Exact control of parity-time symmetry in periodically modulated nonlinear optical couplers

Baiyuan Yang¹, Xiaobing Luo¹,^{*} QiangLin Hu¹, and XiaoGuang Yu¹

Department of Physics, Jinggangshan University, Ji'an 343009, China

(Dated: July 8, 2018)

We propose a mechanism for realization of exact control of parity-time (\mathcal{PT}) symmetry by using a periodically modulated nonlinear optical coupler with balanced gain and loss. It is shown that for certain appropriately chosen values of the modulation parameters, we can construct a family of exact analytical solutions for the two-mode equations describing the dynamics of such nonlinear couplers. These exact solutions give explicit examples that allow us to precisely manipulate the system from nonlinearity-induced symmetry breaking to \mathcal{PT} symmetry, thus providing an analytical approach to the all-optical signal control in nonlinear \mathcal{PT} -symmetric structures.

PACS numbers: 42.25.Bs, 05.45.-a, 11.30.Er, 42.82.Et

I. INTRODUCTION

Enormous attentions have been attracted to Paritytime (\mathcal{PT}) -symmetric quantum Hamiltonian systems since the illustration that their eigenvalues can be entirely real, despite the non-Hermitian Hamiltonians^{[1-} Remarkably, such systems can exhibit a phase 4]. transition (spontaneous \mathcal{PT} symmetry breaking) from the exact to broken- \mathcal{PT} phase whenever the gain/loss parameter exceeds a certain threshold. In the \mathcal{PT} symmetric phase, the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian remain real and the system exhibits periodic oscillations, while in the \mathcal{PT} -broken phase, the eigenvalues become complex and the respective modes start growing exponentially. Due to the equivalence between quantum mechanical Schrödinger equation and optical wave equation, the concept of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry later spreads to classical optical systems with non-Hermitian optical potentials. Through experimental observations of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric optical systems, it has been shown that such \mathcal{PT} -symmetric optical arrangements can exhibit unique features distinct from conservative systems, due to non-trivial wave interference and phase-transition effects, such as loss-induced transparency [5], power unfolding and breaking of the left-right symmetry [6], unidirectional invisibility [7, 8], optical non-reciprocity [9], coherent perfect laser absorbtion[10], and selective mode lasing in microring resonator systems [11, 12], and so on.

When nonlinearity is introduced, the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric systems operating in the nonlinear regime were predicted to support additional interesting phenomena, such as solitons in \mathcal{PT} structures[13], nonlinearly induced \mathcal{PT} transition[14], and the all-optical signal control[15–17]. As was demonstrated in the study of directional couplers with balanced gain and loss[15–17], nonlinear switching between \mathcal{PT} symmetry and symmetry breaking occurs above a critical nonlinearity strength, which provides a feasible means to tailor beam shaping. Among the extensive exploration hitherto of physics of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians, all experiments and most of the theoretical activities have been devoted to static (i.e., time-independent) potentials, and only very recently growing attentions are paid to research on \mathcal{PT} symmetry of time-dependent Hamiltonians[18]-[24]. Even so, up to now studies of time-dependent \mathcal{PT} -symmetric systems with nonlinearity are still rare. Despite some isolated successes in the development of analytical exact solution to the driven Hermitian systems with nonlinearity[25–27], it remains elusive and very challenging to make analytical solution of the time-dependent \mathcal{PT} -symmetric systems with nonlinearity.

In this paper, we study the effect of periodic modulation on nonlinear systems with parity-time (\mathcal{PT}) symmetry. By use of the appropriate harmonic parameters, we derive a family of exact solutions for the two-mode equation describing the dynamics of periodically modulated nonlinear couplers with balanced gain and loss. These exact solutions associated with simple modulation forms give explicit examples that enable us to analytically control the transition from nonlinearity-induced symmetry breaking to \mathcal{PT} symmetry. In addition, these exact solutions can give explicit illustration of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry analysis for the periodically modulated nonlinear system with a linear \mathcal{PT} -symmetric part.

II. EXACT ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS AND STABILITY ANALYSIS

A. Model and \mathcal{PT} -symmetry properties

We consider the simplest possible arrangement which consists of two coupled \mathcal{PT} -symmetric waveguides with Kerr nonlinearity and with the linear refractive index periodically modulated along the propagation direction. Under coupled-mode theory, the two modal field ampli-

^{*}Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed: xiaobingluo2013@aliyun.com

tudes are governed by the evolution equations:

$$i\frac{d\psi_1}{dz} = i\gamma\psi_1 + a(z)\psi_1 - \chi|\psi_1|^2\psi_1 + v\psi_2, i\frac{d\psi_2}{dz} = -i\gamma\psi_2 + b(z)\psi_2 - \chi|\psi_2|^2\psi_2 + v\psi_1$$
(1)

with v being the interchannel coupling strength, γ the gain or loss strength, χ the nonlinearity strength and the harmonic modulation being

$$a(z) = f \cos(\omega z + \varphi_1),$$

$$b(z) = -f \cos(\omega z + \varphi_2).$$
(2)

Here ψ_1 and ψ_2 represent respectively field amplitudes in the first and the second waveguides, $\varphi_{1,2}$ denote the phases of two harmonics, ω the modulation frequency, and f the modulation amplitude.

