

Lifting of the Vlasov-Maxwell Bracket by Lie-transform Method

A. J. Brizard¹, P. J. Morrison², J. W. Burby³, L. de Guillebon⁴, and M. Vittot⁴

¹Department of Physics, Saint Michael's College, Colchester, VT 05439, USA

²Department of Physics and Institute for Fusion Studies, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

³Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, New York 10012, USA

⁴Aix Marseille Univ., Univ. Toulon, CNRS, CPT, Marseille, France

(Received 8 November 2018)

The Vlasov-Maxwell equations possess a Hamiltonian structure expressed in terms of a Hamiltonian functional and a functional bracket. In the present paper, the transformation (“lift”) of the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket induced by the dynamical reduction of single-particle dynamics is investigated when the reduction is carried out by Lie-transform perturbation methods. The ultimate goal of this work is to derive explicit Hamiltonian formulations for the guiding-center and gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell equations that have important applications in our understanding of turbulent magnetized plasmas.

1. Introduction

Reduced plasma models play an important role in the analytical and numerical investigations of the complex nonlinear dynamics of magnetized plasmas. The process of dynamical reduction is generally based on the elimination of fast time scales from either kinetic plasma equations or fluid plasma equations. In the kinetic case, the dynamical reduction is usually carried out by considering a sequence of phase-space transformations designed to eliminate a fast orbital time scale (e.g., the time scale associated with the fast gyromotion of a charged particle about a magnetic-field line) from the plasma kinetic equations. In the fluid case, on the other hand, the fast time scale is often of dynamical origin (e.g., the fast compressional Alfvén wave time scale in a strongly-magnetized plasma), and the dynamical reduction involves the identification of a small number of fluid moments and electromagnetic-field components that capture the desired reduced fluid dynamics (e.g., reduced magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)).

Reduced plasma models can be either dissipationless or dissipative, depending on the dynamical time scales of interest. When considering the complex nonlinear dynamics of high-temperature magnetized plasmas, e.g., magnetized fusion plasmas, dissipationless reduced plasma models can offer great mathematical simplicity since the time scales of interest may be much shorter than collisional (dissipative) time scales. Furthermore, when a dissipationless reduced plasma model is shown to possess a Hamiltonian structure, the powerful methods of Hamiltonian field theory can be brought to bear in understanding its analytical and numerical solutions.

A fundamental question thus arises when reducing a system of Hamiltonian field equations: Will the dissipationless form of the reduced system possess a Hamiltonian structure? In the case of several reduced dissipationless fluid plasma models derived from Hamiltonian plasma models, the underlying reduced Hamiltonian structure can be constructed from the reduced equations themselves, as was done for MHD (Morrison & Greene

1980) and many other plasma models (Morrison 1982). The purpose of the present work is to investigate whether the Hamiltonian structure of reductions of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations can be constructed directly from the original Hamiltonian structure of these equations. This will be accomplished by the process of *lifting* introduced by Morrison (2013) and further developed by Morrison *et al.* (2013). The present work is a continuation of these earlier works that allows for greater generality, while casting the formalism in the language of Lie transforms that is commonplace in gyrokinetic theory (Brizard & Hahm 2007).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. First we consider some preliminary basics in Sec. 2; viz., the definition of what constitutes a Hamiltonian field theory is given and followed by some general comments on coordinate changes. Then, in Sec. 3, we review the Hamiltonian structure of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations, where the Hamiltonian functional and the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket are presented. In Sec. 4, we derive the general transformation (lift) procedure of the Hamiltonian structure of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations based on a general class of phase-space transformations that depends on the electromagnetic fields (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) . These transformations are complicated because they depend on both independent and dependent variables; therefore, we introduce operators on functions and meta-operators on functionals to facilitate the transformation of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations as well as the Vlasov-Maxwell Poisson bracket. Following this general phase-space transformation, we therefore show how functional derivatives appearing in the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket are lifted to a new function space. In Sec. 5, we demonstrate this general lifting procedure by considering a preliminary transformation from particle phase space to local phase-space coordinates that depends on the local magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$ only. As a result of the preliminary local phase-space transformation, however, the evolution of the local Vlasov function now depends explicitly on the fast gyromotion time scale, which must be removed by the near-identity guiding-center phase-space transformation (see Cary & Brizard (2009) for a recent review).

In Sec. 6, we review the process of dynamical reduction of the phase-space particle dynamics by Lie-transform perturbation methods. Here, the dynamical reduction requires that a near-identity transformation be applied to the local phase-space coordinates. In Sec. 7, we construct the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations by Lie-transform and meta-operator methods and, in Sec. 8, we derive the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket by the application of meta-operators on the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket. We also verify that the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations can be expressed as Hamiltonian field equations in terms of the reduced Hamiltonian functional and the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket. Lastly, Apps. A-B present explicit proofs of the Jacobi identity for the single-particle Poisson bracket and the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket, while Apps. C-D present near-identity operator identities used in the text.

2. Preliminaries

Although Hamiltonian descriptions of plasma dynamical systems are discussed in several sources (Morrison 1982, 1998, 2005), for self-containedness we briefly review here some basics of Hamiltonian field theory, before continuing on to the Vlasov-Maxwell theory.

2.1. General Hamiltonian field theory

The Hamiltonian formulation of a general field theory involving an N -component field $\Psi = (\psi^1, \dots, \psi^N)$ is expressed in terms of a Hamiltonian functional $\mathcal{H}[\Psi]$, identified

from the energy conservation law of the field equations, and a bracket structure

$$[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\Psi} \equiv \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \psi^a(\mathbf{r})} \mathbb{J}^{ab}(\Psi; \mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \psi^b(\mathbf{r})}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\int_{\mathbf{r}}$ denotes an integration over the base space for the fields Ψ . Here, the $N \times N$ matrix-operator $\mathbb{J}^{ab} = -\mathbb{J}^{ba}$ is antisymmetric, while $\mathcal{F}[\Psi]$ and $\mathcal{G}[\Psi]$ are arbitrary functionals; summation over repeated indices is implied throughout the manuscript and explicit time dependence is not displayed unless necessary. In addition, functional derivatives $\delta \mathcal{F}/\delta \psi^a$ are defined in terms of the Fréchet derivative:

$$\delta \mathcal{F} \equiv \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \mathcal{F}[\Psi + \varepsilon \delta \Psi] = \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \psi^a(\mathbf{r})} \delta \psi^a(\mathbf{r}),$$

which may involve integration by parts if the functional \mathcal{F} depends on $\nabla \psi^a$.

Since the operator $\mathbb{J}^{ab} = -\mathbb{J}^{ba}$ is antisymmetric, the bracket (2.1) is also antisymmetric:

$$[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\Psi} = -[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}]_{\Psi}. \quad (2.2)$$

The bracket also possesses the Leibniz property

$$[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}\mathcal{K}]_{\Psi} = [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\Psi} \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{G} [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}]_{\Psi}, \quad (2.3)$$

and it satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$[\mathcal{F}, [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}]_{\Psi}]_{\Psi} + [\mathcal{G}, [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}]_{\Psi}]_{\Psi} + [\mathcal{K}, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\Psi}]_{\Psi} \equiv 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{K} are arbitrary functionals. Using the Hamiltonian functional $\mathcal{H}[\Psi]$ and the bracket (2.1), the field equations for Ψ are expressed in Hamiltonian form as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} \equiv [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}]_{\Psi} = \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \psi^a(\mathbf{r})} \left(\mathbb{J}^{ab}(\Psi; \mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \psi^b(\mathbf{r})} \right) \equiv \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \psi^a(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\partial \psi^a(\mathbf{r})}{\partial t}. \quad (2.5)$$

While an antisymmetric matrix-operator \mathbb{J}^{ab} that satisfies the Leibniz property (2.3) is relatively easy to construct, the Jacobi identity (2.4) is generally difficult to satisfy. (See Morrison (1982) where the Jacobi identity is discussed in generality.)

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate how the bracket structure (2.1) is affected by a field transformation $\Psi \rightarrow \overline{\Psi}$, where the transformation depends on both independent and dependent variables, as noted above, with the new fields $\overline{\Psi}$ having desirable properties making them amenable to theoretical and/or numerical reduction.

2.2. Functional transformation of a Hamiltonian bracket

As noted above, the question of how Hamiltonian functional brackets transform has recently been studied in the “lift” context by Morrison (2013) and Morrison *et al.* (2013) for the Vlasov-Maxwell equations. In particular, Morrison *et al.* (2013) studied the process of lifting associated with a change of momentum-space coordinates $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{p}}$ (at a fixed particle position \mathbf{x}) that is dependent on the local magnetic field; this case is revisited here in Sec. 5. Alternatively, one may consider transformations in the context of Dirac constraint theory (Morrison *et al.* 2009; Chandre *et al.* 2012, 2013). In particular, Squire *et al.* (2013) considered the construction of a reduced bracket for the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations by using this method. Another context is that of “beatification” (Morrison & Vanneste 2016; Visconti *et al.* 2016) where one uses transformations to perturbatively remove nonlinearity from the Poisson bracket and place it in the Hamiltonian functional.

In the present paper, we study the lifting of the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket associated with a phase-space coordinate transformation

$$\mathcal{T}_\epsilon \equiv \mathcal{T}^\epsilon \circ \mathcal{T}_0 : \mathbf{z} \rightarrow \mathbf{z}_0 \equiv \mathcal{T}_0 \mathbf{z} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{z}} \equiv \mathcal{T}^\epsilon \mathbf{z}_0 \equiv \mathcal{T}_\epsilon \mathbf{z}, \quad (2.6)$$

which represents the composition of a preliminary phase-space transformation \mathcal{T}_0 to local phase-space coordinates \mathbf{z}_0 followed by a near-identity phase-space transformation $\mathcal{T}^\epsilon \equiv \cdots \mathcal{T}_3 \mathcal{T}_2 \mathcal{T}_1$ to reduced phase-space coordinates $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$ that is generated by Lie-transform perturbation methods. The phase-space coordinate transformation (2.6) will be assumed to be invertible, with $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \equiv \mathcal{T}_0^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{-\epsilon}$. (See, e.g., Brizard (2008) and Brizard & Hahm (2007) for further discussion.)

As by Morrison (2013), our construction will explicitly guarantee that the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity (2.4), while the reduced functionals will depend on the reduced Vlasov distribution function $\bar{F} \equiv \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{-1} f$, defined as the push-forward $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \equiv \mathcal{T}^{-\epsilon} \mathcal{T}_0^{-1}$ of the particle Vlasov distribution function f , and the electromagnetic fields (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) . The present reduced Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian formulation uses exclusively the variable \mathbf{E} , while the earlier work of Morrison (2013) used both \mathbf{E} and the electric displacement field $\mathbf{D}_\epsilon \equiv \mathbf{E} + 4\pi \mathbf{P}_\epsilon$ as a field variable, where \mathbf{P}_ϵ denotes the reduced polarization (Brizard 2008, 2013; Tronko & Brizard 2015).

3. Hamiltonian Structure of the Vlasov-Maxwell Equations

We now proceed with a brief review of the Hamiltonian structure of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations introduced by Morrison (1980) with corrections given in Morrison (1982) and Marsden & Weinstein (1982). The Hamiltonian functional is simply represented as the energy invariant of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations:

$$\mathcal{H}[f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}] = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})|^2 + |\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})|^2 \right) + \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{z}) f(\mathbf{z}) K, \quad (3.1)$$

where the Jacobian \mathcal{J} is shown explicitly, and $K = |\mathbf{p}|^2/(2m)$ denotes the kinetic energy of a particle of mass m and charge e (summation over particle species is implied whenever applicable). The Vlasov-Maxwell bracket is a bilinear operator on arbitrary functionals $\mathcal{F}[f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$ and $\mathcal{G}[f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}] = & \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} f \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f} \right\} + 4\pi c \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \right) \\ & - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} f \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f} \right\} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f} \right\} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where the variation of an arbitrary functional $\mathcal{F}[f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$ is defined in terms of the Fréchet derivative

$$\delta \mathcal{F} \equiv \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left(\mathcal{F} \left[f + \varepsilon \delta f, \mathbf{E} + \varepsilon \delta \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B} + \varepsilon \delta \mathbf{B} \right] \right)_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_{\mathbf{z}} \delta f \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f} + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} + \delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \right). \quad (3.3)$$

While the Jacobian \mathcal{J} associated with the particle noncanonical phase-space coordinates $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ is constant in Eqs. (3.1)-(3.2) (i.e., $\mathcal{J} = 1$), we continue displaying it as a place holder in Eqs. (3.1)-(3.2) so that the case of the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations is interpreted correctly. For the sake of our presentation, the three terms appearing on the right of Eq. (3.2) are called, respectively, the Vlasov, Maxwell, and interaction sub-brackets.

The single-particle noncanonical Poisson bracket $\{ , \}$ appearing in the Vlasov and

interaction sub-brackets is defined in terms of functions f and g on (noncanonical) particle phase space as

$$\{f, g\} = \left(\nabla f \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla g \right) + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \times \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{p}}. \quad (3.4)$$

The Poisson bracket (3.4) can also be written in divergence form as

$$\{f, g\} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^\alpha} J^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^\beta} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} \left(\mathcal{J} f J^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^\beta} \right) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} \left(\mathcal{J} f \{z^\alpha, g\} \right), \quad (3.5)$$

where the antisymmetric Poisson matrix components $J^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \{z^\alpha, z^\beta\}$ satisfy the Liouville identities

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} \left(\mathcal{J} J^{\alpha\beta} \right) \equiv 0. \quad (3.6)$$

The divergence form (3.5) implies the phase-space integral identity

$$\int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} f \{g, h\} \equiv - \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} g \{f, h\}, \quad (3.7)$$

where (f, g, h) are three arbitrary functions on particle phase space. In what follows, explicit and implicit time dependences are assumed for the Vlasov distribution f and the electromagnetic fields (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) and time is unaffected by the phase-space transformations considered here. The proof of the Jacobi identity for the Poisson bracket (3.4):

$$\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

is given in App. A [see Eq. (A 8)], where the magnetic field \mathbf{B} is required to be divergenceless, i.e., $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \equiv 0$.

The Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (3.2) is easily seen to satisfy the properties of antisymmetry $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}] = -[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]$ and the Leibniz property $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}\mathcal{K}] = [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{G}[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}]$. In addition, it was given in Morrison (1982) by direct calculation that the Jacobi identity condition yields

$$[\mathcal{F}, [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}]] + [\mathcal{G}, [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}]] + [\mathcal{K}, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]] \equiv \int_{\mathbf{z}} f(\mathbf{z}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta f} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Thus, for the Jacobi identity to hold, it was seen that the domain of functionals must be restricted to divergence free \mathbf{B} -fields. Details of the original (onerous and lengthy) calculation were recorded in an appendix of Morrison (2013), while a simplified version of this calculation is presented here in App. B.

We note in passing that it was shown by Morrison & Greene (1982) and Morrison (1982) that the $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ condition can be removed for MHD, while attempts to remove this condition for Vlasov-Maxwell have not been as successful. However, Chandre *et al.* (2013) used Dirac-constraint theory to replace this condition for the Vlasov-Maxwell equations by a boundary condition at infinity.

3.1. Vlasov-Maxwell equations

The Hamiltonian evolution of a generic functional $\mathcal{F}[f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$ is expressed in terms of the Hamiltonian functional (3.1) and the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (3.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} \equiv [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}] &= - \int_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f} \left(\{f, K\} + e \mathbf{E} \cdot \{\mathbf{x}, f\} \right) - \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \left(c \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \right) \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left(c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} f \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \{\mathbf{x}, K\} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

which becomes

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} \equiv \int_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f(\mathbf{z})} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right), \quad (3.11)$$

where the Vlasov equation for $\partial f/\partial t$ is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{z}) - e \left(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z})}{\partial \mathbf{p}}, \quad (3.12)$$

with the particle's velocity $\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{p}/m$, and the Maxwell equations are

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} f \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{v} \equiv c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}. \quad (3.14)$$

The remaining Maxwell equations

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} f \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \equiv 4\pi \varrho(\mathbf{r}), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (3.16)$$

can be seen as initial conditions for the electromagnetic fields (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) for $\nabla \cdot (\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t) = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot (\partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t) = -4\pi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 4\pi \partial \varrho/\partial t$, which represents the charge conservation law and follows from Eq. (3.13). We note that the charge density $\varrho(\mathbf{r})$ and the current density $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r})$ are functionals of the Vlasov distribution $f(\mathbf{z})$, both labeled by the field position \mathbf{r} , and the presence of the delta function $\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r})$ in Eqs. (3.13) and (3.15) implies that only particles located at the field position $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}$ contribute to the electromagnetic fields (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) .

