

Steric effects of CO₂ binding to transition metal-benzene complexes: a first-principles study

Hyeonhu Bae¹, Bing Huang² and Hoonkyung Lee^{*1}

¹ *Department of Physics, Konkuk University, Seoul 05029, Korea*

² *Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100194, China*

* *hklee3@konkuk.ac.kr*

ABSTRACT

Using density functional theory (DFT) calculations, we investigated the adsorption of CO₂ molecules on 3d transition metal (TM)-benzene complexes. Our calculations show that the maximum number of CO₂ molecules adsorbable on Sc or Ti atoms is three, but the 18-electron rule predicts it should be four. The 18-electron rule is generally successful in predicting the maximum H₂ adsorption number for TM atoms including Sc or Ti atoms. We found that the 18-electron rule fails to correctly predict CO₂ binding on Sc- or Ti-benzene complexes because CO₂ binding, in contrast to H₂ binding, requires additional consideration for steric hindrance due to the large bond length of CO₂. We calculated the occupation function for CO₂ using the Tolman cone angle, which shows that three CO₂ molecules fully occupy the available space around Sc- and Ti-benzene complexes. This estimation is the same maximum CO₂ adsorption number predicted by DFT calculations. Therefore, we propose that the occupation function for CO₂ using the Tolman cone angle is an efficient model for evaluating steric hindrance of CO₂ adsorption on a surface.

KEYWORDS: Carbon capture; steric hindrance; 18-electron rule; transition metal

1. Background

Since carbon dioxide (CO₂) gas causes global warming giving rise to severe climate change [1,2], CO₂ capture has been of great interest for mitigating this issue. Recently, nanostructured materials, such as graphene, zeolites, and metal-organic frameworks have received much attention as CO₂ capture materials [3-7]. These materials are practically advantageous because of their high CO₂ adsorption capacity, fast adsorption kinetics, and effective regeneration. However, these materials exhibit poor selectivity for CO₂ in flue gases and low capture capacity at low pressures ($\sim 10^{-3}$ bar) [8-11]. These drawbacks limit the capture of CO₂ from flue gases under ambient conditions using conventional capture methods [11]. For reversible CO₂ capture under low pressure, the adsorption energy of CO₂ molecules should be in the energy window of -1.2 eV to -0.8 eV [12].

More recently, a theoretical study [12,13] showed that transition metal (TM)-porphyrin-like graphene or sheets selectively adsorb CO₂ molecules from flue gases with the required adsorption energy. Several CO₂ molecules can adsorb on a single TM atom. However, the number and adsorption energy of adsorbed CO₂ molecules depend on the adsorption geometry of CO₂, which depends on the type of TM atom and the number of CO₂ molecules involved. It was found that TM atoms with empty *d* orbitals can selectively attract CO₂ molecules from flue gases. It was theoretically shown that CO₂ adsorbs on open TM coordination sites in metal-organic frameworks with enhanced adsorption energy through orbital hybridization between TM atoms and CO₂ molecules [14]. Furthermore, Fe-porphyrin-like carbon nanotubes [15] or Co-porphyrin-like nanostructures have been synthesized [16-18]. These materials have shown the possibility that TM-nanostructures can be used for highly selective CO₂ capture.

