

Study of polytropes with Generalized polytropic Equation of State

M. Azam¹ *; S. A. Mardan² †; I. Noureen² ‡ and M. A. Rehman² §

¹ Division of Science and Technology, University of Education,
Township Campus, Lahore-54590, Pakistan.

² Department of Mathematics,
University of the Management and Technology,
C-II, Johar Town, Lahore-54590, Pakistan.

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to discuss the theory of Newtonian and relativistic polytropes with generalized polytropic equation of state. For this purpose, we formulated the general framework to discuss the physical properties of polytropes with anisotropic inner fluid distribution under conformally flat condition in the presence of charge. We investigate the stability of these polytropes in the vicinity of generalized polytropic equation through Tolman-mass. It is concluded that one of the derived models is physically acceptable.

Keywords: Relativistic Anisotropic Fluids; Polytropes; Electromagnetic Field; Tolman-mass.

PACS: 04.40.Dg; 04.40.Nr; 97.10-q.

*azammath@gmail.com, azam.math@ue.edu.pk

†syedalimardanazmi@yahoo.com, ali.azmi@umt.edu.pk

‡ifra.noureen@gmail.com, ifra.noureen@umt.edu.pk

§aziz3037@yahoo.com, aziz.rehman@umt.edu.pk

1 Introduction

The theory of polytropes have a significant role in studying the inner structure of astrophysical compact objects (CO) very precisely. In Newtonian gravity, various useful physical phenomena have been addressed with polytropic equations of state (EoS). Chandrasekhar [1] presented the basic theory of Newtonian polytropes emerged through laws of thermodynamics for polytropic sphere. Tooper [2] provided the basic formalism of polytropes for compressible fluid under the assumption of quasi-static equilibrium. He extended his work for adiabatic fluid sphere and provides the fundamental framework to derive LEE for relativistic polytropes. Kovetz [3] redefined some anomalies in the theory of slowly rotating polytropes presented by Chandrasekhar [1]. Abramowicz [4] extended the general form of Lane-Emden equation (LEE) for spherical, planar and cylindrical polytropes for higher dimensional spaces.

In general relativity (GR), polytropes have been discussed by many researchers by means of LEE which can be derived from the hydrostatic equilibrium configuration of CO. Cosenza et al. [5] presented a heuristic procedure to construct anisotropic models in GR. Herrera and Santos [6] provided a comprehensive study to discuss the possible causes for the existence of local anisotropy in self-gravitating systems both in Newtonian and relativistic regime. Herrera and Barreto [7] investigated relativistic polytropes under the assumption of post-quasi-static regime and presented a new way to describe various physical variables like pressure, mass and energy density by means of effective variables. They considered two possible configuration of polytropes in the frame of GR and found that only one was physically viable. Anisotropy plays a very vital role in the theory of GR to discuss spherical CO. Herrera and his coworkers [8] developed a full set of governing equations for spherically symmetric dissipative fluids with anisotropic stresses to study self-gravitating systems in the framework of GR. Herrera and Barreto [9] used the Tolman mass (measure of active gravitational mass) to find out stability of both Newtonian and relativistic polytropes with anisotropic inner matter configuration. Herrera et al. [10] analyzed in detail anisotropic polytropes with conformally flat condition which was useful in reducing the parameters involved in relativistic modified LEE. Recently, Herrera et al. [11] discussed the stability of anisotropic polytropes by means of cracking.

The presence of charge on the stars is an important physical ingredient which has a fabulous character in studying the dynamics of astrophysical objects. To discuss the effect of charge on CO is always a great value in GR.

Bekenstein [12] introduced the idea of hydrostatic equilibrium to observe gravitational collapse in charged CO. Bonnor [13] observed that electric repulsion can delay the gravitational collapse in spherically symmetric CO. Bondi has [14] provided detailed explanation of the contraction in isotropic radiating CO with the help of Minkowski coordinates. Koppal et al. [15] provided a new technique to derive a charged generalization of known static fluid solution for spherical symmetry. Ray et al. [16] examined that high density CO can hold the amount of charge approximately 10^{20} coulomb. Herrera et al. [17] analyzed charged dissipative spherical fluids. The physical meaning of structure scalars is analyzed for charged dissipative spherical fluids and for neutral dust in the presence of cosmological constant. Takisa and Maharaj [18] studied the models of charged polytropic spherical symmetries. Sharif and Sadiq [19] presented general formalism to study the effects of charge on anisotropic polytropes and developed modified LEE. Azam et al. [20] analyzed different charged CO models to formulate their stability in the scenario of linear and quadratic regimes. It is found that stability of these objects depend on the electromagnetic field as well as choice of EoS.

In the development of CO models the selection of EoS is very crucial issue. Polytropic EoS, $P_r = K\rho_0^{1+\frac{1}{n}}$ has been used by many researchers [4-12] for development and discussion of spherical CO. Chavanis [21] proposed generalized polytropic EoS $P_r = \alpha_1\rho_o + K\rho_o^{1+\frac{1}{n}}$ to discuss various cosmological aspects of the universe. He developed the model of early universe to elaborate the transformation from a pre-radiation era to the radiation era for positive indices $n > 0$. In this continuity, he also produced models which described the late universe by considering negative indices in generalized polytropic EoS [22]. Freitas and Goncalves [23] used generalized polytropic EoS to study primordial quantum fluctuations and build a universe with constant density at the origin. In this work, we will use generalized polytropic EoS to discuss the theory of Newtonian polytropes and relativistic charged polytropes.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In section **2**, we shall provide basics of Einstein-Maxwell field equation and hydrostatic equilibrium equation. In section **3**, Newtonian polytropes will be discussed and section **4** is devoted to observe relativistic polytropes. Energy conditions and conformally flat condition are discussed in section **5**. The section **6** is devoted for stability analysis of polytropes. In the last section, we shall conclude our results.