3.2. Hamiltonian properties

The characteristics of the Vlasov equation (3.12) are the equations of motion

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = e \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{e\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.17)$$

which can be expressed in (noncanonical) Hamiltonian form as (Morrison 2013)

$$\frac{dz^\alpha}{dt} \equiv \{z^\alpha, K\} + e \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \{\mathbf{x}, z^\alpha\}. \quad (3.18)$$

Hence, the Vlasov equation (3.12) may be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{dz^\alpha}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^\alpha} = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

which may also be expressed in divergence form as follows.

We note that the noncanonical Hamilton equations (3.18) and the Jacobian \mathcal{J} satisfy the Liouville theorem

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} \left(\mathcal{J} \frac{dz^\alpha}{dt} \right) = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

so that the Vlasov equation (3.19) may also be written in divergence form as

$$\frac{\partial(\mathcal{J} f)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} \left(\mathcal{J} f \frac{dz^\alpha}{dt} \right). \quad (3.21)$$

This form proves useful when evaluating the time derivative of a general Vlasov functional

$\mathcal{G} \equiv \int \mathcal{J} f g d^6z$ in particle phase space:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial t} = \int \left(\frac{\partial(\mathcal{J} f)}{\partial t} g + \mathcal{J} f \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \right) d^6z \equiv \int \mathcal{J} f \frac{dg}{dt} d^6z, \quad (3.22)$$

where $g(\mathbf{z}, t)$ is an arbitrary function on particle phase space and integration by parts was performed in order to obtain the last expression.

Lastly, using Eq. (3.11) with Eqs. (3.13)-(3.14) and (3.21), we easily show that the Hamiltonian functional (3.1) is itself an invariant of the Vlasov-Maxwell dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} &= \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} \cdot (c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbf{J}) - \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} \cdot (c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \right] - \int_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} \left(\mathcal{J} f \frac{dz^\alpha}{dt} \right) K \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} f \left(\frac{dK}{dt} - e \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

which vanishes since $dK/dt = \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{p}/dt = e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E}$. Equation (3.23) can also be expressed as $\partial \mathcal{H} / \partial t = [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}] \equiv 0$, which immediately follows from the antisymmetry of the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (3.2).

4. General Transformation Lift of the Vlasov-Maxwell Equations

In this section, we consider the transformation of the Hamiltonian structure (3.1)-(3.2) of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations (introduced in Sec. 3) associated with a general phase-space transformation that depends on the electromagnetic field (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) as well of their spatial gradients. Hence, we consider a time-dependent phase-space transformation $\mathcal{T} : \mathbf{z} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Z}}$ that is also invertible $\mathcal{T}^{-1} : \bar{\mathbf{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{z}$.

In the next sections, we will consider the two-step transformation process (2.6) generally encountered in the dynamical reduction of charged-particle motion in a strong magnetic field (e.g., guiding-center transformation (Cary & Brizard 2009)). In the first step (see Sec. 5), we present the preliminary transformation \mathcal{T}_0 from particle phase-space coordinates (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) to *local* phase-space coordinates $(\mathbf{x}, p_{\parallel}, \mu, \zeta)$, where p_{\parallel} denotes the component of the particle's momentum along the local magnetic field $\mathbf{B} \equiv B \hat{\mathbf{b}}$: $p_{\parallel} \equiv \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}$, $p_{\perp}(\mu, \mathbf{x})$ denotes the magnitude of the components of the particle's momentum perpendicular to the local magnetic field: $p_{\perp}(\mu, \mathbf{x}) \equiv |\mathbf{p} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}|$, where μ denotes the local magnetic moment, and the gyroangle ζ denotes the orientation of the perpendicular vector-component of the particle's momentum about the magnetic field: $\mathbf{p}_{\perp} \equiv \mathbf{p} - p_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}}$.

The preliminary phase-space transformation introduces explicit dependence on the fast gyromotion time scale (through the local gyroangle ζ). In the second step (see Sec. 6), we present the near-identity phase-space transformation $\mathcal{T}^\epsilon \equiv \dots \mathcal{T}_2 \mathcal{T}_1$ from the local phase-space coordinates $z_0^\alpha \equiv (\mathbf{x}, p_{\parallel}, \mu, \zeta)$ to the reduced phase-space coordinates $\bar{z}^\alpha \equiv (\bar{\mathbf{X}}, \bar{p}_{\parallel}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\zeta})$ generated by Lie-transform perturbation methods.

4.1. General operators on functions and functionals

A general phase-space transformation \mathcal{T} induces operators on functions and meta-operators on functionals. First, we introduce the push-forward operator $\mathbb{T}^{-1} : f \rightarrow \bar{f} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1} f$ that transforms a function f on particle phase space into a function \bar{f} on the new phase space. We also introduce the pull-back operator $\mathbb{T} : \bar{f} \rightarrow f \equiv \mathbb{T} \bar{f}$ that transforms a function \bar{f} on the new phase space into a function f on particle phase space. These operator

definitions ensure that the scalar-covariance property is satisfied:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{z}) &= f(\mathcal{T}^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1}f(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \\ \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) &= \bar{f}(\mathcal{T}\mathbf{z}) \equiv \mathbb{T}\bar{f}(\mathbf{z}) = f(\mathbf{z}) \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (4.1)$$

The transformed Jacobian $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$, on the other hand, is defined from the push-forward relation $\mathbb{T}^{-1}(\mathcal{J}d^6z) \equiv \bar{\mathcal{J}}d^6\bar{\mathbf{z}}$, where d^6z and $d^6\bar{\mathbf{z}}$ denote differential six-forms in their respective phase spaces.

Secondly, we introduce the meta-push-forward functional operator $\mathbb{T} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \mathbb{T}\mathcal{F}$ and the meta-pull-back functional operator $\mathbb{T}^{-1} : \bar{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{F}}$, which satisfy the functional-covariance property

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{F}}[\bar{f}] &= \mathbb{T}\mathcal{F}[\bar{f}] \equiv \mathcal{F}[\mathbb{T}\bar{f}] = \mathcal{F}[f] \\ \mathcal{F}[f] &= \mathbb{T}^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{F}}[f] \equiv \bar{\mathcal{F}}[\mathbb{T}^{-1}f] = \bar{\mathcal{F}}[\bar{f}] \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (4.2)$$

For example, we consider the simple functional transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[f] &\equiv \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} f(\mathbf{z}) g(\mathbf{z}) = \int_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \mathbb{T}^{-1}f(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbb{T}^{-1}g(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \int_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbb{T}^{-1}g(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} \mathbb{T}\bar{f}(\mathbf{z}) g(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \mathbb{T}\mathcal{F}[\bar{f}], \\ \bar{\mathcal{F}}[\bar{f}] &\equiv \int_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \bar{g}(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} \mathbb{T}\bar{f}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbb{T}\bar{g}(\mathbf{z}) = \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{J} f(\mathbf{z}) \mathbb{T}\bar{g}(\mathbf{z}) \\ &= \int_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \mathbb{T}^{-1}f(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \bar{g}(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{F}}[f], \end{aligned}$$

where a sequence of phase-space coordinate transformations and integrations by parts lead to the relations (4.2).

4.2. Transformed Vlasov-Maxwell equations

The transformation of the Vlasov equation (3.19) proceeds through the push-forward transformation of each of its parts:

$$\mathbb{T}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right) = -\mathbb{T}^{-1}\left(\{f, K\} + e\mathbf{E} \cdot \{\mathbf{x}, f\}\right). \quad (4.3)$$

While time is unaffected by the phase-space transformations considered here, we note that the partial time derivative $\partial/\partial t$ does not commute with the push-forward operator \mathbb{T}^{-1} and, thus, the commutation relation $[\mathbb{T}^{-1}, \partial/\partial t]$ must be calculated carefully.

4.2.1. Transformed partial time derivative

On the left side of Eq. (4.3), we introduce the transformed partial time derivative $\bar{\partial}/\partial t$:

$$\mathbb{T}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right) = \left[\mathbb{T}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbb{T}\right)\right]\mathbb{T}^{-1}f \equiv \frac{\bar{\partial}f}{\partial t}, \quad (4.4)$$

which is defined by the operator-commutation identity

$$\frac{\bar{\partial}f}{\partial t} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \left[\mathbb{T}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbb{T}\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]f \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\bar{\partial}\bar{Z}^\alpha}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha}, \quad (4.5)$$

where the second term is expressed as a partial-differential operator in the new phase space, with

$$\frac{\overline{\partial} \overline{Z}^\alpha}{\partial t} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial(\mathbb{T} \overline{Z}^\alpha)}{\partial t} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Here, the right side of Eq. (4.6) involves a three-step procedure: first, the pull-back $\mathbb{T} \overline{Z}^\alpha$ of each new phase-space coordinate \overline{Z}^α is calculated as a function on particle phase space $\mathbb{T} \overline{Z}^\alpha(\mathbf{z})$; second, the partial time derivative $\partial(\mathbb{T} \overline{Z}^\alpha)/\partial t$ of the pull-back is calculated in particle phase space in terms of the electromagnetic-field derivatives $\partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$ and $\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t$; and, third, $\partial(\mathbb{T} \overline{Z}^\alpha)/\partial t$ is then pushed-forward into the new phase space: $\mathbb{T}^{-1}[\partial(\mathbb{T} \overline{Z}^\alpha)/\partial t]$. Note that, for a time-independent phase-space transformation, the operators $\partial/\partial t$ and \mathbb{T}^{-1} commute and $\mathbb{T}^{-1}(\partial f/\partial t) = \partial(\mathbb{T}^{-1} f)/\partial t = \partial \overline{f}/\partial t$.

4.2.2. Transformed Poisson bracket

On the right side of Eq. (4.3), we define the transformed Poisson bracket $\overline{\{, \}}$ on the new phase space from the identity (Brizard 2008)

$$\overline{\{ \overline{f}, \overline{g} \}} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\{ f, g \} \right) \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\{ \mathbb{T} \overline{f}, \mathbb{T} \overline{g} \} \right) \equiv \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial \overline{Z}^\mu} \overline{J}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \overline{g}}{\partial \overline{Z}^\nu}, \quad (4.7)$$

where, by construction, the new Poisson bracket automatically satisfies the Jacobi identity and the transformed Poisson matrix $\overline{J}^{\mu\nu}$ is defined in terms of the particle Poisson matrix $J^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \{ z^\alpha, z^\beta \}$ as

$$\overline{J}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial(\mathbb{T} \overline{Z}^\mu)}{\partial z^\alpha} J^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial(\mathbb{T} \overline{Z}^\nu)}{\partial z^\beta} \right) = \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\{ \mathbb{T} \overline{Z}^\mu, \mathbb{T} \overline{Z}^\nu \} \right) \equiv \overline{\{ \overline{Z}^\mu, \overline{Z}^\nu \}}. \quad (4.8)$$

The transformed Poisson bracket (4.7) is guaranteed to satisfy the Jacobi identity because it is derived from a transformed Lagrange two-form $\overline{\omega} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1} \omega = \mathbb{T}^{-1}(\mathbf{d}\gamma) = \mathbf{d}(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\gamma) \equiv \mathbf{d}\overline{\gamma}$ that is closed $\mathbf{d}\overline{\omega} \equiv 0$.

The transformed Poisson bracket (4.7) can also be expressed in phase-space divergence form as

$$\overline{\{ \overline{f}, \overline{g} \}} \equiv \frac{1}{\overline{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}^\alpha} \left(\overline{J} \overline{f} \overline{\{ \overline{Z}^\alpha, \overline{g} \}} \right), \quad (4.9)$$

which follows from the Liouville identities

$$\frac{1}{\overline{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}^\alpha} \left(\overline{J} \overline{J}^{\alpha\beta} \right) \equiv 0. \quad (4.10)$$

The divergence form (4.9) yields the phase-space integral identity

$$\int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{J} \overline{f} \overline{\{ \overline{g}, \overline{h} \}} \equiv - \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{J} \overline{g} \overline{\{ \overline{f}, \overline{h} \}}. \quad (4.11)$$

Using the definition (4.7), the right side of Eq. (4.3) becomes

$$- \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\{ f, K \} + e \mathbf{E} \cdot \{ \mathbf{x}, f \} \right) = - \overline{\{ \overline{f}, \overline{K} \}} - e \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{E} \cdot \overline{\{ \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \overline{f} \}}, \quad (4.12)$$

where $\mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x}$ denotes the push-forward of the particle position \mathbf{x} and $\mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{E}$ denotes the push-forward of the electric field as it appears in the reduced particle dynamics.

4.2.3. Transformed Vlasov equation

By combining the left and right sides of Eq. (4.3), we obtain the transformed Vlasov equation

$$\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t} = - \left[\overline{\left\{ \bar{Z}^\alpha, \bar{K} \right\}} + e \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{E} \cdot \overline{\left\{ \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \bar{Z}^\alpha \right\}} + \frac{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha}{\partial t} \right] \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \equiv - \frac{d \bar{Z}^\alpha}{dt} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha}, \quad (4.13)$$

where the transformed phase-space dynamics $d \bar{Z}^\alpha / dt$ includes the transformed partial-time derivative (4.6) as well as the transformed Hamilton equations

$$\dot{\bar{Z}}^\alpha \equiv \overline{\left\{ \bar{Z}^\alpha, \bar{K} \right\}} + e \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{E} \cdot \overline{\left\{ \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \bar{Z}^\alpha \right\}}. \quad (4.14)$$

Next, the transformed Liouville Theorem requires that the transformed Jacobian $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$ satisfies the evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{J}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \left(\bar{\mathcal{J}} \frac{d \bar{Z}^\alpha}{dt} \right) = 0.$$

Since the transformed Hamilton equations (4.14) satisfy the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \left(\bar{\mathcal{J}} \dot{\bar{Z}}^\alpha \right) \equiv 0,$$

however, the transformed Jacobian therefore satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{J}}}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \left(\bar{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha}{\partial t} \right) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \left[\bar{\mathcal{J}} \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial (\mathbb{T} \bar{Z}^\alpha)}{\partial t} \right) \right]. \quad (4.15)$$

Moreover, the transformed Vlasov equation (4.13) can be written in divergence form as

$$\frac{\partial (\bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f})}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \left(\bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f} \frac{d \bar{Z}^\alpha}{dt} \right) = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

which leads to the integral identity for $\bar{\mathcal{G}} \equiv \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f} \bar{g}$:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{G}}}{\partial t} = \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \left[\frac{\partial (\bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f})}{\partial t} \bar{g} + \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{g}}{\partial t} \right) \right] = \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f} \frac{d \bar{g}}{dt} \equiv \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f} \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{dg}{dt} \right).$$

For example, this equation guarantees that the particle-number conservation law (with $g = 1$) is satisfied by the transformed Vlasov equation.

4.2.4. Transformed Maxwell equations

Lastly, we turn our attention to the transformation of the Maxwell equations (3.13)-(3.16). Of course, Eqs. (3.14) and (3.16) are unchanged by the phase-space transformation since they are source-less. The Maxwell equations Eqs. (3.13) and (3.15), however, become

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi e \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f} \delta^3(\mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \frac{d(\mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x})}{dt} \equiv c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbb{T} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (4.17)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi e \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f} \delta^3(\mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \equiv 4\pi \mathbb{T} \varrho(\mathbf{r}), \quad (4.18)$$

where we have applied the meta-push-forward \mathbb{T} on the particle current density \mathbf{J} in Eq. (3.13) and the particle charge density ϱ in Eq. (3.15), which are both treated as

functionals labeled by the field position \mathbf{r} . In Eq. (4.17), the push-forward of the particle velocity is defined as

$$\overline{\frac{d(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\mathbf{x})}{dt}} = \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} \right) = \overline{\left\{ \mathbb{T}^{-1}\mathbf{x}, \overline{K} \right\}}, \quad (4.19)$$

where we used the identities $\overline{\partial(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\mathbf{x})/\partial t} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1}(\partial\mathbf{x}/\partial t) \equiv 0$ (i.e., the particle position \mathbf{x} does not explicitly depend on time) and $\overline{\left\{ \mathbb{T}^{-1}\mathbf{x}, \mathbb{T}^{-1}\mathbf{x} \right\}} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1}(\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\}) \equiv 0$.