Throughout our paper, the dimensionless propagation distance z is normalized in unit of a reference coupling length L_c , and propagation constant as well as all other scaled parameters γ , f, ω, v are in units of L_c^{-1} , with L_c representing the shortest length for the light switching from one waveguide to the other without nonlinearity. For instance, the reference coupling length can be selected as the one in experiment[6] where $L_c \approx 0.863$ cm. In our discussion, what is essential is the ratios between these parameters γ, f, ω, v .



FIG. 1: (color online) Schematic drawing of a Kerr nonlinear \mathcal{PT} -symmetric coupler. The periodic change of color along the *z* axis denotes the periodic modulation of the linear refractive index. One waveguide experiences gain while the other loss.

Proceeding to the analysis of dynamics of the system (1), it is instructive to identify symmetry property of the model equation. For this purpose, we separate the Hamiltonian corresponding to the system (1) into the linear part and the nonlinear part

$$H = H_L(z) + H_{NL}(\psi(z)),$$

$$H_L(z) = \begin{pmatrix} i\gamma + a(z) & v \\ v & -i\gamma + b(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_{NL}(\psi(z)) = \begin{pmatrix} -\chi |\psi_1|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\chi |\psi_2|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (3)

where $\boldsymbol{\psi}(z) = (\psi_1(z), \psi_2(z))^T$ (hereafter the superscript T stands for the matrix transpose) is the two-component vector obeying this system.

Applying the \mathcal{PT} operator to both sides of equation (1), where parity operator corresponds to the exchange of the two basis states, $\mathcal{P}: \psi_1 \leftrightarrow \psi_2$, and time operator defines the reversal of propagation direction, $\mathcal{T}: i \rightarrow -i, z \rightarrow -z$, we observe that

$$\mathcal{PT}[i\partial_{z}\boldsymbol{\psi}(z_{0+})] = \mathcal{PT}\{[H_{L}(z_{0+}) + H_{NL}(\boldsymbol{\psi}(z_{0+}))]\boldsymbol{\psi}(z_{0+})\}, \\ i\partial_{z}[\mathcal{PT}\boldsymbol{\psi}(z_{0+})] = \mathcal{PT}H_{L}(z_{0+})\boldsymbol{\psi}(z_{0+}) \\ + H_{NL}(\mathcal{PT}\boldsymbol{\psi}(z_{0+}))\mathcal{PT}\boldsymbol{\psi}(z_{0+}),$$
(4)

where $z_{0\pm} := z_0 \pm z$ is defined for arbitrary constant z_0 . If the linear time-dependent part $H_L(z)$ is \mathcal{PT} symmetric, $\mathcal{PT}H_L(z_{0+}) = H_L(z_{0+})\mathcal{PT}$, which requires the modulation obeying the relation

$$a(z_{0+}) = b(z_{0-}), (5)$$

then Eq. (4) becomes

$$i\partial_{z}[\mathcal{PT}\psi(z_{0+})] = H_{L}(z_{0+})\mathcal{PT}\psi(z_{0+}) + H_{NL}(\mathcal{PT}\psi(z_{0+}))\mathcal{PT}\psi(z_{0+}).(6)$$

By comparison of equation (6) with its original equation, it is apparent that $\psi(z_{0+})$ and $\mathcal{PT}\psi(z_{0+})$ satisfy the same evolution equation. It also follows that the coupled-mode equation (1) and the associated Hamiltonian remain invariant if

$$\mathcal{PT}\boldsymbol{\psi}(z_{0+}) = e^{i\xi}\boldsymbol{\psi}(z_{0+}),\tag{7}$$

where ξ is real. The condition (7) guarantees that

$$|\psi_1(z_{0-})| = |\psi_2(z_{0+})|. \tag{8}$$

Actually, the requirements (7) and (8) are met automatically as long as we choose

$$|\psi_1(z_0)| = |\psi_2(z_0)|. \tag{9}$$

Hence, this condition (9) is in line with the demand of \mathcal{PT} symmetry for the nonlinear part. The reasoning for derivation of (7) and (8) from (9) is given as follows. If $\psi(z_{0+})$ is a solution of Eq. (1), then $e^{i\xi}\psi(z_{0+})$ and $\mathcal{PT}\psi(z_{0+})$ are also solution of Eq. (1). Suppose that $\mathcal{PT}\psi(z_0) = e^{i\xi}\psi(z_0)$, which can be achieved by setting $|\psi_1(z_0)| = |\psi_2(z_0)|$ with an appropriately chosen value of phase ξ . It follows that (7) and (8) hold, since $e^{i\xi}\psi(z_{0+})$ and $\mathcal{PT}\psi(z_{0+})$ satisfy the same evolution equation. When the conditions (5) and (9) are satisfied simultaneously, the Hamiltonian (3) for such a periodically modulated nonlinear coupler with balanced gain and loss is invariant under the combined action of parity operator \mathcal{P} and time operator \mathcal{T} . Therefore, for the system (1) to be \mathcal{PT} symmetric, the linear time-dependent part $H_L(z)$ must be \mathcal{PT} symmetric, which demands that the condition (5) be satisfied and the gain and loss coefficient be taken equal, as well as the nonlinear part fulfill the condition (9) at the same z_0 . However, for our model, the conditions (5) and (9) are not sufficient for the \mathcal{PT} symmetry to be unbroken. The \mathcal{PT} symmetry is said

to be unbroken if and only if there exist \mathcal{PT} -invariant Floquet eigenstates with real quasienergies.