2 The Einstein-Maxwell Field Equations

We consider static spherically symmetric space time

$$ds^2 = e^\nu dt^2 - e^\lambda dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \quad (1)$$

where ν , λ are functions of r only. The energy-momentum tensor for anisotropic matter distribution is given by

$$T_{ij} = (P_t + \rho)V_i V_j - g_{ij}P_t + (P_r - P_t)S_i S_j, \quad (2)$$

where P_t , P_r and ρ respectively represent the tangential pressure, radial pressure and energy density of the inner fluid distribution. The four velocity V_i and four vector S_i satisfying the following equations

$$V_i = e^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\delta_i^0, \quad V^i V_i = 1, \quad S_i = e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\delta_i^1, \quad S^i S_i = -1, \quad S^i V_i = 0. \quad (3)$$

The electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor is defined by

$$T_{ij}^{(em)} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(F_i^m F_{jm} - \frac{1}{4}F^{mn} F_{mn} g_{ij}), \quad (4)$$

where

$$F_{ij} = \psi_{j,i} - \psi_{i,j}, \quad (5)$$

is the Maxwell field tensor and satisfies the following relation

$$F_{;j}^{ij} = \mu_0 J^i, \quad F_{[ij;k]} = 0, \quad (6)$$

where ψ_i is the four-potential, μ_0 is the magnetic permeability and J^i is the four-current. Also, four-potential and four-velocity satisfies the following relations in co-moving coordinates

$$\psi_i = \psi(r)\delta_i^0, \quad J^i = \sigma V^i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad (7)$$

where ψ is the scalar potential and σ is the charge density. The Maxwell equation (6) yields

$$\psi'' + \left(\frac{2}{r} - \frac{\nu'}{2} - \frac{\lambda'}{2}\right)\psi' = 4\pi\sigma e^{\frac{\nu+\lambda}{2}}, \quad (8)$$

where “ \prime ” denotes the differentiation with respect to r . From above, we have

$$\psi' = \frac{q(r)}{r^2} e^{\frac{\nu+\lambda}{2}}. \quad (9)$$

where $q(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \mu e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} r^2 dr$ represents the total charge inside the sphere.

The Einstein-Maxwell field equations for line element Eq.(1) are given by

$$\frac{\lambda' e^{-\lambda}}{r} + \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda})}{r^2} = 8\pi\rho - \frac{q^2}{r^4}, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\nu' e^{-\lambda}}{r} - \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda})}{r^2} = 8\pi P_r - \frac{q^2}{r^4}, \quad (11)$$

$$e^{-\lambda} \left[\frac{\nu''}{2} - \frac{\nu' \lambda'}{4} + \frac{\nu'^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda' - \nu'}{2r} \right] = 8\pi P_t + \frac{q^2}{r^4}, \quad (12)$$

solving Eqs.(10)-(12) simultaneously lead to hydrostatic equilibrium equation [19]

$$\frac{dP_r}{dr} - \frac{2}{r} \left(\Delta + \frac{qq'}{8\pi r^3} \right) + \frac{(4\pi r^4 P_r - q^2 + mr)}{r(r^2 - 2mr + q^2)} = 0, \quad (13)$$

where we have used $\Delta = (P_t - P_r)$.

The junction conditions are commonly used to relate inner and outer regions of CO over a boundary surface. The choice of outer geometry totally relies on the inner fluid distribution of CO. For spherical symmetry, if matter in the interior of a star is charged anisotropic, we take the Reissner-Nordström space-time as the exterior geometry

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2. \quad (14)$$

Junction conditions have very significant role in the study of CO. These conditions elaborates whether the combination of two space-time metrics provide a physically viable solution or not when a hyper-surface divides the space-time into interior and exterior regions. The smooth matching of interior and exterior regions through first and second fundamental forms [24-26] yields the following relations on the boundary surface, i.e.,

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right), \quad m(r) = M, \quad q(r) = Q, \quad P_r = 0, \quad (15)$$

and Misner-Sharp mass leads to [27, 28]

$$m(r) = \frac{r}{2} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda} + \frac{q^2}{r^2} \right). \quad (16)$$

In spherical symmetry the Misner-Sharp mass can be described as a measure of the total energy within a sphere of a radius r at a time t . The theory of

polytropes is based on the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium and polytropic EoS. In this work, we discuss Newtonian and relativistic polytropes by using generalized polytropic EoS, which is the combination of linear and polytropic EoS.

3 Newtonian Polytrope

In the framework of Newtonian gravity, the polytropic EoS are very useful in describing a huge variety of situations like inner pressure, types of inner fluid distribution etc. In this section, we formulate Newtonian polytropes through hydrostatic equilibrium equation in the scenario of generalized polytropic equation of state. Polytropes are supposed to be in hydrostatic equilibrium, we have to keep in mind that the appearance of cracking occurs when the system is taken out of equilibrium. We consider the following basic equations [7]

$$\frac{dP_r}{dr} = -\frac{d\phi}{dr}\rho_o, \quad (17)$$

and in spherical coordinates the Poisson equation is given by

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{d\phi}{dr} \right) = 4\pi\rho_o, \quad (18)$$

here ρ_o is the mass density and ϕ is taken to be Newtonian gravitational potential. The above Eqs.(17)-(18) along with the generalized polytropic EoS [21, 22]

$$P_r = \alpha_1\rho_o + K\rho_o^\gamma = \alpha_1\rho_o + K\rho_o^{1+\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (19)$$

leads to modified LEE (for $\gamma \neq 1$). Here K is the polytropic constant and n is the polytropic index. We take

$$\rho_o = \rho_{gc}\theta^n(r), \quad (20)$$

where ρ_{gc} is the density evaluated at center c , using Eq.(19)-(20) in Eq.(17), we get

$$\frac{n\alpha_1\theta'}{\theta} + (n+1)K\rho_{gc}\theta' = -\frac{d\phi}{dr}. \quad (21)$$

From Eqs. (18), (20) and (21), we obtain

$$-A_3^2 \left(\frac{r}{A_2} \right)^{-2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{r^2\theta'}{\theta} \right) - \left(\frac{r}{A_2} \right)^{-2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2\theta' \right) = \theta^n, \quad (22)$$

where A_2^2 and A_3^2 are given by

$$A_2^2 = \frac{4\pi\rho_{gc}^{1-\frac{1}{n}}}{K(n+1)}, \quad A_3^2 = \frac{Kn(n+1)\alpha_1}{16\pi^2\rho_{gc}^{2-\frac{1}{n}}}. \quad (23)$$

Inserting $\xi = \frac{r}{A_2}$ in above equation, we obtained the modified form of LEE

$$\left(\frac{A_3^2 + \theta}{\theta}\right)\frac{d^2\theta}{d\xi^2} + \frac{2}{\xi}\left(\frac{A_3^2 + \theta}{\theta}\right)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi} - \left(\frac{A_3^2}{\theta^2}\right)\left(\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}\right)^2 + \theta^n = 0, \quad (24)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}(\xi = 0) = 0, \quad \theta(\xi = 0) = 1. \quad (25)$$

The boundary of sphere is defined by $\xi = \xi_n$, such that $\theta(\xi_n) = 0$.