4.3. Transformed functional variations

The variation of an arbitrary functional $\overline{\mathcal{F}}[\overline{f}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$ is defined in terms of the Fréchet derivative (3.3), which can be transformed to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T} [\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})] &= \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \mathbb{T}^{-1}(\delta f) \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta f} \right] \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}} + \delta\mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{B}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Here, the push-forward of the variation δf on particle phase space:

$$\mathbb{T}^{-1}(\delta f) = \delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}f) + ([\mathbb{T}^{-1}, \delta] \mathbb{T}) \mathbb{T}^{-1}f \equiv \delta\overline{f} + ([\mathbb{T}^{-1}, \delta] \mathbb{T}) \overline{f} \quad (4.21)$$

is expressed in terms of the variation $\delta\overline{f}$ on the new phase space and the commutation operator

$$([\mathbb{T}^{-1}, \delta] \mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{T}^{-1}(\delta\mathbb{T}) - \delta \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left[\delta \left(\mathbb{T}\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha \right) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha}, \quad (4.22)$$

which is similar to the commutation operation (4.5), with $\partial/\partial t$ replaced by δ . Hence, Eq. (4.21) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}^{-1}(\delta f) &= \delta\overline{f} + \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left[\delta \left(\mathbb{T}\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha \right) \right] \frac{\partial\overline{f}}{\partial\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \\ &\equiv \delta\overline{f} + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{E}}\overline{f} + \delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}\overline{f} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

where the differential operators $\partial_{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\partial_{\mathbf{B}}$ are defined as

$$\partial_{\mathbf{E}} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left[\frac{\delta \left(\mathbb{T}\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha \right)}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\mathbf{B}} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left[\frac{\delta \left(\mathbb{T}\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha \right)}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha}. \quad (4.24)$$

If we now use the identity $\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \mathbb{T}[\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})]$ in Eq. (4.20), we obtain the functional-variation relations

$$\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta f} \right] \equiv \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\overline{f}}, \quad (4.25)$$

$$\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \equiv \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} - \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \partial_{\mathbf{E}}\overline{f} \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\overline{f}} \equiv \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}}\overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (4.26)$$

$$\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \equiv \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \partial_{\mathbf{B}}\overline{f} \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\overline{f}} \equiv \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}\overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (4.27)$$

We note, here, that the meta-pull-back operator \mathbb{T}^{-1} introduces electromagnetic shifts ($\Delta_{\mathbf{E}}, \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}$) in functional derivatives due to the dependence of the phase-space transformation on the electromagnetic fields (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}), as shown in Eq. (4.24).

4.4. Transformed Hamiltonian functional

As an application of the transformed functional variations (4.25)-(4.27), we consider the transformed Hamiltonian functional $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ obtained as the meta-push-forward of the Hamiltonian functional (3.1):

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} \equiv \mathbb{T}\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbf{r}} (|\mathbf{E}|^2 + |\mathbf{B}|^2) + \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{f} \overline{K}, \quad (4.28)$$

where $\overline{K} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1}K$ denotes the transformed kinetic energy. First, the functional variation of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ yields

$$\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} + \delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} \right) + \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \left[\delta \overline{f} \overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{K} + \overline{f} \delta(\overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{K}) \right], \quad (4.29)$$

where $\delta(\overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{K}) = \delta \overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{K} + \overline{\mathcal{J}} \delta \overline{K}$. Here, we have [see Eq. (D 9)]

$$\delta \overline{\mathcal{J}} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \left[\overline{\mathcal{J}} \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\delta \mathbb{T} \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha \right) \right], \quad (4.30)$$

which also follows from Eq. (4.15), while

$$\delta \overline{K} = \delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}K) = \mathbb{T}^{-1}(\delta K) - ([\mathbb{T}^{-1}, \delta] \mathbb{T}) \mathbb{T}^{-1}K \equiv - \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\delta \mathbb{T} \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha \right) \frac{\partial \overline{K}}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha}, \quad (4.31)$$

where we used $\delta K \equiv 0$ (i.e., the particle kinetic energy K does not depend on \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B}).

Next, by combining the two expressions (4.30)-(4.31), we find

$$\delta(\overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{K}) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \left[\overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{K} \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\delta \mathbb{T} \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha \right) \right], \quad (4.32)$$

so that integration by parts of this term yields

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \overline{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} + \delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} \right) + \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \left[\delta \overline{f} \overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{K} + \overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{K} \left[\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\delta \mathbb{T} \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha \right) \right] \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \right] \\ &\equiv \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} + \delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right) + \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \delta \overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}) \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}})}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

where we find the functional variations

$$\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \overline{f}} = \overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{K}, \quad (4.34)$$

$$\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} = \frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} + \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \partial_{\mathbf{E}} \overline{f} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \overline{f}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} + \Delta_{\mathbf{E}} \overline{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (4.35)$$

$$\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} + \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \partial_{\mathbf{B}} \overline{f} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \overline{f}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} + \Delta_{\mathbf{B}} \overline{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (4.36)$$

Hence, substituting Eqs. (4.34)-(4.36) into Eqs. (4.25)-(4.27) yields

$$\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{H}})}{\delta \overline{f}} \right] \equiv \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \overline{f}} = \overline{K} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta f} \right), \quad (4.37)$$

$$\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{H}})}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \equiv \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}} \overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}, \quad (4.38)$$

$$\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{H}})}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \equiv \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}} \overline{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}, \quad (4.39)$$

where we see that the terms $(\Delta_{\mathbf{E}}\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ are exactly cancelled in the functional derivatives $(\delta\overline{\mathcal{H}}/\delta\mathbf{E}, \delta\overline{\mathcal{H}}/\delta\mathbf{B})$, which is not the case for a general transformed functional $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$.

4.5. Transformed Vlasov-Maxwell bracket

The transformed Vlasov-Maxwell bracket is now constructed in a three-step process with the help of the meta-operators \mathbb{T}^{-1} and \mathbb{T} . First, we note that the Vlasov-Maxwell functional bracket (3.2) is itself a functional and, thus, transforms as a functional under the action of the meta-push-forward \mathbb{T} . Hence, we express the meta-push-forward of the Vlasov-Maxwell functional bracket (3.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}([\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]) &= \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \left[\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\left\{ \mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f}, \mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f} \right\} \right) \right] + [\text{Maxwell sub - bracket}] \\ &\quad - 4\pi e \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \left[\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} \right) \cdot \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f} \right\} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} \right) \cdot \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f} \right\} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

where the Maxwell sub-bracket is unaffected by the meta-push-forward (since it is independent of the Vlasov distribution function) and we used the distributivity property of the push-forward operation \mathbb{T}^{-1} in the interaction sub-bracket.

Next, we use the definition of the transformed single-particle Poisson bracket (4.7) in the Vlasov and interaction sub-brackets to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}([\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]) &= \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \left\{ \overline{\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f} \right)}, \overline{\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f} \right)} \right\} + [\text{Maxwell sub - bracket}] \\ &\quad - 4\pi e \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \left[\overline{\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} \right)} \cdot \overline{\left\{ \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f} \right) \right\}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \overline{\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} \right)} \cdot \overline{\left\{ \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f} \right) \right\}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

Lastly, we use the meta-pull-back operation \mathbb{T}^{-1} to replace the particle functionals \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} with the transformed functionals $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathbb{T}^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbb{T}^{-1}\mathcal{G}$, which yields the transformed Vlasov-Maxwell bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{[\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathcal{G}}]} &\equiv \mathbb{T}([\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{G}}]) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \left\{ \overline{\left[\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta f} (\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \right) \right]}, \overline{\left[\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta f} (\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{G}}) \right) \right]} \right\} \\ &\quad + 4\pi c \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{G}})}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{G}})}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right] \\ &\quad - 4\pi e \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \left[\overline{\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} \right)} \cdot \overline{\left\{ \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \left[\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta f} (\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{G}}) \right) \right] \right\}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \overline{\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{G}})}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} \right)} \cdot \overline{\left\{ \mathbb{T}^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \left[\mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta f} (\mathbb{T}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \right) \right] \right\}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

If we now substitute the transformed functional variations (4.25)-(4.27), we finally obtain

the transformed Vlasov-Maxwell bracket

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]} &= \int_{\mathbf{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{J} \bar{f}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \bar{f}}, \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{G}}}{\delta \bar{f}} \right\} \\
&\quad + 4\pi c \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}} \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \cdot \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{G}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}} \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{G}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}} \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \cdot \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}} \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \right] \\
&\quad - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{J} \bar{f}} \left[\Upsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}} \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \cdot \overline{\left\{ \Upsilon^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{G}}}{\delta \bar{f}} \right\}} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - \Upsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{G}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}} \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \cdot \overline{\left\{ \Upsilon^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \bar{f}} \right\}} \right]. \quad (4.43)
\end{aligned}$$

The bracket (4.43) is of the form of that of Eq. (47) of Morrison (2013), but is restricted since it is essentially a restatement of Vlasov-Maxwell theory, while the bracket of Morrison (2013) applies to a larger class of theories. We immediately note that the transformed Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (4.43) automatically satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{[\overline{[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]}, \overline{\mathcal{H}}]} + \overline{[\overline{[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}]}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}]} + \overline{[\overline{[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}]}, \overline{\mathcal{G}}]} &\equiv \mathbb{T} \left([[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}], \mathcal{H}] + [[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}], \mathcal{F}] + [[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}], \mathcal{G}] \right) \\
&\equiv 0, \quad (4.44)
\end{aligned}$$

since the original Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (3.2) satisfies the Jacobi identity.

We now show that the transformed Vlasov-Maxwell equations (4.13), (4.17), and (3.14) can be expressed in Hamiltonian form as $\overline{[\mathcal{F}, \overline{\mathcal{H}}]}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{[\mathcal{F}, \overline{\mathcal{H}}]} &= \int_{\mathbf{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{J} \bar{f}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \bar{f}}, \overline{K} \right\} \\
&\quad + c \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \right) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \right) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \right] \\
&\quad - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{J} \bar{f}} \left[\Upsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}} \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \cdot \overline{\left\{ \Upsilon^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \overline{K} \right\}} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - \Upsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})}{4\pi} \right) \cdot \overline{\left\{ \Upsilon^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \bar{f}} \right\}} \right], \quad (4.45)
\end{aligned}$$

where we made use of Eqs. (4.37)-(4.39). Upon integration by parts, using Eq. (4.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{[\mathcal{F}, \overline{\mathcal{H}}]} &= - \int_{\mathbf{Z}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \bar{f}} \left(\overline{\left\{ \bar{f}, \overline{K} \right\}} + e \Upsilon^{-1} \mathbf{E} \cdot \overline{\left\{ \Upsilon^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \bar{f} \right\}} \right) - \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \right) \cdot (c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \right) \cdot [c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbb{T} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r})] \\
&\equiv \int_{\mathbf{Z}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \bar{f}} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t} + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right), \quad (4.46)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\Delta_{\mathbf{E}} \bar{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \left(c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbb{T} \mathbf{J} \right) + \Delta_{\mathbf{B}} \bar{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \left(-c \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \right) \right] \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{r}} \int_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{E}} \bar{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}} \bar{\mathcal{F}} \right) \frac{\delta \bar{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \bar{\mathcal{F}}} \\
&= \int_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}} \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial (\mathbb{T} \bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha)}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha} \frac{\delta \bar{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \bar{f}} \equiv \int_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}} \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha} \frac{\delta \bar{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \bar{f}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have thus shown that, as a result of a general phase-space transformation $\mathcal{T} : \mathbf{z} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{z}}$, the transformed Vlasov-Maxwell equations possess a Hamiltonian structure that is constructed by operators $(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T}^{-1})$ and meta-operators $(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T}^{-1})$ derived from the phase-space transformation. Here, the transformed Hamiltonian functional (4.28) is defined as the meta-push-forward of the Hamiltonian functional $\bar{\mathcal{H}} \equiv \mathbb{T} \mathcal{H}$, while the transformed Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (4.43) is defined as $[\bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathcal{G}}] \equiv \mathbb{T}([\mathbb{T}^{-1} \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbb{T}^{-1} \bar{\mathcal{G}}])$.

5. Local Phase-space Coordinates

Reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations are often derived through a preliminary phase-space transformation \mathcal{T}_0 from the particle phase-space coordinates (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) to the local phase-space coordinates $(\mathbf{x}, p_{\parallel}, p_{\perp}, \zeta)$ derived from the local magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv B \hat{\mathbf{b}}$, where $p_{\parallel} \equiv \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ denotes the parallel component of the particle's momentum along the (local) magnetic-field unit vector at the particle's position \mathbf{x} , and $p_{\perp} \equiv |\mathbf{p} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}|$ denotes the magnitude of the perpendicular component of the particle's momentum. It is also convenient to express $p_{\perp} \equiv (2m\mu B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in terms of the lowest-order magnetic moment $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \equiv |\mathbf{p} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}|^2 / (2mB)$ for guiding-center (Littlejohn 1983) and gyrocenter applications (Brizard & Hahm 2007). The local gyroangle ζ denotes the orientation of the particle's perpendicular momentum in the (local) plane perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, which is defined in terms of the differential equation $\hat{\perp} \equiv \partial \hat{\rho} / \partial \zeta$, where the plane locally perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \equiv \hat{\perp} \times \hat{\rho}$ is defined in terms of two arbitrary rotating unit vectors $(\hat{\perp}, \hat{\rho})$. The local momentum is, thus, decomposed under the action of \mathcal{T}_0 as

$$\mathcal{T}_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \equiv \left(\mathbf{x}, p_{\parallel}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) + p_{\perp}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \hat{\perp}(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) \right). \quad (5.1)$$

With the local phase-space coordinates $(\mathbf{x}, p_{\parallel}, \mu, \zeta)$, the Jacobian is $\mathcal{J}_0 = mB$. Lastly, we note that, at constant (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) , the local momentum coordinates $(p_{\parallel}, \mu, \zeta)$ are time-dependent functions through their dependence on \mathbf{B} .

5.1. Local Hamiltonian dynamics

Local particle Hamiltonian dynamics is expressed in terms of the local kinetic energy $K_0 = p_{\parallel}^2 / 2m + \mu B$ and a local Poisson bracket constructed as follows. We begin with the local Lagrange one-form

$$\gamma_0 = \left[\frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) + \left(p_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) + p_{\perp}(\mu, \mathbf{x}) \hat{\perp}(\zeta, \mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \cdot d\mathbf{x}, \quad (5.2)$$

from which we obtain the local Lagrange two-form

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_0 \equiv d\gamma_0 &= \frac{e}{2c} B_0^{*k} \varepsilon_{ijk} dx^i \wedge dx^j + dp_{\parallel} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\partial p_{\perp}}{\partial \mu} d\mu \wedge \hat{\perp} \cdot d\mathbf{x} - p_{\perp} d\zeta \wedge \hat{\rho} \cdot d\mathbf{x} \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{2} \omega_{0\alpha\beta} dz_0^\alpha \wedge dz_0^\beta,
\end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where the local *canonical* magnetic field is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{B}_0^* &\equiv \nabla \times \left[\mathbf{A} + \frac{c}{e} \left(p_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + p_{\perp}(\mu, B) \hat{\perp} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbf{B} + \frac{cp_{\parallel}}{e} \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{cp_{\perp}}{e} \left(\nabla \times \hat{\perp} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\perp} \times \nabla \ln B \right).\end{aligned}\quad (5.4)$$

Next, we derive the local Poisson matrix (with components $J_0^{\alpha\beta}$) as the inverse of the Lagrange matrix (with components $\omega_{0\alpha\beta}$), which yields the local Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\}_0 \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0^\alpha} J_0^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_0^\beta} = \nabla f \cdot \boldsymbol{\partial} g - \boldsymbol{\partial} f \cdot \nabla g + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B}_0^* \cdot (\boldsymbol{\partial} f \times \boldsymbol{\partial} g), \quad (5.5)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\partial}$ denotes the local momentum-space gradient:

$$\boldsymbol{\partial} f \equiv \hat{\mathbf{b}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{\parallel}} + \hat{\perp} \frac{p_{\perp}}{mB} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu} - \frac{\hat{\rho}}{p_{\perp}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{b}} \partial_{\parallel} f + \boldsymbol{\partial}_{\perp} f. \quad (5.6)$$

We note that the local Poisson bracket (5.5), which automatically satisfies the Jacobi identity [see Eq. (A 9)], can be expressed in phase-space divergence form (using the local Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_0 = mB$)

$$\{f, g\}_0 \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^\alpha} \left(\mathcal{J}_0 f \left\{ z_0^\alpha, g \right\}_0 \right), \quad (5.7)$$

so that we obtain the integral identity $\int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \mathcal{J}_0 f \{g, h\}_0 \equiv - \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \mathcal{J}_0 g \{f, h\}_0$, where $\int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \equiv \int d^3x dp_{\parallel} d\mu d\zeta$.