In recent years, TM-H₂ complexes [19] have been experimentally prepared in which

multiple H₂ molecules adsorb on TM atoms accompanied by bond elongation of the H₂ molecules. These TM-dihydrogen complexes have been proposed for use as hydrogen storage materials at room temperature and ambient pressure [20-22]. A TM atom can adsorb molecules such as H₂ until the TM atom's 4*s*, 4*p*, and 3*d* orbitals are filled with 18 electrons, which is the so-called 18-electron rule [22-24]. Importantly, the 18-electron rule has accurately described the maximum number of attachable H₂ molecules on a TM atom, and has been used for predicting the capacity of H₂ storage materials [22-24]. In this paper, we investigated adsorption of CO₂ molecules on 3*d* TM-benzene complexes using first-principles calculations. Unlike H₂ binding on TM atoms, DFT calculations on the maximum number of CO₂ molecules attachable to Sc or Ti atoms does not agree with the 18-electron rule, whereas, the rule is obeyed by other TM atoms. This observation is ascribed to the fact that a TM site in the TM-benzene complex can adsorb up to only three CO₂ molecules because of steric effects. This steric effect was evaluated using the Tolman cone angle. The 18-electron rule was made to work for Sc and Ti by including a correction term to account for the steric effects related to adding a fourth bound CO₂ molecule. This modification of the 18-electron rule makes the number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules consistent with the DFT calculation. Our results allow us to predict TM-CO₂ complexes for selective CO₂ capture materials, based on TM-nanostructures at ambient conditions.

2. Computational methods

Our calculations were carried out using the density functional theory (DFT) [25] as implemented in the Vienna ab-initio simulation package (VASP) with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof scheme [26] for the generalized gradient approximation (GGA). The projector augmented wave (PAW) method was used [27]. The kinetic energy cutoff was set to be 800 eV. For calculations of CO₂ adsorption, our model for the TM-benzene system comprised a supercell with a vacuum size of 12 Å. Periodic boundary calculations on the model were done.

Geometrical optimization of the TM-benzene system was performed until the Hellmann–Feynman force acting on each atom was less than 0.01 eV/Å .

3. Results and discussion

We performed calculations for adsorption of CO₂ on TM atoms to investigate the attachment of CO₂ molecules on TM-decorated benzene (C₆H₆), in which the TM atom was placed in the center of the carbon hexagon. We evaluated Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu atoms as the TM atom. We found that a CO₂ molecule adsorbs on a TM atom between the TM atom and the CO₂ molecule with a coordination number of one or two as shown in Figure 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. The distance between the CO₂ molecule and the TM atom is ~2.0 Å for geometries with one and two coordination numbers. For situations where more than one CO₂ molecule is adsorbed on a TM atom, CO₂ molecules can have coordination numbers of one or two, or a number between one and two, as shown in Figure 1(c)–1(h).

Conventional hapticity for describing metal-ligand complexes was used to describe the distinct geometries and coordination numbers for CO₂ molecules adsorbed on TM atoms. One and two coordination numbers between a TM atom and CO₂ molecule are designated as η^1 and η^2 configurations, respectively. For example, when a CO₂ molecule adsorbs on a Ti-decorated C₆H₆ with a coordination number of two, the molecular formula is written as Ti(η^6 -C₆H₆)(η^2 -CO₂). Sc(η^6 -C₆H₆)(η^1 -CO₂)₂(η^2 -CO₂) is written for the geometry when two CO₂ molecules adsorb on Sc-benzene with an η^1 configuration and a third CO₂ molecule adsorbs with an η^2 configuration. For simplicity, we denote these two examples as 2 and 1+1+2, respectively, as shown in Figure 2(a). Furthermore, there are two types of 2+2 coordinations: one is “para” and the other is “ortho,” which indicates symmetric and asymmetric geometries with respect to a plane containing the CO₂ molecules, as shown in Figure 2(a), respectively.

The calculated average CO₂ adsorption energy (per CO₂ molecule) on a TM atom as a

function of the number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules with a given hapticity is shown in Figure 2(b). Importantly, the CO₂ adsorption energy is in the desirable adsorption energy range for reversible CO₂ capture at room temperature under low pressure. The calculated adsorption energies and geometries of CO₂ molecules are dependent on the type of TM atom. The CO₂ adsorption energy for an η^2 configuration is lower than that for an η^1 configuration. The 2+2 structures with ortho or para configurations occur when two CO₂ molecules adsorb on a TM atom, whose structures are energetically favorable compared with the 1+2 structures. Moreover, the CO₂ adsorption energy is reduced as the number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules increases because repulsive interactions between adsorbed CO₂ molecules increase (see Figure 2(b)).