4 The Relativistic Polytropes

This section deals with the relativistic configuration of polytropes with generalized EoS. The generalized polytropic EoS is the linear combination of linear EoS “ $P_r = \alpha_1\rho_o$ ” and polytropic EoS “ $P_r = K\rho_o^{1+\frac{1}{n}}$ ”. The linear EoS describes pressureless ($\alpha_1 = 0$) or radiation ($\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{3}$) matter. The polytropic part describes cosmology of the early universe for positive values of polytropic index whereas it elaborates the late time universe with negative values of polytropic index [21, 22]. In order to discuss the cosmic behavior, “ ρ_o ” was taken to be Planck density but for relativistic discussion we will consider it as mass density and total energy density in case **1** and case **2** respectively. Here, we shall present the general formalism for relativistic polytropes with generalized polytropic EoS and investigate the presence of charge in the following two cases.

4.1 Case 1

Here, we consider the generalized polytropic EoS as

$$P_r = \alpha_1\rho_o + K\rho_o^\gamma = \alpha_1\rho_o + K\rho_o^{1+\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (26)$$

so that the original polytropic part remain conserved, also the mass density ρ_o is related to total energy density ρ as [10]

$$\rho = \rho_o + nP_r. \quad (27)$$

Now taking following assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{P_{rc}}{\rho_{gc}}, \quad \alpha_2 = 1 + (n+1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta), \quad \alpha_3 = (n+1)\alpha, \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{4\pi P_{rc}q^2}{\alpha\alpha_3}, \\ r &= \frac{\xi}{A}, \quad \rho_o = \rho_{gc}\theta^n, \quad m(r) = \frac{4\pi\rho_{gc}v(\xi)}{A^3}, \quad A^2 = \frac{4\pi\rho_{gc}}{(n+1)\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where P_{rc} is the pressure at center of the star, ρ_{gc} is the mass density evaluated at the center of CO, ξ , θ and v are dimensionless variables. Using above assumptions along with EoS (26), the hydrostatic equilibrium equation Eq.(13) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - 2\alpha_3 \frac{v(\xi)}{\xi} + \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2}\right) \left(\frac{n\alpha_1\theta^{-1} + \alpha_3}{\alpha_2\alpha_3} \xi^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} - 2 \frac{\alpha^2\alpha_3^2\xi^3\Delta + 2\pi P_{rc}^2 q \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha\alpha_2\alpha_3^3 P_{rc}\xi^2} \theta^{-n}\right) \\ - \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3\xi} + v(\xi) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta)\xi^3\theta^n = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Now differentiating Eq.(16) with respect to “ r ” and using the assumptions given in Eq.(28), we get

$$\frac{dv(\xi)}{d\xi} = \xi^2\theta^n(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha\theta) - \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3\xi^2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3\xi q} \frac{dq}{d\xi}. \quad (30)$$

Thus Eq.(29) coupled with Eq.(30) yields modified LEe (see appendix Eq.(53)) which describe the relativistic polytropes in the presence of charge q with generalized polytropic EoS.

4.2 Case 2

Here, we consider the generalized polytropic EoS as

$$P_r = \alpha_1\rho + K\rho^{1+\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (31)$$

where mass density ρ_o is replaced by total energy density ρ in Eq.(26) and they are related to each other as [10]

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_o}{\left(1 - K\rho_o^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^n}. \quad (32)$$

We take following assumptions

$$\alpha = \frac{P_{rc}}{\rho_c}, \quad \alpha_5 = 1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha\theta,$$

$$r = \frac{\xi}{A}, \quad \rho_o = \rho_c\theta^n, \quad m(r) = \frac{4\pi\rho_c v(\xi)}{A^3}, \quad A^2 = \frac{4\pi\rho_c}{(n+1)\alpha}, \quad (33)$$

where c represents the quantity at center of the star, α_2 , α_3 and α_4 are same expressions as in Eq.(28) with α defined in Eq.(33). Using above assumptions along with EoS (31), the hydrostatic equilibrium equation (13) becomes

$$\left(1 - 2\alpha_3 \frac{v(\xi)}{\xi} + \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2}\right) \left(\frac{n\alpha_1\theta^{-1} + \alpha_3}{\alpha_3\alpha_5} \xi^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} - 2 \frac{\alpha^2 \alpha_3^2 \xi^3 \Delta + 2\pi P_{rc}^2 q \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha \alpha_3^3 \alpha_5 P_{rc} \xi^2} \theta^{-n}\right) - \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3 \xi} + v(\xi) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta) \xi^3 \theta^n = 0. \quad (34)$$

Now differentiating Eq.(16) with respect to “ r ” and using assumptions given in Eq.(33), we get

$$\frac{dv(\xi)}{d\xi} = \xi^2 \theta^n - \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3 \xi^2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3 \xi q} \frac{dq}{d\xi}. \quad (35)$$

Eq.(34) coupled with Eq.(35) gives modified LEE (see appendix Eq.(54)) represent the relativistic polytropes in the presence of charge q with generalized polytropic EoS.

5 Energy Conditions and Conformally Flat Condition

The energy conditions in GR are designed to obtain maximum possible information without enforcing a particular EoS. The energy conditions are provided with the sense that energy density cannot be negative because if it allows the random $+ve$ and $-ve$ energy regions, the empty space would become unstable. The energy conditions satisfied by all the spherically symmetric models are [19, 29]

$$\rho + \frac{q^2}{8\pi r^4} > 0, \quad \frac{P_r}{\rho} \leq 1 + \frac{q^2}{4\pi\rho r^4}, \quad \frac{P_t}{\rho} \leq 1. \quad (36)$$

For case **1** the conditions given in Eq.(36) turn out to be

$$1 + n(\alpha + \alpha_1)\theta + \frac{\alpha_4\theta^{-n}}{2\alpha_3\xi^4} > 0, \quad 1 \leq n + (\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta)^{-1} + \frac{\alpha_4\theta^{-n}}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta)\alpha_3\xi^4},$$

$$\frac{3v(\xi)}{\xi^3} + \frac{2\alpha_4\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3q\xi^3} + \alpha_1\theta + \alpha\theta^{n+1} \leq \frac{4\alpha_4}{\alpha_3\xi^3}, \quad (37)$$

and for case **2** the conditions given in Eq.(36) emerge as

$$1 + \frac{\alpha_4\theta^{-n}}{\alpha_3\xi^4} > 0, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha\theta - \frac{\alpha_4\theta^{-n}}{\alpha_3\xi^4} \leq 1, \quad \frac{3v(\xi)}{\xi^3} + \frac{2\alpha_4\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3q\xi^3} + \alpha_1 + \alpha\theta^{n+1} \leq \frac{4\alpha_4}{\alpha_3\xi^4}. \quad (38)$$