The Hamilton equations (3.18) are given in local particle phase space in terms of the local kinetic energy K_0 and the local Poisson bracket (5.5) as

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_0 \equiv \{\mathbf{x}, K_0\}_0 = \frac{p_{\parallel}}{m} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{p_{\perp}}{m} \hat{\perp}, \quad (5.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{p}_{\parallel 0} &\equiv \{p_{\parallel}, K_0\}_0 + e \mathbf{E} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot (e \mathbf{E} - \mu \nabla B) + p_{\perp} \hat{\rho} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{m} \nabla \times (p_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + p_{\perp} \hat{\perp}) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (5.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mu}_0 &\equiv \{\mu, K_0\}_0 + e \mathbf{E} \cdot \hat{\perp} \frac{p_{\perp}}{mB} \\ &= \frac{p_{\perp}}{mB} \hat{\perp} \cdot (e \mathbf{E} - \mu \nabla B) - \frac{p_{\perp} p_{\parallel}}{mB} \hat{\rho} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{m} \nabla \times (p_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + p_{\perp} \hat{\perp}) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (5.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\zeta}_0 &\equiv \{\zeta, K_0\}_0 - e \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\hat{\rho}}{p_{\perp}} \\ &= - \frac{\hat{\rho}}{p_{\perp}} \cdot (e \mathbf{E} - \mu \nabla B) + \frac{eB}{mc} - \frac{p_{\parallel}}{p_{\perp}} \hat{\perp} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{m} \nabla \times (p_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + p_{\perp} \hat{\perp}) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (5.11)$$

where we used $\mathsf{T}_0^{-1} \mathbf{x} \equiv \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathsf{T}_0^{-1} \mathbf{E} \equiv \mathbf{E}$. We note that Eqs. (5.8)-(5.11) satisfy the local Liouville Theorem

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathcal{J}_0 \dot{\mathbf{x}}_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\parallel}} (\mathcal{J}_0 \dot{p}_{\parallel 0}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} (\mathcal{J}_0 \dot{\mu}_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} (\mathcal{J}_0 \dot{\zeta}_0) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^\alpha} (z_0^\alpha \mathcal{J}_0) = 0, \quad (5.12)$$

which is a necessary requirement from any set of Hamilton equations. We also note that when Eq. (5.10) is averaged with respect to the local gyroangle ζ : $\langle \dot{\mu}_0 \rangle \equiv \oint \dot{\mu}_0 d\zeta / (2\pi)$, we find

$$\langle \dot{\mu}_0 \rangle = - \mu_0 \left[\frac{p_{\parallel}}{mB} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) \right] = 0,$$

which is a necessary requirement for the construction of the guiding-center magnetic moment as an adiabatic invariant (Cary & Brizard 2009).

Using the local Hamilton equations (5.8)-(5.11), the local Vlasov equation is now expressed as

$$\frac{\partial_0 f_0}{\partial t} \equiv \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial_0 z_0^\alpha}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial z_0^\alpha} = -\dot{\mathbf{x}}_0 \cdot \nabla f_0 - \dot{p}_{\parallel 0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p_{\parallel}} - \dot{\mu}_0 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mu} - \dot{\zeta}_0 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \zeta}, \quad (5.13)$$

where the local time partial derivative $\partial_0/\partial t \equiv \mathsf{T}_0^{-1}(\partial \mathsf{T}_0/\partial)$ is derived below [see Eq. (5.32)], with $\partial_0 z_0^\alpha/\partial t$ evaluated at constant (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) . We note that, since the local Hamilton equations (5.8)-(5.11) depend explicitly on the local gyroangle ζ (through the rotating unit vectors $\hat{\perp}$ and $\hat{\rho}$), the local Vlasov function f_0 evolves rapidly on the gyromotion time scale.

Lastly, the Maxwell equations for the electromagnetic fields (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) are

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \mathcal{J}_0 f_0 \dot{\mathbf{x}}_0 \equiv c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbf{J}_0, \quad (5.14)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad (5.15)$$

with

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \mathcal{J}_0 f_0 \equiv 4\pi \varrho_0 \quad (5.16)$$

and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$. We will now show that the local Vlasov-Maxwell equations (5.13)-(5.15) possess a Hamiltonian formulation.

5.2. Local coordinate and field variations

The particle velocity is represented in terms of components of the local magnetic field and, thus, the local particle phase-space coordinates acquire an explicit dependence on the magnetic field (Morrison *et al.* 2013).

5.2.1. Local coordinate variations

Since the definition of the local phase-space coordinates depends on the local magnetic field $\mathbf{B} \equiv B \hat{\mathbf{b}}$, they are susceptible to variations $\delta \mathbf{B} \equiv \delta B \hat{\mathbf{b}} + B \delta \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ in the magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = B \hat{\mathbf{b}}$. Hence, at fixed (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) , we can calculate $\mathsf{T}_0^{-1}[\delta(\mathsf{T}_0 z_0^\alpha)] \equiv \delta_0 z_0^\alpha$ for each local coordinate z_0^α , with $\delta_0 \mathbf{x} \equiv 0$.

We begin with $\delta_0 p_{\parallel}$: first, $\mathsf{T}_0 p_{\parallel} \equiv \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}$, so that $\delta(\mathsf{T}_0 p_{\parallel}) \equiv \mathbf{p} \cdot \delta \hat{\mathbf{b}}$; next,

$$\mathsf{T}_0^{-1}[\delta(\mathsf{T}_0 p_{\parallel})] \equiv (\mathsf{T}_0^{-1} \mathbf{p}) \cdot \delta \hat{\mathbf{b}} = (p_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + p_{\perp} \hat{\perp}) \cdot \delta \hat{\mathbf{b}}.$$

By using the identity $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \delta \hat{\mathbf{b}} \equiv 0$, where $\delta \hat{\mathbf{b}} \equiv \delta(\mathbf{B}/B) = (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{B}/B$, we thus find

$$\delta_0 p_{\parallel} = p_{\perp} \hat{\perp} \cdot \delta \hat{\mathbf{b}}. \quad (5.17)$$

Similarly, we find

$$\delta_0 p_{\perp} = \mathsf{T}_0^{-1}[\delta(\mathsf{T}_0 p_{\perp})] = \mathsf{T}_0^{-1} \left[\mathbf{p} \times \delta \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{p} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} / |\mathbf{p} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}| \right) \right] = -p_{\parallel} \hat{\perp} \cdot \delta \hat{\mathbf{b}}, \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$\delta_0 \mu = \delta_0 \left(\frac{p_{\perp}^2}{2mB} \right) = \frac{p_{\perp}}{mB} \delta_0 p_{\perp} - \mu \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \frac{\delta \mathbf{B}}{B} \equiv - \left(\frac{p_{\perp} p_{\parallel}}{mB} \hat{\perp} + \mu \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \cdot \frac{\delta \mathbf{B}}{B}. \quad (5.19)$$

We note that the variations (5.17)-(5.19) satisfy the energy conservation law

$$\delta_0 K_0 = \frac{p_{\parallel}}{m} \delta_0 p_{\parallel} + \delta_0 \mu B + \mu \delta B \equiv 0. \quad (5.20)$$

The expression for the gyroangle variation $\delta_0 \zeta$ is derived as follows. From the identity $\delta_0(\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} \mathbf{p}) \equiv 0$, we find the vector identity

$$0 \equiv \delta_0 p_{\parallel} \widehat{\mathbf{b}} + p_{\parallel} \delta \widehat{\mathbf{b}} + \delta_0 p_{\perp} \widehat{\perp} + p_{\perp} \delta_0 \widehat{\perp}. \quad (5.21)$$

Using Eq. (5.17), the parallel component of Eq. (5.21) yields the identity $p_{\perp}(\widehat{\perp} \cdot \delta \widehat{\mathbf{b}} + \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \delta_0 \widehat{\perp}) \equiv p_{\perp} \delta_0(\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \widehat{\perp}) \equiv 0$, while its component along $\widehat{\perp}$ is identically zero from Eq. (5.18). The remaining component is along $\widehat{\rho}$: $p_{\parallel} \delta \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \widehat{\rho} + p_{\perp} \delta_0 \widehat{\perp} \cdot \widehat{\rho} \equiv 0$. Using the definition $\widehat{\rho} \equiv -\partial \widehat{\perp} / \partial \zeta$, we thus find

$$\delta_0 \zeta \equiv \frac{p_{\parallel}}{p_{\perp}} \widehat{\rho} \cdot \delta \widehat{\mathbf{b}}. \quad (5.22)$$

In addition, we note the local-coordinate variations (5.17), (5.19), and (5.22) satisfy the divergence property

$$\frac{\partial \delta_0 p_{\parallel}}{\partial p_{\parallel}} + \frac{\partial \delta_0 \mu}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\partial \delta_0 \zeta}{\partial \zeta} \equiv -\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{B} \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} = -\delta \ln B. \quad (5.23)$$

Lastly, we also have the local partial-time derivatives

$$\frac{\partial_0 p_{\parallel}}{\partial t} = p_{\perp} \widehat{\perp} \cdot \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial t}, \quad (5.24)$$

$$\frac{\partial_0 \mu}{\partial t} = -\left(\frac{p_{\perp} p_{\parallel}}{mB} \widehat{\perp} + \mu \widehat{\mathbf{b}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \quad (5.25)$$

$$\frac{\partial_0 \zeta}{\partial t} = \frac{p_{\parallel}}{p_{\perp}} \widehat{\rho} \cdot \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial t}, \quad (5.26)$$

which satisfy the divergence property

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\parallel}} \left(\frac{\partial_0 p_{\parallel}}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left(\frac{\partial_0 \mu}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left(\frac{\partial_0 \zeta}{\partial t} \right) \equiv -\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{B} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \ln B}{\partial t}. \quad (5.27)$$

If we multiply this equation with $\mathcal{J}_0 = mB$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_0}{\partial t} \equiv -\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^{\alpha}} \left(\mathcal{J}_0 \frac{\partial_0 z_0^{\alpha}}{\partial t} \right), \quad (5.28)$$

which is a special case of the general equation (4.15) for the transformed Jacobian $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$.

5.2.2. Local field variations

We now introduce the pull-back and push-forward operators associated with the local phase-space transformation: $f \equiv \mathbb{T}_0 f_0$ and $f_0 \equiv \mathbb{T}_0^{-1} f$, which both satisfy the scalar-covariance property $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = f_0(\mathbf{x}, p_{\parallel}, \mu, \zeta)$. Using these operators, we construct the relation between the variation δf in particle phase space and the variation δf_0 in local particle phase space.

For this purpose, we construct the push-forward $\mathbb{T}_0^{-1}(\delta f)$ of the particle phase-space variation δf :

$$\mathbb{T}_0^{-1}(\delta f) = \delta(\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} f) + \left([\mathbb{T}_0^{-1}, \delta] \mathbb{T}_0 \right) \mathbb{T}_0^{-1} f \equiv \delta f_0 + \left([\mathbb{T}_0^{-1}, \delta] \mathbb{T}_0 \right) f_0, \quad (5.29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\left[\mathbb{T}_0^{-1}, \delta \right] \mathbb{T}_0 \right) f_0 &\equiv \delta_0 f_0 = \delta_0 p_{\parallel} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p_{\parallel}} + \delta_0 \mu \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mu} + \delta_0 \zeta \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \zeta} \\
&= \delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta_0 p_{\parallel}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p_{\parallel}} + \frac{\delta_0 \mu}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\delta_0 \zeta}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \zeta} \right) \\
&= \frac{\delta \mathbf{B}}{B} \cdot \left[\left(p_{\perp} \hat{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} f_0 - p_{\parallel} \partial_{\perp} f_0 \right) - \hat{\mathbf{b}} \mu \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mu} \right] \equiv \delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

where we used Eqs. (5.17)-(5.22). By inserting Eq. (5.30) into Eq. (5.29), the push-forward $\mathbb{T}_0^{-1}(\delta f)$ is now expressed in terms of δf_0 and $\delta \mathbf{B}$ as

$$\mathbb{T}_0^{-1}(\delta f) = \delta f_0 + \delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0, \tag{5.31}$$

which agrees with Eqs. (12) and (32) of Morrison *et al.* (2013).

Another application of the push-forward relation (5.29) involves replacing the operator δ with $\partial/\partial t$ in order to derive an expression for the local partial time derivative $\partial_0/\partial t$ used in Eq. (5.13):

$$\frac{\partial_0 f_0}{\partial t} \equiv \mathbb{T}_0^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right) \equiv \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \left(\left[\mathbb{T}_0^{-1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] \mathbb{T}_0 \right) f_0 \equiv \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0. \tag{5.32}$$

Returning to the local Vlasov equation (5.13), we therefore find

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0 = -\dot{\mathbf{x}}_0 \cdot \nabla f_0 - \dot{p}_{\parallel 0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p_{\parallel}} - \dot{\mu}_0 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mu} - \dot{\zeta}_0 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \zeta}.$$

Next, we use the identity

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0 = \frac{\partial_0 p_{\parallel}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p_{\parallel}} + \frac{\partial_0 \mu}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\partial_0 \zeta}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \zeta} \equiv \frac{\partial_0 z_0^{\alpha}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial z_0^{\alpha}}, \tag{5.33}$$

and define the total local time derivatives

$$\frac{d_0 z_0^{\alpha}}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial_0 z_0^{\alpha}}{\partial t} + \dot{z}_0^{\alpha} \equiv \frac{\partial_0 z_0^{\alpha}}{\partial t} + \left\{ z_0^{\alpha}, K_0 \right\}_0 + e \mathbf{E} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, z_0^{\alpha} \right\}_0, \tag{5.34}$$

with $\partial \mathbf{x} / \partial t \equiv 0$, so that the local Vlasov equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} = - \frac{d_0 z_0^{\alpha}}{dt} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial z_0^{\alpha}}, \tag{5.35}$$

which can also be expressed in divergence form as follows.

If we now multiply the local Vlasov equation (5.13) by the local Jacobian \mathcal{J}_0 and, use the local Liouville theorem (5.12), we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}_0 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \mathcal{J}_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0 = - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^{\alpha}} \left(\mathcal{J}_0 \dot{z}_0^{\alpha} f_0 \right). \tag{5.36}$$

Next, using Eq. (5.28), we rewrite Eq. (5.33) as

$$\mathcal{J}_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0 \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_0}{\partial t} f_0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\parallel}} \left(\mathcal{J}_0 \frac{\partial_0 p_{\parallel}}{\partial t} f_0 \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left(\mathcal{J}_0 \frac{\partial_0 \mu}{\partial t} f_0 \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left(\mathcal{J}_0 \frac{\partial_0 \zeta}{\partial t} f_0 \right),$$

and the local Vlasov equation (5.35) can now be expressed in local phase-space divergence form

$$\frac{\partial(\mathcal{J}_0 f_0)}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^{\alpha}} \left(\frac{d_0 z_0^{\alpha}}{dt} \mathcal{J}_0 f_0 \right). \tag{5.37}$$

5.3. Local Functionals

Our next step is now to define a transformation from functionals $\mathcal{F}[f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$ to functionals $\mathcal{F}_0[f_0, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$ based on the scalar-covariance property: $\mathcal{F}[f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}] = \mathcal{F}_0[f_0, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$. Using Eqs. (4.25)-(4.27), we find

$$\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0[\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]}{\delta f(\mathbf{z})} \right] \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta f_0(\mathbf{z}_0)}, \quad (5.38)$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0[\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}, \quad (5.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0[\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} &\equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta f_0(\mathbf{z}_0)} \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0 \\ &\equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{r}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

The more general case of a local phase-space transformation that depends on \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} would introduce an additional term $\Delta_{\mathbf{E}}^{(0)} \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{r})$ on the right side of Eq. (5.39) but would leave Eq. (5.38) invariant.

