

$\gamma_5$  in Dimensional Regularization: a Novel Approach <sup>1</sup>Ruggero Ferrari<sup>2ab</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Center for Theoretical Physics  
Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

<sup>b</sup> INFN, Sezione di Milano  
via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy  
(MIT-CTP4782, IFUM-1047-FT, May 2016)

**Abstract:** A new Dimensional Regularization of  $\gamma_5$  is proposed. Cyclicity and Lorentz covariance are enforced. The extension to generic dimension is based on the integral representation of the trace of gamma's, presented in a previous paper.

PACS: 11.10.Gh, 11.30.Rd, 11.40.Ha

---

<sup>1</sup>This work is supported in part by funds provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (D.O.E.) under cooperative research agreement #DE FG02-05ER41360

<sup>2</sup>e-mail: ruggferr@mit.edu

# 1 Introduction

In a previous paper [1] we have introduced an integral representation in  $D$  dimensions of the trace of  $N$  gamma's and one  $\gamma_\chi$  ( $= \gamma_5$  for integer  $D = 4$ )

$$Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N) = \int d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \quad (1)$$

$$Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \xi d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu \xi_\mu + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right), \quad (2)$$

where  $\mu = 1 \dots D$  and  $i, j = 1 \dots N$  and  $(p_i, p_j) = \sum_{\mu=1}^D (p_i)_\mu (p_j)_\mu$ .  $\bar{c}_i$  and  $\xi_\mu$  are real Grassmannian variables [2]; i.e.

$$\int d\xi_\mu = 0, \quad \int d\xi_\mu \xi_\nu = \delta_{\mu\nu}, \quad \int d\bar{c}_i = 0, \quad \int d\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j = \delta_{ij}. \quad (3)$$

$\{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$  are generic vectors (e.g. momenta and polarization vectors) in  $D$  dimensions. Finally the normalization factor is chosen to be

$$\gamma_\chi^\dagger = \gamma_\chi, \quad \gamma_\chi^2 = 1 \quad (4)$$

for integer  $D$ . More references on  $\gamma_5$  and its use in Dimensional Renormalization can be found in [1].

Simple examples (for integer  $D$ ) of eqs. (1) and (2) can be easily given

$$Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2) = \int d\bar{c}_2 d\bar{c}_1 \exp \left[ \bar{c}_1(p_1, p_2) \bar{c}_2 \right] = (p_1, p_2) \\ \implies Tr(\mathcal{I}) = 1 \quad (5)$$

and for  $D = 4$

$$Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \not{p}_3 \not{p}_4 \gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \xi d^D \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^D c_j(p_j)_\mu \xi_\mu + \sum_{i < j=1}^4 \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \Big|_{D=4} \\ = \int d^D \xi \left( (p_1)_\mu \xi_\mu (p_2)_\nu \xi_\nu (p_3)_\rho \xi_\rho (p_4)_\sigma \xi_\sigma \right) (-i)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \Big|_{D=4} \\ = -\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (p_1)_\mu (p_2)_\nu (p_3)_\rho (p_4)_\sigma. \quad (6)$$

See Ref. [3] for some early work on the relation between the trace of Dirac matrices and the Pfaffian. For the Pfaffian written as an integral over Grassmannian variables see Ref. [4].

The formulae in eqs. (1) and (2) are nice formal interpolations on different values of the space-time dimensions  $D$ . The very existence of this integral representation is a hint to search for a consistent management of  $\gamma_\chi$  in generic  $D$ .

In the usual matrix representation to move from  $D = 3$  to  $D = 4$  one has to redefine  $\gamma_5$  i.e. from  $\gamma_5 = -i\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$  to  $\gamma_5 = -\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4$ , which are unique for the chosen space dimension. While in eq. (2) the completely antisymmetric tensor emerges from the generic expression of the integration over  $d^D\xi$ , by taking  $D = 3$  or  $D = 4$ . The integral representation looks as the perfect tool to tackle such a problem of continuation in  $D$ .

In this paper Part I (integer  $D$ ) is devoted to the generalization of eq. (2) to the case of multiple  $\gamma_\chi$  factors. Lorentz covariance and cyclicity are protected in the procedure. Then we consider the mechanism of *pairing*, i.e. we integrate over the Grassmann variables pertinent to a pair of  $\gamma_\chi$ . After the mechanism of pairing has removed all the pairs, the trace contains zero or at most one  $\gamma_\chi$ . Finally we bring the last  $\gamma_\chi$  to the far right of the trace: the canonical form.

The procedure will be cast in a set of very simple rules. In particular the algebra for integer values of  $D$  is

$$\gamma_\chi\gamma_\mu = -(-)^D\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi, \quad D \in \mathcal{N}, \quad (7)$$

i.e. the algebra of the standard matrix representation.

Unfortunately this very simple result cannot be extended to generic values of  $D$ . In fact the algebra

$$\gamma_\chi\gamma_\mu = q\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi \quad (8)$$

implies

$$\gamma_\chi\gamma_\mu\gamma_\mu = q^2\gamma_\mu\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi, \quad (9)$$

i.e.

$$q^2 = 1, \quad (10)$$

which forbids to continue eq. (7) to complex  $D$ .

This negative result is mitigated in some explicit calculations by the fact that it is not necessary to know the explicit form of

$$\{\gamma_\chi, \gamma_\mu\}; \quad (11)$$

instead one can use

$$Tr\left(\{\gamma_\chi, \gamma_\mu\}\gamma_{\mu_1}\cdots\gamma_{\mu_k}\right) = Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_{\mu_1}\cdots\gamma_{\mu_k}\}\right). \quad (12)$$

On the basis of this assumption (i.e. the existence of an expansion in powers of  $(D - 4)$ ) we have tested Dimensional Regularization by explicit calculations: i) in [1] the ABJ anomaly [5] [6] and the invariance of the path integral functional (Local Functional Equation [7]-[10] ); ii) in [11] the isoscalar anomaly in a non abelian  $SU(2)$  gauge theory [12] [13]; iii) in [14] the Chern-Simons term and photon self-energy in QED with a CPT- and Lorentz-violating action term [15] [16].

In all the listed calculations the technique has been very successful: no ambiguity emerges and the results coincide with those present in the literature, obtained via gauge invariant regulators (Pauli-Villars).

However in all the cases mentioned above the Feynman amplitude is finite for  $(D = 4)$ , i.e. the pole in  $D = 4$  is cancelled by the zero emerging from the  $\gamma$ 's algebraic manipulations based on

$$\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (13)$$

It would be very interesting to extend the representation of  $\gamma_5$  to generic  $D$  in any situation. For instance in the case of a single (divergent) graph it is very helpful to have a consistent Dimensional Regularization method. This would allow formal algebraic manipulations. A similar situation occurs in the calculation of a divergent Feynman amplitude, where a renormalization procedure is required, possibly via pole subtraction. The aim of the paper is to provide this tool.

In Part II (non-integer  $D$ ) we suggest a novel procedure of Dimensional Renormalization: the pole removal must be performed before the final integration over  $\xi$  (any generic Grassmannian variable that generates  $\gamma_\chi$  when integrated over). In this way we get rid of the completely antisymmetric tensor in the subtraction procedure and of the problem of its analytic continuation. Moreover the algebra in eq. (7) is implemented when the limit of

integer  $D$  is taken. The mechanism of pairing can also be implemented with some simple modifications. Thus the procedure is straightforward down to the final step, when the completely antisymmetric tensor is recovered by the integration over  $\xi$ .

In conclusion of the paper the rules will be tested on the example developed in [1] for ABJ anomaly.

## 2 Standard Identities for Integer $D$

We recollect some standard properties of  $\gamma_5$ .

Let  $D$  be integer and odd. Thus we can consider the product

$$\gamma_5 \equiv \prod_{\mu=1}^D \gamma_\mu. \quad (14)$$

By using the gamma's algebra

$$\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}, \quad \forall \mu, \nu = 1 \dots D \quad (15)$$

one gets

$$[\gamma_5, \gamma_\mu] = 0, \quad \forall \mu = 1 \dots D. \quad (16)$$

From Schur's lemma we get that  $\gamma_5$  is a number.

Let  $D$  be integer and even. Thus we can consider the product

$$\gamma_5 \equiv \prod_{\mu=1}^D \gamma_\mu \quad (17)$$

and we get

$$\{\gamma_5, \gamma_\mu\} = 0, \quad \forall \mu = 1 \dots D. \quad (18)$$

Now we recall some further identities obtained from eq. (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\rho \gamma_\mu &= (-D + 2)\gamma_\rho \\ \gamma_\mu \gamma_\rho \gamma_\sigma \gamma_\mu &= (D - 4)\gamma_\rho \gamma_\sigma + 4\delta_{\rho\sigma} \\ \gamma_\mu \gamma_{\mu_1} \dots \gamma_{\mu_k} \gamma_\mu &= (-)^k (D - 2k)\gamma_{\mu_1} \dots \gamma_{\mu_k} \\ &+ 4(-)^k \sum_{\{ij\}} \delta_{\mathcal{P}} \delta_{\mu_i \mu_j} \gamma_{\mu_1} \dots \hat{\gamma}_{\mu_i} \dots \hat{\gamma}_{\mu_j} \dots \gamma_{\mu_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $\hat{\phantom{x}}$  means omitted and  $\delta_{\mathcal{P}}$  the parity of the permutations to take them in front.

## Part I

# Integer $D$

### 3 The Use of Cyclicity

When  $\gamma_{\chi}$  is absent, as in eq. (1), cyclicity is a property of the trace. The proof is given in Ref. [1].

If  $\gamma_{\chi}$  is introduced as in eq. (2) then cyclicity can not be deduced, since its very definition is fixed by its position. Instead, if we require this property, then we can extend the expression in eq. (2) to the cases, where the position of  $\gamma_{\chi}$  is generic in the trace expression.

