

Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials IV : closure relations and creation/annihilation operators

Satoru Odake

Faculty of Science, Shinshu University,
Matsumoto 390-8621, Japan

Abstract

We consider the exactly solvable quantum mechanical systems whose eigenfunctions are described by the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson types. Corresponding to the recurrence relations with constant coefficients for the M -indexed orthogonal polynomials, it is expected that the systems satisfy the generalized closure relations. In fact we can verify this statement for small M examples. The generalized closure relation gives the exact Heisenberg operator solution of a certain operator, from which the creation and annihilation operators of the system are obtained.

1 Introduction

The exactly solvable quantum mechanical systems described by the classical orthogonal polynomials in the Askey scheme have two properties, shape invariance and closure relation [1, 2]. These two properties are sufficient conditions for the exact solvability. The former leads to exact solvability in the Schrödinger picture and the latter to that in the Heisenberg picture. The closure relation gives the exact Heisenberg operator solution of the sinusoidal coordinate and its negative/positive frequency parts provide the creation/annihilation operators [2].

After the pioneer works [3, 4], new type of orthogonal polynomials, exceptional/multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials, have been studied intensively [5]–[29] (and references therein). The exceptional orthogonal polynomials (in the wide sense) $\{\mathcal{P}_n(\eta)|n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ satisfy second order differential or difference equations and form a complete set, but there are missing degrees, by which the constraints of Bochner's theorem and its generalizations [30,

31] are avoided. We distinguish the following two cases; the set of missing degrees $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{\deg \mathcal{P}_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ is case (1): $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$, or case (2) $\mathcal{I} \neq \{0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$, where ℓ is a positive integer. The situation of case (1) is called stable in [9]. By using the multi-step Darboux transformations with appropriate seed solutions [32, 33], many exactly solvable deformed quantum mechanical systems and various exceptional orthogonal polynomials with multi-indices can be obtained. When the virtual state wavefunctions are used as seed solutions, we obtain case (1) and call them multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials [11, 19, 18]. When the eigenstate or pseudo virtual state wavefunctions are used as seed solutions, we obtain case (2) [13, 20].

The shape invariance of the original system is inherited by the deformed systems for case (1), but lost for case (2) (some remnant remains [12, 13]). On the other hand, the closure relation does not hold in the deformed systems. This is because the closure relation is intimately related to the three term recurrence relations. Roughly speaking, three terms in the r.h.s of the closure relation (2.3) correspond to three term recurrence relations. The three term recurrence relations characterize the ordinary orthogonal polynomial (Favard's theorem [31]). Since the exceptional orthogonal polynomials are not ordinary orthogonal polynomials, they do not satisfy the three term recurrence relations. They satisfy recurrence relations with more terms [8][23]–[29]. For example, the simplest exceptional orthogonal polynomials in [3] satisfy five term recurrence relations [8]. In our previous papers [27, 29] we discussed the recurrence relations with constant coefficients for the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson types. Corresponding to these recurrence relations with constant coefficients, we expect that the deformed systems satisfy the generalized closure relations (3.2), which have more than three terms in the r.h.s. The generalized closure relation gives the exact Heisenberg operator solution of a certain polynomial in the sinusoidal coordinate, whose negative/positive frequency parts provide the creation/annihilation operators. The purpose of this paper is to expound these interesting subjects.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recapitulate the closure relation. The closure relation is a commutator relation between the Hamiltonian and the sinusoidal coordinate η . It gives the exact Heisenberg operator solution of η and its negative/positive frequency parts provide the creation/annihilation operators. In section 3 we generalize the closure relation. If some function X satisfies the generalized closure relation, we can calcu-

late the exact Heisenberg operator solution of X and its negative/positive frequency parts provide the creation/annihilation operators. The discussion in this section is valid for general quantum mechanical systems but the existence of the operator X is assumed. In section 4 we discuss that such operators X exist in the deformed systems described by the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson types. The operator X is a polynomial in η giving the recurrence relations with constant coefficients for the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials. The exact Heisenberg operator solution of X and the creation/annihilation operators are obtained. Explicit examples are given in section 5. The final section is for a summary and comments. In Appendix A we present some formulas for the diagonalization of a certain matrix. In Appendix B we present more examples.

2 Closure Relation

In this section we recapitulate the closure relation and creation/annihilation operators.

In many exactly solvable quantum mechanical models such as harmonic oscillator, radial oscillator, Darboux-Pöschl-Teller potential and their discrete quantum mechanical counterparts, the eigenfunction $\phi_n(x)$ has the following factorized form

$$\mathcal{H}\phi_n(x) = \mathcal{E}_n\phi_n(x), \quad 0 = \mathcal{E}_0 < \mathcal{E}_1 < \mathcal{E}_2 < \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\phi_n(x) = \phi_0(x)\check{P}_n(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad \check{P}_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_n(\eta(x)), \quad (2.2)$$

where the function $\eta = \eta(x)$ is called the sinusoidal coordinate and $P_n(\eta)$'s are classical orthogonal polynomials in η : Hermite, Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson, Askey-Wilson, (q -)Racah, etc. For these systems, the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and the sinusoidal coordinate η satisfy the relation,

$$[\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, \eta]] = \eta R_0(\mathcal{H}) + [\mathcal{H}, \eta] R_1(\mathcal{H}) + R_{-1}(\mathcal{H}), \quad (2.3)$$

where $R_i(z)$'s are polynomials in z ,

$$R_0(z) = r_0^{(2)}z^2 + r_0^{(1)}z + r_0^{(0)}, \quad R_1(z) = r_1^{(1)}z + r_1^{(0)}, \quad R_{-1}(z) = r_{-1}^{(2)}z^2 + r_{-1}^{(1)}z + r_{-1}^{(0)}, \quad (2.4)$$

and $r_i^{(j)}$'s are real constants ($r_0^{(2)} = r_1^{(1)} = r_{-1}^{(2)} = 0$ for the ordinary QM, $\mathcal{H} = p^2 + U(x)$). This relation (2.3) is called the closure relation; The double commutator $(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^2 \eta = [\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, \eta]]$ is expressed as a linear combination of $(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^0 \eta = \eta$, $(\text{ad } \mathcal{H}) \eta = [\mathcal{H}, \eta]$ and 1 with \mathcal{H} -dependent coefficients $R_i(\mathcal{H})$, which are multiplied from the right. The closure relation implies that

multiple commutators $(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^n \eta = [\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, \dots, [\mathcal{H}, \eta] \dots]]$ (n times) are also expressed as a linear combination of η , $[\mathcal{H}, \eta]$ and 1 with \mathcal{H} -dependent coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^n \eta &= \eta R_0(\mathcal{H}) \frac{\alpha_+(\mathcal{H})^{n-1} - \alpha_-(\mathcal{H})^{n-1}}{\alpha_+(\mathcal{H}) - \alpha_-(\mathcal{H})} + [\mathcal{H}, \eta] \frac{\alpha_+(\mathcal{H})^n - \alpha_-(\mathcal{H})^n}{\alpha_+(\mathcal{H}) - \alpha_-(\mathcal{H})} \\ &\quad + R_{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \frac{\alpha_+(\mathcal{H})^{n-1} - \alpha_-(\mathcal{H})^{n-1}}{\alpha_+(\mathcal{H}) - \alpha_-(\mathcal{H})} - R_{-1}(\mathcal{H}) R_0(\mathcal{H})^{-1} \delta_{n0}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\alpha_{\pm}(z)$ are defined by

$$\alpha_{\pm}(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} (R_1(z) \pm \sqrt{R_1(z)^2 + 4R_0(z)}), \quad (2.6)$$

$$R_1(z) = \alpha_+(z) + \alpha_-(z), \quad R_0(z) = -\alpha_+(z)\alpha_-(z). \quad (2.7)$$

From this, the Heisenberg operator solution of the sinusoidal coordinate $\eta = \eta(x)$ can be obtained explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\mathcal{H}t} \eta e^{-i\mathcal{H}t} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^n}{n!} (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^n \eta \\ &= a^{(+)} e^{i\alpha_+(\mathcal{H})t} + a^{(-)} e^{i\alpha_-(\mathcal{H})t} - R_{-1}(\mathcal{H}) R_0(\mathcal{H})^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $a^{(\pm)} = a^{(\pm)}(\mathcal{H}, \eta)$ are

$$a^{(\pm)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pm \left([\mathcal{H}, \eta] - (\eta + R_{-1}(\mathcal{H}) R_0(\mathcal{H})^{-1}) \alpha_{\mp}(\mathcal{H}) \right) (\alpha_+(\mathcal{H}) - \alpha_-(\mathcal{H}))^{-1}. \quad (2.9)$$

Although the operators $a^{(\pm)}$ contain \mathcal{H} in square roots, they do not cause any problem when acting on the eigenfunction $\phi_n(x)$ of \mathcal{H} , because the operator \mathcal{H} is replaced by the eigenvalue \mathcal{E}_n . For each model, we can check $R_0(\mathcal{E}_n) > 0$, namely

$$\alpha_+(\mathcal{E}_n) > 0 > \alpha_-(\mathcal{E}_n). \quad (2.10)$$

So $e^{i\alpha_+(\mathcal{H})t}$ and $e^{i\alpha_-(\mathcal{H})t}$ in (2.8) are interpreted as the negative and positive frequency parts respectively. As for the harmonic oscillator, the coefficients of the negative and positive frequency parts, $a^{(+)}$ and $a^{(-)}$, provide the creation and annihilation operators respectively.

Since $P_n(\eta)$ are orthogonal polynomials, they satisfy the three term recurrence relations (we set $P_n(\eta) = 0$ for $n < 0$),

$$\eta P_n(\eta) = A_n P_{n+1}(\eta) + B_n P_n(\eta) + C_n P_{n-1}(\eta) \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (2.11)$$

This and (2.2) imply

$$\eta \phi_n(x) = A_n \phi_{n+1}(x) + B_n \phi_n(x) + C_n \phi_{n-1}(x) \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (2.12)$$

Action of (2.8) on $\phi_n(x)$ is

$$e^{i\mathcal{H}t}\eta e^{-i\mathcal{H}t}\phi_n(x) = e^{i\alpha+(\mathcal{E}_n)t}a^{(+)}\phi_n(x) + e^{i\alpha-(\mathcal{E}_n)t}a^{(-)}\phi_n(x) - R_{-1}(\mathcal{E}_n)R_0(\mathcal{E}_n)^{-1}\phi_n(x).$$

On the other hand the l.h.s. turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\mathcal{H}t}\eta e^{-i\mathcal{H}t}\phi_n(x) &= e^{i\mathcal{H}t}\eta e^{-i\mathcal{E}_n t}\phi_n(x) \\ &= e^{-i\mathcal{E}_n t}e^{i\mathcal{H}t}(A_n\phi_{n+1}(x) + B_n\phi_n(x) + C_n\phi_{n-1}(x)) \\ &= e^{i(\mathcal{E}_{n+1}-\mathcal{E}_n)t}A_n\phi_{n+1}(x) + B_n\phi_n(x) + e^{i(\mathcal{E}_{n-1}-\mathcal{E}_n)t}C_n\phi_{n-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these t -dependence (see (2.10)), we obtain

$$\alpha_{\pm}(\mathcal{E}_n) = \mathcal{E}_{n\pm 1} - \mathcal{E}_n, \quad (2.13)$$

$$a^{(+)}\phi_n(x) = A_n\phi_{n+1}(x), \quad a^{(-)}\phi_n(x) = C_n\phi_{n-1}(x), \quad (2.14)$$

$$-R_{-1}(\mathcal{E}_n)R_0(\mathcal{E}_n)^{-1} = B_n. \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore $a^{(+)}$ and $a^{(-)}$ are creation and annihilation operators, respectively. (The normalizability of $a^{(+)}\phi_n(x)$ depends on the model and n .)

