

CONSTRUCTION OF A MINIMAL MASS BLOW UP SOLUTION OF THE MODIFIED BENJAMIN-ONO EQUATION

YVAN MARTEL AND DIDIER PILOD

ABSTRACT. We construct a minimal mass blow up solution of the modified Benjamin-Ono equation (mBO)

$$u_t + (u^3 - D^1 u)_x = 0, \quad (\text{mBO})$$

which is a standard mass critical dispersive model. Let $Q \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $Q > 0$, be the unique ground state solution of $D^1 Q + Q = Q^3$, constructed using variational arguments by Weinstein (Comm. PDE, 12 (1987), J. Diff. Eq., 69 (1987)) and Albert, Bona and Saut (Proc. Royal London Soc., 453 (1997)), and whose uniqueness was recently proved by Frank and Lenzmann (Acta Math., 210 (2013)).

We show the existence of a solution S of (mBO) satisfying $\|S\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$ and

$$S(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} Q\left(\frac{\cdot - x(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ as } t \downarrow 0,$$

where

$$\lambda(t) \sim t, \quad x(t) \sim -|\ln t| \quad \text{and} \quad \|S(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|Q\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

This existence result is analogous to the one obtained by Martel, Merle and Raphaël (J. Eur. Math. Soc., 17 (2015)) for the mass critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation. However, in contrast with the (gKdV) equation, for which the blow up problem is now well-understood in a neighborhood of the ground state, S is the first example of blow up solution for (mBO).

The proof involves the construction of a blow up profile, energy estimates as well as refined localization arguments, developed in the context of Benjamin-Ono type equations by Kenig, Martel and Robbiano (Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Lin., 28 (2011)). Due to the lack of information on the (mBO) flow around the ground state, the energy estimates have to be considerably sharpened in the present paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Main result. We consider the modified Benjamin-Ono equation (mBO)

$$u_t + (u^3 - \mathcal{H}u_x)_x = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $u(t, x)$ is a real-valued function and \mathcal{H} denotes the Hilbert transform, defined by

$$\mathcal{H}f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y)}{x - y} dy.$$

Observe that with this convention $\mathcal{H}\partial_x = D^1$, where D^α is the Riesz potential of order $-\alpha$, defined via Fourier transform by $(D^\alpha f)^\wedge(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We see equation (mBO) as a natural generalization of the classical quadratic Benjamin-Ono equation

$$u_t + (u^2 - \mathcal{H}u_x)_x = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

introduced by Benjamin [9] and Ono [53] and intensively studied since then, both mathematically and numerically, as a model for one-dimensional waves in deep water. The cubic

nonlinearity for the Benjamin-Ono model is also relevant as a long wave model, see e.g. Abdelouhab, Bona, Felland and Saut [1] and Bona and Kalisch [10]. At first sight, the relation between (1.1) and (1.2) seems similar to the one between the (cubic) modified KdV equation and the Korteweg-de Vries equation, but (1.1) is not completely integrable and no algebraic relation relates these two models. Another difference is that with dispersion of the Benjamin-Ono type, a cubic nonlinearity leads to instable waves. More generally, nonlinear one dimensional models with weak dispersion seem of great physical interest, see e.g. Klein and Saut [31] and Linares, Pilod and Saut [34]. Equation (mBO) is a typical model with interesting mathematical properties, which can be seen as an intermediate step between the well-studied generalized (KdV) equations and other relevant models with weak dispersion.

The following quantities are formally invariant by the flow associated to (mBO)

$$M(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^4 dx. \quad (1.3)$$

Note the scaling symmetry: if $u(t, x)$ is solution then $u_\lambda(t, x)$ defined by

$$u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} u(\lambda^{-2} t, \lambda^{-1} x) \quad (1.4)$$

is also solution. Since this transformation leaves the L^2 norm invariant, the problem is *mass critical*. Recall that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed in the energy space $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ by the work of Kenig and Takaoka [29]: for any $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a unique (in a certain sense) maximal solution of (1.1) in $C([0, T^*) : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}))$ satisfying $u(0, \cdot) = u_0$. Moreover, the flow map data-solution is locally Lipschitz. (See also Tao [56], respectively Molinet and Ribaud [51, 52] and Kenig, Ponce and Vega [27], for previous related works on the Benjamin-Ono equation, respectively the modified Benjamin-Ono equation.) For such solutions, the quantities $M(u(t))$ and $E(u(t))$ are conserved. Moreover, if $T^* < +\infty$ then $\lim_{t \uparrow T^*} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|_{L^2} = +\infty$ and more precisely, by a scaling argument, $\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|_{L^2} \gtrsim (T^* - t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$, for $t < T^*$ close to T^* . We refer to Sect. 2.4 for more details.

From works of Weinstein [60, 61] and Albert, Bona and Saut [2], there exists an even *ground state* solution $Q \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$, $Q > 0$ of the stationary problem

$$D^1 Q + Q - Q^3 = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

related to the best constant in the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\text{for all } v \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \int v^4 \leq 2 \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} v|^2 \left(\frac{\int v^2}{\int Q^2} \right). \quad (1.6)$$

Frank and Lenzmann [22] proved very general uniqueness results of nonlinear ground states for fractional Laplacians in \mathbb{R} that include the model (1.5). As a consequence, Q is the *unique* ground state solution of (1.5) up to the symmetries of the equation. Their work also includes a decisive description of the spectrum of the linearized operator around Q . We refer to Sect. 2.1 for more details.

Following a classical observation due to Weinstein [57], the conservation laws (1.3), the inequality (1.6) and the Cauchy theory [29] imply that any initial data $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ with subcritical mass, i.e. satisfying $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2}$ generates a *global and bounded* solution in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this paper, we show that this condition is sharp by constructing a *minimal mass blow*

up solution, i.e. a solution of (mBO) which blows up in finite time in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with the threshold mass $\|Q\|_{L^2}$. Actually, this solution is the first example of blow up solution for (mBO).

Theorem 1.1. *There exist $T_0 > 0$ and a solution $S \in C((0, T_0] : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}))$ to (mBO) such that*

$$\|S(t)\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_0], \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$S(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} Q \left(\frac{\cdot - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0, \quad (1.8)$$

where the functions $t \mapsto \lambda(t)$ and $t \mapsto x(t)$ satisfy

$$\lambda(t) \sim t, \quad x(t) \sim -|\ln t| \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0. \quad (1.9)$$

In particular,

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} S(t)\|_{L^2} \sim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} Q\|_{L^2} \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0. \quad (1.10)$$

Note that Theorem 1.1 implies readily the orbital instability (in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and thus also in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$) of the solution $u(t, x) = Q(x - t)$, which also seems to be new for (mBO). Indeed, for any $n > 1/T_0$, let $t_n = 1/n$, $T_n = (T_0 - t_n)\lambda(t_n)^{-2} > 0$, and

$$u_{0,n}(x) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_n) S(t_n, \lambda(t_n)x + x(t_n)) \quad \text{so that} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{0,n} - Q\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 0.$$

Then, the corresponding solution u_n of (1.1) writes, for $t \in [0, T_n]$,

$$u_n(t, x) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_n) S(t_n + \lambda(t_n)^2 t, \lambda(t_n)x + x(t_n))$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \{ \|u_n(T_n) - \lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} Q(\lambda_1 \cdot + x_1)\|_{L^2} : x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_1 > 0 \} \\ & = \inf \{ \|S(T_0) - \lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} Q(\lambda_1 \cdot + x_1)\|_{L^2} : x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_1 > 0 \} = c_0 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

It is also clear that the blow up behavior displayed by the solution S is unstable since for any initial data with mass less than $\|Q\|_{L^2}$, the corresponding solution is global and bounded. From (1.10), we see that $S(t)$ blows up as $t \downarrow 0$ in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ twice as fast as the lower bound given by the Cauchy theory.

1.2. Comments and references. Historically, blow up results for nonlinear dispersive PDE were first obtained by global obstruction arguments, such as the Virial identity for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLS) and related models. More rarely, explicit blow up solutions (the most famous one for (NLS) is reproduced in (1.15)) would give a description of some special forms of blow up. In the 80's and 90's, variational arguments and a refined understanding of the linearized operator around the ground state led to original blow up constructions and classification results related to rescaled solitary waves, see in particular Weinstein [58, 59], Merle and Tsutsumi [50], Merle [44, 45] and Bourgain-Wang [12]. Numerical experiments were also used to try to predict blow up rates. We refer to Cazenave [14] and references therein. Such directions were more recently systematically studied, in particular for the mass critical generalized KdV equation, for mass critical NLS equations and several other related models. A few sample results will be reviewed below (mainly from [37, 38, 41, 47, 48, 49, 54]). It became clear that a refined study of the flow of the evolution equation around the ground state family was the key to the understanding of the blow up dynamics with one bubble,

both for *stable* and *unstable* forms of blow up. Theorem 1.1 above belongs to this category of results and methods, providing a quite explicit blow up solution. In a situation where very few is known on the flow around the ground state, considering a “doubly critical” situation (both critical exponent and critical mass) is a way to enjoy a lot of structure and rigidity, idea which goes back to [59] and [45].

Now, we give more details on previous related results, starting with the closest models.

For the mass critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation (gKdV)

$$u_t + (u_{xx} + u^5)_x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.12)$$

(the energy space for (1.12) is $H^1(\mathbb{R})$), an existence result similar to Theorem 1.1 was proved by Martel, Merle and Raphaël [42], and then sharpened by Combet and Martel [18]. More precisely, let $Q_{\text{KdV}} \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $Q_{\text{KdV}} > 0$ be the ground state for (1.12), i.e. the unique even solution of $Q''_{\text{KdV}} + Q^5_{\text{KdV}} = Q_{\text{KdV}}$. It follows from [42] and [18] that there exists a solution S_{KdV} on $(0, +\infty)$ such that $\|S_{\text{KdV}}(t)\|_{L^2} = \|Q_{\text{KdV}}\|_{L^2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{KdV}}(t) - \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q_{\text{KdV}} \left(\frac{\cdot + \frac{1}{t}}{t} + c_0 \right) &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}), \\ \|(S_{\text{KdV}})_x(t)\|_{L^2} &\sim t^{-1} \|Q'\|_{L^2}, \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

for some constant c_0 . We see that the singularity has the form of a blow up bubble with the same scaling $\lambda(t) \sim t$, as in (1.8). A main qualitative difference is the speed of the bubble as $t \downarrow 0$, since for (gKdV), $x_{\text{KdV}}(t) \sim -\frac{1}{t}$, whereas $x(t) \sim -|\ln t|$ for (mBO). In this respect, (mBO) seems to be a threshold case in the family of critical equations (1.16).

For (mBO), the information obtained in the present paper on the parameters $\lambda(t)$ and $x(t)$ as $t \downarrow 0$ is not sufficient to replace them by their explicit asymptotics in the convergence result (1.8); see Remark 6.1 for more details. The result (1.13) for (gKdV) is thus more precise. In fact, for (gKdV), the minimal mass blow up is quite well understood, at least close to the blow up time: in addition to (1.13), sharp asymptotics, both in time (as $t \downarrow 0$) and in space (as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$) were derived in [18], for any level of derivative of S_{KdV} . Importantly, S_{KdV} is also known to be global for $t > 0$ and to be the *unique* minimal mass solution of (gKdV), up to the symmetries of the equation (scaling, translations and sign change), see [42]. For (mBO), such properties are open problems.

Recall that the existence and uniqueness of the minimal mass solution S_{KdV} is only a part of the results obtained in [37, 38, 40, 41, 42, 43, 47] on the description of the blow up phenomenon around the ground state (or soliton) for (gKdV) and, more generally, on the classification of the long time behavior of solutions close to the soliton. Those works focus on the case of *slightly supercritical mass* H^1 initial data

$$\|Q_{\text{KdV}}\|_{L^2} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2} < (1 + \delta_0) \|Q_{\text{KdV}}\|_{L^2} \quad \text{where } 0 < \delta_0 \ll 1. \quad (1.14)$$

In this context, the main results can be summarized as follows: (1) The ground state Q_{KdV} is a universal blow up profile; (2) General H^1 initial data with negative energy lead to blow up in finite or infinite time; (3) For initial data close to Q_{KdV} in a topology stronger than H^1 (based on L^2 weighted norm), only three behaviors are possible: (Blowup) with speed $(T^* - t)^{-1}$, (Soliton) and (Exit). It is also proved in [40] that the (Soliton) case (solutions that converge in a local sense to a bounded soliton) corresponds to a codimension one manifold of initial data which separates the (Blowup) and (Exit) cases. The (Exit) case refers to solutions that eventually leave any small neighborhood of the soliton. It is expected (but yet

an open problem) that such solutions behave as a solution of the linear problem as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Finally, blow up solutions with various blow up rates (in finite or infinite time) are constructed in [43] for initial data arbitrarily close to Q in the energy space. Concerning the critical and supercritical gKdV equations, we also refer to Klein and Peter [30] and references therein for detailed numerical studies.

In contrast, we recall that Theorem 1.1 is the first blow up result for the (mBO) equation. The difficulties in extending techniques and results from (gKdV) to (mBO) are multiple. First, the slow decay of the soliton $Q(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (see Proposition 2.4) creates serious difficulties when trying to construct a relevant blow up profile (see Remark 2.1), and, more technically, when estimating error terms far from the bubble. Second, an important aspect of the analysis in KdV-type equations consists in considering localized versions of basic quantities, such as the energy and the mass. Standard commutator estimates are not enough and suitable localization arguments were developed in this context by Kenig and Martel [25] and Kenig, Martel and Robbiano [26]. They are decisively used in the present paper (see Sect. 2.5), but being by nature much more limited than the corresponding ones for the (gKdV) equation, they create error terms that are difficult to handle. Finally, a decisive point in studying the flow of the critical (gKdV) equation around the soliton is a suitable Virial-type identity, roughly speaking a Liapounov functional on the linearized equation around Q_{KdV} . It was first introduced by Martel and Merle [37] and used intensively in all subsequent works on (gKdV) mentioned above. Such a Virial identity is not available for the linearization of (mBO) around the soliton. In the present paper, to get around the lack of such Liapounov functional, we introduce a new refined algebra related to the energy functional, extending the approach of Raphaël and Szeftel in [55] (see below for more comments). Such approach happens to be successful for the construction of the minimal mass solution, which corresponds to a precise, rigid regime, but it should not be sufficient to study extensively the blow up around the soliton, in particular the stable blow up.

The results mentioned above on (gKdV) and Theorem 1.1 are, to our knowledge, the only available rigorous results on blow up for KdV-type or BO-type equations. Nevertheless, the history of minimal mass blow up solutions for L^2 critical nonlinear dispersive equations is much longer, especially for NLS-type equations. It started with the early derivation of the *explicit minimal mass blow up solution* for the mass critical nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$,

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u + |u|^{\frac{4}{d}}u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

using the so-called pseudo-conformal symmetry. Let, for $t > 0$,

$$S_{\text{NLS}}(t, x) = \frac{1}{t^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-i\frac{|x|^2}{4t} - \frac{i}{t}} Q_{\text{NLS}}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right), \tag{1.15}$$

$$\|S_{\text{NLS}}(t)\|_{L^2} = \|Q_{\text{NLS}}\|_{L^2}, \quad \|\nabla S_{\text{NLS}}(t)\|_{L^2} \underset{t \downarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{C}{t},$$

where $Q_{\text{NLS}} > 0$ is the unique ground state of (NLS). Then S_{NLS} is solution of (NLS); see Weinstein [57], Cazenave [14] for references. Also using the pseudo-conformal symmetry, Merle [45] proved that S_{NLS} is the unique (up to the symmetries of the equation) minimal mass blow up solution in the energy space (see also Banica [7] and Hmidi and Keraani [23]). We refer to Merle and Raphaël [48, 49] (and references therein) for more recent results notably on the stable “log-log” blow up for (NLS) equation.

For the inhomogeneous mass critical (NLS) in dimension 2,

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u + k(x)|u|^2 u = 0, \quad k(0) = 1, \quad \nabla k(0) = 0,$$

while Merle [46] derived sufficient conditions on the function $k(x) > 0$ to ensure the *nonexistence* of minimal elements, Raphaël and Szeftel [55] introduced a new approach to obtain existence and uniqueness of a minimal blow up solution under a necessary and sufficient condition on $k(x)$, in the absence of pseudo-conformal transformation. For other constructions of minimal mass solutions for NLS-type equations, by various methods, we refer to [8, 12, 17, 32, 33]. In particular, Krieger, Lenzmann and Raphaël [32] addressed the case of the half-wave equation in one space dimension, which also involves the nonlocal operator D^1 , and requires the use of commutator estimates. However, as pointed out in [42, 18], the minimal mass blow up for KdV-type equations is specific, in some sense less compact than for NLS or wave-type equations, and requires the use of local norms, instead of global norms. A main difficulty in the present paper is to combine such local norms and nonlocal operators. A hint of the specificity of KdV-type blow up is given by the asymptotics found in [18], showing the existence of a fixed tail for $S_{\text{KdV}}(t)$. See also Remark 3.1 for more details.

We expect that the strategy of this paper also applies to the following family of mass critical dispersion generalized Benjamin-Ono equations, considered e.g. by Angulo, Bona, Linares and Scialom [5] and by Kenig, Martel and Robbiano [26],

$$u_t + (|u|^{2\alpha} u - D^\alpha u)_x = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.16)$$

for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Recall that [26] extends perturbatively the analysis of [37, 47] to the case where the model (1.16) is close to (gKdV), i.e. for $\alpha < 2$ close to 2. In particular, blow up in finite or infinite time for negative energy solutions is obtained in this context. The main obstruction to extend such results to $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ is the absence of suitable Virial-type identity as mentioned before. In the mass subcritical situation, recall that the asymptotic stability of the soliton of the Benjamin-Ono equation (1.2) was proved in [25], extending previous results on (gKdV) (see [39] and references therein), by using a specific algebra related to the explicit form of the soliton.

For $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, the blow up problem for (1.16) is also relevant and important in physics. In particular, the dispersion in the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, for which the nonlinearity is quadratic, is somehow reminiscent of the linear dispersion of finite depth water waves with surface tension. The corresponding Whitham equation with surface tension writes

$$u_t + (u^2 - w(D)u)_x = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $w(D)$ is the Fourier multiplier of symbol $w(\xi) = \left(\frac{\tanh(|\xi|)}{|\xi|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \tau\xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and τ is a positive parameter related to the surface tension. Note that for high frequencies $w(\xi) \sim |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which corresponds to the dispersion of (1.16) in the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. We refer to Linares, Pilod and Saut [34] for a detailed discussion and some progress on the local theory for the Cauchy problem and to Klein and Saut [31] for numerical simulations. Obviously, weaker dispersion can only complicate the problem and it seems quite challenging to address the full range $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$.

1.3. Notation. For any positive a and b , the notation $a \lesssim b$ means that $a \leq cb$ holds for a universal constant $c > 0$. Let (\cdot, \cdot) denote the scalar product on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)dx,$$

for f, g two real valued functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For simplicity of notation, we often write \int for $\int_{\mathbb{R}}$ and omit dx . If I is an interval of \mathbb{R} , then $\mathbf{1}_I$ denotes the characteristic function of I .

Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a cut-off function such that

$$0 \leq \chi \leq 1, \quad \chi' \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \quad \chi|_{(-\infty, -2)} \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi|_{(-1, +\infty)} \equiv 1. \quad (1.17)$$

Let Λ denote the generator of the L^2 scaling,

$$\Lambda f := \frac{1}{2}f + xf'. \quad (1.18)$$

Let \mathcal{L} be the linearized operator around Q , i.e. (see also Sect. 2.1)

$$\mathcal{L} := D^1 + 1 - 3Q^2. \quad (1.19)$$

We introduce the spaces \mathcal{Y}_ℓ , for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{Y}_\ell := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, |f^{(k)}(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-(\ell+k)}\},$$

and the space \mathcal{Z}

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : f' \in \mathcal{Y}_2 \text{ and } \forall x > 0, |f(x)| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-1}\}.$$

1.4. Outline of the proof. The general strategy of the proof is to adapt the robust arguments developed in [55] (see also the previous papers [44] and [35]) to construct minimal mass solutions in contexts where few is known on the flow of the equation around the soliton, i.e. in the absence of a general Virial functional for the linearized flow around the ground state. In particular, we combine the control of an energy-type functional, suitably localized (see below), with a mixed Morawetz-Virial functional. The coercivity of the energy functional uses in a crucial way the complete understanding of the kernel of \mathcal{L} , proved in [22].

However, the strategy of [55] has to be adapted to one of the specificities of KdV and BO-type equations which requires the use of local estimates on the residual terms, as in [41, 42], and to the nonlocal nature of the operator D^1 , which requires specific localization arguments introduced in [25, 26]. The proof of Theorem 1.1 thus needs the combination of all existing techniques in similar contexts, but this is still not enough. Indeed, because of the slow decay of the ground state Q ($0 < Q(x) \lesssim (1 + |x|^2)^{-1}$ - see Proposition 2.4), one cannot satisfactorily improve the ansatz to a sufficient order as was done in [18]. This means that the error term (denoted by ε) cannot be too small, even in local norms around the soliton (in contrast, for (NLS) type equation, it can be taken arbitrarily small in global norms - see e.g. [33] - and in local norms for (gKdV) - see [18]). The lack of good estimates on the error terms creates important difficulties to control the cubic terms that are usually easily controlled. One of the main novelty of this paper is to push forward the algebra of [55] and [42] to cancel out these cubic terms.

In Sect. 2, we recall known facts on the ground state Q , we construct the blow up profile (subsequently denoted by Q_b) and we introduce a suitable decomposition of any solution around the blow up profile. In Sect. 3, we introduce a particular sequence of *backwards in time solutions* of (1.1) related to the special minimal mass regime of Theorem 1.1 and we claim suitable uniform bootstrap estimates of the residual term ε and on the geometrical parameters.

In Sect. 4, we close the estimates on ε using mainly a localized energy functional, but also several other functionals to cancel out diverging terms. In Sect. 5, we close the estimates on the parameters, adjusting carefully the *final data* of the sequence of solutions. In Sect. 6, we use the weak convergence of the flow (from [20]) to obtain the solution $S(t)$ of Theorem 1.1 by passing to the limit in the sequence of solutions of (1.1) uniformly controled in Sect. 3-Sect. 5.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Carlos Kenig for drawing their attention to the blow up problem for (mBO) and Jean-Claude Saut for encouraging and helpful discussions. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0932078 000 while Y.M. was in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Fall 2015 semester. D.P. would like to thank the École polytechnique for the kind hospitality during the elaboration of part of this work. This work was also partially supported by CNPq/Brazil, grant 302632/2013-1 and by the project ERC 291214 BLOWDISOL.

2. BLOW UP PROFILE

2.1. Solitary waves. The existence of nontrivial solutions to (1.5) is well-known from the works of Weinstein [60, 61] and Albert, Bona and Saut [2]. We recall here the main results.

Proposition 2.1 ([2, 60, 61]). *For $u \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$, let*

$$W(u) = \frac{\left(\int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}u|^2\right)\left(\int |u|^2\right)}{\int |u|^4}.$$

There exists a solution $Q \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ of (1.5) that solves the minimization problem

$$\inf \{W(u) : u \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}\} = W(Q). \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, by translation invariance, Q is chosen to be even, positive on \mathbb{R} and satisfying $Q' < 0$ on $(0, +\infty)$.

Note that for the corresponding equation with quadratic nonlinearity associated to the Benjamin-Ono equation

$$D^1Q + Q - Q^2 = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

there exists an explicit solution $Q_{\text{BO}}(x) = \frac{2}{1+x^2}$. By using complex analysis techniques, Amick and Toland [3]¹ proved that up to translation, Q_{BO} is the unique solution of (2.2) in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$. These techniques do not apply to (1.5).

More recently, Frank and Lenzmann [22] addressed succesfully the question of uniqueness for (1.5). Their results actually hold for a large class of nonlocal problems involving the fractional Laplacian in one dimension and are related to the well-known notion of ground state.

Definition 2.2. A positive and even solution Q of (1.5) is called a *ground state* solution of (1.5) if Q satisfies (2.1).

The following uniqueness result was obtained in [22].

¹Amick and Toland proved the following stronger statement in [4]: any nonconstant bounded solution of (2.2) is either Q_{BO} (up to translation) or a periodic wave solution.

Theorem 2.3 ([22]). *The ground state solution $Q = Q(|x|) > 0$ of (1.5) is unique. Moreover, every minimizer of (2.1) is of the form $\beta Q(\gamma(\cdot - x_0))$, for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\beta \neq 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Recall from [3, 26] the decay properties of the ground state solution.