As a specific application of the local functional variations (5.38)-(5.40), we consider the local Hamiltonian functional

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{E}|^2}{8\pi} + \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{8\pi} \right) + \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \mathcal{J}_0 f_0 K_0. \quad (5.41)$$

The local functional variations of Eq. (5.41) are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} &= \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} + \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) f_0 \frac{\delta(\mathcal{J}_0 K_0)}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} + \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \mathcal{J}_0 f_0 \left[\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{B} (K_0 + \mu B) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

with $\delta \mathcal{H}_0 / \delta f_0 = \mathcal{J}_0 K_0$ and $\delta \mathcal{H}_0 / \delta \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} / 4\pi = \delta \mathcal{H}_0[\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}] / \delta \mathbf{E}$. On the other hand, Eq. (5.40) yields

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_0[\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{r}), \quad (5.43)$$

and thus Eqs. (5.42)-(5.43) yield

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_0[\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi}. \quad (5.44)$$

5.4. Local Vlasov-Maxwell bracket

Now that we have calculated the local functional variations (5.38)-(5.40), we can transform the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (3.2) and obtain the local Vlasov-Maxwell bracket

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{G}_0]_0 &\equiv \mathbb{T}_0 \left([\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{T}_0^{-1} \mathcal{G}_0] \right) \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \mathcal{J}_0 f_0 \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta f_0}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}_0}{\delta f_0} \right\}_0 \\
&\quad + 4\pi c \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{G}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{G}_0 \right) - \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{F}_0 \right) \right] \\
&\quad - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \mathcal{J}_0 f_0 \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}_0}{\delta f_0} \right\}_0 - \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta f_0} \right\}_0 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

We note that this form is generic to all phase-space transformations that depend on the magnetic field only. In addition, because the local Poisson bracket $\{ \ }_0$ also depends on magnetic-field gradients (e.g., $\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ in \mathbf{B}_0^*), the Jacobi identity (3.9) for the local bracket $[\ , \]_0$ might be difficult to prove explicitly. We will show below that the local Jacobi identity will be greatly simplified by defining operators on functionals.

We now show that the local Vlasov-Maxwell equations (5.13)-(5.15) can be formulated in Hamiltonian form as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_0}{\partial t} = [\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{H}_0]_0 \equiv \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta f_0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right). \tag{5.46}$$

First, we calculate the local bracket with the local Hamiltonian functional (5.41):

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{H}_0]_0 &= \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \mathcal{J}_0 f_0 \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta f_0}, K_0 \right\}_0 \\
&\quad + 4\pi c \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} - \frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} \cdot \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{r}) \right) \right] \\
&\quad - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \mathcal{J}_0 f_0 \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, K_0 \right\}_0 - \frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})}{4\pi} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta f_0} \right\}_0 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the term $\Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{H}_0$ is cancelled by $\delta \mathcal{H}_0 / \delta \mathbf{B}$ [see Eq. (5.42)], with $\delta \mathcal{H}_0 / \delta \mathbf{B} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{H}_0 \equiv \mathbf{B} / 4\pi$.

Next, after integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{H}_0]_0 &= - \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta f_0(\mathbf{z}_0)} \left(\{f_0, K_0\}_0 + e \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \{ \mathbf{x}, f_0 \}_0 - c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0 \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot (c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbf{J}_0) + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot (-c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

from which we recover Eq. (5.46) when the local Vlasov-Maxwell equations (5.13)-(5.15) are substituted. Here, we used the relation

$$-c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0 = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} f_0 \equiv \frac{\partial_0 z_0^\alpha}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial z_0^\alpha}$$

to recover the local Vlasov equation (5.35).

5.5. Alternate local Hamiltonian formulation

It is sometimes convenient to formulate the local Vlasov-Maxwell equations in terms of the local Vlasov distribution $F_0 \equiv \mathcal{J}_0 f_0$, defined as the component of the Vlasov

(differential) six-form $F_0 d^6 z_0$ (where $d^6 z_0$ excludes the local Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_0 \equiv mB$). In this case, the local Vlasov equation (5.37) becomes

$$\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^\alpha} \left(\frac{d_0 z_0^\alpha}{dt} F_0 \right), \quad (5.48)$$

while the Maxwell equation (5.14) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} F_0 \dot{\mathbf{x}}_0. \quad (5.49)$$

Next, under this field transformation, the functional derivatives of $\mathcal{F}'_0[F_0, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}] \equiv \mathcal{F}_0[f_0, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$ become

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta f_0} = \mathcal{J}_0 \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}} + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{B} F_0 \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0}, \quad (5.50)$$

so that the local Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (5.45) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{F}'_0, \mathcal{G}'_0]'_0 &\equiv \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} F_0 \left\{ \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}'_0}{\delta F_0} \right\}_0 \\ &+ 4\pi c \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{G}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{G}'_0 \right) - \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{F}'_0 \right) \right] \\ &- 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} F_0 \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}'_0}{\delta F_0} \right\}_0 - \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0} \right\}_0 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.51)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{F}'_0 &\equiv \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0} \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} F_0 \equiv \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0} \left(\partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} F_0 - F_0 \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{B} \right) \\ &\equiv \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^\alpha} \left(\frac{\delta_0 z_0^\alpha}{\delta \mathbf{B}} F_0 \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

By considering the local Hamiltonian functional

$$\mathcal{H}'_0 = \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{E}|^2}{8\pi} + \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{8\pi} \right) + \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} F_0 K_0, \quad (5.53)$$

we obtain the functional derivative

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} + \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) F_0 \mu \hat{\mathbf{b}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} + \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{H}'_0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{H}'_0 &= - \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) F_0 \left(\frac{\delta_0 z_0^\alpha}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \frac{\partial K_0}{\partial z_0^\alpha} \right) \\ &\equiv \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) F_0 \frac{\delta K_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}} = \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) F_0 \mu \hat{\mathbf{b}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.54)$$

Hence, we also find

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{H}'_0(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi}.$$

It is interesting to note that Eq. (5.54) exactly yields the lowest-order guiding-center magnetization

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{gc}} \equiv - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} \mathcal{H}'_0 = - \int F_0 \mu \hat{\mathbf{b}} dp_{\parallel} d\mu d\zeta. \quad (5.55)$$

This is a special case of the general form for magnetizations and polarizations arising as functional derivatives of a term of the Hamiltonian (here $\int_{\mathbf{z}_0} F_0 K_0$) that appeared in Morrison (2013), which is a generalization of the expressions of Pfirsch (1984) and Pfirsch & Morrison (1985) for guiding-center kinetic theories. For recent work, see Brizard (2013) and Brizard & Tronci (2016).

Lastly, we show that the local Vlasov-Maxwell equations (5.48)-(5.49), as well as Eq. (3.14), possess a Hamiltonian structure in terms of the local Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (5.51) and the local Hamiltonian functional (5.53):

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{F}'_0, \mathcal{H}'_0]'_0 &= - \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0(\mathbf{z}_0)} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^\alpha} (F_0 \dot{z}_0^\alpha) - c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} F_0 \right] \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot (c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbf{J}_0) + \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot (-c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.56)$$

where the local Vlasov equation (5.48) is recovered through the identities

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{z}_0} F_0 \left(\left\{ \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0}, K_0 \right\}_0 + e \mathbf{E} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0} \right\}_0 \right) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \mathcal{J}_0 \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0} \left(\left\{ F_0, K_0 \right\}_0 + e \mathbf{E} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, F_0 \right\}_0 \right) \equiv - \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'_0}{\delta F_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^\alpha} (F_0 \dot{z}_0^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$-c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} F_0 = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{B}}^{(0)} F_0 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial_0 z_0^\alpha}{\partial t} F_0 \right).$$

6. Dynamical Reduction by Phase-space Transformation

We have seen in Sec. 5 that, while the local Vlasov-Maxwell equations (5.13)-(5.15) possess a Hamiltonian structure defined in terms of the local Hamiltonian functional (5.41) and the local Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (5.45), the local Vlasov function f_0 evolves rapidly on a short gyromotion time scale that needs to be removed for practical (e.g., numerical) applications. The asymptotic elimination of the gyromotion time scale from the local Vlasov-Maxwell equations proceeds with the guiding-center transformation $(\mathbf{x}, p_{\parallel}, \mu, \zeta) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{X}}, \bar{p}_{\parallel}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\zeta})$, where the guiding-center Hamiltonian dynamics is now decoupled from the gyromotion time scale (Littlejohn 1983; Cary & Brizard 2009).

The guiding-center phase-space transformation, however, is a non-local transformation involving displacements in local particle phase space that are expressed as asymptotic expansions in powers of an ordering parameter $\epsilon \ll 1$. It is precisely this nonlocal feature of the guiding-center transformation that allows the explicit introduction of guiding-center polarization and magnetization effects in guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell theory (Brizard 2013; Tronko & Brizard 2015). Since the guiding-center transformation becomes the identity transformation in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we now consider the transformation of the local Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian structure under the action of a near-identity phase-space transformation.

6.1. Near-identity phase-space transformation

The dynamical reduction of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations (3.12)-(3.16) is carried out by a near-identity phase-space transformation $\mathcal{T}^\epsilon : \mathbf{z}_0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathcal{T}^\epsilon \mathbf{z}_0$, where $\epsilon \ll 1$ denotes an ordering parameter associated with the dynamical reduction. The ordering parameter $\epsilon \equiv \omega \tau$ is often chosen by comparing a short orbital time scale τ with a long dynamical time scale $\omega^{-1} \gg \tau$ of interest.

Each reduced phase-space coordinate \bar{Z}^α is expressed as an asymptotic expansion in powers of ϵ involving components of the generating vector fields (G_1, G_2, \dots) on particle phase space:

$$\bar{Z}^\alpha(\mathbf{z}_0; \epsilon) = z_0^\alpha + \epsilon G_1^\alpha(\mathbf{z}_0) + \epsilon^2 \left(G_2^\alpha(\mathbf{z}_0) + \frac{1}{2} G_1^\beta(\mathbf{z}_0) \frac{\partial G_1^\alpha(\mathbf{z}_0)}{\partial z_0^\beta} \right) + \dots, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\bar{Z}^\alpha(\mathbf{z}_0; \epsilon = 0) = z_0^\alpha$ (i.e., to lowest order, the reduced phase-space coordinates are local). We note that this transformation is invertible (since $\epsilon \ll 1$), i.e., $\mathcal{T}^{-\epsilon} : \bar{\mathbf{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{z}_0 = \mathcal{T}^{-\epsilon} \bar{\mathbf{Z}}$, where each particle coordinate z_0^α is expressed as an asymptotic expansion in terms of the same generating vector fields (G_1, G_2, \dots) on reduced phase space:

$$z_0^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}; \epsilon) = \bar{Z}^\alpha - \epsilon G_1^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) - \epsilon^2 \left(G_2^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) - \frac{1}{2} G_1^\beta(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \frac{\partial G_1^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{Z}})}{\partial \bar{Z}^\beta} \right) + \dots. \quad (6.2)$$

The reduced Jacobian of the transformation (6.1) is constructed from the local Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_0 = mB$ and the generating vector fields (G_1, G_2, \dots) as

$$\bar{\mathcal{J}} \equiv \mathcal{J}_0 - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^\alpha} \left[\mathcal{J}_0 \left(\epsilon G_1^\alpha + \epsilon^2 G_2^\alpha + \dots \right) - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} G_1^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0^\beta} \left(\mathcal{J}_0 G_1^\beta + \dots \right) + \dots \right]. \quad (6.3)$$

We note that, while the local particle phase-space coordinates \mathbf{z}_0 are independent of the electric field \mathbf{E} , the reduced phase-space coordinates $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}_0; \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B})$, and the reduced Jacobian (6.3), generally depend on the electromagnetic fields (but not the local Vlasov distribution f_0).

6.2. Push-forward and Pull-back Operators

The reduction phase-space transformations (6.1)-(6.2) induce transformations in function space (Littlejohn 1982), where the push-forward operator

$$\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} : f_0 \rightarrow \bar{f} = \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} f_0 \equiv f_0 \circ \mathcal{T}^{-\epsilon} \quad (6.4)$$

transforms a function f_0 on local particle phase space into a function \bar{f} on reduced phase space, while the pull-back operator

$$\mathbb{T}^\epsilon : \bar{f} \rightarrow f_0 = \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \bar{f} \equiv \bar{f} \circ \mathcal{T}^\epsilon \quad (6.5)$$

transforms a function \bar{f} on reduced phase space into a function f_0 on local particle phase space. These induced transformations satisfy the scalar-covariance property

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f_0(\mathbf{z}_0) &= \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \bar{f}(\mathbf{z}_0) = \bar{f}(\mathcal{T}^\epsilon \mathbf{z}_0) = \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \\ \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) &= \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} f_0(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) = f_0(\mathcal{T}^{-\epsilon} \bar{\mathbf{Z}}) = f_0(\mathbf{z}_0) \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (6.6)$$

Moreover, the action of the push-forward operator on the phase-space infinitesimal volume yields the Jacobian transformation

$$\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}(\mathcal{J}_0 d^6 z_0) \equiv \bar{\mathcal{J}} d^6 \bar{\mathbf{Z}}, \quad (6.7)$$

from which we obtain the Jacobian expansion (6.3). It is also useful to express the reduced Jacobian (6.3) as

$$\bar{\mathcal{J}} \equiv (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \mathcal{J}_0) \left[1 - \epsilon \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{G}_1 - \epsilon^2 \mathbf{d} \cdot \left(\mathbf{G}_2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{G}_1 \right) + \dots \right] \equiv (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \mathcal{J}_0) \bar{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon, \quad (6.8)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon$ is defined by the identity $\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}(d^6 z_0) \equiv \bar{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon d^6 \bar{\mathbf{Z}}$.

The reduced Poisson bracket is defined in terms of the push-forward and pull-back operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\bar{f}, \bar{g}\}_\epsilon &= \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\{f, g\}_0 \right) = \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left[\left\{ \mathbb{T}^\epsilon (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} f), \mathbb{T}^\epsilon (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} g) \right\}_0 \right] = \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\left\{ \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \bar{f}, \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \bar{g} \right\}_0 \right) \\ &\equiv \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \bar{g}}{\partial \bar{Z}^\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{\bar{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \left(\bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f} \left\{ \bar{Z}^\alpha, \bar{g} \right\}_\epsilon \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

where the phase-space divergence form makes use of the reduced Liouville property

$$\frac{1}{\bar{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \left(\bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha\beta} \right) \equiv 0. \quad (6.10)$$

Because of the divergence form (6.9) of the reduced Poisson bracket, we have the reduced phase-space integral identity:

$$\int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{h} \left\{ \bar{f}, \bar{g} \right\}_\epsilon \equiv - \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{g} \left\{ \bar{f}, \bar{h} \right\}_\epsilon,$$

which is valid for any three reduced phase-space functions $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$.

Lastly, since the reduced Poisson matrix (with components $\bar{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \{\bar{Z}^\alpha, \bar{Z}^\beta\}_\epsilon$) is defined as the inverse of the reduced Lagrange matrix (with components $\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}$ defined as the components of an exact two-form $\bar{\omega} = \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \omega_0$ in reduced phase space), the Jacobi property of the reduced Poisson bracket (6.9) is guaranteed by the identity $d\bar{\omega} \equiv 0$, i.e., the exterior derivative of an exact two-form $\bar{\omega} \equiv d\bar{\Gamma}$ is automatically zero. A direct proof of the Jacobi identity for the reduced Poisson bracket (6.9) follows from the push-forward transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left\{ f, \{g, h\}_0 \right\}_0 &= \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left\{ \mathbb{T}^\epsilon (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} f), \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \left[\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \{ \mathbb{T}^\epsilon (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} g), \mathbb{T}^\epsilon (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} h) \}_0 \right] \right\}_0 \\ &= \left\{ (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} f), \{ (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} g), (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} h) \}_\epsilon \right\}_\epsilon \equiv \left\{ \bar{f}, \{ \bar{g}, \bar{h} \}_\epsilon \right\}_\epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

where the definition (6.9) for the reduced Poisson bracket has been used twice. Hence, since the local Poisson bracket $\{, \}_0$ satisfies the Jacobi identity, then so does the reduced Poisson bracket (6.9).

6.3. Reduced phase-space displacements

We now define two complementary phase-space displacements in terms of push-forward and pull-back operators as follows. The local particle phase-space displacement Δ^α is defined in terms of the pull-back operator as

$$\Delta^\alpha(\mathbf{z}_0) \equiv z_0^\alpha - \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \bar{Z}^\alpha = -\epsilon G_1^\alpha(\mathbf{z}_0) - \epsilon^2 \left(G_2^\alpha(\mathbf{z}_0) + \frac{1}{2} G_1^\beta(\mathbf{z}_0) \frac{\partial G_1^\alpha(\mathbf{z}_0)}{\partial z_0^\beta} \right) + \dots, \quad (6.12)$$

while the reduced phase-space displacement $\bar{\Delta}^\alpha$ is defined in terms of the push-forward operator as

$$\bar{\Delta}^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} z_0^\alpha - \bar{Z}^\alpha = -\epsilon G_1^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) - \epsilon^2 \left(G_2^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) - \frac{1}{2} G_1^\beta(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \frac{\partial G_1^\alpha(\bar{\mathbf{Z}})}{\partial \bar{Z}^\beta} \right) + \dots \quad (6.13)$$

These two definitions are related by push-forward or pull-back transformations $\bar{\Delta}^\alpha \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \Delta^\alpha$ and $\Delta^\alpha \equiv \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \bar{\Delta}^\alpha$.