The creation and annihilation operators for eigenpolynomials are obtained by the similarity transformation in terms of the groundstate wavefunction $\phi_0(x)$. The similarity transformed Hamiltonian is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_0(x)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H} \circ \phi_0(x), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\check{P}_n(x) = \mathcal{E}_n\check{P}_n(x). \quad (2.16)$$

Corresponding to (2.3), this also satisfies the closure relation

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \eta]] = \eta R_0(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) + [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \eta]R_1(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) + R_{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}). \quad (2.17)$$

From the creation and annihilation operators $a^{(\pm)} = a^{(\pm)}(\mathcal{H}, \eta)$, we obtain the creation and annihilation operators for eigenpolynomials,

$$\tilde{a}^{(\pm)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_0(x)^{-1} \circ a^{(\pm)}(\mathcal{H}, \eta) \circ \phi_0(x) = a^{(\pm)}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \eta), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\tilde{a}^{(+)}\check{P}_n(x) = A_n\check{P}_{n+1}(x), \quad \tilde{a}^{(-)}\check{P}_n(x) = C_n\check{P}_{n-1}(x). \quad (2.19)$$

The normalization constants of the orthogonality relations, h_n ,

$$(\phi_n, \phi_m) = h_n\delta_{nm}, \quad (f, g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx f^*(x)g(x)dx, \quad (2.20)$$

are related to the coefficients of the three term recurrence relations. By calculating $(\phi_n, \eta\phi_m) = (\eta\phi_n, \phi_m)$ as

$$h_n(A_m\delta_{n,m+1} + B_m\delta_{n,m} + C_m\delta_{n,m-1}) = h_m(A_n\delta_{n+1,m} + B_n\delta_{n,m} + C_n\delta_{n-1,m}),$$

we obtain

$$A_n h_{n+1} = C_{n+1} h_n \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (2.21)$$

3 Generalization

In this section we generalize the closure relation. We consider general quantum mechanical systems with the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and the coordinate x .

Let us consider an operator X , which is a function of $\eta = \eta(x)$,

$$X = X(\eta) = X(\eta(x)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \check{X}(x). \quad (3.1)$$

We assume that the K -times commutator of \mathcal{H} and X has the following form:

$$(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^K X = \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^i X \cdot R_i(\mathcal{H}) + R_{-1}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (3.2)$$

Here $R_i(z) = R_i^X(z)$ is a polynomial in z and their coefficients are real numbers depending on the choice of X . We call this the closure relation of order K . The closure relation reviewed in §2 corresponds to $K = 2$.

The closure relation of order K (3.2) implies that the multiple commutation relation of \mathcal{H} and X , $(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^n X$, can be expressed as a linear combination of $(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^i X$ ($0 \leq i \leq K-1$) and 1 with \mathcal{H} -dependent coefficients, which are multiplied from the right,

$$(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^n X = \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^i X \cdot R_i^{[n]}(\mathcal{H}) + R_{-1}^{[n]}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (3.3)$$

The initial conditions of $R_i^{[n]}(z)$ are

$$R_i^{[n]}(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_{ni} & (0 \leq n \leq K-1; -1 \leq i \leq K-1) \\ R_i(z) & (n = K; -1 \leq i \leq K-1) \end{cases}. \quad (3.4)$$

By applying $\text{ad } \mathcal{H}$ to (3.3),

$$(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^{n+1} X = (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^n X$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\text{ad } \mathcal{H}) \left((\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^{K-1} X \cdot R_{K-1}^{[n]}(\mathcal{H}) + \sum_{i=0}^{K-2} (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^i X \cdot R_i^{[n]}(\mathcal{H}) + R_{-1}^{[n]}(\mathcal{H}) \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^i X \cdot R_i(\mathcal{H}) + R_{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \right) \cdot R_{K-1}^{[n]}(\mathcal{H}) + \sum_{i=1}^{K-1} (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^i X \cdot R_{i-1}^{[n]}(\mathcal{H}),
\end{aligned}$$

the recurrence relations for $R_i^{[n]}(z)$ are obtained:

$$R_i^{[n+1]}(z) = R_i(z)R_{K-1}^{[n]}(z) + \theta(1 \leq i \leq K-1)R_{i-1}^{[n]}(z) \quad (n \geq 0; -1 \leq i \leq K-1), \quad (3.5)$$

where $\theta(\text{Prop})$ is a step function for a proposition, $\theta(\text{True}) = 1$ and $\theta(\text{False}) = 0$. By introducing a matrix A and a vector $\vec{R}^{[n]}$

$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & R_0 \\ 1 & 0 & & O & R_1 \\ & 1 & 0 & & R_2 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & O & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & R_{K-2} \\ & & & & & 1 & R_{K-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{R}^{[n]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} R_0^{[n]} \\ R_1^{[n]} \\ R_2^{[n]} \\ \vdots \\ R_{K-1}^{[n]} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.6)$$

this recurrence relations (3.5) with the initial conditions (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$\vec{R}^{[n+1]} = A\vec{R}^{[n]} \quad (n \geq 0), \quad \vec{R}^{[0]} = {}^t(1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0), \quad (3.7)$$

$$R_{-1}^{[n+1]} = R_{-1}R_{K-1}^{[n]} \quad (n \geq 0), \quad R_{-1}^{[0]} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

These are easily solved as

$$\vec{R}^{[n]} = A^n \vec{R}^{[0]} \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (3.9)$$

$$R_{-1}^{[n]} = \theta(n \geq 1)R_{-1}R_{K-1}^{[n-1]} = \theta(n \geq 1)R_{-1} \cdot (A^{n-1} \vec{R}^{[0]})_K \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (3.10)$$

By using the properties of the matrix A given in Appendix A, let us calculate A^n . Although the matrix elements of A depend on \mathcal{H} , we can use the formulas in Appendix A, because the operator appearing in this calculation is \mathcal{H} only. We assume that the matrix A has K distinct real non-vanishing eigenvalues $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(\mathcal{H})$ and they are indexed in decreasing order

$$\alpha_i(z) \neq 0, \quad \alpha_1(z) > \alpha_2(z) > \cdots > \alpha_K(z) \quad (z \geq 0). \quad (3.11)$$

We note that R_i ($0 \leq i \leq K-1$) is expressed by α_j ,

$$R_i = (-1)^{K-i-1} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{K-i} \leq K} \alpha_{j_1} \alpha_{j_2} \cdots \alpha_{j_{K-i}} \quad (0 \leq i \leq K-1). \quad (3.12)$$

The eigenvector $\vec{p}_j = (p_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq K}$ ($p_{ij} = p_{ij}(\mathcal{H})$) corresponding to the eigenvalue α_j has a simple expression

$$p_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_j^{K-i} - \sum_{k=1}^{K-i} R_{K-k} \alpha_j^{K-i-k}. \quad (3.13)$$

Note that $p_{Kj} = 1$. Since the matrix A is diagonalized by the matrix $P = (p_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq K} = (\vec{p}_1 \vec{p}_2 \cdots \vec{p}_K)$, $P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_K)$, we obtain

$$A^n = P \text{diag}(\alpha_1^n, \alpha_2^n, \dots, \alpha_K^n) P^{-1}. \quad (3.14)$$

The matrix element $(P^{-1})_{ji}$ and the sum $\sum_{j=1}^K \alpha_j^{-1} (P^{-1})_{j1}$ are

$$(P^{-1})_{ji} = \alpha_j^{i-1} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^K (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)^{-1}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^K \alpha_j^{-1} (P^{-1})_{j1} = R_0^{-1}. \quad (3.15)$$

Next let us calculate the Heisenberg operator $e^{it\mathcal{H}} X e^{-it\mathcal{H}}$. By defining \vec{X} ,

$$\vec{X} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})X \\ (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^2 X \\ \vdots \\ (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^{K-1} X \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.16)$$

eq. (3.3) gives

$$(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^n X = {}^t \vec{X} \vec{R}^{[n]} + R_{-1}^{[n]} = {}^t \vec{X} A^n \vec{R}^{[0]} + \theta(n \geq 1) R_{-1} \cdot (A^{n-1} \vec{R}^{[0]})_K \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (3.17)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it\mathcal{H}} X e^{-it\mathcal{H}} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^n}{n!} (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^n X \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^n}{n!} \left({}^t \vec{X} A^n \vec{R}^{[0]} + \theta(n \geq 1) R_{-1} \cdot (A^{n-1} \vec{R}^{[0]})_K \right) \\ &= {}^t \vec{X} e^{itA} \vec{R}^{[0]} + i R_{-1} \int_0^t ds (e^{isA} \vec{R}^{[0]})_K \\ &= {}^t \vec{X} P \cdot \text{diag}(e^{i\alpha_1 t} \cdots e^{i\alpha_K t}) \cdot P^{-1} \vec{R}^{[0]} + i R_{-1} \int_0^t ds \left(P \cdot \text{diag}(e^{i\alpha_1 s} \cdots e^{i\alpha_K s}) \cdot P^{-1} \vec{R}^{[0]} \right)_K \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^K {}^t \vec{X} \vec{p}_j e^{i\alpha_j t} (P^{-1})_{j1} + i R_{-1} \int_0^t ds \sum_{j=1}^K p_{Kj} e^{i\alpha_j s} (P^{-1})_{j1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^K {}^t \vec{X} \vec{p}_j e^{i\alpha_j t} (P^{-1})_{j1} + R_{-1} \sum_{j=1}^K \left[\alpha_j^{-1} e^{i\alpha_j s} (P^{-1})_{j1} \right]_0^t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^K ({}^t\vec{X}\vec{p}_j + R_{-1}\alpha_j^{-1})(P^{-1})_{j1}e^{i\alpha_j t} - R_{-1} \sum_{j=1}^K \alpha_j^{-1}(P^{-1})_{j1} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^K a^{(j)}e^{i\alpha_j t} - R_{-1}R_0^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

In the last line we have defined $a^{(j)} = a^{(j)}(\mathcal{H}, X)$ ($1 \leq j \leq K$) as

$$a^{(j)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ({}^t\vec{X}\vec{p}_j + R_{-1}\alpha_j^{-1})(P^{-1})_{j1}. \tag{3.19}$$

Remark Since the operation $\text{ad } \mathcal{H}$ is a derivation, the closure relation of order K (3.2) is interpreted as a differential equation. More explicitly, it is stated as follows. Since a Heisenberg operator $F_{\mathcal{H}}(t)$ satisfies the Heisenberg equation $i\frac{d}{dt}F_{\mathcal{H}}(t) = [F_{\mathcal{H}}(t), H]$, the operation $\text{ad } \mathcal{H}$ for Heisenberg operators is a derivative $-i\frac{d}{dt}$. Then the closure relation of order K (3.2) means a differential equation for the Heisenberg operator $X_{\mathcal{H}}(t) = e^{it\mathcal{H}}Xe^{-it\mathcal{H}}$, $(-i)^K \frac{d^K X_{\mathcal{H}}(t)}{dt^K} - \sum_{l=0}^{K-1} (-i)^l \frac{d^l X_{\mathcal{H}}(t)}{dt^l} R_l(\mathcal{H}) = R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})$, which is a K -th order linear differential equation with ‘constant’ coefficients $R_l(\mathcal{H})$ ($0 \leq l \leq K-1$) and a ‘constant’ inhomogeneous term $R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})$. Such a K -th order differential equation is converted into a coupled first order linear differential equation, which is expressed neatly in vector and matrix notation, see the matrix A (3.6). Its general solution is a linear combination of $e^{i\alpha_j t}$ (which is a general solution of the homogeneous equation) plus a particular solution (which corresponds to an inhomogeneous term), see the last line of (3.18).

Although these operators $a^{(j)}$ (3.19) contain \mathcal{H} in a complicated way, they do not cause any problem when acting on the eigenfunction of \mathcal{H} , because the operator \mathcal{H} is replaced by the eigenvalue. By noting

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{H}, {}^t\vec{X}] &= ((\text{ad } \mathcal{H})X, (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^2 X, \dots, (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^{K-1} X, (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^K X) \\
&= ((\text{ad } \mathcal{H})X, (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^2 X, \dots, (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^{K-1} X, \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^{i-1} X \cdot R_i + R_{-1}) \\
&= {}^t\vec{X}A + (0, 0, \dots, 0, R_{-1}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{H}, a^{(j)}] &= [\mathcal{H}, {}^t\vec{X}]\vec{p}_j(P^{-1})_{j1} = ({}^t\vec{X}A + (0, 0, \dots, 0, R_{-1}))\vec{p}_j(P^{-1})_{j1} \\
&= ({}^t\vec{X}\alpha_j\vec{p}_j + R_{-1}p_{Kj})(P^{-1})_{j1} = a^{(j)}\alpha_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

This relation implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}\psi(x) = \mathcal{E}\psi(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}a^{(j)}\psi(x) &= a^{(j)}(\mathcal{H} + \alpha_j(\mathcal{H}))\psi(x) = a^{(j)}(\mathcal{E} + \alpha_j(\mathcal{E}))\psi(x) \\ &= (\mathcal{E} + \alpha_j(\mathcal{E}))a^{(j)}\psi(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Therefore the operator $a^{(j)}$ maps a solution of the Schrödinger equation with energy \mathcal{E} to that with energy $\mathcal{E} + \alpha_j(\mathcal{E})$. Namely it is a creation operator ($\alpha_j(\mathcal{E}) > 0$) or an annihilation operator ($\alpha_j(\mathcal{E}) < 0$) (we have assumed $\psi(x)$ and $a^{(j)}\psi(x)$ are normalizable).

