

Initial stage of cavitation in liquids and its observation by Rayleigh scattering

M. Pekker¹ and M. N. Shneider^{2,*}

¹ Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052

² Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 08544

A theory is developed for the initial stage of cavitation in the framework of Zel'dovich-Fisher theory of nucleation in the field of negative pressure, while taking into account the surface tension dependence on the nanopore radius. A saturation mechanism is proposed that limits the exponential dependence of the nucleation rate on the energy required to create nanopores. An estimate of the saturated density of nanopores at the nucleation stage is obtained. It is shown that Rayleigh scattering can detect nanopores arising at the initial stage of cavitation development.

1. Introduction

The question regarding cavitation bubbles occurrence in negative pressure regions has had a century-long history, but it still have not had a clear enough answer and explanation until now. Indeed, based on the theory of Zel'dovich-Fisher [1,2], the probability of the creation of the critical bubble due to the presence of thermal fluctuations has the form (see also [3-6]):

$$W_{pore} = 1 - \exp\left(-\int_0^t \int_V \Gamma dt_1 dV\right), \quad (1)$$

where V and t are the volume and the duration of the measurement, respectively. Γ [$\text{m}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}$] in (1) characterizes the rate of creation of the cavitation voids per unit volume per second:

$$\frac{dn_{cr}}{dt} = \Gamma = \frac{1}{V_{cr}} \frac{1}{\tau_{exp}} = \Gamma_0 \exp\left(-\frac{W_{cr}}{k_B T}\right), \quad [\text{m}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}] \quad (2)$$

where n_{cr} is the density of bubbles with critical radius R_{cr} , $V_{cr} = 4\pi R_{cr}^3 / 3$, τ_{exp} is the expectation time for appearance of the pore with the critical radius, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the temperature of the fluid, W_{cr} is the fluctuation of energy corresponds to the fluctuation of the radius R_{cr} , Γ_0 is the kinetic prefactor, which depends on the theoretical model used in [1-6]. For example, in [3, 6], it was accepted that

$$\Gamma_0 \approx \frac{1}{V_{cr}} \frac{1}{\Delta t_T} = \frac{3}{4\pi R_{cr}^3} \frac{k_b T}{2\pi\hbar}, \quad (3)$$

where $\frac{1}{\Delta t_T} = \frac{k_B T}{2\pi\hbar}$ is the effective thermal frequency; \hbar is the Planck constant. According to the

Zel'dovich-Fischer theory:

$$W_{cr} = \frac{16\pi\sigma_s^3}{3P_-^2}, \quad (4)$$

* m.n.shneider@gmail.com

where σ_s is the surface tension coefficient, and P_- is the negative pressure in liquid. The critical radius is R_{cr} , and it is connected with σ_s and P_- by the relationship:

$$R_{cr} = \frac{2\sigma_s}{|P_-|}. \quad (5)$$

Substituting the expressions (3) and (4) into (2), we obtain:

$$\frac{dn_b}{dt} = \Gamma = \frac{3|P_-|^3}{16\pi\sigma_s^3} \frac{k_B T}{2\pi\hbar} \exp\left(-\frac{16\pi\sigma_s^3}{3k_B T P_-^2}\right) \quad (6)$$

The critical pressure, at which cavitation occurs can be easily estimated by equating the exponential factor in (6) to one:

$$|P_{cr}| \sim \sqrt{16\pi\sigma_s^3 / 3k_B T}. \quad (7)$$

Taking into account that $\sigma_s = 0.072 \text{ N/m}^2$ for water at the temperature $T \approx 300 \text{ K}$ [7], the corresponding $P_{cr} \approx -1200 \text{ MPa}$. Accounting for pre-exponential factor in (6) has practically no effect for the estimation (7). The critical pore radius corresponding to the value $P_{cr} \approx -1200 \text{ MPa}$ is $R_{cr} \approx 1.2 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}$, that is of order of the averaged radius of water molecules.

The experimentally measured values of the negative pressure, at which the cavitation bubbles occur in water, are in a wide range from -2MPa up -140MPa [8-15]. At present, the conventional negative pressure value, at which the water starts cavitation, is $P_{cr} \approx -30 \text{ MPa}$.