Proposition 2.4 ([3, 26]). *The ground state Q of (1.5) satisfies $Q \in \mathcal{Y}_2$ and $E(Q) = 0$.*

Note that it is easy to check that $E(Q) = 0$. Indeed, Q satisfies the energy identity

$$\int Q^2 + \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}Q|^2 = \int Q^4$$

and the Pohozaev identity²

$$\int Q^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int Q^4,$$

which imply that $E(Q) = 0$. For future reference, we also note that for $|a|$ small, one has

$$\begin{aligned} E((1-a)Q) &= \frac{1}{2}(1-a)^2 \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}Q|^2 - \frac{1}{4}(1-a)^4 \int Q^4 = \frac{1}{2}((1-a)^2 - (1-a)^4) \int Q^2 \\ &= a \left(1 - \frac{5}{2}a + 2a^2 - \frac{1}{2}a^3\right) \int Q^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

We will need in the sequel technical facts related to the Hilbert transform. It is well-known that $\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{1}{1+x^2}\right) = \frac{x}{1+x^2}$. More generally, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *If $f \in \mathcal{Y}_2$, then $\mathcal{H}f \in \mathcal{Y}_1$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{Y}_2$. By the definition of \mathcal{H} , we have for $k \geq 0$ and some constant c_k ,

$$x^{k+1}\mathcal{H}(f^{(k)}) = \mathcal{H}(x^{k+1}f^{(k)}) + c_k \int f. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, from the Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and the boundeness of \mathcal{H} in H^1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}f^{(k)}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{H}f^{(k)}\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|f^{(k)}\|_{H^1} \lesssim 1, \\ \|\mathcal{H}(x^{k+1}f^{(k)})\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{H}(x^{k+1}f^{(k)})\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|x^{k+1}f^{(k)}\|_{H^1} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.4), $|\mathcal{H}f^{(k)}(x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-(1+k)}$. \square

We will also need the following variant of Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $a', a'' \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1+x^2) \left| \mathcal{H} \left(\frac{a(y)}{1+y^2} \right)' (x) \right| \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^2 \|a^{(j)}\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \|a^{(j)}\|_{L^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. First, we see from the Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and the continuity of \mathcal{H} in H^1 that

$$\left\| \mathcal{H} \left(\frac{a(y)}{1+y^2} \right)' \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \left\| \left(\frac{a(y)}{1+y^2} \right)' \right\|_{H^1} \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^2 \|a^{(j)}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

²which follows by using that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(Q')xQ'dx = 0$, since $\mathcal{H}(x\phi) = x\mathcal{H}\phi$ if $\int \phi dx = 0$.

Second, we deduce from (2.4) with $k = 1$ that

$$x^2 \mathcal{H} \left(\frac{a(y)}{1+y^2} \right)' (x) = \mathcal{H} \left(y^2 \left(\frac{a(y)}{1+y^2} \right)' \right) (x) + \int \frac{a(y)}{1+y^2}.$$

Arguing as above, we have

$$\left\| \mathcal{H} \left(y^2 \left(\frac{a(y)}{1+y^2} \right)' \right) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \left\| y^2 \left(\frac{a(y)}{1+y^2} \right)' \right\|_{H^1} \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^1 \|a^{(j)}\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \|a^{(j)}\|_{L^2}.$$

We conclude the proof of (2.5) gathering those estimates. \square

We recall the properties of the operator \mathcal{L} defined in (1.19).

Lemma 2.7 (Linearized operator, [60, 61, 22]). *The self-adjoint operator \mathcal{L} in L^2 with domain H^1 satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) *Spectrum of \mathcal{L} . The operator \mathcal{L} has only one negative eigenvalue $-\kappa_0$ ($\kappa_0 > 0$) associated to an even, positive eigenfunction χ_0 ; $\ker \mathcal{L} = \{aQ' : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$; and $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(\mathcal{L}) = [1, +\infty)$;*
- (ii) *Scaling. $\mathcal{L}\Lambda Q = -Q$ and $(Q, \Lambda Q) = 0$, where Λ is defined in (1.18);*
- (iii) *for any function $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ orthogonal to Q' (for the L^2 -scalar product), there exists a unique function $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ orthogonal to Q' such that $\mathcal{L}f = h$;*
- (iv) *Regularity. if $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\mathcal{L}f \in \mathcal{Y}_1$, then $f \in \mathcal{Y}_1$;*
- (v) *Coercivity of \mathcal{L} . there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that for all $f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$(\mathcal{L}f, f) \geq \kappa \|f\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \left((f, Q)^2 + (f, \Lambda Q)^2 + (f, Q')^2 \right). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. (i) The fact that $\ker \mathcal{L} = \{aQ' : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a quite delicate property, proved by Frank and Lenzmann, see Theorem 2.3 in [22]. The other properties were proved by Weinstein, see Proposition 4 in [61]. See also [2].

(ii) The assertion follows directly by differentiating the equation satisfied by $Q_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q(\lambda^{-1}x)$ with respect to λ and taking $\lambda = 1$. The property $(Q, \Lambda Q) = 0$ follows from $(Q_\lambda, Q_\lambda) = (Q, Q)$.

(iii) Let $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Observe that

$$\mathcal{L}f = h \text{ for } f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (id - T)f = (D^1 + 1)^{-1}h =: \tilde{h} \text{ for } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad (2.7)$$

where $Tf = (D^1 + 1)^{-1}(3Q^2f)$ is a compact operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. From (i), if $(h, Q') = 0$, then $\tilde{h} \in \ker(id - T^*)^\perp$. Thus, the existence part of (iii) follows from the Fredholm alternative, while the uniqueness part follows directly from (i).

(iv) Assume now that $h \in \mathcal{Y}_1 \subseteq H^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and let $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ be solution to $\mathcal{L}f = h$. Then, it follows from (2.7) that $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

To prove the decay properties of f , we argue as in [3]. As observed by Benjamin in [9], if $w = w(x, y)$ is the harmonic extension of f in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{R}_+^2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y > 0\}$, then $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \partial_y w(x, y) = -D^1 f(x)$. As a consequence, if $v = v(x, y)$ is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \\ (v - \partial_y v - 3Q^2 v)|_{y=0} = h, \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

then $f(x) = v(x, 0)$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}f = h$.

Following [3], the solution v to (2.8) is given by

$$v(x, y) = G(\cdot, y) * (3Q^2 f + h)(x), \quad \forall (x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^2},$$

where the kernel $G(x, y)$ is given by

$$G(x, y) = \int_0^{+\infty} g(x, y + w) e^{-w} dw \quad \text{and} \quad g(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

Moreover, we easily see that G is positive, harmonic on \mathbb{R}_+^2 and satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(x, y) dx = 1, \quad \forall y \geq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$G(x, y) \lesssim \frac{1 + y}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^2}, \quad (2.10)$$

and for any $k \geq 0$,

$$|\partial_x^k G(x, 0)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{2+k}}, \quad \forall |x| \geq 1. \quad (2.11)$$

In particular a solution $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathcal{L}f = h$ satisfies

$$f(x) = G(\cdot, 0) * (3Q^2 f + h)(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.12)$$

Since $h \in \mathcal{Y}_1$, $Q \in \mathcal{Y}_2$ and $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we get that

$$|f(x)| \leq G(\cdot, 0) * \tilde{h}(x), \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{h} = 3\|f\|_{L^\infty} Q^2 + |h|.$$

Let $|x| \geq 1$. It follows from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{(1 + |t|)|\tilde{h}(t)|\} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{G(x - t, 0)}{1 + |t|} dt \\ &\lesssim \int_{|t-x| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|} \frac{G(x - t, 0)}{1 + |t|} dt + \int_{|t-x| \geq \frac{1}{2}|x|} \frac{1}{(1 + |t|)(x - t)^2} dt \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.9), the first integral on the right-hand side of the above expression is bounded by $2/|x|$, while the second integral is easily bounded by $c/|x|$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{(1 + |x|)|f(x)|\} < +\infty. \quad (2.13)$$

Now, we prove by induction on k that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{(1 + |x|)^{(k+1)} |f^{(k)}(x)|\} < +\infty, \quad (2.14)$$

holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Assume that (2.14) holds for all $k \in \{0, l - 1\}$. From (2.12),

$$f^{(l)}(x) = \int G^{(l)}(x - t, 0) (3Q^2 f + h)(t) dt := I + II$$

where I , respectively II , corresponds to the region $|x - t| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|$, respectively $|x - t| \geq \frac{1}{2}|x|$. Let $|x| \geq 1$. Arguing as above, we deduce from (2.11) that

$$|II| \lesssim \int_{|x-t| \geq \frac{1}{2}|x|} \frac{1}{(1 + |t|)|x - t|^{2+l}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{1+l}}, \quad (2.15)$$

where the implicit constant depends on $\|f\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{(1 + |t|)|h(t)|\}$. To handle I , we write, for $x \geq 1$ (the case $x < -1$ is handled similarly),

$$I = \int_{|x-t| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|} \partial_x^l G(x-t, 0) (3Q^2 f)(t) dt + \int_{|x-t| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|} \partial_x^l G(x-t, 0) h(t) dt =: I_1 + I_2.$$

Several integrations by parts yield

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (-1)^j \left(\partial_x^{(l-j)} G\left(-\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) h^{(j)}\left(\frac{3x}{2}\right) - \left(\partial_x^{(l-j)} G\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) h^{(j)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right) \right) \\ &\quad + (-1)^l \int_{|t-x| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|} G(x-t, 0) h^{(l)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $G(\cdot, 0) \in \mathcal{Y}_2$ and $h \in \mathcal{Y}_1$, we obtain $|I_2| \lesssim 1/|x|^{1+l}$. By using the same strategy, we have (the first term exists only if $l \geq 2$),

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{j=0}^{l-2} (-1)^j \left(\partial_x^{(l-j)} G\left(-\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) (3Q^2 f)^{(j)}\left(\frac{3x}{2}\right) - \left(\partial_x^{(l-j)} G\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) (3Q^2 f)^{(j)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right) \right) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{l+1} \int_{|t-x| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|} \partial_x G(x-t, 0) (3Q^2 f)^{(l-1)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $|(3Q^2 f)^{(j)}(t)| \lesssim (1 + |t|)^{-(5+j)}$, for all $j = 0, \dots, l-1$, thanks to the Leibniz rule, the induction hypothesis on f and the fact that $Q \in \mathcal{Y}_2$. Hence, it follows that $|I_1| \lesssim 1/|x|^{1+l}$ and so $|I| \lesssim 1/|x|^{1+l}$. From this and (2.15), we obtain estimate (2.14) with $k = l$. This finishes the proof of (iv).

(v) This is a standard property obtained as a consequence of (i). We refer to Proposition 4 in [61]. See also the proof of Lemma 2 (ii) in [38]. \square

2.2. Definition and estimates for the localized profile. In this subsection, we construct an approximate profile Q_b .

Lemma 2.8. *There exists a unique function $P \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that*

$$(\mathcal{L}P)' = \Lambda Q, \quad (P, Q') = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} P(y) = \frac{1}{2} \int Q. \quad (2.16)$$

Moreover,

$$p_0 := (P, Q) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\int Q \right)^2 > 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. We look for a solution of (2.16) of the form $P = \tilde{P} - \int_y^{+\infty} \Lambda Q$. Observe that P solves the equation in (2.16) if

$$(\mathcal{L}\tilde{P})' = \Lambda Q + \left(\mathcal{L} \int_y^{+\infty} \Lambda Q \right)' =: R' \quad \text{where} \quad R = -\mathcal{H}\Lambda Q - 3Q^2 \int_y^{+\infty} \Lambda Q.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\mathcal{H}\Lambda Q \in \mathcal{Y}_1$ and thus $R \in \mathcal{Y}_1$. Moreover, Lemma 2.7 (i) and (ii) yield

$$(R, Q') = - \int R' Q = - \int \Lambda Q Q - \int \left(\mathcal{L} \int_y^{+\infty} \Lambda Q \right)' Q = 0.$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.7 (iii) and (iv), there exists a unique $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{Y}_1$ orthogonal to Q' such that $\mathcal{L}\tilde{P} = R$. Set $P = \tilde{P} - \int_y^{+\infty} \Lambda Q \in \mathcal{Z}$. Then, P satisfies (2.16) and $(P, Q') = 0$. We also

see that $\lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} P = -\int \Lambda Q = \frac{1}{2} \int Q$. Moreover, by using that $\mathcal{L}\Lambda Q = -Q$ and integrating by parts, we compute

$$p_0 := (P, Q) = -\int \mathcal{L}P \Lambda Q = \int \left(\int_y^{+\infty} \Lambda Q \right) \Lambda Q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int \Lambda Q \right)^2 = \frac{1}{8} \left(\int Q \right)^2.$$

□

Since P does not belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we define a suitable cut-off version of it. Recall that χ is defined in (1.17).

Definition 2.9. The localized profile Q_b is defined for all b by

$$Q_b(y) = Q(y) + bP_b(y). \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$P_b(y) = \chi_b(y)P(y), \quad \chi_b(y) = \chi(|b|y). \quad (2.19)$$

and χ is defined in (1.17). Define

$$R_b = \mathcal{L}P_b - P_b = D^1P_b - 3Q^2P_b. \quad (2.20)$$

Lemma 2.10 (Estimates on the localized profile). *For $|b|$ small, the following properties hold.*

(i) Pointwise estimate for Q_b . For all $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|Q_b(y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^2} + |b|\mathbf{1}_{[-2,0]}(|b|y) + |b|\mathbf{1}_{[0,+\infty)}(y)\frac{1}{1+|y|}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$|Q'_b(y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^3} + |b|\frac{1}{(1+|y|)^2}\mathbf{1}_{[-2,+\infty)}(|b|y) + |b|^2\mathbf{1}_{[-2,-1]}(|b|y), \quad (2.22)$$

$$\|P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |\ln |b||^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|R_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim 1, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(yP'_b)\|_{L^2} \lesssim 1, \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$\left\| D^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{-1}. \quad (2.25)$$

(ii) Estimate for the equation of Q_b . Let Ψ_b be defined by

$$\Psi_b := (\mathcal{H}Q'_b + Q_b - Q_b^3)' - b\Lambda Q_b + b^2 \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b}. \quad (2.26)$$

Then

$$\|\Psi_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \|\Psi_b - bP\chi'_b - \frac{b^2}{2}P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^2, \quad (2.27)$$

and

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^2 |\ln |b||^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.28)$$

(iii) Projection of Ψ_b in the direction Q .

$$|(\Psi_b, Q)| \lesssim |b|^3. \quad (2.29)$$

(iv) Mass and energy for Q_b .

$$\left| \int Q_b^2 - \int Q^2 \right| \lesssim |b|, \quad (2.30)$$

$$|E(Q_b) + p_0b| \lesssim |b|^2 |\ln |b||. \quad (2.31)$$

Remark 2.1. We see that P defined above decays as $1/y$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$. This is still acceptable for our needs in the next sections. However, if one tries to improve the ansatz Q_b at a higher order in b (as was done at any order in [18] for (gKdV), one faces non L^2 functions for $y > 0$. This is an important difficulty. In this paper, we have chosen to restrict ourselves to the above ansatz Q_b , at the cost of a relatively large error terms (see (3.16)).

Remark 2.2. On the definition of Ψ_b in (2.26), we anticipate the blow up relations $\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \sim -b$ and $b_s + b^2 \sim 0$, which will eventually lead to the $\frac{1}{t}$ blow up rate. See Lemma 2.12.

Proof of Lemma 2.10. (i) The proof of (2.21), (2.22) follows directly from $Q \in \mathcal{Y}_2$, $P \in \mathcal{Z}$ and the definition of Q_b in (2.18). We see using $P \in \mathcal{Z}$ that

$$\|P_b\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \int_{y<0} \chi(|b|y)^2 dy + \int_{y>0} \frac{dy}{1+y^2} \lesssim |b|^{-1}. \quad (2.32)$$

Next, we split $\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}P_b\|_{L^2}$ as follows

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}P_b\|_{L^2}^2 = (D^1P_b, P_b) = (D^1P, P_b) - (D^1((1-\chi_b)P), P_b).$$

Since $P \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $P' \in \mathcal{Y}_2$, we have by Lemma 2.5, $D^1P = \mathcal{H}P' \in \mathcal{Y}_1$ and thus

$$|(D^1P, P_b)| \lesssim \int_{-2|b|^{-1}<y<0} \frac{dy}{1+|y|} + \int_{y>0} \frac{dy}{(1+|y|)^2} \lesssim |\ln|b||.$$

Moreover,

$$|(D^1((1-\chi_b)P), P_b)| \lesssim \|P_b\|_{L^2} \|(1-\chi_b)P\|_{\dot{H}^1} \lesssim |b|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|(1-\chi_b)P'\|_{L^2} + \|\chi_b'P\|_{L^2}) \lesssim 1.$$

This implies $\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |\ln|b||^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Concerning R_b defined in (2.20), we have

$$\|D^1P_b\|_{L^2}^2 = \|P_b'\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|Q^2P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim 1.$$

These estimates prove (2.23).

We proceed similarly to prove (2.24) and decompose $\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(yP_b')\|_{L^2}$ as follows

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(yP_b')\|_{L^2}^2 = \int yP_b'\mathcal{H}(yP_b')' = \int yP_b'\mathcal{H}(yP_b')' + \int yP_b'\mathcal{H}(y(P(\chi_b-1))')'.$$

Since $(yP_b')' \in \mathcal{Y}_2$, we have that $\mathcal{H}(yP_b')' \in \mathcal{Y}_1$ by using Lemma 2.5 and thus

$$\left| \int yP_b'\mathcal{H}(yP_b')' \right| \lesssim \int P_b' \frac{|y|}{1+|y|} \lesssim 1.$$

Moreover, since $P' \in \mathcal{Y}_2$,

$$\left| \int yP_b'\mathcal{H}(yP(\chi_b-1))' \right| \lesssim \|yP_b'\|_{L^2} \|(yP(\chi_b-1))'\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|b|^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 1,$$

which finishes the proof (2.24).

To prove (2.25), we observe that $\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} = \text{sgn}(b)y\chi'(|b|y)P$. Thus, it follows by interpolation that

$$\left\| D^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \|y\chi'(|b|y)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(y\chi'(|b|y))'\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b|^{-1},$$

which is the desired estimate.

(ii) Expanding the expression (2.18) of Q_b in the definition of Ψ_b and using (1.5), we find that

$$\Psi_b = b\Psi_1 + b^2\Psi_2 + b^3\Psi_3, \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$\Psi_1 := (\mathcal{L}P_b)' - \Lambda Q,$$

$$\Psi_2 := -3(QP_b^2)' - \Lambda(P_b) + \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_3 = -(P_b^3)'$$

First, we prove (2.27). By (2.16), we rewrite Ψ_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1 &= (\mathcal{L}P_b)' - (\mathcal{L}P)' \\ &= \mathcal{H}((P(\chi_b - 1))'' + (P(\chi_b - 1))' - 3(Q^2P(\chi_b - 1))') \\ &= \mathcal{H}(P''(\chi_b - 1)) + 2\mathcal{H}(P'\chi_b') + \mathcal{H}(P\chi_b'') + P'(\chi_b - 1) + P\chi_b' - 3(Q^2P(\chi_b - 1))' \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{H} is bounded in L^2 , $P \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $P' \in \mathcal{Y}_2$, we obtain

$$\|b\mathcal{H}(P''(\chi_b - 1))\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b| \left(\int_{y \leq -|b|^{-1}} \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^6} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{7}{2}},$$

$$\|b\mathcal{H}(\chi_b'P')\|_{L^2} = |b|\|\chi_b'P'\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^2 \left(\int_{y \leq -|b|^{-1}} \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^4} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{7}{2}}$$

and

$$\|b\mathcal{H}(\chi_b''P)\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^3 \left(\int \chi''(|b|y)^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\|bP'(\chi_b - 1)\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b| \left(\int_{y \leq -|b|^{-1}} \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^4} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{5}{2}},$$

and

$$\|b(\chi_b'Q^2P)\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^2 \left(\int_{y \leq -|b|^{-1}} \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^8} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{11}{2}}.$$

Therefore, we conclude gathering those estimates that

$$\|b(\Psi_1 - \mathcal{H}(\chi_b''P) - P'(\chi_b - 1) - P\chi_b')\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{7}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|b(\Psi_1 - P\chi_b')\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{5}{2}}. \quad (2.34)$$

Also, note that

$$\|bP\chi_b'\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^2 \left(\int |\chi'(|b|y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (2.35)$$

Now we focus on $b^2\Psi_2$ and $b^3\Psi_3$. One sees easily that

$$\|b^2(Q\chi_b^2P^2)'\|_{L^2} + \|b^3(\chi_b^3P^3)'\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^2.$$

To deal with the remaining terms in Ψ_2 , we observe that

$$\Lambda(P_b) = \frac{1}{2}P_b + yP'\chi_b + yP\chi_b'$$

and

$$\frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} = P_b + |b|y\chi'(|b|y)P = P_b + yP\chi_b', \quad (2.36)$$

so that

$$-\Lambda(P_b) + \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} = \frac{1}{2}P_b - yP'\chi_b. \quad (2.37)$$

By using $P \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\|y\chi_bP'\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left(\int \chi(|b|y)^2 \frac{y^2}{1+y^4} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 1.$$

Hence

$$\|b^2(\Psi_2 - \frac{1}{2}P_b)\|_{L^2} + \|b^3\Psi_3\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^2. \quad (2.38)$$

Therefore, we conclude estimate (2.27) by gathering (2.33), (2.34), (2.37) and (2.38).

To prove estimate (2.28), we estimate each term on the right-hand side of (2.33) in $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For the sake of simplicity, we only explain how to deal with the terms corresponding to (2.35) and (2.37), which are the most problematic ones. First, observe that

$$\|b(\chi'_b P')\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|\|\chi''_b P\|_{L^2} + |b|\|\chi'_b P'\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{5}{2}},$$

which gives after interpolation with (2.35)

$$|b|\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\chi'_b P)\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^2.$$

Moreover, we have from (2.23) that

$$|b|^2\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(P_b)\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^2 |\ln |b||^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This last estimate gives the bound in (2.28).

(iii) We take the scalar product of (2.33) with Q . First, for Ψ_1 , we use (2.34). Since $\mathcal{H}Q \in \mathcal{Y}_1$ by Lemma 2.5,

$$|(\mathcal{H}(\chi''_b P), Q)| = |(\chi''_b P, \mathcal{H}Q)| \lesssim b^2 \int_{-2|b|^{-1} < y < -|b|^{-1}} \frac{dy}{1+|y|} \lesssim b^2.$$

Moreover, since $P', Q \in \mathcal{Y}_2$,

$$|(P'(\chi_b - 1), Q)| + |(P\chi'_b, Q)| \lesssim |b|^2,$$

Thus, it follows from (2.34) that

$$|(b\Psi_1, Q)| \lesssim |b|^3. \quad (2.39)$$

Next, we see using the computations in (2.37) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_2, Q) &= (-3(QP^2)' - \Lambda P + P, Q) - 3((QP^2(\chi_b - 1))', Q) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(P(\chi_b - 1), Q) - (yP'(\chi_b - 1), Q). \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

By taking the scalar product of the equation in (2.16) with P , we get that

$$(P', P) - 3((Q^2P)', P) = -(\Lambda P, Q).$$

On the one hand, it follows from (2.17) that

$$(P, P') = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} P(y)^2 = -(P, Q).$$

On the other hand, integration by parts yields

$$-3((Q^2P)', P) = 3((P^2Q)', Q).$$

Hence, we deduce combining those identities that

$$(-3(P^2Q)' + P - \Lambda P, Q) = 0, \quad (2.41)$$

so that the first term on the right-hand side of (2.40) cancels out. We estimate the other ones as follows:

$$|((QP^2(\chi_b - 1))', Q)| \lesssim \int_{y \leq |b|^{-1}} \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^5} dy \lesssim |b|^4,$$

$$|(P(\chi_b - 1), Q)| \lesssim \int_{y \leq |b|^{-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^2} dy \lesssim |b|,$$

and

$$|(yP'(\chi_b - 1), Q)| \lesssim \int_{y \leq |b|^{-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^3} dy \lesssim |b|^2.$$

This implies that $|(b^2\Psi_2, Q)| \lesssim |b|^3$. Finally, we see easily that $|(b^3\Psi_3, Q)| \lesssim |b|^3$. This finishes the proof of estimate (2.29).

(iv) By using the definition of Q_b in (2.18), we compute

$$\int Q_b^2 = \int Q^2 + 2b(Q, \chi_b P) + b^2 \int \chi_b^2 P^2.$$

Moreover, observe that $|b(Q, \chi_b P)| \lesssim |b|$ and $|b^2 \int \chi_b^2 P^2| \lesssim |b|$. This finishes the proof of estimate (2.30).

Expanding $Q_b = Q + bP_b$ in the definition of E in (1.3), we see that

$$E(Q_b) = E(Q) + b \int P_b(D^1 Q - Q^3) + \frac{b^2}{2} \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(P_b)|^2 + \mathcal{O}(b^2).$$

From $E(Q) = 0$, (1.5) and $p_0 = (P, Q)$, it follows that

$$|E(Q_b) + p_0 b| \lesssim |b| \left| \int PQ(\chi_b - 1) \right| + |b|^2 \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_b|^2 + \mathcal{O}(b^2). \quad (2.42)$$

By $|\int PQ(\chi_b - 1)| \lesssim \int_{y < -|b|^{-1}} Q(y) dy \lesssim |b|$ and (2.23), we finish the proof of (2.31). \square

2.3. Decomposition of the solution using refined profiles.

Lemma 2.11 (First modulation around Q). *There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $v \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ satisfies*

$$\inf_{\lambda_1 > 0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \|\lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} v(\lambda_1 \cdot + x_1) - Q\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \delta < \delta_0, \quad (2.43)$$

then there exist unique $(\lambda_v, x_v) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that the function η_v defined by

$$\eta_v(y) = \lambda_v^{\frac{1}{2}} v(\lambda_v y + x_v) - Q(y) \quad (2.44)$$

satisfies

$$(\eta_v, Q') = (\eta_v, \Lambda Q) = 0, \quad \|\eta_v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \delta. \quad (2.45)$$

Proof. The existence (and uniqueness) of (λ_v, x_v) such that η_v defined in (2.44) satisfies (2.45) follows from standard arguments, based on the implicit function theorem. We refer for example to Proposition 1 in [36]. The key point of the proof is the non-degeneracy of the Jacobian matrix:

$$\begin{vmatrix} (\Lambda Q, \Lambda Q) & (\Lambda Q, Q') \\ (Q', \Lambda Q) & (Q', Q') \end{vmatrix} = (\Lambda Q, \Lambda Q)(Q', Q') \neq 0.$$

\square

Lemma 2.12 (Refined modulated flow). *Let u be a solution to (1.1) on a time interval \mathcal{I} such that*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathcal{I}} \inf_{\lambda_1 > 0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} \|\lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t, \lambda_1 \cdot + x_1) - Q\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \delta < \delta_0. \quad (2.46)$$

For $t \in \mathcal{I}$, let $\lambda(t) := \lambda_{u(t)}$ and $x(t) := x_{u(t)}$ be given by Lemma 2.11 and set

$$\varepsilon(t, y) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)u(t, \lambda(t)y + x(t)) - Q_{b(t)}(y), \quad \text{where } b(t) = -\frac{E(u_0)}{p_0}\lambda(t) \quad (2.47)$$

and Q_b is defined in (2.18). Then, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the following holds.