To illustrate this fact let us consider the identity

$$i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \chi d^N \bar{c} \, 2[\bar{c}_k(p_k, p_N)\bar{c}_N] \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i)_{\mu} \chi_{\mu}\right) + \sum_{i<j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j)\bar{c}_j = \delta_P Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \{ \not{p}_k, \not{p}_N \} \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \gamma_{\chi}), \quad (20)$$

where  $\delta_P = (-)^{N-k-1}$  is the parity of the permutations necessary to order the factors in the form:

$$d\bar{c}_N \bar{c}_N \, d\bar{c}_k \bar{c}_k. \quad (21)$$

Now we use the identity

$$\not{p}_N \not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \not{p}_1 \dots \{ \not{p}_k, \not{p}_N \} \dots \not{p}_{N-1} (-)^{k-1} + (-)^{N-1} \not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N \quad (22)$$

and sum over  $k$  in eq. (20)

$$i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \chi d^N \bar{c} \, 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} [\bar{c}_k(p_k, p_N)\bar{c}_N] \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i)_{\mu} \chi_{\mu}\right) + \sum_{i<j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j)\bar{c}_j = (-)^N Tr\left(\not{p}_N \not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \gamma_{\chi}\right) + Tr\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N \gamma_{\chi}\right). \quad (23)$$

By using cyclicity one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \chi d^N \bar{c} 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} [\bar{c}_j(p_j, p_N) \bar{c}_N] \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu \chi_\mu \right. \\
& + \sum_{i<j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \left. \right) = Tr \left( \not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi \right) \\
& + (-)^N Tr \left( \not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \gamma_\chi \not{p}_N \right). \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (24) suggests how to represent the trace when  $\gamma_\chi$  is in second position.

To illustrate this we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr \left( \not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \gamma_\chi \not{p}_N \right) &= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d\bar{c}_N d^D \chi d^{(N-1)} \bar{c} \\
& \exp \left( \chi_\mu p_{N\mu} \bar{c}_N + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu \chi_\mu + \sum_{i<j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \tag{25}
\end{aligned}$$

Perform the switch  $d\bar{c}_N d^D \chi \rightarrow (-)^D d^D \chi d\bar{c}_N$  and the change of sign  $\chi \rightarrow -\chi$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \chi d\bar{c}_N d^{(N-1)} \bar{c} \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i p_i \chi + p_{N\mu} \bar{c}_N \chi_\mu \right. \\
& + \sum_{i<j=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_N) \bar{c}_N \left. \right) \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

Change sign  $d\bar{c}_j \rightarrow -d\bar{c}_j \quad \forall j < N$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} (-)^{N-1} \int d^D \chi d\bar{c}_N d^{(N-1)} \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \chi \right. \\
& + \sum_{i<j=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_N) \bar{c}_N \left. \right) \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

Make a shift  $\bar{c}_N \rightarrow \bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_j \rightarrow \bar{c}_{j+1} \quad \forall j < N$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \chi d\bar{c}_N d^{(N-1)} \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \chi \right. \\
& + \sum_{i<j=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_{i+1}(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_{j+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_{j+1}(p_j, p_N) \bar{c}_1 \left. \right) \\
&= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \chi d\bar{c}_N d^{(N-1)} \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \chi \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i < j=2}^N \bar{c}_i(p_{i-1}, p_{j-1}) \bar{c}_j + \bar{c}_1 \sum_{j=2}^N \bar{c}_j(p_{j-1}, p_N) \\
& = \text{Tr} \left( \not{p}_N \not{p}_1 \cdots \not{p}_{N-1} \gamma_\chi \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

cyclicity works in this example!

## 4 More $\gamma_\chi$ 's

The generalization of eq. (2) to more than one  $\gamma_\chi$  is achieved by following the suggestion of the result in eq. (28) and by the method of unfolding  $\gamma_\chi$  into a product of  $D$  all different  $\gamma$ 's for integer dimensions:

$$\gamma_\chi = (i)^{\frac{(D-1)D}{2}} \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_D. \tag{29}$$

Thus we can write the trace as it were with no  $\gamma_\chi$ . See Section 6 in Ref. [1] for details.

**Assumption 1:** Multiple  $\gamma_\chi$  trace is represented by integration over Grassmannian variables. Each  $\not{p}_j$  is associated to the integration variable  $d\bar{c}_j$  while  $\gamma_\chi$ 's are represented by integration over  $\dots d^D \chi \dots d^D \eta \dots d^D \xi \dots$ . The order in the trace is faithfully reproduced in the order of integration and in the terms entering in the exponential. A factor

$$(i)^{\frac{(D-1)D}{2}} \tag{30}$$

is introduced for each  $\gamma_\chi$ .

## 5 Example with Two $\gamma_\chi$

We illustrate the algorithm in the case of two  $\gamma_\chi$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \cdots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \cdots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = (-)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \eta d^{(N-k)} \bar{c} d^D \xi d^k \bar{c} \\
& \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu \eta_\mu + \xi_\mu \eta_\mu + \xi_\mu \sum_{i=k+1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu + \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu \xi_\mu \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \\
& = (-)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \eta d^{(N-k)} \bar{c} d^D \xi d^k \bar{c}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu \eta_\mu + \xi_\mu \left[ \eta_\mu + \sum_{i=k+1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu - \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i<j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Now we perform the integration over both  $\xi, \eta$ . The procedure is to force the integration over  $\xi, \eta$  by an isolated term  $\xi\eta$  in the exponential. Only the  $\xi_\mu$  in  $\xi\eta$  can saturate the integration over  $\xi_\mu$  which has a factor  $\eta_\mu$ . Therefore also the integration over  $\eta$  is constrained

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^D \eta d^D \xi e^{\xi\eta} = \int d^D \eta d^D \xi \prod_{\mu} (1 + \xi_\mu \eta_\mu) \\ & = \int d^D \eta d^D \xi \prod_{\mu} \xi_\mu \eta_\mu = (-)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In order to employ the procedure of eq. (32) we replace in eq. (31)

$$\eta \rightarrow \eta - \sum_{i=k+1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i(p_i) \quad (33)$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = (-)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \eta d^{(N-k)} \bar{c} d^D \xi d^k \bar{c} \\ & \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu \eta_\mu - 2 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i(p_i) \right] \left[ \sum_{i=k+1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \xi\eta + \sum_{i<j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Now the integration over  $\xi\eta$  can be performed

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = (-)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} (-)^{(D(N-k))} (-)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \\ & \int d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( - \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i(p_i) \right] \left[ \sum_{i=k+1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i<j=1}^k \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{i<j=k+1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Finally we change sign to  $\bar{c}_j, j = k+1, \dots, N$ .

$$Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = (-)^{(D-1)(N-k)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i(p_i) \right] \left[ \sum_{i=k+1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \right] + \sum_{i < j=1}^k \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{i < j=k+1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \\
& = (-)^{(D-1)(N-k)} \text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_k \dots \not{p}_N). \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular for  $k = N$  we get

$$\text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi^2) = \text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N). \tag{37}$$

Notice that the result in eqs. (36) and (37) coincides with the standard algebra of the gamma matrices (integer dimensions).

This integration over a couple of variables describing a pair of  $\gamma_\chi$  will be denoted as *pairing*.

We shall provide more examples involving three  $\gamma_\chi$ .

## 6 Example with three $\gamma_\chi$

It is very instructive to consider a case with an odd number of  $\gamma_\chi$ . After the use of the tool of pairing, only one  $\gamma_\chi$  is left over at the end.

We consider the explicit example where three  $\gamma_\chi$  are present in the trace. The order among the Grassmannian variable follows faithfully the order inside the trace as in eq. (31)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{N-2} \not{p}_{N-1} \gamma_\chi \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) \\
& = (-i)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \chi d^D \bar{c}_N d^D \xi d^D \bar{c}_{N-1} d^D \bar{c}_{N-2} d^D \eta d^{N-3} \bar{c} \exp \left\{ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \xi + \eta \right] \chi + \left[ \xi + \eta \right] \bar{c}_N(p_N) + \left[ \eta + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i(p_i) \right] \xi \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \eta \left( \bar{c}_{N-1}(p_{N-1}) + \bar{c}_{N-2}(p_{N-2}) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-3} \bar{c}_i(p_i) \eta \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1, i < j \leq N}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right\}. \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

The question arises on the relations among the different paths of pairings. Thus we explore some possibilities. The calculation is straightforward and similar to the previous leading to eq. (36).

### 6.1 Integration over $\chi_\mu$ and $\eta_\mu$

If we want to perform the pairing by integration over  $\chi_\mu$  and  $\eta_\mu$  it is easier to isolate the factor  $\sum_\mu \eta_\mu \chi_\mu$  so that we get rid of all the integration  $d^D \chi d^D \eta$ . This is achieved by the substitution  $\eta_\mu \rightarrow \eta_\mu - \xi_\mu - \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i (p_i)_\mu$ . Then one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{N-2} \not{p}_{N-1} \gamma_\chi \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) \\ &= (-)^{3(D-1)} Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-2} \not{p}_{N-1} \gamma_\chi \not{p}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

### 6.2 Integration over $\chi_\mu$ and $\xi_\mu$

For the integration over  $d^D \chi d^D \xi$  we proceed as in the previous case: we isolate a term  $\xi \chi$  by a substitution  $\xi \rightarrow \xi - \eta - \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i p_i$ . Explicit calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{N-2} \not{p}_{N-1} \gamma_\chi \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) \\ &= (-)^{D-1} Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{N-2} \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

### 6.3 Integration over $\xi$ $\eta$

Finally we consider the last pairing in eq. (38) by integrating over  $d^D \xi d^D \eta$ . We isolate a common factor  $\exp \eta \chi$  by using the substitution  $\eta_\mu \rightarrow \eta_\mu + \chi_\mu + \bar{c}_N (p_N)_\mu - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i (p_i)_\mu$ . One gets

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{N-2} \not{p}_{N-1} \gamma_\chi \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) \\ &= (-)^{2(D-1)} Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-2} \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

We see that, in all the example presented, pairing is obtained by using the naive algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\chi \gamma_\mu &= (-)^{D-1} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\chi \\ \gamma_\chi^2 &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The final result of the pairing process is independent from the chosen sequence, as one can verify by using eqs. (39), (40), (41) and (42). One can prove that the properties in eq. (42) are true for any set of  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_\chi$  for integer dimensions  $D$ . Moreover under the same conditions one can prove cyclicity.