The discussion given in this section is very general but we have assumed the existence of X satisfying the generalized closure relation (3.2). In the next section we discuss that such X 's really exist in the systems described by the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials.

4 Relation to the Recurrence Relations

In this section we consider exactly solvable systems described by the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of Laguerre(L), Jacobi(J), Wilson(W) and Askey-Wilson(AW) types. We discuss the existence of the generalized closure relations together with their connection to the recurrence relations with constant coefficients for the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials. We follow the notation in [23, 27, 29].

4.1 Multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials

Isospectral deformations of the exactly solvable systems described by L, J, W and AW polynomials are obtained by the M -step Darboux transformations with the virtual state wavefunctions as seed solutions. The deformed systems are labeled by $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_M\} = \{d_1^I, \dots, d_{M_I}^I, d_1^{II}, \dots, d_{M_{II}}^{II}\}$ ($M = M_I + M_{II}$), which are the degrees and types of the virtual state wavefunctions, and their eigenstates have the following form,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}(x) = \mathcal{E}_n\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}(x), \quad 0 = \mathcal{E}_0 < \mathcal{E}_1 < \mathcal{E}_2 < \dots, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}(x) = \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x)\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad \check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta(x)). \quad (4.2)$$

Here $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$'s are multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials. The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$ of the deformed system is the second order differential or difference operator,

$$\text{L, J: } \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}} = p^2 + U_{\mathcal{D}}(x), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\text{W, AW: } \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}} = \sqrt{V_{\mathcal{D}}(x)V_{\mathcal{D}}^*(x - i\gamma)} e^{\gamma p} + \sqrt{V_{\mathcal{D}}^*(x)V_{\mathcal{D}}(x + i\gamma)} e^{-\gamma p} - V_{\mathcal{D}}(x) - V_{\mathcal{D}}^*(x), \quad (4.4)$$

where $p = -i\frac{d}{dx}$ and $\gamma = 1$ for W, $\gamma = \log q$ for AW. The deformed potential $U_{\mathcal{D}}(x)$ and potential function $V_{\mathcal{D}}(x)$ are expressed in terms of the original $U(x)$, $V(x)$ and the denominator polynomial $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$. The explicit forms of $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta)$, $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$, $\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x)$, $U_{\mathcal{D}}(x)$, $V_{\mathcal{D}}(x)$, etc. can be found in [23, 27, 29].

Since the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials are not the ordinary orthogonal polynomials, they do not satisfy the three term recurrence relations. They satisfy the recurrence relations with more terms; $3 + 2M$ term recurrence relations with variable dependent coefficients [23]. It is conjectured that they also satisfy $1 + 2L$ term recurrence relations with constant coefficients [27, 29] of the form,

$$X(\eta)P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = \sum_{k=-L}^L r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}} P_{\mathcal{D},n+k}(\eta) \quad (\forall n \geq 0), \quad (4.5)$$

in which $X(\eta)$ is a degree L polynomial in η (with real number coefficients) and $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$'s are constants. We have set $P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) = 0$ for $n < 0$. The polynomial $X(\eta)$ (4.5) depends on the denominator polynomial $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$ [27]:

$$X(\eta) = \begin{cases} \int_0^\eta \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(y)Y(y)dy & : \text{L, J} \\ I[\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}Y](\eta) & : \text{W, AW} \end{cases}, \quad \deg X(\eta) = L = \ell_{\mathcal{D}} + \deg Y(\eta) + 1, \quad (4.6)$$

where $Y(\eta)$ is an arbitrary polynomial in η and the map $I[\cdot]$ is given in [27] and $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}$ is

$$\ell_{\mathcal{D}} = \sum_{j=1}^M d_j - \frac{1}{2}M(M-1) + 2M_I M_{II}. \quad (4.7)$$

So long as the two polynomials in η , $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) = \Xi_{d_1 \dots d_M}(\eta)$ and $\Xi_{d_1 \dots d_{M-1}}(\eta)$ (with some modification for W and AW), do not have common roots, the above form exhausts all possible $X(\eta)$ giving rise to the recurrence relations with constant coefficients (4.5). This conjecture is proved for L and J in [29].

The normalization constants of the orthogonality relations, $h_{\mathcal{D}n}$, are related to those of the original system (2.20),

$$(\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}, \phi_{\mathcal{D}m}) = h_{\mathcal{D}n} \delta_{nm}, \quad h_{\mathcal{D}n} = h_n \prod_{j=1}^M (\mathcal{E}_n - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}), \quad (4.8)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{d_j}$'s are energies of the virtual states, see (5.2), (5.13), (5.21) and (5.26). As in (2.21), the normalization constants and the coefficients of the recurrence relations are related. Since

the recurrence relations (4.5) give

$$X(\eta)\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}(x) = \sum_{k=-L}^L r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}} \phi_{\mathcal{D}n+k}(x) \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (4.9)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}, X\phi_{\mathcal{D}n-l}) &= \theta(-L \leq l \leq L) r_{n-l,l}^{X,\mathcal{D}} h_{\mathcal{D}n} \\ &= (X\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}, \phi_{\mathcal{D}n-l}) = \theta(-L \leq l \leq L) r_{n,-l}^{X,\mathcal{D}} h_{\mathcal{D}n-l}, \end{aligned}$$

which means

$$r_{n-l,l}^{X,\mathcal{D}} h_{\mathcal{D}n} = r_{n,-l}^{X,\mathcal{D}} h_{\mathcal{D}n-l} \quad (-L \leq l \leq L). \quad (4.10)$$

Hence we obtain

$$r_{n,-l}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{h_{\mathcal{D}n}}{h_{\mathcal{D}n-l}} r_{n-l,l}^{X,\mathcal{D}} \quad (1 \leq l \leq L). \quad (4.11)$$

This result is obtained for an appropriate parameter range (with which the inner product is well-defined) but the algebraic relations (4.11) themselves are valid for any parameter range. If $r_{m,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$ ($0 \leq m \leq n-1$, $-L \leq k \leq L$) are known ($h_{\mathcal{D}n}$ are given in (4.8)), we obtain $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$ ($-L \leq k \leq -1$) by this relation (we can set $r_{0,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = 0$ ($-L \leq k \leq -1$)). Therefore, in order to find the coefficients $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$, it is sufficient to find $r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$ ($n \geq 0$, $0 \leq k \leq L$). The top coefficient $r_{n,L}^{X,\mathcal{D}}$ is easily obtained by comparing the highest degree terms,

$$r_{n,L}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{c^X c_{\mathcal{D},n}^P}{c_{\mathcal{D},n+L}^P}, \quad (4.12)$$

where c^X and $c_{\mathcal{D},n}^P$ are

$$\begin{aligned} X(\eta) &= c^X \eta^L + (\text{lower order terms}), \\ P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) &= c_{\mathcal{D},n}^P \eta^{\ell_{\mathcal{D}}+n} + (\text{lower order terms}). \end{aligned}$$

The explicit forms of $c_{\mathcal{D},n}^P$ are found in [29] (L, J) and [19] (W, AW).

4.2 Generalized closure relations

The recurrence relations with constant coefficients give rise to the generalized closure relations, for which we have the following:

Conjecture 1 For any polynomial $Y(\eta)$, we take $X(\eta)$ as (4.6). Then we have the closure relation of order $K = 2L$ (3.2) and the eigenvalues of the matrix A (3.6) satisfy

$$\alpha_1(z) > \alpha_2(z) > \cdots > \alpha_L(z) > 0 > \alpha_{L+1}(z) > \alpha_{L+2}(z) > \cdots > \alpha_{2L}(z) \quad (z \geq 0). \quad (4.13)$$

Remark 1 From the form of the Hamiltonian (4.3)–(4.4), the polynomials $R_i(z) = R_i^X(z)$ with coefficients $r_i^{(j)} = r_i^{X(j)}$ are

$$\text{L, J: } R_i(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(K-i) \rfloor} r_i^{(j)} z^j \quad (0 \leq i \leq K-1), \quad R_{-1}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2}K \rfloor} r_{-1}^{(j)} z^j, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\text{W, AW: } R_i(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{K-i} r_i^{(j)} z^j \quad (0 \leq i \leq K-1), \quad R_{-1}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^K r_{-1}^{(j)} z^j, \quad (4.15)$$

where $\lfloor a \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding a .

At present we do not have a proof of Conjecture 1 but we can verify it for small values of M , d_j and $\deg Y(\eta)$ by direct calculation. Such explicit examples will be given in the next section and Appendix B.

In the rest of this section we assume that Conjecture 1 holds. Then we have the exact Heisenberg operator solution of X (3.18) and the creation/annihilation operators $a^{(j)}$ (3.19). Action of (3.18) on $\phi_{\mathcal{D}_n}(x)$ is

$$e^{it\mathcal{H}} X e^{-it\mathcal{H}} \phi_{\mathcal{D}_n}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2L} e^{i\alpha_j(\mathcal{E}_n)t} a^{(j)} \phi_{\mathcal{D}_n}(x) - R_{-1}(\mathcal{E}_n) R_0(\mathcal{E}_n)^{-1} \phi_{\mathcal{D}_n}(x).$$

On the other hand the l.h.s. turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\mathcal{H}t} X e^{-i\mathcal{H}t} \phi_{\mathcal{D}_n}(x) &= e^{i\mathcal{H}t} X e^{-i\mathcal{E}_n t} \phi_{\mathcal{D}_n}(x) = e^{-i\mathcal{E}_n t} e^{i\mathcal{H}t} \sum_{k=-L}^L r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}} \phi_{\mathcal{D}_{n+k}}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=-L}^L e^{i(\mathcal{E}_{n+k} - \mathcal{E}_n)t} r_{n,k}^{X,\mathcal{D}} \phi_{\mathcal{D}_{n+k}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these t -dependence (see (4.13)) and using (3.15), we obtain

$$\alpha_j(\mathcal{E}_n) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{n+L+1-j} - \mathcal{E}_n > 0 & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ \mathcal{E}_{n-(j-L)} - \mathcal{E}_n < 0 & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$a^{(j)} \phi_{\mathcal{D}_n}(x) = \begin{cases} r_{n,L+1-j}^{X,\mathcal{D}} \phi_{\mathcal{D}_{n+L+1-j}}(x) & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ r_{n,-(j-L)}^{X,\mathcal{D}} \phi_{\mathcal{D}_{n-(j-L)}}(x) & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$-R_{-1}(\mathcal{E}_n)R_0(\mathcal{E}_n)^{-1} = r_{n,0}^{X,\mathcal{D}}. \quad (4.18)$$

Therefore $a^{(j)}$ ($1 \leq j \leq L$) and $a^{(j)}$ ($L+1 \leq j \leq 2L$) are creation and annihilation operators, respectively. Among them $a^{(L)}$ and $a^{(L+1)}$ are fundamental, $a^{(L)}\phi_{\mathcal{D},n}(x) \propto \phi_{\mathcal{D},n+1}(x)$ and $a^{(L+1)}\phi_{\mathcal{D},n}(x) \propto \phi_{\mathcal{D},n-1}(x)$.