We also investigated how to predict the number of CO₂ molecules bound to different TM atoms. The number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules can be explained by the 18-electron rule [22-24], as was observed for H₂ binding to TM atoms. This rule suggests that the TM atom can adsorb several molecules such as CO₂ until the TM 4s, 4p, and 3d orbital shells are fully occupied. Through the analyses involved in our calculations, we found that the number of CO₂ molecules per TM atom can be expressed by Eq. (1).

$${}_i N_{\text{CO}_2}^{18} = [(18 - n_v^i - n_b^i) / 2], \quad (1)$$

where [X] denotes an integer not exceeding X (Gaussian brackets), and n_v^i and n_b^i denote the number of valence electrons of the metal and the number of electrons bonding with the i -type TM, respectively. For example, n_v^{Sc} is 3 for Sc atom from the valence electron configuration of $4s^2 3d^1 4p^0$ while n_b^{Sc} is 6 for the six π electrons in benzene. From this empirical rule, the maximum number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules for Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu is estimated to be 4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, and 0 respectively. However, for Sc and

Ti, these numbers (four) do not agree with the results of our DFT calculations (three), while they do agree for the other TM atoms listed.

Next, we investigated the reason why the maximum number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules for Sc- and Ti-CO₂ complexes, unlike Sc-H₂ or Ti-H₂ complexes, does not agree with the 18-electron rule. When CO₂ molecules bind to a TM adsorption site with limited space, steric effects are not negligible, even though negligible for H₂ molecules. This difference is due to the longer bond length (2.20 Å) in a CO₂ molecule in a vacuum relative to that of a H₂ molecule (0.74 Å). Steric effects result in a reduction of the maximum number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules, which is caused by the repulsive interaction between a CO₂ molecule and the adsorption site on a TM. The Tolman cone angle [28] was used to estimate the maximum number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules resulting from steric effects, as shown in Figure 3. The Tolman cone angle for different η^1 and η^2 geometries for CO₂ molecules bound to a TM atom is shown in Figures 3(b) and 3(c), respectively. The angle is defined by the tangential lines from the center of the TM atom to the van der Waals radius of the atoms, as shown in Figure 3(b). The van der Waals radii of the O and C atoms [29] were used in this calculation. Using the Tolman cone angle, the occupation function of CO₂ for attachable space is defined in Eq. (2).

$$f_i({}_i N_{\text{CO}_2}) = \frac{\sum_j {}_i N_{\text{CO}_2} \Omega_j^i}{\Omega_0^i}, \quad (2)$$

where Ω_j^i denote the Tolman cone (solid) angle between the j^{th} CO₂ molecule and the i -type TM atom for a given CO₂ adsorption number, ${}_i N_{\text{CO}_2}$, respectively. Ω_0^i indicates the Tolman cone angle of the structure, TM(η^6 -C₆H₆), without CO₂ adsorption, is shown in Figure 3(a). The Tolman cone angle is mathematically given by $\iint \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$, namely,

$\Omega_j^i = 2\pi(1 - \cos \theta_j)$. If $f_i \rightarrow 1$ ($f_i > 1$), there is no available space for further CO₂ binding.

Next, we calculated the Tolman cone angle for the geometry with the maximum number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules using the definition given in the previous paragraph. For example, the Tolman cone angle, Ω_0^{Sc} , for Sc(η^6 -C₆H₆) is $2\pi \times 1.05$, and Ω_1^{Sc} is $2\pi \times 0.35$ and $2\pi \times 0.52$ for Sc(η^6 -C₆H₆)(η^1 -CO₂) and Sc(η^6 -C₆H₆)(η^2 -CO₂), respectively. The dependence of the angles on the identity of the TM atom was negligible. The calculated value of f_{Sc} for the η^1 and η^2 configurations is 0.33 and 0.50, respectively. This means that a CO₂ for the η^1 and η^2 configurations occupy 33% and 50% of the available space, respectively. For the multiple adsorption case of Sc(η^6 -C₆H₆)(η^1 -CO₂)₃, the total Tolman cone angle for the CO₂ molecules was calculated to be $2\pi \times 1.22$. Thus the occupation function, f_{Sc} , is 0.83, which means there is no available space for a fourth CO₂ because occupation per CO₂ molecule requires ~30–50% available space. Thus, because of steric effects in the Sc- and Ti-benzene complexes, the maximum number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules is three, smaller by one than the 18-electron rule's prediction. Through calculations of the Tolman cone angle, the occupation functions were determined for all structures evaluated. The maximum number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules for each of these structures is listed in Table 1. However, some values of the occupation functions slightly exceed unity. The value of the occupation function can be slightly altered by adjusting the values of the van der Waals radii of the atoms.