## 7 Algebra and Cyclicity in General for Integer $D$

One can prove that the properties in eq. (42) are true for any set of  $\gamma_\mu$  and  $\gamma_\chi$  for integer dimensions  $D$ . Moreover under the same conditions one can prove cyclicity

$$Tr(\not{p}_1 \mathcal{A}) = Tr(\mathcal{A} \not{p}_1), \quad (43)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is any product of  $\not{p}$  and  $\gamma_\chi$ .

Similarly one has

$$Tr(\gamma_\chi \mathcal{A}) = Tr(\mathcal{A} \gamma_\chi). \quad (44)$$

## Part II

# New Approach to $\gamma_5$ in DR

In the Introduction it was argued that in the equations (42)  $D$  cannot be continued to complex values. Moreover the completely antisymmetric tensor is identified by the number of the dimensions and therefore it is a quantity that cannot depend smoothly on  $D$ . These are insurmountable obstacles for any continuation in  $D$ .

In this paper we suggest a way out to this *impasse*. We take full advantage of the integral representation of the trace in eq. (2) and its generalizations with more than one  $\gamma_\chi$  discussed in Part I. We review the properties of this representation that are not dependent from  $D$  being an integer. In particular we shall avoid by any means the use of quantities as  $(-1)^D$  in the algebra, as in eq.(42), because they are an uncontrolled source of ambiguities.

## 8 Pairing

We consider the most general pairing setup. We evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} Tr\left(\mathcal{A}\gamma_\chi\mathcal{B}\gamma_\chi\mathcal{C}\right) &= (i)^{(D-1)D} \int d\mathcal{C} d^D\xi d\mathcal{B} d^D\eta d\mathcal{A} \\ &\exp\left(\mathcal{C} * \mathcal{C} + (\xi + \mathcal{B} + \eta + \mathcal{A})\mathcal{C} \right. \\ &\left. + (\mathcal{B} + \eta + \mathcal{A})\xi + \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} + (\eta + \mathcal{A})\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}\eta + \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_K\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_L\}$  are sets of elements  $\bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu$ ; while  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_M\}$  may contain both  $\bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu$  and the Grassmannian integration variables  $\chi_\mu$ . For generic  $\mathcal{A}$  the traces and products are defined by (example with one  $\gamma_\chi$  only)

$$Tr(\mathcal{A}) = Tr\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_n \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{n+1} \dots \not{p}_K\right). \quad (46)$$

in the matrix representation. In the integral representation we use a short hand notation which follows from the notations in Sections 4, 5 and 6

$$\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} = \sum_{i < j = 1}^K \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{n < j}^K (\chi, p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{c}_j(p_j, \chi)$$

$$-\mathcal{A} = \{-a_1, \dots, -a_K\} \quad \mathcal{A}\chi = \sum_{i=1}^K \bar{c}_i(p_i, \chi). \quad (47)$$

The differentials  $d\mathcal{A}$  are defined by the product of  $d\bar{c}_i$  ( $i = 1 \dots K$ ) and  $d^D\chi$  according to the order present in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Additional factors (as  $(i)^{\frac{(D-1)D}{2}}$  to  $d^D\chi$  for extra insertions of  $\gamma_\chi$ ) will be resumed later on.

Now we proceed to factor out the exponential  $e^{\eta\xi}$

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(\mathcal{A}\gamma_\chi\mathcal{B}\gamma_\chi\mathcal{C}) &= (-)^{\frac{(D-1)D}{2}} \int d\mathcal{C} d^D\xi d\mathcal{B} d^D\eta d\mathcal{A} \exp\left(\mathcal{C} * \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [-\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} + \eta][\xi + \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A}] - \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Then we use the substitution principle and get

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(\mathcal{A}\gamma_\chi\mathcal{B}\gamma_\chi\mathcal{C}) &= (i)^{(D-1)D} \int d\mathcal{C} d^D\xi d^D\eta d\mathcal{B} d\mathcal{A} \exp\left((-)^{n_{\mathcal{B}}}\eta\xi\right) \\ &\quad \exp\left(\mathcal{C} * \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where  $n_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the number of switches  $\eta_\mu \rightarrow -\eta_\mu$  necessary to bring  $d^D\eta$  contiguous to  $d^D\xi$  (i.e. the number of elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ ). Finally we change the sign ( $p_j \rightarrow -p_j$ ) of all elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  and get our final form of the pairing process

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(\mathcal{A}\gamma_\chi\mathcal{B}\gamma_\chi\mathcal{C}) &= (i)^{(D-1)D} \int d\mathcal{C} d^D\xi d^D\eta d\mathcal{B} d\mathcal{A} \exp\left((-)^{n_{\mathcal{B}}}\eta\xi\right) \\ &\quad \exp\left(\mathcal{C} * \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A}\right) \\ &= (i)^{(D-1)D} \int d^D\xi d^D\eta \exp\left((-)^{n_{\mathcal{B}}}\eta\xi\right) Tr(\mathcal{A}(-\mathcal{B})\mathcal{C}). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Eq. (50) shows that the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  encapsulated between two  $\gamma_\chi$  change sign in the process of pairing and a  $D$ -dependent numerical factor is generated

$$\int d^D\xi d^D\eta \exp\left((-)^{n_{\mathcal{B}}}\eta\xi\right). \quad (51)$$

This result is very surprising (and simple!). It allows to get rid of all  $\gamma_\chi$ , but one if their number is odd. For sake of conciseness  $\gamma_\chi$  might enter only in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Comments. i) For integer  $D$  the eq. (32) can be used and eq. (50) represents the algebra in eq. (42). ii) Pairing in eq. (50) for generic  $D$  is a generalization of the pairing for integer  $D$  e.g. in eq. (39).

## 9 Cyclicity: General Proof

In the present Section we prove that the trace integral representation

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{k+1} \dots \not{p}_N) &= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d\bar{c}_N \dots d\bar{c}_{k+1} d^D \xi d^k \bar{c} \\ \exp \left( \xi \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^N p_j \bar{c}_j \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i p_i \xi + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i (p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

satisfies cyclicity

$$\begin{aligned} &Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{k+1} \dots \not{p}_N) \\ &= Tr(\not{p}_N \not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{k+1} \dots \not{p}_{(N-1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Proof. Consider first  $1 < k < N$ . To accomplish the result we move  $d\bar{c}_N$  to the far right thus producing: i) a factor  $(-)^{(N-1)}$  and ii) a change  $\xi \rightarrow -\xi$  in the Grassmannian variable which can be absorbed by a redefinition of  $\xi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{k+1} \dots \not{p}_N) &= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d\bar{c}_{(N-1)} \dots d\bar{c}_{k+1} d^D \xi d^k \bar{c} d\bar{c}_N \\ (-)^{(N-1)} \exp \left( -\xi \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^N p_j \bar{c}_j \right) - \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i p_i \xi + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i (p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Now we change sign to the variables  $(\bar{c}_j, j = 1, \dots, N-1)$

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{k+1} \dots \not{p}_N) &= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d\bar{c}_{(N-1)} \dots d\bar{c}_{k+1} d^D \xi d^k \bar{c} d\bar{c}_N \\ \exp \left( \xi \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^{N-1} p_j \bar{c}_j \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i p_i \xi + \bar{c}_N p_N \xi + \sum_{i < j=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i (p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i (p_i, p_N) \bar{c}_N \right) \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

i.e.

$$Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{k+1} \dots \not{p}_N) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d\bar{c}_{(N-1)} \dots d\bar{c}_{k+1} d^D \xi d^k \bar{c} d\bar{c}_N$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left( \xi \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^{N-1} p_j \bar{c}_j \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i p_i \xi + \bar{c}_N p_N \xi + \sum_{i < j=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i (p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_N (p_N, p_i) \bar{c}_i \right) = \text{Tr}(\not{p}_N \not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \not{p}_{k+1} \dots \not{p}_{(N-1)}). \quad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (56) shows that cyclicity is valid in generic dimensions if we move any gamma.

We have to prove that cyclicity is valid also if  $\gamma_\chi$  is moved around. Start with

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \xi d^N \bar{c} \\
& \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i p_i \xi + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i (p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \quad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

and switch  $d^D \xi d^N \bar{c} \rightarrow d^N \bar{c} d^D \xi$ . Due to the anticommutation rules we get a factor  $(-)^N$  on each single differential  $d\xi_\mu$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^N \bar{c} \prod_{\mu} d(-)^N \xi_\mu \\
& \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i p_i \xi + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i (p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \quad (58)
\end{aligned}$$

We proceed by considering first  $N$  even, i.e.  $(-)^N = 1$ . Thus the change of variable  $\bar{c}_j \rightarrow -\bar{c}_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, N$  in eq. (57) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^N \bar{c} d^D \xi \\
& \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i p_i \xi + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i (p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \\
& = \text{Tr}(\gamma_\chi \not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N). \quad (59)
\end{aligned}$$

For odd  $N$  we have  $(-)^N = -1$  in eq. (58), thus the change  $\xi \rightarrow -\xi$  is needed in order to have the correct measure of the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Tr}(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^N \bar{c} d^D \xi \\
& \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i p_i \xi + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i (p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \\
& = \text{Tr}(\gamma_\chi \not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N). \quad (60)
\end{aligned}$$

Eqs. (59) and (60) show that cyclicity is obeyed also for  $\gamma_\chi$ .