The creation and annihilation operators for eigenpolynomials are obtained by the similarity transformation. The similarity transformed Hamiltonian is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{D}}\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x) = \mathcal{E}_n\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x). \quad (4.19)$$

Their explicit forms are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L, J : } \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = & -4 \left(c_2(\eta) \frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} + \left(c_1(\eta, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[M_{\text{I}}, M_{\text{II}}]}) - 2c_2(\eta) \frac{\partial_{\eta} \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right) \frac{d}{d\eta} \right. \\ & \left. + c_2(\eta) \frac{\partial_{\eta}^2 \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})} - c_1(\eta, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[M_{\text{I}}, M_{\text{II}}]}) - \boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \frac{\partial_{\eta} \Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{W, AW : } \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = & V(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[M_{\text{I}}, M_{\text{II}}]}) \frac{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x + i\frac{\gamma}{2}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x - i\frac{\gamma}{2}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})} \left(e^{\gamma p} - \frac{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x - i\gamma; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta})}{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta})} \right) \\ & + V^*(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[M_{\text{I}}, M_{\text{II}}]}) \frac{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x - i\frac{\gamma}{2}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x + i\frac{\gamma}{2}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})} \left(e^{-\gamma p} - \frac{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x + i\gamma; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta})}{\check{\Xi}_{\mathcal{D}}(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\delta})} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where we have written the parameter ($\boldsymbol{\lambda}$) dependence explicitly (see [23, 27, 29] for notation). Corresponding to (3.2), this also satisfies the closure relation

$$(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{H}})^K X = \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} (\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{H}})^i X \cdot R_i(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) + R_{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}). \quad (4.22)$$

From the creation/annihilation operators $a^{(j)} = a^{(j)}(\mathcal{H}, X)$, we obtain the creation/annihilation operators for eigenpolynomials,

$$\tilde{a}^{(j)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x)^{-1} \circ a^{(j)}(\mathcal{H}, X) \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(x) = a^{(j)}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, X), \quad (4.23)$$

$$\tilde{a}^{(j)}\check{P}_{\mathcal{D},n}(x) = \begin{cases} r_{n, L+1-j}^{X,\mathcal{D}} \check{P}_{\mathcal{D}, n+L+1-j}(x) & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ r_{n, -(j-L)}^{X,\mathcal{D}} \check{P}_{\mathcal{D}, n-(j-L)}(x) & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}. \quad (4.24)$$

5 Examples

In this section we present examples of the generalized closure relations for the deformed systems described by the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson types.

We have verified Conjecture 1 for small M , d_j and $\deg Y$ by direct calculation. Such calculation suggests that the polynomials $R_i(z)$ ($0 \leq i \leq K - 1$) depend on L (or $K = 2L$) only and the dependence of d_j and $Y(\eta)$ enters in $R_{-1}(z)$. We arrive at the following:

Conjecture 2 *The eigenvalues of the matrix A (3.6) with $K = 2L$ are given by (5.3), (5.14), (5.22) and (5.27) for L , J , W and AW , respectively.*

Remark 1 We can show that (5.3), (5.14), (5.22) and (5.27) satisfy (4.13) and (4.16) for appropriate parameter ranges. These $\alpha_j(z)$'s depend on d_j and $Y(\eta)$ only through the degree of $X(\eta)$, $L = \ell_{\mathcal{D}} + \deg Y(\eta) + 1$.

Remark 2 The polynomials $R_i(z)$ ($0 \leq i \leq 2L - 1$) are given by

$$R_i(z) = (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{2L-i} \leq 2L} \alpha_{j_1}(z) \alpha_{j_2}(z) \cdots \alpha_{j_{2L-i}}(z) \quad (0 \leq i \leq 2L - 1). \quad (5.1)$$

These expressions are symmetric under the exchange of α_j and α_{2L+1-j} . For (5.3), (5.14), (5.22) and (5.27), we can check that $\alpha_j + \alpha_{2L+1-j}$ and $\alpha_j \alpha_{2L+1-j}$ are polynomials in z , see (5.15), (5.23) and (5.28). Hence $R_i(z)$ (5.1) are indeed polynomials in z .

Remark 3 The above expressions (5.1) with (5.3), (5.14), (5.22) and (5.27) are valid for $L = 1$ case, namely the original system ($\mathcal{D} = \{\}$, $\ell_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$, $\Xi_{\mathcal{D}}(\eta) = 1$, $X(\eta) = X_{\min}(\eta) = \eta$), and the generalized closure relation reduces to the original closure relation.

If Conjecture 2 holds, the unknown quantity of the generalized closure relation is $R_{-1}(z)$ only.

5.1 Multi-indexed Laguerre polynomials

The data for the Laguerre polynomial are

$$\mathcal{E}_n = 4n, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v^{\text{I}} = -4(g + v + \frac{1}{2}), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v^{\text{II}} = -4(g - v - \frac{1}{2}), \quad h_n = \frac{1}{2n!} \Gamma(n + g + \frac{1}{2}). \quad (5.2)$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix A (3.6) with $K = 2L$ are conjectured as

$$\alpha_j(z) = \begin{cases} 4(L + 1 - j) & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ -4(j - L) & (L + 1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}, \quad (5.3)$$

which are constants. It is trivial that these α_j satisfy (4.13) and (4.16). We have $R_i(z) = 0$ ($i : \text{odd} > 0$) due to $\alpha_j = -\alpha_{2L+1-j}$. Note that $R_i(z)$ ($i : \text{even} \geq 0$) are also constants.

Ex.1 We explain $\mathcal{D} = \{1^1\}$ (type I) in detail as an illustration. First we consider the lowest degree case $X(\eta) = X_{\min}(\eta)$, which corresponds to $Y(\eta) = 1$. The degree of $X(\eta)$ is $L = 2$ and the data of the closure relation of order $K = 4$ are

$$\begin{aligned} X(\eta) &= X_{\min}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\eta(\eta + 2g + 1), \\ R_0(z) &= -1024, \quad R_1(z) = 0, \quad R_2(z) = 80, \quad R_3(z) = 0, \\ R_{-1}(z) &= 64(3z^2 + 2(10g + 11)z + 2(2g + 1)(6g + 13)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (8, 4, -4, -8)$. The creation operators, $a^{(1)}$ and $a^{(2)}$, and the annihilation operators, $a^{(3)}$ and $a^{(4)}$, have the following forms,

$$\begin{aligned} a^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{768}(-128X - 16(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})X + 8(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^2X + (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^3X + \frac{1}{8}R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})), \\ a^{(2)} &= \frac{-1}{384}(-256X - 64(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})X + 4(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^2X + (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^3X + \frac{1}{4}R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})), \\ a^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{384}(256X - 64(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})X - 4(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^2X + (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^3X - \frac{1}{4}R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})), \\ a^{(4)} &= \frac{-1}{768}(128X - 16(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})X - 8(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^2X + (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^3X - \frac{1}{8}R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Here differential operators \mathcal{H} , $(\text{ad } \mathcal{H})X$ etc. are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}} &= -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + U_{\mathcal{D}}(x), \quad X = X(\eta(x)) = \check{X}(x), \quad f' = \frac{df}{dx}, \quad f^{(k)} = \frac{d^k f}{dx^k} \\ (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})X &= -2\check{X}'\frac{d}{dx} - \check{X}'', \quad (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^2X = 4\check{X}''\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 4\check{X}'''\frac{d}{dx} + \check{X}^{(4)} + 2\check{X}'U'_{\mathcal{D}}(x), \\ (\text{ad } \mathcal{H})^3X &= -8\check{X}'''\frac{d^3}{dx^3} - 12\check{X}^{(4)}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2(3\check{X}^{(5)} + 6\check{X}''U'_{\mathcal{D}}(x) + 2\check{X}'U''_{\mathcal{D}}(x))\frac{d}{dx} \\ &\quad - \check{X}^{(6)} - 6\check{X}''''U'_{\mathcal{D}}(x) - 8\check{X}''U''_{\mathcal{D}}(x) - 2\check{X}'U'''_{\mathcal{D}}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

and the potential $U_{\mathcal{D}}(x)$ in this case is

$$U_{\mathcal{D}}(x) = x^2 + \frac{g(g+1)}{x^2} - 2g - 3 + \frac{4}{x^2 + g + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{4(2g+1)}{(x^2 + g + \frac{1}{2})^2}. \quad (5.7)$$

The eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathcal{D}n}(x) &= \frac{2e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}x^{g+1}}{x^2 + g + \frac{1}{2}}P_{\mathcal{D},n}(x^2), \\ P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) &= (g + \frac{1}{2} + \eta)\partial_{\eta}L_n^{(g-\frac{1}{2})}(\eta) - (g + \frac{3}{2} + \eta)L_n^{(g-\frac{1}{2})}(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

The coefficients of the 5-term recurrence relations for $X(\eta) = X_{\min}(\eta)$ are [25, 26, 27]

$$r_{n,2}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2), \quad r_{n,-2}^{X,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{8}(2g+2n-3)(2g+2n+3),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{n,1}^{X;\mathcal{D}} &= -(n+1)(2g+2n+3), & r_{n,-1}^{X;\mathcal{D}} &= -\frac{1}{2}(2g+2n-1)(2g+2n+3), \\
r_{n,0}^{X;\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{1}{8}(24n^2 + 4(10g+11)n + (2g+1)(6g+13)).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

We can check (4.16)–(4.18), (4.24), (4.11), etc.

Next we take $Y(\eta) = \eta$. Then we have $L = 3$ and the data of the closure relation of order $K = 6$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
X(\eta) &= \eta^2\left(\frac{1}{3}\eta + \frac{1}{4}(2g+1)\right), \\
R_0(z) &= 147456, \quad R_2(z) = -12544, \quad R_4(z) = 224, \quad R_1(z) = R_3(z) = R_5(z) = 0, \\
R_{-1}(z) &= -1536(10z^3 + 3(26g+33)z^2 + 2(84g^2 + 240g + 139)z \\
&\quad + 2(2g+1)(2g+5)(10g+27)),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6) = (12, 8, 4, -4, -8, -12)$.

For $Y(\eta) = \eta^2$, we have $L = 4$ and the data of the closure relation of order $K = 8$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
X(\eta) &= \eta^3\left(\frac{1}{4}\eta + \frac{1}{6}(2g+1)\right), \\
R_0(z) &= -37748736, \quad R_2(z) = 3358720, \quad R_4(z) = -69888, \quad R_6(z) = 480, \\
R_1(z) &= R_3(z) = R_5(z) = R_7(z) = 0, \\
R_{-1}(z) &= 24576(105z^4 + 20(50g+67)z^3 + 60(52g^2 + 152g + 107)z^2 \\
&\quad + 32(6g+5)(18g^2 + 77g + 94)z + 8(2g+1)(2g+5)(2g+7)(14g+45)),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_8) = (16, 12, 8, 4, -4, -8, -12, -16)$.

Ex.2 For $\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{II}}\}$ (type II) and $Y(\eta) = 1$, we have $L = 2$ and the data of the closure relation of order $K = 4$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
X(\eta) &= X_{\min}(\eta) = -\frac{1}{2}\eta(\eta + 2g - 3), \\
R_0(z) &= -1024, \quad R_1(z) = 0, \quad R_2(z) = 80, \quad R_3(z) = 0, \\
R_{-1}(z) &= -64(3z^2 + 2(10g-9)z + 2(2g-3)(6g+1)),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (8, 4, -4, -8)$.

More examples are presented in Appendix B.

5.2 Multi-indexed Jacobi polynomials

The data for the Jacobi polynomial are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_n &= 4n(n+g+h), \quad h_n = \frac{\Gamma(n+g+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n+h+\frac{1}{2})}{2n!(2n+g+h)\Gamma(n+g+h)}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v^I &= -4(g+v+\frac{1}{2})(h-v-\frac{1}{2}), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v^{II} = -4(g-v-\frac{1}{2})(h+v+\frac{1}{2}),\end{aligned}\tag{5.13}$$

and we set $a = g + h$ and $b = g - h$.