In considering the propagation of a light wave with electric field E(x, z) along the z axis in a nonlinear setup, the complex refractive index (playing the role of an optical potential) of the Kerr-type medium is written as $n(x,z) = n_R(x,z) + in_I(x) - n_2 |E(x,z)|^2$, where n_2 is the nonlinear refractive index. In our case, we only consider an Hermitian driving, that is, n_I does not depend on time (z). For the system to be symmetric, n(x, z) must be symmetric with respect to combined action of the parity \mathcal{P} and time-reversal \mathcal{T} operators, i.e., $n^*(-x, z_0 - z) = n(x, z_0 + z)$ for arbitrary constant z_0 , which demands that $n_R(-x, z_{0-}) =$ $n_R(x, z_{0+}), n_I(-x) = -n_I(x), |E(-x, z_0)| = |E(x, z_0)|.$ As we can see, the imaginary part still must be an odd function of position, as is common for the linearly equivalent \mathcal{PT} -symmetric system. For the non-driven limit (periodic driving is turned off) of nonlinear model that we are discussing, \mathcal{PT} symmetry requires that the intensity distribution possesses spacetime-reflection symmetry, apart from the linear \mathcal{PT} -symmetry prerequisites (the symmetric real part and the antisymmetric imaginary part of the linear index of refraction), since the nonlinearity has to be regarded as a contribution to the optical potential [28, 29].

B. Exact Floquet solutions

The nonlinear \mathcal{PT} -symmetric coupler was introduced in Refs.[15–17], where the interplay between \mathcal{PT} symmetry with nonlinear effects was discussed through the symmetry analysis as well as construction of exact analytical solution describing the nonlinear dynamics. When the periodic modulation is added, the situation is complicated and finding exact analytical solutions for such a problem becomes extremely hard. However, here we will show that a family of exact analytical solutions exists if given with certain suitably chosen values of the modulation parameters. The exact analytical solution of Eq. (1) can be explicitly written as

$$\psi_1(z) = e^{-i[\frac{\omega}{2} - \chi(|C_1|^2 + |C_2|^2)]z} (C_1 e^{i\vartheta} - C_2 e^{-i\vartheta + i\omega z}),$$

$$\psi_2(z) = e^{-i[\frac{\omega}{2} - \chi(|C_1|^2 + |C_2|^2)]z} (C_1 + C_2 e^{i\omega z}), \quad (10)$$

in the case of $\gamma < v$, that is, the values of gain and loss coefficient below the linear \mathcal{PT} -symmetry-breaking threshold, by adjusting the modulation parameters to satisfy the following conditions

S

$$f = -2\chi |C_1| |C_2|, \tag{11}$$

$$\omega = 2v\cos\vartheta, \qquad (12)$$

$$\varphi_1 = -2\vartheta + (\beta_2 - \beta_1), \tag{13}$$

$$\varphi_2 = \beta_2 - \beta_1, \tag{14}$$

$$\sin\vartheta = \gamma/v, \tag{15}$$

$$\cos\vartheta = \sqrt{1 - (\gamma/v)^2}, \qquad (16)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants dependent on initial conditions $\psi_{1,2}(0)$,

$$C_1 = |C_1|e^{i\beta_1} = \frac{\psi_1(0) + \psi_2(0)e^{-i\vartheta}}{2\cos\vartheta}, \qquad (17)$$

$$C_2 = |C_2|e^{i\beta_2} = \frac{-\psi_1(0) + \psi_2(0)e^{i\vartheta}}{2\cos\vartheta}.$$
 (18)

According to the Floquet theorem, this solution is a special kind of nonlinear Floquet state in the form of $(\psi_1(z), \psi_2(z))^T = \mathbf{\Phi}(z)e^{-i\varepsilon z} = (\Phi_1(z), \Phi_2(z))^T e^{-i\varepsilon z}$, where the Floquet eigenmodes $\mathbf{\Phi}(z)$ are periodic with the modulation period $\Lambda = 2\pi/\omega$ and the corresponding quasienergy $\varepsilon = \omega/2 - \chi(|C_1|^2 + |C_2|^2)$. From Eq. (10) it can be observed that the exact solution is periodic because the corresponding quasienergy is entirely real despite a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. The exact solutions of Eq. (10) can be directly proved by substituting them into Eq. (1) with the help of Eqs. (11)-(18).

It can also be easily verified that this exact solution obeys the following relation[30, 31]

$$a(z) - \chi |\psi_1|^2 = b(z) - \chi |\psi_2|^2 = -\chi (|C_1|^2 + |C_2|^2), (19)$$

which indicates that the optical nonlinear effect is balanced by the external modulation. Under the balanced condition (19), equation (1) is reduced to the linear Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{d\psi_1}{dz} = i\gamma\psi_1 - \chi(|C_1|^2 + |C_2|^2)\psi_1 + v\psi_2,$$

$$i\frac{d\psi_2}{dz} = -i\gamma\psi_2 - \chi(|C_1|^2 + |C_2|^2)\psi_2 + v\psi_1.$$
(20)

The exact Floquet solution (10) can be obtained with assumption that a solution to the system (1) containing undetermined modulation parameters obeys the balance condition (19) and linear equation (20) simultaneously. It should be noted that our exact solutions can be constructed only in the linear unbroken \mathcal{PT} -symmetric phase $(\gamma < v)$. At the exceptional point $(\gamma = v)$ or in the broken \mathcal{PT} -symmetric phase ($\gamma > v$), the solution of linear equation (20) is unbounded such that the mode intensity can not balance the harmonic (periodic) modulation and the preestablished condition (19) not be satisfied, indicating that the method we use in constructing exact analytical solutions is not applicable in these regimes. At present, it is still very challenging for us to find stable nonlinear Floquet eigenmodes with real quasienergies in the domains of broken \mathcal{PT} symmetry $(\gamma > v)$. This open problem deserves further study.