We also performed calculations for the adsorption of H₂ on Sc. For Sc(η^6 -C₆H₆)(η^2 -H₂)₄ (Figure 4(a)), the occupation function was calculated to be 0.84, indicating that, unlike CO₂ adsorption, more than four H₂ molecules can be adsorbed. According to a recent study [20,22], up to five H₂ molecules can adsorb on Sc bound to a carbon pentagon, which agrees with the 18-electron rule's prediction. From these results, we conclude that the steric effect

between H₂ molecules does not impact the maximum number of H₂ molecules adsorbed.

The 18-electron rule can be modified to account for steric effects by subtracting the correction term, γ_i , from Eq. (1). The resulting modified 18-electron rule is given by Eq. (3).

$${}_i N_{\text{CO}_2}^{18m} = [(18 - n_v^i - n_b^i) / 2] - \gamma_i, \quad (3)$$

where γ_i is the correction value for steric and other effects. The correction term, γ_i , is calculated from the difference between the maximum adsorption number for CO₂ determined from the 18-electron rule and the DFT calculations, namely, $\gamma_i = N_{\text{CO}_2}^{18} - N_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{DFT}}$. The values of the correction term for Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu are estimated to be 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0, and 0, respectively. For the Co atom, the number of CO₂ molecules adsorbed according to the DFT calculation is still inconsistent with the 18-electron rule's prediction. Two CO₂ molecules can be adsorbed according to the DFT calculation and one CO₂ molecule according to the 18-electron rule. However, since the adsorption energy (-1.61 eV) of one CO₂ molecule is lower than the CO₂ adsorption energy (-1.34 eV) for two adsorbed CO₂ molecules, the second CO₂ adsorption is meta-stable and, hence, negligible. Therefore, the modified 18-electron rule works well for estimating the maximum adsorption number of CO₂ molecules for TM-CO₂ complexes.

We confirmed that the height change between TM atoms and benzene is negligible regardless of where the TM atom is placed on the carbon pentagon, hexagon, and heptagon. Thus, the occupation function is almost independent of the backbone structure. This calculation indicates that the maximum number of CO₂ molecules adsorbed on Sc or Ti is three regardless of whether the backbone structure for carbon is a hexagon or pentagon, which is consistent with a recent study [12] on CO₂ binding to TM-porphyrin-like graphene. This prediction is consistent with the results of our DFT calculations as shown in Figures 4(b)

and 4(c). However, to describe the maximum number of adsorbed CO₂ molecules using Eq. (3), the correction term, γ_i for a carbon hexagon and other carbon ring structures will be different based on the number of electrons bonded with the TM atom.

We have discussed the steric effects on the adsorption of gas molecules to TM atoms. The steric effects of CO₂ molecules are not negligible, whereas the steric effects of H₂ molecules are negligible [22]. This difference is because the bond length of CO₂ is much longer than that of the H₂ molecule. Thus, for other gas molecules such as N₂ and O₂, steric effects could influence their adsorption on TM atoms. The 18-electron rule can be corrected with a value of the occupation function, f_i , for gas molecules other than H₂. The modified 18-electron rule can be used for describing any molecule that consists of atoms close to the van der Waals radius of oxygen. The modified 18-electron rule can be used for estimating the CO₂ capacity of any nanostructure containing carbon hexagons decorated with TM atoms where the TM atoms are located on top of the carbon hexagons. In addition, TM-CO₂ complexes with η^1 and η^2 configurations were confirmed in experiments [30,31], which is consistent with our calculation results. Therefore, the modified 18-electron rule can be employed to predict the adsorption capacity of novel CO₂ capture materials, based on TM-decorated nanostructures such as graphene and carbon nanotubes.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we performed first-principles total energy calculations for CO₂ adsorption on TM–benzene. Using an analysis of the occupation function for CO₂ to calculate the available CO₂ space using the Tolman cone angle, we found that a maximum of three CO₂ molecules can adsorb on Sc or Ti atoms because of steric effects. We also proposed an occupation function for quantifying the steric hindrance for gas adsorption on a surface. Our results provide a new approach to understanding steric effects for adsorption of carbon