The eigenvalues of the matrix A (3.6) with $K = 2L$ are conjectured as

$$\alpha_j(z) = \begin{cases} 4(L+1-j)^2 + 4(L+1-j)\sqrt{z+a^2} & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ 4(j-L)^2 - 4(j-L)\sqrt{z+a^2} & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}.\tag{5.14}$$

Remark that $\alpha_j(\mathcal{E}_n)$ is square root free, $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_n + a^2} = 2n + a$. We can show that these α_j satisfy (4.13) and (4.16) for $a > 2L - 1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_j(z) + \alpha_{2L+1-j}(z) &= \begin{cases} 8(L+1-j)^2 & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ 8(j-L)^2 & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}, \\ \alpha_j(z)\alpha_{2L+1-j}(z) &= \begin{cases} 16(L+1-j)^2((L+1-j)^2 - z - a^2) & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ 16(j-L)^2((j-L)^2 - z - a^2) & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

Ex.1 First we consider $\mathcal{D} = \{1^1\}$ (type I) and take $X = X_{\min}$ ($\Rightarrow \ell_{\mathcal{D}} = 1, L = 2, K = 4$),

$$X(\eta) = X_{\min}(\eta) = \frac{1}{4}\eta((b+2)\eta + 2(a-1)).\tag{5.16}$$

The closure relation of order 4 holds with the following R_i :

$$\begin{aligned}R_0(z) &= -1024(z+a^2-1)(z+a^2-4), \quad R_1(z) = -1024(z+a^2-\frac{5}{2}), \\ R_2(z) &= 80(z+a^2-\frac{33}{5}), \quad R_3(z) = 40, \\ R_{-1}(z) &= 128(b+2)\left(z^2 - ((b+2)^2 + 3a^2 - 10a + 1)z \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(a-1)(a-2)((b+2)^2 - 2a^2 - a - 3)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{5.17}$$

The eigenvalues of A (3.6) are

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1(\mathcal{H}) &= 16 + 8\sqrt{\mathcal{H} + a^2}, \quad \alpha_2(\mathcal{H}) = 4 + 4\sqrt{\mathcal{H} + a^2}, \\ \alpha_4(\mathcal{H}) &= 16 - 8\sqrt{\mathcal{H} + a^2}, \quad \alpha_3(\mathcal{H}) = 4 - 4\sqrt{\mathcal{H} + a^2},\end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha_j(\mathcal{E}_n)$ is square root free, $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_n + a^2} = 2n + a$. We can check (3.11) for $a > 3$. Therefore $a^{(1)}$ and $a^{(2)}$ are the creation operators, and $a^{(3)}$ and $a^{(4)}$ are the annihilation operators. The potential and eigenfunctions are

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{\mathcal{D}}(x) &= \frac{g(g+1)}{\sin^2 x} + \frac{(h-1)(h-2)}{\cos^2 x} - a^2 + \frac{8(a-1)}{a-1+(b+2)\cos 2x} - \frac{8(2g+1)(2h-3)}{(a-1+(b+2)\cos 2x)^2}, \\
\phi_{\mathcal{D}n}(x) &= \frac{-8(\sin x)^{g+1}(\cos x)^{h-1}}{a-1+(b+2)\cos 2x} P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\cos 2x), \\
P_{\mathcal{D},n}(\eta) &= \frac{1}{4}(1+\eta)(a-1+(b+2)\eta)\partial_{\eta}P_n^{(g-\frac{1}{2},h-\frac{1}{2})}(\eta) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{3}{2}-h\right)(a+1+(b+2)\eta)P_n^{(g-\frac{1}{2},h-\frac{1}{2})}(\eta).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

The coefficients of the 5-term recurrence relations are [27]

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{n,2}^{X,\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{(n+1)_2(b+2)(a+n)_2(2h+2n-3)}{(a+2n)_4(2h+2n+1)}, \\
r_{n,-2}^{X,\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{(b+2)(2g+2n-3)(2g+2n+3)(h+n-\frac{3}{2})_2}{4(a+2n-3)_4}, \\
r_{n,1}^{X,\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{(n+1)(a-1)(a+n)(2g+2n+3)(2h+2n-3)}{(a+2n-1)_3(a+2n+3)}, \\
r_{n,-1}^{X,\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{(a-1)(2g+2n-1)(2g+2n+3)(h+n-\frac{3}{2})_2}{(a+2n-3)(a+2n-1)_3}, \\
r_{n,0}^{X,\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{b+2}{4(a+2n-2)_2(a+2n+1)_2} \left(-b(b+4)(2n(a+n) - (a-2)(a-1)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (a+2n-1)(a+2n+1)(2n(a+n) - (a-2)(2a-1)) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

We can check (4.16)–(4.18), (4.24), (4.11), etc.

Ex.2 Next we consider $\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{II}}\}$ (type II) and take $X = X_{\min}$. Since these two cases $\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{I}}\}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{II}}\}$ are essentially same [5], we present $R_{-1}(z)$ only,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{-1}(z) &= -128(b-2)\left(z^2 - ((b-2)^2 + 3a^2 - 10a + 1)z \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2(a-1)(a-2)((b-2)^2 - 2a^2 - a - 3)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

More examples are presented in Appendix B.

5.3 Multi-indexed Wilson polynomials

The data for the Wilson polynomial are

$$\mathcal{E}_n = n(n+b_1-1), \quad b_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i, \quad h_n = \frac{2\pi n! (n+b_1-1)_n \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \Gamma(n+a_i+a_j)}{\Gamma(2n+b_1)},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v^I = -(a_1 + a_2 - v - 1)(a_3 + a_4 + v), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v^{II} = -(a_3 + a_4 - v - 1)(a_1 + a_2 + v), \quad (5.21)$$

and we set $\sigma_1 = a_1 + a_2$, $\sigma_2 = a_1 a_2$, $\sigma'_1 = a_3 + a_4$, $\sigma'_2 = a_3 a_4$, $b_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} a_i a_j$, $b_3 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4} a_i a_j a_k$ and $b_4 = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4$.

The eigenvalues of the matrix A (3.6) with $K = 2L$ are conjectured as

$$\alpha_j(z) = \begin{cases} (L+1-j)^2 + (L+1-j)\sqrt{4z + (b_1 - 1)^2} & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ (j-L)^2 - (j-L)\sqrt{4z + (b_1 - 1)^2} & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}. \quad (5.22)$$

Remark that $\alpha_j(\mathcal{E}_n)$ is square root free, $\sqrt{4\mathcal{E}_n + (b_1 - 1)^2} = 2n + b_1 - 1$. We can show that these α_j satisfy (4.13) and (4.16) for $b_1 > 2L$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j(z) + \alpha_{2L+1-j}(z) &= \begin{cases} 2(L+1-j)^2 & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ 2(j-L)^2 & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}, \\ \alpha_j(z)\alpha_{2L+1-j}(z) &= \begin{cases} (L+1-j)^2((L+1-j)^2 - 4z - (b_1 - 1)^2) & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ (j-L)^2((j-L)^2 - 4z - (b_1 - 1)^2) & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Ex.1 We consider $\mathcal{D} = \{1^1\}$ and take $X = X_{\min}$ ($\Rightarrow \ell_{\mathcal{D}} = 1$, $L = 2$, $K = 4$),

$$X(\eta) = X_{\min}(\eta) = \frac{1}{4}\eta(2(\sigma_1 - \sigma'_1 - 2)\eta + 4(\sigma_2\sigma'_1 - \sigma_1\sigma'_2 - \sigma_1\sigma'_1 + 2\sigma'_2) + \sigma_1 + 3\sigma'_1 - 2). \quad (5.24)$$

The closure relation of order 4 holds with the following R_i : $R_i(z)$ ($0 \leq i \leq 4$) are given by Conjecture 2 and (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} R_3(z) &= 10, \quad R_2(z) = 5z' - 33, \quad z' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 4z + (b_1 - 1)^2, \\ R_1(z) &= -8(2z' - 5), \quad R_0(z) = -4(z' - 1)(z' - 4), \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

and $R_{-1}(z)$ is presented in (B.23) because of its lengthy expression. The coefficients of the 5-term recurrence relations are found in [27] and we can check (4.16)–(4.18), (4.24), (4.11), etc.

5.4 Multi-indexed Askey-Wilson polynomials

The data for the Askey-Wilson polynomial are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_n &= (q^{-n} - 1)(1 - b_4 q^{n-1}), \quad b_4 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^4 a_i, \quad h_n = \frac{2\pi(b_4 q^{n-1}; q)_n (b_4 q^{2n}; q)_\infty}{(q^{n+1}; q)_\infty \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (a_i a_j q^n; q)_\infty}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v^I &= -(1 - a_1 a_2 q^{-v-1})(1 - a_3 a_4 q^v), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v^{II} = -(1 - a_3 a_4 q^{-v-1})(1 - a_1 a_2 q^v), \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

and we set $\sigma_1 = a_1 + a_2$, $\sigma_2 = a_1 a_2$, $\sigma'_1 = a_3 + a_4$, $\sigma'_2 = a_3 a_4$, $b_1 = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i$, $b_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} a_i a_j$ and $b_3 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4} a_i a_j a_k$.

The eigenvalues of the matrix A (3.6) with $K = 2L$ are conjectured as

$$\alpha_j(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left((q^{-\frac{1}{2}(L+1-j)} - q^{\frac{1}{2}(L+1-j)})^2 (z + 1 + q^{-1}b_4) \right. \\ \quad \left. + (q^{-(L+1-j)} - q^{L+1-j}) \sqrt{(z + 1 + q^{-1}b_4)^2 - 4q^{-1}b_4} \right) & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left((q^{-\frac{1}{2}(j-L)} - q^{\frac{1}{2}(j-L)})^2 (z + 1 + q^{-1}b_4) \right. \\ \quad \left. - (q^{-(j-L)} - q^{j-L}) \sqrt{(z + 1 + q^{-1}b_4)^2 - 4q^{-1}b_4} \right) & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}. \quad (5.27)$$

Remark that $\alpha_j(\mathcal{E}_n)$ is square root free, $\sqrt{(\mathcal{E}_n + 1 + q^{-1}b_4)^2 - 4q^{-1}b_4} = q^{-n} - b_4 q^{n-1}$. We can show that these α_j satisfy (4.13) and (4.16) for $b_4 < q^{2L}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j(z) + \alpha_{2L+1-j}(z) &= \begin{cases} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}(L+1-j)} - q^{\frac{1}{2}(L+1-j)})^2 (z + 1 + q^{-1}b_4) & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ (q^{-\frac{1}{2}(j-L)} - q^{\frac{1}{2}(j-L)})^2 (z + 1 + q^{-1}b_4) & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}, \\ \alpha_j(z) \alpha_{2L+1-j}(z) &= \begin{cases} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}(L+1-j)} - q^{\frac{1}{2}(L+1-j)})^2 \\ \quad \times ((q^{-\frac{1}{2}(L+1-j)} + q^{\frac{1}{2}(L+1-j)})^2 q^{-1}b_4 - (z + 1 + q^{-1}b_4)^2) & (1 \leq j \leq L) \\ (q^{-\frac{1}{2}(j-L)} - q^{\frac{1}{2}(j-L)})^2 \\ \quad \times ((q^{-\frac{1}{2}(j-L)} + q^{\frac{1}{2}(j-L)})^2 q^{-1}b_4 - (z + 1 + q^{-1}b_4)^2) & (L+1 \leq j \leq 2L) \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

Ex.1 We consider $\mathcal{D} = \{1^1\}$ and take $X = X_{\min}$ ($\Rightarrow \ell_{\mathcal{D}} = 1, L = 2, K = 4$),

$$X(\eta) = X_{\min}(\eta) = \frac{\eta}{(1+q)\sigma_2} \left(2q^{\frac{1}{2}}(\sigma_2 - \sigma'_2 q^2)\eta - (1+q)(\sigma_1(1 - \sigma'_2)q + \sigma'_1(\sigma_2 - q^2)) \right). \quad (5.29)$$

The closure relation of order 4 holds with the following R_i : $R_i(z)$ ($0 \leq i \leq 4$) are given by Conjecture 2 and (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} R_3(z) &= q^{-2}(1-q)^2(1+3q+q^2)z', \quad z' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} z + 1 + q^{-1}b_4, \\ R_2(z) &= -q^{-3}(1-q)^2((1-q-5q^2-q^3+q^4)z'^2 + q^{-2}(1+q)^2(1+3q^2+q^4)b_4), \\ R_1(z) &= -q^{-3}(1-q)^4(1+q)^2z'(2z'^2 - q^{-3}(1+q+4q^2+q^3+q^4)b_4), \\ R_0(z) &= -q^{-3}(1-q)^4(1+q)^2(z'^2 - q^{-2}(1+q)^2b_4)(z'^2 - q^{-3}(1+q^2)^2b_4), \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

and $R_{-1}(z)$ is presented in (B.26) because of its lengthy expression. The coefficients of the 5-term recurrence relations are found in [27] and we can check (4.16)–(4.18), (4.24), (4.11), etc.