(i) Almost orthogonalities and smallness. On \mathcal{I} , it holds

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + |b| \lesssim \delta. \quad (2.48)$$

$$|(\varepsilon, Q')| + |(\varepsilon, \Lambda Q)| \lesssim |b|. \quad (2.49)$$

(ii) Conservation laws. On \mathcal{I} , it holds

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \lesssim |b| + \int u_0^2 - \int Q^2, \quad (2.50)$$

$$\left| -(\varepsilon, Q) + b(\varepsilon, R_b) + \frac{1}{2} \int \left[|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3\varepsilon \right) \right] \right| \lesssim |b|^2 \ln |b|, \quad (2.51)$$

where R_b is defined in (2.20), and

$$|(\varepsilon, Q)| \lesssim |b|^2 \ln |b| + |b| \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \int \varepsilon^2 Q^2. \quad (2.52)$$

(iii) Equation of ε . The function $(\lambda, x, b) : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is of class \mathcal{C}^1 . For $t_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, define the rescaled time variable s by

$$s(t) = s_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{dt'}{\lambda^2(t')} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J} = s(\mathcal{I}).$$

Then, on \mathcal{J} , the function $\varepsilon(s, y)$ is solution of

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon_s - \left(D^1 \varepsilon + \varepsilon - ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3) \right)_y \\ &= \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon + \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \Lambda Q_b + \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (Q_b + \varepsilon)_y - (b_s + b^2) \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} + \Psi_b, \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

where Ψ_b is defined in (2.26).

(iv) Modulation equations. On \mathcal{J} , it holds

$$\left| \frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| \lesssim |b|^2 + \left(\int \frac{\varepsilon^2}{1+y^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.54)$$

Remark 2.3. The choice $b = -\frac{E(u_0)}{p_0}\lambda$ in (2.47) is not really standard. Usually, for simplicity, $b(t)$ is tuned so that $(\varepsilon, Q) = 0$ (see e.g. [41]). The choice (2.47) leads to the relation (2.51), which is a sufficient substitute to the exact orthogonality $(\varepsilon, Q) = 0$. In Sect. 4, such a sharp choice related to energy will be technically important in our proof.

Proof. (i) For all $t \in \mathcal{I}$, let $\lambda(t) := \lambda_{u(t)}$ and $x(t) := x_{u(t)}$ be given by Lemma 2.11 such that $\eta(t, y) = \lambda(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t, \lambda(t)y + x(t)) - Q(y)$ satisfies $(\eta(t), Q') = (\eta(t), \Lambda Q) = 0$ and $\|\eta(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \delta$. Then, since $E(Q) = 0$, $\lambda E(u) = \lambda E(u_0) = E(Q + \eta) = \mathcal{O}(\delta)$. It follows that $b(t)$ defined by $b = -(E(u_0)/p_0)\lambda$ satisfies $|b(t)| \lesssim \delta$. Moreover, $\varepsilon(t)$ defined by (2.47) satisfies $\varepsilon = -bP_b + \eta$, where P_b is defined in (2.19). Since $\|P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\|P_b\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim 1$, we obtain $\|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $|(P_b, \Lambda Q)| + |(P_b, Q')| \lesssim 1$, estimates (2.49) are consequences of $(\eta(t), Q') = (\eta(t), \Lambda Q) = 0$.

(ii) By using the conservation of the energy E defined in (1.3), we get from (2.31) and the equation of Q that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda E(u_0) &= E(Q_b + \varepsilon) = E(Q_b) + \int (D^1 \varepsilon) Q_b + \frac{1}{2} \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4) \\ &= -p_0 b + \int \varepsilon (D^1 Q_b - Q_b^3) + \frac{1}{2} \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(|b|^2 |\ln |b||).\end{aligned}$$

We compute (R_b being defined in (2.20))

$$D^1 Q_b - Q_b^3 = D^1 Q - Q^3 + b(D^1 P_b - 3Q^2 P_b) - 3b^2 Q P_b^2 - b^3 P_b^3 = -Q + bR_b + \mathcal{O}_{L^2}(|b|^2).$$

Thus, by the choice $\lambda E(u_0) = -p_0 b$ and $\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim 1$, one obtains

$$\int \left[|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon \right) \right] - 2(\varepsilon, Q) + 2b(\varepsilon, R_b) = \mathcal{O}(|b|^2 |\ln |b||), \quad (2.55)$$

which is (2.51). In particular, by (2.23) and (2.64), $\int \varepsilon^4 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^4$, $\int |Q_b| |\varepsilon|^3 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^3$, $\int |Q_b^2 - Q^2| \varepsilon^2 \lesssim |b| \int \varepsilon^2$, and thus,

$$|(\varepsilon, Q)| \lesssim |b|^2 |\ln |b|| + |b| \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \int \varepsilon^2 Q^2. \quad (2.56)$$

By using the L^2 conservation, (2.30) and (2.23), we get (using the notation in (1.4)),

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|u_{\lambda^{-1}}(\cdot + x(t), t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|Q_b\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\varepsilon, Q_b) + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \|Q\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\varepsilon, Q) + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{O}(|b| + |b|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}).\end{aligned}$$

Summing this identity with (2.55), we obtain

$$\int \left[|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon|^2 + |\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon \right) \right] = \mathcal{O}(|b| + |b|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}) + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 - \|Q\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since by (2.64), $\int \varepsilon^4 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^4$, $\int |Q_b| |\varepsilon|^3 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^3$ and $\int |Q_b^2 - Q^2| \varepsilon^2 \lesssim |b| \int \varepsilon^2$, we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \mathcal{O}(|b| + |b|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}) + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 - \|Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^3).$$

By the coercivity of \mathcal{L} (see (2.6)) and (2.49), (2.56), for δ small enough, we obtain

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \lesssim |b| + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 - \|Q\|_{L^2}^2.$$

(iii) The \mathcal{C}^1 regularity of $\lambda(t)$ and $x(t)$ is a standard fact, see e.g. Proposition 1 in [38]. Equation (2.53) follows directly by writing that u under the decomposition (2.47) solves (1.1) and by using the definition of Ψ_b in (2.26). An intermediate step in the computations is the derivation of the following equation for $\eta(s, y)$, which is more handy to derive later the estimates for x_s and λ_s

$$\eta_s - \left(D^1 \eta + \eta - ((Q + \eta)^3 - Q^3) \right)_y = \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda(Q + \eta) + \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) (Q + \eta)_y. \quad (2.57)$$

(iv) Differentiating the orthogonality relation $(\eta, Q') = 0$ with respect to s , using (2.57), $(\Lambda Q, Q') = 0$ and the decay properties of Q , we see that

$$\left| \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{\|Q'\|_{L^2}^2} \int \eta \mathcal{L}(Q') \right| \lesssim \left(\int \frac{\eta^2}{1+y^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| + \left| \frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| \right) + \int \frac{\eta^2 + |\eta|^3}{1+y^2}. \quad (2.58)$$

Similarly, using $(\eta, \Lambda Q) = 0$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\|\Lambda Q\|_{L^2}^2} \int \eta \mathcal{L}((\Lambda Q)') \right| \lesssim \left(\int \frac{\eta^2}{1+y^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| + \left| \frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| \right) + \int \frac{\eta^2 + |\eta|^3}{1+y^2}. \quad (2.59)$$

Combining (2.58) and (2.59), we obtain

$$\left| \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{\|Q'\|_{L^2}^2} \int \eta \mathcal{L}(Q'') \right| + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\|\Lambda Q\|_{L^2}^2} \int \eta \mathcal{L}((\Lambda Q)') \right| \lesssim \int \frac{\eta^2 + |\eta|^3}{1+y^2}. \quad (2.60)$$

Now, we insert $\eta = \varepsilon + bP_b$ in (2.60). Note that

$$\int P \mathcal{L}(Q'') = - \int (\mathcal{L}P)' Q' = - \int Q' \Lambda Q = 0, \quad \int P \mathcal{L}((\Lambda Q)') = - \int (\mathcal{L}P)' \Lambda Q = - \|\Lambda Q\|_{L^2}^2;$$

moreover, from the definition of P_b and the fact that P is bounded,

$$\int \frac{|P - P_b|}{1+y^2} \lesssim \int \frac{|1 - \chi_b|}{1+y^2} \lesssim |b|, \quad \int \frac{|bP_b|^2 + |bP_b|^3}{1+y^2} \lesssim |b|^2,$$

and from (1.6),

$$\int \frac{|\varepsilon|^3}{1+y^2} \lesssim \left(\int \frac{|\varepsilon|^2}{1+y^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |\varepsilon|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left(\int \frac{|\varepsilon|^2}{1+y^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| \lesssim |b|^2 + \left(\int \frac{\varepsilon^2}{1+y^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.61)$$

which yields (2.54). \square

2.4. Cauchy problem and weak continuity of the flow. In this subsection, we recall known facts on the Cauchy problem in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the weak continuity of the flow for (1.1). Then, we show that the decomposition of Lemma 2.12 is stable by weak $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ limit, a technical fact that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.13 ([29, 20]). *For any $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$, the following holds true.*

(i) *there exist $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}})$ and a unique solution u of the equation (1.1) satisfying $u(0, \cdot) = u_0$ and $u \in C([-T, T] : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})) \cap X_T$, for a certain resolution space X_T (see [29]). Moreover, for any $R > 0$, the flow map data solution is Lipschitz continuous from $\{u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) : \|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} < R\}$ into $C([-T(R), T(R)] : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}))$.*

(ii) *Let $\{u_{0,n}\}$ be a sequence of $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ initial data such that*

$$u_{0,n} \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in } H^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Assume that, for some $C, T_1, T_2 > 0$, for all $n > 0$, the corresponding solution $u_n(t)$ of (1.1) exists on $[-T_1, T_2]$ and satisfies $\max_{t \in [-T_1, T_2]} \|u_n(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C$. Let $u(t)$ be the solution of (1.1) corresponding to u_0 . Then, $u(t)$ exists on $[-T_1, T_2]$ and

$$\forall t \in [-T_1, T_2], \quad u_n(t) \rightharpoonup u(t) \quad \text{in } H^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.62)$$

The second part of the Theorem, *i.e.* the weak convergence of $u_n(t)$ to $u(t)$, is stated in the last remark of [20]. For similar statements, we refer to Lemma 30 in [37] in the case of the critical (gKdV) equation, to Theorem 5 in [25] in the case of the Benjamin-Ono equation in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$, to [20] for Benjamin-Ono in L^2 and to Lemma 3.4 in [16] for the mass supercritical (gKdV) equation.

As usual, given any $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$, we consider the solution $u \in C([0, T^*) : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}))$ emanating from u_0 at $t = 0$ and defined on its maximal interval of existence $[0, T^*)$. If $T^* < +\infty$, we see from Theorem 2.13 that $\lim_{t \uparrow T^*} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|_{L^2} = +\infty$. A similar statement holds for negative times.

Remark 2.4. Let $u(t)$ be a solution of (mBO) such that $T^* < +\infty$. Then,

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|_{L^2} \gtrsim (T^* - t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Indeed, let $0 < t_0 < T^*$, and let $v(s, y)$ be the following solution of (mBO)

$$v(s, y) = \lambda_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}u(\lambda_0^{-2}s + t_0, \lambda_0^{-1}y), \quad \lambda_0 = \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Then, $\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}v(0)\|_{L^2} = 1$ and $\|v(0)\|_{L^2} = \|u(t_0)\|_{L^2} = \|u(0)\|_{L^2}$. By Theorem 2.13 (i), it follows that $v(s)$ exists as a solution of (1.1) in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on a time interval $[0, S]$, $S > 0$ independent of t_0 . Thus, $T^* > \lambda_0^{-2}S + t_0$, which is equivalent to

$$(T^* - t_0)\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t_0)\|_{L^2}^4 \gtrsim 1.$$

Now, we claim the following consequence of Theorem 2.13 (ii) on the decomposition of Lemma 2.12.

Lemma 2.14 (Weak $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ stability of the decomposition). *Let $\{u_{0,n}\}$ be a sequence of $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ initial data such that*

$$u_{0,n} \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in } H^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let $u(t)$ be the solution of (1.1) corresponding to u_0 . Assume that for all $n > 0$, $u_n(t)$ exists and satisfies (2.46) on $[-T_1, T_2]$ for some $T_1, T_2 > 0$ and that the parameters of the decomposition $(\lambda_n, x_n, b_n, \varepsilon_n)$ of u_n given by Lemma 2.12 satisfy, for some $c, C > 0$, for all n large,

$$\forall t \in [-T_1, T_2], \quad 0 < c \leq \lambda_n(t) < C, \quad \lambda_n(0) = 1, \quad x_n(0) = 0. \quad (2.63)$$

Then, $u(t)$ exists and satisfies (2.46) on $[-T_1, T_2]$ and its decomposition $(\lambda, x, b, \varepsilon)$ satisfies, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\forall t \in [-T_1, T_2], \quad \varepsilon_n(t) \rightharpoonup \varepsilon(t) \quad \text{in } H^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \lambda_n(t) \rightarrow \lambda(t), \quad x_n(t) \rightarrow x(t), \quad b_n(t) \rightarrow b(t).$$

Sketch of proof. We use the strategy of the proof of Lemma 17 in [37]. We also refer to [49], page 599, for a more detailed argument. The first step of the proof is to note that estimates (2.54) provide uniform bounds on the time derivatives of the geometric parameters $(\lambda_n(t), x_n(t), b_n(t))$ on $[-T_1, T_2]$. Therefore, by Ascoli's theorem, up to the extraction of a subsequence,

$$(\lambda_n(t), x_n(t), b_n(t)) \rightarrow (\tilde{\lambda}(t), \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{b}(t)) \quad \text{on } [-T_1, T_2],$$

for some functions $(\tilde{\lambda}(t), \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{b}(t))$. Writing the orthogonality conditions (2.49) in terms of $u_n(t)$ and $(\lambda_n(t), x_n(t), b_n(t))$, using (2.62) and passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we see that $u(t)$ and the limiting parameters $(\tilde{\lambda}(t), \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{b}(t))$ satisfy the same orthogonality relations. In particular, they correspond to the unique parameters $(\lambda(t), x(t), b(t))$ given by Lemma 2.12.

This uniqueness statement proves by a standard argument that, for the whole sequence, $(\lambda_n(t), x_n(t), b_n(t))$ converges to $(\lambda(t), x(t), b(t))$ on $[-T_1, T_2]$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. It follows from (2.47) that $\varepsilon_n(t) \rightarrow \varepsilon(t)$ in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

2.5. Estimates and localization arguments for fractional Laplacians. First, we recall various useful inequalities and commutator estimates related to D^α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$), and the Hilbert transform \mathcal{H} .

Lemma 2.15 ([13, 28, 21]). *For any $f, g, a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\forall 2 \leq p < +\infty, \quad \|f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{p}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{p-2}{p}}, \quad (2.64)$$

$$\forall 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad \|[D^\alpha, g]f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^4} \|D^\alpha g\|_{L^4}, \quad (2.65)$$

where $[D^\alpha, g]f = D^\alpha(fg) - gD^\alpha f$, and

$$\forall l, m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \|\partial_x^l [\mathcal{H}, a] \partial_x^m f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_x^{l+m} a\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.66)$$

where $[\mathcal{H}, a]g = \mathcal{H}(ag) - a\mathcal{H}g$.

Recall that (2.64) is the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, which follows from complex interpolation and Sobolev embedding.

Estimate (2.65) in the case $\alpha = 1$ is due to Calderón [13], see also Coifman and Meyer [15], formula (1.1). Estimate (2.65) in the case $0 < \alpha < 1$ is a consequence of Theorem A.8 in [28] for functions depending only on x , with the following choice of parameters: $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha$, $p = 2$, $p_1 = p_2 = 4$.

Finally, estimate (2.66) in the case $l = 0$ and $m = 1$ is the classical Calderón commutator estimate proved in [13]. The general case was proved by Bajvsank and Coifman [6] (see also Lemma 3.1 of [21] for a different proof).

The following estimates are direct consequences of (2.64)-(2.66).

Lemma 2.16. *For any $a, f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\left| \int (D^1 f) f' a \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \|a''\|_{L^\infty}, \quad (2.67)$$

and

$$\left| \int (D^1 f) f a' - \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} f|^2 a' \right| \lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a''\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|a'\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (2.68)$$

Proof. First,

$$\int (D^1 f) f' a = \int (\mathcal{H} f') f' a = - \int f' \mathcal{H}(f' a) = - \int (\mathcal{H} f') f' a - \int f' [\mathcal{H}, a] f'.$$

Thus,

$$\int (D^1 f) f' a = \frac{1}{2} \int f ([\mathcal{H}, a] f')',$$

which, combined to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.66) (with $l = m = 1$) implies (2.67)

Second,

$$\int (D^1 f) f a' = \int (D^{\frac{1}{2}} f) D^{\frac{1}{2}}(f a') = \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} f|^2 a' + \int (D^{\frac{1}{2}} f) [D^{\frac{1}{2}}, a'] f.$$

By (2.65) with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and (2.64),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int (D^1 f) f a' - \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} f|^2 a' \right| &\lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^4} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} a'\|_{L^4} \\ &\lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^1(a')\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(a')\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a''\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|a'\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.68). \square

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will also need sharp localization arguments reminiscent of the identity and the smoothing effect first observed by Kato for the generalized KdV equations (see [24] and also e.g. [37]).

Let

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{dy}{1+y^2}.$$

We recall the following estimates.

Lemma 2.17 (Lemmas 6 and 7 of [26], Lemmas 2 and 3 of [25]). *There exists $C_1 > 0$ such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\left| \int (D^1 f) f' \phi \right| \leq C_1 \int f^2 \phi', \quad (2.69)$$

and

$$\left| \int (D^1 f) f \phi' - \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(f \sqrt{\phi'})|^2 \right| \leq C_1 \int f^2 \phi'. \quad (2.70)$$

Remark 2.5. When compared to (2.67)-(2.68), the main point of the estimates (2.69)-(2.70) is to obtain error terms depending only on localized L^2 quantities. In return, such estimates require a special choice of function ϕ - see [26]. The weak decay of ϕ' is a difficulty in Sect. 4, but due to the nonlocal nature of D^1 , it is not clear whether (2.69)-(2.70) hold for functions decaying at ∞ faster than ϕ' (see also Lemma 2.5).

3. UNIFORM ESTIMATES

In this section, we define a specific sequence of global solutions with a rigid behavior, related to the desired minimal mass regime in Theorem 1.1.

3.1. Uniform bounds for a sequence of solutions. Let $T_n = \frac{1}{n}$ for $n > 1$ large. Let

$$u_n^{in}(x) = \frac{1 - a_n^{in}}{(\lambda_n^{in})^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q \left(\frac{x - x_n^{in}}{\lambda_n^{in}} \right) \quad \text{where } x_n^{in} = -\ln(n), \quad (3.1)$$

the parameter $\lambda_n^{in} \sim \frac{1}{n}$ is to be fixed later and a_n^{in} is uniquely chosen (depending on λ_n^{in}) so that (see (2.3))

$$E(u_n^{in}) = (\lambda_n^{in})^{-1} E((1 - a_n^{in})Q) = p_0, \quad a_n^{in} \sim \frac{p_0}{\int Q^2} \lambda_n^{in} \sim \frac{p_0}{\int Q^2} \frac{1}{n}. \quad (3.2)$$

(Recall that p_0 is defined in (2.17).) Note that $\int (u_n^{in})^2 = (1 - a_n^{in})^2 \int Q^2$ so that

$$\int Q^2 - \int (u_n^{in})^2 \sim \frac{p_0}{\int Q^2} \frac{2}{n}. \quad (3.3)$$

We consider the global solution u_n of (1.1) corresponding to the data $u_n(T_n) = u_n^{in}$. For n large, let $0 < \tau_n \leq +\infty$ be such that $\mathcal{I}_n = [T_n, T_n + \tau_n)$ is the maximal time interval where u_n satisfies (2.46) ($\tau_n > 0$ exists by (3.1) and by continuity of $t \mapsto u_n(t)$). By using Lemma 2.12, we decompose $u_n(t)$ for $t \in \mathcal{I}_n$, as

$$u_n(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} \left(Q_{b_n(t)} + \varepsilon_n \right) (t, y), \quad y = \frac{x - x_n(t)}{\lambda_n(t)}, \quad (3.4)$$

where λ_n, x_n, b_n are \mathcal{C}^1 functions. We define the rescaled time variable s by

$$s = s(t) = S_n + \int_{T_n}^t \frac{dt'}{\lambda_n^2(t')} \quad \text{with} \quad s(T_n) = S_n = -n. \quad (3.5)$$

We consider all time dependent functions (such as λ_n, b_n, x_n and ε_n) indifferently as functions of t on \mathcal{I}_n or of s on the interval $\mathcal{J}_n = s(\mathcal{I}_n)$. From now on and until Sect. 6 where we go back to the original variables (t, x) , we work for fixed b and with the rescaled variables (s, y) . Note that by (3.1) and (2.47), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n(S_n) &= \lambda_n^{in}, & b_n(S_n) &= b_n^{in} = -\lambda_n^{in}, & x_n(S_n) &= x_n^{in}, \\ \varepsilon_n(S_n) &= \varepsilon_n^{in} = -a_n^{in}Q - b_n^{in}P_{b_n^{in}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Fix

$$\frac{3}{5} < \theta < \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad B = 100 C_1, \quad (3.7)$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is the universal constant in Lemma 2.17. Let

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{dy}{1+y^2} = \frac{\arctan x}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \varphi(s, y) = \frac{\phi\left(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta\right)}{\phi(|s|^\theta)}, \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon_n) = \left(\int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_n|^2 + \varepsilon_n^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.9)$$

Moreover, let

$$\rho(y) = \int_{-\infty}^y \Lambda Q(y') dy', \quad J_n(s) = \int \varepsilon_n(s, y) \rho(y) \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) dy, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\mu_n(s) = |1 - J_n(s)|^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \lambda_n(s). \quad (3.11)$$

Note that $|J_n| \lesssim \int_{y < 0} |\varepsilon_n| (1 + |y|)^{-1} + \int_{0 < y < 2|s|^{\frac{2}{3}}} |\varepsilon_n| \lesssim |s|^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\varepsilon_n\|_{L^2}$. Observe that by (3.6),

$$\|\varepsilon_n(S_n)\|_{L^2} \lesssim |S_n|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon_n(S_n)) \lesssim |a_n^{in}| + |b_n^{in}| |S_n|^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \lesssim |S_n|^{-1 + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Let $C^* > 1$, $S_0 < -1$ and $n_0 > 1$ to be fixed later.

Definition 3.1. For $n \geq n_0$ and $s \in \mathcal{J}_n$, $s < S_0$. We say that $(\lambda_n, b_n, x_n, \varepsilon_n)$ satisfy the *bootstrap estimates* at the time s if

$$\left| \mu_n(s) - \frac{1}{|s|} \right| \leq |s|^{-\frac{7}{6} + \frac{\theta}{4}}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\left| \lambda_n(s) - \frac{1}{|s|} \right| = \left| b_n(s) - \frac{1}{s} \right| \leq |s|^{-\frac{13}{12} + \frac{\theta}{8}}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\left| x_n(s) + \ln |s| \right| \leq |s|^{-\frac{1}{12} + \frac{\theta}{8}}, \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon_n(s)) \leq C^* |s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (3.16)$$

Definition 3.2. For $n \geq n_0$, we define (if this set is not empty),

$$S_n^* := \sup \{s \in \mathcal{J}_n \cap (S_n, S_0) : (3.13)-(3.16) \text{ are satisfied on } [S_n, s]\}, \quad (3.17)$$

and $\mathcal{I}_n^* = [S_n, S_n^*]$.

The main result of this section states that there exists at least one choice of $\lambda_n^{in} \sim \frac{1}{n}$ such that $S_n^* = S_0$ i.e. such that the bootstrap estimates in Definition 3.1 are valid up to a time S_0 independent of n .

Proposition 3.3. *There exist $C^* > 1$, $S_0 < -1$ and $n_0 > 1$ such that, for all $n > n_0$, there exists λ_n^{in} satisfying*

$$\left| \lambda_n^{in} - \frac{1}{n} \right| < n^{-\frac{13}{12} + \frac{\theta}{8}} \quad \text{and} \quad S_n^* = S_0. \quad (3.18)$$

We prove Proposition 3.3 in Sections 4 and 5. For the sake of simplicity, we will omit the subscript n and write x , λ , μ , b and ε instead of x_n , λ_n , μ_n , b_n and ε_n .