2. Zel'dovich-Fisher theory modification

Naturally, the question arises: what is the reason for such a strong discrepancy between theory and experiment? Equation (6) was obtained under the assumption of constant surface tension σ_s , which corresponds to an infinitely thin boundary that separates the vacuum pore (or vapor bubble) from the liquid. However, as was first noted by Tolman [16], an approximation of the infinitely thin boundary is valid only when the thickness of the transition layer δ_b is much smaller than the pore radius R_b . Evidently, when the pore radius R_b is of the order of δ_b , the surface tension σ_s should decrease and tend to zero at $R_b \rightarrow 0$. Tolman proposed the following formula for the surface tension coefficient

$$\sigma_s = \frac{\sigma_{0s}}{1 + \delta_b / R_b}, \quad (8)$$

Since at $\delta_b = 0$, the surface energy of the pore is $W_b = 4\pi\sigma_{0s}R_b^2$, the correction to that is related to the size of the transition layer δ_b should be about $\delta W_b = -4\pi\sigma_{0s}\delta_b^2$. Based on this, we suggest expressing the approximation formula for the surface tension coefficient σ_s in ‘‘Lorentzian’’ form:

$$\sigma_s = \frac{\sigma_{0s}}{1 + \delta_b^2 / R_b^2}. \quad (9)$$

Fig.1 shows the dependence σ_s/σ_{0s} on δ_b/R_b for Tolman's (8) and the "Lorentzian" (9) approximations.




Fig. 1 Dependence of σ_s/σ_{0s} on δ_b/R_b in the "Lorentzian" approximation (2.10) (curve 1) and the Tolman approximation (2.9) (curve 2).

Further, all the calculations will be carried out with the surface tension, taken in the assumption (9). In accordance with [1] and [2], the energy required to create a bubble of the radius R_b in a liquid is:

$$W(R_b) = - \int_0^{R_b} 4\pi r^2 |P_-| dr + \int_0^{R_b} 8\pi r^2 \frac{\sigma_s}{r} dr . \quad (10)$$

Substituting the value R_b from (10), we obtain

$$W(R_b) = - \frac{4\pi}{3} |P_-| R_b^3 + 4\pi R_b^2 \sigma_{0s} - 4\pi \sigma_{0s} \delta_b^2 \ln \left(1 + \frac{R_b^2}{\delta_b^2} \right) . \quad (11)$$

From (11), it is easy to find the value of the radius R_{cr} at which the energy $W(R_b)$ reaches its maximum at a fixed value of P_- :

$$R_{cr} = \frac{\sigma_{0s}}{|P_-|} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{0s}}{|P_-|} \right)^2 - \delta_b^2} = \frac{\sigma_{0s}}{|P_-|} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\delta_b^2 |P_-|^2}{\sigma_{0s}^2}} \right) = \frac{R_{cr,0}}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\delta_b^2}{R_{cr,0}^2}} \right) , \quad R_{cr,0} = \frac{2\sigma_{0s}}{|P_-|} \quad (12)$$

From (13) it follows that R_{cr} depending on the δ_b , is within the range:

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{R_{cr}}{R_{cr,0}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\delta_b^2}{R_{cr,0}^2}} \right) < 1 \quad (13)$$

The corresponding critical energy at this radius is

$$W_{cr} = - \frac{4\pi}{3} |P_-| R_{cr}^3 + 4\pi R_{cr}^2 \sigma_{0s} \left(1 - \frac{\delta_b^2}{R_{cr}^2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{R_{cr}^2}{\delta_b^2} \right) \right) . \quad (14)$$

Equations (14) can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{W}_{cr} = \frac{W_{cr}}{2\pi \cdot |P_-| R_{cr,0}^3 / 3} = \left(3\xi^2 \left(1 - \frac{\delta_b^2}{R_{cr,0}^2 \xi^2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{R_{cr,0}^2 \xi^2}{\delta_b^2} \right) \right) - 2\xi^3 \right), \quad \xi = \frac{R_{cr}}{R_{cr,0}} = \frac{R_{cr} |P_-|}{2\sigma_0} \quad (15)$$

Dependences of the parameters $\xi = R_{cr} / R_{cr,0}$ and \tilde{W}_{cr} on $\delta_b / R_{cr,0}$ are shown in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2 Dependences of the parameters $R_{cr} / R_{cr,0}$ and $\frac{W_{cr}}{2\pi \cdot |P_-| \cdot R_{cr,0}^3 / 3}$ on $\delta_b / R_{cr,0}$.