Lastly, we note that the Jacobian $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon$ defined in Eq. (6.8) can be expressed as

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon \equiv 1 + \mathbf{d} \cdot \overline{\Delta} = 1 + \frac{\partial \overline{\Delta}^\alpha}{\partial \overline{Z}^\alpha} \equiv \frac{\partial(\Upsilon^{-\epsilon} z_0^\alpha)}{\partial \overline{Z}^\alpha}, \quad (6.14)$$

and the reduced Jacobian (6.8) becomes $\overline{\mathcal{J}} = (\Upsilon^{-\epsilon} \mathcal{J}_0) (1 + \mathbf{d} \cdot \overline{\Delta})$.

6.4. Reduced partial time derivative

Since the phase-space transformations considered here are time-dependent transformations (which nonetheless preserve time), the operation of time differentiation does not commute with the push-forward and pull-back operators (6.4)-(6.5). Hence, we define the reduced partial time derivative

$$\frac{\partial_\epsilon}{\partial t} \equiv \Upsilon^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{\partial_0}{\partial t} \Upsilon^\epsilon \right) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial_\epsilon \overline{Z}^\alpha}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}^\alpha}, \quad (6.15)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial_\epsilon \overline{Z}^\alpha}{\partial t} \equiv \Upsilon^{-\epsilon} \left[\frac{\partial_0(\Upsilon^\epsilon \overline{Z}^\alpha)}{\partial t} \right] = \Upsilon^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{\partial_0 z_0^\alpha}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial_0 \Delta^\alpha}{\partial t} \right), \quad (6.16)$$

and the partial-time derivative of the reduced Jacobian (6.8) is expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{J}}}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}^\alpha} \left(\overline{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial_\epsilon \overline{Z}^\alpha}{\partial t} \right), \quad (6.17)$$

which follows from Eq. (4.15).

6.5. Reduced Hamiltonian dynamics

Next, we transform the noncanonical Hamilton equations (3.18) to obtain

$$\frac{d_\epsilon \overline{Z}^\alpha}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial_\epsilon \overline{Z}^\alpha}{\partial t} + \left\{ \overline{Z}^\alpha, \overline{K} \right\}_\epsilon + e \Upsilon^{-\epsilon} \mathbf{E} \cdot \left\{ \Upsilon^{-\epsilon} \mathbf{x}, \overline{Z}^\alpha \right\}_\epsilon \quad (6.18)$$

where the push-forward of the kinetic energy is

$$\overline{K} \equiv \Upsilon^{-\epsilon} K = K - \epsilon G_1^\alpha \frac{\partial K}{\partial z^\alpha} - \epsilon^2 \left[G_2^\alpha \frac{\partial K}{\partial z^\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} G_1^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta} \left(G_1^\alpha \frac{\partial K}{\partial z^\alpha} \right) \right] + \dots \quad (6.19)$$

The reduced Hamilton equations (6.18) satisfy the reduced Liouville theorem

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{J}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}^\alpha} \left(\overline{\mathcal{J}} \frac{d_\epsilon \overline{Z}^\alpha}{dt} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}^\alpha} \left[\overline{\mathcal{J}} \left(\frac{d_\epsilon \overline{Z}^\alpha}{dt} - \frac{\partial_\epsilon \overline{Z}^\alpha}{\partial t} \right) \right] \equiv 0, \quad (6.20)$$

which follows from Eq. (6.18) and the reduced Liouville property (6.10).

7. Reduced Vlasov-Maxwell Equations

The phase-space transformations (6.1)-(6.2), and their associated induced operators and meta-operators, allow us to derive the set of reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations (Brizard 2008). Examples of such reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations include the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell equations (Brizard & Hahm 2007; Krommes 2012), which yield important numerical advantages (Garbet *et al.* 2010) in the computer simulations of the turbulent evolution of magnetized fusion plasmas.

7.1. Reduced Vlasov Equation

The reduced Vlasov equation is defined as the push-forward of the Vlasov equation (3.19)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{\partial_0 f_0}{\partial t} + \{f_0, K_0\}_0 + e \mathbf{E} \cdot \{\mathbf{x}, f_0\}_0 \right) \\ &\equiv \frac{\partial_\epsilon \bar{f}}{\partial t} + \left\{ \bar{f}, \bar{K} \right\}_\epsilon + e \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \mathbf{E} \cdot \left\{ \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \mathbf{x}, \bar{f} \right\}_\epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

which, using Eq. (6.15), yields

$$\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t} = - \frac{d_\epsilon \bar{Z}^\alpha}{dt} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \equiv - \left(\frac{\partial_\epsilon \bar{Z}^\alpha}{\partial t} + \left\{ \bar{Z}^\alpha, \bar{K} \right\}_\epsilon + e \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \mathbf{E} \cdot \left\{ \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \mathbf{x}, \bar{Z}^\alpha \right\}_\epsilon \right) \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha}, \quad (7.2)$$

where the reduced Vlasov distribution $\bar{f} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} f_0$ is defined as the push-forward of the local particle Vlasov distribution f_0 . The reduced Vlasov equation (7.2) can also be written in divergence form as

$$0 = \frac{\partial(\bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f})}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \left(\bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{f} \frac{d_\epsilon \bar{Z}^\alpha}{dt} \right), \quad (7.3)$$

where we used the reduced Liouville theorem (6.20).

7.2. Reduced Maxwell Equations

The reduced Maxwell equations are obtained from Eqs. (5.14) and (5.16) by transforming the local current and charge densities by meta-push-forward operation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial t} - c \nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) &= -4\pi e \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \delta^3(\bar{\mathbf{X}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon - \mathbf{r}) \left(\frac{d_\epsilon \bar{\mathbf{X}}}{dt} + \frac{d_\epsilon \bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon}{dt} \right) \\ &\equiv -4\pi \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathbf{J}_0(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi e \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \bar{\mathcal{J}}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \delta^3(\bar{\mathbf{X}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon - \mathbf{r}) \equiv 4\pi \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \varrho_0(\mathbf{r}), \quad (7.5)$$

while the remaining Maxwell equations (3.14) and (3.16) are unaffected by the dynamical reduction. In Eq. (7.4), we have introduced the push-forward of the particle velocity $\mathbf{v} = d\mathbf{x}/dt$:

$$\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{d_0 \mathbf{x}}{dt} \right) = \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left[\frac{d_0}{dt} \mathbb{T}^\epsilon (\bar{\mathbf{X}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon) \right] \equiv \frac{d_\epsilon \bar{\mathbf{X}}}{dt} + \frac{d_\epsilon \bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon}{dt} \equiv \left\{ \bar{\mathbf{X}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon, \bar{K} \right\}_\epsilon. \quad (7.6)$$

In Eqs. (7.4)-(7.6), the reduced spatial displacement

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{X}} \quad (7.7)$$

plays an important part in the definition of the reduced polarization and magnetization (Brizard 2008) in the reduced Maxwell equations (7.4)-(7.5). The meta-push-forward of the (local) charge density in Eq. (7.5) yields the transformation

$$\mathbb{T}^\epsilon \varrho_0 \equiv \varrho_\epsilon - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_\epsilon, \quad (7.8)$$

where ϱ_ϵ denotes the reduced charge density, defined as

$$\varrho_\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) \equiv e \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \delta^3(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{r}) \bar{\mathcal{J}}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}), \quad (7.9)$$

and the reduced polarization charge density $\varrho_{\text{pol}} \equiv -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_\epsilon$ is expressed in terms of the reduced polarization \mathbf{P}_ϵ , defined as (Brizard 2008, 2009)

$$\mathbf{P}_\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) \equiv e \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \delta^3(\overline{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{r}) \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon \overline{\mathcal{J}}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}) \overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}) + \dots. \quad (7.10)$$

The meta-push-forward of the (local) current density in Eq. (7.4) yields the transformation

$$\mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathbf{J}_0 \equiv \mathbf{J}_\epsilon + \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_\epsilon}{\partial t} + c \nabla \times \mathbf{M}_\epsilon, \quad (7.11)$$

where \mathbf{J}_ϵ denotes the reduced current density, defined as

$$\mathbf{J}_\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) \equiv e \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \delta^3(\overline{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{r}) \frac{d_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{X}}}{dt} \overline{\mathcal{J}}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}) \overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}), \quad (7.12)$$

$\mathbf{J}_{\text{pol}} \equiv \partial \mathbf{P}_\epsilon / \partial t$ denotes the reduced polarization current density, and the reduced magnetization current density $\mathcal{J}_{\text{mag}} \equiv c \nabla \times \mathbf{M}_\epsilon$ is expressed in terms of the reduced magnetization \mathbf{M}_ϵ , defined as (Brizard 2008, 2009)

$$\mathbf{M}_\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{e}{c} \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \delta^3(\overline{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{r}) \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon \times \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d_\epsilon \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon}{dt} + \frac{d_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{X}}}{dt} \right) \overline{\mathcal{J}}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}) \overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}) + \dots. \quad (7.13)$$

Hence, the reduced Maxwell equations (7.4)-(7.5) may also be written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}_\epsilon}{\partial t} - c \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_\epsilon = -4\pi \mathbf{J}_\epsilon, \quad (7.14)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_\epsilon = 4\pi \varrho_\epsilon, \quad (7.15)$$

where the reduced electromagnetic fields are $\mathbf{D}_\epsilon \equiv \mathbf{E} + 4\pi \mathbf{P}_\epsilon$ and $\mathbf{H}_\epsilon \equiv \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbf{M}_\epsilon$. The remaining two Maxwell equations (3.14) and (3.16) are unaffected by the phase-space transformation.

7.3. Reduced Hamiltonian Formulation

Before we discuss the lifting transformation of the local Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (5.45) by Lie-transform method, we introduce the reduced Hamiltonian functional obtained by the meta-push-forward transformation of the local Hamiltonian functional (5.41):

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}[\overline{f}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}] \equiv \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})|^2 + |\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})|^2 \right) + \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{J}}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}) \overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}) \overline{K}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}; \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}). \quad (7.16)$$

The reduced Hamiltonian functional (7.16) can be derived by Noether method from the Lagrangian formulation of gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell theory (Brizard 2000a,b), where $\overline{K} \equiv \overline{H} - e \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \Phi$ is expressed in terms of the gyrocenter Hamiltonian \overline{H} and the push-forward of the electric potential Φ .

The time derivative of the reduced Hamiltonian (7.16) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial t} &= \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right) + \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \left(\frac{\partial(\overline{\mathcal{J}}\overline{f})}{\partial t} \overline{K} + \overline{\mathcal{J}}\overline{f} \frac{\partial \overline{K}}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{J}}\overline{f} \left[\frac{d_\epsilon \overline{K}}{dt} - e \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{d_0 \mathbf{x}}{dt} \right) \right] \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{J}}\overline{f} \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left[\mathbb{T}_0^{-1} \left(\frac{dK}{dt} - e \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7.17)$$

where Eqs. (3.23) and (7.3) were used and exact divergences (in space and phase space)

vanish upon integration. In Sec. 8, we will construct a reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\epsilon$ in terms of which the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations (7.2) and (7.4)-(7.5) (with Eqs. (3.14) and (3.16)) are expressed in Hamiltonian form as

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\partial t} = [\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathcal{H}}]_\epsilon, \quad (7.18)$$

with the reduced Hamiltonian functional $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ given by Eq. (7.16).

8. Lie-transform Lift of the Vlasov-Maxwell Bracket

In complete analogy with the definition of the reduced Poisson bracket (6.9), we now define the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\epsilon$:

$$[\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathcal{G}}]_\epsilon \equiv \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \left(\left[(\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \overline{\mathcal{F}}), (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \overline{\mathcal{G}}) \right]_0 \right), \quad (8.1)$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ are two arbitrary functionals depending on the reduced Vlasov distribution \overline{f} and the electromagnetic fields (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) . The reduced bracket (8.1) satisfies the standard antisymmetry and Leibniz properties. By following the same procedure leading to the bracket transformation (6.11), we obtain the functional-bracket transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \left(\left[\mathcal{F}_0, [\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{H}_0]_0 \right]_0 \right) &= \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \left(\left[\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} (\mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathcal{F}_0), \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\mathbb{T}^\epsilon [\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} (\mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathcal{G}_0), \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} (\mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathcal{H}_0)]_0 \right) \right]_0 \right) \\ &= \left[\mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathcal{F}_0, [\mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathcal{G}_0, \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathcal{H}_0]_\epsilon \right]_\epsilon \equiv [\overline{\mathcal{F}}, [\overline{\mathcal{G}}, \overline{\mathcal{H}}]_\epsilon]_\epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

which shows that since the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and the local Vlasov-Maxwell bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_0$ satisfy the Jacobi identity (see App. B), then so does the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\epsilon$:

$$[\overline{\mathcal{F}}, [\overline{\mathcal{G}}, \overline{\mathcal{K}}]_\epsilon]_\epsilon + [\overline{\mathcal{G}}, [\overline{\mathcal{K}}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}]_\epsilon]_\epsilon + [\overline{\mathcal{K}}, [\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathcal{G}}]_\epsilon]_\epsilon = 0. \quad (8.3)$$

8.1. Reduced Vlasov-Maxwell Bracket

In what follows, we will now combine the local phase-space transformation \mathcal{T}_0 and the near-identity phase-space transformation \mathcal{T}^ϵ into a single phase-space transformation $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon \equiv \mathcal{T}^\epsilon \circ \mathcal{T}_0$. Hence, we introduce the operators $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \equiv \mathbb{T}_0 \mathbb{T}^\epsilon$ and $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \mathbb{T}_0^{-1}$ as well as the meta-operators $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \equiv \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathbb{T}_0$ and $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \equiv \mathbb{T}_0^{-1} \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}$.

The reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (4.43) now becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathcal{G}}]_\epsilon &\equiv \mathbb{T}_\epsilon \left(\left[\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{G}} \right] \right) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathbf{z}}} \overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{f} \left\{ \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \overline{f}}, \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{G}}}{\delta \overline{f}} \right\}_\epsilon \\ &\quad + 4\pi c \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{G}})}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{G}})}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right] \\ &\quad - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \left[\int_{\overline{\mathbf{z}}} \overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{f} \delta^3(\overline{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon - \mathbf{r}) \left\{ \overline{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon, \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{G}}}{\delta \overline{f}} \right\}_\epsilon \right] \\ &\quad + 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{G}})}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \left[\int_{\overline{\mathbf{z}}} \overline{\mathcal{J}} \overline{f} \delta^3(\overline{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon - \mathbf{r}) \left\{ \overline{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon, \frac{1}{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \overline{f}} \right\}_\epsilon \right], \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

where the reduced functional derivatives are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} &= \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} - \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left[\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha)}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \right] \frac{\partial\overline{f}}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha} \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}})} \\ &\equiv \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}}^{(\epsilon)}\overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} &= \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left[\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha)}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right] \frac{\partial\overline{f}}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha} \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}})} \\ &\equiv \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(\epsilon)}\overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

with

$$\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} \right) \equiv \int_{\mathbf{r}} \delta^3(\overline{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon - \mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (8.7)$$

We will now show that the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (8.4) can be used to derive the reduced Vlasov equation (7.2) and the reduced Maxwell equations (3.14) and (7.4).