## 10 Charge Conjugation

After we have established the validity of pairing in Section 8 and of cyclicity in Section 9 we can discuss Charge Conjugation. We shall compare the algebra in the integral representation eqs. (1) and (2) and in the matrix representation for the limit  $D \rightarrow D_0$ , where the integer  $D_0$  is the dimension of the target space-time.

### 10.1 Charge Conjugation in the Matrix representation

We can assume that there is only one  $\gamma_\chi$  present in the trace and that the position of  $\gamma_\chi$  is far right.

In the matrix representation and for integer  $D_0$  the following properties are of common use

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N\right) &= Tr\left(\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N\right)^T\right) = Tr\left(\not{p}_N^T \dots \not{p}_1^T\right) \\
Tr\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \dots \not{p}_N\right) &= Tr\left(\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \dots \not{p}_N\right)^T\right) \\
&= Tr\left(\left(\not{p}_N^T \dots \gamma_\chi^T \not{p}_k^T \dots \not{p}_1^T\right)\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

A unitary Charge Conjugation operator  $\mathcal{C}$  is introduced

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} \gamma_\alpha \mathcal{C}^{-1} &= -\gamma_\alpha^T \\
\mathcal{C} \gamma_\chi \mathcal{C}^{-1} &= (-)^{D_0} \gamma_1^T \dots \gamma_{D_0}^T \\
&= (-)^{D_0} (-)^{\frac{D_0(D_0-1)}{2}} \gamma_\chi^T = (-)^{\frac{D_0(D_0+1)}{2}} \gamma_\chi^T
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N\right) &= Tr\left(\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N\right)^T\right) = Tr\left(\not{p}_N^T \dots \not{p}_1^T\right) = \\
&(-)^N Tr\left(\mathcal{C} \not{p}_N \mathcal{C}^{-1} \dots \mathcal{C} \not{p}_1 \mathcal{C}^{-1}\right) = (-)^N Tr\left(\not{p}_N \dots \not{p}_1\right) \\
&= Tr\left(\not{p}_N \dots \not{p}_1\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

$N$  must be even because there are no  $\gamma_\chi$  in the trace.

If there is a  $\gamma_\chi$  in the trace

$$N = D_0 + 2n, \quad n \in \mathcal{N} \tag{64}$$

From eqs. (61) and (62) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \dots \not{p}_N\right) &= Tr\left(\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_k \gamma_\chi \dots \not{p}_N\right)^T\right) \\
&= Tr\left(\left(\not{p}_N^T \dots \gamma_\chi^T \not{p}_k^T \dots \not{p}_1^T\right)\right) \\
&= (-)^N (-)^{\frac{D_0(D_0+1)}{2}} Tr\left(\mathcal{C} \not{p}_N \mathcal{C}^{-1} \dots \mathcal{C} \gamma_\chi \mathcal{C}^{-1} \dots \mathcal{C} \not{p}_1 \mathcal{C}^{-1}\right) \\
&= (-)^{D_0} (-)^{\frac{D_0(D_0+1)}{2}} Tr\left(\not{p}_N \dots \gamma_\chi \dots \not{p}_1\right) \\
&= (-)^{\frac{D_0(D_0-1)}{2}} Tr\left(\not{p}_N \dots \gamma_\chi \dots \not{p}_1\right). \tag{65}
\end{aligned}$$

In eqs. (63) and (65) the  $N$ -dependent factors have disappeared.

## 10.2 Charge Conjugation in the Integral Representation

The problem is now whether eqs. (63) and (65) can be extended to the case of generic  $D$  (while  $D_0$  is kept fixed). Let us see what the integral representation gives for the relation (63). We consider

$$Tr\left(\not{p}_N \dots \not{p}_1\right) = \int d\bar{c}_N \dots d\bar{c}_1 \exp\left(\sum_{i<j} \bar{c}_i p_{N-i+1} p_{N-j+1} \bar{c}_j\right) \tag{66}$$

We redefine  $\bar{b}_i = \bar{c}_{N-i+1}$ . Then we rearrange the measure of the integral getting a factor  $(-)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}$  and the order in every pairing getting a factor  $(-)^{\frac{N}{2}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr\left(\not{p}_N \dots \not{p}_1\right) &= (-)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} (-)^{\frac{N}{2}} Tr\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N\right) \\
&= Tr\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N\right), \tag{67}
\end{aligned}$$

In fact  $N$  must be even for a trace with no  $\gamma_\chi$ . Eq. (67) is a consistent continuation of eq. (63) to generic value of  $D$ .

Now we consider the trace with one  $\gamma_\chi$  and get

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr\left(\gamma_\chi \not{p}_N \dots \not{p}_1\right) &= \int d\bar{c}_N \dots d\bar{c}_1 \int d^D \xi \exp\left(\sum_i \xi \bar{c}_i p_{N-i+1}\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i<j} \bar{c}_i p_{N-i+1} p_{N-j+1} \bar{c}_j\right) \tag{68}
\end{aligned}$$

The redefinition  $\bar{b}_i = \bar{c}_{N-i+1}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr\left(\gamma_\chi \not{p}_N \dots \not{p}_1\right) &= \int d^D \xi (-)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \int d\bar{b}_N \dots d\bar{b}_1 \\
&\quad \exp\left(-(-)^N \sum_i \bar{b}_i p_i \xi - \sum_{i<j} \bar{b}_i p_i p_j \bar{b}_j\right) \tag{69}
\end{aligned}$$

where the factor  $(-)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}$  comes from rearrangement of  $d\bar{b}_1 \dots d\bar{b}_N$  and the change of variables  $\xi_\mu \rightarrow (-)^N \xi_\mu$  is performed in order to get rid of the parity factor in the switch of  $d^N \bar{b} d^D \xi \rightarrow d^D \xi d^N \bar{b}$ . Let  $D_0$  be the number of  $\bar{b} p_i \xi$  present in the integral over  $\xi$ .  $D_0$  is an integer.  $\frac{N-D_0}{2}$  is the number of pairs in the integrand of  $d^N \bar{b}$ . There is no analytic continuation on  $D_0$  and it is fixed by  $N$  and the number of  $\bar{b}$  entering in the  $\bar{b}_i p_i p_j \bar{b}_j$  terms. Thus after the expansion of the exponential eq. (69) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Tr\left(\gamma_\chi \not{p}_N \dots \not{p}_1\right) &= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \int d^D \xi (-)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \int d\bar{b}_N \dots d\bar{b}_1 \\ &(-)^{(N+1)D_0} \prod_{i_p \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{b}_{i_p} p_{i_p} \xi (-)^{\frac{N-D_0}{2}} \prod_{k < l \notin \mathcal{P}} \bar{b}_k p_k p_l \bar{b}_l \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is an oriented set of  $D_0$  positive integers  $< N$ .

We use the relation

$$N = D_0 \pmod{2} \quad (71)$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} (-)^{(N+1)D_0} &= (-)^{(N-D_0)D_0 + D_0^2 + D_0} \\ &= (-)^{D_0(D_0+1)} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(-)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \\ &= (-)^{\frac{(N-D_0)^2}{2} + \frac{(N-D_0)D_0}{2} + \frac{(N-D_0)(D_0-1)}{2} + \frac{(D_0-1)D_0}{2}} \\ &= (-)^{-\frac{(N-D_0)}{2} + \frac{(D_0-1)D_0}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

The resulting factor gives the final expression of eq. (69)

$$Tr\left(\gamma_\chi \not{p}_N \dots \not{p}_1\right) = (-1)^{\frac{D_0(D_0-1)}{2}} Tr\left(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi\right) \quad (74)$$

which agrees with eq. (65).

Eq. (74) is the important result of the Section. One can perform the transposed of the argument of the trace and subsequently get rid of the transposition on the single gamma matrices by using the matrix  $\mathcal{C}$ . The result is the reversed order of the momenta and the switch  $(\gamma_\alpha \gamma_\chi \rightarrow \gamma_\chi \gamma_\alpha)$ .  $D_0$  is an initial data of the problem. The Laurent expansion is around  $D_0$ .

## 11 Subtraction Strategy

We can separate the integration over the variables generating the  $\gamma_\chi$  from those producing the trace without  $\gamma_\chi$  denoted by  $\bar{c}$ . This splitting is quite natural if one uses our integral representation of the trace. The new Regularization procedure consists in operating the necessary pole subtractions on the integrand, where the dimension is not fixed to integer values.

The new renormalization procedure is described by the following assumption

**Assumption 2:**

*“The Dimensional subtractions have to be performed before the final integration on the Grassmannian variable that produces  $\gamma_\chi$  in the trace (i.e.  $d^D \xi$  in eq. (2)).”*

For one  $\gamma_\chi$  the integrand is

$$R(p_1 \dots p_N | \xi) \equiv \int d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i)_\mu \xi_\mu + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right), \quad (75)$$

i.e. we drop the integration over  $d^D \xi$  and consequently it becomes function of the Grassmannian real variable  $\xi$ . The bar | separates variable  $\xi$  from  $p_i$ . The ordered sequence is dictated by the order in the exponential and in the measure on  $\bar{c}$  of eq. (75)

According to the above prescription, after all poles in  $D$  have been subtracted, the limit on  $D$  to the required integer is performed by the final integration on  $i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \xi$  in eq. (75).