It is easy to see that at $|P_-| \ll \sigma_{0s} / \delta_b$,

$$R_{cr} \approx \frac{2\sigma_{0s}}{|P_-|} \quad \text{and} \quad W_{cr} \approx \frac{2\pi R_{cr,0}^3 |P_-|}{3} = \frac{16\pi \sigma_{0s}^3}{3 P_-^2}, \quad (16)$$

which coincide with the values W_{cr} and R_{cr} as described by (4) and (5) respectively. Also, the formula for the number of the embryonic voids of critical radius produced per unit time per unit volume coincides with (6). The value $W_{cr} = 0$ at $\delta_b = 0.4785 R_{cr,0}$ corresponds to the barrier-free cavitation that is not dependent on the fluid temperature (Fig. 2).

Issues related to barrier-free cavitation were studied intensively for liquid helium. For example, it was shown in [17] that the critical negative pressure, at which cavitation develops in helium-3 and helium-4, is practically constant in temperature range 0.05-1K. A theoretical justification for the fact of the critical negative pressure independence on the temperature in liquid helium was given in [18].

Substituting (14) and (12) into (2) yields the equation for the growth rate of the number of pores per unit volume at the assumed surface tension coefficient (9):

$$\frac{dn_{cr}}{dt} = \Gamma = \frac{3}{4\pi R_{cr}^3} \frac{k_b T}{2\pi \hbar} \exp\left(-\frac{W_{cr}}{k_b T}\right), \quad [\text{m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}] \quad (17)$$

Figs. 3 and 4 show dependencies of R_{cr} and Γ on δ_b




Fig. 3. Dependencies of R_{cr} on δ_b at $P_- = -30\text{MPa}$ (a), $P_- = -60\text{MPa}$ (b), and $P_- = -90\text{MPa}$ (c).




Fig.4. Dependencies of Γ on δ_b at the same negative pressures as in Fig. 2.9.

From Fig.4 it follows that the amount of nanopores produced within one μm^3 can reach $10^{11} - 10^{13}$, that is an order of magnitude or more greater than the number of water molecules in this volume ($n_{water} \approx 3.3 \cdot 10^{10} \mu\text{m}^{-3}$).

It is clear that this cannot be and, therefore, there must be a mechanism limiting the generation of nanopores.

3. A possible mechanism limiting the generation of cavitation nanopores

Let us consider a possible mechanism that limits the generation of cavitation nanopores. We will assume that the volume, in which a super-critical negative pressure occurs, is of the order of l_-^3 . The formation of nanopores is associated with an increased volume of the cavitation region, which in turn leads to excessive positive pressure that reduces the value of the negative pressure in the area.

The number of nanopores that appeared in the negative pressure region l_-^3 over characteristic time of pressure equilibration $\tau = l_- / c_s$ (c_s is sound velocity) is equal to:

$$N \approx l_-^3 \int_0^\tau \Gamma dt . \quad (18)$$

Accordingly, the relative change in volume of liquid in the area where nanopores are emerging:

$$\frac{\delta V}{V} \approx \frac{V_{cr} N}{l_-^3} = V_{cr} n_b , \quad (19)$$

where $V_{cr} = \frac{4}{3}\pi R_{cr}^3$ is the volume of a nanopore of the critical radius R_{cr} (R_{cr} corresponds to the initial negative pressure $P_{-,0}$), and n_b is density of this nanopores.

The value of the excess pressure δP can be estimated from the simplest equation of state for a compressible fluid related to the sound velocity c_s :

$$\delta P = c_s^2 \delta \rho = c_s^2 \rho_0 \frac{\delta V}{V} = V_{cr} n_b c_s^2 \rho_0, \quad (20)$$

where ρ_0 is the unperturbed density of the fluid.

The absolute value of the total pressure in the bubble generation region is equal to

$$|P_-| = |P_{-,0}| - \delta P = |P_{-,0}| - V_{cr} n_b c_s^2 \rho_0. \quad (21)$$

Substituting $|P_-|$ into (15), we get

$$W_{cr} = W_{cr,0} + V_{cr}^2 c_s^2 \rho_0 n_b, \quad (22)$$

where $W_{cr,0}$

$$W_{cr,0} = -\frac{4\pi}{3} |P_-| R_{cr}^3 + 4\pi R_{cr}^2 \sigma_{0s} \left(1 - \frac{\delta_b^2}{R_{cr}^2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{R_{cr}^2}{\delta_b^2} \right) \right). \quad (23)$$

From (22), one can see that the increase in the number of pores per unit volume increases W_{cr} , and thus, reduces the rate of pore formation in (2).