8.2. Hamiltonian Formulation of the Reduced Vlasov-Maxwell Equations

By inserting the reduced Hamiltonian functional (7.16) in the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (8.4), and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathcal{H}}]_\epsilon &= - \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\overline{f}} \left[\left\{ \overline{f}, \overline{K} \right\}_\epsilon + 4\pi e \left(\int_{\mathbf{r}} \delta^3(\overline{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon - \mathbf{r}) \left\{ \overline{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon, \overline{f} \right\}_\epsilon \cdot \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{H}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + 4\pi \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \left[c \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{H}})}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right) - \mathbb{T}_\epsilon \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \\ &\quad - 4\pi c \int_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \cdot \nabla \times \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{H}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8.8)$$

where the meta push-forward $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \mathbf{J} \equiv \mathbf{J}_\epsilon + \partial \mathbf{P}_\epsilon / \partial t + c \nabla \times \mathbf{M}_\epsilon$ of the particle-current density is given by Eq. (7.4), with $\delta\overline{\mathcal{H}}/\delta\overline{f} = \overline{\mathcal{J}}\overline{K}$ and $\{\overline{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon, \overline{K}\}_\epsilon \equiv d_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{X}}/dt + d_\epsilon \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon/dt$. In addition, the functional derivatives of $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ are

$$\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{H}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} = \left[\frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} + \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha)}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \frac{\partial\overline{f}}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha} \overline{\mathcal{J}}\overline{K} \right] - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}}^{(\epsilon)}\overline{\mathcal{H}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi}, \quad (8.9)$$

$$\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{H}})}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} = \left[\frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} + \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha)}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \frac{\partial\overline{f}}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha} \overline{\mathcal{J}}\overline{K} \right] - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(\epsilon)}\overline{\mathcal{H}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi}, \quad (8.10)$$

where we used the functional derivatives (D 13)-(D 14) of the reduced Hamiltonian functional $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, with

$$\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{H}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})} \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \mathbf{E}. \quad (8.11)$$

By combining these expressions, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
[\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathcal{H}}]_\epsilon &= - \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \overline{\mathbf{f}}} \left[\left\{ \overline{\mathbf{f}}, \overline{\mathbf{K}} \right\}_\epsilon + e \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \mathbf{E} \cdot \left\{ \overline{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon, \overline{\mathbf{f}} \right\}_\epsilon \right] \\
&+ \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{E}}^{(\epsilon)} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \right) \cdot \left(c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbb{T}_\epsilon \mathbf{J} \right) - \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(\epsilon)} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \right) \cdot \left(c \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \right) \right] \\
&\equiv \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \overline{\mathbf{f}}} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{f}}}{\partial t} + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right), \tag{8.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the reduced Maxwell equations (3.14) and (7.4). We also substituted the reduced Vlasov equation (7.2):

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{f}}}{\partial t} = - \left\{ \overline{\mathbf{f}}, \overline{\mathbf{K}} \right\}_\epsilon - e \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \mathbf{E} \cdot \left\{ \overline{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon, \overline{\mathbf{f}} \right\}_\epsilon - \frac{\partial_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{f}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha}, \tag{8.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{f}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} &\equiv \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left[\frac{\partial (\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha)}{\partial t} \right] \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{f}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \cdot \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{\delta (\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha)}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{f}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{\delta (\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha)}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{f}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \right], \tag{8.14}
\end{aligned}$$

so that we made use of the identity

$$\int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{E}}^{(\epsilon)} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(\epsilon)} \overline{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right) \equiv \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \frac{\partial_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{f}}}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta \overline{\mathbf{f}}} \tag{8.15}$$

in Eq. (8.8). Hence, the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations can be expressed as Eq. (8.12) in terms of the reduced Hamiltonian functional (7.16) and the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (8.4).

9. Summary

The reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (8.4) has been derived from the local Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (5.45) by Lie-transform methods based on the dynamical reduction associated with a near-identity phase-space transformation \mathcal{T}^ϵ and its inverse $\mathcal{T}^{-\epsilon}$. These phase-space transformations induce transformations on functions denoted by the push-forward operator $\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} f_0 \equiv f_0 \circ \mathcal{T}^{-\epsilon}$ and the pull-back operator $\mathbb{T}^\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{f}} \equiv \overline{\mathbf{f}} \circ \mathcal{T}^\epsilon$. These pull-back and push-forward operators, in turn, induce transformations on functionals denoted by the meta-push-forward operator \mathbb{T}^ϵ and meta-pull-back operator $\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}$, which guarantee the Jacobi property (8.3) for the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket.

In future work, we will explore the Hamiltonian formulation of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations based on recent works by Burby *et al.* (2015a) and Burby *et al.* (2015b), as well as the variational formulations of guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell theory derived by Brizard & Tronci (2016). In particular, we will focus on investigating how the Hamiltonian properties of the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (8.4) survive (1) the *closure* problem: the process of truncation of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket at a finite order in ϵ (so far expressions have been derived at all orders in ϵ) and (2) the *averaging* problem: the process by which the gyroangle is eliminated from the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (since guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations do not involve the fast gyromotion time scale). In Eqs. (8.4)-(8.6), since the terms $\overline{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_\epsilon$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}}^{(\epsilon)}$

are expected to contain gyroangle-independent and gyroangle-dependent contributions resulting from the guiding-center transformation, the gyroangle-averaging and closure problems of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket will be addressed explicitly.

Work by AJB, PJM, and J.W. Burby were supported by U. S. Dept. of Energy contracts No. de-sc0006721 (AJB) and de-sc0014032 (AJB), No. DE-FG02-04ER-54742 (PJM), and No. DE-FG02-86ER53223 (JWB). Also, AJB and PJM have greatly benefited from the kind hospitality of the Centre de Physique Théorique where this work was started. Work by MV was supported as part of the A*MIDEX project (ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02), funded by the "Investissements d'Avenir", French Government program, managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR).

Appendix A. Jacobi Property for the single-particle Poisson Bracket

In this Appendix, we prove that the noncanonical particle Poisson bracket (3.5) satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\text{JAC}(f, g, h) \equiv \{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0, \quad (\text{A } 1)$$

if the Poisson tensor $J^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \{z^\alpha, z^\beta\}$ satisfies the condition

$$J^{\alpha\sigma} \partial_\sigma J^{\beta\delta} + J^{\beta\sigma} \partial_\sigma J^{\delta\alpha} + J^{\delta\sigma} \partial_\sigma J^{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad (\text{A } 2)$$

First, we write

$$\{f, \{g, h\}\} = \partial_\alpha f J^{\alpha\sigma} \left[\partial_\sigma J^{\beta\delta} (\partial_\beta g \partial_\delta h) + J^{\beta\delta} (\partial_{\beta\sigma}^2 g \partial_\delta h + \partial_\beta g \partial_{\delta\sigma}^2 h) \right], \quad (\text{A } 3)$$

so that, with cyclic permutations of (f, g, h) , Eq. (A 1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{JAC}(f, g, h) &= \partial_\alpha f \partial_\beta g \partial_\delta h \left(J^{\alpha\sigma} \partial_\sigma J^{\beta\delta} + J^{\beta\sigma} \partial_\sigma J^{\delta\alpha} + J^{\delta\sigma} \partial_\sigma J^{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ &\quad + \partial_{\alpha\sigma}^2 f \left(\partial_\beta g K^{\beta\sigma|\delta\alpha} \partial_\delta h \right) + \partial_{\beta\sigma}^2 g \left(\partial_\delta h K^{\delta\sigma|\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha f \right) \\ &\quad + \partial_{\delta\sigma}^2 h \left(\partial_\alpha f K^{\alpha\sigma|\beta\delta} \partial_\beta g \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 4)$$

where we have introduced the fourth-rank tensor $K^{\alpha\mu|\beta\nu} \equiv J^{\alpha\mu} J^{\beta\nu} + J^{\beta\mu} J^{\nu\alpha}$. Next, we note that only the part of $K^{\alpha\mu|\beta\nu}$ that is symmetric with respect to the interchange $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ survives for each of the last terms in Eq. (A 4), since it is associated with a second-order partial derivative $\partial_{\mu\nu}^2$. We thus replace $K^{\alpha\mu|\beta\nu}$ with its symmetric part

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left(K^{\alpha\mu|\beta\nu} + K^{\alpha\nu|\beta\mu} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(J^{\alpha\mu} J^{\beta\nu} + J^{\beta\mu} J^{\nu\alpha}) + (J^{\alpha\nu} J^{\beta\mu} + J^{\beta\nu} J^{\mu\alpha}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(J^{\alpha\mu} + J^{\mu\alpha}) J^{\beta\nu} + J^{\beta\mu} (J^{\nu\alpha} + J^{\alpha\nu}) \right] \equiv 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 5)$$

which vanishes identically as a result of the antisymmetry of the Poisson matrix. Hence, Eq. (A 4) now becomes

$$\text{JAC}(f, g, h) = \partial_\alpha f \partial_\beta g \partial_\delta h \left(J^{\alpha\sigma} \partial_\sigma J^{\beta\delta} + J^{\beta\sigma} \partial_\sigma J^{\delta\alpha} + J^{\delta\sigma} \partial_\sigma J^{\alpha\beta} \right) = 0,$$

which satisfies the Jacobi identity (A 1) if the Jacobi condition (A 2) is satisfied.

Another way to look at the Jacobi condition (A 2) is to consider instead the Lagrange tensor $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$, whose components are formally defined as the components of the inverse of

the Poisson matrix (with components $J^{\alpha\beta}$), i.e., $J^{\alpha\sigma} \omega_{\sigma\beta} \equiv \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}$. When expressed in terms of the Lagrange tensor, the Jacobi condition (A 2) is now expressed as

$$\partial_{\alpha}\omega_{\beta\sigma} + \partial_{\beta}\omega_{\sigma\alpha} + \partial_{\sigma}\omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad (\text{A } 6)$$

which implies that the three-form

$$d\omega \equiv \frac{1}{3!} \left(\partial_{\alpha}\omega_{\beta\sigma} + \partial_{\beta}\omega_{\sigma\alpha} + \partial_{\sigma}\omega_{\alpha\beta} \right) dz^{\alpha} \wedge dz^{\beta} \wedge dz^{\sigma} = 0$$

is closed. This condition, in turn, is guaranteed by the definition

$$\omega \equiv d\gamma = \frac{e}{2c} B^i \varepsilon_{ijk} dx^j \wedge dx^k + dp_i \wedge dx^i, \quad (\text{A } 7)$$

in terms of the one-form $\gamma \equiv [(e/c) \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{p}] \cdot d\mathbf{x}$, where the magnetic field $\mathbf{B} \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ is defined in terms of the vector potential \mathbf{A} . It is now immediately clear that the closure of the two-form (A 7) is expressed in terms of the three-form

$$d\omega = \frac{e}{c} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \equiv 0, \quad (\text{A } 8)$$

which is guaranteed by Eq. (3.16). The Jacobi condition (A 6) based on the local two-form (5.3):

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_0 = & \frac{e}{c} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_0^*) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + \left(\left[\frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_0^*}{\partial p_{\parallel}} - \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right] dp_{\parallel} + \left[\frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_0^*}{\partial \mu} - \nabla \times \left(\frac{\partial p_{\perp}}{\partial \mu} \hat{\perp} \right) \right] d\mu \right. \\ & \left. + \left[\frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_0^*}{\partial \zeta} + \nabla \times (p_{\perp} \hat{\rho}) \right] d\zeta \right) \wedge \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} dx^i \wedge dx^j \equiv 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 9)$$

is automatically satisfied because $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_0^* = 0$, $(e/c) \partial \mathbf{B}_0^* / \partial p_{\parallel} = \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}$, $(e/c) \partial \mathbf{B}_0^* / \partial \mu = \nabla \times (\hat{\perp} \partial p_{\perp} / \partial \mu)$, and $(e/c) \partial \mathbf{B}_0^* / \partial \zeta = -\nabla \times (p_{\perp} \hat{\rho})$.

Appendix B. Jacobi Property for the Vlasov-Maxwell Bracket

In this Appendix, we prove the Jacobi identity (3.9) for the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (3.2), emphasizing the role that is inherited from the Jacobi identity (A 1) for the non-canonical particle Poisson bracket (3.4). This is essentially the same proof as that given by Morrison (2013), which was first done in 1981, but here it is considerably simplified.

First, we write the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket in terms of functional derivatives with respect to $\psi^a = (f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B})$ and the pairing $\langle | \rangle$, casting it into the general form of Morrison (1982):

$$[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}] \equiv \left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \psi^a} \left| \mathbb{J}^{ab} \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \psi^b} \right. \right\rangle, \quad (\text{B } 1)$$

where the antisymmetric Poisson matrix $J^{\alpha\beta}$ in the single-particle Poisson bracket is replaced with the anti-self-adjoint operator \mathbb{J}^{ab} [see Eq. (3.4)]. We note that, like the Jacobi condition (A 2) of the Poisson bracket, the Jacobi identity (3.9) for the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket only involves functional derivatives of the operator \mathbb{J}^{ab} (see the Bracket Theorem originally proven by Morrison (1982)). Next, we write

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{K}, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]] = & \int_{\mathbf{z}} f \left\{ \mathcal{K}_f, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_f \right\} + 4\pi c \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\mathbf{B}} - [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{B}} \right) \\ & - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}_0} f \left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_f \right\} - [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{K}_f \right\} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B } 2)$$

where the functional derivative of the bracket $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]$, now denoted by subscripts, involves the functional derivative of the anti-self-adjoint operator $\delta\mathbb{J}^{ab}/\delta\psi^c$. Hence, since the operator \mathbb{J}^{ab} is independent of the electric field \mathbf{E} , we find

$$[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\mathbf{E}} = 0, \quad (\text{B } 3)$$

up to terms involving second functional derivatives, which vanish by themselves in the Jacobi identity by virtue of the Bracket Theorem. Next, since the operator \mathbb{J}^{ab} depends on the Vlasov distribution f and the magnetic field \mathbf{B} , we find

$$[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_f = \left\{ \mathcal{F}_f, \mathcal{G}_f \right\} - 4\pi e \left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{G}_f \right\} - \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}_f \right\} \right), \quad (\text{B } 4)$$

$$[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{e}{c} \int_{\mathbf{z}} f \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}_f \right\} \times \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{G}_f \right\}, \quad (\text{B } 5)$$

where Eq. (B 5) follows from the noncanonical Poisson bracket (3.4) and the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{B}} \{f, g\} = \frac{e}{m^2 c} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \times \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \equiv \frac{e}{c} \{ \mathbf{x}, f \} \times \{ \mathbf{x}, g \}.$$

We now begin our proof by combining Eqs. (B 3)-(B 5) into Eq. (B 2) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{K}, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]] &= \int_{\mathbf{z}} f \left\{ \mathcal{K}_f, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_f \right\} + 4\pi c \int_{\mathbf{r}} (\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\mathbf{B}}) \\ &\quad - 4\pi e \int_{\mathbf{z}} f \left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_f \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B } 6)$$

By using Eq. (B 4), we write the expression for the Vlasov sub-bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \mathcal{K}_f, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_f \right\} &= \left\{ \mathcal{K}_f, \left\{ \mathcal{F}_f, \mathcal{G}_f \right\} \right\} - 4\pi e \left\{ \mathcal{K}_f, \left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{G}_f \right\} \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + 4\pi e \left\{ \mathcal{K}_f, \left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}_f \right\} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B } 7)$$

and the expression for the interaction sub-bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_f \right\} &= \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \left\{ \mathcal{F}_f, \mathcal{G}_f \right\} \right\} \\ &\quad - 4\pi e \left[\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{G}_f) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{E}} - \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{F}_f) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{E}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B } 8)$$

where the matrix $\mathbf{M}(\dots) \equiv \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \left\{ \mathbf{x}, (\dots) \right\} \right\}$ is symmetric (which is proved by using the Jacobi identity for $\{, \}$ and $\{x^i, x^j\} \equiv 0$). By using Eq. (B 5), on the other hand, we write the expression for the Maxwell sub-bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\mathbf{B}} &= \int_{\mathbf{r}} \nabla \times \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\mathbf{B}} \\ &= \frac{e}{c} \int_{\mathbf{z}} f \left(\left\{ \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}}, \mathcal{F}_f \right\} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{G}_f \right\} - \left\{ \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}}, \mathcal{G}_f \right\} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}_f \right\} \right) \\ &= \frac{e}{c} \int_{\mathbf{z}} f \left[\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \left\{ \mathcal{F}_f, \mathcal{G}_f \right\} \right\} + \left\{ \left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{G}_f \right\} \right), \mathcal{F}_f \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left\{ \left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}_f \right\} \right), \mathcal{G}_f \right\} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B } 9)$$

where we first integrated by parts (with $\{(\dots), \mathbf{x}\} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} = \{(\dots), \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}}\}$) and then used the Jacobi identity for $\{, \}$.

Next, we combine Eqs. (B 7)-(B 9) into Eq. (B 6) to obtain an expansion in powers of

($4\pi e$):

$$\left[\mathcal{K}, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}] \right] \equiv \int_{\mathbf{z}} f \left[\mathcal{J}_0(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) + 4\pi e \mathcal{J}_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) + (4\pi e)^2 \mathcal{J}_2(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \right], \quad (\text{B } 10)$$

where the Jacobi terms \mathcal{J}_n ($n = 0, 1, 2$) are

$$\mathcal{J}_0(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \left\{ \mathcal{K}_f, \{ \mathcal{F}_f, \mathcal{G}_f \} \right\}, \quad (\text{B } 11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = & \left\{ \mathcal{K}_f, \left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}_f \} - \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{G}_f \} \right) \right\} + \left\{ \mathcal{G}_f, \left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}_f \} \right) \right\} \\ & - \left\{ \mathcal{F}_f, \left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \{ \mathbf{x}, \mathcal{G}_f \} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B } 12)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_2(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{G}_f) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{E}} - \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \mathbf{M}(\mathcal{F}_f) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{E}}. \quad (\text{B } 13)$$

The Jacobi identity (3.9) for the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket is now replaced by the Jacobi identities

$$\mathcal{J}_n(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) + \mathcal{J}_n(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}) + \mathcal{J}_n(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}) = 0, \quad (\text{B } 14)$$

which hold separately for $n = 0, 1, 2$. The Jacobi identity (B 14) for $n = 0$ is immediately satisfied because of the particle phase-space Jacobi identity (A 1). Lastly, it is a simple task to show that Jacobi identities (B 14) are satisfied for $n = 1$ and 2 by pairwise cancellations.

