

# Control and Detection of Discrete Spectral Amplitudes in Nonlinear Fourier Spectrum

Vahid Aref  
Nokia Bell Labs, Stuttgart, Germany

## Abstract

Nonlinear Fourier division Multiplexing (NFDm) can be realized from modulating the discrete nonlinear spectrum of an  $N$ -solitary waveform. To generate an  $N$ -solitary waveform from desired discrete spectrum (eigenvalue and discrete spectral amplitudes), we use the Darboux Transform. We explain how the norming factors must be set in order to have the desired discrete spectrum. To derive these norming factors, we study the evolution of nonlinear spectrum by adding a new eigenvalue and its spectral amplitude. We further simplify the Darboux transform algorithm.

We propose a novel algorithm (to the best of our knowledge) to numerically compute the nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT) of a given pulse. The NFT algorithm, called forward-backward method, is based on splitting the signal into two parts and computing the nonlinear spectrum of each part from boundary ( $\pm\infty$ ) inward. The nonlinear spectrum (discrete and continuous) derived from efficiently combining both parts has a promising numerical precision. This method can use any of one-step discretization NFT methods, e.g. Crank-Nicolson, as an NFT kernel for the forward or backward part. Using trapezoid rule of integral, we use an NFT kernel (we called here Trapezoid discretization NFT) in forward-backward method which results discrete spectral amplitudes with a very good numerical precision.

These algorithms, forward-backward method and Darboux transform, are used in [1], [2] for design and detection of phase-modulated 2-soliton pulses, and more recently, in [3] for design and detection of more complex pulses with 7 eigenvalues and modulation of spectral phase. For those soliton pulses, the discrete spectral amplitudes (in particular, phase) of both eigenvalues are quite precisely estimated using the forward-backward method.

## Index Terms

Nonlinear Fourier division Multiplexing (NFDm), Soliton, discrete spectrum, Nonlinear Fourier Transform, Darboux Transform, forward-backward method

## I. PRELIMINARIES: NONLINEAR FOURIER TRANSFORM

Consider the Nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}q(t, z) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}q(t, z) = 2|q(t, z)|^2q(t, z). \quad (1)$$

Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT) (or inverse scattering method) is an efficient way to solve this nonlinear differential equation or track the evolution of its solutions. NFT is a bijective mapping of a solution from time domain into different domain, called nonlinear spectrum. The spectral components transform in  $z$  linearly. The inverse nonlinear Fourier transform (INFT) maps the spectral components to the corresponding solution in time domain. We use Zakharov-Shabat system [4], [5], [6](also known as AKNS system after the authors of [7]) to characterize nonlinear spectrum. The NLS equation (1) is the compatibility condition of the following two linear equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v(t, z; q, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -j\lambda & q(t, z) \\ -q^*(t, z) & j\lambda \end{pmatrix} v(t, z; q, \lambda), \quad (2)$$

and,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}v(t, z; q, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 2j\lambda^2 - j|q(t, z)|^2 & -2\lambda q(t, z) - jq_t(t, z) \\ 2\lambda q^*(t, z) - jq_t^*(t, z) & -2j\lambda^2 + j|q|^2 \end{pmatrix} v(t, z; q, \lambda), \quad (3)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the spectral parameter,  $v(t, z; q, \lambda)$  is a  $2 \times 1$  vector,  $q_t$  denotes  $\partial q / \partial t$  and  $q^*$  denotes complex conjugate of  $q$ . By differentiating (2) and (3) with respect to  $z$  and  $t$ , the right sides become equal if  $q(t, z)$  satisfies the NLS equation (1). In particular, this implies that the nonlinear Schrödinger equation possess a “hidden linearity” in the

form of (2) and (3). The temporal linear operator (2) and the spatial linear operator (3) are called the Lax pair of (1) [8].

We assume that  $|q(t, z)|$  vanishes sufficiently fast as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ , or  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(t, z; q, \lambda) \Big|_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -j\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & j\lambda \end{pmatrix} v(t, z; q, \lambda).$$

It implies that  $v(t, z; q, \lambda) \Big|_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow (c_1 e^{-j\lambda t}, c_2 e^{j\lambda t})^T$  for some constants  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Similarly,  $v(t, z; q, \lambda) \Big|_{z \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow (z_1 e^{+j\lambda^2 z}, z_2 e^{-j\lambda^2 z})^T$ . Let us focus on the temporal Equation (2) and review some results mainly taken from [6]. For presentation simplicity, we omit dependency on  $z$ . We consider  $v(t; \lambda)$  as the elements of the vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  equipped with the Wronskian product,

$$\mathcal{W}(v, u) = \det \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & u_1 \\ v_2 & u_2 \end{pmatrix} = v_1 u_2 - u_1 v_2.$$

Define the adjoint of any vector  $v(t) \in \mathcal{V}$  as

$$\bar{v}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} v_2^* \\ -v_1^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following properties are shown in [6, Lemma 7]:

- i)  $u(t; \mu) = \bar{v}(t; \lambda = \mu^*)$  is a solution of (2) for  $\lambda = \mu$  if  $v(t; \mu^*)$  is its solution for  $\lambda = \mu^*$ .
- ii) Let  $v(t; \lambda)$  be a solution of (2).  $\mathcal{W}(\bar{v}, v) = |v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2 = c$  for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  independent of  $t$ . And,
- iii) if  $\mathcal{W}(\bar{v}, v) \neq 0$ , then  $\bar{v}(t; \lambda^*)$  and  $v(t; \lambda)$  are linearly independent and hence, they form a basis for the solution subspace of  $\lambda$ .

We need some initial conditions to determine a specific temporal solution. Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , the upper complex plane. Let define  $\phi^P(t; \lambda)$ ,  $\bar{\phi}^P(t; \lambda^*)$ ,  $\phi^N(t; \lambda)$  and  $\bar{\phi}^N(t; \lambda^*)$  with the following boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^P(t; \lambda) &\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{j\lambda t}, & \phi^N(t; \lambda) &\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow -\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-j\lambda t} \\ \bar{\phi}^P(t; \lambda^*) &\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-j\lambda t}, & \bar{\phi}^N(t; \lambda^*) &\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow -\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} e^{j\lambda t} \end{aligned}$$

We can see that  $\mathcal{W}(\phi^P(t; \lambda), \bar{\phi}^P(t; \lambda^*)) = -1$  and  $\mathcal{W}(\phi^N(t; \lambda), \bar{\phi}^N(t; \lambda^*)) = -1$ . Therefore both pairs of  $(\phi^P, \bar{\phi}^P)$  and  $(\phi^N, \bar{\phi}^N)$  can be used as a basis for the solutions of (2) for  $\lambda$  and are called *canonical solutions*. Thus,

$$(\phi^N(t; \lambda), \bar{\phi}^N(t; \lambda^*)) = (\bar{\phi}^P(t; \lambda^*), \phi^P(t; \lambda)) \begin{pmatrix} a(\lambda) & b^*(\lambda^*) \\ b(\lambda) & -a^*(\lambda^*) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $a(\lambda) = \mathcal{W}(\phi^N, \bar{\phi}^P)$  and  $b(\lambda) = \mathcal{W}(\bar{\phi}^P, \phi^N)$ . Note that  $a(\lambda)$  and  $b(\lambda)$  are independent of  $t$ . The matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a(\lambda) & b^*(\lambda^*) \\ b(\lambda) & -a^*(\lambda^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

is called the scattering matrix and it captures the required information to identify  $q(t)$ . More precisely, at  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ , where  $q(t)$  is absent,  $\phi^N(t; \lambda) \rightarrow e^{-j\lambda t}(1, 0)^T$ . For any finite  $t_0$ ,  $\phi^N(t_0; \lambda)$  captures the information of  $q(t)$ ,  $t \in (-\infty, t_0)$  by integrating over  $q(t)$  according to the temporal evolution (2). As we will see, the signal  $q(t)$  can be uniquely described by knowing  $a(\lambda)$  and  $b(\lambda)$  for some particular  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  [5].