6 Summary and Comments

Exactly solvable quantum mechanical systems described by Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials satisfy the shape invariance and closure relation. The shape invariance is inherited by the deformed systems described by the multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson types. The closure relation is not inherited, because it is related to the three term recurrence relations for the ordinary orthogonal polynomials. These multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials satisfy the $1 + 2L$ ($L \geq 2$) term recurrence relations with constant coefficients (4.5), which is obtained from multiplication by X . We generalize the closure relation (3.2) and discuss that the deformed systems satisfy the generalized closure relations, which corresponds to the $1 + 2L$ term recurrence relations with constant coefficients. This is stated as Conjecture 1. The generalized closure relation gives the exact Heisenberg operator solution of X , and its negative/positive frequency parts provide the creation/annihilation operators of the system. For small L cases, we can verify the generalized closure relations. Some examples and Conjecture 2 are presented. Although we have discussed Laguerre, Jacobi, Wilson and Askey-Wilson cases, the method is applicable to other case (1) and case (2) exceptional/multi-indexed polynomials. For example, the exceptional Hermite polynomials with multi-indices are worth for investigation.

In contrast to the shape invariance which is applicable to quantum system only, the (generalized) closure relation is applicable to not only quantum system but also classical system [2]. The commutator $[\cdot, \cdot]$ in quantum mechanics becomes the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\text{PB}}$ in classical mechanics. To obtain the classical limit, we have to recover \hbar (reduced Planck constant) dependence, because we have used the $\hbar = 1$ unit. Then the commutator $\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\cdot, \cdot]$ and the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} become the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\text{PB}}$ and the classical Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}^{cl} respectively, in the $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit. The time evolution equation of a phase space function F is $\frac{d}{dt}F = -\{\mathcal{H}^{\text{cl}}, F\}_{\text{PB}}$ and it is solved as $F(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} (\text{ad}_{\text{PB}}\mathcal{H}^{\text{cl}})^n F$, where $\text{ad}_{\text{PB}}\mathcal{H}^{\text{cl}}$ stands for the operation $(\text{ad}_{\text{PB}}\mathcal{H}^{\text{cl}})F = \{\mathcal{H}^{\text{cl}}, F\}_{\text{PB}}$. By the similar calculation in §3, the generalized closure relation gives us the time evolution of X , $X(t)$, explicitly.

For the ordinary orthogonal polynomials, any recurrence relations of the form $X(\eta)P_n(\eta) = \sum_{k=-L}^L r_{n,k}^X P_{n+k}(\eta)$ can be obtained from the three term recurrence relations. On the other hand, for multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials of L, J, W and AW types, different choices

of X give different recurrence relations with constant coefficients (4.5) in general. Correspondingly we have infinitely many creation/annihilation operators. It is a challenging problem to study their relations. Even for the simplest choice $X = X_{\min}$, there are L creation operators $a^{(j)}$ ($1 \leq j \leq L$) and L annihilation operators $a^{(j)}$ ($L+1 \leq j \leq 2L$) and it is a good problem to study their relations (commutators etc.). In harmonic oscillator, the coherent state is obtained as an eigenstate of the annihilation operator. It is also a challenging problem to find the eigenstates of the annihilation operators $a^{(j)}$ ($L+1 \leq j \leq 2L$).

Acknowledgments

I thank R. Sasaki for discussion and reading of the manuscript. I am supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), No.25400395.

A Diagonalization of Some Matrix

In this appendix we present some formulas for the diagonalization of a matrix (A.1).

Let us consider a $K \times K$ matrix A ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & R_0 \\ 1 & 0 & & O & R_1 \\ & 1 & 0 & & R_2 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ O & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & R_{K-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where R_i 's are real numbers. Its characteristic polynomial is

$$|xE - A| = x^K - \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} R_i x^i. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

We assume that the matrix A has K distinct real non-vanishing eigenvalues,

$$|xE - A| = \prod_{j=1}^K (x - \alpha_j), \quad \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_K, \quad \alpha_i \neq 0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Then R_i is expressed by α_j ,

$$R_i = (-1)^{K-i-1} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{K-i} \leq K} \alpha_{j_1} \alpha_{j_2} \cdots \alpha_{j_{K-i}} \quad (0 \leq i \leq K-1). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The eigenvector $\vec{p}_j = (p_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq K}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue α_j is

$$p_{ij} = \alpha_j^{K-i} - \sum_{k=1}^{K-i} R_{K-k} \alpha_j^{K-i-k}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

(Here our summation convention is $\sum_{i=n}^{n-1} * = 0$.) Note that $p_{Kj} = 1$. The matrix A is diagonalized as

$$P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_K), \quad P = (p_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq K} = (\vec{p}_1 \vec{p}_2 \cdots \vec{p}_K). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The determinant of P is

$$|P| = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq K} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \neq 0, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and the matrix elements of P^{-1} are

$$(P^{-1})_{ji} = \alpha_j^{i-1} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^K (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^K \alpha_j^{-1} (P^{-1})_{j1} = \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{\alpha_j \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^K (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)} = \frac{(-1)^{K-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^K \alpha_j} = R_0^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

B More Examples

In this appendix we present more examples of the generalized closure relations, which support Conjecture 1 and Conjecture 2. We take $X(\eta) = X_{\min}(\eta)$ ($Y(\eta) = 1$). The order of the generalized closure relation is $K = 2L = 2(\ell_{\mathcal{D}} + 1)$. Since $R_i(z)$ ($0 \leq i \leq 2L - 1$) are obtained by Conjecture 2 and (5.1), we present $R_{-1}(z)$ only.

B.1 Laguerre type

We present $R_{-1}(z)$ for all \mathcal{D} with $K \leq 8$.

$K = 2$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\} : R_{-1}(z) = -8(z + 2g + 1). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$K = 4$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^1\} : R_{-1}(z) = 64(2(1 + 2g)(13 + 6g) + 2(11 + 10g)z + 3z^2), \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{II}}\} : R_{-1}(z) = -64(2(2g-3)(1+6g) + 2(10g-9)z + 3z^2). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$K=6$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} = \{2^{\text{I}}\} : R_{-1}(z) = & -1536(2(1+2g)(3+2g)(41+14g) + 4(61+108g+36g^2)z \\ & + 24(3+2g)z^2 + 5z^3), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} = \{2^{\text{II}}\} : R_{-1}(z) = & -1536(2(2g-5)(2g-1)(3+14g) + 4(25-84g+36g^2)z \\ & + 12(4g-5)z^2 + 5z^3), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{I}}, 2^{\text{I}}\} : R_{-1}(z) = & -1536(2(1+2g)(5+2g)(45+14g) + 4(97+132g+36g^2)z \\ & + 12(7+4g)z^2 + 5z^3), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{II}}, 2^{\text{II}}\} : R_{-1}(z) = & 1536(2(2g-5)(2g-3)(14g-1) + 4(61-108g+36g^2)z \\ & + 24(2g-3)z^2 + 5z^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$K=8$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{3^{\text{I}}\} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-1}(z) = & 12288(8(1+2g)(3+2g)(5+2g)(113+30g) \\ & + 16(935+2072g+1284g^2+224g^3)z + 4(1405+1848g+492g^2)z^2 \\ & + 20(41+22g)z^3 + 35z^4), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{3^{\text{II}}\} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-1}(z) = & -12288(24(2g-7)(2g-1)(-5-16g+20g^2) \\ & + 16(-245+968g-972g^2+224g^3)z + 4(805-1512g+492g^2)z^2 \\ & + 20(22g-35)z^3 + 35z^4), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{I}}, 3^{\text{I}}\} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-1}(z) = & 24576(8(1+2g)(5+2g)(17+6g)(39+10g) \\ & + 16(1625+2984g+1500g^2+224g^3)z + 4(2005+2136g+492g^2)z^2 \\ & + 20(47+22g)z^3 + 35z^4), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{II}}, 3^{\text{II}}\} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-1}(z) = & 24576(8(2g-7)(2g-3)(6g-7)(10g-1) \\ & + 16(-647+1736g-1188g^2+224g^3)z + 4(1333-1800g+492g^2)z^2 \\ & + 20(22g-41)z^3 + 35z^4), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^I, 2^I, 3^I\} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-1}(z) &= 12288(24(1+2g)(7+2g)(251+144g+20g^2) \\ &\quad + 16(2411+3944g+1716g^2+224g^3)z + 4(2629+2424g+492g^2)z^2 \\ &\quad + 20(53+22g)z^3 + 35z^4), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^{II}, 2^{II}, 3^{II}\} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-1}(z) &= 12288(8(2g-7)(2g-5)(2g-3)(30g-7) \\ &\quad + 16(-1145+2552g-1404g^2+224g^3)z + 4(1885-2088g+492g^2)z^2 \\ &\quad + 20(22g-47)z^3 + 35z^4), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^I, 1^{II}\} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-1}(z) &= 73728(8(2g-3)(1+2g)(5+6g)(19+10g) \\ &\quad + 16(-135-328g+156g^2+224g^3)z + 4(-299+168g+492g^2)z^2 \\ &\quad + 20(3+22g)z^3 + 35z^4). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

We have also calculated for $K = 10$ and $K = 12$ cases,

$$\begin{aligned} K = 10 : \quad \mathcal{D} &= \{4^I\}, \{4^{II}\}, \{1^I, 4^I\}, \{1^{II}, 4^{II}\}, \{2^I, 3^I\}, \{2^{II}, 3^{II}\}, \{1^I, 2^I, 4^I\}, \{1^{II}, 2^{II}, 4^{II}\}, \\ &\quad \{1^I, 2^I, 3^I, 4^I\}, \{1^{II}, 2^{II}, 3^{II}, 4^{II}\}, \{2^I, 1^{II}\}, \{1^I, 2^{II}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K = 12 : \quad \mathcal{D} &= \{5^I\}, \{5^{II}\}, \{1^I, 5^I\}, \{1^{II}, 5^{II}\}, \{2^I, 4^I\}, \{2^{II}, 4^{II}\}, \{1^I, 2^I, 5^I\}, \{1^{II}, 2^{II}, 5^{II}\}, \\ &\quad \{1^I, 3^I, 4^I\}, \{1^{II}, 3^{II}, 4^{II}\}, \{1^I, 2^I, 3^I, 5^I\}, \{1^{II}, 2^{II}, 3^{II}, 5^{II}\}, \{1^I, 2^I, 3^I, 4^I, 5^I\}, \\ &\quad \{1^{II}, 2^{II}, 3^{II}, 4^{II}, 5^{II}\}, \{3^I, 1^{II}\}, \{1^I, 3^{II}\}, \{1^I, 2^I, 1^{II}\}, \{1^I, 1^{II}, 2^{II}\}, \{2^I, 2^{II}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and checked Conjecture 1 and Conjecture 2.

B.2 Jacobi type

We present $R_{-1}(z)$ for all \mathcal{D} with $K \leq 6$.