3.2. Consequences of the bootstrap bounds. For future reference, we state here some consequences of the bootstrap estimates.

Lemma 3.4. *For all $s \in \mathcal{I}^*$,*

$$\lambda + |b| + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |s|^{-1}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\left| \frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| \lesssim |s|^{-2} + \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \lesssim C^* |s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$|b_s + b^2| = |\lambda| \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| \lesssim |s|^{-3} + |s|^{-1} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad (3.21)$$

so that

$$|b_s| \leq |b_s + b^2| + |b|^2 \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad (3.22)$$

and

$$|(\varepsilon, Q')| + |(\varepsilon, \Lambda Q)| \lesssim |s|^{-1}, \quad |(\varepsilon, Q)| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\theta}. \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. From (3.2) and the definition of b in (2.47), we have $b = -\lambda$. Thus, these estimates are direct consequences of the definition of S_n^* and Lemma 2.12. \square

Remark 3.1. We note that the estimate on the full L^2 norm of ε given by (3.19) cannot be improved. In contrast, the $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ norm and local L^2 norm of ε have a better decay as $t \downarrow 0$ (see (3.16)). This phenomenon seems specific to KdV-type equations and requires the use of local norms and estimates, which, as mentioned in the Introduction, are delicate to combine with the non local operator D^1 . See Lemma 2.17. Note that in the present paper, we localize only the L^2 term in the definition of the energy and local norm (see (3.9) and (4.1) below). See also [18] on such questions.

4. CONTROL OF $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)$

In this section, we close the estimates for $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)$, i.e., we strictly improve (3.16) under the bootstrap assumptions. We consider the following main functionals

$$F = \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3\varepsilon \right); \quad (4.1)$$

$$G = \int \psi \varepsilon^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \psi(y) = |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi \left(\phi \left(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \chi^2(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}), \quad (4.2)$$

$$K = \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{L}_\varphi f = D^1 f + f\varphi - 3Q^2 f. \quad (4.3)$$

The functional F is a localized energy functional in ε . At the quadratic order in ε , it is similar to $(\mathcal{L}\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, except for the localization factor φ on the L^2 term, as in $(\mathcal{L}_\varphi\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. The functional G is related to Virial identity and is useful to cancel some critical terms in $\frac{dF}{ds}$, as first observed for the (NLS) equation in [55], see Lemma 4.2. The functional K appears as an error term in the variation of E , but we will see in Lemma 4.4 that it enjoys a special algebra related to scaling variation.

4.1. Energy-type estimate.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists $C_0 > 0$ independent of C^* such that for $|S_0|$ large enough, depending on C^* , and for all $s \in \mathcal{I}^*$,*

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{F}{\lambda} \right) + \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \leq C_0 C^* |s|^{-2+\theta} - A - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \left(\frac{F}{\lambda} - K + \frac{Z}{\lambda} \right), \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$A = \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi - 6 \int \varepsilon^2 Q \Lambda Q + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q + 2 \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int \varepsilon y Q', \quad (4.5)$$

$$Z = \int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y \chi_1 - 2 \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q (1 - \varphi) \chi_2 \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\chi_1(s, y) = 1 - \chi(y|s|^{-2/3}), \quad \chi_2(s, y) = 1 - \chi \left(y \frac{4}{B} |s|^{-\theta} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. The following notation will be used

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V(\varepsilon) = D^1 \varepsilon + \varepsilon - \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 \right), \\ V_\varphi &= V_\varphi(\varepsilon) = D^1 \varepsilon + \varepsilon \varphi - \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

We compute using (2.53),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{F}{\lambda} \right) &= 2 \int \varepsilon_s V_\varphi - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} F - 2 \int \partial_s Q_b \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon \right) + \int \varepsilon^2 \partial_s \varphi \\ &= f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_5, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= 2 \int V_y V_\varphi, \\
f_2 &= 2 \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int (\Lambda \varepsilon) V_\varphi - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} F + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int (\Lambda Q_b) V_\varphi - 2(b_s + b^2) \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} V_\varphi, \\
f_3 &= 2 \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_y V_\varphi, \\
f_4 &= 2 \int \Psi_b V_\varphi, \\
f_5 &= -2 \int (Q_b)_s \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon \right) + \int \varepsilon^2 \partial_s \varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

In the rest of the proof, we estimate the terms f_1, \dots, f_5 , taking S_0 large enough, possibly depending on C^* . For future reference, note that

$$\varphi_y(s, y) = \partial_y \varphi(s, y) = \frac{1}{B} \frac{\phi' \left(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta \right)}{\phi(|s|^\theta)} = \frac{1}{B\pi} \frac{1}{\phi(|s|^\theta)} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta \right)^2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Estimate for f_1 . Integrating by parts, we have

$$f_1 = 2 \int V_y V_\varphi = 2 \int V_y V + 2 \int V_y (V_\varphi - V) = 2 \int V_y \varepsilon (\varphi - 1).$$

Note that by integration by parts,

$$2 \int (D^1 \varepsilon)_y \varepsilon (\varphi - 1) = 2 \int (D^1 \varepsilon)_y \varepsilon \varphi = -2 \int (D^1 \varepsilon) (\varepsilon_y \varphi + \varepsilon \varphi_y).$$

By the change of variable $y' = \frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta$, we have from Lemma 2.17,

$$\left| \int (D^1 \varepsilon) \varepsilon_y \varphi \right| \leq \frac{C_1}{B} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y$$

and

$$\left| \int (D^1 \varepsilon) \varepsilon \varphi_y - \int \left| D^{\frac{1}{2}} (\varepsilon \sqrt{\varphi_y}) \right|^2 \right| \leq \frac{C_1}{B} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y$$

Recall that $B = 100C_1$. Thus,

$$2 \int (D^1 \varepsilon)_y \varepsilon (\varphi - 1) \leq -2 \int \left| D^{\frac{1}{2}} (\varepsilon \sqrt{\varphi_y}) \right|^2 + \frac{1}{25} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y.$$

Next, integrating by parts,

$$2 \int \varepsilon_y \varepsilon (\varphi - 1) = - \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3)_y \varepsilon (\varphi - 1) \\
&= - \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3) \varepsilon_y (\varphi - 1) - \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3) \varepsilon \varphi_y \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon - Q_b^4)_y (\varphi - 1) \\
&\quad + \int (Q_b)_y ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - 3\varepsilon Q_b^2 - Q_b^3) (\varphi - 1) - \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3) \varepsilon \varphi_y \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon - Q_b^4 - 4((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3) \varepsilon) \varphi_y \\
&\quad + \int (Q_b)_y ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - 3\varepsilon Q_b^2 - Q_b^3) (\varphi - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$|(Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon - Q_b^4 - 4((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3) \varepsilon| \lesssim \varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^2 Q_b^2.$$

First, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and then (2.64), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \varepsilon^4 \varphi_y &= \int |\varepsilon \sqrt{\varphi_y}|^2 \varepsilon^2 \lesssim \left(\int |\varepsilon \sqrt{\varphi_y}|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\int |\varepsilon \sqrt{\varphi_y}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon \sqrt{\varphi_y})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{|s|} \left(\int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon \sqrt{\varphi_y})|^2 + \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Second, since

$$Q^2 \varphi_y \lesssim \begin{cases} |s|^{-2\theta} Q^2 \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta} \varphi & \text{for } y > -|s|^{\frac{1}{4}}, \\ |s|^{-1} \varphi_y & \text{for } y < -|s|^{\frac{1}{4}}, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\int \varepsilon^2 Q_b^2 \varphi_y \lesssim \int \varepsilon^2 (Q^2 + b^2) \varphi_y \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 + |s|^{-1} \int |\varepsilon|^2 \varphi_y.$$

Thus, for $|S_0|$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - 4Q_b^3 \varepsilon - Q_b^4 - 4((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3) \varepsilon) \varphi_y \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{100} \left(\int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon \sqrt{\varphi_y})|^2 + \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right) + C |s|^{-2\theta} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$|(Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon - Q_b^3| \lesssim |\varepsilon|^3 + \varepsilon^2.$$

Since $(Q_b)_y = Q' + bP'\chi_b + bP\chi'_b$,

$$|(Q_b)_y| \lesssim |Q'| + |b|Q + b^2 \mathbf{1}_{[-2|b|^{-1}, -|b|^{-1}]},$$

we first observe that

$$\int_{y < -\frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} |(Q_b)_y| (|\varepsilon|^3 + \varepsilon^2) \lesssim |s|^{-3\theta} \int (|\varepsilon|^3 + \varepsilon^2) \lesssim |s|^{-1-3\theta}.$$

Second, by the definition of φ ,

$$\text{for } y > -\frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta, \quad |1 - \varphi(y)| = |\varphi(0) - \varphi(y)| \lesssim |y| \max_{[-\frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta, +\infty)} \varphi_y \lesssim |y||s|^{-2\theta}, \quad (4.10)$$

and so, since $|y|Q \lesssim Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \varphi$ and $\int |\varepsilon|^3 \varphi \lesssim \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi + \int \varepsilon^4 \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2$,

$$\int_{y > -\frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} |(Q_b)_y| (|\varepsilon|^3 + \varepsilon^2) |1 - \varphi| \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta} \int (|\varepsilon|^3 + \varepsilon^2) \varphi \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2.$$

In conclusion, since $\frac{3}{5} < \theta < \frac{2}{3}$, we obtain for $|S_0|$ large enough,

$$f_1 \leq - \int \left(D^{\frac{1}{2}} (\varepsilon \sqrt{\varphi_y}) \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + C |s|^{-3+\theta}. \quad (4.11)$$

Estimate for f_2 . Since $\mathcal{H}(y\varepsilon_y) = y\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon_y)$, we have

$$\int y\varepsilon_y(D^1\varepsilon) = \int y\varepsilon_y\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon_y) = - \int \mathcal{H}(y\varepsilon_y)(\varepsilon_y) = - \int yH(\varepsilon_y)(\varepsilon_y) = 0,$$

and so

$$2 \int (\Lambda\varepsilon)(D^1\varepsilon) = \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2.$$

By integration by parts,

$$2 \int (\Lambda\varepsilon)\varepsilon\varphi = - \int \varepsilon^2 y\varphi_y.$$

Moreover,

$$-2 \int \Lambda\varepsilon \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 \right) = - \int \varepsilon \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 \right) - 2 \int y\varepsilon_y \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 \right)$$

where, integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \int y\varepsilon_y \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int y \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3\varepsilon \right)_y + 2 \int y(Q_b)_y \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2\varepsilon \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3\varepsilon \right) + 2 \int y(Q_b)_y \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2\varepsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int \varepsilon \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 \right) + \int \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3\varepsilon \right) + 2 \int y(Q_b)_y \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2\varepsilon \right) \\ &= 6 \int \varepsilon^2 Q_b \Lambda Q_b + 2 \int \varepsilon^3 \Lambda Q_b. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the first part of f_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int (\Lambda\varepsilon)V_\varphi - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} F &= \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \left(- \int \varepsilon^2 y\varphi_y - \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi + 6 \int \varepsilon^2 Q_b \Lambda Q_b + 2 \int \varepsilon^3 \Lambda Q_b \right) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 y\varphi_y \\ &+ \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \left(- \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi + 6 \int \varepsilon^2 Q_b \Lambda Q_b + 2 \int \varepsilon^3 \Lambda Q_b \right), \\ &- b \left(- \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi + 6 \int \varepsilon^2 Q_b \Lambda Q_b + 2 \int \varepsilon^3 \Lambda Q_b \right), \end{aligned}$$

Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int (\Lambda Q_b) V_\varphi &= 2 \int (\Lambda Q_b) (D^1 \varepsilon + \varepsilon \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon - 3Q_b \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^3) \\ &= 2 \int \varepsilon (D^1(\Lambda Q_b) + \Lambda Q_b \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \Lambda Q_b) - 6 \int \varepsilon^2 Q_b \Lambda Q_b - 2 \int \varepsilon^3 \Lambda Q_b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, summing these two expressions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \left[\int (D^1(\Lambda Q_b) + \Lambda Q_b \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \Lambda Q_b) \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \right], \\ &\quad - 2(b_s + b^2) \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} V_\varphi \\ &\quad - b \left(- \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi + 6 \int \varepsilon^2 Q_b \Lambda Q_b + 2 \int \varepsilon^3 \Lambda Q_b \right) \\ &= f_{2,1} + f_{2,2} + f_{2,3} + f_{2,4}. \end{aligned}$$

First, we split $f_{2,1}$ into two parts

$$f_{2,1} = - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y + b \int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y. \quad (4.12)$$

We split the first term in right-hand side of (4.12) using $Z_1 = \int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y \chi_1$, where χ_1 is defined in (4.6)

$$\int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y = Z_1 + \int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y \chi(y|s|^{-2/3}).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y \chi(y|s|^{-2/3}) \right| &\lesssim \int_{|y| < 2|s|^{2/3}} |y| \varphi_y \varepsilon^2 + \int_{|y| > 2|s|^{2/3}} |y| \varphi_y \varepsilon^2 \\ &\lesssim |s|^{\frac{2}{3}} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + |s|^{-2/3} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and thus by (3.20),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| \left| \int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y \chi(y|s|^{-2/3}) \right| &\lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + (C^*)^3 |s|^{-\frac{11}{3} + \frac{3}{2}\theta} \\ &\lesssim o(1) \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + |s|^{-3+\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (4.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |b| \int \varepsilon^2 |y| \varphi_y &\lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\theta)} \int_{|y| < |s|^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\theta)}} \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + |s|^{-1} \int_{|y| > |s|^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\theta)}} \varepsilon^2 |y| \varphi_y \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\theta)} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + |s|^{-1} \int_{|y| > |s|^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\theta)}} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|y|} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\theta)} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + |s|^{-\frac{5}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$f_{2,1} = - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) Z_1 + o(1) \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-3+\theta}) \quad \text{where} \quad Z_1 = \int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y \chi_1.$$

Second, we compute $D^1(\Lambda Q_b) + \Lambda Q_b \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \Lambda Q_b$ to simplify the expression of $f_{2,2}$. First, we claim that for any function f ,

$$\mathcal{L}(f') = (\mathcal{L}f)' + 6QQ'f, \quad \mathcal{L}(\Lambda f) = \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{L}f + y(\mathcal{L}f)' + 6yQQ'f - f + 3Q^2f. \quad (4.13)$$

The first identity follows directly from the definition of \mathcal{L} . For the second one, we proceed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\Lambda f) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}f + \mathcal{H}(yf')' + yf' - 3Q^2yf' = \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{L}f + \mathcal{H}(yf'') - f + 3Q^2f + yf' - 3Q^2yf' \\ &= \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{L}f + y(\mathcal{H}(f'))' - f + 3Q^2f + yf' - 3Q^2yf' \\ &= \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{L}f + y(\mathcal{L}f)' - f + 3Q^2f + 6yQQ'f. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}\Lambda Q = -Q$ and $Q_b = Q + bP_b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^1(\Lambda Q_b) + \Lambda Q_b \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \Lambda Q_b &= \mathcal{L}(\Lambda Q) + b\mathcal{L}(\Lambda P_b) + (\Lambda Q + b\Lambda P_b)(\varphi - 1) \\ &= -Q + \frac{3}{2}b\mathcal{L}P_b + by(\mathcal{L}P_b)_y - bP_b + 3bQ^2P_b + 6byQQ'P_b + (\Lambda Q + b\Lambda P_b)(\varphi - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We use the notation from the proof of Lemma 2.10, $\Psi_1 = (\mathcal{L}P_b - \mathcal{L}P)_y = (\mathcal{L}P_b)_y - \Lambda Q$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} D^1(\Lambda Q_b) + \Lambda Q_b \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \Lambda Q_b \\ &= -Q + by\Lambda Q + by\Psi_1 + \frac{3}{2}b\mathcal{L}P_b - bP_b + 3bQ^2P_b + 6byQQ'P_b + (\Lambda Q + b\Lambda P_b)(\varphi - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D^1(\Lambda Q_b) + \Lambda Q_b \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \Lambda Q_b \\ &= -Q + by\Lambda Q + by\Psi_1 + \frac{3}{2}b\mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b - b\varphi P_b + 3bQ^2P_b + 6byQQ'P_b + (\Lambda Q + by(P_b)_y)(\varphi - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we see that

$$-3(Q_b^2 - Q^2)\Lambda Q_b = -6bQ\Lambda Q P_b - b^2 \left(\frac{9}{2}QP_b^2 + 6yQP_b(P_b)_y + 3yQ'P_b^2 \right) - 3b^3P_b^2\Lambda P_b.$$

Combining these computations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D^1(\Lambda Q_b) + \Lambda Q_b \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \Lambda Q_b \\ &= -Q + b \left(y\Lambda Q + \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b + yP\chi'_b \varphi - P_b \varphi \right) + by(\Psi_1 - P\chi'_b) + (\Lambda Q + byP'\chi_b)(\varphi - 1) \\ &\quad - b^2 \left(\frac{9}{2}QP_b^2 + 6yQP_b(P_b)_y + 3yQ'P_b^2 \right) - 3b^3P_b^2\Lambda P_b. \end{aligned}$$

We claim the following estimates

$$\|\mathcal{L}_\varphi \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} - (\mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b + yP\chi'_b \varphi)\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b - P_b \varphi = R_b, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\|by(\Psi_1 - P\chi'_b)\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\|QP_b^2\|_{L^2} + \|yQP_b(P_b)_y\|_{L^2} + \|yQ'P_b^2\|_{L^2} + |b|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|P_b^2\Lambda P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim 1. \quad (4.17)$$

Indeed, by using (2.36),

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} - (\mathcal{L}_\varphi P + yP\chi'_b \varphi) = D^1(yP\chi'_b) - 3Q^2 P\chi'_b$$

and $\|D^1(yP\chi'_b)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|P\chi'_b\|_{L^2} + \|yP'\chi'_b\|_{L^2} + \|yP\chi''_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\|Q^2 P\chi'_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{5}{2}}$, which proves (4.14). Next, (4.15) is a simple consequence of the definitions of \mathcal{L}_φ and R_b in (4.3) and (2.20). Then, estimate (4.16) is proved as in (2.34). Finally, (4.17) is straightforward.

Inserting (4.14)-(4.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D^1(\Lambda Q_b) + \Lambda Q_b \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \Lambda Q_b \\ &= -Q + bR_b + by\Lambda Q + b\mathcal{L}_\varphi \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b + (\Lambda Q + byP'\chi_b)(\varphi - 1) + \mathcal{O}_{L^2}(|s|^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus, using (2.51) and $\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (D^1(\Lambda Q_b) + \Lambda Q_b \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \Lambda Q_b) \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}F - \frac{b}{2}K + b \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\varphi \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} + b \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q + \int (\Lambda Q + byP'\chi_b)(\varphi - 1) \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2} \ln |s|). \end{aligned}$$

Concerning $f_{2,3}$, by the definition of V_φ , one has

$$\int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} V_\varphi = \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\varphi \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} - \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} \left((6bQP_b + 3b^2P_b^2) \varepsilon + 3Q_b \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3 \right)$$

One sees easily by using (2.36) that

$$\left| \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} \left((6bQP_b + 3b^2P_b^2) \varepsilon + 3Q_b \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3 \right) \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\theta},$$

and thus by (3.20), since $b = -\lambda$,

$$f_{2,3} = -2b \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\varphi \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} + \mathcal{O}((C^*)^3 |s|^{-4+\frac{3}{2}\theta}).$$

For $f_{2,2}$ and $f_{2,3}$, we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2,2} + f_{2,3} &= - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) (F + bK) + 2b \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int (\Lambda Q + byP'\chi_b)(\varphi - 1) \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-3+\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

We split the third term in the right-hand side as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int (\Lambda Q + byP'\chi_b)(\varphi - 1) \varepsilon \\ &= - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) Z_2 + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \left(\Lambda Q \chi \left(y \frac{4}{B} |s|^{-\theta} \right) + byP'\chi_b \right) (\varphi - 1) \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_2 = -2 \int \Lambda Q (\varphi - 1) \varepsilon \chi_2$.

It follows from (4.10) and $|\Lambda Q| \lesssim (1 + |y|)^{-2}$ that

$$\left| \int \Lambda Q \chi \left(y \frac{4}{B} |s|^{-\theta} \right) (\varphi - 1) \varepsilon \right| \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta} \int_{y > -\frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} \frac{|\varepsilon|}{1 + |y|} \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, by (3.20), since $\theta > 3/5$, for $|S_0|$ large enough,

$$\left| \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \Lambda Q \chi \left(y \frac{4}{B} |s|^{-\theta} \right) (\varphi - 1) \varepsilon \right| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2}\theta} \lesssim |s|^{-3+\theta}.$$

Next, since $P' \in \mathcal{Y}_2$, using again (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int b y P' \chi_b (\varphi - 1) \varepsilon \right| &\lesssim |s|^{-1-2\theta} \int_{|y| < \frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} |\varepsilon| + |s|^{-1} \int_{|y| > \frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} \frac{|\varepsilon|}{|y|} \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-1-\frac{3}{2}\theta} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + |s|^{-1-\frac{\theta}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\theta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.20), since $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\left| \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int b y P' \chi_b (\varphi - 1) \varepsilon \right| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{5}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-3+\theta}.$$

In conclusion for $f_{2,2}$ and $f_{2,3}$, we obtain

$$f_{2,2} + f_{2,3} = - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) (F + bK + Z_2) + 2b \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-3+\theta}).$$

where $Z_2 = -2 \int \Lambda Q (\varphi - 1) \varepsilon \chi_2$.

For $f_{2,4}$, we claim

$$f_{2,4} = b \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi - 6 \int \varepsilon^2 Q \Lambda Q \right) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-3+\theta}). \quad (4.18)$$

Indeed, we check that

$$|b| \int \varepsilon^2 |Q_b \Lambda Q_b - Q \Lambda Q| \lesssim |b|^2 \int |\varepsilon|^2 \lesssim |s|^{-3},$$

and

$$|b| \left| \int \varepsilon^3 \Lambda Q_b \right| \lesssim |b| \int |\varepsilon|^3 \lesssim |b| \left(\int |\varepsilon|^2 \right) \left(\int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim C^* |s|^{-3+\frac{\theta}{2}}.$$

In conclusion for f_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) (F + bK + Z) \\ &\quad + b \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi - 6 \int \varepsilon^2 Q \Lambda Q + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q \right) \\ &\quad + o(1) \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-3+\theta}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Estimate for f_3 . By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_y V_\varphi &= 2 \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_y (D^1 \varepsilon + \varepsilon \varphi - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon - 3Q_b \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^3) \\ &= 2 \int \varepsilon \left(D^1 (Q_b)_y + (Q_b)_y \varphi - 3Q_b^2 (Q_b)_y \right) - \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y. \end{aligned}$$

As before, using (4.13), since $\mathcal{L}Q' = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} D^1(Q_b)_y + (Q_b)_y\varphi - 3Q_b^2(Q_b)_y &= \mathcal{L}Q' + b\mathcal{L}(P_b)_y + (Q + bP_b)_y(\varphi - 1) \\ &= b(\mathcal{L}P_b)_y + 6bQQ'P_b + (Q + bP_b)_y(\varphi - 1) \\ &= b\Lambda Q + b\Psi_1 + 6bQQ'P_b + (Q + bP_b)_y(\varphi - 1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$-3(Q_b^2 - Q^2)(Q_b)_y = -6bQQ'P_b - b^2(3Q'P_b^2 + 6QP_b(P_b)_y) - 3b^3P_b^2(P_b)_y.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} D^1(Q_b)_y + (Q_b)_y\varphi - 3Q_b^2(Q_b)_y &= b\Lambda Q + b\Psi_1 + (Q + bP_b)_y(\varphi - 1) \\ &\quad - b^2(3Q'P_b^2 + 6QP_b(P_b)_y) - 3b^3P_b^2(P_b)_y. \end{aligned}$$

We claim

$$\|b\Psi_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\|Q'P_b^2\|_{L^2} + \|P_b^2(P_b)_y\|_{L^2} + \|QP_b(P_b)_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim 1, \quad (4.21)$$

$$\int \varepsilon\Lambda Q = \int \varepsilon yQ' + \mathcal{O}((C^*)^2|s|^{-2+\theta}), \quad (4.22)$$

$$\|Q'(\varphi - 1)\|_{L^2} + |b|\|(P_b)_y(\varphi - 1)\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta}. \quad (4.23)$$

Indeed, (4.20) is a consequence of (2.34) and (2.35), (4.21) is a direct consequence of the definition of P_b and (4.22) follows from $\Lambda Q = \frac{1}{2}Q + yQ'$ and (3.23). To prove (4.23), first we apply (4.10), so that

$$\|Q'(\varphi - 1)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \int \frac{|\varphi - 1|^2}{1 + y^6} dy \lesssim |s|^{-4\theta} \int_{|y| < \frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} \frac{dy}{1 + y^4} + \int_{|y| > \frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} \frac{dy}{1 + y^6} \lesssim |s|^{-4\theta}.$$

Second, since $P \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|b|(P_b)_y(\varphi - 1)\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim |b|^2 \int \frac{|\varphi - 1|^2}{1 + y^4} dy + |b|^2 \int |\chi'_b|^2 \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-2-4\theta} \int_{|y| < \frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} \frac{dy}{1 + y^2} + |s|^{-2} \int_{|y| > \frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} \frac{dy}{1 + y^4} + |s|^{-3} \lesssim |s|^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using also (3.20), we obtain, for S_0 large (possibly depending on C^*), using $\theta > \frac{3}{5}$,

$$f_3 = 2b \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int \varepsilon yQ' + o(1) \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-3+\theta}). \quad (4.24)$$

Estimate for f_4 . Recall that

$$f_4 = 2 \int \Psi_b V_\varphi = 2 \int \Psi_b \left(D^1 \varepsilon + \varepsilon \varphi - \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 \right) \right).$$

First, using (2.28),

$$\left| \int \Psi_b D^1 \varepsilon \right| \lesssim \left| \int (D^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_b)(D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon) \right| \lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_b\|_{L^2} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-2} |\ln |s||^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon).$$

Second, by (2.27), we have

$$\left(\int \Psi_b^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b|^2 + |b| \left(\int P^2 (\chi'_b)^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |b|^2 \left(\int P_b^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |b|^{2-\frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (4.25)$$

Thus,

$$\left| \int \Psi_b \varepsilon \varphi \right| \lesssim \left(\int \Psi_b^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\frac{\theta}{2}} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \lesssim C^* |s|^{-3+\theta}.$$

Using (2.64) (with $p = 6$), (2.27) and (3.19), we also have

$$\left| \int \Psi_b \varepsilon^3 \right| \leq \|\Psi_b\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^6}^3 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-4+\theta}.$$

Estimating the other terms $\int \Psi_b Q_b^2 \varepsilon$ and $\int \Psi_b Q_b \varepsilon^2$ similarly, we obtain

$$|f_4| \lesssim |s|^{-2+\frac{\theta}{2}} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \lesssim C^* |s|^{-3+\theta}. \quad (4.26)$$

Estimate for f_5 .

$$f_5 = -2 \int (Q_b)_s \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2 \varepsilon \right) + \int \varepsilon^2 \partial_s \varphi = f_{5,1} + f_{5,2}.$$

First, by (2.36) and (3.21),

$$|(Q_b)_s| = |b_s| \left| \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} \right| \lesssim (|s|^{-2} + |s|^{-1} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)) \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{\theta}{2}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{5,1}| &\lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{\theta}{2}} \int |\varepsilon|^2 (Q + |b|) + |\varepsilon|^3 \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 + |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right) \\ &\lesssim (C^*)^3 |s|^{-4+\frac{3}{2}\theta} \lesssim |s|^{-3+\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, we see from the definition of φ in (3.8)

$$\partial_s \varphi = \theta s^{-1} |s|^\theta \frac{\phi' \left(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta \right)}{\phi(|s|^\theta)} - \theta s^{-1} |s|^\theta \frac{\phi \left(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta \right) \phi'(|s|^\theta)}{\phi^2(|s|^\theta)}. \quad (4.27)$$

We also have that

$$|s|^{-1+\theta} \int \varepsilon^2 \frac{\phi' \left(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta \right)}{\phi(|s|^\theta)} \lesssim |s|^{-1+\theta} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y,$$

and

$$|s|^{-1+\theta} \int \varepsilon^2 \frac{\phi \left(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta \right) \phi'(|s|^\theta)}{\phi^2(|s|^\theta)} \lesssim |s|^{-1-\theta} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \lesssim |s|^{-1-\theta} (\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon))^2.$$

Thus,

$$f_5 = o(1) \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-3+\theta}). \quad (4.28)$$

Therefore, we conclude the proof of (4.4) gathering (4.11), (4.19), (4.24), (4.26) and (4.28). \square

4.2. Virial-type estimate. Now, to extend to the cubic Benjamin-Ono equation the technique developed in [55], we prove the following suitably localized Virial-type identity.