If  $q(t)$  decays faster than any polynomial, it is shown in [5] that  $\phi^N(t; \lambda)e^{j\lambda t}$  and  $\phi^P(t; \lambda)e^{-j\lambda t}$  are analytic in upper-half complex plane and consequently,  $a(\lambda) = \mathcal{W}(\phi^N, \bar{\phi}^P)$  is analytic in the same domain. It implies that the zeros of  $a(\lambda)$  are isolated. Note that  $\bar{\phi}^P e^{+j\lambda t}$  is only analytic in lower-half complex plane and therefore,  $b(\lambda) = \mathcal{W}(\phi^N, \bar{\phi}^P)$  is not analytic in general.

Moreover, the projection (4) is well-defined for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  as the canonical solutions are bounded. We already explained that we can make solutions for  $\lambda^*$  from the solutions for  $\lambda$ . Therefore, we can focus on upper or lower half of complex plane. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ ,  $\phi^N$  and  $\bar{\phi}^P$  are unbounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . However, the projection (4) is still well-defined when  $a(\lambda) = 0$ . These  $\lambda$  (and  $\lambda^*$ ) are the eigenvalues of the corresponding spectral operator:

$$j \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} & -q(t, z) \\ -q^*(t, z) & -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix} v = \lambda v. \quad (5)$$

This can be immediately derived from reordering the temporal equation (2). The Zakharov-Shabat system has two types of spectrum: the discrete spectrum and the continuous spectrum. The latter is defined as:

$$Q_c(\lambda) = \frac{b(\lambda)}{a(\lambda)},$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . The continuous spectrum corresponds to the *dispersive* part of signal  $q(t)$ . The discrete spectrum is defined over zeros of  $a(\lambda)$ :

$$Q_d(\lambda_i) = \frac{b(\lambda_i)}{a'(\lambda_i)},$$

where  $a'(\lambda_i) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}a(\lambda)|_{\lambda=\lambda_i}$  for all  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus \mathbb{R}$  the finite set satisfying  $a(\lambda) = 0$ . The discrete spectrum corresponds to the solitonic part of signal  $q(t)$ . Here, the spectral coefficients  $a(\lambda)$  and  $b(\lambda)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a(\lambda) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{+j\lambda t} \phi_1^N, \\ b(\lambda) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-j\lambda t} \phi_2^N \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The signal  $q(t)$  can be characterized from  $Q_c(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and the set of  $(\lambda_i, Q_d(\lambda_i))$  using an inverse nonlinear Fourier transform such as Riemann-Hilbert method [5], [6], [8]. In the next section, we introduce another method based on Darboux Transform. This method is very useful when  $q(t)$  has only discrete spectrum.

## II. INVERSE TRANSFORM FROM DARBOUX TRANSFORM

The Darboux transform (DT) was first introduced in the context of Sturm-Liouville differential equations. Later, it was extended in the nonlinear integrable systems, provides the possibility to construct from one solution of an integrable equation another solution [9]. It has been shown in [6] how we can recursively construct an  $N$ -solitary waveform using the Darboux transform. The computational complexity of this method is  $O(N^2)$  which is much smaller than  $O(N^3)$  complexity of Riemann-Hilbert method. The complexity can be reduced to almost linear complexity using the techniques in [10]. Consider an  $N$ -solitary waveform with discrete spectrum  $(\lambda_i, Q_d(\lambda_i))$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . The algorithm constructs the signal from initial parameters  $(\lambda_i)$  and  $(A_i e^{-j\lambda_i t}, B_i e^{+j\lambda_i t})^T$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . It starts from  $q^{(0)} = 0$  and recursively adds a new eigenvalue to the current waveform. Although the final waveform has the  $N$  desired eigenvalues, it was not clear in [6] how  $(A_i, B_i)$  must be chosen to have the desired  $Q_d(\lambda_i)$ . In this section, we derive a closed form solution for  $(A_i, B_i)$  which is given in the following Algorithm 1.

This algorithm can be simplified further. Algorithm 2 construct the waveform from updating the ratios  $\rho_i^{(k)} = -v_{i,2}^{(k)}(t)/v_{i,1}^{(k)}(t)$ . Then both the computational and space complexity become almost half.

Now, we introduce the underlying Darboux Transform. The following theorem shows how the spectrum changes by applying the Darboux transform. The first part explains how the signal must be modified to include a new eigenvalue and how the canonical eigenvectors are consequently modified. This part is the same as Theorem 11 in [6] and the proof is repeated for completeness. As a result, the second part shows how the spectrum is modified. It leads to the initial values of  $(A_i, B_i)$ . The proof is rather similar to [11, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 1.** Consider an absolutely integrable  $q(t, z)$  with the scattering functions  $a(\lambda)$  and  $b(\lambda)$  at  $z = 0$ . Assume that the nontrivial  $(\psi_1(t, z), \psi_2(t, z))^T$  fulfills (2) and (3) for some  $\lambda_0$  such that  $(\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*) > 0$  and  $a(\lambda_0) \neq 0$  (it is not an eigenvalue), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_1(t, z), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_2(t, z) \right)^T &= P(q(t, z), \lambda_0) (\psi_1(t, z), \psi_2(t, z))^T \\ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_1(t, z), \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_2(t, z) \right)^T &= M(q(t, z), \lambda_0) (\psi_1(t, z), \psi_2(t, z))^T, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $P(q(t, z), \lambda_0)$  and  $M(q(t, z), \lambda_0)$  are given in (2) and (3), respectively. Let  $\Sigma = \Psi \Lambda_0 \Psi^{-1}$ , where

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & \psi_2^* \\ \psi_2 & -\psi_1^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_0^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

---

**Algorithm 1:** Inverse Nonlinear Fourier Transform from Darboux Transform
 

---

**Input :**  $N$  Discrete spectral values  $(\lambda_i, Q_d(\lambda_i))$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

**Output:** Construct  $N$ -solitary waveform  $q(t)$  from given discrete spectrum.

**begin**

 /\* initialization \*/
**for**  $i \leftarrow 1$  **to**  $N$  **do**
 $A_i \leftarrow 1;$ 
 $B_i \leftarrow -\frac{Q_d(\lambda_i)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*} \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^N \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_k}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k^*};$ 
 $v_i^{(0)}(t) \leftarrow (A_i e^{-j\lambda_i t}, B_i e^{j\lambda_i t})^T;$ 
**end**
 $q^{(0)} \leftarrow 0;$ 