As is demonstrated in Refs. [15–17], for the unmodulated nonlinear coupler with balanced gain and loss, if the nonlinearity strength χ is small, the beam evolution will show periodic oscillation (\mathcal{PT} -symmetric phase), whereas above a critical nonlinearity strength, nonlinearity will lead to symmetry-breaking and exponential growth of beam power. When the harmonic modulation parameters are appropriately chosen to satisfy conditions (11)-(18), it is possible to move the system to periodic state (10) for arbitrary value of nonlinearity strength.

Let us take a closer look at the properties of Floquet states. The Floquet theorem tells us that if the Hamiltonian (3) depends periodically on time (z), there exists a set of Floquet solutions to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (1). However, if the quasi-energy is complex, the nonlinear part of Hamiltonian H_{NL} will not be periodic with the mode intensities either exponentially increasing or decaying, showing no existence of such a Floquet solution with complex quasi-energy. Substituting the Floquet solution $\psi(z) = \Phi(z)e^{-i\varepsilon z}$ into Eq. (1), we obtain the following eigenvalue equation[32]

$$[H(z) - i\partial_z] \mathbf{\Phi}(z) = \varepsilon \mathbf{\Phi}(z), \qquad (21)$$
$$H(z) = H_L(z) + H_{NL}(\mathbf{\Phi}(z)),$$

where $\operatorname{Im}(\varepsilon) = 0$ is required for the existence of nonlinear Floquet eigenmodes, and $H_{NL}(\boldsymbol{\psi}(z)) = H_{NL}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}(z))$ is utilized, thanks to the phase invariance of nonlinearity. The operator $\mathcal{H} := H(z) - i\partial_z$ is the so-called Floquet Hamiltonian in the extended Hilbert space [32] and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(z)$ is called Floquet eigenstate (eigenmode). For the eigenvalue problem, the z (time) periodicity of the Floquet Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H}(z + 2\pi/\omega) = \mathcal{H}(z)$, is required for ensuring that $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(z + 2\pi/\omega) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}(z)$. Acting with \mathcal{PT} on Eq. (21), we have

$$\mathcal{PT}\{[H(z_{0+}) - i\partial_z]\mathbf{\Phi}(z_{0+})\} = \mathcal{PT}[\varepsilon\mathbf{\Phi}(z_{0+})],$$

$$\{H_L(z_{0+}) + H_{NL}(\mathcal{PT}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_{0+})) - i\partial_z\}\mathcal{PT}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_{0+})$$

$$= \varepsilon\mathcal{PT}\mathbf{\Phi}(z_{0+}),$$

(22)

where (5) and $[H_L(z_{0+}), \mathcal{PT}] = 0$ are used. For non-degenerate real eigenvalues, (22) requires that the Floquet eigenstate is \mathcal{PT} -symmetric, $\mathcal{PT}\Phi(z_{0+}) =$ $e^{i\delta} \Phi(z_{0+})$, where δ is real, because $\Phi(z_{0+})$ and $\mathcal{PT}\Phi(z_{0+})$ obey the same eigenvalue equation. In such case, the Floquet Hamiltonian operator \mathcal{H} and the \mathcal{PT} operator share the same eigenmode. As a matter of fact, occurrence of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric eigenstate with real eigenvalue (unbroken \mathcal{PT} symmetry) is the most striking feature of \mathcal{PT} symmetry. When we vary the system parameters, the eigenvalue equation (21) itself may possess physically distinct eigenmodes $\Phi(z_{0+})$ and $\mathcal{PT}\Phi(z_{0+})$ corresponding to pairwise complex quasienergies ε and ε^* , which can be viewed as a manifestation of \mathcal{PT} symmetry breaking [14]. Though the system (1) has unbounded solution due to \mathcal{PT} symmetry breaking, we emphasize again that such broken \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Floquet eigenstates with complex quasienergies do not occur in the considered system. This is in full analogy to the fact that there exist only stationary nonlinear modes with real eigenvalues for the corresponding unmodulated system[33, 34].

We can readily check that our exact Floquet eigenstates in Eq. (10) are \mathcal{PT} -invariant (up to trivial phase shift), that is, for $z_0 = [(2k+1)\pi - \varphi_2 - \varphi_1]/(2\omega) = [(2k+1)\pi + 2\vartheta - 2(\beta_2 - \beta_1)]/(4v\cos\vartheta)$ with k being integer,

$$\mathcal{PT}\Phi(z_{0+}) = \mathcal{PT}\left(\begin{array}{c} C_1 e^{i\vartheta} - C_2 e^{-i\vartheta + i\omega(z_0+z)} \\ C_1 + C_2 e^{i\omega(z_0+z)} \end{array}\right)$$
$$= e^{-i(2\beta_1 + \vartheta)} \Phi(z_{0+}).$$
(23)

It is also observed that for a given exact solution (10), the conditions (5) and (9) for the Hamiltonian to be \mathcal{PT} symmetric are naturally satisfied.

Eqs. (10)-(18) comprise the central result of this paper. Every harmonic modulation (2) satisfying Eqs. (11)-(18) will generate an explicit example that allows for exactly controlling \mathcal{PT} symmetry transition. We will now demonstrate this by taking several specific cases as examples.