We observe that coupled Eqs.(29)-(30) and Eqs.(34)-(35) form a system of differential equations. These systems involve three variables and we want some additional information to study polytropic CO. We use conformally flat condition to reduce one variable in the above said system of equations. The electric part of Weyl tensor is related to Weyl scalar given by [10, 19]

$$W = \frac{r^3 e^{-\lambda}}{6} \left(\frac{e^\lambda}{r^2} + \frac{\lambda'\nu'}{4} - \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\nu'^2}{4} - \frac{\nu''}{2} - \frac{\lambda'\nu'}{2r} \right). \quad (39)$$

Now using conformally flat condition, i.e., $W = 0$, along with field equations Eqs.(10)-(12) in equation Eq.(39), we get

$$\Delta = P_t - P_r = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{e^\lambda}{r^2} - \frac{\lambda'}{2r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - \frac{q^2}{4\pi r^4}. \quad (40)$$

The above equation along with Eq.(28) and Eq.(30) for case **1** yields

$$\Delta = \rho_{gc} \left((1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha\theta)\theta^n + 3\frac{v(\xi)}{\xi^3} - 4\frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3\xi^4} + 2\frac{\alpha_4\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3q\xi^3} \right). \quad (41)$$

Similarly, anisotropy parameter for case **2** turn out to be

$$\Delta = \rho_c \left(\theta^n + 3\frac{v(\xi)}{\xi^3} - 4\frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3\xi^4} + 2\frac{\alpha_4\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3q\xi^3} \right). \quad (42)$$

Using Eq.(41) in Eq.(29), we obtain first equation of coupled differential system corresponding to case **1**

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - 2\alpha_3 \frac{v(\xi)}{\xi^2} + \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2}\right) \left(\frac{n\alpha_1\theta^{-1} + \alpha_3\xi^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_2\alpha_3} - \frac{2\xi}{\alpha_2\alpha_3}(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha\theta) + \right. \\ & \left. \left(-\frac{6\alpha v(\xi)}{\alpha_2\alpha_3 P_{rc}\xi^2} + \frac{8\alpha\alpha_4}{\alpha_2\alpha_3^2 P_{rc}\xi^3} - \frac{4\alpha\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_2\alpha_3^2} + \frac{4\pi P_{rc}^2 q \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha\alpha_2\alpha_3^3 \xi^2}\right)\theta^{-n}\right) \\ & - \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3\xi} + v(\xi) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta)\xi^3\theta^n = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The above equation coupled with Eq.(30) provides modified LEE (see appendix Eq.(55)) which describe the conformally flat polytrope for case **1**. In the same way, for case **2**, using Eq.(41) in Eq.(34), we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - 2\alpha_3 \frac{v(\xi)}{\xi} + \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2}\right) \left(\frac{n\alpha_1\theta^{-1} + \alpha_3\xi^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3\alpha_5} - \frac{2\xi}{\alpha_3\alpha_5} + \left(-\frac{6v(\xi)}{\alpha_3\alpha_5 P_{rc}\xi^2} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{8\alpha_4}{\alpha_3\alpha_5 P_{rc}\xi^3} - \frac{4\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3^2\alpha_5 q\xi^2} - \frac{4\pi P_{rc} q \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha\alpha_3^3 \xi^2}\right)\theta^{-n}\right) \\ & - \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3\xi} + v(\xi) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta)\xi^3\theta^n = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The above equation coupled with Eq.(35) leads to modified LEE (see appendix Eq.(56)) for conformally flat polytropes (case **2**).

6 Stability Analysis

The stability of the model can be discussed by means of Tolman mass, which measure the active gravitational mass of CO. The important feature of Tolman mass is that it can be evaluated by integrating over the region occupied by matter or electromagnetic energy [30-32]. The modified form of Tolman mass for anisotropic spherically symmetric metric (1) is given by [19]

$$m_T = M \frac{r_\Sigma^3}{r^3} + r^3 \int_r^{r_\Sigma} e^{(\lambda+\nu)/2} \left(\frac{2}{\tilde{r}^4} W - \frac{4\pi\Delta}{\tilde{r}} + \frac{q^2}{16\tilde{r}^5} \right) d\tilde{r}, \quad (45)$$

where Σ represents the values calculated at the boundary of CO. In order to get an expression for ν , we will solve Einstein-Maxwell field Eqs.(10)-(12) simultaneously, we have

$$\frac{\nu'}{2} = \frac{(4\pi r^4 P_r - q^2 + mr)}{r(r^2 - 2mr + q^2)}. \quad (46)$$

The integration of above equation yields

$$\nu = \nu_\Sigma - \int_r^{r_\Sigma} 2 \frac{(4\pi r^4 P_r - q^2 + mr)}{r(r^2 - 2mr + q^2)} dr. \quad (47)$$

We define the dimensionless variables as

$$x = \frac{r}{r_\Sigma} = \frac{\xi}{\tilde{A}}, \quad \tilde{A} = Ar_\Sigma, \quad y = \frac{M}{r_\Sigma}, \quad \tilde{m} = \frac{m}{M}. \quad (48)$$

For case **1**, using Eqs.(28), (46) and (48) in Eq.(45), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_T}{M} &= x^3 + \frac{(n+1)\alpha x^3 \tilde{A}^2}{4\pi y} \int_x^1 \left(\frac{1 - 2y + Q^2/r_\Sigma^2}{1 - 2(n+1)\alpha v/x\tilde{A} + q^2/x^2 r_\Sigma^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \exp\left(\int_x^1 - \frac{4\pi(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta)\theta^n x^4 r_\Sigma^4 - q^2(n+1)\alpha v x r_\Sigma^2/\tilde{A}}{r_\Sigma^3 x^4 - 2(n+1)\alpha v r_\Sigma^4 x^3/\tilde{A} + q^2 r_\Sigma^2 x} \right) dx \\ &\times \left(\frac{-4\pi\Omega}{x r_\Sigma} + \frac{q^2}{16x^5 r_\Sigma \rho_{gc}} \right) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where $y = \frac{(n+1)\alpha v_\Sigma}{\xi_\Sigma}$ and Ω is given by

$$\Omega = \frac{\Delta}{\rho_{gc}} = \left((1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha\theta)\theta^n + 3\frac{v(\xi)}{\xi^3} - 4\frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3 \xi^4} + 2\frac{\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 q \xi^3} \right). \quad (50)$$

