Schrödinger's cat in a realist quantum mechanics

Arthur Jabs

Alumnus, Technical University Berlin. Voßstr. 9, 10117 Berlin, Germany arthur.jabs@alumni.tu-berlin.de

(29 March 2018)

Abstract. There is no paradox with Schrödinger's cat in a realist interpretation because in such an interpretation wave functions which represent the same object at different times are not to be superposed.

Keywords: Schrödinger's cat, macroscopic states, superposition

In the thought experiment of Schrödinger's cat in the box [1] consider the superposition

$$c_1\psi_1 + c_2\psi_2,\tag{1}$$

where ψ_1 is the wave function of the alive and ψ_2 that of the dead cat. Actually ψ_1 may contain the alive cat together with the undecayed radioactive nucleus and ψ_2 the dead cat together with the decayed nucleus, but we neglect this because in our present argumentation it is not essential.

The probability of finding, in a measurement (opening the box), an alive cat is $|c_1\psi_1|^2$ and that of the dead cat $|c_2\psi_2|^2$. So there seems to be no objection to write the wave function of the cat inside the closed box as the superposition (1), where ψ_1 and ψ_2 are probability amplitudes and (1) is the wave function of neither a dead nor an alive cat but a superposition of both.

Now take the stand of a realist interpretation, where the particles are wavepackets, ψ represents real matter, not a probability amplitude, and reduction (collapse) is independent of measurement [2], [3]. And should the cat die, this occurs during a definite short time interval around, say, t_0 , even if nobody takes notice of it. The wavepackets ψ_1 and ψ_2 here represent still the same cat (either definitely alive or definitely dead) but at different times: ψ_1 before t_0 , ψ_2 after t_0 . The superposition (1) then superposes the same real object at different times. If we accepted this we would also have to accept the superposition of an electron wavepacket of today with the same packet of tomorrow. Except for the cat, such a superposition is actually nowhere met in quantum mechanics, independent of the particular interpretation adopted.

Skeptics should notice that neither is there any superposition of the form (1) in the formulas for transition or scattering amplitudes [4], [5]. And even in the scalar products forming those amplitudes both factors refer to the same time. Cases like the Stern-Gerlach experiment are quite different. There, a hydrogen atom passes through an inhomogeneous magnetic field, and its wave function is fanned out into a superposition of two spatially separated parts, a spin-up part and a spin-down part. The parts reach the screen beyond the magnetic field at the same time, and there the superposition is reduced to one part only. In the Copenhagen view one then compares the spin up part with the alive and the spin down part with the dead cat, and the reduction in the screen with the opening of the cat's box. In realism the difference to the cat wave functions is, however, that both atom wave functions together represent the atom *at the same time*.

Thus, although the superposition (1) for the cat is rejected in a realist interpretation, this does not mean that there is no superposition at all of wavepackets representing mesoscopic or macroscopic objects. The restriction is that these wavepackets must represent something that really exists *at the same time*. This is indeed the case in superpositions of widely separated but localized mesoscopic wavepackets, now sometimes called Schrödinger cat states. Examples can be seen in [6]. Ref. [7] reports on molecules of 1.7×10^{-23} kg ($\approx 10^4$ protons) and 5 nm diameter that pass through gratings with slit separation (period) of 266 nm, where interference effects between the parts coming from different slits are indeed observed. Experiments with even larger objects are under way [6], though one difficulty of observing interferences with ever greater objects, such as the cat as a whole, is the increasing importance of environmental decoherence.

References

- Schrödinger, E.: Die gegenwärtige Situation in der Quantenmechanik, Die Naturwissenschaften 23, 807-812, 823-828, 844-849 (1935), the cat appears in §5, p. 812; English translation in: Proc. Am. Philos. Soc. 124, 323-338 (1980) and in: Wheeler, J.A. and Zurek, W.H. (eds.): Quantum Theory and Measurement (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1983) p. 152-167
- Jabs, A.: Quantum mechanics in terms of realism, arXiv:quant-ph/9606017 (2017)
- [3] Jabs, A.: A conjecture concerning determinism, reduction, and measurement in quantum mechanics, arXiv:1204.0614 (2017) (Quantum Stud.: Math. Found. 3 (4), 279-292 (2016))
- [4] Messiah, A.: Quantum Mechanics (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1970) p. 725
- [5] Taylor, J.R.: Scattering Theory (Wiley, New York, 1972) p. 35
- [6] Arndt, M. and Hornberger, K.: Testing the limits of quantum mechanical superpositions, arXiv:1410.0270 (Nature Physics 10, 271-277 (2014))

[7] Eibenberger, S., Gerlich, S., Arndt, M., Mayor, M., Tüxen, M.: Matter-wave interference with particles selected from a molecular library with masses exceeding 10 000 amu, arXiv:1310.8343 (Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15, 14696-14700 (2013))