 /\* recursively add  $(\lambda_i, Q_d(\lambda_i))$  to  $q^{(i-1)}(t)$  \*/
**for**  $i \leftarrow 1$  **to**  $N$  **do**
 $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \leftarrow v_i^{(i-1)}(t);$ 
 $q^{(k)}(t) \leftarrow q^{(i-1)}(t) - 2j(\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*) \frac{\psi_2^*(t)\psi_1(t)}{|\psi_1(t)|^2 + |\psi_2(t)|^2};$  /\* signal update \*/
**for**  $k \leftarrow i+1$  **to**  $N$  **do**
 $v_{k,1}^{(i)}(t) \leftarrow \left( \lambda_k - \lambda_i^* - \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*)|\psi_1(t)|^2}{|\psi_1(t)|^2 + |\psi_2(t)|^2} \right) v_{k,1}^{(i-1)}(t) - \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*)\psi_2^*(t)\psi_1(t)}{|\psi_1(t)|^2 + |\psi_2(t)|^2} v_{k,2}^{(i-1)}(t);$ 
 $v_{k,2}^{(i)}(t) \leftarrow -\frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*)\psi_2(t)\psi_1^*(t)}{|\psi_1(t)|^2 + |\psi_2(t)|^2} v_{k,1}^{(i-1)}(t) + \left( \lambda_k - \lambda_i + \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*)|\psi_1(t)|^2}{|\psi_1(t)|^2 + |\psi_2(t)|^2} \right) v_{k,2}^{(i-1)}(t);$ 
 $v_k^{(i)}(t) \leftarrow (v_{k,1}^{(i)}(t), v_{k,2}^{(i)}(t));$ 
**end**
**end**
**end**


---



---

**Algorithm 2:** Inverse Nonlinear Fourier Transform from Darboux Transform
 

---

**Input :**  $N$  Discrete spectral values  $(\lambda_i, Q_d(\lambda_i))$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

**Output:** Construct  $N$ -solitary waveform  $q(t)$  from given discrete spectrum.

**begin**

 /\* initialization \*/
**for**  $i \leftarrow 1$  **to**  $N$  **do**
 $\rho_i^{(0)}(t) \leftarrow \left( \frac{Q_d(\lambda_i)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*} \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^N \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_k}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k^*} \right) e^{2j\lambda_i t};$ 
**end**
 $q^{(0)} \leftarrow 0;$ 

 /\* recursively add  $(\lambda_k, Q_d(\lambda_k))$  to  $q^{(k-1)}(t)$  \*/
**for**  $i \leftarrow 1$  **to**  $N$  **do**
 $\rho(t) \leftarrow \rho_i^{(i-1)}(t);$ 
 $q^{(i)}(t) \leftarrow q^{(i-1)}(t) + 2j(\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*) \frac{\rho^*(t)}{1 + |\rho(t)|^2};$  /\* signal update \*/
**for**  $k \leftarrow i+1$  **to**  $N$  **do**
 $\rho_k^{(i)}(t) \leftarrow \frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_i)\rho_k^{(i-1)}(t) + \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*}{1 + |\rho(t)|^2} (\rho_k^{(i-1)}(t) - \rho(t))}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i^* - \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*}{1 + |\rho(t)|^2} (1 + \rho^*(t)\rho_k^{(i-1)}(t))};$ 
**end**
**end**
**end**


---

Define  $\tilde{q}(t, z) = q(t, z) - 2j(\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*) \frac{\psi_2^*(t, z)\psi_1(t, z)}{|\psi_1(t, z)|^2 + |\psi_2(t, z)|^2}$ . Thus,

- (a) If  $v(t, z; q, \mu)$  satisfies (2) and (3), then  $u(t, z; \tilde{q}, \mu) = (\mu I - \Sigma)v(t, z; q, \mu)$  satisfies (2) and (3) for  $\tilde{q}(t, z)$  and spectral parameter  $\mu$ .  
(b) Assume that there are non-zero constants

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{j\lambda_0 t} \psi_1(t) = \psi_1^+, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-j\lambda_0 t} \psi_2(t) = \psi_2^- \quad (8)$$

(They are not zero as  $\lambda_0$  is not an eigenvalue of  $q$ ). The discrete spectrum of  $\tilde{q}(t, z)$  consists of the eigenvalues of  $q(t, z)$  and also  $\lambda_0$  (and  $\lambda_0^*$ ). Let  $\tilde{a}(\lambda)$  and  $\tilde{b}(\lambda)$  denote the scattering functions of  $\tilde{q}(t) \doteq \tilde{q}(t, z = 0)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}(\lambda) &= \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda - \lambda_0^*} a(\lambda), \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+, \\ \tilde{b}(\lambda) &= b(\lambda) \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\text{eigenvalues of } q(t, z)\}, \\ b(\lambda_0) &= -\frac{\psi_2^-}{\psi_1^+}, \\ \tilde{Q}_c(\lambda) &= \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0^*}{\lambda - \lambda_0} Q_c(\lambda), \\ \tilde{Q}_d(\lambda_i) &= \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_0^*}{\lambda_i - \lambda_0} Q_d(\lambda_i) \text{ for } \lambda_i \in \{\text{eigenvalues of } q(t, z)\}, \\ \tilde{Q}_d(\lambda_0) &= -\frac{\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*}{a(\lambda_0)} \times \frac{\psi_2^-}{\psi_1^+} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{Q}_c(\cdot)$  and  $\tilde{Q}_d(\cdot)$  denote the continuous and discrete spectrum of  $\tilde{q}$ .

*Proof.* Let us begin from part (a). We already discussed that if  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$  satisfies (2) and (3) for some  $\lambda$ , then its adjoint  $(\psi_2^*, -\psi_1^*)$  satisfies (2) and (3) for  $\lambda^*$ . Here, we show  $u(t, z; \tilde{q}, \mu)$  fulfills the temporal equation (2) and fulfilling the spatial equation similarly follows. Define

$$J = j \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(t, z) \\ -q^*(t, z) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then from (2),  $v_t = \mu Jv + Qv$  and  $\Psi_t = J\Psi\Lambda_0 + Q\Psi$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_t &= \Psi_t \Lambda_0 \Psi^{-1} + \Psi \Lambda_0 (\Psi^{-1})_t = \Psi_t \Lambda_0 \Psi^{-1} - \Psi \Lambda_0 \Psi^{-1} \Psi_t \Psi^{-1} \\ &= J\Psi\Lambda_0^2\Psi^{-1} + Q\Psi\Lambda_0\Psi^{-1} - \Psi\Lambda_0\Psi^{-1}(J\Psi\Lambda_0 + Q\Psi)\Psi^{-1} \\ &= J\Sigma^2 + Q\Sigma - \Sigma J\Sigma - \Sigma Q. \end{aligned}$$