Case 1: We first consider the exact control of \mathcal{PT} symmetry where the system is excited at either channel 1 or channel 2. Applying the initial condition $\psi_1(0) = 1, \psi_2(0) = 0$ to Eqs. (17) and (18), and utilizing (11)-(16), we give the explicit form of harmonic modulations as follows

$$a(z) = \frac{\chi \cos(2v \cos \vartheta z - 2\vartheta)}{2 \cos^2 \vartheta},$$

$$b(z) = -\frac{\chi \cos(2v \cos \vartheta z)}{2 \cos^2 \vartheta}.$$
(24)

Given initial input beam $\psi_1(0) = 1, \psi_2(0) = 0$, we thus determine the shape of harmonic modulation, given by Eq. (24), for which the system (1) can be exactly solved. Under such circumstance, the light intensities at the first and the second waveguides exactly read as

$$|\psi_1(z)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\cos^2\vartheta} + \frac{\cos(2v\cos\vartheta z - 2\vartheta)}{2\cos^2\vartheta},$$

$$|\psi_2(z)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\cos^2\vartheta} - \frac{\cos(2v\cos\vartheta z)}{2\cos^2\vartheta}.$$
(25)

For the exact solution (25) associated with the initial condition $\psi_1(0) = 1, \psi_2(0) = 0$, it is easily verified that at $z_0 = [(2k+1)\pi + 2\vartheta]/(4v\cos\vartheta)$, the relations (5) and (9) hold, i.e.,

$$a(z_{0}+z) = b(z_{0}-z) = \frac{(-1)^{k}\chi\sin(\vartheta - 2v\cos\vartheta z)}{2\cos^{2}\vartheta},$$

$$|\psi_{1}(z_{0})|^{2} = |\psi_{2}(z_{0})|^{2} = \frac{1}{2\cos^{2}\vartheta} + \frac{(-1)^{k}\sin\vartheta}{2\cos^{2}\vartheta}.$$
 (26)

Since the time evolution of the total light intensity, $I = |\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2$, is determined by

$$\frac{dI}{dz} = 2\gamma(|\psi_1(z)|^2 - |\psi_2(z)|^2), \qquad (27)$$

we conclude that $dI/dz|_{z=z_0} = 0$, and thus the total intensity reaches the maxima or minima at $z_0 = [(2k+1)\pi + 2\vartheta]/(4v\cos\vartheta)$ with k being integer. For another set of initial values $\psi_1(0) = 0, \psi_2(0) = 1$, Eqs. (11)-(18)) give the explicit form of harmonic modulations

$$a(z) = -\frac{\chi \cos(2v \cos \vartheta z)}{2 \cos^2 \vartheta},$$

$$b(z) = \frac{\chi \cos(2v \cos \vartheta z + 2\vartheta)}{2 \cos^2 \vartheta}.$$
(28)

The shape of modulation (28), associated with the initial input $\psi_1(0) = 0, \psi_2(0) = 1$, produces the exact analytical distributions of light as

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1(z)|^2 &= \frac{1}{2\cos^2\vartheta} - \frac{\cos(2v\cos\vartheta z)}{2\cos^2\vartheta}, \\ |\psi_2(z)|^2 &= \frac{1}{2\cos^2\vartheta} + \frac{\cos(2v\cos\vartheta z + 2\vartheta)}{2\cos^2\vartheta}. \end{aligned}$$
(29)

Similarly, for the exact solution (29), we can prove that at $z_0 = [(2k+1)\pi - 2\vartheta]/(4v\cos\vartheta)$ with k being integer, the \mathcal{PT} symmetry requirement (5) and (9) are also met, i.e.,

$$a(z_{0}+z) = b(z_{0}-z) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}\chi\sin(\vartheta - 2v\cos\vartheta z)}{2\cos^{2}\vartheta},$$

$$|\psi_{1}(z_{0})|^{2} = |\psi_{2}(z_{0})|^{2} = \frac{1}{2\cos^{2}\vartheta} + \frac{(-1)^{k+1}\sin\vartheta}{2\cos^{2}\vartheta}.$$
 (30)



FIG. 2: (color online) Beam dynamics of the coupled-mode equation (1) for two different initial conditions: (a)-(b) $\psi_1(0) = 1, \psi_2(0) = 0$ and (c)-(d) $\psi_1(0) = 0, \psi_2(0) = 1$. (a) and (c) are for the no-modulation case; (b) and (d) are for the case with modulation. Shown here are the numerical results of intensity $I_1 = |\psi_1|^2$ in the first waveguide (blue dashed line), $I_2 = |\psi_1|^2$ in the second waveguide (black dash-dotted line), and the total intensity $I = I_1 + I_2$ (red solid line). The open circles denote the exact analytical results of the total intensity. The system parameters are chosen as $v = 1, \gamma = 0.6, \chi = 1.2$, and the modulation parameters for (b) and (d) are given by Eq. (24) and Eq. (28), respectively.