For case **2**, inserting Eqs.(33), (46) and (48) in Eq.(45), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_T}{M} &= x^3 + \frac{(n+1)\alpha x^3 \tilde{A}^2}{4\pi y} \int_x^1 \left(\frac{1 - 2y + Q^2/r_\Sigma^2}{1 - 2(n+1)\alpha v/x\tilde{A} + q^2/x^2 r_\Sigma^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \exp\left(\int_x^1 - \frac{4\pi(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta)\theta^n x^4 r_\Sigma^4 - q^2(n+1)\alpha v x r_\Sigma^2/\tilde{A}}{r_\Sigma^3 x^4 - 2(n+1)\alpha v r_\Sigma^4 x^3/\tilde{A} + q^2 r_\Sigma^2 x} \right) dx \\ &\times \left(\frac{-4\pi\Omega}{x r_\Sigma} + \frac{q^2}{16x^5 r_\Sigma \rho_c} \right) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

and Ω is given by

$$\Omega = \frac{\Delta}{\rho_{gc}} = \left(\theta^n + 3\frac{v(\xi)}{\xi^3} - 4\frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_3 \xi^4} + 2\frac{\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 q \xi^3} \right). \quad (52)$$




Figure 1: Case 1: $\frac{m_T}{M}$ as a function of x for $n = 1$, curve a: $\alpha = 8 \times 10^{-11}$, $y=0.3991$, $Q=0.2 M_\odot$, curve b: $\alpha = 10^{-10}$, $y=0.4091$, $Q=0.4 M_\odot$, curve c: $\alpha = 2 \times 10^{-10}$, $y=0.3998$, $Q=0.6 M_\odot$, curve d: $\alpha = 4 \times 10^{-10}$, $y=0.3858$, $Q=0.64 M_\odot$.

In order to study the physical viability of these models, we have calculated the Tolman mass whose behavior describes some physical features of these models, particularly stability of the model. Figures **1-3** have been plotted for **case 1** (relativistic polytropes) corresponding to different parametric values n , α , y , Q and $\alpha_1 = 0.5$ [10, 19]. Figure **1** shows that Tolman mass is gradually decreasing and does not show any abnormal behavior as the value of α and charge Q increases. The curves become steeper with higher values of α and charge Q but they remain positive which is consistent with the results of Herrera et al. [10] for neutral polytropes. It is noted that for smaller values of y , the sphere gets more compact which corresponds to equilibrium configuration. This behavior is shown by the migration of Tolman mass towards the boundary surface. In terms of stability, it is happened due to sharper reduction of the active gravitational mass in the inner regions of the sphere which may correspond to more stable configurations for small values of y . The smooth behavior of Figure **2** describes the solution of Eq.(30) which shows that the stability of the model can be enhanced through decreasing the values of y . Figure **3** represents the anisotropy of the model which is




Figure 2: Case 1: $\frac{v}{v_{\Sigma}}$ as a function of x for $n = 1$, curve a : $\alpha = 8 \times 10^{-11}$, $y=0.3991$, $Q=0.2 M_{\odot}$, curve b : $\alpha = 10^{-10}$, $y=0.4091$, $Q=0.4 M_{\odot}$, curve c : $\alpha = 2 \times 10^{-10}$, $y=0.3998$, $Q=0.6 M_{\odot}$, curve d : $\alpha = 4 \times 10^{-10}$, $y=0.3858$, $Q=0.64 M_{\odot}$.




Figure 3: Case 1: Ω as a function of x for $n = 1$, curve a : $\alpha = 8 \times 10^{-11}$, $y=0.3991$, $Q=0.2 M_{\odot}$, curve b : $\alpha = 10^{-10}$, $y=0.4091$, $Q=0.4 M_{\odot}$, curve c : $\alpha = 2 \times 10^{-10}$, $y=0.3998$, $Q=0.6 M_{\odot}$.

larger near the center and become weaker near the surface. For **case 2**, the model does not satisfy the energy conditions presented in Eq.(38) for various values of parameters. These results are consistent with [10, 19] for charge and neutral polytropes.

7 Conclusion and Discussion

In this work, we have developed the general framework to discuss the Newtonian and relativistic polytropes with generalized polytropic EoS in the presence of electromagnetic field under conformally flat condition. The generalized polytropic EoS $P_r = \alpha_1 \rho_o + K \rho_o^{1+\frac{1}{n}}$ is the union of linear and polytropic EoS. It is widely used in cosmology to explain different era of universe with the help of mathematical models. In cosmic scenario “ ρ_o ” was taken to be Planck density but for relativistic discussion we have taken it as mass density and total energy density in case **1** and case **2**, respectively. In order to discuss the physical features of Newtonian and relativistic polytropes, we have formulated the general formalism to obtain modified LEE. The solutions of the LEE are called polytropes, which depend on density profile function of dimensionless radius ξ and the order of solution is constrained with value of polytropic index n . The LEE are helpful to explain relativistic CO as they produced simple solution to describe the internal structure of CO. But the cost of simplicity is a power law relationship between pressure and density which should be valid throughout the inner matter of CO. The LEE have been initially developed for relativistic charged polytropes with anisotropic factor involved in them (see Eqs.(53) and (54)), which have three unknown variables. The conformally flat conditions is used to simplify these equations by eliminating anisotropy factor, which corresponds to modified LEE (see Eqs.(55) and (56)), for charged polytropic spheres in the context of generalized polytropic EoS. The energy conditions are helpful in order to check the physical viability of CO in GR without enforcing EoS. The energy conditions for both cases of relativistic polytropes has been developed in the presence of charge.

The stability of the relativistic polytropes is analyzed with the help of Tolman mass. For case **1**, the model behaved well as shown in Figures **1-3**. The model developed here are anisotropic (in pressure) and helped us to discuss highly charged CO solutions. Figure **1** represents the physical behavior of Tolman mass for different values of charge and model’s parame-

ters [10, 19]. The Tolman mass which is the measure of active gravitational mass gradually shifts towards the boundary surface but remain stable and did not presents any fluctuations. Figure **1** represents that slow migration of the Tolman mass towards the boundary as charge increases corresponds to more stability of the model. It is worth mentioning here that our results for Tolman mass are considerably consistent with work of Herrera et al. [10] for polytropic EoS.