Substituting (23) into (22) and, then into (17) yields:

$$\frac{dn_b}{dt} = \frac{3}{4\pi R_{cr}^3} \frac{k_B T}{2\pi\hbar} \exp\left(-\frac{W_{cr,0}}{k_B T}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{c_s^2 \rho_0 V_{cr}^2}{k_B T} n_b\right) = \Gamma(W_{cr,0}) \exp\left(-\frac{c_s^2 \rho_0 V_{cr}^2}{k_B T} n_b\right), \quad (24)$$

$$\text{where } \Gamma(W_{cr,0}) = \frac{3}{4\pi R_{cr}^3} \frac{k_B T}{2\pi\hbar} \exp\left(-\frac{W_{cr,0}}{k_B T}\right).$$

If we recall that the connection R_{cr} and $W_{cr,0}$ with δ_b and $P_{-,0}$ are given by (12) and (14),

The equation (24) has a simple solution at fixed values δ_b and P_- :

$$n_{b,satur} = \frac{\ln\left(\Gamma(W_{cr,0}) \frac{c_s^2 \rho_0 V_{cr}^2}{k_B T} t + 1\right)}{\frac{c_s^2 \rho_0 V_{cr}^2}{k_B T}}. \quad (25)$$

Figure 6 shows the dependence $n_b(t)$ with and without the saturation effect. For a small period of time when:

$$\Gamma(W_{cr,0}) \frac{c_s^2 \rho_0 V_{cr}^2}{k_B T} t < 1, \quad (26)$$

the nanopore density increases linearly with time. Then, taking into account the saturation, the dependence $n_b(t)$ becomes logarithmic.




Fig. 6. The dependence of the emerging nanopores density on time. Line 1 - without considering the effect of saturation (formula (17)), Line 2 – with saturation (formula (25)). $\delta_b = 2.255\text{nm}$ ($R_{cr} = 3.2\text{nm}$), $|P_{-,0}| = 30\text{MPa}$.

Figure 7 shows the dependence of $n_b(t)$ at various values of δ_b , when the saturation effect is taken into account. The changing of δ_b by 0.015nm (0.7%) causes the changing of the nanopores density by an order, while the size of the nanopores, remains almost the same, $R_{cr} \approx 3.2\text{nm}$. Such substantial threshold dependence of the density of nanopores n_b on δ_b allows to determine the parameters δ_b and R_{cr} for the known value of the critical negative pressure at which cavitation begins. An optical method for detection of the nanopores appearance at the initial stages of cavitation development will be considered in the next section of the paper.




Fig. 7. The dependence of the density of cavitation nanopores on time. Line 1 corresponds to $\delta_b = 2.24\text{nm}$ ($R_{cr} = 3.262\text{nm}$), 2 – $\delta_b = 2.245\text{nm}$ ($R_{cr} = 3.249\text{nm}$), 3 – $\delta_b = 2.250\text{nm}$ ($R_{cr} = 3.235\text{nm}$), 4 – $\delta_b = 2.255\text{nm}$ ($R_{cr} = 3.222\text{nm}$). $|P_{-,0}| = 30\text{MPa}$.

The estimate (25) holds if $\Gamma(W_{cr,0})\tau \gg n_{b,satur}$.

It should be noted that the saturation effect of the nanopores density occurs at any assumed dependence of the surface tension $\sigma_s(R_{cr})$.

3. Rayleigh scattering on the cavitation region emerging in liquids

One of the main problems of studying cavitation in different environments is the experimental determination of the critical value of the negative pressure at which it starts to form, and the frequency of microvoid formation in the liquid.

Conventional optical methods such as shadowgraph, schlieren, speckle photography, which are widely used in the hydrodynamic flows studies [19-23], do not allow for the detection of submicron nanopores in liquids. This, along with the substantial heterogeneity of the pressure field in the cavitation experiments, causes a wide range in the experimental values of the critical pressure, at which cavitation occurs.

It was shown in [24] that the Rayleigh scattering allows to observe the very beginning stages of cavitation development, when the emerging nanopores have not yet reached the size sufficient for detection by standard optical methods listed above.