We present in Fig. 2 two examples of exact control of transition between symmetry breaking and \mathcal{PT} symmetry with controlled harmonic modulations. In our

simulation, the numerical solutions of the coupled-mode equation (1) are obtained with the use of Runge-Kutta method. Figs. 2(a)-(b) show the intensity evolution for the initial state $\psi_1(0) = 1, \psi_2(0) = 0$. For the nomodulation case [Fig. 2(a)], where the system parameters are given by $v = 1, \gamma = 0.6, \chi = 1.2, f = 0$, we observe that the total intensity exhibits exponential growth with light concentrating in the waveguide with gain, corresponding to a nonlinearly induced symmetry breaking. Fig. 2(b) indicates completely different dynamics, where the light periodically oscillates when the Eq. (24)-obeying periodic modulation is applied, which indicates a \mathcal{PT} symmetric state. Likewise, Figs. 2(c)-(d) represent the exact control from nonlinearity-induced symmetry breaking [Fig. 2(c)] to \mathcal{PT} symmetry [Fig. 2(d)] for another initial state $\psi_1(0) = 0, \psi_2(0) = 1$ by performing the harmonic modulation in the form of Eq. (28). As is apparent in Fig. 2, the modulations employed for exact control of \mathcal{PT} symmetry are distinct and light evolution is obviously non-reciprocal by exchanging the input channel from 1 to 2. We have compared the analytical (circles) with the numerical (red solid lines) results in Figs. 2 (b) and (d). The agreement is almost perfect.

Case 2: We now turn to the case of $\psi_1(0) = \psi_2(0) = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and consider $-\infty < z < +\infty$, which was discussed in Ref. [17]. Take our harmonic modulation as

$$a(z) = -\frac{\chi \sin \vartheta \cos(2v \cos \vartheta z - \vartheta + \frac{\pi}{2})}{2 \cos^2 \vartheta},$$

$$b(z) = \frac{\chi \sin \vartheta \cos(2v \cos \vartheta z + \vartheta + \frac{\pi}{2})}{2 \cos^2 \vartheta}.$$
 (31)

For the initial condition $\psi_1(0) = \psi_2(0) = 1/\sqrt{2}$, the analytical distribution of light intensity corresponding to the modulation (31) is

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1(z)|^2 &= \frac{1}{2\cos^2\vartheta} + \frac{\sin\vartheta\sin(2v\cos\vartheta z - \vartheta)}{2\cos^2\vartheta}, \\ |\psi_2(z)|^2 &= \frac{1}{2\cos^2\vartheta} - \frac{\sin\vartheta\sin(2v\cos\vartheta z + \vartheta)}{2\cos^2\vartheta}. \end{aligned}$$
(32)

Reported in Fig. 3 is the beam dynamics associated with the initial condition $\psi_1(0) = \psi_2(0) = 1/\sqrt{2}$. As above, system parameters are fixed as $v = 1, \gamma =$ $0.6, \chi = 1.2$, where the unmodulated system lies in the region of symmetry breaking with nonlinear switching. In fact, this result is confirmed by numerical simulations in Figs. 3 (a) and (c), where the output beam leaves the sample from the waveguide with gain and the total intensity increases without bound. In contrast, when the harmonic modulation (31) is turned on, the periodic intensity oscillations are observed in Figs. 3 (b) and (d). Another nontrivial observation is that the dynamics starting from $\psi_1(0) = \psi_2(0) = 1/\sqrt{2}$ in positive (+z)and negative (-z) directions is exactly equivalent, upon exchanging the two channels labeled by 1 and 2.



FIG. 3: (color online) Numerical solution of the coupled equations (1) describing the nonlinear \mathcal{PT} -symmetric system with the initial condition $\psi_1(0) = \psi_2(0) = 1/\sqrt{2}$. (a) and (c) are for the case with no modulation; (b) and (d) are for the case with modulation (31). (a)-(b) Dependencies of intensity on propagation distance z in the first (blue dashed line) and second (black dash-dotted line) waveguides; the solid line shows the total intensity. (c) and (d) give visualization of the intensity evolution along the propagation direction. In all plots, $v = 1, \gamma = 0.6$, the nonlinearity strength $\chi = 1.2$, and the modulation parameters are given by Eq. (31).

C. Stability analysis

In this subsection, the stability of these exact solutions will be discussed. For this purpose we first define the renormalized wave function with components

$$\phi_1(z) = \frac{\psi_1(z)}{\sqrt{I}}, \phi_2(z) = \frac{\psi_2(z)}{\sqrt{I}}.$$
(33)

The dynamics of system (1) thus can be expressed in terms of the renormalized wave function

$$i\frac{d\phi_1}{dz} = i\gamma(1-\kappa)\phi_1 + a(z)\phi_1 - \chi I|\phi_1|^2\phi_1 + v\phi_2,$$

$$i\frac{d\phi_2}{dz} = -i\gamma(1+\kappa)\phi_2 + b(z)\phi_2 - \chi I|\phi_2|^2\phi_2 + v\phi_1,$$

(34)

with $\kappa = |\phi_1|^2 - |\phi_2|^2$. This dynamics by definition conserves the normalization $|\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2 = 1$. It will be convenient to define three real components of a Bloch vector with respect to the renormalized wave function

$$S_{x} = \frac{\phi_{1}^{*}\phi_{2} + \phi_{1}\phi_{2}^{*}}{2},$$

$$S_{y} = \frac{\phi_{1}^{*}\phi_{2} - \phi_{1}\phi_{2}^{*}}{2i},$$

$$S_{z} = \frac{|\phi_{1}|^{2} - |\phi_{2}|^{2}}{2}.$$
(35)

In this representation, we obtain the generalized Bloch equations of motion from (34) as

$$S_x = [b(z) - a(z)]S_y - 4\gamma S_x S_z + 2\chi I S_y S_z,$$

$$S_y = [a(z) - b(z)]S_x - 2vS_z - 2\chi IS_x S_z - 4\gamma S_y S_z,$$

$$\dot{S}_z = \gamma + 2vS_y - 4\gamma S_z^2.$$
 (36)