Figure **2** describes the solution of Eq.(30) which shows that the stability of the model can be enhanced through decreasing the values of y . This figure has been plotted for indicated values of triplet n, α, Q and shows stable behavior for small values of α . Also, the configuration of solution remain stable for increasing value of charge, although solution curves start bending towards the boundary of the CO as shown in Figure **2**. It is also evident that the behavior of parameter is disperse in the beginning i.e., near the center of CO and it becomes more consistent as we move towards the boundary with the increase of charge. So, we can say charge is the stabilizing factor here for relativistic polytropes with generalized polytropic EoS. Thus, the discussion of charge is essential for the study and exploration of relativistic polytropic CO for their physical viability.

The inclusion of anisotropy plays a vital role to study the astrophysical CO. The inner fluid distribution is assumed to be anisotropic for spherically symmetric CO. Figure **3** represents the graphs of Ω , which is the ratio of anisotropy and central mass density. The curves remains stable even after increase of charge but rapidly move towards boundary of sphere and this phenomenon shows that anisotropy is affected by the presence of electromagnetic field. Hence, the presence of anisotropy with charge may lead towards gravitational collapse of polytropic CO and can also be a source of cracking phenomenon. The presence of cracking can be tested by means of methods provided by Herrera [33] and Gonzalez [34]. In this work, methods for the development of generalized LEE have been presented. We observe that, in case **2** polytropes are not physically viable due to violation of energy conditions.