Lemma 4.2. *For $|S_0|$ large enough possibly depending on C^* , for all $s \in \mathcal{I}^*$,*

$$|G| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4.29)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dG}{ds} &= -2 \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 - \int (\varepsilon\rho)^2 + 6 \int Q^2(\varepsilon\rho)^2 - 6 \int Q\Lambda Q\varepsilon^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q + 2 \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int \varepsilon y Q' \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where

$$\rho(s, y) = \frac{\chi(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}})}{(1 + y^2|s|^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (4.31)$$

Proof. The bound (4.29) follows from $\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-1}$ (see (3.19)) and the bound $|\psi| \lesssim |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ coming directly from the definition of ψ in (4.2).

Now, we prove (4.30). We compute using (2.53),

$$\frac{dG}{ds} = 2 \int \varepsilon_s \varepsilon \psi + \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_s = g_1 + g_2 + g_3 + g_4 + g_5 + g_6 + g_7,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= 2 \int V_y \varepsilon \psi, & g_2 &= 2 \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int (\Lambda \varepsilon) \varepsilon \psi, \\ g_3 &= 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \Lambda Q_b \varepsilon \psi, & g_4 &= 2 \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_y \varepsilon \psi, \\ g_5 &= -2(b_s + b^2) \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} \varepsilon \psi, & g_6 &= 2 \int \Psi_b \varepsilon \psi, \\ g_7 &= \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_s. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the following technical facts on ψ and ρ .

Lemma 4.3. *The following hold.*

(i) *Pointwise estimates.*

$$|\psi(y) - y| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |y|^2, \quad |\psi| \lesssim |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.32)$$

$$|y\psi_y| \lesssim |s|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{y > -2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}}, \quad (4.33)$$

$$\psi_y = \frac{\chi^2(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}})}{1 + y^2|s|^{-1}} + \mathbf{1}_{-2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}} < y < -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{10}}), \quad (4.34)$$

$$|\psi_y - 1| + |\rho - 1| \lesssim \frac{y^2|s|^{-1}}{1 + y^2|s|^{-1}}, \quad |\psi_y| \lesssim 1, \quad |\psi_{yy}| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.35)$$

$$|\psi_{yyy}| \lesssim \frac{|s|^{-1}}{(1 + y^2|s|^{-1})^2} + |s|^{-\frac{11}{10}} \mathbf{1}_{-2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}} < y < -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} \lesssim |s|^{-1}. \quad (4.36)$$

(ii) *Norm estimates.*

$$\|\rho\|_{L^2} + \|\psi_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \|\rho_y\|_{L^2} + \|\psi_{yy}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (4.37)$$

Proof. By Taylor expansion, since $\phi(0) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\phi'(0) = \frac{1}{\pi}$ and $\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi''| < +\infty$, one finds for $|y| < |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$\left| \phi(|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}y) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}y \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1}|y|^2.$$

The definition of ψ in (4.2) then implies (4.32) for $|y| < |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For $|y| > |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, (4.32) is a consequence of $|\psi| \lesssim |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Next, note that by the definition of ψ in (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_y &= \pi \phi'(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \chi^2(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}) + |s|^{-\frac{1}{10}} \pi \left(\phi(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{1}{2} \right) (\chi^2)'(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}) \\ &= \frac{\chi^2(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}})}{1 + y^2|s|^{-1}} + |s|^{-\frac{1}{10}} \pi \left(\phi(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{1}{2} \right) (\chi^2)'(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

which implies directly (4.33) and (4.34). Moreover,

$$|\psi_y - 1| \lesssim \frac{y^2|s|^{-1}}{1 + y^2|s|^{-1}} \mathbf{1}_{y > -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} + |s|^{-\frac{1}{10}} \mathbf{1}_{y < -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}}.$$

Differentiating (4.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{yy} &= |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi \phi''(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \chi^2(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}) + 2|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}} \pi \phi'(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) (\chi^2)'(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}) \\ &\quad + |s|^{-\frac{7}{10}} \pi \left(\phi(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{1}{2} \right) (\chi^2)''(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}). \end{aligned}$$

and thus $|\psi_{yy}| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. This proves (4.35). Now, we estimate ψ_{yyy} . By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{yyy} &= |s|^{-1} \pi \phi'''(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \chi^2(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}) + 3|s|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{5}} \pi \phi''(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) (\chi^2)'(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}) \\ &\quad + 3|s|^{-\frac{6}{5}} \pi \phi'(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) (\chi^2)''(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}) + |s|^{-\frac{9}{5} + \frac{1}{2}} \pi \left(\phi(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{1}{2} \right) (\chi^2)'''(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|\psi_{yyy}| \lesssim \frac{|s|^{-1}}{(1 + y^2|s|^{-1})^2} + |s|^{-\frac{11}{10}} \mathbf{1}_{-2|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}} < y < -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} \lesssim |s|^{-1}.$$

Finally, we prove (4.37). First,

$$\int \rho^2 \lesssim \int \frac{dy}{1 + y^2|s|^{-1}} \lesssim |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that by direct computation

$$\rho_y = |s|^{-\frac{3}{5}} \frac{\chi'(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}})}{(1 + y^2|s|^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}} - |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}})}{(1 + y^2|s|^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

and thus,

$$\int (\rho_y)^2 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{6}{5}} \int \frac{dy}{1 + y^2|s|^{-1}} + |s|^{-1} \int \frac{y^2|s|^{-1} dy}{(1 + y^2|s|^{-1})^3} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By (4.38), we have $\int(\psi_y - \rho)^2 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{5}} \int_{-2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}} < y < -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} dy \lesssim |s|^{\frac{2}{5}}$ and

$$|(\psi_y - \rho)_y| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{5}} \mathbf{1}_{-2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}} < y < -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} \quad \text{and so} \quad \int |(\psi_y - \rho)_y|^2 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{5}},$$

which finishes the proof of (4.37). \square

Estimate for g_1 . We claim

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 = & -2 \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 - \int (\varepsilon\rho)^2 + 6 \int Q^2(\varepsilon\rho)^2 - 6 \int Q\Lambda Q\varepsilon^2 \\ & + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

By using the definition of V in (4.8) and integrations by parts, we decompose g_1 as

$$g_1 = g_{1,1} + g_{1,2} + g_{1,3},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{1,1} &= 2 \int (D^1\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon \psi, \\ g_{1,2} &= - \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_y, \\ g_{1,3} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3\varepsilon) \psi_y + 2 \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3) \varepsilon \psi_y \\ &\quad - 2 \int ((Q_b + \varepsilon)^3 - Q_b^3 - 3Q_b^2\varepsilon)(Q_b)_y \psi. \end{aligned}$$

First, concerning $g_{1,1}$, we claim the following two estimates

$$\left| \int (D^1\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon \psi \right| \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}, \quad (4.40)$$

$$\left| \int (D^1\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon \psi_y - \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 \right| \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta} + |s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (4.41)$$

With these estimates in hand, we obtain after integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{1,1} &= -2 \int (D^1\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon \psi - 2 \int (D^1\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon \psi_y \\ &= -2 \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

Proof of (4.40). Using (2.67) with $a = \psi$, since $\|\psi_{yy}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (see (4.35)), we obtain from (3.19),

$$\left| \int (D^1\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon \psi \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \|\psi_{yy}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}.$$

Proof of (4.41). Using (2.68) with $a = \psi$, since $\|a''\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\|a'\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{\frac{1}{4}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int (D^1\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon \psi_y - \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 \psi_y \right| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi_{yy}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\psi_y\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\lesssim (C^*)^{\frac{3}{2}} |s|^{-\frac{15}{8} + \frac{3}{4}\theta} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$. By (4.38) and the definition of $\rho = \frac{\chi(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}})}{(1+y^2|s|^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, we see that $|\Psi_y - \rho^2| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{10}} \mathbf{1}_{-2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}} < y < -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}}$, and thus

$$\left| \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 \psi_y - \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 \rho^2 \right| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{10}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{10}} (\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon))^2 \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}.$$

Now, we claim

$$\left| \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 \rho^2 - \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 \right| \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta} + |s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (4.43)$$

which is sufficient to finish the proof of (4.41). Indeed, using (2.65) and (2.64),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 \rho^2 - \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 \right| &= \left| \int \left((D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon)\rho + D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho) \right) \left((D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon)\rho - D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho) \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim \left(\|(D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon)\rho\|_{L^2} + \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2} \right) \|[D^{\frac{1}{2}}, \rho]\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2} \right) \|\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\rho_y\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta} + (C^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} |s|^{-\frac{7}{8}+\frac{\theta}{4}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta} + |s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Second, we see from (4.34),

$$\begin{aligned} -g_{1,2} &= \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_y = \int \varepsilon^2 \frac{\chi^2(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}})}{1+y^2|s|^{-1}} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{10}}) \int_{-2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}} < y < -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} \varepsilon^2 \\ &= \int \varepsilon^2 \frac{\chi^2(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}})}{1+y^2|s|^{-1}} + \mathcal{O}((C^*)^2 |s|^{-\frac{21}{10}+\theta}) \\ &= \int \varepsilon^2 \rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

since (by $\theta > \frac{3}{5}$), $\int_{-2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}} < y < -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} \varepsilon^2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\theta}$.

Next, we claim

$$g_{1,3} = 6 \int Q^2(\varepsilon\rho)^2 - 6 \int Q\Lambda Q\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \quad (4.45)$$

We start with rough bounds, using (4.32) (in particular $|\psi Q'| \lesssim 1$), and (4.35), and then (2.64),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| g_{1,3} - 3 \int \psi_y Q^2 \varepsilon^2 + 6 \int \psi Q Q' \varepsilon^2 \right| \\ &\lesssim \int |\psi_y| (|b|\varepsilon|^2 + |\varepsilon|^3 + |\varepsilon|^4) + \int |\psi| (|b|\varepsilon|^2 + (|Q'| + |b|)|\varepsilon|^3) \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \varepsilon^2 + \int |\varepsilon|^3 + \int |\varepsilon|^4 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (4.35),

$$\left| \int \psi_y Q^2 \varepsilon^2 - \int Q^2 \varepsilon^2 \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1} \int \varepsilon^2 \lesssim |s|^{-2} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta},$$

and, using (4.32),

$$\left| \int \psi Q Q' \varepsilon^2 - \int y Q Q' \varepsilon^2 \right| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \varepsilon^2 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{1,3} &= 3 \int Q^2 \varepsilon^2 - 6 \int y Q Q' \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}) \\ &= 6 \int Q^2 \varepsilon^2 - 6 \int Q \Lambda Q \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to finish the proof of (4.45), we only have to prove

$$\int Q^2 \varepsilon^2 |1 - \rho^2| \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}, \quad (4.46)$$

but similarly as before, this follows from $|\rho^2 - 1| \lesssim y^2 |s|^{-1}$.

Therefore, we conclude the proof of (4.39) gathering (4.42), (4.44) and (4.45).

Estimate for g_2 . We claim

$$g_2 = \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \quad (4.47)$$

Indeed, integrating by parts,

$$g_2 = -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 y \psi_y$$

Note that since $\theta > \frac{3}{5}$, $\varphi \gtrsim 1$ for $y > -2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}$, and thus $\int_{y > -2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} |\varepsilon|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\theta}$.

Combining this, (4.33) and (3.20), we find $|g_2| \lesssim (C^*)^3 |s|^{-\frac{5}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\theta}$, which implies (4.47) since $\theta < 1$.

Estimate for g_3 . We claim

$$g_3 = 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \quad (4.48)$$

First, by (4.32)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \Lambda Q \varepsilon (\psi - y) \right| &\lesssim \int_{|y| > |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}} |\varepsilon| (|\psi| + |y|) \frac{dy}{y^2} + |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{|y| < |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}} |\varepsilon| \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{|y| > |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}} dy/y^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |s|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, since $\psi \equiv 0$ for $y < -2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}$, $|\psi| \lesssim |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $|\Lambda P_b| \lesssim (1 + y_+)^{-1}$ (here $y_+ = \max(0, y)$),

$$\left| b \int \Lambda P_b \varepsilon \psi \right| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{y > -2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} |\varepsilon| (1 + y_+)^{-1} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{5}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{7}{10}}.$$

Thus, (4.48) follows from (3.20) and $\theta > \frac{3}{5}$.

Estimate for g_4 . The proof of the following estimate is similar and easier (due to the stronger decay of Q' with respect to ΛQ)

$$g_4 = 2 \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int \varepsilon y Q' + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \quad (4.49)$$

Note that to deal with the term $2\left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) \int \varepsilon_y \varepsilon \psi$, we integrate by parts and use (4.35) so that

$$\left| 2\left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) \int \varepsilon_y \varepsilon \psi \right| = \left| \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) \int \varepsilon^2 \psi_y \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \int \varepsilon^2 \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{\theta}{2}}.$$

Estimate for g_5 . We claim

$$g_5 = \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \quad (4.50)$$

From (2.36), one has $\left|\frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b}\right| \lesssim (1+y_+)^{-1}$ and thus, using (3.21) and (4.32),

$$\begin{aligned} |g_5| &\lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{\theta}{2}} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \int_{y>-2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}} |\varepsilon| (1+y_+)^{-1} \\ &\lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{17}{10}+\frac{\theta}{2}} |s|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{17}{10}+\frac{\theta}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\theta > \frac{3}{5}$.

Estimate for g_6 . We claim

$$g_6 = \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \quad (4.51)$$

Indeed, by $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.27), we have (using $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$)

$$|g_6| \lesssim |s|^{-1} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}.$$

Estimate for g_7 . We claim

$$g_7 = \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \quad (4.52)$$

By the definition of ψ in (4.2),

$$\partial_s \psi = -\frac{1}{2} |s|^{-1} \psi + \frac{\pi}{2} |s|^{-1} y \phi'(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \chi^2(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}) + \frac{3}{5} |s|^{-\frac{11}{10}} \pi \left(\phi(y|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{1}{2} \right) y (\chi^2)'(y|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}).$$

Thus, $|\partial_s \psi| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{y>-2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}}$ and

$$|g_7| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-\frac{5}{2}+\theta} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}.$$

Therefore, combining (4.39), (4.47), (4.48), (4.49), (4.50), (4.51) and (4.52), we finish the proof of (4.30). \square

4.3. Estimates on the functional K . Recall that $K = \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b$ is defined in (3.16).

Lemma 4.4. *For $|S_0|$ large enough possibly depending on C^* , for all $s \in \mathcal{I}^*$,*

$$|K| \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) |s|^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \lesssim C^* |s|^{-1+\theta}. \quad (4.53)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{dK}{ds} + \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) p_0 \right| \lesssim \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}}. \quad (4.54)$$

Proof. We begin with the proof of (4.53). By using the definition of \mathcal{L}_φ in (4.3), we decompose K as

$$K = \int \varepsilon D^1 P_b + \int \varepsilon P_b \varphi - 3 \int \varepsilon Q^2 P_b.$$

First, we deduce from (2.19), (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \varepsilon P_b \varphi \right| &\lesssim \int_{y>0} \frac{|\varepsilon|}{1+y} + \int_{-2B|s|^\theta < y < 0} |\varepsilon| \varphi + \int_{-2|s| < y < -2B|s|^\theta} |\varepsilon| \varphi \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) |s|^{\frac{\theta}{2}} + \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \left(\int_{-2|s| < y < -2B|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{1+|y|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) |s|^{\frac{\theta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, we deduce from (2.23) and (3.9) that

$$\left| \int \varepsilon D^1 P_b \right| = \left| \int D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_b \right| \lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \ln |s|.$$

Moreover, we get easily that

$$\left| \int \varepsilon Q^2 P_b \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon).$$

Those estimates together with the bootstrap hypothesis (3.16) conclude the proof of (4.53).

Next, we turn to the proof of (4.54). By using the equation of ε_s in (2.53), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dK}{ds} &= \int \varepsilon_s \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b + \int \varepsilon \varphi_s P_b + b_s \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\varphi \left(\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \right) \\ &= k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 + k_5 + k_6 + k_7, \end{aligned} \tag{4.55}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \int V_y \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b, & k_2 &= \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int (\Lambda \varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b, \\ k_3 &= \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int (\Lambda Q_b) \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b, & k_4 &= \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_y \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b, \\ k_5 &= -(b_s + b^2) \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b, & k_6 &= \int \Psi_b \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b, \\ k_7 &= \int \varepsilon \varphi_s P_b + b_s \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\varphi \left(\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of the proof, we estimate k_1, \dots, k_7 separately, taking S_0 large enough, possibly depending on C^* .

Estimate for k_1 . In order to estimate k_1 , we rewrite $\mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b &= D^1 P_b + P_b \varphi - 3Q^2 P_b \\ &= \mathcal{L}P + D^1(P(\chi_b - 1)) + P(\chi_b \varphi - 1) - 3Q^2 P(\chi_b - 1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.56}$$

Moreover, integrating by parts and using the definition of V in (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= - \int \mathcal{L} \varepsilon (\mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b)_y + 3 \int (Q_b^2 - Q^2) \varepsilon (\mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b)_y + \int (3Q_b \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3) (\mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b)_y \\ &= k_{1,1} + k_{1,2} + k_{1,3}. \end{aligned}$$

First, we deal with $k_{1,1}$. Recalling that $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda Q) = -Q$, the equation in (2.16) and (4.56), we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_{1,1} &= \int \varepsilon Q - \int \mathcal{L}\varepsilon(D^1(P(\chi_b - 1)))_y - \int \mathcal{L}\varepsilon(P(\chi_b\varphi - 1))_y + 3 \int \mathcal{L}\varepsilon(Q^2P(\chi_b - 1))_y \\ &= \int \varepsilon Q + k_{1,1,1} + k_{1,1,2} + k_{1,1,3}. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of \mathcal{L} , (3.19), the definition of χ_b in (2.19) and $P \in \mathcal{Z}$, we get that

$$|k_{1,1,1}| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} (\|(P(\chi_b - 1))'''\|_{L^2} + \|(P(\chi_b - 1))''\|_{L^2}) \lesssim |s|^{-2}, \quad (4.57)$$

and

$$|k_{1,1,3}| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} (\|(Q^2P(\chi_b - 1))'\|_{L^2} + \|(Q^2P(\chi_b - 1))''\|_{L^2}) \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{9}{2}}. \quad (4.58)$$

We rewrite $k_{1,1,2}$ as

$$k_{1,1,2} = \int D^1\varepsilon(P(\chi_b\varphi - 1))_y + \int (1 - 3Q^2)\varepsilon(P(\chi_b\varphi - 1))_y.$$

To treat the first term above, we use the decomposition

$$(P(\chi_b\varphi - 1))_y = P'(\chi_b\varphi - 1) + P\chi'_b\varphi + P_b\varphi_y.$$

On the one hand, observe that

$$\int D^1\varepsilon(P'(\chi_b\varphi - 1) + P\chi'_b\varphi) = - \int \mathcal{H}\varepsilon(P''(\chi_b\varphi - 1) + 2P'\chi'_b\varphi + P\chi''_b\varphi + P\chi'_b\varphi_y),$$

$$\|P''(1 - \chi_b\varphi)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left(\int_{y < -\frac{R}{2}|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{|y|^6} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |s|^{-2\theta} \|yP''\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta},$$

thanks to (4.10), using $P' \in \mathcal{Y}_2$ and the definition of φ in (3.8),

$$\|P'\chi'_b\varphi\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b| \left(\int_{-2|s| < y < -|s|} \frac{1}{|y|^6} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{7}{2}},$$

$$\|P\chi''_b\varphi\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^2 \left(\int_{-2|s| < y < -|s|} \frac{1}{|y|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{5}{2}},$$

and

$$\|P\chi'_b\varphi_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b| \left(\int_{-2|s| < y < -|s|} \frac{1}{|y|^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{5}{2}}.$$

Then, we deduce from (3.19) that

$$\left| \int D^1\varepsilon(P'(\chi_b\varphi - 1) + P\chi'_b\varphi) \right| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\theta}.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 2.6 and the translation invariance of \mathcal{H} together with (4.9) imply that

$$|\mathcal{H}\partial_y(P_b\varphi_y)| \lesssim \varphi_y,$$

and thus

$$\left| \int D^1\varepsilon P_b\varphi_y \right| = \left| \int \varepsilon \mathcal{H}\partial_y(P_b\varphi_y) \right| \lesssim \int |\varepsilon| \varphi_y \lesssim \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\left| \int D^1 \varepsilon (P(\chi_b \varphi - 1))_y \right| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\theta} + \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.59)$$

We write the second term in the decomposition of $k_{1,1,2}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon (P(\chi_b \varphi - 1))_y \\ &= \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon P'(\chi_b \varphi - 1) + \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon P \chi'_b \varphi + \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon P_b \varphi_y. \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.19), $P' \in \mathcal{Y}_2$, and (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon P'(\chi_b \varphi - 1) \right| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{y < -\frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{|y|^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |s|^{-2\theta} \int_{y > -\frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} |\varepsilon| \frac{|y|}{(1+|y|)^2} \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3\theta}{2}} + |s|^{-2\theta} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon P \chi'_b \varphi \right| \lesssim |b| \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-2|s| < y < -|s|} \frac{1}{|y|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-1} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon),$$

and

$$\left| \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon P_b \varphi_y \right| \lesssim \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi_y\|_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, we deduce gathering those estimates and using (3.16) that

$$\left| \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon (P(\chi_b \varphi - 1))_y \right| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3\theta}{2}} + \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.60)$$

since $2 - \frac{\theta}{2} > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3\theta}{2}$. Therefore, we conclude from (4.59) and (4.60) that

$$|k_{1,1,2}| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3\theta}{2}} + \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.61)$$

Next, we derive an estimate for $k_{1,2}$. Since

$$k_{1,2} = 3 \int (2bQP_b + b^2P_b^2) \varepsilon (D^1 P_b + P_b \varphi - 3Q^2 P_b)_y,$$

we deduce easily from (3.19) that

$$|k_{1,2}| \lesssim |b| \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (4.62)$$

Finally, since

$$k_{1,3} = \int (3(Q + bP_b) \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3) (D^1 P_b + P_b \varphi - 3Q^2 P_b)_y,$$

we deduce by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities (2.64) with $p = 4$ and $p = 6$, (3.16) and (3.19) that

$$|k_{1,3}| \lesssim \left(\int \varepsilon^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int \varepsilon^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} (\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2) \lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (4.63)$$

Therefore, we conclude gathering (3.23) (to control (ε, Q)), (4.57), (4.58), (4.61), (4.62) and (4.63) that

$$|k_1| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2}} + \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.64)$$

Estimate for k_2 . We decompose k_2 as

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 &= \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \Lambda \varepsilon (D^1 P_b + P_b \varphi - 3Q^2 P_b) \\ &= k_{2,1} + k_{2,2} + k_{2,3}, \end{aligned}$$

and estimate each term separately.