These Bloch equations conserve $S_x^2 + S_y^2 + S_z^2 = 1/4$, which means that the evolutions of variables S_x, S_y and S_z are confined to the Bloch sphere. Besides, the total intensity I evolves as

$$\dot{I} = 4\gamma I S_z. \tag{37}$$

If we consider a small perturbation $(\delta S_x, \delta S_y, \delta S_z, \delta I)$ from the exact solution, a linearized equation is found for the perturbations

$$\delta S_x = -4\gamma S_z \delta S_x + [-a(z) + b(z) + 2\chi I S_z] \delta S_y -(4\gamma S_x - 2\chi I S_y) \delta S_z + 2\chi S_y S_z \delta I,$$

$$\delta \dot{S}_y = [a(z) - b(z) - 2\chi I S_z] \delta S_x - 4\gamma S_z \delta S_y -(2\nu + 2\chi I S_x + 4\gamma S_y) \delta S_z - 2\chi S_x S_z \delta I,$$

$$\delta \dot{S}_z = 2\nu \delta S_y - 8\gamma S_z \delta S_z,$$

$$\delta \dot{I} = 4\gamma I \delta S_z + 4\gamma S_z \delta I.$$
 (38)

Eq. (38) constitutes a set of linear differential equations with periodic coefficients, which admit solutions of the form $V(z) = e^{\lambda z} u(z)$, where λ is often called quasienergy and u(z) is the Floquet function periodic with the period of the coefficients in equation (38). These exact solutions are linearly stable if and only if there is no quasienergy with a positive real part. To find the quasienergies we adopt the numerical technique similar to that given in Ref. [35]. We first numerically integrate the linear differential equation 38 from z = 0 to $\Lambda = 2\pi/\omega$ with the initial condi-tions $[1, 0, 0, 0]^T$, $[0, 1, 0, 0]^T$, $[0, 0, 1, 0]^T$, $[0, 0, 0, 1]^T$ to ob-tain the results $[U_{j,1}, U_{j,2}, U_{j,3}, U_{j,4}]^T$, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, respectively. The resulting matrix U is the time evolution operator of Eq. (38) over one modulation period. Given that the Floquet functions u(z) are eigenstates of U with eigenvalues $\exp(\lambda\Lambda)$, the quasienergies of the linear equation (38) are numerically computed by direct diagonalization of U.

In Figs. (4) (a)-(b), we exhibits the numerically obtained quasienergies λ of the linearized equations related to the two exact solutions shown in Figs. 2 (b) and (d). These two exact solutions, one of which corresponds to the initial condition $\psi_1(0) = 1, \psi_2(0) = 0$ and the other to $\psi_1(0) = 0, \psi_2(0) = 1$, are dynamically stable, because their linearized equations have no quasienergy with a positive real part. In fact, all the quasiengies displayed in Figs. 4 (a) and (b) have $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = 0$, in which case the perturbations are purely oscillating. To double check the stability results, we calculate the difference between the exact solution without perturbations and the numerical one with initial random noise, which is characterized by a dynamical fidelity

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} |\psi_{1,\text{num}}^* \psi_{1,\text{ex}} + \psi_{2,\text{num}}^* \psi_{2,\text{ex}}|^2 \qquad (39)$$



FIG. 4: (color online) (a)-(b) Quasienergies λ of the linearized equation (38) corresponding to two different exact solutions. (a) and (b) are for the quasienergies λ associated with two exact solutions shown in Figs. 2 (b) and (d), respectively. (c)-(d) the evolutions of the fidelity between the exact solution and the numerical solution. Here, (c) represents the fidelity between the exact solution shown in Fig. 2 (b) and its corresponding numerical solution with initial random noise. (d) denotes the fidelity between the exact solution shown in Fig. 2 (d) and the numerical one with initial random noise.

with normalization function defined as

$$\mathcal{N} = (|\psi_{1,\text{num}}|^2 + |\psi_{2,\text{num}}|^2)(|\psi_{1,\text{ex}}|^2 + |\psi_{2,\text{ex}}|^2). \quad (40)$$

Here, the functions $\psi_{1,\text{num}}$ and $\psi_{2,\text{num}}$ denote the two modal field amplitudes of numerical solutions of system (1) with initial perturbation added to the exact solution, $\psi_{1,\text{ex}}$ and $\psi_{2,\text{ex}}$ the two components of exact solution, and superscript * the complex conjugate. The normalization function ensures that $0 \leq F(z) \leq 1$. The fidelity F(z) is a measure of the similarity between the exact solution and the numerical solution: when F = 1, the two solutions are the same; when F = 0, they have no similarity. We present the evolutions of the fidelity between the exact solution and the numerical solution for two cases, as shown in Fig. 4(c)-(d) . In Fig. 4(c), the exact solution is same as in Fig. 2 (b), where the harmonic modulation is given by (24) and the initial state is $\psi_1(0) = 1, \psi_2(0) = 0$; the numerical solution is obtained through integration of Eq. (1) with the same modulations and parameters as those in Fig. 2 (b) except for random perturbations added to the initial state $\psi_1(0) = 1, \psi_2(0) = 0.$ In Fig. 4(d), the exact solution corresponds to the one shown in Fig. 2 (d); the numerical solution is obtained via integration of Eq. (1)in the presence of random perturbations to the initial state $\psi_1(0) = 0, \psi_2(0) = 1$ for the same modulations and parameters as those in Fig. 2 (d). As clearly seen in Fig. 4(c)-(d), for both cases, the fidelities F(z) perfectly remain unity, which confirms that the two exact solutions shown in Figs. 2 (b) and (d) are stable against the numerical perturbation. Moreover, our numerical results (not displayed here) show that all the exact solutions associated with the initial conditions $\psi_1(0) = 1, \psi_2(0) = 0$ and $\psi_1(0) = 0, \psi_2(0) = 1$ are stable for the system parameters γ/v in the range of $0 \leq \gamma/v < 1$.

III. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have studied analytically the effect of periodic modulation on \mathcal{PT} -symmetry of nonlinear directional waveguide couplers with balanced gain and loss. A class of explicit analytical solution for the coupled-mode equation describing the dynamics of such nonlinear couplers has been constructed, which gives unlimited number of examples for analytical demonstration of the exact control of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry transition. With suitably chosen parameters of harmonic modulation, we can exactly control the system from nonlinearity-induced symmetry breaking to \mathcal{PT} -symmetry, which provides an analytical approach to tailor beam shaping and switching in nonlinear \mathcal{PT} -symmetric lattices. The technique used here to get the exactly solvable control fields can be applied in other physical contexts such as Bose-Einstein condensates in a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric double well.

The work was supported by the NSF of China under Grants 11465009, 11165009, the Doctoral Scientific Research Foundation of jinggangshan university (JZB15002), and the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University of Ministry of Education of China (NCET-13-0836).

- C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5243 (1998).
- [2] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 270401 (2002).
- [3] C. M. Bender, D.C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, Am. J. Phys. 71, 1095 (2003).
- [4] C. M. Bender, Rep. Prog. Phys. 70, 947 (2007).
- [5] A. Guo, G. J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R.Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. A. Siviloglou, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 093902 (2009).
- [6] C. E. Ruter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N.

Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, Nat. Phys. 6, 192 (2010).

- [7] A. Regensburger, C. Bersch, M.-A. Miri, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, Nature (London) 488, 167 (2012).
- [8] L. Feng, Y.-L. Xu, W. S. Fegadolli, M.-H. Lu, J. E. B. Oliveira, V. R. Almeida, Y.-F. Chen, and A. Scherer, Nat. Mater. 12, 108 (2013).
- [9] B. Peng, S. K. Özdemir, F. Lei, F. Monifi, M. Gianfreda, G. L. Long, S. Fan, F. Nori, C. M. Bender, and L. Yang, Nat. Phys. 10, 394 (2014).

- [10] Y. Sun, W. Tan, H-Q. Li, J. Li, and H. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 143903 (2014).
- [11] H. Hodaei, M-A. Miri, M. Heinrich, D. N. Christodoulides, and M. Khajavikhan, Science 346, 975 (2014).
- [12] L. Feng, Z. J. Wong, R.-M. Ma, Y. Wang, and X. Zhang, Science **346**, 972 (2014).
- [13] M. Wimmer, A. Regensburger, M. A. Miri, C. Bersch, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, Nat. Commun. 6, 7782 (2015).
- [14] Y. Lumer, Y. Plotnik, M. C. Rechtsman, and M. Segev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 263901 (2013).
- [15] Y. J. Chen, A. W. Snyder, and D. N. Payne, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 28, 239 (1992).
- [16] H. Ramezani, T. Kottos, R. El-Ganainy, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. A 82, 043803 (2010).
- [17] A. A. Sukhorukov, Z. Y. Xu, and Y. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rev. A 82, 043818 (2010).
- [18] N. Moiseyev, Phys. Rev. A 83, 052125 (2011).
- [19] R. Driben and B. A. Malomed, Europhys. Lett. 96, 51001 (2011).
- [20] G. Della Valle and S. Longhi, Phys. Rev. A 87, 022119 (2013).
- [21] X. Luo, J. Huang, H. Zhong, X. Qin, Q. Xie, Y. S. Kivshar, and C. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 243902 (2013).
- [22] Y. N. Joglekar, R. Marathe, P. Durganandini, and R. K. Pathak, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 040101 (2014).

- [23] J. Gong and Q.-H. Wang, Phys. Rev. A 91, 042135 (2015).
- [24] T. E. Lee and Y. N. Joglekar, Phys. Rev. A 92, 042103 (2015)
- [25] H. Deng, W. Hai, and Q. Zhu, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 15061 (2006)
- [26] Q. Xie and W. Hai, Phys. Rev. A 75, 015603 (2007); Q. Xie, Phys. Rev. A 76, 043622 (2007).
- [27] W. Hai, C. Lee, and Q. Zhu, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 41 095301 (2008).
- [28] K. Li, P. G. Kevrekidis, B. A. Malomed, and U. Gunther, J. Phys. A 45, 444021C23 (2012).
- [29] D. Dast, D. Haag, H. Cartarius, J. Main and G. Wunner, J. Phys. A 46 375301 (2013).
- [30] J. C. Bronski, L. D. Carr, B. Deconinck, and J. N. Kutz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1402 (2001).
- [31] W. Hai, G. Chong, Q. Xie, and J. Lu, Eur. Phys. J. D 28 267 (2004); W. Hai, Y. Li, B. Xia, and X. Luo, Europhys. Lett. 71, 28 (2005).
- [32] H. Sambe, Phys. Rev. A 7, 2203 (1973).
- [33] D. A. Zezyulin and V. V. Konotop, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 213906C5 (2012).
- [34] D. A. Zezyulin and V. V. Konotop, J. Phys. A 46 415301 (2013).
- [35] Y. Zheng, M. Koštrun, and J. Javanainen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 230401 (2004).