$K = 2$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\} : \quad R_{-1}(z) = 16b(a-1). \quad (\text{B.15})$$

$K = 4$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} = \{1^I\} : \quad R_{-1}(z) &= 128(2+b) \left(2(a-2)(a-1)((2+b)^2 - 3 - a - 2a^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - ((2+b)^2 + 1 - 10a + 3a^2)z + z^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.16})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{II}}\} : R_{-1}(z) = (R_{-1}(z) \text{ in (B.16)})|_{b \rightarrow -b}. \quad (\text{B.17})$$

$K = 6$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{2^{\text{I}}\} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-1}(z) = & 3072(1-a) \left(2(a-3)(a-2)(3(a-2)a(2+a)(3+a) \right. \\ & - 3(2+a)(5+a+a^3)(3+b) - (14-3a+3a^2)(3+b)^2 \\ & + (10+3a+3a^2)(3+b)^3 + 2(3+b)^4 - (3+b)^5) \\ & + 3(2(a-2)a(-13+2a^2) + (46-28a-2a^2+12a^3-3a^4)(3+b) \\ & - 2(1-6a-a^2)(3+b)^2 - 2(1+4a)(3+b)^3 - 2(3+b)^4 + (3+b)^5)z \\ & + 6((a-2)a - (4-3a)(3+b) + 2(3+b)^2 - (3+b)^3)z^2 \\ & \left. + 3(3+b)z^3 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.18})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{2^{\text{II}}\} : R_{-1}(z) = -(R_{-1}(z) \text{ in (B.18)})|_{b \rightarrow -b}, \quad (\text{B.19})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{I}}, 2^{\text{I}}\} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-1}(z) = & -1536(1-a)(4+b) \left(2(a-3)(a-2)(3(a-2)a(2+a)(3+a) \right. \\ & + 3(2+a)(5+a+a^3)(3+b) - (14-3a+3a^2)(3+b)^2 \\ & - (10+3a+3a^2)(3+b)^3 + 2(3+b)^4 + (3+b)^5) \\ & + 3(2(a-2)a(-13+2a^2) - (46-28a-2a^2+12a^3-3a^4)(3+b) \\ & + 2(1-6a-a^2)(3+b)^2 + 2(1+4a)(3+b)^3 - 2(3+b)^4 - (3+b)^5)z \\ & + 6((a-2)a + (4-3a)(3+b) + 2(3+b)^2 + (3+b)^3)z^2 \\ & \left. - 3(3+b)z^3 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.20})$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{II}}, 2^{\text{II}}\} : R_{-1}(z) = -(R_{-1}(z) \text{ in (B.20)})|_{b \rightarrow -b}. \quad (\text{B.21})$$

B.3 Wilson type

We present $R_{-1}(z)$ for all \mathcal{D} with $K \leq 4$.

$K = 2$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\} : R_{-1}(z) = -2z^2 + (b_1 - 2b_2)z - (b_1 - 2)b_3. \quad (\text{B.22})$$

$K = 4$ $\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{I}}\} :$

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{-1}(z) \\ = & \frac{1}{8}(b_1 - 3)(b_1 - 2) \left(2b_1 + b_1(\sigma_1 - 5\sigma'_1) - b_1(\sigma_1^2 + 7\sigma_1'^2) + 16(\sigma_1\sigma'_2 + 4\sigma_1'\sigma_2 - 3\sigma_1'\sigma_2') \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 8(\sigma_1^2\sigma_2' + \sigma_1\sigma_1'b_1^2 + \sigma_1\sigma_1'(\sigma_2 + 15\sigma_2') + \sigma_1'^2(21\sigma_2 - 6\sigma_2')) - 8(\sigma_1^3\sigma_2' + \sigma_1^2\sigma_1'(7\sigma_2 + 2\sigma_2')) \\
& + \sigma_1\sigma_1'^2(14\sigma_2 - 15\sigma_2') - 9\sigma_1'^3\sigma_2 - 4\sigma_1\sigma_2'^2 + 4\sigma_1'(2\sigma_2^2 - 4\sigma_2\sigma_2' + \sigma_2'^2)) \\
& - 16(\sigma_1\sigma_1'(\sigma_1 + 3\sigma_1')(\sigma_1\sigma_2' + \sigma_1'\sigma_2) - \sigma_1^2\sigma_2'^2 - \sigma_1\sigma_1'(5\sigma_2^2 - 8\sigma_2\sigma_2' + 7\sigma_2'^2) + \sigma_1'^2\sigma_2(\sigma_2 - 4\sigma_2')) \\
& - 16(\sigma_1\sigma_2' + \sigma_1'\sigma_2)(\sigma_1^2\sigma_2' - 3\sigma_1\sigma_1'(\sigma_2 - \sigma_2') - \sigma_1'^2\sigma_2)) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\left(-6 + 9(3\sigma_1 + 5\sigma_1') + 8(\sigma_1^2 + 2\sigma_1\sigma_1' + 16\sigma_1'^2 - 12\sigma_2 + 6\sigma_2') - 2(13\sigma_1^3 + 53\sigma_1^2\sigma_1' + 143\sigma_1\sigma_1'^2 \right. \\
& - 17\sigma_1'^3 + 52\sigma_1\sigma_2' + 220\sigma_1'\sigma_2) + 8(\sigma_1^4 + 7\sigma_1^3\sigma_1' + 15\sigma_1^2\sigma_1'^2 - 17\sigma_1\sigma_1'^3 - 2\sigma_1'^4 + \sigma_1^2(9\sigma_2 + 11\sigma_2') \\
& + 2\sigma_1\sigma_1'(37\sigma_2 - 15\sigma_2') - \sigma_1'^2(11\sigma_2 + 13\sigma_2') + 12\sigma_2(\sigma_2 - 2\sigma_2')) - 8(\sigma_1\sigma_1'(\sigma_1^3 - 4\sigma_1^2\sigma_1' - 16\sigma_1\sigma_1'^2 \\
& - 5\sigma_1'^3) + \sigma_1^3(3\sigma_2 + 5\sigma_2') + \sigma_1^2\sigma_1'(23\sigma_2 - 33\sigma_2') - \sigma_1\sigma_1'^2(35\sigma_2 + 11\sigma_2') - \sigma_1'^3(17\sigma_2 - \sigma_2') \\
& + 2\sigma_1(6\sigma_2^2 - 12\sigma_2\sigma_2' + 11\sigma_2'^2) + 2\sigma_1'(\sigma_2^2 + 4\sigma_2\sigma_2' + 6\sigma_2'^2)) - 8(2\sigma_1^2\sigma_1'^2b_1^2 - \sigma_1^4\sigma_2' - \sigma_1^3\sigma_1'(\sigma_2 \\
& - 11\sigma_2') + \sigma_1^2\sigma_1'^2(27\sigma_2 - 5\sigma_2') + \sigma_1\sigma_1'^3(19\sigma_2 - 9\sigma_2') - \sigma_1'^4\sigma_2 - \sigma_1^2(3\sigma_2^2 - 6\sigma_2\sigma_2' + 19\sigma_2'^2) \\
& + 4\sigma_1\sigma_1'(3\sigma_2 - 5\sigma_2')(\sigma_2 + \sigma_2') + \sigma_1'^2(\sigma_2 - \sigma_2')(9\sigma_2 + 7\sigma_2')) + 8(\sigma_1^4\sigma_1'\sigma_2' + \sigma_1^3\sigma_1'^2(5\sigma_2 - 4\sigma_2') \\
& + \sigma_1^2\sigma_1'^3(4\sigma_2 - 5\sigma_2') - \sigma_1\sigma_1'^4\sigma_2 - 4\sigma_1^3\sigma_2'^2 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_1'(5\sigma_2 - 7\sigma_2')(\sigma_2 + \sigma_2') \\
& \left. + \sigma_1\sigma_1'^2(7\sigma_2 - 5\sigma_2')(\sigma_2 + \sigma_2') + 4\sigma_1'^3\sigma_2^2)\right)z \\
& - 2\left(14 + 13\sigma_1 + 67\sigma_1' - 6(3\sigma_1^2 + 11\sigma_1\sigma_1' - 8\sigma_1'^2 + 10\sigma_2 - 2\sigma_2') + 2(2\sigma_1^3 + 3\sigma_1^2\sigma_1' - 48\sigma_1\sigma_1'^2 \right. \\
& - \sigma_1'^3 + \sigma_1(21\sigma_2 - 5\sigma_2') - \sigma_1'(31\sigma_2 + 9\sigma_2')) + 2(2\sigma_1\sigma_1'(\sigma_1^2 + 12\sigma_1\sigma_1' - 2\sigma_1'^2) - 3\sigma_1^2(\sigma_2 - \sigma_2') \\
& + 6\sigma_1\sigma_1'(7\sigma_2 - \sigma_2') + \sigma_1'^2(9\sigma_2 - 13\sigma_2') + 2(3\sigma_2^2 - 6\sigma_2\sigma_2' - 5\sigma_2'^2)) - 2(3\sigma_1^2\sigma_1'^2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_1') \\
& + \sigma_1^3\sigma_2' - \sigma_1'^3\sigma_2 + \sigma_1^2\sigma_1'(11\sigma_2 - 6\sigma_2') + \sigma_1\sigma_1'^2(6\sigma_2 - 11\sigma_2') + \sigma_1(3\sigma_2^2 - 6\sigma_2\sigma_2' - 5\sigma_2'^2) \\
& \left. + \sigma_1'(5\sigma_2^2 + 6\sigma_2\sigma_2' - 3\sigma_2'^2))\right)z^2 \\
& + 8(8 - 2(2\sigma_1 - 7\sigma_1') - (13\sigma_1 - 3\sigma_1')\sigma_1' - 6\sigma_2 + 2\sigma_2' + 3(\sigma_1 - \sigma_1')\sigma_1\sigma_1' + 3(\sigma_1\sigma_2 - \sigma_1'\sigma_2') \\
& - \sigma_1\sigma_2' + \sigma_1'\sigma_2)z^3 \\
& + 12(-2 + \sigma_1 - \sigma_1')z^4, \tag{B.23}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{II}}\} : R_{-1}(z) = (R_{-1}(z) \text{ in (B.23)})|_{(a_1, a_2) \leftrightarrow (a_3, a_4)}. \tag{B.24}$$

B.4 Askey-Wilson type

We present $R_{-1}(z)$ for all \mathcal{D} with $K \leq 4$.

$K = 2$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\} : R_{-1}(z) = -\frac{1}{2}q^{-1}(q-1)^2((b_1 + b_3q^{-1})z + (1 - b_4q^{-2})(b_1 - b_3)). \tag{B.25}$$