In this section, we will show that the Rayleigh scattering off nanopores, emerging from the negative pressure regions of the liquid, can be used to detect cavities earlier in their development than through other optical methods.

The Rayleigh scattering by inhomogeneities in a medium occurs when their size is much smaller than the wavelength of light λ [25]. Such inhomogeneities may be any fluctuations of density in a medium, including micropores. This means that for nanopores (cavitation nano-voids), the Rayleigh scattering is possible when the pore size satisfies $R_b \ll \lambda/n$, where n is the refractive index of the medium, and λ is the wavelength of light in vacuum. In this case, we can assume that a nanopore is located in the uniform electric field $E = E_0 \cdot e^{i\omega t}$, where $\omega = 2\pi c/\lambda$, and c is the speed of light in vacuum. In the frequency range of visible light, $n \approx 1.33$ for water. In such a field, a spherical cavity of radius a behaves like an oscillating dipole with the dipole moment

$$\vec{p}_b = \alpha \vec{E}_0 \cdot e^{i\omega t} \quad (27)$$

due to the periodic polarization of liquid on its borders. Here

$$\alpha = 4\pi\epsilon_0 a^3 \frac{1-n^2}{1+2n^2} \quad (28)$$

is the effective polarizability of the cavity in dielectric media [26], in which $\epsilon \approx n^2$ is assumed for visible light in water.

To describe Rayleigh scattering in media, it is convenient to use the so-called scattering factor [26]:

$$Y_b(r, \theta) = \frac{I(r, \theta)}{I_I}, \quad (29)$$

where $I_I = \epsilon_0 n c E_0^2 / 2$ is the intensity of the incident radiation, in which E_0 is the electric field amplitude,

$I(r, \theta) = \frac{\omega^4 \alpha^2 \sin^2 \theta}{16\pi^2 r^2 \epsilon_0^2 c^4} I_I$ is the intensity of the scattered radiation such that it makes the angle θ with

respect to the induced dipole vector, and r is the distance from the nanopore to the observation point [27,28] (Fig. 7.). The corresponding scattering factors in the direction determined by angle θ and integrating over the solid angle are, correspondingly

$$Y_b(r, \theta) = \frac{I(r, \theta)}{I_I} = \frac{\omega^4 \alpha^2 \sin^2 \theta}{16\pi^2 r^2 \epsilon_0^2} = \frac{\pi^2 \alpha^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\lambda^4 \epsilon_0^2 r^2} \quad (30)$$

$$Y_{\Omega,b}(r) = \int Y_b(r, \theta) d\Omega = \frac{8\pi^3 \alpha^2}{3r^2 \epsilon_0^2 \lambda^4}. \quad (31)$$




Fig. 7. The Scheme of Rayleigh scattering. \vec{P}_d is the induced dipole moment.

If the cavitation nanopores are distributed randomly, and the average distance between them is greater than the wavelength $l_b > \lambda/n$, the scattered radiation is uncorrelated, and the scattering factor is proportional to the number of pores N_b in the irradiated scattering volume:

$$Y_{N,b}(r, \theta) = N_b \cdot Y_b(r, \theta) \quad (32)$$

$$Y_{N,\Omega,b}(r) = N_b \cdot Y_{\Omega,b}(r) \quad (33)$$

The scattering off of cavitation micropores will be noticeable if it reaches or exceeds the level of Rayleigh scattering off of the background liquid. For the scattering by the background liquid molecules, when the characteristic size of the scattering region $L_s \gg \lambda/n$, the complete mutual interference quenching of radiation scattered by individual molecules holds, and Rayleigh scattering is determined by thermal fluctuations [29,30]. Note that in our recent paper [24], we erroneously compared the scattering of a plane-polarized electromagnetic wave off nanopores to the scattering of natural polarized light off the background fluctuations of the water. Below, we will correct this error.

