.Kato, *Perturbation of continuous spectra by trace class operators*, Proc. Japan Acad.33, No.5, 260-264, 1957.
- [6] J.R.Oppenheimer, *Report for the Solvey Conference for Physics at Brussels at Belgium*, 145-153, 1948.
- [7] M.Rosenblum, *Perturbation of continuous spectrum and unitary equivalence*, Pacif.J.Math.7 No.1, 997-1010, 1957.
- [8] L.A.Sakhnovich, *The invariance principle for generalized wave operators*, Functional Analysis and its Applications, Vol.5, No.1, 49-55, 1971.
- [9] L.A.Sakhnovich, *Generalized wave operators*, Math.USSR Sbornik, vol.10, No.2, 197-216, 1970.
- [10] L.A.Sakhnovich, *Do infrared divergences in quantum electrodynamics exist?* arXiv:1606.06759, v.2, 1-10, 2016.
- [11] L.A.Sakhnovich, *Dissipative Operators with absolutely continuous spectrum*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., v.19, 233-297, 1968.
- [12] L.A.Sakhnovich, *Generalized wave operators, dynamical and stationary cases and divergence problem*, arXiv: 1602.07087, 1-34, 2016.

99 Cove ave, Milford, CT, 06461, USA