From definition of  $u$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= (\mu I - \Sigma)v_t - \Sigma_t v = (\mu I - \Sigma)(\mu Jv + Qv) - J\Sigma^2 v - Q\Sigma v + \Sigma J\Sigma v + \Sigma Qv \\ &= \mu J(\mu I)v + \mu Qv - \mu \Sigma Jv - \Sigma Qv - J\Sigma^2 v - Q\Sigma v + \Sigma J\Sigma v + \Sigma Qv \\ &= \mu J(\mu I - \Sigma + \Sigma)v + \mu Qv - Q\Sigma v - \mu \Sigma Jv - (J\Sigma - \Sigma J)\Sigma v \\ &= \mu J(\mu I - \Sigma)v + Q(\mu I - \Sigma)v + \mu J\Sigma v - \mu \Sigma Jv - (J\Sigma - \Sigma J)\Sigma v \\ &= \mu J(\mu I - \Sigma)v + Q(\mu I - \Sigma)v + (J\Sigma - \Sigma J)(\mu I - \Sigma)v \\ &= (\mu J + Q + J\Sigma - \Sigma J)u. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $u_t = (\mu J + \tilde{Q})u$ , where

$$\tilde{Q} = Q + J\Sigma - \Sigma J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(t, z) \\ -q^*(t, z) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2j(\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*)\psi_1\psi_2^* \\ 2j(\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*)\psi_1^*\psi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we prove part (b). It is enough to compute the change of scattering functions at some  $z$ , let say  $z = 0$ . Then the evolution immediately follows from  $a(\lambda, z) = a(\lambda, z = 0)$  and  $b(\lambda, z) = b(\lambda, z = 0) \exp(-4j\lambda^2 z)$ . We have

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0|\psi_1|^2 + \lambda_0^*|\psi_2|^2 & (\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*)\psi_2^*\psi_1 \\ (\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*)\psi_1^*\psi_2 & \lambda_0|\psi_2|^2 + \lambda_0^*|\psi_1|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that  $(\psi_1(t), \psi_2(t))^T$  satisfies (2) for  $\lambda_0$  which implies that,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \underset{t \rightarrow \pm\infty}{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} c_1^\pm e^{-j\lambda_0 t} \\ c_2^\pm e^{+j\lambda_0 t} \end{pmatrix},$$

for some constants  $c_1^\pm$  and  $c_2^\pm$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0^* & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_0^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

We study how the canonical eigenvector modifies. Consider first spectral parameter  $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$ . One can verify from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^N(t; \lambda, \tilde{q}) &= \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0^*} (\lambda I - \Sigma) \phi^N(t; \lambda, q), \\ \phi^P(t; \lambda, \tilde{q}) &= \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0^*} (\lambda I - \Sigma) \phi^P(t; \lambda, q). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From the definition,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_1^N(t; \lambda, q) \exp(j\lambda t) = a(\lambda)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_2^N(t; \lambda, q) \exp(-j\lambda t) = b(\lambda)$ . Thus,

$$\phi_1^N(t; \lambda, \tilde{q}) e^{j\lambda t} = \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0^*} \left( \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0) |\psi_1|^2 + (\lambda - \lambda_0^*) |\psi_2|^2}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \phi_1^N(t; \lambda, q) e^{j\lambda t} - \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0^*) \psi_2^* \psi_1}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \phi_2^N(t; \lambda, q) e^{j\lambda t} \right)$$

Since each term in the right side has a limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}(\lambda) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_1^N(t; \lambda, \tilde{q}) \exp(j\lambda t) = \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0^*} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0) |\psi_1|^2 + (\lambda - \lambda_0^*) |\psi_2|^2}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_1^N(t; \lambda, q) e^{j\lambda t} \\ &= \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda - \lambda_0^*} a(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{a}(\lambda)$  is analytic for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , and therefore,  $\tilde{a}(\lambda_0) = 0$ . It implies that  $\tilde{q}(t)$  consists of  $\lambda_0$  and the eigenvalues of  $q(t)$ . Now we compute  $b(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and for the eigenvalues. Let first assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$\phi_2^N(t; \lambda, \tilde{q}) e^{-j\lambda t} = \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0^*} \left( -\frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0^*) \psi_2^* \psi_1}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \phi_1^N(t; \lambda, q) e^{-j\lambda t} + \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0^*) |\psi_1|^2 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) |\psi_2|^2}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \phi_2^N(t; \lambda, q) e^{-j\lambda t} \right)$$

Since  $|e^{-j\lambda t}| = 1$ , we can see that  $\phi_1^N(t; \lambda, q) e^{-j\lambda t} = e^{-2j\lambda t} (\phi_1^N(t; \lambda, q) e^{+j\lambda t})$  is bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}(\lambda) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_2^N(t; \lambda, \tilde{q}) \exp(-j\lambda t) = \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0^*} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0^*) |\psi_1|^2 + (\lambda - \lambda_0) |\psi_2|^2}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_2^N(t; \lambda, q) e^{-j\lambda t} \\ &= b(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\lambda = \lambda_j$  an eigenvalue of  $q(t)$ . Then,  $\tilde{a}(\lambda_j) = a(\lambda_j) = 0$  and we know that  $\phi^N(t; \lambda_j, q) = b(\lambda_j) \phi^P(t; \lambda_j, q)$  and  $\phi^N(t; \lambda_j, \tilde{q}) = \tilde{b}(\lambda_j) \phi^P(t; \lambda_j, \tilde{q})$ . From (10),  $\tilde{b}(\lambda_j) = b(\lambda_j)$ . For  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t; \lambda_0, \tilde{q}) &= \frac{\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \begin{pmatrix} |\psi_2|^2 & -\psi_1 \psi_2^* \\ -\psi_1^* \psi_2 & |\psi_1|^2 \end{pmatrix} v(t; \lambda_0, q) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \begin{pmatrix} -\psi_2^* \\ \psi_1^* \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{W}((\psi_1, \psi_2)^T, v), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{W}(\cdot)$  is the Wronskian product. If  $v(t; \lambda_0, q)$  fulfills (2), then we can easily verify that  $\mathcal{W}((\psi_1, \psi_2)^T, v) = C$  a non-zero constant independent of  $t$  if  $v(t; \lambda_0, q) \neq \alpha(\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  [6, Lemma 7]. Thus,

$$u(t; \lambda_0, \tilde{q}) = \frac{C(\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*)}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \begin{pmatrix} -\psi_2^* \\ \psi_1^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking the limit and we can define from (8),

$$\phi^N(t; \lambda_0, \tilde{q}) = \frac{\psi_2^-}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2^* \\ -\psi_1^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can directly verify the above eigenvector in (2). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} b(\lambda_0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_2^N(t; \lambda_0, \tilde{q}) e^{-j\lambda_0 t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\psi_2^-}{|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2} \psi_1^* e^{-j\lambda_0 t} \\ &= -\frac{\psi_2^-}{\psi_1^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute continuous and discrete spectrum from  $\tilde{a}(\lambda)$  and  $\tilde{b}(\lambda)$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_c(\lambda) &= \frac{\tilde{b}(\lambda)}{\tilde{a}(\lambda)} = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0^* b(\lambda)}{\lambda - \lambda_0 a(\lambda)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0^*}{\lambda - \lambda_0} Q_c(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

For  $\lambda$  chosen from the eigenvalues of  $q$ ,  $\frac{d}{d\lambda} \tilde{a}(\lambda)|_{\lambda=\lambda_j} = \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_0}{\lambda_j - \lambda_0^*} \times \frac{d}{d\lambda} a(\lambda)|_{\lambda=\lambda_j}$  and hence,

$$\tilde{Q}_d(\lambda_j) = \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_0^*}{\lambda_j - \lambda_0} Q_d(\lambda_j).$$

At  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ ,  $\frac{d}{d\lambda} \tilde{a}(\lambda)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} = \frac{a(\lambda_0)}{\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*}$  and

$$\tilde{Q}_d(\lambda_0) = -\frac{\lambda_0 - \lambda_0^*}{a(\lambda_0)} \times \frac{\psi_2^-}{\psi_1^+}.$$