Appendix C. Operator Commutation Relations

In this Appendix, we derive the expression (4.22) for a general phase-space transformation. We first consider the case of a near-identity transformation and consider the push-forward $\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \delta f$:

$$\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \delta f = \delta (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} f) + \left([\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}, \delta] \mathbb{T}^{\epsilon} \right) \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} f \equiv \delta \bar{f} + \left([\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}, \delta] \mathbb{T}^{\epsilon} \right) \bar{f}, \quad (\text{C } 1)$$

where $[\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}, \delta] \mathbb{T}^{\epsilon} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} (\delta \mathbb{T}^{\epsilon}) - \delta$ is explicitly calculated up to third order as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} (\delta \mathbb{T}^{\epsilon}) - \delta & \equiv (\dots \mathbb{T}_3^{-1} \mathbb{T}_2^{-1} \mathbb{T}_1^{-1}) \delta \left(\mathbb{T}_1 \mathbb{T}_2 \mathbb{T}_3 \dots \right) - \delta \\ & = \epsilon \delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} + \epsilon^2 \left[\delta \mathbf{G}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{G}_1 - \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} \delta \mathbf{G}_1 \right) \right] \cdot \mathbf{d} \\ & \quad + \epsilon^3 \left\{ \delta \mathbf{G}_3 + \left(\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{G}_2 - \mathbf{G}_2 \cdot \mathbf{d} \delta \mathbf{G}_1 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{6} \left[\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} (\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{G}_1) + \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} (\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} \delta \mathbf{G}_1) - 2 \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} (\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{G}_1) \right] \right\} \cdot \mathbf{d} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C } 2)$$

Here, we note that $[\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}, \delta] \mathbb{T}^{\epsilon} \equiv (\dots)^{\alpha} \partial / \partial \bar{Z}^{\alpha}$ is a phase-space vector field, whose components $(\dots)^{\alpha}$ need to be calculated.

For this purpose, we introduce the particle phase-space displacement

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\alpha} & \equiv -\epsilon G_1^{\alpha} - \epsilon^2 \left(G_2^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} G_1^{\alpha} \right) \\ & \quad - \epsilon^3 \left[G_3^{\alpha} + \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} G_2^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{6} \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} (\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{d} G_1^{\alpha}) \right] - \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C } 3)$$

and its variation (up to third order)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Delta^\alpha &\equiv -\epsilon \delta G_1^\alpha - \epsilon^2 \left[\delta G_2^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot dG_1^\alpha + \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d\delta G_1^\alpha \right) \right] \\ &\quad - \epsilon^3 \left\{ \delta G_3^\alpha + \left(\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot dG_2^\alpha + \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d\delta G_2^\alpha \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6} \left[\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d \left(\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot dG_1^\alpha \right) + \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d \left(\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot dG_1^\alpha \right) + \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d \left(\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d\delta G_1^\alpha \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C } 4)$$

so that we obtain the push-forward relation (up to third order)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}(\delta\Delta^\alpha) &= -\epsilon \delta G_1^\alpha - \epsilon^2 \left[\delta G_2^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot dG_1^\alpha - \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d\delta G_1^\alpha \right) \right] \\ &\quad - \epsilon^3 \left\{ \delta G_3^\alpha + \left(\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot dG_2^\alpha - \mathbf{G}_2 \cdot d\delta G_1^\alpha \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6} \left[\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d \left(\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot dG_1^\alpha \right) + \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d \left(\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d\delta G_1^\alpha \right) - 2 \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d \left(\delta \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot dG_1^\alpha \right) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C } 5)$$

By comparing Eq. (C 2) with Eq. (C 5), we obtain the variation-commutation relation

$$\left[\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}, \delta \right] \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \equiv -\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}(\delta\Delta^\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha}, \quad (\text{C } 6)$$

and Eq. (C 1) becomes

$$\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}(\delta f) \equiv \delta \bar{f} - (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \delta \Delta^\alpha) \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha}, \quad (\text{C } 7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \delta \Delta^\alpha &\equiv \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta \Delta^\alpha}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} + \delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta \Delta^\alpha}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \\ &= \delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \left[\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{\delta \Delta^\alpha}{\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \right] + \delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \left[\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{\delta \Delta^\alpha}{\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C } 8)$$

We note that $\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \delta \Delta^\alpha$ may be expressed in terms of $\delta \bar{\Delta}^\alpha$ according to the identity

$$\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \delta \Delta^\alpha \equiv \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \delta \left(\mathbb{T}^\epsilon \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \right) = \delta \bar{\Delta}^\alpha + \left(\left[\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}, \delta \right] \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \right) \bar{\Delta}^\alpha,$$

which yields the matrix relation

$$\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \delta \mathbf{\Delta} = \left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{\partial \bar{\Delta}}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right)^{-1} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{\Delta}}, \quad (\text{C } 9)$$

where $\mathbf{I} + \partial \bar{\Delta} / \partial \bar{Z}$ is the matrix with components $\partial(\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} z^\alpha) / \partial \bar{Z}^\beta$.

For a general phase-space transformation, we find Eq. (4.22)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}^{-1}(\delta f) &= \delta(\mathbb{T}^{-1} f) + \left(\left[\mathbb{T}^{-1}, \delta \right] \mathbb{T} \right) \mathbb{T}^{-1} f \\ &\equiv \delta \bar{f} + \mathbb{T}^{-1} \left[\delta \left(\mathbb{T} \bar{Z}^\alpha \right) \right] \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{Z}^\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C } 10)$$

as an extension of Eq. (C 7).

Appendix D. Explicit Reduced Functional Derivatives

In this Appendix, we derive the definitions for the functional derivatives appearing in the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (8.4). For this purpose, we consider an arbitrary reduced functional $\overline{\mathcal{F}}[\overline{f}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$, with the functional variation $\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ defined as

$$\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \delta\overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}) \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}})} + \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} + \delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right). \quad (\text{D } 1)$$

Using the meta-operations $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \equiv \mathbb{T}^\epsilon \mathbb{T}_0$ and $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \equiv \mathbb{T}_0^{-1} \mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon}$, we also find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathbb{T}_\epsilon [\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})] &\equiv \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{J}} \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}(\delta f) \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left[\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta f} \right] \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} + \delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D } 2)$$

where $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}f \equiv \overline{f}$ and the push-forward $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}(\delta f)$ is given by Eq. (C 10).

D.1. Reduced functional variations

By substituting Eqs. (C 7)-(C 8) into Eq. (D 2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_\epsilon [\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})] &= \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{\mathcal{J}} \left[\delta\overline{f} + \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left[\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha) \right] \frac{\partial\overline{f}}{\partial\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \right] \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left[\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta f(\mathbf{z}_0)} \right] \\ &+ \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} + \delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D } 3)$$

By comparing Eq. (D 3) with Eq. (D 1), we find the reduced functional derivatives

$$\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left[\mathcal{J}^{-1} \frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta f(\mathbf{z}_0)} \right] \equiv \overline{\mathcal{J}}^{-1} \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}})}, \quad (\text{D } 4)$$

$$\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \equiv \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} - \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \left[\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha)}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \right] \frac{\partial\overline{f}}{\partial\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}})}, \quad (\text{D } 5)$$

$$\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{F}})}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \equiv \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} - \int_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}} \left[\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha)}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \right] \frac{\partial\overline{f}}{\partial\overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \frac{\delta\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{\delta\overline{f}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}})}, \quad (\text{D } 6)$$

which are used in the reduced Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (8.4).

D.2. Reduced Jacobian variation

In the general case of a phase-space transformation that involves the fields (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) , the variation of the reduced Jacobian $\delta\overline{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon$ must be calculated. First, we consider the variation of the reduced Jacobian (6.14):

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\overline{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon &\equiv - \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} \left[\left(\epsilon \delta G_1^\alpha + \epsilon^2 \delta G_2^\alpha + \dots \right) - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \delta G_1^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta} \left(\mathcal{J} G_1^\beta + \dots \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} G_1^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta} \left(\delta G_1^\beta + \dots \right) + \dots \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D } 7)$$

Using Eq. (C 5), we readily find for a near-identity transformation:

$$\delta\overline{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha} \left[\overline{\mathcal{J}}_\epsilon (\mathbb{T}^{-\epsilon} \delta \Delta^\alpha) \right]. \quad (\text{D } 8)$$

Next, the extension of Eq. (D 8) to the case of a general phase-space transformation yields

$$\delta\bar{\mathcal{J}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{Z}^\alpha} \left[\bar{\mathcal{J}} \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\delta \mathbb{T}_\epsilon \bar{Z}^\alpha \right) \right]. \quad (\text{D } 9)$$

D.3. Reduced Hamiltonian functional variation

Lastly, we note that, using the reduced Hamiltonian functional (7.16), we find the reduced Hamiltonian functional variation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\bar{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} + \delta\mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} \right) + \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \left[\delta\bar{f} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{K} + \bar{f} \left(\delta\bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{K} + \bar{\mathcal{J}} \delta\bar{K} \right) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} + \delta\mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} \right) + \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \delta\bar{f} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{K} \\ &\quad - \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \bar{f} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{Z}^\alpha} \left[\bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{K} \left(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \bar{Z}^\alpha) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D } 10)$$

where we used Eq. (D 9) and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\bar{K} &= \delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} K) = \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}(\delta K) + \left([\delta, \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1}] \mathbb{T}_\epsilon \right) \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} K \\ &\equiv -\mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \bar{Z}^\alpha) \right) \frac{\partial\bar{K}}{\partial\bar{Z}^\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D } 11)$$

with $\delta K \equiv 0$ (i.e., the particle kinetic energy is field-independent). If we now integrate by parts the Vlasov part of Eq. (D 10), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\bar{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} + \delta\mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} \right) + \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \left[\delta\bar{f} + \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \bar{Z}^\alpha) \right) \frac{\partial\bar{f}}{\partial\bar{Z}^\alpha} \right] \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{K} \\ &\equiv \int_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta\bar{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} + \delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\delta\bar{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right) + \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \delta\bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}) \frac{\delta\bar{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta\bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}})}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D } 12)$$

where $\delta\bar{\mathcal{H}}/\delta\bar{f} \equiv \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{K}$, and

$$\frac{\delta\bar{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} + \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \bar{Z}^\alpha)}{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \frac{\partial\bar{f}}{\partial\bar{Z}^\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{K} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} + \Delta_{\mathbf{E}}^{(\epsilon)} \bar{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (\text{D } 13)$$

$$\frac{\delta\bar{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} + \int_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}} \mathbb{T}_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbb{T}_\epsilon \bar{Z}^\alpha)}{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})} \right) \frac{\partial\bar{f}}{\partial\bar{Z}^\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{J}} \bar{K} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{4\pi} + \Delta_{\mathbf{B}}^{(\epsilon)} \bar{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (\text{D } 14)$$

These expressions can now be used in Eqs. (8.9)-(8.10).

REFERENCES

- BRIZARD, A. J. 2000a A new variational principle for Vlasov-Maxwell equations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5768–5771.
- BRIZARD, A. J. 2000b Variational principle for nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell equations. *Phys. Plasmas* **7**, 4816–4822.
- BRIZARD, A. J. 2008 On the dynamical reduction of the Vlasov equation. *Comm. Nonlin. Sci. Num. Sim.* **13**, 24–33.
- BRIZARD, A. J. 2009 Variational principles for reduced plasma physics. *J. Phys. Conf. ser.* **169**, 012003.
- BRIZARD, A. J. 2013 Beyond linear gyrocenter polarization in gyrokinetic theory. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 092309.

- BRIZARD, A. J. & HAHM, T. S. 2007 Foundations of nonlinear gyrokinetic theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 421–468.
- BRIZARD, A. J. & TRONCI, C. 2016 Variational formulations of guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell theory. *Phys. Plasmas* **23**, 062107.
- BURBY, J. W., BRIZARD, A. J., MORRISON, P. J. & QIN, H. 2015a Hamiltonian formulation of the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell equations. *Phys. Lett. A* **379**, 2073–2077.
- BURBY, J. W., BRIZARD, A. J. & QIN, H. 2015b Energetically-consistent collisional gyrokinetics. *Phys. Plasmas* **22**, 100707.
- CARY, J. R. & BRIZARD, A. J. 2009 Hamiltonian theory of guiding-center motion. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 693–738.
- CHANDRE, C., DE GUILLEBON, L., BACK, A., TASSI, E. & MORRISON, P. J. 2013 On the use of projectors for Hamiltonian systems and their relationship with Dirac brackets. *J. Phys. A* **46**, 125203.
- CHANDRE, C., MORRISON, P. J. & TASSI, E. 2012 On the Hamiltonian formulation of incompressible ideal fluids and magnetohydrodynamics via Dirac's theory of constraints. *Phys. Lett. A* **376**, 737–743.
- GARBET, X., IDOMURA, Y., VILLARD, L. & WATANABE, T. H. 2010 Gyrokinetic simulations of turbulent transport. *Nuc. Fusion* **50**, 043002.
- KROMMES, J. A. 2012 The gyrokinetic description of microturbulence in magnetized plasmas. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech* **44**, 175–201.
- LITTLEJOHN, R. G. 1982 Hamiltonian perturbation theory in noncanonical coordinates. *J. Math. Phys.* **23**, 742–747.
- LITTLEJOHN, R. G. 1983 Variational principles of guiding centre motion. *J. Plasma Phys.* **29**, 111–125.
- MARSDEN, J. E. & WEINSTEIN, A. 1982 The Hamiltonian structure of the Maxwell-Vlasov equations. *Physica D* **4**, 394–406.
- MORRISON, P. J. 1980 The Maxwell-Vlasov equations as a continuous Hamiltonian system. *Phys. Lett. A* **80**, 383–386.
- MORRISON, P. J. 1982 Poisson brackets for fluids and plasmas. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **88**, 13–46.
- MORRISON, P. J. 1998 Hamiltonian description of the ideal fluid. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 467–521.
- MORRISON, P. J. 2005 Hamiltonian and action principle formulations of plasma physics. *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 058102–1–13.
- MORRISON, P. J. 2013 A general theory for gauge-free lifting. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 012104.
- MORRISON, P. J. & GREENE, J. M. 1980 Noncanonical Hamiltonian density formulation of hydrodynamics and ideal magnetohydrodynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 790–793.
- MORRISON, P. J. & GREENE, J. M. 1982 Addendum: Noncanonical Hamiltonian density formulation of hydrodynamics and ideal magnetohydrodynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 569.
- MORRISON, P. J., LEBOVITZ, N. R. & BIELLO, J. 2009 The Hamiltonian description of incompressible fluid ellipsoids. *Ann. Phys.* **324**, 1747–1762.
- MORRISON, P. J. & VANNESTE, J. 2016 Weakly nonlinear dynamics in noncanonical Hamiltonian systems with applications to fluids and plasmas. *Ann. Phys.* **368**, 17–147.
- MORRISON, P. J., VITTOT, M. & DE GUILLEBON, L. 2013 Lifting particle coordinate changes of magnetic moment type to Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian dynamics. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 032109.
- PFIRSCH, D. 1984 New variational formulation of Maxwell-Vlasov and guiding center theories local charge and energy conservation laws. *Z. Naturforsch. a* **39**, 1–8.
- PFIRSCH, D. & MORRISON, P. J. 1985 Local conservation laws for the Maxwell-Vlasov and collisionless guiding-center theories. *Phys. Rev. A* **32**, 1714–1721.
- SQUIRE, J., QIN, H., TANG, W. M. & CHANDRE, C. 2013 The Hamiltonian structure and Euler-Poincaré formulation of the Vlasov-Maxwell and gyrokinetic systems. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 022501.
- TRONKO, N. & BRIZARD, A. J. 2015 Lagrangian and Hamiltonian constraints for guiding-center Hamiltonian theories. *Phys. Plasmas* **22**, 112507.
- VISCONDI, T. F., CALDAS, I. L. & MORRISON, P. J. 2016 A method for Hamiltonian truncation: A four-wave example. *J. Phys. A* **49**, 165501.