The Rayleigh scattering factor for a small volume of liquid V , irradiated with a monochromatic plane electromagnetic wave, can be written in terms of θ , the angle between the scattered and the incident radiations (Fig. 7), and also in terms of scattering over the full solid angle:

$$Y_{fluid}(r, \theta) = \frac{\pi^2 V}{4\lambda^4 r^2} \left(\rho \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \right)_T^2 \beta_T k_B T \sin^2 \theta, \quad (34)$$

$$Y_{\Omega,fluid}(r) = \int Y_{fluid}(\theta, \phi, r) d\Omega = \frac{2\pi^3 V}{3\lambda^4 r^2} \left(\rho \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \right)_T^2 \beta_T k_B T. \quad (35)$$

Here, $\beta_T = -\left(\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial V}{\partial P} \right)_T = 4.8 \cdot 10^{-10} \left[\frac{\text{m}^2}{\text{N}} \right]$ is the coefficient of volume expansion of water, k_B is the

Boltzmann constant, and $\left(\rho \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \right)_T \approx 1$.

The ratio of the Rayleigh scattering intensities off of cavitation micropores in volume V and off of water of the same volume is independent of scattering angles and follows from (32)-(35):

$$\xi = \frac{Y_{N,b}(r, \theta)}{Y_{fluid}(r, \theta)} = \frac{Y_{N,\Omega,b}}{Y_{\Omega,fluid}} = \frac{64\pi^2}{\left(\rho \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \rho} \right)_T^2 \beta_T k_B T} \left(\frac{1-n^2}{1+2n^2} \right)^2 \cdot n_b a^6 \approx 9 \cdot 10^{-6} n_b a^6 \quad (36)$$

Here, $n_b = N_b / V$ is the density of the nanopores in μm^{-3} , a is the pore radius in nanometers, and the numerical coefficients correspond to the water temperature of 300 K. Since $l_b > \lambda / n$ holds for the Rayleigh scattering off of cavitation bubbles, considering that $n_b \sim (\lambda / n)^{-3}$, we get $\xi \approx 8 \cdot 10^{-5} a^6$ for green light ($\lambda = 532$ nm). That is, when the size of the nanopores is $a > 4.8$ nm in volume illuminated by a laser, the Rayleigh scattering off of the nanopores exceeds the scattering off of the thermal fluctuations of water in the same volume.

Let us obtain the estimations for the Rayleigh scattering off nanopores in the case of the assumed surface tension dependence on the pore radius (9).

Without loss of generality, assume that the absolute value of the negative pressure increases linearly with time and then remains constant.

$$|P_-| = \begin{cases} P_0 \frac{t}{t_0} & 0 < t \leq t_0 \\ P_0 & t > t_0 \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

Figure 8 shows examples of the time dependencies of the rate of generation of cavitation voids, the number of pores, and parameter ξ for the following assumed parameters of the problem: $P_0 = 30$ MPa, $\delta_b = 2.243$ nm (corresponding $R_{cr} = 3.25$ nm), $t_0 = 3$ ns, and the surface tension coefficient $\sigma_{0s} = 0.072$ N/m.

Note that for the time of the order of tens of nanoseconds, pores can grow into much larger sizes (the rate of the expansion of nanopores is about 100-300 m/s [31,32]), but we disregard this fact for simplicity. If, in the process of growth, the size of the pores reaches the order of the laser wavelength, then the scattering ceases to be isotropic Rayleigh and becomes anisotropic Mie scattering (e.g. [26, 27]), which we do not consider.




Fig. 8. Time dependencies of the generation rate of cavitation voids, the number of pores, and the parameter ξ at $P_0 = 30$ MPa, $\delta_b = 2.243$ nm (corresponding $R_{cr} = 3.25$ nm), $t_0 = 3$ ns.

Measuring of the critical negative pressure at which cavitation occurs and the rate of generation of nanopores allows to define the parameters R_{cr} and δ_b that determine the theory described above.

Conclusions

1. A theory of cavitation inception within the Zel'dovich-Fisher nucleation theory with taking into account the saturation of nanovoids generation is developed.