$K=4$ $\mathcal{D} = \{1^1\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_{-1}(z) \times \frac{2q^{\frac{17}{2}}\sigma_2}{(1-q)^4(1+q)} \\
& = (q^2 - \sigma_2\sigma'_2)(q^3 - \sigma_2\sigma'_2) \left(-q(1+q)(\sigma_1^2 - q^2\sigma_1'^2) - (1-q)(1-q-q^2)(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2) \right. \\
& \quad + \sigma_1^2(\sigma_2 + q(1+q+q^2)\sigma'_2) + q^2(1+q)\sigma_1\sigma'_1(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2) - q\sigma_1'^2((1+q+q^2)\sigma_2 + q^3\sigma'_2) \\
& \quad - (1-q)(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) - (1+q)((1-q)\sigma_1^2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2 + q^3\sigma'_2) + \sigma_1\sigma'_1(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) \\
& \quad - q(1-q)\sigma_1'^2\sigma_2(\sigma_2 + q\sigma'_2) - 2(1-q)^2\sigma_2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2)) - q^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2'^2((1+q+q^2)\sigma_2 + q^3\sigma'_2) \\
& \quad + (1+q)\sigma_1\sigma'_1\sigma_2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2) + q\sigma_1'^2\sigma_2^2(\sigma_2 + q(1+q+q^2)\sigma'_2) + (1-q)\sigma_2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) \\
& \quad \left. + \sigma_2\sigma'_2(q(1+q)(q^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2'^2 - \sigma_1'^2\sigma_2^2) - (1-q)(1+q-q^2)\sigma_2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2)) \right) \\
& + q \left(q^4(-3q(1+q)\sigma_1^2 + 3q^3(1+q)\sigma_1'^2 - (3-8q+3q^3)(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2)) + q^3 \left(2q\sigma_1^2(\sigma_2 \right. \right. \\
& \quad + q(1+q+q^2)\sigma'_2) - (1+q)(1+q+q^2-2q^3)\sigma_1\sigma'_1(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2) - 2q^2\sigma_1'^2((1+q+q^2)\sigma_2 \\
& \quad + q^3\sigma'_2) - (1+3q-2q^2)(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) \left. \right) + q \left(q(1+q)\sigma_1^2\sigma'_2((1+2q)(2-2q+q^2)\sigma_2 \right. \\
& \quad - q^2(1+q)(1-2q+3q^2-q^3)\sigma'_2) + q(1+q)(1+q-q^2)\sigma_1\sigma'_1(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) \\
& \quad + q(1+q)\sigma_1'^2\sigma_2((1+q)(1-2q+3q^2-q^3)\sigma_2 - q^2(1+2q)(2-2q+q^2)\sigma'_2) + (2-4q \\
& \quad - 6q^2+13q^3-4q^4-6q^5+3q^6)\sigma_2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2) \left. \right) + q \left(-\sigma_1^2\sigma'_2((1+2q+q^3)\sigma_2^2 \right. \\
& \quad + 2q^2(1-q^3)\sigma_2\sigma'_2 - q^4(1+2q^2+q^3)\sigma_2'^2) + (1+q)(1-q-2q^2-q^3+q^4)\sigma_1\sigma'_1\sigma_2\sigma'_2 \\
& \quad \times (\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2) - \sigma_1'^2\sigma_2((1+2q^2+q^3)\sigma_2^2 - 2q^2(1-q^3)\sigma_2\sigma'_2 - q^4(1+2q+q^3)\sigma_2'^2) \\
& \quad + (1+q)(3-4q+3q^2)\sigma_2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) \left. \right) + \sigma_2\sigma'_2 \left(-(1+q)\sigma_1^2\sigma'_2((1+q)(1-3q+2q^2-q^3)\sigma_2 \right. \\
& \quad + q^3(2+q)(1-2q+2q^2)\sigma'_2) - (1+q)(1-q-q^2)\sigma_1\sigma'_1(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) + q(1+q)\sigma_1'^2\sigma_2 \\
& \quad \times ((2+q)(1-2q+2q^2)\sigma_2 + q(1+q)(1-3q+2q^2-q^3)\sigma'_2) + (3-6q-4q^2+13q^3 \\
& \quad - 6q^4-4q^5+2q^6)\sigma_2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2) \left. \right) + \sigma_2\sigma'_2 \left(-2q^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2'^2((1+q+q^2)\sigma_2 + q^3\sigma'_2) \right. \\
& \quad + (1+q)(2-q-q^2-q^3)\sigma_1\sigma'_1\sigma_2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2) + 2q\sigma_1'^2\sigma_2^2(\sigma_2 + q(1+q+q^2)\sigma'_2) \\
& \quad \left. + (2-3q-q^2)\sigma_2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) \right) + \sigma_2^2\sigma_2'^2(3q(1+q)(q^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2'^2 - \sigma_1'^2\sigma_2^2) \\
& \quad - (3-8q^2+3q^3)\sigma_2\sigma'_2(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2)) \left. \right) z \\
& + q^2 \left(-3q^3(q(1+q)(\sigma_1^2 - q^2\sigma_1'^2) + (1-4q+q^3)(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2)) + q^2(q\sigma_1^2\sigma_2 + q^2(1+q+q^2)\sigma_1^2\sigma'_2 \right. \\
& \quad - (1+q)(2+2q+2q^2-q^3)\sigma_1\sigma'_1(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma'_2) - q^5\sigma_1'^2\sigma'_2 - q^2(1+q+q^2)\sigma_1'^2\sigma_2 \\
& \quad - (2+3q-q^2)(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) \left. \right) + q(1+q)\sigma_1^2\sigma'_2((1+q-2q^2+q^3)\sigma_2 - q^2(1-2q+q^2+q^3)\sigma'_2) \\
& \quad + q(1+q)^2\sigma_1\sigma'_1(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) + q(1+q)\sigma_1'^2\sigma_2((1-2q+q^2+q^3)\sigma_2 - q^2(1+q-2q^2+q^3)\sigma'_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (1 - 4q + q^3)(1 - 4q^2 + q^3)\sigma_2\sigma_2'(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma_2') - q^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2'^2((1 + q + q^2)\sigma_2 + q^3\sigma_2') \\
& + (1 + q)(1 - 2q(1 + q + q^2))\sigma_1\sigma_1'\sigma_2\sigma_2'(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma_2') + q\sigma_1'^2\sigma_2^2(\sigma_2 + q(1 + q + q^2)\sigma_2') \\
& + (1 - 3q - 2q^2)\sigma_2\sigma_2'(\sigma_2^2 - q^4\sigma_2'^2) + 3\sigma_2\sigma_2'(q^3(1 + q)\sigma_1^2\sigma_2'^2 - q(1 + q)\sigma_1'^2\sigma_2^2 \\
& - (1 - 4q^2 + q^3)\sigma_2\sigma_2'(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma_2')) \Big) z^2 \\
& + q^3 \Big(-q^2(q(1 + q)(\sigma_1^2 - q^2\sigma_1'^2) + (1 - 8q + q^3)(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma_2')) - q(1 + q)(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma_2') \\
& \times ((1 + q + q^2)\sigma_1\sigma_1' + \sigma_2 + q^2\sigma_2') + q(1 + q)(q^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2'^2 - \sigma_1'^2\sigma_2^2) \\
& - (1 - 8q^2 + q^3)\sigma_2\sigma_2'(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma_2') \Big) z^3 \\
& + 2q^6(\sigma_2 - q^2\sigma_2')z^4, \tag{B.26}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{1^{\text{II}}\} : R_{-1}(z) = (R_{-1}(z) \text{ in (B.26)}) \Big|_{(a_1, a_2) \leftrightarrow (a_3, a_4)}. \tag{B.27}$$

References

- [1] L. E. Gendenshtein, “Derivation of exact spectra of the Schroedinger equation by means of supersymmetry,” JETP Lett. **38** (1983) 356-359; F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, “Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics,” Phys. Rep. **251** (1995) 267-385.
- [2] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Unified theory of annihilation-creation operators for solvable (‘discrete’) quantum mechanics,” J. Math. Phys. **47** (2006) 102102 (33pp), [arXiv:quant-ph/0605215](#); “Exact solution in the Heisenberg picture and annihilation-creation operators,” Phys. Lett. **B641** (2006) 112-117, [arXiv:quant-ph/0605221](#).
- [3] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, “An extension of Bochner’s problem: exceptional invariant subspaces,” J. Approx. Theory **162** (2010) 987-1006, [arXiv:0805.3376\[math-ph\]](#); “An extended class of orthogonal polynomials defined by a Sturm-Liouville problem,” J. Math. Anal. Appl. **359** (2009) 352-367, [arXiv:0807.3939\[math-ph\]](#).
- [4] C. Quesne, “Exceptional orthogonal polynomials, exactly solvable potentials and supersymmetry,” J. Phys. **A41** (2008) 392001 (6pp), [arXiv:0807.4087\[quant-ph\]](#).
- [5] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Infinitely many shape invariant potentials and new orthogonal polynomials,” Phys. Lett. **B679** (2009) 414-417, [arXiv:0906.0142\[math-ph\]](#); “An-

- other set of infinitely many exceptional (X_ℓ) Laguerre polynomials,” Phys. Lett. **B684** (2010) 173-176, [arXiv:0911.3442 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [6] C.-L. Ho, S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Properties of the exceptional (X_ℓ) Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials,” SIGMA **7** (2011) 107 (24pp), [arXiv:0912.5447 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [7] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, “Exceptional orthogonal polynomials and the Darboux transformation,” J. Phys. **A43** (2010) 434016, [arXiv:1002.2666 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [8] R. Sasaki, S. Tsujimoto and A. Zhedanov, “Exceptional Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials and the corresponding potentials through Darboux-Crum transformations,” J. Phys. **A43** (2010) 315204, [arXiv:1004.4711 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [9] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, “On orthogonal polynomials spanning a non-standard flag,” Contemp. Math. **563** (2011) 51-72, [arXiv:1101.5584 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [10] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, “Two-step Darboux transformations and exceptional Laguerre polynomials,” J. Math. Anal. Appl. **387** (2012) 410-418, [arXiv:1103.5724 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [11] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Exactly solvable quantum mechanics and infinite families of multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials,” Phys. Lett. **B702** (2011) 164-170, [arXiv:1105.0508 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [12] C. Quesne, “Novel enlarged shape invariance property and exactly solvable rational extensions of the Rosen-Morse II and Eckart potentials,” SIGMA **8** (2012) 080 (19pp), [arXiv:1208.6165 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [13] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Krein-Adler transformations for shape-invariant potentials and pseudo virtual states,” J. Phys. **A46** (2013) 245201 (24pp), [arXiv:1212.6595 \[math-ph\]](#); “Extensions of solvable potentials with finitely many discrete eigenstates,” J. Phys. **A46** (2013) 235205 (15pp), [arXiv:1301.3980 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [14] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Infinitely many shape invariant discrete quantum mechanical systems and new exceptional orthogonal polynomials related to the Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials,” Phys. Lett. **B682** (2009) 130-136, [arXiv:0909.3668 \[math-ph\]](#).

- [15] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Exceptional Askey-Wilson type polynomials through Darboux-Crum transformations,” *J. Phys.* **A43** (2010) 335201 (18pp), [arXiv:1004.0544 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [16] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Exceptional (X_ℓ) (q) -Racah polynomials,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **125** (2011) 851-870, [arXiv:1102.0812 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [17] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Discrete quantum mechanics,” (Topical Review) *J. Phys.* **A44** (2011) 353001 (47pp), [arXiv:1104.0473 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [18] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Multi-indexed (q) -Racah polynomials,” *J. Phys.* **A 45** (2012) 385201 (21pp), [arXiv:1203.5868 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [19] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Multi-indexed Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials,” *J. Phys.* **A46** (2013) 045204 (22pp), [arXiv:1207.5584 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [20] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Casoratian Identities for the Wilson and Askey-Wilson Polynomials,” *J. Approx. Theory* **193** (2015) 184-209, [arXiv:1308.4240 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [21] S. Odake, “Equivalences of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials,” *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014) 013502 (17pp), [arXiv:1309.2346 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [22] K. Takemura, “Multi-indexed Jacobi polynomials and Maya diagrams,” *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014) 113501 (10pp), [arXiv:1311.3570 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [23] S. Odake, “Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials,” *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013) 083506 (18pp), [arXiv:1303.5820 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [24] D. Gómez-Ullate, Y. Grandati and R. Milson, “Rational extensions of the quantum harmonic oscillator and exceptional Hermite polynomials,” *J. Phys.* **A47** (2014) 015203 (27pp), [arXiv:1306.5143 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [25] A. J. Durán, “Higher order recurrence relation for exceptional Charlier, Meixner, Hermite and Laguerre orthogonal polynomials,” *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **26** (2015) 357-376, [arXiv:1409.4697 \[math.CA\]](#).
- [26] H. Miki and S. Tsujimoto, “A new recurrence formula for generic exceptional orthogonal polynomials,” *J. Math. Phys.* **56** (2015) 033502 (13pp), [arXiv:1410.0183 \[math.CA\]](#).

- [27] S. Odake, “Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials : II,” J. Math. Phys. **56** (2015) 053506 (18pp), [arXiv:1410.8236 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [28] D. Gómez-Ullate, A. Kasman, A. B. J. Kuijlaars and R. Milson, “Recurrence Relations for Exceptional Hermite Polynomials,” J. Approx. Theory **204** (2016) 1-16, [arXiv:1506.03651 \[math.CA\]](#).
- [29] S. Odake, “Recurrence Relations of the Multi-Indexed Orthogonal Polynomials : III,” J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016) 023514 (24pp), [arXiv:1509.08213 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [30] E. Routh, “On some properties of certain solutions of a differential equation of the second order,” Proc. London Math. Soc. **16** (1884) 245-261; S. Bochner, “Über Sturm-Liouvillesche Polynomsysteme,” Math. Zeit. **29** (1929) 730-736.
- [31] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1939); T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York (1978); M. E. H. Ismail, *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, vol. 98 of Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2005).
- [32] G. Darboux, *Théorie générale des surfaces* vol 2 (1888) Gauthier-Villars, Paris; M. M. Crum, “Associated Sturm-Liouville systems,” Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **6** (1955) 121-127, [arXiv:physics/9908019](#); M. G. Krein, “On continuous analogue of a formula of Christoffel from the theory of orthogonal polynomials,” (Russian) Doklady Acad. Nauk. CCCP, **113** (1957) 970-973; V. É. Adler, “A modification of Crum’s method,” Theor. Math. Phys. **101** (1994) 1381-1386.
- [33] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Crum’s theorem for ‘discrete’ quantum mechanics,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **122** (2009) 1067-1079, [arXiv:0902.2593 \[math-ph\]](#); L. García-Gutiérrez, S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “Modification of Crum’s theorem for ‘discrete’ quantum mechanics,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **124** (2010) 1-26, [arXiv:1004.0289 \[math-ph\]](#).