By the definition of $\Lambda \varepsilon$, we have

$$\int \Lambda \varepsilon D^1 P_b = \frac{1}{2} \int \varepsilon D^1 P_b + \int y \varepsilon_y D^1 P_b.$$

On the one hand, we see from (2.23) and (3.19) that

$$\left| \int \varepsilon D^1 P_b \right| \lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) |\ln |s||^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, we have from the properties of \mathcal{H}

$$\int y \varepsilon_y D^1 P_b = - \int \mathcal{H}(y \varepsilon_y) P_b' = - \int y (\mathcal{H} \varepsilon_y) P_b' = - \int y D^1 \varepsilon P_b',$$

so that by (2.24)

$$\left| \int y \varepsilon_y D^1 P_b \right| \lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(y P_b')\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon).$$

Hence, it follows from (3.16), (3.19) and (3.20) that

$$|k_{2,1}| \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\theta} |\ln |s||^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

After integrating by parts, we have

$$\int \Lambda \varepsilon P_b \varphi = -\frac{1}{2} \int \varepsilon P_b \varphi - \int y \varepsilon P_b' \varphi - \int y \varepsilon P_b \varphi_y.$$

Moreover,

$$\left| \int \varepsilon P_b \varphi \right| + \left| \int y \varepsilon P_b' \varphi \right| \lesssim \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(\int P_b^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int (y P_b')^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) |s|^{\frac{\theta}{2}},$$

$$\left| \int y \varepsilon P_b \varphi_y \right| \lesssim \left(\int (y P_b)^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\int (y P_b)^2 \varphi_y \lesssim \int_{y>0} \varphi_y + \int_{-2B|s|^\theta < y < 0} |y|^2 \varphi_y + \int_{-2|s| < y < -2B|s|^\theta} B \frac{y^2}{1+y^2} \lesssim |s|^{2\theta}.$$

Hence, we deduce from (3.16) and (3.19) and (3.20) that

$$|k_{2,2}| \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}} + C^* |s|^{-1+\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Finally, we get easily

$$\left| \int \Lambda \varepsilon Q^2 P_b \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda(Q^2 P_b)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2},$$

so that, by using (3.19),

$$|k_{2,3}| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2}}.$$

Therefore, we conclude gathering those estimates that

$$|k_2| \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2 + \frac{3\theta}{2}} + C^* |s|^{-1 + \frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.65)$$

Estimate for k_3 . We split k_3 as

$$\begin{aligned} k_3 &= \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \Lambda Q \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b + \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) b \int \Lambda P_b \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b \\ &= k_{3,1} + k_{3,2}, \end{aligned}$$

and estimate each term separately.

By using (4.56) and the identity $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda Q) = -Q$, we have (see the definition of $p_0 = (P, Q)$ in (2.17))

$$k_{3,1} = \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \left(-p_0 + \int \Lambda Q (D^1(P(\chi_b - 1)) + P(\chi_b \varphi - 1) - 3Q^2 P(\chi_b - 1)) \right).$$

Moreover, since $\Lambda Q \in \mathcal{Y}_2$, Lemma 2.5 implies that $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda Q) \in \mathcal{Y}_1$, and thus

$$\left| \int \Lambda Q D^1(P(\chi_b - 1)) \right| = \left| \int P(\chi_b - 1) \partial_y \mathcal{H}(\Lambda Q) \right| \lesssim \int_{y < -|s|} \frac{1}{|y|^2} \lesssim |s|^{-1}.$$

By using (4.10), $\Lambda Q \in \mathcal{Y}_2$, $P \in \mathcal{Z}$, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int \Lambda Q (P(\chi_b \varphi - 1)) \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{y < -\frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{|y|^2} + |s|^{-2\theta} \left(\int_{-\frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta < y < 0} \frac{|y|}{(1+|y|)^2} + \int_{y > 0} \frac{|y|}{(1+|y|)^3} \right) \lesssim |s|^{-\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int \Lambda Q Q^2 P(\chi_b - 1) \right| \lesssim \int_{y < -|s|} \frac{1}{|y|^6} \lesssim |s|^{-5}.$$

Hence, we deduce from (3.13) and (3.20) that

$$|k_{3,1} + \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) p_0| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-1 - \frac{\theta}{2}}.$$

Next, we look at $k_{3,2}$. We have that

$$k_{3,2} = \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) b \int \left(\frac{1}{2} P_b + y P_b' \right) (D^1 P_b + P_b \varphi - 3Q^2 P_b)$$

By using (2.23) and (2.24), we see that

$$\left| \int P_b D^1 P_b \right| = \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_b\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \ln |s|$$

and

$$\left| \int y P_b' D^1 P_b \right| \leq \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(y P_b')\|_{L^2} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |\ln |s||^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We also have that (see the definition of φ in (3.8))

$$\left| \int P_b^2 \varphi \right| \lesssim \int_{-2|b|^{-1} < y < -10B|s|^\theta} \frac{dy}{|y|} + \int_{y > -10B|s|^\theta} P^2 \lesssim |s|^\theta, \quad (4.66)$$

$$\left| \int y P'_b P_b \varphi \right| \lesssim \int |P'| + |b| \int |\chi'(|b|y)| \lesssim 1$$

and

$$\left| \int \Lambda P_b Q^2 P_b \right| \lesssim \int Q^2 \lesssim 1.$$

Hence, we deduce from (3.16) and (3.19) that

$$|k_{3,2}| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}}$$

Therefore, we conclude gathering those estimates that

$$|k_3 + (\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b)p_0| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}}. \quad (4.67)$$

Estimate for k_4 . We decompose k_4 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} k_4 &= (\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1) \int Q' \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b + (\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1)b \int P'_b \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b + (\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1) \int \varepsilon_y \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b \\ &= k_{4,1} + k_{4,2} + k_{4,3}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each term separately.

The decomposition (4.56) and the property $\mathcal{L}(Q') = 0$ imply

$$k_{4,1} = (\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1) \int Q' (D^1(P(\chi_b - 1)) + P(\chi_b \varphi - 1) - 3Q^2 P(\chi_b - 1)).$$

Moreover, we have the following bounds

$$\left| \int Q' D^1(P(\chi_b - 1)) \right| \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^2} \|(P(\chi_b - 1))''\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}},$$

$$\left| \int Q' P(\chi_b \varphi - 1) \right| \lesssim \int_{y < -\frac{B}{2}|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{|y|^3} + |s|^{-2\theta} \int \frac{|y|}{(1+|y|)^3} \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta},$$

thanks to (4.10), and

$$\left| \int Q' Q^2 P(\chi_b - 1) \right| \lesssim \int_{y < -|s|} \frac{1}{|y|^7} \lesssim |s|^{-6}.$$

Thus, it follows from (3.20) that $|k_{4,1}| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-1-\frac{3\theta}{2}}$.

By using that $\int P'_b D^1 P_b = \int P'_b \mathcal{H}(P'_b) = 0$, we rewrite $k_{4,2}$ as

$$k_{4,2} = (\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1)b \int P'_b P_b \varphi - 3(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1)b \int P'_b Q^2 P_b.$$

We also observe that

$$\left| \int P'_b P_b \varphi \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \int P_b^2 \varphi_y \right| \lesssim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int P'_b Q^2 P_b \right| \lesssim \int \frac{1}{1+|y|^2} \lesssim 1.$$

Thus, it follows from (3.19) and (3.20) that $|k_{4,2}| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{\theta}{2}}$.

We rewrite $k_{4,3}$ as

$$k_{4,3} = (\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1) \int \varepsilon_y (D^1 P_b + P_b \varphi - 3Q^2 P_b).$$

Moreover, we observe that

$$\left| \int \varepsilon_y D^1 P_b \right| \lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|D^{\frac{3}{2}} P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \varepsilon_y P_b \varphi \right| &\leq \left| \int \varepsilon P'_b \varphi \right| + \left| \int \varepsilon P_b \varphi_y \right| \\ &\lesssim \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|P'_b\|_{L^2} + \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int \varepsilon_y Q^2 P_b \right| \lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(Q^2 P_b)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon).$$

Then, we deduce from (3.16) that $|k_{4,3}| \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\theta}$.

Therefore, we conclude gathering those estimates that

$$|k_4| \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\theta}. \quad (4.68)$$

Estimate for k_5 . By using (2.36), we decompose k_5 as

$$k_5 = -(b_s + b^2) \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b = -(b_s + b^2) \int (P_b + y\chi'_b P) (D^1 P_b + P_b \varphi - 3Q^2 P_b),$$

and estimate each term separately.

First, we deduce from (2.23) that

$$\left| \int P_b D^1 P_b \right| = \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_b\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \ln |s|,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int y\chi'_b P D^1 P_b \right| &\leq \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(y\chi'_b P)\|_{L^2} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_b\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|y\chi'_b P\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(y\chi'_b P)'\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |\ln |s||^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, in addition to (4.66), $|\int P_b^2 \varphi| \lesssim |s|^\theta$, we have

$$\left| \int y\chi'_b P P_b \varphi \right| \lesssim |b| \int_{-2|s| \leq y \leq -|s|} \frac{|y|}{1+|y|} |\chi'(|b|y)| \lesssim 1.$$

Finally, we see easily that

$$\left| \int (P_b + y\chi'_b P) Q^2 P_b \right| \lesssim 1.$$

Therefore, we conclude gathering those estimates and using (3.21) that

$$|k_5| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}}. \quad (4.69)$$

Estimate for k_6 . Recall that

$$k_6 = \int \Psi_b \mathcal{L}_\varphi P_b = \int \Psi_b (D^1 P_b + P_b \varphi - 3Q^2 P_b).$$

First, it follows from (2.23) and (2.28) that

$$\left| \int \Psi_b D^1 P_b \right| \leq \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_b\|_{L^2} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-2} \ln |s|.$$

Second, we deduce from (4.25) and (4.66) that

$$\left| \int \Psi_b P_b \varphi \right| \leq \left(\int \Psi_b^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int P_b^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}.$$

Finally, (2.27) yields

$$\left| \int \Psi_b Q^2 P_b \right| \leq \left(\int \Psi_b^2 Q^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int P_b^2 Q^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$|k_6| \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}. \quad (4.70)$$

Estimate for k_7 . By using (4.27), we decompose the first term of k_7 as

$$\int \varepsilon \varphi_s P_b = \theta s^{-1} |s|^\theta \int \varepsilon \frac{\phi'(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta)}{\phi(|s|^\theta)} P_b - \theta s^{-1} |s|^\theta \int \varepsilon \frac{\phi(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta) \phi'(|s|^\theta)}{\phi^2(|s|^\theta)} P_b.$$

We deduce from (4.9) that

$$|s|^{-1+\theta} \left| \int \varepsilon \frac{\phi'(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta)}{\phi(|s|^\theta)} P_b \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1+\theta} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and by (4.66)

$$|s|^{-1+\theta} \left| \int \varepsilon \frac{\phi(\frac{y}{B} + |s|^\theta) \phi'(|s|^\theta)}{\phi^2(|s|^\theta)} P_b \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1-\theta} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int P_b^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-1-\frac{\theta}{2}} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon).$$

Hence, it follows from (3.16) that

$$\left| \int \varepsilon \varphi_s P_b \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1+\theta} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C^* |s|^{-2}. \quad (4.71)$$

To deal with the second term in k_7 , we use $\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} = -y\chi'(|b|y)P$, so that

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi \left(\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \right) = D^1 \left(\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \right) - y\chi'(|b|y)P\varphi + 3Q^2 y\chi'(|b|y)P.$$

We estimate each corresponding terms separately. First, we deduce by using (2.25) that

$$\left| \int \varepsilon D^1 \left(\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \right) \right| \lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \left\| D^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s| \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon).$$

Moreover,

$$\left| \int \varepsilon \frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \varphi \right| \lesssim \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |y\chi'(|b|y)|^2 \frac{1}{|y|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s| \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon),$$

and

$$\left| \int Q^2 \varepsilon \frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \right| \lesssim \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |y\chi'(|b|y)|^2 \frac{1}{|y|^6} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon),$$

since $Q \in \mathcal{Y}_2$. Then it follows from (3.16) and (3.22) that

$$\left| b_s \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\varphi \left(\frac{\partial P_b}{\partial b} \right) \right| \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\theta}. \quad (4.72)$$

Therefore, we deduce gathering (4.71) and (4.72) that

$$|k_7| \lesssim |s|^{-1+\theta} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\theta}. \quad (4.73)$$

Finally, we conclude the proof of estimate (4.54) gathering estimates (4.64), (4.65), (4.67), (4.68), (4.69), (4.70), (4.73) and taking $|S_0|$ large enough. \square

4.4. Remaining terms.

Lemma 4.5. *For $|S_0|$ large enough possibly depending on C^* and for all $s \in \mathcal{I}^*$,*

$$|Z| \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}, \quad (4.74)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{dZ}{ds} \right| \lesssim |s|^{-2} + |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.75)$$

Proof. Recall that $Z = Z_1 + Z_2$, where

$$Z_1 = \int \varepsilon^2 y \varphi_y \chi_1, \quad Z_2 = -2 \int \Lambda Q (\varphi - 1) \varepsilon \chi_2.$$

Proof of (4.74). Indeed,

$$|Z_1| \lesssim \int_{y < -|s|^{\frac{2}{3}}} |\varepsilon|^2 |y|^{-1} \lesssim |s|^{-1-\frac{2}{3}} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta},$$

and, since $\theta > \frac{3}{5}$,

$$|Z_2| \lesssim \int_{y < -\frac{B}{4}|s|^\theta} |\varepsilon| |y|^{-2} \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{y < -\frac{B}{4}|s|^\theta} |y|^{-4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{2}\theta} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}.$$

Now, we prove

$$\left| \frac{dZ_1}{ds} \right| \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \quad (4.76)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{dZ_2}{ds} \right| \lesssim |s|^{-2} + |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.77)$$

which prove (4.75).

Proof of (4.76). Observe from the definition of Z_1 that

$$\frac{dZ_1}{ds} = 2 \int \varepsilon \varepsilon_s y \varphi_y \chi_1 + \int \varepsilon^2 \partial_s (\varphi_y) \chi_1 + \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \partial_s (\chi_1)$$

which implies by using (2.53) that

$$\frac{dZ_1}{ds} = z_{11} + z_{12} + z_{13} + z_{14} + z_{15} + z_{16} + z_{17},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} z_{11} &= 2 \int V_y \varepsilon y \varphi_y \chi_1, & z_{12} &= 2 \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon \Lambda \varepsilon y \varphi_y \chi_1, \\ z_{13} &= 2 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \Lambda Q_b \varepsilon y \varphi_y \chi_1, & z_{14} &= 2 \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_y \varepsilon y \varphi_y \chi_1, \\ z_{15} &= -2(b_s + b^2) \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} \varepsilon y \varphi_y \chi_1, & z_{16} &= 2 \int \Psi_b \varepsilon y \varphi_y \chi_1, \\ z_{17} &= \int \varepsilon^2 \partial_s (\varphi_y) \chi_1 + \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \partial_s (\chi_1). \end{aligned}$$

First, we claim the following estimates

$$\|y \chi_1 \varphi_y\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad \|(y \chi_1 \varphi_y)_y\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3}}, \quad \|(y \chi_1 \varphi_y)_{yy}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |s|^{-2}, \quad (4.78)$$

and

$$\|y\chi_1\varphi_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \|(y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-1}, \quad \|(y\chi_1\varphi_y)_{yy}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{5}{3}}, \quad (4.79)$$

which follow directly from the definition of φ in (3.8) and the definition of χ_1 in (4.7).

Estimate for z_{11} . By using the definition of V in (4.8), we rewrite z_{11} as

$$\begin{aligned} z_{11} &= 2 \int (D^1\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon y \chi_1 \varphi_y + 2 \int ((1-3Q^2)\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon y \chi_1 \varphi_y - 6 \int ((Q_b^2 - Q^2)\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon y \chi_1 \varphi_y \\ &\quad - 6 \int (Q_b \varepsilon^2)_y \varepsilon y \chi_1 \varphi_y - 2 \int (\varepsilon^3)_y \varepsilon y \chi_1 \varphi_y \\ &= z_{111} + z_{112} + z_{113} + z_{114} + z_{115}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each term separately.

First, we observe after integration by parts that

$$z_{111} = -2 \int (D^1\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon y \chi_1 \varphi_y - 2 \int (D^1\varepsilon) \varepsilon (y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y.$$

Applying (2.67) with $a = y\chi_1\varphi_y$, from (4.78) and (3.19), one obtains

$$\left| \int (D^1\varepsilon)_y \varepsilon y \chi_1 \varphi_y \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \|(y\chi_1\varphi_y)_{yy}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |s|^{-3}.$$

Applying (2.68) with $a = y\chi_1\varphi_y$, from (4.78)-(4.79) and (3.16), (3.19), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int (D^1\varepsilon) \varepsilon (y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y \right| &\lesssim \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 |(y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y| + \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(y\chi_1\varphi_y)_{yy}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|(y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-\frac{10}{3}+\theta} + (C^*)^{-\frac{3}{2}} |s|^{-3+\frac{3}{4}\theta} \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|z_{111}| \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \quad (4.80)$$

Second, we get after integration by parts that

$$z_{112} = -6 \int QQ'\varepsilon^2 y \chi_1 \varphi_y - \int (1-3Q^2)\varepsilon^2 (y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y$$

Thus it follows from (4.78) and (3.19) that

$$|z_{112}| \lesssim (\|QQ'y\chi_1\varphi_y\|_{L^\infty} + \|(y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y\|_{L^\infty}) \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{7}{3}}. \quad (4.81)$$

Also integrating by parts, we have

$$z_{113} = -3b \int (2QP_b + bP_b^2)_y \varepsilon^2 y \chi_1 \varphi_y + 3b \int (2QP_b + bP_b^2) \varepsilon^2 (y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y$$

so that

$$|z_{113}| \lesssim |b| (\|(2QP_b + bP_b^2)_y y \chi_1 \varphi_y\|_{L^\infty} + \|(2QP_b + bP_b^2) (y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y\|_{L^\infty}) \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{8}{3}}, \quad (4.82)$$

thanks to (3.19) and (4.78).

Similarly,

$$z_{114} = -4 \int (Q + bP_b)_y \varepsilon^3 y \chi_1 \varphi_y + 2 \int (Q + bP_b) \varepsilon^3 (y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y.$$

Hence, it follows from (3.16), (3.19) and (2.64) (with $p = 3$) that

$$\begin{aligned} |z_{114}| &\lesssim (\|(Q + bP_b)_y y \chi_1 \varphi_y\|_{L^\infty} + \|(Q + bP_b) (y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y\|_{L^\infty}) \int |\varepsilon|^3 \\ &\lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{8}{3}+\frac{\theta}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.83)$$

Finally, integration by parts and (2.64) (with $p = 4$) yield

$$|z_{115}| = \left| \frac{1}{2} \int \varepsilon^4 (y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y \right| \lesssim \|(y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y\|_{L^\infty} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (C^*)^2 |s|^{-\frac{13}{3}+\theta} \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \quad (4.84)$$

Therefore, we deduce combining (4.80)-(4.84) that

$$|z_{11}| \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \quad (4.85)$$

Estimate for z_{12} . We have $\Lambda\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + y\varepsilon_y$ and integrating by parts,

$$z_{12} = \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 y\chi_1\varphi_y - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 (y^2\chi_1\varphi_y)_y.$$

Moreover, by (3.19) and (3.20), $|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}| \lesssim |\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b| + |b| \lesssim C^*|s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}}$, and thus, by (4.78),

$$|z_{12}| \lesssim C^*|s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}} (\|y\chi_1\varphi_y\|_{L^\infty} + \|(y^2\chi_1\varphi_y)_y\|_{L^\infty}) \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim C^*|s|^{-\frac{8}{3}+\frac{\theta}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2}, \quad (4.86)$$

since $\theta < \frac{4}{3}$.

Estimate for z_{13} . Since

$$z_{13} = 2\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) \int (\Lambda Q + b\Lambda P_b)\varepsilon y\varphi_y\chi_1,$$

we deduce from (3.19), (3.20) and (4.79) that

$$\begin{aligned} |z_{13}| &\lesssim C^*|s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}} (\|\Lambda Q y\chi_1\varphi_y\|_{L^2} + |b| \|\Lambda P_b y\chi_1\varphi_y\|_{L^2}) \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim C^*|s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}} (|s|^{-\frac{5}{3}} + |s|^{-\frac{4}{3}}) |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim C^*|s|^{-\frac{17}{6}+\frac{\theta}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.87)$$

Estimate for z_{14} . Integrating by parts, we have

$$z_{14} = \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) \left(2 \int (Q + bP_b)_y \varepsilon y\chi_1\varphi_y - \int \varepsilon^2 (y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y\right),$$

Moreover, observe from (3.19) and $Q \in \mathcal{Y}_2$ that

$$\left| \int (Q + bP_b)_y \varepsilon y\chi_1\varphi_y \right| \lesssim \|(Q + bP_b)_y y\chi_1\varphi_y\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{7}{3}-\frac{1}{2}}$$

and from (3.19) and (4.78) that

$$\left| \int \varepsilon^2 (y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y \right| \lesssim \|(y\chi_1\varphi_y)_y\|_{L^\infty} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{7}{3}}.$$

Hence, we deduce from (3.20) that

$$|z_{14}| \lesssim C^*|s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}} |s|^{-\frac{7}{3}} \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \quad (4.88)$$

Estimate for z_{15} . Recalling (2.36), we have

$$z_{1,5} = -2(b_s + b^2) \int (P_b + yP\chi'_b)\varepsilon y\chi_1\varphi_y.$$

Moreover,

$$\left| \int P_b \varepsilon y\chi_1\varphi_y \right| \lesssim \|y\chi_1\varphi_y\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{5}{6}},$$

thanks to (4.79), and

$$\left| \int y P \chi'_b \varepsilon y \chi_1 \varphi_y \right| \lesssim \|\chi'_b\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-1}.$$

Then, we deduce from (3.21) that

$$|z_{15}| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{17}{6} + \frac{\theta}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \quad (4.89)$$

Estimate for z_{16} . By using (2.27), (3.19) and (4.78), we get that

$$|z_{16}| \lesssim \|y \chi_1 \varphi_y\|_{L^\infty} \|\Psi_b\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{8}{3}}. \quad (4.90)$$

Estimate for z_{17} . First, we compute $\partial_s(\chi_1)(s, y) = \frac{2}{3}|s|^{-\frac{5}{3}} y \chi'(y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})$. Then,

$$\left| \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \partial_s(\chi_1) \right| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{5}{3}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{8}{3}}.$$

Second, arguing as in (4.27), we get that

$$\left| \int \varepsilon^2 \partial_s(\varphi_y) \chi_1 \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1+\theta} \left\| \frac{1}{|y|^2} \chi_1 \right\|_{L^\infty} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + |s|^{-1-\theta} \left\| \frac{1}{|y|} \chi_1 \right\|_{L^\infty} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{10}{3} + \theta}.$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$|z_{17}| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{8}{3}}, \quad (4.91)$$

for $|s|$ large enough since $\theta < \frac{2}{3}$.

Therefore, we conclude the proof of (4.76) gathering (4.85)-(4.91).

Proof of (4.77). Observe from the definition of Z_2 that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{dZ_2}{ds} = \int \varepsilon_s \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1) + \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \varphi_s + \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q (\chi_2)_s (\varphi - 1),$$

which implies by using (2.53) that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{dZ_2}{ds} = z_{21} + z_{22} + z_{23} + z_{24} + z_{25} + z_{26} + z_{27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} z_{21} &= \int V_y \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1), & z_{22} &= \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \Lambda \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1), \\ z_{23} &= \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) \int \Lambda Q_b \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1), & z_{24} &= \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_y \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1), \\ z_{25} &= -(b_s + b^2) \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1), & z_{26} &= \int \Psi_b \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1), \\ z_{27} &= \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \partial_s \varphi + \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \partial_s (\chi_2) (\varphi - 1). \end{aligned}$$

First, we claim the following estimates

$$\|\Lambda Q \chi_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}}, \quad \|(\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{5\theta}{2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|(\Lambda Q \chi_2)_{yy}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{7\theta}{2}}, \quad (4.92)$$

which follow directly from the fact that $\Lambda Q \in \mathcal{Y}_2$ and from the definition of χ_2 in (4.7). Recall that B is a fixed universal constant chosen in (3.7).