dioxide gas as well as hydrogen gas storage.

Authors' contributions

HL conceived and designed the study. BH performed the calculations. BH and HL interpreted the data. All authors revised the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

This paper was supported by Konkuk University in 2016.

References

- [1] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report*, ed. T. F. Stocker, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013.
- [2] Y. Liu, Z. U. Wang and H. -C. Zhou, *Greenhouse Gas. Sci. Technol.*, 2012, 2, 239-259.
- [3] M. Cinke, J. Li, C. W. Bauschlicher Jr., A. Ricca and M. Mayyappan, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2003, 276, 761-766.
- [4] K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T. -H. Bae and J. R. Long, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, 112, 724-781.
- [5] B. Wang, A. P. Côté, H. Furukawa, M. O'Keefe and O. M. Yaghi, *Nature*, 2008, 453, 207-211.
- [6] D. P. Bezerra, R. S. Oliveira, R. S. Vieira, C. L. Cavalcante Jr. and D. C. S. Azevedo, *Adsorption*, 2011, 17, 235-246.

- [7] H. Furukawa, N. Ko, Y. B. Go, N. Aratani, S. B. Choi, E. Choi, A. Ö, Yazaydin, R. Q. Snurr, M. O'Keefe, J. Kim and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2010, 329, 424-428.
- [8] A. Samanta, A. Zhao, G. K. H. Shimizu, P. Sarkar and R. Gupta, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2012, 51, 1438-1463.
- [9] L. -C. Lin, A. H. Berger, R. L. Martin, J. Kim, J. A. Swisher, K. Jariwala, C. H. Rycroft, A. S. Bhowm, M. W. Deem, M. Haranczyk and B. Smit, *Nat. Mater.*, 2012, 11, 633-641.
- [10] H. Liu, B. Liu, L. -C. Lin, G. Chen, Y. Wu, J. Wang, X. Gao, Y. Lv, Y. Pan, X. Zhang, X. Zhang, L. Yang, C. Sun, B. Smit and W. Wang., *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, 5, 5147.
- [11] S. Xiang, Y. He, Z. Zhang, H. Wu, W. Zhou, R. Krishna and B. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, 3, 954.
- [12] H. Bae, M. Park, B. Jang, Y. Kang, J. Park, H. Lee, H. Chung, C. Chung, S. Hong, Y. Kwon, B. I. Yakobson and H. Lee, 2016, 6, 21788.
- [13] K. Lü, J. Zhou, L. Zhou, X. S. Chen, S. H. Chan and Q. Sun, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 136, 234703.
- [14] R. Poloni, K. Lee, R. F. Berger, B. Smit and J. B. Neaton, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, 5, 861-865.
- [15] D. H. Lee, W. J. Lee, W. J. Lee, S. O. Kim and Y. -H. Kim, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2011, 106, 175502.
- [16] H. -W. Liang, S. Brüller, R. Dong, J. Zhang, X. Feng and K. Müllen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 7992.
- [17] A. Tsuda, H. Furuta and A. Osuka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, 123, 10304-10321.