**Comment:** it should be stressed that **Assumption 2** allows computer algebraic manipulations, since the algebra does not depend on the dimensions.

## 12 Strategy in Manipulation of $\gamma_\chi$

In this paper we propose a simple strategy in order to deal with the pole subtraction. We will avoid generality in the procedure in favor of a simple set of rules, easy to implement.

1. It is assumed that the substitution principle ( $\xi \rightarrow \xi + \text{constant}$ ) is allowed in the integration. Moreover the change of integration variables is performed in the standard way by using the Jacobian.

2. By the method of pairing all  $\gamma_\chi$  are removed by proceeding from the far left.
3. The last  $\gamma_\chi$  is eventually brought to the far right of the trace by using cyclicity.
4. The poles subtraction is performed on the final expression obtained from the above enumerated rules.
5. Integration  $\int d^D \xi$  over the Grassmannian variable  $\xi$  is performed after pole subtraction.

### 13 The Case of a Single $\gamma_\chi$

By using pairing as in eq. (50) all  $\gamma_\chi$  can be removed and the trace contains at most one  $\gamma_\chi$ . Moreover cyclicity allows to bring it to the far right. Thus we have the trace in canonical form as in eq. (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_{N-1} \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) &= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \int d^D \xi d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right). \tag{76}
\end{aligned}$$

On the integrand of  $d^D \xi$  we perform all the necessary subtractions by using the standard procedure based on the use of local counter-terms in the action

$$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \sum_{n=0} \mathcal{S}^n \tag{77}$$

expanded in the number of loops. For instance, if a counterterm is necessary, at one loop for the chiral current insertion could be

$$\mathcal{S}^1 \equiv \int d^D x \frac{J_\alpha}{D-4} \left( A \bar{\psi} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\chi \psi + B \bar{\psi} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\tau \gamma_\chi \gamma_\tau \psi + C \bar{\psi} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\iota \gamma_\tau \gamma_\chi \gamma_\tau \gamma_\iota \psi + \dots \right). \tag{78}$$

Notice that all terms in eq. (78) are equivalent since

$$\{\gamma_\chi, \gamma_\tau\} \sim \mathcal{O}(D-4). \tag{79}$$

The choice of  $A, B, C$  is dictated by some extra arguments (symmetry, topological arguments, etc.).

In the aftermath we have to evaluate the integral  $d^D\xi$  in eq. (76) at some integer value. Say  $D = 4$ . This implies that we have to collect all the monomials with  $\xi$  at the fourth power containing all  $\bar{c}_j, j = 1, \dots, N$ .  $\bar{c}_j$  might originate from the expansion of

$$\exp \sum_{i < j} \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_j) \bar{c}_i \sim \prod_{i < j} [1 + \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_j) \bar{c}_i] \quad (80)$$

or from the expansion

$$\exp \sum_j \bar{c}_j(p_j, \xi) \sim \prod_j [1 + \bar{c}_j(p_j, \xi)]. \quad (81)$$

The momenta of eq. (81) will saturate the completely antisymmetric tensor. The rest of momenta taken from the expansion (80) will yield the usual trace without  $\gamma_\chi$  as in eq. (1).

### 13.1 General Properties of the Trace

By general properties we denote those valid also when the integration over  $d^D\xi$  is suspended because  $D$  is not given as an integer.

The rules (3) of Grassmann integration requires that the single  $\bar{c}_j$  appears only once in the integrands of eq. (75). Then it is a linear function of  $p_j$ , for each  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . Thus we can expand it in powers of  $\xi$  by using

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi \right) &= \prod_{i=1}^N e^{\bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi} = \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + \bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \bar{c}_j(p_j) \xi^2 + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \bar{c}_j(p_j) \bar{c}_k(p_k) \xi^3 \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

The integration on  $d^N\bar{c}$  is partly on the chosen terms of the expansion (82) and the rest on the Taylor expansion of the other exponential factor in eq. (75). These last terms are bilinear in  $\bar{c}$ , thus the power of  $\xi$  is even or odd depending on the value of  $\text{mod}(N, 2) = 0$  or  $\text{mod}(N, 2) = 1$ .

### 13.2 Fundamental Formula

After the integration on  $\bar{c}$  generated by the expansion in eq. (82) a typical expression in terms of powers of  $\xi$  is given by eq. (76)

$$\int d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \int d\bar{c}_{j_K} \cdots d\bar{c}_{j_1} \delta_{\mathcal{P}}(\bar{c}_{j_1} p_{j_1} \xi) \cdots (\bar{c}_{j_K} p_{j_K} \xi) \\
&Tr(\not{p}_{i_1} \cdots \not{p}_{i_{N-K}})
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

where the sum is over all partitions  $\mathcal{P}$  of the  $N$  integers in two mutually disjoint ordered sets  $(i_1, \dots, i_{N-K})$  and  $(j_1, \dots, j_K)$ . The parity  $\delta_{\mathcal{P}}$  counts the permutations needed to perform the integrations over  $d\bar{c}_{j_K}, \dots, d\bar{c}_{j_1} d\bar{c}_{i_{(N-K)}}, \dots, d\bar{c}_{i_1}$ . The integration over  $\bar{c}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi + \sum_{i < j=1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \\
&= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \delta_{\mathcal{P}}(p_{j_K} \xi) \cdots (p_{j_1} \xi) Tr(\not{p}_{i_1} \cdots \not{p}_{i_{N-K}})
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

The quantity in eq. (84) is the perfect tool to be continued in  $D$ . It has simple symmetry properties: by interchanging two adjacent  $p_j$ 's we get a minus sign.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\mathcal{P}} \delta_{\mathcal{P}}(p_{j_K} \xi) \cdots (p_{j_{(n+1)}} \xi) (p_{j_n} \xi) \cdots (p_{j_1} \xi) Tr(\not{p}_{i_1} \cdots \not{p}_{i_{N-K}}) \\
&= - \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \delta_{\mathcal{P}}(p_{j_K} \xi) \cdots (p_{j_n} \xi) (p_{j_{n+1}} \xi) \cdots (p_{j_1} \xi) Tr(\not{p}_{i_1} \cdots \not{p}_{i_{N-K}}) \tag{85}
\end{aligned}$$

If any two  $(p_j \xi)$ 's are equal, the quantity in eq. (84) is zero.

### 13.3 Clifford algebra

We now comment on how the Clifford Algebra is implemented in eq. (83). We follow closely the proof given in Section 3 of Ref. [1]. We write in full evidence the variables  $p_n$  and  $p_{n+1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
R(p_1 \dots p_N | \xi) &= \int d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \bar{c}_n p_n \xi + \bar{c}_{n+1} p_{n+1} \xi + \sum_{i \neq n, n+1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi \right) \\
&\exp \left( \bar{c}_n(p_n, p_{n+1}) \bar{c}_{n+1} + \sum_{j > n+1}^N \bar{c}_n(p_n, p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{j > n+1}^N \bar{c}_{n+1}(p_{n+1}, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{j < n}^N \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_n) \bar{c}_n + \sum_{j < n}^N \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_{n+1}) \bar{c}_{n+1} + \sum_{i, j \neq n, n+1, i < j}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \tag{86}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we replace  $p_n \leftrightarrow p_{n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
R(p_1 \dots p_{n+1} p_n \dots p_N | \xi) &= \int d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \bar{c}_n p_{n+1} \xi + \bar{c}_{n+1} p_n \xi + \sum_{i \neq n, \neq n+1}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi \right) \\
&\exp \left( \bar{c}_n(p_{n+1}, p_n) \bar{c}_{n+1} + \sum_{j > n+1}^N \bar{c}_n(p_{n+1}, p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{j > n+1}^N \bar{c}_{n+1}(p_n, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{j < n}^N \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_{n+1}) \bar{c}_n + \sum_{j < n}^N \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_n) \bar{c}_{n+1} + \sum_{i, j \neq n, n+1, i < j}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \quad (87)
\end{aligned}$$

and rename  $\bar{c}_n \leftrightarrow \bar{c}_{n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_i^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi \right) \\
&\exp \left( \bar{c}_{n+1}(p_{n+1}, p_n) \bar{c}_n + \sum_{j > n+1}^N \bar{c}_{n+1}(p_{n+1}, p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{j > n+1}^N \bar{c}_n(p_n, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{j < n}^N \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_{n+1}) \bar{c}_{n+1} + \sum_{j < n}^N \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_n) \bar{c}_n + \sum_{i, j \neq n, n+1, i < j}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \quad (88)
\end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned}
R(p_1 \dots p_{n+1} p_n \dots p_N | \xi) &= - \int d^N \bar{c} \exp \left( \sum_i^N \bar{c}_i(p_i) \xi \right) \\
&\exp(-2\bar{c}_n(p_n, p_{n+1}) \bar{c}_{n+1}) \\
&\exp \left( \bar{c}_n(p_n, p_{n+1}) \bar{c}_{n+1} + \sum_{j > n+1}^N \bar{c}_{n+1}(p_{n+1}, p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{j > n+1}^N \bar{c}_n(p_n, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{j < n}^N \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_{n+1}) \bar{c}_{n+1} + \sum_{j < n}^N \bar{c}_j(p_j, p_n) \bar{c}_n + \sum_{i, j \neq n, n+1, i < j}^N \bar{c}_i(p_i, p_j) \bar{c}_j \right) \quad (89)
\end{aligned}$$

We expand the exponential and get

$$\begin{aligned}
&R(p_1 \dots p_n p_{n+1} \dots p_N | \xi) + R(p_1 \dots p_{n+1} p_n \dots p_N | \xi) \\
&= 2(p_n, p_{n+1}) R(p_1 \dots p_{n-1} p_{n+2} \dots p_N | \xi). \quad (90)
\end{aligned}$$

The above equation shows that eq. (83) gives a representation of the Clifford algebra.