□

From Theorem 1, we can easily show the initial conditions  $(A_i, B_i)$  in Algorithm 1. Let  $(a^{(i)}(\lambda), b^{(i)}(\lambda))$  denote the scattering functions of  $q^{(i)}(t)$ . For  $q^{(0)} = 0$ ,  $a^{(0)}(\lambda) = 1$ . Consider the recursion  $i, i \geq 1$ . From Algorithm 1,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = v_i^{(i-1)}(t) = (\lambda_i I - \Sigma_{i-1})(\lambda_i I - \Sigma_{i-2}) \cdots (\lambda_i I - \Sigma_1) \times \begin{pmatrix} A_i e^{-j\lambda_i t} \\ B_i e^{+j\lambda_i t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Although  $\Sigma_k$  depends on  $v_k^{(k-1)}(t)$ , its limits are only a function of  $\lambda_k$  (see (9)), i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \Sigma_k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k^* & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Sigma_k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_k^* \end{pmatrix}$$

It implies that  $\psi_1^+ = \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k) A_i$ , and  $\psi_2^- = \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k) B_i$ . They are finite non-zero values, and we can apply part (b) of Theorem 1. We can verify that  $a^{(i)} = \prod_{k=1}^i \frac{\lambda - \lambda_k}{\lambda - \lambda_k^*}$  and  $b^{(i)}(\lambda_i) = -\frac{\psi_2^-}{\psi_1^+} = -\frac{B_i}{A_i}$ . At the end,  $b^{(N)}(\lambda_i) = b^{(i)}(\lambda_i)$  ( $b(\lambda)$  at eigenvalues does not change by applying the Darboux transform) and  $a^{(N)} = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\lambda - \lambda_k}{\lambda - \lambda_k^*}$ . It implies that

$$Q_d(\lambda_i) = (\lambda_i - \lambda_i^*) \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^N \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_k^*}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k} \times \frac{-B_i}{A_i}.$$

Letting  $A_i = 1$ , we have the desired  $B_i$ .

### III. NONLINEAR FOURIER TRANSFORM FROM FORWARD-BACKWARD METHOD

The forward-backward method is a general method which can be applied to any integration based algorithms introduced in [6] such as forward and central discretizations, Crank-Nicolson method and Ablowitz-Ladik algorithm. Before describing forward-backward method, we propose a new integration based algorithm which has a simple representation and estimates the spectrum with higher precision than the above mentioned algorithms. We show that the forward discretization and Crank-Nicolson methods are the first order and the second order Taylor expansion of the new algorithm. The complexity of this algorithm is quadratic in the number of samples which can be reduced applying techniques in [12].

### A. Numerical Trapezoid Discretization

Consider the temporal differential equation (2) and its canonical solution  $\phi^N(t; \lambda)$ .

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi^N(t; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -j\lambda & q(t) \\ -q^*(t) & j\lambda \end{pmatrix} \phi^N(t; \lambda). \quad (11)$$

The Nonlinear spectrum of signal  $q(t)$  is characterized by the continuous part

$$Q_c(\lambda) = \frac{b(\lambda)}{a(\lambda)},$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$  and the discrete part

$$Q_d(\lambda_i) = \frac{b(\lambda_i)}{a'(\lambda_i)},$$

where  $a'(\lambda_i) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} a(\lambda)|_{\lambda=\lambda_i}$ , for all  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus \mathbb{R}$  the finite set satisfying  $a(\lambda) = 0$ . The discrete spectrum corresponds to the solitonic part of signal  $q(t)$ . The spectral coefficients  $a(\lambda)$  and  $b(\lambda)$  are given by

$$a(\lambda) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{+j\lambda t} \phi_1^N, b(\lambda) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-j\lambda t} \phi_2^N$$

In optical communication, each transmitted symbol has a finite support, thus We assume that  $q(t)$  is truncated such that  $q(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin [-T_0, T_0]$ . Note that we assume that the support is symmetric around zero. This can be done by taking a larger symmetric support or more efficiently, by translation in time which modifies the nonlinear spectrum by a multiplicative factor. Therefore  $\phi^N(t; \lambda) = (1, 0)^T e^{-j\lambda t}$  for  $t \leq -T_0$ . Let

$$\psi(t; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^N e^{+j\lambda t} \\ \phi_2^N e^{-j\lambda t} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $\psi(t; \lambda) = (1, 0)^T$  for  $t \leq -T_0$  and the temporal equation (11) is changed to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(t)e^{+j2\lambda t} \\ -q^*(t)e^{-j2\lambda t} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \psi(t; \lambda), \quad (12)$$

and  $a(\lambda) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi_1(t) = \psi_1(T_0)$  and  $b(\lambda) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi_2(t) = \psi_2(T_0)$ .

We use the trapezoid rule to numerically compute  $\psi(T_0; \lambda)$ . Let us first explain this method in the following example.

**Example 1.** Consider the following scalar ordinary differential equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} x(t) = f(t)x(t), \text{ with initial condition } x(0) = 1.$$

Its unique solution is

$$x(T) = \exp\left(\int_0^T f(\tau) d\tau\right)$$

Now we use the trapezoid rule to estimate the integral. Let  $t_n = hn$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N$  where  $h = T/N$ , then

$$\int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau = h \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{2} (f(t_n) + f(t_{n+1})) + R_e$$

and the error term is  $R_e = \frac{T^3}{12N^2} f''(t_c)$ , for some  $t_c \in [0, T]$ . Neglecting the error term, we can estimate  $x(T) \approx x_N$  recursively as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = e^{\frac{h}{2} f(t_{n+1})} e^{\frac{h}{2} f(t_n)} x_n \quad (13)$$

Defining  $y_n = e^{\frac{h}{2} f(t_n)} x_n$ ,

$$y_{n+1} = e^{h f(t_{n+1})} y_n$$

and  $y_0 = \exp(\frac{h}{2} f(0))$ . Note that  $x_{n+1}$  is the exact solution of following differential equation at  $t = t_n$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} x(t) = \tilde{f}(t)x(t), \text{ with initial condition } x(0) = 1,$$






Fig. 1. The comparison of the trapezoid method in Example 1 with Crank-Nicolson method and the forward (Euler) method for two test functions  $f(t) = t$  (left figure) and  $f(t) = \text{sech}(t)$  (right figure).

and  $\tilde{f}(t)$  is a step function defined as

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} f(0) & 0 \leq t < h/2 \\ f(t_n) & nh - h/2 \leq t < nh + h/2 \text{ and } 1 \leq n < N \\ f(T) & T - h/2 \leq t \leq T \end{cases}$$

The precision of this method is shown for two test functions  $f(t) = t$  and  $f(t) = \text{sech}(t)$  in Figure 1. We also compare this method with the Forward (Euler) method and the Crank-Nicolson method.