- [18] A. Tsuda, H. Furuta and A. Osuka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2000, 39, 2549-2552.
- [19] G. J. Kubas, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2001, 635, 37-68.
- [20] H. Lee, W. I. Choi and J. Ihm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, 97, 175501.
- [21] T. Yildirim and S. Ciraci, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, 94, 175501.
- [22] Y. Zhao, Y. -H. Kim, A. C. Dillon, M. J. Heben and S. B. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, 94, 155504.
- [23] H. Lee, W. I. Choi, M. C. Nguyen, M. -H. Cha, E. Moon and J. Ihm, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2007, 76, 195110.
- [24] B. Kiran, A. K. Kandalam and P. Jena, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, 124, 224703.
- [25] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.*, 1965, 140, A1133.
- [26] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865.
- [27] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1999, 59, 1758.
- [28] I. A. Guzei and M. Wendt, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 3991-3999.
- [29] C. A. Tolman, *Chem. Rev.*, 1977, 77, 313-348.
- [30] J. C. Calabrese, T. Herskovitz and J. B. Kinney, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, 105, 5914-5915.
- [31] K. K. Pandey, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1995, 140, 37-114.

Figures







Figure 1 Structures of TM-benzene complexes with attachment of different numbers and geometries of CO₂ molecules. (a) CO₂ molecule adsorbed on a TM atom with η^1 configuration, (b) η^2 configuration, and (c)–(h) adsorption of multiple CO₂ molecules with different configurations.

(a)


Hapticity



†para:



‡ortho:



(b)




Figure 2 (a) Top: Examples of hapticity. 1 and 2 indicate the geometric configurations of η^1 and η^2 , respectively. Bottom: Example of a “para” (symmetric) and “ortho” (asymmetric) configuration. (b) Calculated (average) adsorption energies of CO₂ molecules on different TM atoms in TM-benzene complexes. Adsorption of more than one CO₂ molecule with mixed configurations of η^1 and η^2 are shown in the bars.




Figure 3 Definition of the Tolman cone for TM-benzene complexes with attachment of CO₂ molecules to TM. (a) Zenith angle, θ_0 , on a TM-benzene complex. (b) The dotted curve shows a circle with the van der Waals radius (r_{vdW}) of the O atom. θ denotes the zenith angle for the Tolman cone. (b), (c), and (d) Tolman cone for TM(η^6 -C₆H₆)(η^1 -CO₂), TM(η^6 -C₆H₆)(η^2 -CO₂), and TM(η^6 -C₆H₆)(η^1 -CO₂)₃, respectively. Red-shaded surfaces indicate the Tolman cones.




Figure 4 Structures of Sc-carbon ring complexes with attachment of different numbers and geometries of H_2 or CO_2 molecules. (a) Four H_2 molecules adsorbed on Sc- C_6H_6 complex with η^2 configuration. Three CO_2 molecules adsorbed on (b) Sc- C_5H_5 complex and (b) Sc- C_7H_7 complex with η^1 configuration.

TM	η	$\Omega_j^i / 2\pi$	$\Omega_0^i / 2\pi$	f_i	${}_i N_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{DFT}}$	${}_i N_{\text{CO}_2}^{18}$
Sc	η^1	0.37	1.22	0.83	3	4
	η^1	0.20				
	η^2	0.44				
Ti	η^2	0.50	1.15	1.28	3	4
	η^2	0.51				
	η^2	0.47				
V	η^1	0.27	1.11	0.74	3	3
	η^1	0.27				
	η^1	0.28				
Cr	η^1	0.30	1.10	0.81	3	3
	η^1	0.29				
	η^1	0.30				
Mn	η^2	0.57	1.10	1.04	2	2
	η^2	0.57				
Fe	η^2	0.58	1.10	1.06	2	2
	η^2	0.58				
Co	η^2	0.56	1.10	1.02	2	1
	η^2	0.56				
Ni	η^2	0.63	1.10	0.57	1	1

Table 1 Calculation of the Tolman cone angle, the occupation functions, and comparison of the number of CO₂ molecules adsorbed on TM-benzene complexes by prediction using DFT results and the 18-electron rule. The occupation function for CO₂, f_i , was calculated from

$$\sum \Omega_j^i / \Omega_0^i.$$