Appendix

$$\begin{aligned}
& 3\xi^2\theta^n(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta) + \xi^2\theta^n(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha\theta) + \\
& \frac{\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\xi q \alpha_3} + \alpha\xi^3\theta^n \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} + n\xi^3\theta^{-1+n}(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} - \frac{\frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\xi\alpha_3} + \\
& \left(-\frac{2\theta^{-n}(\alpha^2\xi^3\alpha_3^2\Delta + 2\pi q P_{rc}^2 \frac{dq}{d\xi})}{\alpha\xi^2 P_{rc} \alpha_3^3 \alpha_2} + \frac{\xi^2(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_2} \right) \\
& \left(\frac{2\alpha_3 v(\xi)}{\xi^2} - \frac{2\alpha_4}{\xi^3} - \frac{2\alpha_3(\xi^2\theta^n(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha\theta) - \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2\alpha_3} + \frac{\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\xi q \alpha_3})}{\xi} + \frac{\frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\xi^2} \right) + \\
& \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha_3 v(\xi)}{\xi} + \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2} \right) \left(\frac{4\theta^{-n}(\alpha^2\xi^3\alpha_3^2\Delta + 2\pi q P_{rc}^2 \frac{dq}{d\xi})}{\alpha\xi^3 P_{rc} \alpha_3^3 \alpha_2} + \frac{2\xi(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_2} + \right. \\
& \frac{2n\theta^{-1-n}(\alpha^2\xi^3\alpha_3^2\Delta + 2\pi q P_{rc}^2 \frac{dq}{d\xi}) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha\xi^2 P_{rc} \alpha_3^3 \alpha_2} - \frac{n\xi^2\alpha_1(\frac{d\theta}{d\xi})^2}{\alpha_3\theta^2\alpha_2} + \\
& \frac{2\theta^{-n}(\alpha^2\xi^3\alpha_3^2\Delta + 2\pi q P_{rc}^2 \frac{dq}{d\xi}) \frac{d\alpha_2}{d\xi}}{\alpha\xi^2 P_{rc} \alpha_3^3 \alpha_2^2} - \frac{\xi^2(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} \frac{d\alpha_2}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_2^2} - \\
& \frac{2\theta^{-n}(3\alpha^2\xi^2\alpha_3^2\Delta + 2\pi P_{rc}^2(\frac{dq}{d\xi})^2 + \alpha^2\xi^3\alpha_3^2 \frac{d\Delta}{d\xi} + 2\pi q P_{rc}^2 \frac{d^2q}{d\xi^2})}{\alpha\xi^2 P_{rc} \alpha_3^3 \alpha_2} + \\
& \left. \frac{\xi^2(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta}) \frac{d^2\theta}{d\xi^2}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_2} \right) = 0, \tag{53}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xi^2\theta^n + 3\xi^2\theta^n(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta) + \frac{\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\xi q \alpha_3} + \alpha\xi^3\theta^n \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} + n\xi^3\theta^{-1+n}(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} \\
& - \frac{\frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\xi\alpha_3} + \left(-\frac{2\theta^{-n}(\alpha^2\xi^3\alpha_3^2\Delta + 2\pi q P_{rc}^2 \frac{dq}{d\xi})}{\alpha\xi^2 P_{rc} \alpha_3^3 \alpha_5} + \frac{\xi^2(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_5} \right) \\
& \left(\frac{2\alpha_3 v}{\xi^2} - \frac{2\alpha_4}{\xi^3} - \frac{2\alpha_3(\xi^2\theta^n - \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2\alpha_3} + \frac{\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\xi q \alpha_3})}{\xi} + \frac{\frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\xi^2} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha_3 v}{\xi} + \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2} \right) \\
& \left(\frac{4\theta^{-n}(\alpha^2\xi^3\alpha_3^2\Delta + 2\pi q P_{rc}^2 \frac{dq}{d\xi})}{\alpha\xi^3 P_{rc} \alpha_3^3 \alpha_5} + \frac{2\xi(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_5} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{2n\theta^{-1-n}(\alpha^2\xi^3\alpha_3^2\Delta + 2\pi q P_{rc}^2 \frac{dq}{d\xi}) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha\xi^2 P_{rc} \alpha_3^3 \alpha_5} - \frac{n\xi^2\alpha_1(\frac{d\theta}{d\xi})^2}{\alpha_3\theta^2\alpha_5} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2\theta^{-n}(\alpha^2\xi^3\alpha_3^2\Delta + 2\pi qP_{rc}^2\frac{dq}{d\xi})\frac{d\alpha_5}{d\xi}}{\alpha\xi^2P_{rc}\alpha_3^2\alpha_5^2} - \frac{\xi^2(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta})\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}\frac{d\alpha_5}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3\alpha_5^2} \\
& - \frac{2\theta^{-n}(3\alpha^2\xi^2\alpha_3^2\Delta + 2\pi P_{rc}^2(\frac{dq}{d\xi})^2 + \alpha^2\xi^3\alpha_3^2\frac{d\Delta}{d\xi} + 2\pi qP_{rc}^2\frac{d^2q}{d\xi^2})}{\alpha\xi^2P_{rc}\alpha_3^2\alpha_5} \\
& + \frac{\xi^2(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta})\frac{d^2\theta}{d\xi^2}}{\alpha_3\alpha_5} \Big) = 0, \tag{54}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 3\xi^2\theta^n(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta) + \xi^2\theta^n(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha\theta) + \\
& \frac{\alpha_4\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\xi q\alpha_3} + \alpha\xi^3\theta^n\frac{d\theta}{d\xi} + n\xi^3\theta^{-1+n}(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi} - \\
& \frac{\frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\xi\alpha_3} + \left(-\frac{2\xi(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha_1\theta)}{\alpha_3\alpha_2} + \theta^{-n}\left(-\frac{6\alpha v(\xi)}{\xi^2P_{rc}\alpha_3\alpha_2} + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \frac{8\alpha\alpha_4}{\xi^3P_{rc}\alpha_3^2\alpha_2} + \frac{4\pi qP_{rc}^2\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha\xi^2\alpha_3^3\alpha_2} - \frac{4\alpha\alpha_4\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3^2\alpha_2} \right) + \frac{\xi^2(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta})\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3\alpha_2} \right) \\
& \left(\frac{2\alpha_3v(\xi)}{\xi^2} - \frac{2\alpha_4}{\xi^3} - \frac{2\alpha_3(\xi^2\theta^n(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha\theta) - \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2\alpha_3} + \frac{\alpha_4\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\xi q\alpha_3})}{\xi} + \frac{\frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\xi^2} \right) + \\
& \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha_3v(\xi)}{\xi} + \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2} \right) \left(-\frac{2(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha_1\theta)}{\alpha_3\alpha_2} - \frac{2n\xi\alpha_1\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3\alpha_2} + \frac{2\xi(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta})\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3\alpha_2} - \right. \\
& \left. n\theta^{-1-n}\left(-\frac{6\alpha v(\xi)}{\xi^2P_{rc}\alpha_3\alpha_2} + \frac{8\alpha\alpha_4}{\xi^3P_{rc}\alpha_3^2\alpha_2} + \frac{4\pi qP_{rc}^2\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha\xi^2\alpha_3^3\alpha_2} - \frac{4\alpha\alpha_4\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3^2\alpha_2} \right) \right. \\
& \left. \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} - \frac{n\xi^2\alpha_1(\frac{d\theta}{d\xi})^2}{\alpha_3\theta^2\alpha_2} + \frac{2\xi(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha_1\theta)\frac{d\alpha_2}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3\alpha_2^2} - \frac{\xi^2(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta})\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}\frac{d\alpha_2}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3\alpha_2^2} + \right. \\
& \left. \theta^{-n}\left(\frac{12\alpha v(\xi)}{\xi^3P_{rc}\alpha_3\alpha_2} - \frac{24\alpha\alpha_4}{\xi^4P_{rc}\alpha_3^2\alpha_2} - \frac{8\pi qP_{rc}^2\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha\xi^3\alpha_3^3\alpha_2} + \frac{4\pi P_{rc}^2(\frac{dq}{d\xi})^2}{\alpha\xi^2\alpha_3^3\alpha_2} - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \frac{6\alpha(\xi^2\theta^n(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha\theta) - \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2\alpha_3} + \frac{\alpha_4\frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\xi q\alpha_3})}{\xi^2P_{rc}\alpha_3\alpha_2} + \frac{6\alpha v(\xi)\frac{d\alpha_2}{d\xi}}{\xi^2P_{rc}\alpha_3\alpha_2^2} - \right. \\
& \left. \frac{8\alpha\alpha_4\frac{d\alpha_2}{d\xi}}{\xi^3P_{rc}\alpha_3^2\alpha_2^2} - \frac{4\pi qP_{rc}^2\frac{dq}{d\xi}\frac{d\alpha_2}{d\xi}}{\alpha\xi^2\alpha_3^3\alpha_2^2} + \frac{4\alpha\alpha_4\frac{dq}{d\xi}\frac{d\alpha_2}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3^2\alpha_2^2} + \frac{8\alpha\frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\xi^3P_{rc}\alpha_3^2\alpha_2} - \right. \\
& \left. \frac{4\alpha\frac{dq}{d\xi}\frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3^2\alpha_2} + \frac{4\pi qP_{rc}^2\frac{d^2q}{d\xi^2}}{\alpha\xi^2\alpha_3^3\alpha_2} - \frac{4\alpha\alpha_4\frac{d^2q}{d\xi^2}}{\alpha_3^2\alpha_2} \right) + \frac{\xi^2(\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta})\frac{d^2\theta}{d\xi^2}}{\alpha_3\alpha_2} \Big) = 0, \tag{55}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xi^2 \theta^n + 3\xi^2 \theta^n (\alpha_1 + \alpha \theta) + \frac{\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\xi q \alpha_3} + \\
& \alpha \xi^3 \theta^n \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} + n \xi^3 \theta^{-1+n} (\alpha_1 + \alpha \theta) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} - \frac{\frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\xi \alpha_3} + \\
& \left(-\frac{2\xi}{\alpha_3 \alpha_5} + \theta^{-n} \left(-\frac{6v(\xi)}{\xi^2 P_{rc} \alpha_3 \alpha_5} + \frac{8\alpha_4}{\xi^3 P_{rc} \alpha_3^2 \alpha_5} + \frac{4\pi q P_{rc} \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha \xi^2 \alpha_3^3} - \frac{4\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3^2 \alpha_5} \right) + \right. \\
& \left. \frac{\xi^2 (\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_5} \right) \left(\frac{2\alpha_3 v(\xi)}{\xi^2} - \frac{2\alpha_4}{\xi^3} - \frac{2\alpha_3 (\xi^2 \theta^n - \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2 \alpha_3} + \frac{\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\xi q \alpha_3})}{\xi} + \frac{\frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\xi^2} \right) + \\
& \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha_3 v(\xi)}{\xi} + \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2} \right) \left(-\frac{2}{\alpha_3 \alpha_5} + \frac{2\xi (\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_5} - \right. \\
& \left. n \theta^{-1-n} \left(-\frac{6v(\xi)}{\xi^2 P_{rc} \alpha_3 \alpha_5} + \frac{8\alpha_4}{\xi^3 P_{rc} \alpha_3^2 \alpha_5} + \frac{4\pi q P_{rc} \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha \xi^2 \alpha_3^3} - \frac{4\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3^2 \alpha_5} \right) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} - \right. \\
& \left. \frac{n \xi^2 \alpha_1 (\frac{d\theta}{d\xi})^2}{\alpha_3 \theta^2 \alpha_5} + \frac{2\xi \frac{d\alpha_5}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_5^2} - \frac{\xi^2 (\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} \frac{d\alpha_5}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_5^2} + \right. \\
& \left. \theta^{-n} \left(\frac{12v(\xi)}{\xi^3 P_{rc} \alpha_3 \alpha_5} - \frac{24\alpha_4}{\xi^4 P_{rc} \alpha_3^2 \alpha_5} - \frac{8\pi q P_{rc} \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\alpha \xi^3 \alpha_3^3} + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \frac{4\pi P_{rc} (\frac{dq}{d\xi})^2}{\alpha \xi^2 \alpha_3^3} - \frac{6(\xi^2 \theta^n - \frac{\alpha_4}{\xi^2 \alpha_3} + \frac{\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi}}{\xi q \alpha_3})}{\xi^2 P_{rc} \alpha_3 \alpha_5} + \frac{8 \frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\xi^3 P_{rc} \alpha_3^2 \alpha_5} - \right. \\
& \left. \frac{4 \frac{dq}{d\xi} \frac{d\alpha_4}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3^2 \alpha_5} + \frac{6v(\xi) \frac{d\alpha_5}{d\xi}}{\xi^2 P_{rc} \alpha_3 \alpha_5^2} - \frac{8\alpha_4 \frac{d\alpha_5}{d\xi}}{\xi^3 P_{rc} \alpha_3^2 \alpha_5^2} + \frac{4\alpha_4 \frac{dq}{d\xi} \frac{d\alpha_5}{d\xi}}{\alpha_3^2 \alpha_5^2} + \right. \\
& \left. \frac{4\pi q P_{rc} \frac{d^2 q}{d\xi^2}}{\alpha \xi^2 \alpha_3^3} - \frac{4\alpha_4 \frac{d^2 q}{d\xi^2}}{\alpha_3^2 \alpha_5} \right) + \frac{\xi^2 (\alpha_3 + \frac{n\alpha_1}{\theta}) \frac{d^2 \theta}{d\xi^2}}{\alpha_3 \alpha_5} \Big) = 0. \tag{56}
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Chandrasekhar, S.: An introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure, University of Chicago, Chicago, (1939)
- [2] Tooper, R. F.: *Astrophys. J.* **140**, 434 (1964); *ibid.* **142**, 1541 (1965)
- [3] Kovetz, A.: *Astrophys. J.* **154**, 999 (1968)