### 13.4 Comparison with Previous Approaches

In some points our approach resembles similar attempts: where the algebra of  $\gamma_\chi$  is never used up to the very end of the calculation and it is finally resumed by some “obvious” statements [1],[17]. For instance if  $D = 4$  is the final goal then in the vicinity of  $D = 4$  one uses

$$Tr(\gamma_\chi \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu) = 0 \quad (91)$$

since the LHS of (91) is non-zero only for  $D = 2$ , i.e. “too far away” from the target  $D = 4$  value.

Unfortunately this procedure might cause inconsistencies. For instance the use of the Clifford algebra gives ( $\widehat{\cdot\cdot}$  means omitted)

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(\gamma_\chi \gamma_{\mu_1} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_j} \gamma_{\mu_{j+1}} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_4}) &= -Tr(\gamma_\chi \gamma_{\mu_1} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_{j+1}} \gamma_{\mu_j} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_4}) \\ &+ 2\delta_{\mu_j \mu_{j+1}} Tr(\gamma_\chi \gamma_{\mu_1} \cdots \widehat{\gamma_{\mu_j}} \widehat{\gamma_{\mu_{j+1}}} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_4}). \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

By using eq. (91) one might conclude that the last term in eq. (92) is zero and then

$$Tr(\gamma_\chi \gamma_{\mu_1} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_j} \gamma_{\mu_{j+1}} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_4}) = -Tr(\gamma_\chi \gamma_{\mu_1} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_{j+1}} \gamma_{\mu_j} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_4}) \quad (93)$$

By repeated use of (93) and by using cyclicity as in Section 9 one gets

$$Tr(\gamma_\chi \gamma_{\mu_1} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_4}) = -Tr(\gamma_{\mu_1} \gamma_\chi \cdots \gamma_{\mu_4}) \quad (94)$$

i.e.  $\gamma_\chi$  is anticommuting. In the trace with four or less gamma’s the algebra is the naïve one. Then well known relations can be derived

$$(D - 4)(D - 2)Tr(\gamma_\chi \gamma_{\mu_1} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_4}) = 0, \quad (95)$$

which conflicts with smooth continuation near  $D \sim 4$ .

The same objection does not apply to eq. (84) because  $\gamma_\chi$  is not present until the final  $\xi$  integration is performed.

## 14 Ward Identity

It is very interesting to see what is the destiny of Ward identities in the present formalism. In fact the use of DR guarantees that standard algebraic manipulations become possible, since undefined mathematical objects do

not show up any more. On the other side the presence of  $\gamma_\chi$  modifies the algebra of  $\gamma$ 's.

We first consider the tree level at  $D = 4$

$$\begin{aligned} & (p - k)_\mu \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \\ &= (\not{p} - m) \gamma_5 + \gamma_5 (\not{k} - m) + 2m \gamma_5. \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

By this Ward identity it is possible to connect the vertex functions to the two-point functions. Divergences are related in the corresponding fashion.  $m$  is the explicit chiral breaking parameter. Similarly, in generic  $D \sim 4$  dimensions, we have to compare the traces

$$(p + k)_\mu \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\chi) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \not{p} \gamma_\chi) - \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \gamma_\chi \not{k}) + k_\mu \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\chi\}). \quad (97)$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \not{p}_2 \not{p}_3 \dots \not{p}_N$ . We use the result in Ref. [1] Section 4

- for even  $N$  (i.e.  $D$  even if integer)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}(\not{p}_2 \not{p}_3 \dots \not{p}_N \{\gamma_\chi, \not{k}\}) = \text{Tr}(\{\not{k}, \not{p}_2 \not{p}_3 \dots \not{p}_N\} \gamma_\chi) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=2}^N (-)^j (k, p_j) \text{Tr}(\widehat{\not{k}} \dots \widehat{\not{p}}_j \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

- for odd  $N$  (i.e.  $D$  odd if integer)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}(\not{p}_2 \not{p}_3 \dots \not{p}_N [\gamma_\chi, \not{k}]) = \text{Tr}([\not{k}, \not{p}_2 \not{p}_3 \dots \not{p}_N] \gamma_\chi) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=2}^N (-)^j (k p_j) \text{Tr}(\widehat{\not{k}} \dots \widehat{\not{p}}_j \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi). \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

The Ward identity for a closed line of fermions can be easily derived.

For even  $N$  eq. (98) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & (p + k)_\mu \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\chi) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \not{p} \gamma_\chi) - \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \gamma_\chi \not{k}) + \text{Tr}(\{\not{k}, \mathcal{A}\} \gamma_\chi) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \not{p} \gamma_\chi) - \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \gamma_\chi \not{k}) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=2}^N (-)^j (k, p_j) \text{Tr}(\dots \widehat{\not{p}}_j \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

For odd  $N$  eq. (98) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(p+k)_\mu \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi) &= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}\not{p}\gamma_\chi) + \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}\gamma_\chi\not{k}) - \text{Tr}([\not{k}, \mathcal{A}]\gamma_\chi) \\
&= \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}\not{p}\gamma_\chi) + \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}\gamma_\chi\not{k}) - 2\sum_{j=2}^N (-)^j(k, p_j)\text{Tr}(\dots\widehat{\not{p}}_j\dots\not{p}_N\gamma_\chi).
\end{aligned}
\tag{101}$$

The last term in both equations (100) and (101) are vanishing for integer  $D$ : for even or odd values respectively.

The Ward identities (100) and (101) are very useful in perturbative calculations, since in the RHS the first two terms together and the third carry a factor  $(D - D_0)$  ( $D_0$  is the target value) which annihilates all finite terms of the loop integration: only the divergent terms are important. This fact simplifies the loop expansion calculations.

The Ward identity (for instance eq. (97)) is very useful. In fact in the case of classical chiral invariance ( $D \rightarrow 4$ ) the LHS is zero in the limit. Consequently also the RHS is zero. The last term is zero because  $\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\chi\} = 0$  thus also the rest of the RHS must carry a  $(D-4)$  factor. In the evaluation of the loop expansion only the poles survive being every term multiplied by the factor  $(D - 4)$ . This strategy will be illustrated in Section B in the one-loop ABJ anomaly. It will be used in the evaluation of the two-loop corrections in a future work.

## 15 Conclusions: the Rules

In Part I of the present paper we have derived the algebra for the integral representation of the trace. We obtained the standard algebra of the matrix representation of the gamma's for generic integer  $D$  dimensions.  $\gamma_\chi$  obeys a consistent algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_\chi\gamma_\mu + (-)^D\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi &= 0 \\
\gamma_\chi^2 &= 1.
\end{aligned}
\tag{102}$$

The above algebra allows the use of pairing technique: all pairs of  $\gamma_\chi$  can be removed inside the trace.

Lorentz covariance and cyclicity are properties of the trace in the integral representation. The Clifford algebra of the gamma's is also implemented.

However this algebra cannot be continued to complex  $D$  since eq. (102) requires  $[-(-)^D]^2 = 1$ .

In Part II we have presented a new way to remove this obstacle to the continuation in  $D$  of the trace with  $\gamma_\chi$ . First we proved that in any generic  $D$  the pairing is allowed (in a weak form, since a  $D$ -dependent numerical factor remains see eq. (50)). Thus we could remove all possible  $\gamma_\chi$ . Then we used cyclicity in order to write the trace in the canonical form i.e. with the surviving  $\gamma_\chi$  shifted to the far right. It should be stressed that in general  $\gamma_\chi^2 \neq 1$ .

Once the trace is written in this form, we have considered the integrand of the Grassmannian variables  $\xi$  generating  $\gamma_\chi$  upon integration. The integrand is function of the momenta  $p_j, j = 1, \dots, N$  and of the Grassmannian real variables  $\xi_\mu$ .

The integrand can be easily expanded in a sum of monomials

$$[c_{j_1}(p_{j_1}, \xi) \cdots c_{j_K}(p_{j_K}, \xi)] \quad (103)$$

for any choice  $\{j_1, \dots, j_K\}$  of  $1, \dots, N$ . In this way we get rid of the completely antisymmetric tensor and only powers of the momenta  $(p_j, p_{j'})$  and  $(p_j, \xi)$  survive. Thus Feynman amplitudes can be evaluated in generic  $D$  dimensions.

The new strategy consists in performing the pole subtraction on the integrand.

After the amplitudes are properly defined for the required integer  $D$  dimensions (by poles subtraction), the relevant integration over the variables  $\xi$  restores the completely antisymmetric tensor. In eq. (103) only  $K = D$  survive since the integration is over  $d^D \xi$  with integer  $D$ .

## Acknowledgements

The warm and stimulating hospitality of CTP-MIT is gratefully acknowledged. Part of this work has been done at the Department of Physics of the University of Pisa and of the INFN, Sezione di Pisa, to which he is very grateful.

## A Example of Subtraction: ABJ Anomaly

Now we can try to use eq. (83). Our procedure of pole subtraction removes any ambiguity in Dimensional Renormalization. In particular, even in the case where the sum of all graphs at given loop order is finite thanks to the presence of a  $(D - 4)$  factor removing the pole, the divergent single graphs can be manipulated in a safe way under the protection of the Regularization.