Estimate for z_{21} . By using the definition of V in (4.8), we rewrite z_{21} as

$$\begin{aligned} z_{21} &= \int (D^1 \varepsilon)_y \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1) + \int ((1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon)_y \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1) - 3 \int ((Q_b^2 - Q^2) \varepsilon)_y \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1) \\ &\quad - 3 \int (Q_b \varepsilon^2)_y \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1) - \int (\varepsilon^3)_y \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1) \\ &= z_{211} + z_{212} + z_{213} + z_{214} + z_{215}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each term separately.

First, we see integrating by parts that

$$z_{211} = - \int (D^1 \varepsilon) (\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y (\varphi - 1) - \int (D^1 \varepsilon) \Lambda Q \chi_2 \varphi_y. \quad (4.93)$$

We deduce from (3.16) and (4.92) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int D^1 \varepsilon (\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y (\varphi - 1) \right| &\lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} ((\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y (\varphi - 1))\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \|(\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|((\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y (\varphi - 1))_y\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim C^* |s|^{-1-2\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to deal with the second term on the right-hand side of (4.93), we see integrating by parts again that

$$- \int D^1 \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \varphi_y = \int \mathcal{H} \varepsilon (\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y \varphi_y + \int \mathcal{H} \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \varphi_{yy}.$$

From (3.19) and (4.92), we get

$$\left| \int \mathcal{H} \varepsilon (\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y \varphi_y \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|(\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{5\theta}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2},$$

since $\theta > \frac{3}{5}$. Moreover,

$$- \int \mathcal{H} \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \varphi_{yy} = \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \mathcal{H} \varphi_{yy} + \int \varepsilon [\mathcal{H}, \Lambda Q \chi_2] \varphi_{yy}.$$

From the definition of φ and Lemma 2.5, we have $|\mathcal{H} \varphi_{yy}| \lesssim \varphi_y$. Thus, thanks to (4.92),

$$\left| \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \mathcal{H} \varphi_{yy} \right| \lesssim \|\Lambda Q \chi_2\|_{L^2} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We deduce from the Calderón commutator estimate (see (2.66) with $l = 0$ and $m = 1$) that

$$\left| \int \varepsilon [\mathcal{H}, \Lambda Q \chi_2] \varphi_{yy} \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|[\mathcal{H}, \Lambda Q \chi_2] \varphi_{yy}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|(\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2} - 3\theta}.$$

Therefore, we conclude gathering those estimates that

$$|z_{211}| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{5\theta}{2}} + |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.94)$$

Next, we see integrating by parts that

$$z_{212} = -6 \int Q Q' \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1) - \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon (\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y (\varphi - 1) - \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \varphi_y.$$

Moreover, it follows from (3.19) and (4.92) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int QQ' \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1) \right| &\lesssim \|QQ' \Lambda Q \chi_2\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{13\theta}{2}}, \\ \left| \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon (\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y (\varphi - 1) \right| &\lesssim \|(\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{5\theta}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int (1 - 3Q^2) \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \varphi_y \right| \lesssim \|\Lambda Q \chi_2\|_{L^2} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$|z_{212}| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{5\theta}{2}} + |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.95)$$

for $|s|$ large enough.

Integrating by parts again, we get

$$z_{213} = 3b \int (2QP_b + bP_b^2) \varepsilon (\Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1))_y,$$

so that

$$|z_{213}| \lesssim |b| \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|y|^2} + |b| \right) (\Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1))_y \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{5}{2} - \frac{3\theta}{2}}. \quad (4.96)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |z_{214}| &= 3 \left| \int (Q + bP_b) \varepsilon^2 (\Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1))_y \right| \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|y|^2} + |b| \right) (\Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1))_y \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-2 - 2\theta} \end{aligned} \quad (4.97)$$

and

$$|z_{215}| = \left| \int \varepsilon^3 (\Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1))_y \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^3}^3 \left\| (\Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1))_y \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |s|^{-2\theta} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon\|_{L^2}$$

thanks to (2.64) (with $p = 3$), so that

$$|z_{215}| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2 - \frac{3\theta}{2}}. \quad (4.98)$$

Therefore, we conclude gathering (4.94)-(4.98) that

$$|z_{21}| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{5\theta}{2}} + |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.99)$$

Estimate for z_{22} . We see integrating by parts that

$$z_{22} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 (\varphi - 1) - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon y (\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y (\varphi - 1) - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q \chi_2 \varphi_y.$$

Hence, it follows from (3.19), (3.20) and (4.92) that

$$\begin{aligned} |z_{22}| &\lesssim C^* |s|^{-1 + \frac{\theta}{2}} \left[\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} (\|\Lambda Q \chi_2\|_{L^2} + \|y (\Lambda Q)_y \chi_2\|_{L^2}) + \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|y \Lambda Q \chi_2\|_{L^2} \right] \\ &\lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{3}{2} - \theta} + C^* |s|^{-1} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2} + |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.100)$$

Estimate for z_{23} . Note that from the definition of Q_b ,

$$z_{23} = \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) \int (\Lambda Q)^2 \chi_2(\varphi - 1) + \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) b \int \Lambda P_b \Lambda Q \chi_2(\varphi - 1).$$

Moreover, it follows from (3.19) and (3.20) that

$$\left| \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) \int (\Lambda Q)^2 \chi_2(\varphi - 1) \right| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}} \int_{y < -\frac{B}{4}|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{|y|^4} \lesssim C^* |s|^{-1-\frac{5\theta}{2}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b\right) b \int \Lambda P_b \Lambda Q \chi_2(\varphi - 1) \right| &\lesssim |b| C^* |s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\int_{y < -\frac{B}{4}|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{|y|^2} + |b| \int_{-2|b|^{-1} < y < -|b|^{-1}} \frac{1}{|y|} \right) \\ &\lesssim C^* |s|^{-2-\frac{\theta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we deduce that

$$|z_{23}| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2-\frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad (4.101)$$

for $|s|$ large enough.

Estimate for z_{24} . We have after integrating by parts that

$$z_{24} = \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} - 1\right) \left(\int (Q + bP_b)_y \Lambda Q \chi_2(\varphi - 1) - \int \varepsilon (\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y (\varphi - 1) - \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \varphi_y \right).$$

Moreover, we get from (3.19) and (4.92) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int (Q + bP_b)_y \Lambda Q \chi_2(\varphi - 1) \right| &\lesssim \int_{y < -\frac{B}{4}|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{|y|^5} + |b| \int_{y < -\frac{B}{4}|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{|y|^4} + |b|^2 \int \frac{\chi'(|b|y)}{|y|^2} \lesssim |s|^{-4\theta}, \\ \left| \int \varepsilon (\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y (\varphi - 1) \right| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|(\Lambda Q \chi_2)_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{5\theta}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \varphi_y \right| \lesssim \|\Lambda Q \chi_2\|_{L^2} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus we conclude from (3.20) that

$$|z_{24}| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}-2\theta} + C^* |s|^{-1-\theta} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \quad (4.102)$$

Estimate for z_{25} . We recall from the definition of $\frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b}$ in (2.36) that

$$z_{25} = -(b_s + b^2) \int (P_b + yP\chi'_b) \Lambda Q \chi_2(\varphi - 1).$$

Moreover,

$$\left| \int P_b \Lambda Q \chi_2(\varphi - 1) \right| \lesssim \int_{y < -\frac{B}{4}|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{|y|^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\theta}$$

and

$$\left| \int yP\chi'_b \Lambda Q \chi_2(\varphi - 1) \right| \lesssim |b| \int \frac{\chi'(|b|y)}{|y|} \lesssim |s|^{-1}.$$

Then, it follows from (3.21) that

$$|z_{25}| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2-\frac{\theta}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-2}. \quad (4.103)$$

Estimate for z_{26} . We deduce from (2.27) and (4.92) that

$$|z_{26}| \lesssim \|\Psi_b\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda Q \chi_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3\theta}{2}}. \quad (4.104)$$

Estimate for z_{27} . First, we compute $\partial_s(\chi_2)(s, y) = \frac{4}{B}\theta|s|^{-\theta-1}y\chi'(\frac{4}{B}y|s|^{-\theta})$. Then,

$$\left| \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \partial_s(\chi_2)(\varphi - 1) \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1-\theta} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{y < -\frac{B}{4}|s|^\theta} \frac{1}{|y|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3\theta}{2}}.$$

Second, using (4.27) and (4.92), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q \chi_2 \partial_s \varphi \right| &\lesssim |s|^{-1+\theta} \|\Lambda Q \chi_2\|_{L^2} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |s|^{-1-\theta} \|\Lambda Q \chi_2\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-1-\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{5\theta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$|z_{27}| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3\theta}{2}} + |s|^{-1-\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.105)$$

Therefore, we conclude the proof of (4.77) gathering (4.99)-(4.105). \square

4.5. Coercivity lemma. We state and prove two consequences of (2.6) and (3.23).

Lemma 4.6. *There exists $\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$\int \left[|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{\rho^2 + \varphi}{2} \right) - 3Q^2(\varepsilon\rho)^2 \right] \geq \kappa \int \left[|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \rho^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}), \quad (4.106)$$

where ρ is defined in (4.31), and

$$F \geq \kappa \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \quad (4.107)$$

Proof. Proof of (4.6). It is clear from the definitions of φ and ρ (see (3.8) and (4.31)) and $\theta > \frac{3}{5}$ that $\rho^2 \lesssim \varphi$. More precisely, for $y > -2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}$, we have

$$1 - \varphi(s, y) \leq 1 - \varphi(s, -2|s|^{\frac{3}{5}}) = \frac{\phi(|s|^\theta) - \phi(-\frac{2}{B}|s|^{\frac{3}{5}} + |s|^\theta)}{\phi(|s|^\theta)} \leq |s|^{-\frac{3}{5}},$$

and thus

$$\varphi(s) \geq \rho^2 - |s|^{-\frac{3}{5}}. \quad (4.108)$$

From the definition of ρ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - \rho)Q\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \|(1 - \rho^2)Q\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|Q\|_{L^2(y < -|s|^{\frac{3}{5}})}^2 + \int \frac{1}{(1 + y^2)^2} \frac{y^2 |s|^{-1}}{(1 + y^2 |s|^{-1})} \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-\frac{9}{5}} + |s|^{-1} \int \frac{1}{(1 + y^2)} \frac{1}{(1 + y^2 |s|^{-1})} \lesssim |s|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.19), (3.23),

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varepsilon\rho, Q)| &= |(\varepsilon, Q) - (\varepsilon, (1-\rho)Q)| \lesssim |(\varepsilon, Q)| + \|(1-\rho)Q\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim (C^\star)^2 |s|^{-2+\theta} + |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.109)$$

By a similar argument, using (3.23) we obtain

$$|(\varepsilon\rho, Q')| + |(\varepsilon\rho, \Lambda Q)| \lesssim |s|^{-1}. \quad (4.110)$$

Therefore, applying (2.6) to $\varepsilon\rho$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \left[|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{\rho^2 + \varphi}{2} \right) - 3Q^2(\varepsilon\rho)^2 \right] \\ &\geq \int \left[|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \rho^2 - 3Q^2(\varepsilon\rho)^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{3}{5}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\geq \kappa \int \left[|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \rho^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{8}{5}}), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows since $\frac{8}{5} > 2 - \theta$.

Proof of (4.107). First, we observe that

$$\left| \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3\varepsilon \right) - 6Q^2\varepsilon^2 \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1} |\varepsilon|^2 + |\varepsilon|^3 + |\varepsilon|^4,$$

and thus, using (2.64) with $p = 4$ (which implies $\|\varepsilon\|_{L^4}^2 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim C^\star |s|^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2}}$),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \left((Q_b + \varepsilon)^4 - Q_b^4 - 4Q_b^3\varepsilon \right) - 6Q^2\varepsilon^2 \right| &\lesssim |s|^{-1} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-2} + C^\star |s|^{-2 + \frac{\theta}{2}} + (C^\star)^2 |s|^{-3 + \theta} \lesssim |s|^{-2 + \theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.111)$$

Next, using (4.43), we have

$$\int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 \geq \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon|^2 \rho^2 \geq \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Thus, using also (4.108), (4.46) and (4.111), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F &\geq \frac{\kappa}{4} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 + \int \left[\left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{4}\right) |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{4}\right) (\varepsilon\rho)^2 - 3Q^2(\varepsilon\rho)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\geq \frac{\kappa}{8} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}), \end{aligned}$$

applying (2.6) on $\varepsilon\rho$ as before.

For future reference, we claim the following bound

$$|F| \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 + |s|^{-2+\theta}. \quad (4.112)$$

Indeed, (4.112) is a direct consequence of (4.111) and the estimate $\int Q^2\varepsilon^2 \lesssim \int \varepsilon^2\varphi \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2$. \square

4.6. **Closing estimates on ε .** Let

$$H = \left(1 - \frac{K}{p_0}\right) \frac{F}{\lambda} + G + \frac{1}{2p_0} K^2 - \frac{1}{p_0} \frac{KZ}{\lambda}. \quad (4.113)$$

Proposition 4.7. *For $|S_0|$ large enough, possibly depending on C^* and for all $s \in \mathcal{I}^*$, the following hold*

(i) *Bound.*

$$|H(s)| \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2}{\lambda} + |s|^{-1+\theta}. \quad (4.114)$$

(ii) *Coercivity.* *There exists $\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$H(s) \geq \kappa \frac{\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1+\theta}). \quad (4.115)$$

(iii) *Estimate of the time derivatives.*

$$\frac{dH}{ds} \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\theta}. \quad (4.116)$$

Proof. *Proof of (4.114).* Recall from (4.29), (4.53) and (4.74) that

$$|G| + K^2 + \frac{|KZ|}{\lambda} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} + |s|^{-2+2\theta} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.117)$$

By (4.117) and (4.112), we obtain (4.114).

Proof of (4.115). By (4.117) and (4.107), we observe that

$$H(s) \geq \kappa \frac{\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1+\theta}) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

which implies (4.115).

Proof of (4.116). First, from (4.4) and (4.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{F}{\lambda} + G \right) + \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y &\leq -2 \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 - \int (\varepsilon\rho)^2 - \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi + 6 \int Q^2(\varepsilon\rho)^2 \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \left(\frac{F}{\lambda} - K + \frac{Z}{\lambda} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(C^* |s|^{-2+\theta}) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.118)$$

Second, from (4.4) and (3.20), (4.53), (4.74), we have

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{F}{\lambda} \right) \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}}. \quad (4.119)$$

From (4.54) and then (4.53), (4.119), (4.112),

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{KF}{\lambda} \right) + p_0 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \frac{F}{\lambda} \right| \quad (4.120)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \left| K \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{F}{\lambda} \right) \right| + \left(\left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}} \right) \frac{F}{\lambda} \\ &\lesssim C^* |s|^{-1+\theta} \frac{1}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + (C^*)^3 |s|^{-3+\frac{5\theta}{2}} + \left(\left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}} \right) (C^*)^2 |s|^{-1+\theta} \\ &\leq \frac{p_0}{20\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.121)$$

Therefore, combining (4.118) and (4.121),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\left(1 - \frac{K}{p_0} \right) \frac{F}{\lambda} + G \right) + \frac{1}{5\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y &\leq -2 \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 - \int (\varepsilon\rho)^2 - \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \left(-K + \frac{Z}{\lambda} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(C^* |s|^{-2+\theta}) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.122)$$

From (4.54) and then (4.53),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2p_0} \frac{d}{ds} (K^2) &= \frac{KK_s}{p_0} = - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) K + \mathcal{O} \left(K \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(K (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) K + \frac{1}{20\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + \mathcal{O}(\lambda K^2) + \mathcal{O} \left(K (C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq - \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) K + \frac{1}{20\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.123)$$

Moreover, from (4.54) and (4.76), (4.77),

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{p_0} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{KZ}{\lambda} \right) &= -\frac{1}{p_0} \frac{K_s Z}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{p_0} \frac{K Z_s}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{p_0} \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \frac{KZ}{\lambda} \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \frac{Z}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{Z}{\lambda} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left((C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}} \frac{Z}{\lambda} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{K}{\lambda} |s|^{-2} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{K}{\lambda} |s|^{-\frac{3\theta}{2}} \left(\int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \frac{KZ}{\lambda} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.124)$$

Thus, using (3.20), and then (4.53), (4.74),

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{p_0} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{KZ}{\lambda} \right) &\leq \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \frac{Z}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{20\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{Z^2}{\lambda} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(|s|^{-3\theta} \frac{K^2}{\lambda} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O} \left((C^*)^2 |s|^{-2+\frac{3\theta}{2}} \frac{Z}{\lambda} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{K}{\lambda} |s|^{-2} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(C^* |s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{KZ}{\lambda} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \frac{Z}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{20\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2+\theta}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.125)$$

Thus, setting $H = \left(1 - \frac{K}{p_0}\right) \frac{F}{\lambda} + G + \frac{1}{2p_0} K^2 - \frac{1}{p_0} \frac{KZ}{\lambda}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} H + \frac{1}{10\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y \leq & -2 \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)|^2 - \int (\varepsilon\rho)^2 - \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi + 6 \int Q^2(\varepsilon\rho)^2 \\ & + \mathcal{O}(C^*|s|^{-2+\theta}) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{1}{20}} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho)\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.126)$$

Using (4.106), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{ds} H + \frac{1}{10\lambda} \int \varepsilon^2 \varphi_y + \frac{\kappa}{2} \int \left[(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon\rho))^2 + \varepsilon^2 \rho^2 \right] \lesssim C^* |s|^{-2+\theta}, \quad (4.127)$$

which implies (4.116). \square

Observe by (3.12), (3.14) and (4.114) that

$$|H(S_n)| \lesssim |S_n|^{-1+\theta}. \quad (4.128)$$

Let $s \in \mathcal{I}^*$. Integrating (4.116) on $[S_n, s]$, we obtain

$$H(s) - H(S_n) \lesssim C^* |s|^{-1+\theta}.$$

Therefore, by (4.128), (4.115) and $\lambda(s) \sim |s|^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 \leq C_2 C^* |s|^{-2+\theta},$$

where the constant C_2 above does not depend on C^* nor on n . Now, we fix the positive constant C^* so that $C^* = 4C_2$. Then, we deduce

$$\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{C^*}{2} |s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad (4.129)$$

which strictly improves (3.16).

5. PARAMETERS ESTIMATES

In this section, we finish the proof of Proposition 3.3 by closing the estimates for the parameters $\mu_n(s)$, $\lambda_n(s)$, $b_n(s)$ and $x_n(s)$. Indeed, we strictly improve estimates (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15).

We consider an arbitrary $n \geq n_0$. As in Sect. 4, for the sake of simplicity, we will omit the subscript n in this section and write μ , λ , b , x and ε for μ_n , λ_n , b_n , x_n and ε_n . Recall that the constant C^* has been fixed in Sect. 4, thus from now on, we omit to mention dependency in C^* .

5.1. Refined scaling control. Recall that we set

$$\rho(y) = \int_{-\infty}^y \Lambda Q(y') dy', \quad J(s) = \int \varepsilon(s, y) \rho(y) \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) dy, \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\mu(s) = |1 - J(s)|^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \lambda(s). \quad (5.2)$$

Such a functional $J(s)$ was introduced in [37, 38] in similar context (see also [11]). It corresponds to the fact that the orthogonality $(\varepsilon, \Lambda Q)$ is not especially interesting for the estimate of λ_s . Indeed, from (3.19) and (3.20), the best information one can get is $|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-1+\frac{\theta}{2}}$. Thus, one needs to introduce a functional related to the cancellation $(\Lambda Q, Q) = 0$. Unlike for NLS-type equation, where such cancellation can be used easily (see e.g. [55]), the fact that $\rho \notin L^2$ creates serious difficulty and imposes the use of cut-off term in the definition of J . We claim the following result.

Lemma 5.1. *For $|S_0|$ large enough and for all $s \in \mathcal{I}^*$,*

$$|J(s)| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\left| \frac{dJ}{ds} - p_0 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \right| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\left| \frac{\mu_s}{\mu} + b \right| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (5.5)$$

Remark 5.1. Since $\theta < \frac{2}{3}$, we see that from (5.5), $\left| \frac{\mu_s}{\mu} + b \right| \ll |s|^{-1}$, while $|b| \sim |s|^{-1}$, thus this estimate is much more precise than $\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \right| \lesssim C^* |s|^{-1 + \frac{\theta}{2}}$.

Proof. First, we see by using the decay of ρ and (3.16) that

$$|J(s)| \lesssim \int_{y < 0} \frac{|\varepsilon(s, y)|}{1 + |y|} dy + \int_{0 < y < 2|s|^{\frac{2}{3}}} |\varepsilon(s, y)| dy \lesssim \|\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2} + |s|^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}},$$

which proves (5.3).

Now, we use (2.53) to compute $\frac{dJ}{ds}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dJ}{ds} &= \int \varepsilon_s \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) - \frac{2}{3} |s|^{-1} \int y |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \chi'(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \rho \varepsilon \\ &= j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j_4 + j_5 + j_6 + j_7 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} j_1 &= \int V_y \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}), & j_2 &= \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \Lambda \varepsilon \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}), \\ j_3 &= \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \int \Lambda Q_b \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}), & j_4 &= \left(\frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \int (Q_b + \varepsilon)_y \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}), \\ j_5 &= -(b_s + b^2) \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}), & j_6 &= \int \Psi_b \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}), \\ j_7 &= -\frac{2}{3} |s|^{-1} \int y |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \chi'(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \varepsilon \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate for j_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} j_1 &= - \int \mathcal{L} \varepsilon (\rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))_y + 3 \int (Q_b^2 - Q^2) \varepsilon (\rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))_y + \int (3Q_b \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3) (\rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))_y \\ &= j_{1,1} + j_{1,2} + j_{1,3}. \end{aligned}$$

First, using $\rho' = \Lambda Q$ and $\mathcal{L} \Lambda Q = -Q$,

$$\begin{aligned} j_{1,1} &= - \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L} (\Lambda Q \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})) + |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L} (\rho \chi'(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})) \\ &= \int \varepsilon Q + \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L} (\Lambda Q (1 - \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))) + |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \int \varepsilon \mathcal{L} (\rho \chi'(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})). \end{aligned}$$

Note from (3.19) that

$$\left| \int \varepsilon D^1 (\Lambda Q (1 - \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))) \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|(\Lambda Q (1 - \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})))_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{13}{6}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q (1 - 3Q^2) (1 - \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})) \right| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda Q (1 - 3Q^2) (1 - \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} |s|^{-1} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \int \varepsilon D^1(\rho \chi'(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})) \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|(\rho \chi'(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} |s|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{5}{6}},$$

and by (3.16)

$$\left| \int \varepsilon (1 - 3Q^2) (\rho \chi'(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})) \right| \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \|\chi'(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) |s|^{\frac{1}{3}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}.$$

Thus, using also $|\int \varepsilon Q| \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}$ (see (3.23)), we deduce that

$$|j_{1,1}| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}.$$

Next,

$$|j_{1,2}| \lesssim |b| \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \|(\rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))_y\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2}},$$

and using (2.64)

$$|j_{1,3}| \lesssim (\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)^2 + |b| \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon\|_{L^2}) \|(\rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))_y\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3}}.$$

Hence, we deduce combining those estimates that

$$|j_1| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Estimate for j_2 .

$$j_2 = -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon \Lambda (\rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} J - \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \int \varepsilon y (\rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))_y.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \varepsilon y (\rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}))_y \right| &\lesssim \left| \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \right| + |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left| \int \varepsilon y \rho \chi'(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \right| \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + |s|^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (5.3) and then (3.20),

$$|j_2| \lesssim \left(\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right| + |b| \right) |s|^{-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{5}{3} + \theta} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (5.7)$$

Estimate for j_3 . For the term j_3 , we first note from (2.17) that

$$\int \rho \Lambda Q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(y) \right)^2 = \frac{1}{8} \left(\int Q \right)^2 = p_0.$$

By the decay properties of Q , P and ρ ,

$$\left| \int (\Lambda P_b) \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \right| \lesssim \int_{-2|b|^{-1} < y < |s|^{\frac{2}{3}}} \frac{dy}{1 + |y|} \lesssim |\ln |b|| + |\ln |s|| \lesssim |\ln |s||. \quad (5.8)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\Lambda Q_b) \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) &= \int \rho(\Lambda Q) \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) + b \int (\Lambda P_b) \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \\ &= \int \rho \Lambda Q + \int \rho \Lambda Q (\chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) - 1) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1} |\ln |s||) \\ &= p_0 + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.20),

$$\left| j_3 - p_0 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \right| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{5}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (5.9)$$

Estimate for j_4 . Similarly, since $\int \rho Q' = -\int \rho' Q = -\int Q \Lambda Q = 0$, we have

$$\int Q'_b \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) = \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}).$$

Moreover,

$$\int \varepsilon_y \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) = -\int \varepsilon \rho' \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) + |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \int \varepsilon \rho \chi'(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}),$$

and so

$$\left| \int \varepsilon_y \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From (3.20), we obtain

$$|j_4| \lesssim |s|^{-1 + \frac{\theta}{2}} |s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (5.10)$$

Estimate for j_5 . By (2.36) and the decay properties of P and ρ (as in (5.8)), one has

$$\left| \int \frac{\partial Q_b}{\partial b} \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \right| \lesssim \int |P_b| |\rho| \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) + \int_{-2|b|^{-1} < y < -|b|^{-1}} |\rho| \lesssim \ln |s|.$$

Thus, using (3.22),

$$|j_5| \lesssim |s|^{-2 + \frac{\theta}{2}} \ln |s| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (5.11)$$

Estimate for j_6 . Next, by (2.27) and the properties of ρ ,

$$|j_6| = \left| \int \Psi_b \rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \right| \lesssim \|\Psi_b\|_{L^2} \|\rho \chi(-y|s|^{-\frac{2}{3}})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\Psi_b\|_{L^2} |s|^{\frac{1}{3}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{7}{6}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (5.12)$$

Estimate for j_7 . Note by the definition of χ ,

$$|j_7| \lesssim |s|^{-1} \int_{|s|^{\frac{2}{3}} < y < 2|s|^{\frac{2}{3}}} |\varepsilon| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{7}{6}} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (5.13)$$

Therefore, combining estimates (5.6)-(5.13), we obtain (5.4).