It would be more convenient if we could write the solution of differential equation (12) as follows:

$$\psi(t; \lambda) = \exp\left(\int_{-T_0}^t F(\tau; \lambda) d\tau\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } t \geq -T_0$$

where

$$F(\tau; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(\tau)e^{+j2\lambda\tau} \\ -q^*(\tau)e^{-j2\lambda\tau} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is not allowed since  $F(\tau_1; \lambda)F(\tau_2; \lambda) \neq F(\tau_2; \lambda)F(\tau_1; \lambda)$ . Nevertheless, we can still define the step function  $\tilde{F}(t; \lambda)$  which follows the trapezoid rule of integral. Let  $h = 2T_0/N$  where  $N$  is the discretization number and  $t_n = -T_0 + nh$ . Define

$$\tilde{F}(t; \lambda) = \begin{cases} F(-T_0; \lambda) & -T_0 \leq t < -T_0 + h/2 \\ F(t_n; \lambda) & t_n - h/2 \leq t < t_n + h/2 \text{ and } 1 \leq n < N \\ F(T_0; \lambda) & t_N - h/2 \leq t \leq T_0 \end{cases}$$

Now consider again (12) in the interval  $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$ .

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t; \lambda) = F(t; \lambda) \psi(t; \lambda) \approx \tilde{F}(t; \lambda) \psi(t; \lambda).$$

Partitioning  $[t_n, t_{n+1}) = [t_n, t_n + \frac{h}{2}) \cup [t_n - \frac{h}{2}, t_{n+1})$ , we have

$$\psi(t_{n+1}; \lambda) \approx \exp(F(t_{n+1}; \lambda) \frac{h}{2}) \exp(F(t_n; \lambda) \frac{h}{2}) \psi(t_n; \lambda).$$

The above equation is similar to the equation (13) which is obtained from the trapezoid rule of integral for a scalar differential equation. We can define

$$w_{n+1} = \exp(F(t_{n+1}; \lambda)h)w_n, \quad w_0 = \exp(F(t_0; \lambda) \frac{h}{2}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

Therefore,  $\psi(t_n) \approx \exp(-F(t_n; \lambda) \frac{h}{2}) w_n$ , and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_N(\lambda) \\ b_N(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \exp(-F(t_N; \lambda) \frac{h}{2}) w_N, \quad (15)$$

where  $a_N(\lambda)$  and  $b_N(\lambda)$  are the numerical approximation of  $a(\lambda)$  and  $b(\lambda)$ . As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $a_N(\lambda) \rightarrow a(\lambda)$  and  $b_N(\lambda) \rightarrow b(\lambda)$ . Let us now compute  $\exp(F(t_n; \lambda)h)$ . Let  $|q_n| = |q(t_n)|$  and  $e^{j\theta_n} = \frac{q(t_n)}{|q(t_n)|}$ . We can verify by the eigenvalue decomposition that

$$F(t_n; \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ j e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |q_n| & 0 \\ 0 & -|q_n| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -j e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ -j e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(F(t_n; \lambda)h) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ j e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{j|q_n|h} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-j|q_n|h} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -j e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ -j e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(|q_n|h) & \sin(|q_n|h) e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ -\sin(|q_n|h) e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & \cos(|q_n|h) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\exp(\pm F(t_n; \lambda) \frac{h}{2})$  is obtained by replacing  $h$  with  $\pm \frac{h}{2}$  in the above matrix. Consequently,

$$w_n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(|q_n|h) & \sin(|q_n|h) e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ -\sin(|q_n|h) e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & \cos(|q_n|h) \end{pmatrix} w_{n-1} \quad (16)$$

To compute the discrete spectrum, we need to estimate  $a'(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} a(\lambda)$ . Define

$$G_n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(|q_n|h) & \sin(|q_n|h) e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ -\sin(|q_n|h) e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & \cos(|q_n|h) \end{pmatrix},$$

and,

$$G'_n = +j2t_n \sin(|q_n|h) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $\exp(\pm F(t_n; \lambda) \frac{h}{2}) = G_n^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ . Differentiating from (14),

$$w'_{n+1} = G_{n+1} w'_n + G'_{n+1} w_n, \quad w'_0 = (G_0^{\frac{1}{2}})' \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

where  $w'_{n+1} = (\frac{d}{d\lambda} w_{(n+1,1)}, \frac{d}{d\lambda} w_{(n+1,2)})^T$ . We can also verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(t_n; \lambda) &\approx G_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( w'_n - 2jt_n \sin(|q_n|h) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} G_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} w_n \right) \\ &= G_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} w'_n - 2jt_n \sin(|q_n|h) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} w_n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(|q_n|h) & -\sin(|q_n|h) e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ \sin(|q_n|h) e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & \cos(|q_n|h) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a'(\lambda) \\ b'(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} a'_N(\lambda) \\ b'_N(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = G_N^{-\frac{1}{2}} w'_N - 2jt_N \sin(|q_N|h) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{j\theta_N + j2\lambda t_N} \\ e^{-j\theta_N - j2\lambda t_N} & 0 \end{pmatrix} w_N. \quad (18)$$

We can therefore numerically compute  $a(\lambda)$ ,  $b(\lambda)$  and  $a'(\lambda)$  from (14), (15), (17) and (18).

**Remark 1.** The final recursion (16) looks like the layer-peeling algorithm in [6]. However, there are some small differences. The layer-peeling algorithm is a kind of forward (Euler) algorithms and obtained from approximating  $q(t)$  as a piece-wise constant function. Our algorithm is a kind of mid-point algorithms (Trapezoid rule) which usually provides better approximations (see Figure 1). Moreover, we approximate the matrix  $F(t)$  as a piece-wise

constant function. The other practical advantage is the equation (16) has a much simpler form than the layer-peeling algorithm.

**Remark 2.** The first order approximation of  $G_n$  gives the forward (Euler) discretizations method [6]. When  $|q_n|h \ll 1$ ,  $\cos(|q_n|h) \approx 1$  and  $\sin(|q_n|h) \approx |q_n|h$  and thus,

$$G_n \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 & hq(t_n)e^{j2\lambda t_n} \\ -hq^*(t_n)e^{-j2\lambda t_n} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The second order approximation of  $G_n$  gives the promising Crank-Nicolson method [6]. Using the relations  $\cos(2\theta) = (1 - \tan^2(\theta))/(1 + \tan^2(\theta))$  and  $\sin(2\theta) = (2 \tan(\theta))/(1 + \tan^2(\theta))$  and approximation  $\tan(|q_n|\frac{h}{2}) \approx |q_n|\frac{h}{2}$ , we have

$$G_n \approx \frac{1}{1 + \frac{h^2}{4}|q_n|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{h^2}{4}|q_n|^2 & hq(t_n)e^{j2\lambda t_n} \\ -hq^*(t_n)e^{-j2\lambda t_n} & 1 - \frac{h^2}{4}|q_n|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the normalized matrix of the Crank-Nicolson method for solving (12), i.e.

$$\frac{\psi(t_{n+1}; \lambda) - \psi(t_n; \lambda)}{h} \approx \frac{1}{2} (F(t_n; \lambda)\psi(t_n; \lambda) + F(t_{n+1}; \lambda)\psi(t_{n+1}; \lambda)).$$

### B. Forward-Backward Method

Our method and all the one-step discretization NFT methods explained in [6], e.g. Ablowitz-Ladik algorithms, Crank-Nicolson method, Runge-Kutta method, Layer-peeling method, are robust in estimation of the continuous spectrum and can be used to find the eigenvalues ( $a(\lambda) = 0$ ) with a small numerical error. However, they are very naive in estimation of the discrete spectral amplitudes,  $Q_d(\lambda_i)$ . The estimation error may exponentially grow with a slight change of step-size  $h$ .