We consider the ABJ anomaly. The relevant term to be evaluated is the divergent part of the Feynman amplitude in Ref. [1]. In particular we start from eq. (65) containing the trace factor

$$\int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} [q^2 + k^2 y + p^2 x - p^2 y - (ky - px + py)^2]^{-3} \\ \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\mu \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\rho \gamma_\beta \gamma_\sigma \gamma_\iota \gamma_\chi \right) b_\mu (q + r - k)_\alpha \epsilon_{1\rho} (q + r)_\beta \epsilon_{2\sigma} (q + r + p)_\iota \quad (104)$$

where  $k, p$  are incoming momenta,  $\epsilon_{1,2}$  the abelian field polarizations,  $b_\mu$  an external source and  $r = yk - xp + yp$  for the Feynman parameters  $x, y$ . Three terms are divergent

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\mu \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\rho \gamma_\beta \gamma_\sigma \gamma_\iota \gamma_\chi \right) b_\mu (q)_\alpha \epsilon_{1\rho} (q)_\beta \epsilon_{2\sigma} (r + p)_\iota, \\ & \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\mu \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\rho \gamma_\beta \gamma_\sigma \gamma_\iota \gamma_\chi \right) b_\mu (r - k)_\alpha \epsilon_{1\rho} (q)_\beta \epsilon_{2\sigma} (q)_\iota, \\ & \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\mu \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\rho \gamma_\beta \gamma_\sigma \gamma_\iota \gamma_\chi \right) b_\mu (q)_\alpha \epsilon_{1\rho} (r)_\beta \epsilon_{2\sigma} (q)_\iota. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Now we have to expand all three expressions of eq. (105) according to eq. (83). Of the many terms only those with two  $q$  in the  $\xi$  factor are zero by symmetry. We can avoid this lengthy procedure by using the symmetric integration in  $q$  before we use the expansion of eq. (83)

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\rho \gamma_\alpha &= (2 - D) \gamma_\rho \\ \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\rho \gamma_\sigma \gamma_\alpha &= (D - 4) \gamma_\rho \gamma_\sigma + 4 \delta_{\rho\sigma} \\ \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\rho \gamma_\beta \gamma_\sigma \gamma_\alpha &= (6 - D) \gamma_\rho \gamma_\beta \gamma_\sigma \\ & - 4(\delta_{\rho\beta} \gamma_\sigma - \delta_{\rho\sigma} \gamma_\beta + \delta_{\sigma\beta} \gamma_\rho). \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

Thus after symmetrization associated to the integration over  $q$ , the  $\xi$  fourth power term is unique. While lower powers than 4 yield zero under integration over  $\int d^4 \xi$ . The terms in eq. (105) yield

$$(4 - D - 2) \frac{q^2}{D} b_\mu \epsilon_{1\rho} \epsilon_{2\sigma} (r + p)_\iota \xi_\mu \xi_\rho \xi_\sigma \xi_\iota$$

$$+(4-D-2)\frac{q^2}{D}b_\mu(r-k)_\rho\epsilon_{1\sigma}\epsilon_{2\iota}\xi_\mu\xi_\rho\xi_\sigma\xi_\iota \quad (107)$$

$$+(4-D+2)\frac{q^2}{D}b_\mu\epsilon_{1\rho}(r)_\sigma\epsilon_{2\iota}\xi_\mu\xi_\rho\xi_\sigma\xi_\iota. \quad (108)$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (4-D-2)(r+p)_\iota + (4-D-2)(r-k)_\iota - (4-D+2)(r)_\iota \right) \\ & \frac{q^2}{D}b_\mu\epsilon_{1\rho}\epsilon_{2\sigma}\xi_\mu\xi_\rho\xi_\sigma\xi_\iota \\ & = \left( (4-D-6)r_\iota + (4-D-2)(p-k)_\iota \right) \frac{q^2}{D}b_\mu\epsilon_{1\rho}\epsilon_{2\sigma}\xi_\mu\xi_\rho\xi_\sigma\xi_\iota. \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

We insert the value of  $r = yk - xp + yp$  and integrate  $\int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (4-D-6)r_\iota + (4-D-2)(p-k)_\iota \right) \frac{q^2}{D}b_\mu\epsilon_{1\rho}\epsilon_{2\sigma}\xi_\mu\xi_\rho\xi_\sigma\xi_\iota \\ & = \left( \frac{1}{6}(D+2) + \frac{1}{2}(2-D) \right) (p-k)_\iota \frac{q^2}{D}b_\mu\epsilon_{1\rho}\epsilon_{2\sigma}\xi_\mu\xi_\rho\xi_\sigma\xi_\iota \\ & = \frac{1}{3}(4-D)(p-k)_\iota \frac{q^2}{D}b_\mu\epsilon_{1\rho}\epsilon_{2\sigma}\xi_\mu\xi_\rho\xi_\sigma\xi_\iota \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

which agrees with the derivation of the same expression in eq. (71) of Ref. [1], after integration over  $\int d^4\xi$ .

The remaining terms in eq. (104) are finite in the limit  $D = 4$  therefore we can use the standard algebra with  $\gamma_\chi = \gamma_5$ .

## B Example of Ward Identity: ABJ Anomaly

We use the Ward identity (97) for the evaluation of the ABJ anomaly. We start with the amplitude for the triangular graph

$$\begin{aligned} & - (p+k)_\alpha \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\text{Tr} \left( \not{q} \gamma_\rho (q \not{p}) \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\chi (q+k) \gamma_\sigma \right)}{q^2 (q-p)^2 (q+k)^2} \\ & - (p+k)_\alpha \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\text{Tr} \left( \not{q} \gamma_\sigma (q \not{k}) \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\chi (q+p) \gamma_\rho \right)}{q^2 (q+p)^2 (q-k)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

The amplitudes in eq. (111) transform into each other under the exchange  $\rho, p \leftrightarrow \sigma, k$ . Thus we consider only one, the first.

By using the Ward identity (97) with the momenta

$$-(p+k)_\alpha \rightarrow (q-p)_\alpha - (q+k)_\alpha \quad (112)$$

we get <sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} [(q-p)_\alpha - (q+k)_\alpha] \frac{\text{Tr} \left( \not{q} \gamma_\rho (q-p) \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\chi (q+k) \gamma_\sigma \right)}{q^2 (q-p)^2 (q+k)^2} \\
&= \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} (q-p)_\alpha \frac{\text{Tr} \left( \not{q} \gamma_\rho (q-p) \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\chi (q+k) \gamma_\sigma \right)}{q^2 (q-p)^2 (q+k)^2} \\
&+ \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} (q+k)_\alpha \frac{\text{Tr} \left( \not{q} \gamma_\rho (q-p) \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\chi (q+k) \gamma_\sigma \right)}{q^2 (q-p)^2 (q+k)^2} \\
&- \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} (q+k)_\alpha \frac{\text{Tr} \left( \not{q} \gamma_\rho (q-p) \{ \gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\chi \} (q+k) \gamma_\sigma \right)}{q^2 (q-p)^2 (q+k)^2} \tag{113}
\end{aligned}$$

By using elementary algebra we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\text{Tr} \left( \not{q} \gamma_\rho \gamma_\chi (q+k) \gamma_\sigma \right)}{q^2 (q+k)^2} + \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\text{Tr} \left( \not{q} \gamma_\rho (q-p) \gamma_\chi \gamma_\sigma \right)}{q^2 (q-p)^2} \\
&- \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} (q+k)_\alpha \frac{\text{Tr} \left( \not{q} \gamma_\rho (q-p) \{ \gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\chi \} (q+k) \gamma_\sigma \right)}{q^2 (q-p)^2 (q+k)^2} \tag{114}
\end{aligned}$$

The first two integrals give no contribution to the anomaly since they depend only on  $p$  or on  $k$  and not on both..

The third integral is the object of our evaluation. The anticommutator  $\{ \gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\chi \}$  brings a factor  $D - 4$  in the limit. The integral in  $q$  is superficially (logarithmically) divergent since the  $q^4$  term in the numerator is zero by symmetry. Thus we need only the pole part of the integral over  $q$ . Finite parts are cancelled by the  $D - 4$  factor. Ward identity is very powerful: it tells us what should be evaluated. A small trick makes the computation simpler. The use of Feynman parameters and the change of variable

$$q \rightarrow q + r \tag{115}$$

makes the integration on  $q$  easier

$$- \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} (q+k)_\alpha \frac{\text{Tr} \left( \not{q} \gamma_\rho (q-p) \{ \gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\chi \} (q+k) \gamma_\sigma \right)}{q^2 (q-p)^2 (q+k)^2}$$

---

<sup>3</sup>In fact we should use the procedure outlined in eq. (83) and drop the  $\gamma_\chi$ , since we consider the generic dimension  $D$ . We stick to the improper use of  $\gamma_\chi$ , in order to keep the notations closer to the common use. The correct notation for  $D \sim 4$  would be, for instance,  $\text{Tr} \left( (q+k) \gamma_\sigma \not{q} \gamma_\rho (q-p) \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\chi \right) \rightarrow \xi(q+k) \xi_\sigma \xi_q \xi_p \text{Tr} \left( (q-p) \gamma_\alpha \right) + \dots$  (permutations).

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} (q + \bar{k})_\alpha \\
&\frac{\text{Tr} \left( \cancel{(q+r)} \gamma_\rho \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \{ \gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\chi \} \cancel{(q+\bar{k})} \gamma_\sigma \right)}{(q^2 - \Delta)^3}
\end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{k} &\equiv r + k \\
\bar{p} &\equiv p - r.
\end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

We evaluate the trace

$$\begin{aligned}
&(q + \bar{k})_\alpha \text{Tr} \left( \cancel{(q+r)} \gamma_\rho \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \{ \gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\chi \} \cancel{(q+\bar{k})} \gamma_\sigma \right) \\
&= \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \left\{ \cancel{(q+\bar{k})}, \cancel{(q+\bar{k})} \gamma_\sigma \cancel{(q+r)} \gamma_\rho \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \right\} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

In the anticommutator we keep only the terms quadratic in  $q$  in order to have a non-zero pole in  $D - 4$ .