2. It is shown that the Rayleigh scattering allows the detection of cavitation in the early stages of its development.

References

- [1] Y. B. Zel'dovich, "Theory of formation of a new phase. Cavitation", *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **12**, 525, (1942)
- [2] J.C. Fisher, "The Fracture of Liquids", *J. Appl. Phys.* **19**, 1062 (1948)
- [3] F. Caupin, E. Herbert, "Cavitation in water: a review", *C. R. Physique*, **7**, 1000 (2006)
- [4] Yu. Kagan, "The kinetics of boiling of pure liquid", *J. Phys. Chem.*, **34**, 42 (1960)
- [5] M. Blander, J.L. Katz, "Bubble Nucleation in Liquids", *AIChE Journal*, **21**, 833 (1975)
- [6] M. N. Shneider and M. Pekker, "Cavitation in dielectric fluid in inhomogeneous pulsed electric field", *J. Appl. Phys.* **114**, 214906 (2013)
- [7] N.B. Vargaftic, B.N. Volkov, L.D. Voljak, "International tablets of the surface tension of water", *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **12**, 817 (1983)
- [8] S. J. Henderson and R. J. Speedy, "A Berthelot-Bourdon tube method for studying water under tension", *J. Phys. E* **13**, 778 (1980)
- [9] K. Hiro, Y. Ohde, Y. Tanzawa, "Stagnations of increasing trends in negative pressure with repeated cavitation in water/metal Berthelot tubes as a result of mechanical sealing", *J. Phys. D* **36**, 592 (2003)
- [10] L. J. Briggs, "Limiting negative pressure of water", *J. Appl. Phys.* **21**, 721 (1950)
- [11] C. Wurster, M. Köhler, R. Pecha, W. Eisenmenger, D. Suhr, U. Irmer, F. Brümmer, and D. Hülser, in *Proceedings of the 1st World Congress on Ultrasonics, Berlin, 1995*, edited by J. Herberth (Universität Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg, 1995), Part 1, p. 635.
- [12] M. Greenspan and C. E. Tschiegg, "Radiation-induced acoustic cavitation; apparatus and some results", *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., Sect. C* **71**, 299 (1967)
- [13] Q. Zheng, D. J. Durben, G. H. Wolf, and C. A. Angell, "Liquids at large negative pressures: water at the homogeneous nucleation limit", *Science* **254**, 829 (1991)
- [14] E. Herbert, S. Balibar, F. Caupin, "Cavitation pressure in water", *Phys. Rev. E* **74**, 041603 (2006)
- [15] R. D. Finch, "Influence of radiation on the cavitation threshold of degassed water", *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **36**, 2287 (1964)
- [16] R.S Tolman, "The superficial density of matter at liquid-vapor boundary", *J Chem. Phys.* **17**, 333 (1949)
- [17] E. Caupin, S. Balibar, "Cavitation pressure in liquid helium", *Phys. Rev. B*, 064507 (2001)
- [18] I. M. Lifshitz, Yu. Kagan, "Quantum kinetics of phase transitions at temperatures close to absolute zero", *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **62**, 385 (1972)
- [19] W. Lauterborn, A. Vogel, "Modern optical techniques in fluid mechanics", *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **16**, 223 (1984)
- [20] W. Merzkirch, *Flow Visualisation*, second edition, (Academic Press Inc. 1987)
- [21] *Handbook of Flow Visualization*, Ed. W.J. Yang, (Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 1989)
- [22] P. K. Panigrahi and K. Muralidhar, *Schlieren and Shadowgraph Methods in Heat and Mass Transfer*, (Springer Briefs in Thermal Engineering and Applied Science, 2012)
- [23] C.S. Settles, *Schlieren and Shadowgraph Techniques: Visualizing Phenomena in Transparent Media*, (2nd edition. Berlin: Springer. 2006)
- [24] M. N. Shneider, M. Pekker, "Rayleigh scattering on the cavitation region emerging in liquids", *Opt. Lett.*, **41**, 1090 (2016)
- [25] C. F. Bohren and D. R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles* (Wiley, New York, 1983)
- [26] I. E. Tamm, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Electricity*, (Moscow, Russia, Fizmatlit, 2003)
- [27] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics*. 6th edn (Oxford: Pergamon, 1980)
- [28] R.B Miles, W.R Lempert, J.N. Forkey, "Laser Rayleigh scattering", *Meas. Sci. Technol.* **12**, R33–R51 (2001)

- [29] I.L. Fabelinskii, *Molecular Scattering of Light* (Plenum Press, New York, 1968)
- [30] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, Volume 8 of A Course of Theoretical Physics, (Pergamon Press 1960)
- [31] M.N. Shneider, M.Pekker, “Pre-breakdown processes in a dielectric fluid in inhomogeneous pulsed electric fields”, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 117, 224902 (2015).
- [32] M. Pekker, and M.N. Shneider, Pre-breakdown cavitation nanopores in the dielectric fluid in the inhomogeneous, pulsed electric fields, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 48 424009 (2015)