Let us intuitively explain how a small numerical error in  $a(\lambda)$  may lead to an exponentially large error in estimating  $b(\lambda)$ . Consider the temporal equation (12) at an eigenvalue of  $q(t)$ , namely  $\lambda = \omega + j\eta$  with  $\eta > 0$ . We know that  $a(\lambda) = 0$  and  $b(\lambda)$  is a finite complex number. Assume that a numerical method estimates  $\bar{\psi}(T_0 - 1) = \psi(T_0 - 1) + (\delta_1, \delta_2)^T$  where  $(\delta_1, \delta_2)^T$  is its estimation error. Now look at (12) for  $T_0 - 1 < t < T_0$ . The changes in  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_1$  is proportional to  $|q(t)| \exp(-2\eta t)\delta_2$  which is very small when  $T_0 \gg 1$  and thus,  $a(\omega + j\eta)$  is finally approximated by  $\bar{\psi}_1(T_0) \approx \delta_1$ . However, the changes in  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_2$  is proportional to  $|q(t)| \exp(+2\eta t)\delta_1$  which can be very large for a large  $\eta$ . It means that the gap  $\bar{\psi}_2(T_0) - b(\lambda)$  can become exponentially large for a small error  $\delta_1$  in  $a(\lambda)$ . This issue is shown in Figure 2-c. We observe that  $\bar{\psi}_2$  diverges exponentially from the exact solution  $\psi_2(t)$  when  $h$  is not small enough.

Let us explain how to significantly stabilize an one-step NFT method by the forward-backward algorithm. We explain this algorithm based on the trapezoid discretizations method but the algorithm can be applied to any one-step method. Consider the equation (16). We can write,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a_N(\lambda) \\ b_N(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} &= G_N^{-\frac{1}{2}} G_N G_{N-1} \cdots G_2 G_1 G_0^{+\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= R_N L_N \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_N = G_N^{-\frac{1}{2}} G_N G_{N-1} \cdots G_{m+1}$  and  $L_N = G_m G_{m-1} \cdots G_1 G_0^{+\frac{1}{2}}$  and the integer  $m = cN$ ,  $0 < c < 1$ . First, let  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,  $(a_N(\lambda), b_N(\lambda)) \rightarrow (a(\lambda), b(\lambda))$  and  $L_N \rightarrow L$  where  $L$  is the scattering matrix of  $q(t)\mathbf{1}\{-T_0 < t < T_0(2c-1)\}$  and  $R_N \rightarrow R$  where  $R$  is the scattering matrix of  $q(t)\mathbf{1}\{T_0(2c-1) \leq t < T_0\}$ . Let  $R_{kl}$  and  $L_{kl}$  denote the entry  $(k, l)$  of matrices  $R$  and  $L$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} a(\lambda) &= R_{11}L_{11} + R_{12}L_{21} \\ a'(\lambda) &= L_{11} \frac{d}{d\lambda} R_{11} + R_{11} \frac{d}{d\lambda} L_{11} + L_{21} \frac{d}{d\lambda} R_{12} + R_{12} \frac{d}{d\lambda} L_{21} \\ b(\lambda) &= R_{21}L_{11} + R_{22}L_{21} \end{aligned}$$

The determinant of matrices  $G_n$  is one. It implies that  $R$  has determinant one, i.e.  $R_{11}R_{22} - R_{12}R_{21} = 1$ . Therefore,

$$R^{-1} = G_{m+1}^{-1}G_{m+2}^{-1} \cdots G_{N-1}^{-1}G_N^{-1}G_N^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{22} & -R_{12} \\ -R_{21} & R_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $G_{n,kl}$  denote the entry  $(k, l)$  of matrix  $G_n$ . One can verify that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $G_{n,22} = G_{n,11}^*$  and  $G_{n,21} = -G_{n,12}^*$ . It implies that  $R_{22} = R_{11}^*$  and  $R_{21} = -R_{12}^*$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_{11} \\ L_{21} \end{pmatrix} = L \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -R_{12} \\ R_{11} \end{pmatrix} = R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We already showed  $a(\lambda)$  and  $a'(\lambda)$  as a function of  $L_{11}$ ,  $L_{21}$ ,  $R_{11}$  and  $R_{12}$ . We can also represent  $b(\lambda)$  in terms of these parameters.

$$\begin{aligned} b(\lambda) &= R_{21}L_{11} + R_{22}L_{21} \\ &= R_{21}L_{11} + \frac{1 + R_{12}R_{21}}{R_{11}}L_{21} \\ &= \frac{R_{21}(R_{11}L_{11} + R_{12}L_{21}) + L_{21}}{R_{11}} \\ &= a(\lambda)\frac{R_{21}}{R_{11}} + \frac{L_{21}}{R_{11}}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$b(\lambda) = -a(\lambda)\frac{R_{12}^*}{R_{11}} + \frac{L_{21}}{R_{11}}. \quad (19)$$

For eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  of  $q(t)$  (i.e.  $a(\lambda_i) = 0$ ),

$$b(\lambda_i) = \frac{L_{21}}{R_{11}}. \quad (20)$$

**Remark 3.** In Equation (20), we remove the contribution of  $a(\lambda_i)\frac{R_{21}}{R_{11}}$  from  $b(\lambda_i)$  as  $a(\lambda_i) = 0$ . This part causes a large numerical error in one-step methods. One can verify that  $R_{21}$  is very large when  $\eta = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \lambda^*) > 0$ . Then small numerical error  $a_N(\lambda_i) = \delta_1$  leads to a large numerical error  $\delta_1\frac{R_{21}}{R_{11}}$  in estimation  $b_N(\lambda_i)$ .

Now consider a finite  $N$ . We estimate  $(L_{11}, L_{21})$  and  $(-R_{12}, R_{11})$  from two separate recursions: The *forward recursion* computes  $(L_{11}, L_{21})$  and its derivative. For  $1 \leq n \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &= G_n w_{n-1}, \quad w_0 = G_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ w'_n &= G_n w'_{n-1} + G'_n w_{n-1}, \quad w'_0 = (G_0^{\frac{1}{2}})' \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Above the equations are the same as (16) and (17) in which  $G_n$ ,  $G'_n$ ,  $G_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $(G_0^{\frac{1}{2}})'$  are defined. Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_{11} \\ L_{21} \end{pmatrix} \approx w_m = \begin{pmatrix} w_{m,1} \\ w_{m,2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{d\lambda} L_{11} \\ \frac{d}{d\lambda} L_{21} \end{pmatrix} \approx w'_m = \begin{pmatrix} w'_{m,1} \\ w'_{m,2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

The *backward recursion* computes  $(-R_{12}, R_{11})$  and its derivative. For  $m < n \leq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n-1} &= G_n^{-1} v_n, \quad v_N = G_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ v'_{n-1} &= G_n^{-1} v'_n + (G_n^{-1})' v_n, \quad v'_N = (G_N^{\frac{1}{2}})' \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$G_n^{-1}$  is obtained by replacing  $h$  with  $-h$  in  $G_n$  as follows:

$$G_n^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(|q_n|h) & -\sin(|q_n|h)e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ +\sin(|q_n|h)e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & \cos(|q_n|h) \end{pmatrix},$$

TABLE I  
THE DISCRETE SPECTRAL AMPLITUDES OF A 2-SOLITONIC PULSE FROM DIFFERENT ALGORITHMS IN TERMS OF  $N$ .