First we evaluate the terms linear in  $r$  of eq. (118)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \left\{ \cancel{(q+\bar{k})}, \cancel{(q+\bar{k})} \gamma_\sigma \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \right\} \right) \\
&= 2\text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi (q + \bar{k})^2 \gamma_\sigma \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \right) \\
&\quad - 2\text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \cancel{(q+\bar{k})} (q + \bar{k})_\sigma \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \right) \\
&\quad + 2\text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \cancel{(q+\bar{k})} \gamma_\sigma (q + \bar{k})_\rho \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \right) \\
&\quad - 2\text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \cancel{(q+\bar{k})} \gamma_\sigma \cancel{(q+\bar{k})}_\rho \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \right) \\
&\quad + 2\text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \cancel{(q+\bar{k})} \gamma_\sigma \cancel{(q+\bar{k})} (q - \bar{p}) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

The quadratic part in  $q$  of eq. (119) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \left\{ \cancel{(q+\bar{k})}, \cancel{(q+\bar{k})} \gamma_\sigma \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \right\} \right) \\
&= \frac{q^2}{D} 2\text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \gamma_\sigma \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \right) - 2q^2 \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \gamma_\sigma \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \right) \\
&\quad - 2\frac{q^2}{D} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \cancel{(q+\bar{k})}_\rho \gamma_\sigma \right) + 2\frac{q^2}{D} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \gamma_\sigma \cancel{(q-\bar{p})}_\rho \right) \\
&\quad + 2\frac{q^2}{D} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \cancel{(q+\bar{k})}_\rho \gamma_\sigma \right) - 2\frac{q^2}{D} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \cancel{(q+\bar{k})}_\rho \gamma_\sigma \right) \\
&\quad - 2\frac{q^2}{D} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \cancel{(q+\bar{k})}_\rho \gamma_\sigma \right) + 2\frac{q^2}{D} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \gamma_\rho \gamma_\sigma \cancel{(q-\bar{p})} \right) \\
&\quad + 2q^2 \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \cancel{(q+\bar{k})}_\rho \gamma_\sigma \right) + 2\frac{q^2}{D} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_\chi \cancel{(q+\bar{k})}_\rho \gamma_\sigma \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

Eq. (120) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho\bar{k}\right)\left[\frac{4}{D}+\frac{2}{D}+\frac{2}{D}+\frac{2}{D}-2-\frac{2}{D}\right] \\
& +Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho\not{p}\right)\left[-2+\frac{2}{D}+\frac{2}{D}+\frac{2}{D}+\frac{2}{D}\right] \\
& =Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho\bar{k}\right)\frac{2}{D}(4-D) \\
& +Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho\not{p}\right)\frac{2}{D}(4-D) \\
& =\frac{2}{D}(4-D)Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho(\bar{k}+\not{p})\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{D}(4-D)Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho(k+\not{p})\right) \tag{121}
\end{aligned}$$

After integration over Feynman parameters we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy = 1 \\
& 2\int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy r = 2\int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy(yk-xp) = \frac{1}{3}(k-p) \tag{122}
\end{aligned}$$

Then the mean value of the quantity in eq. (121) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{2}{D}(4-D)Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho(k+\not{p})\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\frac{2}{D}(4-D)Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma(k-\not{p})\gamma_\rho(k+\not{p})\right) \\
& =\frac{4}{3}\frac{4-D}{D}Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho\not{p}\right) \tag{123}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have to remember of a factor 2 coming from the second graph in eq. (111) and the integration over  $q$  i.e.  $\int d^D q (2\pi)^{-D} \sim 2i(4-D)^{-1}(4\pi)^{-2}$ . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4}{3}\frac{4-D}{D}Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho\not{p}\right)4i\frac{1}{4-D}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \\
& =\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{4}{3}Tr\left(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho\not{p}\right) \tag{124}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we evaluate the second term of eq. (118)

$$\begin{aligned}
& =2Tr\gamma_\chi\left((q+k)^2\gamma_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho(q-\not{p})\right. \\
& \quad -\cancel{(q+k)}(q+k)_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho(q-\not{p}) \\
& \quad +\cancel{(q+k)}\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\rho(q-\not{p})(q+k)q \\
& \quad \left.-\cancel{(q+k)}\gamma_\sigma\not{q}(q+k)_\rho(q-\not{p})\right. \\
& \quad \left.+\cancel{(q+k)}\gamma_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho(q+k)(q-p)\right) \tag{125}
\end{aligned}$$

We evaluate the terms in the RHS of eq. (125) one by one

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2Tr\gamma_\chi\left((q+k)^2\gamma_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho\not{(q-p)}\right) = -2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(2qk\gamma_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho\not{p}\right) \\
& = -2\frac{2q^2}{D}Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho\not{p}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(-\not{(q+k)}(q+k)_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho\not{(q-p)}\right) \\
& = 2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{(q+k)}(q+k)_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho\not{p}\right) = 2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\frac{q^2}{D}\not{k}\gamma_\sigma\not{p}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{127}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{(q+k)}\gamma_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho\not{(q-p)}(q+k)q\right) \\
& = q^22Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{(q+k)}\gamma_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho\not{(q-p)}\right) + 2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{(q+k)}\gamma_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho\not{(q-p)}qk\right) \\
& = -2q^2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{k}\gamma_\sigma\not{p}\right) - 2\frac{q^2}{D}Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{k}\gamma_\sigma\not{p}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(-\not{(q+k)}\gamma_\sigma\not{q}(q+k)_\rho\not{(q-p)}\right) \\
& = 2\frac{q^2}{D}Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{k}\gamma_\sigma\not{p}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{(q+k)}\gamma_\sigma\not{q}\gamma_\rho(q+k)(q-p)\right) \\
& = -2\frac{q^2}{D}Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{k}\gamma_\sigma\not{p}\gamma_\rho\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

The sum of the five terms yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(114) & = -\int\frac{d^Dq}{(2\pi)^D}q^2\left(\frac{4}{D}-1\right)\frac{2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{k}\gamma_\sigma\not{p}\right)}{q^2(q-p)^2(q+k)^2} \\
& = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{2}{4-D}\left(\frac{4}{D}-1\right)2Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{k}\gamma_\sigma\not{p}\right) \\
& = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{k}\gamma_\sigma\not{p}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

Finally we add the contribution (124) of the  $q$  part of eq. (118) and get the axial anomaly

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{k}\gamma_\sigma\not{p}\right) + \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{4}{3}Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\gamma_\sigma\not{k}\gamma_\rho\not{p}\right) \\
& = \frac{2}{3}\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}Tr\gamma_\chi\left(\not{k}\gamma_\sigma\not{p}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

which is in agreement with the standard result.

With this method the derivation of the anomaly is really very simple: by matching the pole's and the zero's one avoids a lot of unnecessary algebra. The method is supported by the fact that  $\{\gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\chi\}$  has a zero for  $D \rightarrow 4$  and finally by the existence of an integral representation of the gamma's algebra, i.e. eqs. (1) and (2).

## References

- [1] R. Ferrari, "Managing  $\gamma_5$  in Dimensional Regularization and ABJ Anomaly," arXiv:1403.4212 [hep-th].
- [2] F. A. Berezin, "The Method of Second Quantization," Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [3] S. Fubini and E. R. Caianiello, "On the Algorithm of Dirac spurs," *Nuovo Cim.* **9**, 1218 (1952).
- [4] A. M. Jaffe, A. Lesniewski and J. Weitsman, "Pfaffians On Hilbert Space," *J. Funct. Anal.* **83**, 348 (1989). HUTMP-87/B207.
- [5] S. L. Adler, "Axial vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics," *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 2426 (1969).
- [6] J. S. Bell and R. Jackiw, "A PCAC puzzle:  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  in the sigma model," *Nuovo Cim. A* **60** (1969) 47.
- [7] R. Ferrari, "Endowing the nonlinear sigma model with a flat connection structure: A way to renormalization," *JHEP* **0508**, 048 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0504023].
- [8] R. Ferrari and A. Quadri, "A weak power-counting theorem for the renormalization of the non-linear sigma model in four dimensions," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **45**, 2497 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0506220].
- [9] D. Bettinelli, R. Ferrari and A. Quadri, "Further comments on the renormalization of the nonlinear sigma model," *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **23**, 211 (2008) [arXiv:hep-th/0701197].
- [10] D. Bettinelli, R. Ferrari and A. Quadri, "Path-integral over nonlinearly realized groups and hierarchy solutions," *JHEP* **0703** (2007) 065 [arXiv:hep-th/0701212].

- [11] R. Ferrari, “Managing  $\gamma_5$  in Dimensional Regularization II: the Trace with more  $\gamma_5$ ’s,” *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **56**, no. 3, 691 (2017) doi:10.1007/s10773-016-3211-8 [arXiv:1503.07410 [hep-th]].
- [12] W. A. Bardeen, “Anomalous Ward identities in spinor field theories,” *Phys. Rev.* **184**, 1848 (1969). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.184.1848
- [13] R. A. Bertlmann, “Anomalies in Quantum Field Theories,” Clarendon Press, Oxford 1996.
- [14] R. Ferrari and M. Raciti, “On effective Chern-Simons Term induced by a Local CPT-Violating Coupling using  $\gamma_5$  in Dimensional Regularization,” arXiv:1510.04666 [hep-th].
- [15] R. Jackiw and V. A. Kostelecky, “Radiatively induced Lorentz and CPT violation in electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3572 (1999) [hep-ph/9901358].
- [16] R. Jackiw, “When radiative corrections are finite but undetermined,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **14**, 2011 (2000) [hep-th/9903044].
- [17] B. K. El-Menoufi and G. A. White, arXiv:1505.01754 [hep-th].