- [4] Abramowicz, M. A.: *Acta Astronomica* **33**, 313 (1983)
- [5] Cosenza, M., Herrera, L., Esculpi, M., Witten. L.: *J. Math. Phys.* **22**, 118 (1981)
- [6] Herrera, L., Santos, N. O.: *Phys. Rep.* **286**, 53 (1997)
- [7] Herrera, L., Barreto, W.: *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **36**, 127 (2004)
- [8] Herrera, L., Di Prisco, A., Martin, J., Ospino, J., Santos, N. O., Troconis, O.: *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 084026 (2004).
- [9] Herrera, L., Barreto, W.: *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 087303 (2013); *ibid.* *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 084022 (2013)
- [10] Herrera, L., Di Prisco, A., Barreto, W., Ospino, J.: *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **46**, 1827 (2014)
- [11] Herrera, L., Fuenmayor, E., A., Leon, P.,: *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 024247 (2016)
- [12] Bekenstein, J. D.: *Phys. Rev. D* **4**, 2185 (1960)
- [13] Bonnor, W. B.: *Zeit. Phys.* **160**, 59 (1960); *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **129**, 443 (1964)
- [14] Bondi, H.: *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **281**, 39 (1964)
- [15] Koppar, S. S., Patel, L. K., Singh, T.: *Acta Phys. Hung.* **69**, 53 (1991)
- [16] Ray, S., Malheiro, M., Lemos, J.P.S., Zanchin, V.T.: *Braz. J. Phys.* **34**, 310 (2004)
- [17] Herrera, L., Di Prisco, A., Ibanez, J.: *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 107501 (2011)
- [18] Takisa, P. M., Maharaj, S. D.: *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **45**, 1951 (2013)
- [19] Sharif, M., Sadiq, S.: *Can. J. Phys.* **93**, 1420 (2015)
- [20] Azam, M., Mardan, S. A., Rehman, M. A.: *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **358**, 6 (2015); *ibid.* **359**, 14 (2015); *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2015**, 865086 (2015); *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **65**, 575 (2016)

- [21] Chavanis, P. H.: Eur. Phys. J. Plus **129**, 38 (2014)
- [22] Chavanis, P. H.: Eur. Phys. J. Plus **129**, 222 (2014)
- [23] Freitas, R. C., Goncalves, S. V. B.: Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 3217 (2014)
- [24] Darmois, G.: Memorial des Sciences Mathematiques, Fasc. 25 (Gauthier-Villars, 1927)
- [25] Israel, W.: Nuovo Cimento B **44S10** 1 (1966);ibid. Erratum **B48**, 463 (1967)
- [26] Sharif, M., Azam, M.: JCAP **02**, 043 (2012)
- [27] Misner, C. W., Sharp, D. H.: Phys. Rev. **136**, B571 (1964)
- [28] Nielsen, A. B., Yeom, D. H.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24**, 5261 (2009)
- [29] Visser, M.:Phys. Rev. D **56**, 7578 (1997)
- [30] Tolman, R. C.; Phys. Rev. **35**, 875 (1930)
- [31] Tolman, R. C.: Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology (Clarendon, Oxford) (1962)
- [32] Florides, P. S.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **26**, 1145 (1994)
- [33] Herrera, L.: Phys. Lett. A **165**, 206 (1992)
- [34] Gonzalez, G. A., Navarro, A. and Nunez, L. A.: J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **600**, 012014 (2015)