Spectrum	$N=32$				$N=64$				$N=1024$			
	AL	CN	TD	FB	AL	CN	TD	FB	AL	CN	TD	FB
$a(\lambda = 0.5j)$	0.12	3.0e-2	-1.3e-2	-1.3e-2	4.0e-2	8.3e-3	-3.2e-3	-3.2e-3	-9.9e-5	-2.4e-4	-2.8e-4	-2.8e-4
$Q_d(\lambda = 0.5j)$	<b>-4.34</b>	<b>1.15</b>	<b>3.47</b>	<b>2.75</b>	<b>0.825</b>	<b>2.48</b>	<b>3.13</b>	<b>2.94</b>	<b>3.03</b>	<b>3.02</b>	<b>3.04</b>	<b>3.01</b>
$a(\lambda = 1j)$	0.11	1.3e-2	-2.9e-2	-2.9e-2	3.4e-2	4.1e-3	-6.9e-3	-6.9e-3	1.5e-4	1.6e-5	-2.8e-5	-2.8e-5
$Q_d(\lambda = 1j)$	<b>819.3</b>	<b>78.57</b>	<b>-197.1</b>	<b>-7.589</b>	<b>189.4</b>	<b>15.46</b>	<b>-42.35</b>	<b>-6.292</b>	<b>-5.318</b>	<b>-5.913</b>	<b>-6.130</b>	<b>-5.991</b>

and,

$$(G_n^{-1})' = -j2t_n \sin(|q_n|h) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{j\theta_n + j2\lambda t_n} \\ e^{-j\theta_n - j2\lambda t_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As a result,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -R_{12} \\ R_{11} \end{pmatrix} \approx v_m = \begin{pmatrix} v_{m,1} \\ v_{m,2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d}{d\lambda} R_{12} \\ \frac{d}{d\lambda} R_{11} \end{pmatrix} \approx v'_m = \begin{pmatrix} v'_{m,1} \\ v'_{m,2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

From (21) and (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_N(\lambda) &= w_{m,1}v_{m,2} - v_{m,1}w_{m,2} \\ a'_N(\lambda) &= w'_{m,1}v_{m,2} + w_{m,1}v'_{m,2} - v'_{m,1}w_{m,2} - v_{m,1}w'_{m,2} \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} b_N(\lambda) &= a_N(\lambda) \frac{v_{m,1}^*}{v_{m,2}} + \frac{w_{m,2}}{v_{m,2}}, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \\ b_N(\lambda_i) &= \frac{w_{m,2}}{v_{m,2}}, \quad \text{for } \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}^+ \text{ the eigenvalues of } q(t) \end{aligned}$$

The main question is how to choose  $m = cN$ . Consider the update matrix  $G_n$  for  $\lambda = w + j\eta$ :  $|G_{n,12}| = |q(t_n)| \exp(-2\eta t_n)$  and  $|G_{n,21}| = |q(t_n)| \exp(+2\eta t_n)$ . The scattering parameter  $L_{21}$  is somehow the integral of  $G_{n,21}$  and thus, the numerical estimation error in  $L_{21}$  becomes large when  $G_{n,21}$  is large. A similar behaviour is between  $R_{12}$  and  $G_{n,12}$ . Therefore, one can choose

$$c = \arg \min_{t=T_0(2c-1)} |q(t)| \exp(2\eta|t|).$$

In the following examples, we fixed  $c = 1/2$  (i.e.  $m = N/2$ ).

**Example 2** (2-soliton pulse). Consider a 2-soliton pulse with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 0.5j$  and  $\lambda_2 = 1j$  and with  $Q_d(\lambda_1) = 3$  and  $Q_d(\lambda_2) = -6$ . This pulse  $q(t)$  is symmetric and has only imaginary component. We truncate the pulse outside the interval  $t \in [-5, 5]$  in which contains more than 99.9% of pulse energy. The pulse is plotted in Figure 2a.

We uniformly sample the truncated pulse by  $N$  samples and apply the following numerical NFT algorithm: Forward-backward algorithm (FB), Trapezoid discretizations (TD), Crank-Nicolson algorithm (CN) and Ablowitz-Ladik discretizations algorithm (AL). Table I shows the discrete spectral amplitudes computed by each algorithm in terms of  $N$ . The forward-backward algorithm is much more precise and stable than the others. In particular, it approximates the discrete spectrum with an acceptable precision when  $N$  is as small as 32. We plot the numerical estimation of  $\psi(t_n)$  from temporal equation (12) using the FB algorithm and AL discretizations algorithm in Figure 2b-d when  $N = 64$ . We plot also the exact solution by letting  $N = 10^6$ . One can see how the estimations of the FB algorithm closely follow the exact solution for both eigenvalues.




Fig. 2. (a) The absolute value of 2-solitonic pulse  $q(t)$  of Example 2 with  $N = 64$  samples. The solution of temporal equation (12),  $\psi(t; \lambda) = (\psi_1(t; \lambda), \psi_2(t; \lambda))$ , is numerically computed using Ablowitz-Ladick (AL) algorithm and forward-backward (FB) algorithm from  $N = 64$  samples of  $q(t)$ . The solid blue curves are the exact solutions: (b)  $\psi_1(t; \lambda)$ , (c)  $\psi_2(t; \lambda)$ , (d)  $\frac{d}{d\lambda} \psi_1(t; \lambda)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Aref, H. Bulow, K. Schuh, and W. Idler, "Experimental demonstration of nonlinear frequency division multiplexed transmission," in *Optical Communication (ECOC), 2015 European Conference on*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–3.
- [2] V. Aref and H. Bulow, "Design of 2-soliton spectral phase modulated pulses over lumped amplified link," in *submitted to Optical Communication (ECOC), 2016 European Conference on*, 2016.
- [3] H. Bulow, V. Aref, and W. Idler, "Transmission of waveforms determined by 7 eigenvalues with psk-modulated spectral amplitudes," in *submitted to Optical Communication (ECOC), 2016 European Conference on*, 2016.
- [4] V. E. Zakharov and A. Shabat, "Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media," *Soviet Physics JETP*, vol. 34, no. 1, p. 62, 1972.
- [5] M. J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, *Solitons and the inverse scattering transform*. SIAM, 1981, vol. 4.
- [6] M. I. Yousefi, "Information transmission using the nonlinear fourier transform," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Toronto, 2013.
- [7] M. J. Ablowitz, D. J. Kaup, A. C. Newell, and H. Segur, "The inverse scattering transform-fourier analysis for nonlinear problems," *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 53, pp. 249–315, 1974.
- [8] J. Yang, *Nonlinear waves in integrable and nonintegrable systems*. SIAM, 2010, vol. 16.
- [9] V. B. Matveev and M. A. Salle, *Darboux transformations and solitons*. Springer, 1991.
- [10] S. Wahls and H. V. Poor, "Fast inverse nonlinear fourier transform for generating multi-solitons in optical fiber," in *2015 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, 2015, pp. 1676–1680.
- [11] J. Lin, "Evolution of the scattering data under the classical darboux transform for su(2) soliton systems," *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 308–316, 1990.
- [12] S. Wahls and H. V. Poor, "Fast numerical nonlinear fourier transforms," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 61, no. 12, pp. 6957–6974, Dec 2015.