Now, we prove (5.5). Since $\mu = (1 - J)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \lambda$, by direct computations and then (5.4), (3.20) and (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| p_0(1 - J) \left(\frac{\mu_s}{\mu} + b \right) \right| &= \left| p_0(1 - J) \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) - J_s \right| \\ &\leq \left| p_0 \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) - J_s \right| + p_0 \left| J \left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}} + |s|^{-\frac{5}{3} + \theta} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{4}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (5.5), since $\theta < \frac{2}{3}$. \square

5.2. Closing parameter estimates. Let $S_0 < 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, with $|S_0|$ and n_0 to be fixed large enough. Set

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{\theta}{4} \in \left(0, \frac{1}{60} \right) \quad \text{from the condition (3.7) on } \theta.$$

Let $s \in \mathcal{I}^*$. From (3.20),

$$\left| \frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1 + \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (5.14)$$

Moreover, from (5.3), (5.5) and $b = -\lambda$,

$$\left| \frac{\mu}{\lambda} - 1 \right| \lesssim |J| \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\theta}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \mu_s - \mu^2 \right| \leq |\mu| \left| \frac{\mu_s}{\mu} + b \right| + |\mu\lambda| \left| \frac{\mu}{\lambda} - 1 \right| \lesssim |s|^{-2 - 2\alpha}. \quad (5.15)$$

First, we observe from (3.13), (3.19) and (5.15) that

$$\left| \lambda(s) - \frac{1}{|s|} \right| \leq \lambda(s) \left| 1 - \frac{\mu(s)}{\lambda(s)} \right| + \left| \mu(s) - \frac{1}{|s|} \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1 - \alpha} < \frac{1}{2} |s|^{-1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}}, \quad (5.16)$$

for $|S_0|$ large enough. Since $b = -\lambda$, this strictly improves estimates (3.14) on $b(s)$ and $\lambda(s)$.

Second, we improve the estimate on $|x(s) + \ln(|s|)|$ in (3.15). From (5.14), (3.19) and (5.16), we have

$$\left| x_s + \frac{1}{s} \right| \leq |x_s - \lambda(s)| + \left| \lambda(s) - \frac{1}{|s|} \right| \lesssim |s|^{-1 - \alpha}.$$

Integrating on $[S_n, s]$, using $x^{in} = -\ln(|S_n|)$, we obtain

$$|x(s) + \ln(|s|)| \lesssim |s|^{-\alpha}. \quad (5.17)$$

As before, this strictly improves (3.15) on $x(s)$ for $|S_0|$ large enough.

The last step of the proof is to strictly improve (3.13) on μ by adjusting the initial value of λ , i.e. λ^{in} using a contradiction argument. See [19] for a similar argument. Note that such an indirect argument is needed because the estimate (5.15) is relatively tight, which prevents us from choosing explicitly the value of λ^{in} . Let

$$\mu^{in} = \mu(S_n) = (1 - J(S_n))^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \lambda^{in}. \quad (5.18)$$

First, we prove that there exists at least a choice of $\mu^{in} \in [|S_n|^{-1} - |S_n|^{-1 - \alpha}, |S_n|^{-1} + |S_n|^{-1 - \alpha}]$ that allows to strictly improve the bootstrap bound (3.13) on \mathcal{I}^* using (5.15). Second, since the dependency of μ^{in} on λ^{in} in (5.18) is implicit (recall from (3.6) that $\varepsilon(S_n)$ and thus $J(S_n)$ depends on λ^{in}), we need to check that the the image of the map

$$: \lambda^{in} \in [|S_n|^{-1} - |S_n|^{-1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}}, |S_n|^{-1} + |S_n|^{-1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}}] \mapsto \mu^{in}$$

contains the interval $[|S_n|^{-1} - |S_n|^{-1 - \alpha}, |S_n|^{-1} + |S_n|^{-1 - \alpha}]$.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that for any $\mu^\sharp \in [-1, 1]$, the choice $\mu^{in} = |S_n|^{-1} + \mu^\sharp |S_n|^{-1-\alpha}$ leads to $S_n^*(\mu^\sharp) = S_n^* < S_0$. By (4.129), (5.16) and (5.17), we have strictly improved (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16). Thus, at S_n^* , (3.13) is saturated, which means that

$$\left| \mu(S_n^*) - |S_n^*|^{-1} \right| = |S_n^*|^{-1-\alpha}. \quad (5.19)$$

Define the function Φ by

$$\Phi : \mu^\sharp \in [-1, 1] \mapsto \left(\mu(S_n^*) - |S_n^*|^{-1} \right) |S_n^*|^{1+\alpha} \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

Set

$$f(s) = \left(\mu(s) + s^{-1} \right)^2 (-s)^{2+2\alpha}.$$

Then, using (5.15) and (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} f'(s) &= 2 \left(\mu(s) + s^{-1} \right) \left(\mu_s(s) - s^{-2} \right) (-s)^{2+2\alpha} - 2(\alpha + 1) \left(\mu(s) + s^{-1} \right)^2 (-s)^{1+2\alpha} \\ &= 2 \left(\mu(s) + s^{-1} \right) \left(\mu^2(s) - s^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-2-2\alpha}) \right) (-s)^{2+2\alpha} \\ &\quad - 2(1 + \alpha) \left(\mu(s) + s^{-1} \right)^2 (-s)^{1+2\alpha} \\ &= 2 \left(\mu(s) + s^{-1} \right) \left(\mu^2(s) - s^{-2} \right) (-s)^{2+2\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1-\alpha}) \\ &\quad - 2(1 + \alpha) \left(\mu(s) + s^{-1} \right)^2 (-s)^{1+2\alpha} \\ &= 2 \left(\mu(s) + s^{-1} \right)^2 \left(\mu(s) + (1 + 2\alpha)s^{-1} \right) (-s)^{2+2\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1-\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by (5.19),

$$f(S_n^*) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(S_n^*) = 4\alpha |S_n^*|^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(|S_n^*|^{-1-\alpha}) > 3\alpha |S_n^*|^{-1}, \quad (5.20)$$

for $|S_n^*| > |S_0|$ large enough. It follows from the transversality property (5.20) that the map $\mu^\sharp \mapsto S_n^*$ is continuous. Indeed, first, let $\mu^\sharp \in (-1, 1)$ so that $S_n < S_n^*$ and let $0 < \epsilon < S_n^* - S_n$ small. By (5.20), there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f(S_n^* + \epsilon) > 1 + \delta$ and, for all $s \in [S_n, S_n^* - \epsilon]$, $f(s) < 1 - \delta$. By continuity of the flow for the mBO equation, there exists $\eta > 0$ such for all $\tilde{\mu}^\sharp \in (-1, 1)$ with $|\tilde{\mu}^\sharp - \mu^\sharp| < \eta$, the corresponding \tilde{f} satisfies $|\tilde{f}(s) - f(s)| < \delta/2$ on $[S_n, S_n^* + \epsilon]$. This has two consequences : first, for all $s \in [S_n, S_n^* - \epsilon]$, $\tilde{f}(s) < 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$ and thus $\tilde{S}_n^* > S_n^* - \epsilon$; second, $\tilde{f}(S_n^* + \epsilon) > 1 + \frac{\delta}{2}$ and thus $\tilde{S}_n^* \leq S_n^* + \epsilon$, which proves continuity of $\mu^\sharp \mapsto S_n^*$ on $(-1, 1)$.

Moreover, we see that for $\mu^\sharp = -1$ and $\mu^\sharp = 1$, $f(S_n) = 1$ and $f'(S_n) > 0$ (see (5.20)), and thus in this case $S_n^* = S_n$. By similar arguments as before, $\mu^\sharp \mapsto S_n^*$ is continuous on $[-1, 1]$.

Therefore, the function Φ is also continuous from $[-1, 1]$ to $\{1, -1\}$, but this is a contradiction with $\Phi(-1) = -1$ and $\Phi(1) = 1$.

It follows that there exists at least a value of $\mu^\sharp \in (-1, 1)$ such that $\mu^{in} = |S_n|^{-1} + \mu^\sharp |S_n|^{-1-\alpha}$ leads to $S_n^* = S_0$.

As announced, now we check that this value of μ^{in} indeed corresponds to a choice of λ^{in} satisfying (3.18). This will finish the proof of Proposition 3.3. For this, we set $\Omega_n = [n^{-1} - n^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, n^{-1} + n^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}]$ and we study the map:

$$\lambda^{in} \in \Omega_n \mapsto \mu^{in} = (1 - J(S_n))^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \lambda^{in} = \left(1 - \int \varepsilon^{in}(y) \rho(y) \chi(-yn^{-\frac{2}{3}}) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \lambda^{in},$$

where from (3.4) and (2.19), $\varepsilon^{in} = -a^{in}Q + \lambda^{in}\chi(y\lambda^{in})P$. From the definition of a^{in} in (3.2) and (2.3), it is clear that the map $:\lambda^{in} \mapsto a^{in}$ is independent of n , smooth and bijective in a neighborhood of 0 and $\frac{da^{in}}{d\lambda^{in}}|_{\lambda^{in}=0} = p_0/f Q^2 > 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{d\lambda^{in}} \int \varepsilon^{in} \rho \chi(-yn^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \\ &= -\frac{da^{in}}{d\lambda^{in}} \int Q \rho \chi(-yn^{-\frac{2}{3}}) + \int \chi(y\lambda^{in}) P \rho \chi(-yn^{-\frac{2}{3}}) + \lambda^{in} \int y \chi'(y\lambda^{in}) P \rho, \end{aligned}$$

where $|y\rho(y)| \lesssim 1$ for $y < -1$, we see that the map $:\lambda^{in} \mapsto \mu^{in}(\lambda^{in})$ is C^1 on Ω_n and that $\frac{d\mu^{in}}{d\lambda^{in}}|_{\lambda^{in} \in \Omega_n} \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$ for all n large enough. Moreover, by the properties of P and ρ ,

$$\mu^{in}|_{\lambda^{in}=n^{-1}} = n^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1} \log n)),$$

$$\mu^{in}|_{\lambda^{in}=n^{-1}+n^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \geq \mu^{in}|_{\lambda^{in}=n^{-1}} + \frac{1}{2}n^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \geq n^{-1} + 2n^{-1-\alpha},$$

for $n \geq n_0$, n_0 large enough. Therefore, for n large enough, the map μ^{in} is one-to-one from Ω_n to $\mu^{in}(\Omega_n)$ and $\mu^{in}(\Omega_n)$ contains the interval $[n^{-1} - n^{-1-\alpha}, n^{-1} + n^{-1-\alpha}]$.

6. COMPACTNESS ARGUMENTS

Going back to the original variables (t, x) , we claim from Proposition 3.3 that there exist $n_0 > 0$ large and $t_0 > 0$ small such that for any $n \geq n_0$, the solutions $\{u_n\}$ defined in Sect. 3.1 satisfy, for all $t \in [T_n, t_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon_n(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \|\varepsilon_n(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\varepsilon_n(t)\|_{L^2(y > -t^{-\frac{3}{5}})} &\lesssim t^{\frac{2}{3}+2\alpha}. \\ |\lambda_n(t) - t| + |b_n(t) + t| &\lesssim t^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, & |x_n(t) + |\ln t|| &\lesssim t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

(Recall that $\alpha = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{\theta}{4} \in (0, \frac{1}{60})$.) Indeed, from (3.14), we have $\lambda_n(s) = |s|^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}})$ and so (3.5) rewrites as

$$t - T_n = \int_{S_n}^s \lambda_n^2(s') ds' = \left(|s|^{-1} - |S_n|^{-1}\right) + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}).$$

Since $|S_n|^{-1} = T_n$, it follows that $t = |s|^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}})$ as $|s| \rightarrow +\infty$ and, equivalently,

$$|s|^{-1} = t + \mathcal{O}(t^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}), \quad |s| = t^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0. \quad (6.2)$$

Thus, the estimates on $\lambda_n(t)$, $b_n(t)$ and $x_n(t)$ in (6.1) follow directly from (3.14), (3.15) and (6.2). The estimates on $\|\varepsilon_n(t)\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\varepsilon_n(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ follow from (3.16) and (3.19). Finally, from the definition of φ in (3.8), it follows that for $y > -|s|^\theta$, $\varphi(s, y) \gtrsim 1$. Thus, from (3.16), we have

$$\int_{y > -|s|^\theta} \varepsilon_n^2(s, y) dy \lesssim |s|^{-2+\theta}.$$

Since $t^{-\frac{3}{5}} < |s|^\theta$ choosing t_0 small enough, we also obtain

$$\int_{y > -t^{-\frac{3}{5}}} \varepsilon_n^2(t, y) dy \lesssim t^{2-\theta} = t^{\frac{4}{3}+4\alpha}. \quad (6.3)$$

This completes the proof of (6.1).

These estimates imply that the sequences $\{\lambda_n(t_0)\}$, $\{x_n(t_0)\}$ are bounded, and the sequence $\{v_n\}$ defined by

$$v_n = \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_0)u_n(t_0, \lambda_n(t_0) \cdot + x_n(t_0)) = Q_{b_n(t_0)} + \varepsilon_n(t_0)$$

is bounded in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore, there exist subsequences $\{v_{n_k}\}$, $\{\lambda_{n_k}(t_0)\}$, $\{x_{n_k}(t_0)\}$ and $v_\infty \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\lambda_\infty > 0$, x_∞ , such that

$$v_{n_k} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} v_\infty \text{ weakly in } H^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \lambda_{n_k}(t_0) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} \lambda_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad x_{n_k}(t_0) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} x_\infty. \quad (6.4)$$

Let v_k be the maximal solution of (1.1) such that $v_k(0) = v_{n_k}$ and let $(\lambda_k, x_k, b_k, \varepsilon_k)$ be its decomposition as given by Lemma 2.12. Then, by the scaling invariance (1.4) and the uniqueness of the Cauchy problem,

$$v_k(t, \cdot) = \lambda_{n_k}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_0)u_{n_k}(t_0 + \lambda_{n_k}^2(t_0)t, \lambda_{n_k}(t_0) \cdot + x_{n_k}(t_0)), \quad \forall t \in \left[-\frac{t_0 - T_{n_k}}{\lambda_{n_k}^2(t_0)}, 0\right].$$

Hence, it follows from the uniqueness of the decomposition in Lemma 2.12 that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k(t) &= \frac{\lambda_{n_k}(t_0 + \lambda_{n_k}^2(t_0)t)}{\lambda_{n_k}(t_0)}, \quad x_k(t) = \frac{x_{n_k}(t_0 + \lambda_{n_k}^2(t_0)t) - x_{n_k}(t_0)}{\lambda_{n_k}(t_0)}, \\ b_k(t) &= b_{n_k}(t_0 + \lambda_{n_k}^2(t_0)t), \quad \varepsilon_k(t) = \varepsilon_{n_k}(t_0 + \lambda_{n_k}^2(t_0)t). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Now let $T_1 > 0$ be such that $T_1 < \frac{t_0}{\lambda_\infty^2}$, so that we may apply Lemma 2.14 to $v_k(t)$ on $[-T_1, 0]$, since the conditions (2.63) are fulfilled for k large enough on such an interval. We obtain that the solution $v(t)$ of (1.1) such that $v(0) = v_\infty$ exists on $\left(-\frac{t_0}{\lambda_\infty^2}, 0\right]$, and its decomposition $(\lambda_v, x_v, b_v, \varepsilon_v)$ satisfies, for all $t \in \left(-\frac{t_0}{\lambda_\infty^2}, 0\right]$,

$$\lambda_k(t) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} \lambda_v(t), \quad x_k(t) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} x_v(t), \quad b_k(t) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} b_v(t), \quad \varepsilon_k(t) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} \varepsilon_v(t) \text{ weak in } H^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (6.6)$$

Then we define the solution $S(t)$ of (1.1), for all $t \in (0, t_0]$, by

$$S(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}} v\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\lambda_\infty^2}, \frac{x-x_\infty}{\lambda_\infty}\right),$$

and denote $(\lambda, x, b, \varepsilon)$ its decomposition. Once again, the uniqueness of the decomposition in Lemma 2.12 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(t) &= \lambda_\infty \lambda_v\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\lambda_\infty^2}\right), \quad x(t) = x_\infty + \lambda_\infty x_v\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\lambda_\infty^2}\right), \\ b(t) &= b_v\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\lambda_\infty^2}\right), \quad \varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_v\left(\frac{t-t_0}{\lambda_\infty^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Therefore, we obtain gathering (6.4)-(6.7), for all $t \in (0, t_0]$,

$$\lambda_{n_k}(t) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} \lambda(t), \quad x_{n_k}(t) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} x(t), \quad b_{n_k}(t) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} b(t), \quad \varepsilon_{n_k}(t) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} \varepsilon(t) \text{ weak in } H^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which implies together with (6.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2(y > -t^{-\frac{3}{5}})} &\lesssim t^{\frac{2}{3}+4\alpha}, \\ |\lambda(t) - t| + |b(t) + t| &\lesssim t^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, & |x(t) + |\ln t|| &\lesssim t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Since $\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$, the solution $S(t)$ blows up at time 0. Moreover, by weak convergence and (3.3), we have

$$\|S(t)\|_{L^2} = \|v_\infty\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|v_{n_k}\|_{L^2} = \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{n_k}(t_0)\|_{L^2} = \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{n_k}(T_{n_k})\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2},$$

and thus $\|S(t)\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$ (recall that solutions with $\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2}$ are global and bounded in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$).

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, we recall that

$$S(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} \left(Q + b(t)P_{b(t)} + \varepsilon \right) \left(t, \frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right), \quad (6.9)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\frac{1}{2}}S(t, x) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} D^{\frac{1}{2}}Q \left(t, \frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) + \frac{b(t)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} D^{\frac{1}{2}}P_{b(t)} \left(t, \frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon \left(t, \frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

By (2.23) and (6.8), we have $\|b(t)P_{b(t)}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |b|^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus,

$$\left\| S(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} Q \left(\frac{\cdot - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (6.11)$$

Similarly, it follows from (2.23) and (6.8) that $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|bD^{\frac{1}{2}}P_b\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}|b|\ln|b|^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln t|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{6}+4\alpha}$, and thus

$$\left\| D^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[S(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}(t)} Q \left(\frac{\cdot - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) \right] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{6}}. \quad (6.12)$$

Note to finish that (6.11) and (6.12) imply (1.8).

Remark 6.1. Note that by (6.8), we get the estimate $|\frac{\lambda(t)}{t} - 1| \lesssim t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, and thus

$$\left\| S(t) - \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q \left(\frac{\cdot + |\ln t|}{t} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

but such an estimate cannot be established in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ because of the singularity. This reflects a certain lack of precision of the ansatz Q_b . As observed in Remark 2.1, it does not seem clear how to improve the ansatz without creating serious additional technical difficulties.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Abdelouhab, J.L. Bona, M. Felland and J.-C. Saut, *Nonlocal models for nonlinear, dispersive waves*, Physica D, 40 (1989), 360-392.
- [2] J. Albert, J. L. Bona and J.-C. Saut, *Model equations for waves in stratified fluids*, Proc. Royal Soc. London A, **453** (1997), 1233-1260.
- [3] C. J. Amick and J. F. Toland, *Uniqueness of Benjamin's solitary-wave solution of the Benjamin-Ono equation*, IMA J. Appl. Math., **46** (1991), 21-28.
- [4] C. J. Amick and J. F. Toland, *Uniqueness and related analytic properties of the Benjamin-Ono equation—a nonlinear Neumann problem in the plane*, Acta. Math., **167** (1991), 107-126.
- [5] J. Angulo, J. L. Bona, F. Linares and M. Scialom, *Scaling, stability and singularities for nonlinear, dispersive wave equations: the critical case*, Nonlinearity, **15** (2002), 759-786.
- [6] B. Bajvsank and R. Coifman, *On singular integrals*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math, AMS. Providence RI, (1966), 1-17.

- [7] V. Banica, *Remarks on the blow-up for the Schrödinger equation with critical mass on a plane domain*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci., **3** (2004), 139-170.
- [8] V. Banica, R. Carles and T. Duyckaerts, *Minimal blow-up solutions to the mass-critical inhomogeneous NLS equation*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq., **36** (2010), 487-531.
- [9] T. B. Benjamin, *Internal waves of permanent form in fluids of great depth*, J. Fluid. Mech. **29** (1967), 559-592.
- [10] J.L. Bona and H. Kalisch, *Singularity formation in the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation*, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. **11**, (2004) 27-45.
- [11] J.L. Bona, P.E. Souganidis and W.A. Strauss, *Stability and instability of solitary waves of Korteweg-de Vries type*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. **411** (1987), 395-412.
- [12] J. Bourgain and W. Wang, *Construction of blowup solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **25** (1997), 197-215.
- [13] A.-P. Calderon, *Commutators of singular integral operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **53** (1965), 1092-1099.
- [14] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, New York University - Courant Institute, New York, 2003.
- [15] R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (1975), 315-331.
- [16] V. Combet, *Multi-soliton solutions for the supercritical gKdV equations*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq., **36** (2010), 380-419.
- [17] V. Combet and F. Genoud, *Classification of minimal mass blow-up solutions for an L^2 critical inhomogeneous NLS*, To appear in J. Evol. Equ., preprint (2015), arXiv:1503.08915.
- [18] V. Combet and Y. Martel, *Sharp asymptotics for the minimal mass blow up solution of critical gKdV equation*, preprint (2016), arXiv:1602.03519
- [19] R. Côte, Y. Martel and F. Merle, *Construction of multi-soliton solutions for the L^2 -supercritical gKdV and NLS equations*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., **27** (2011), 273-302.
- [20] S. Cui and C. E. Kenig, *Weak continuity of the flow map for the Benjamin-Ono equation on the line*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **16** (2010), 1021-1052.
- [21] L. Dawson, H. McGahagan and G. Ponce, *On the decay properties of solutions to a class of Schrödinger equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (2008), 2081-2090.
- [22] R. Frank and E. Lenzmann, *Uniqueness of non-linear ground states for fractional Laplacians in \mathbb{R}* , Acta Math., **210** (2013), 261-318.
- [23] T. Hmidi and S. Keraani, *Blowup theory for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations revisited*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **46** (2005), 2815-2828.
- [24] T. Kato, *On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Studies in applied mathematics, 93-128, Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud., 8, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [25] C.E. Kenig and Y. Martel, *Asymptotic stability of solitons for the Benjamin-Ono equation*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, **25** (2009), 909-970.
- [26] C. E. Kenig, Y. Martel and L. Robbiano, *Local well-posedness and blow-up in the energy space for a class of L^2 critical dispersion generalized Benjamin-Ono equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Lin., **28** (2011), 853-887.
- [27] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, *On the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **342** (1994), 155-172.
- [28] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, *Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **46** (1993), 527-620.
- [29] C. E. Kenig and H. Takaoka, *Global well-posedness of the modified Benjamin-Ono equation with initial data in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$* , Int. Math. Res. Not., Art. ID 95702 (2006), 1-44.
- [30] C. Klein and R. Peter, *Numerical study of blow-up in solutions to generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series B, **19** (2014), 1689-1717.
- [31] C. Klein and J.-C. Saut, *A numerical approach to blow-up issues for dispersive perturbations of Burgers' equation*, Phys. D, **295/296** (2015), 46-65.
- [32] J. Krieger, E. Lenzmann and P. Raphaël, *Nondispersive solutions to the L^2 -critical half-wave equation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **209** (2013), 61-129.
- [33] S. Le Coz, Y. Martel and P. Raphaël, *Minimal mass blow up solutions for a double power nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, To appear in Rev. Mat. Iberoam, preprint (2014), arXiv:1406.6002.

- [34] F. Linares, D. Pilod and J.-C. Saut, *Dispersive perturbations of Burgers and hyperbolic equations I: local theory*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **46** (2014), 1505-1537
- [35] Y. Martel, *Asymptotic N -soliton-like solutions of the subcritical and critical generalized Korteweg de Vries equations*, Amer. J. Math., **127** (2005), no. 5, 1103-1140.
- [36] Y. Martel and F. Merle, *Instability of solitons for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **11** (2001), 74-123.
- [37] Y. Martel and F. Merle, *A Liouville theorem for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **79** (2000), 339-425.
- [38] Y. Martel and F. Merle, *Stability of blow-up profile and lower bounds for blow-up rate for the critical generalized KdV equation*, Ann. of Math., **155** (2002), 235-280.
- [39] Y. Martel and F. Merle, *Asymptotic stability of solitons of the subcritical gKdV equations revisited*, Nonlinearity, **18** (2005), 55-80.
- [40] Y. Martel, F. Merle, K. Nakanishi and P. Raphaël, *Codimension one threshold manifold for the critical gKdV equation*, Comm. Math. Phys., **342** (2016), 1075-1106.
- [41] Y. Martel, F. Merle and P. Raphaël, *Blow-up for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation I: dynamics near the soliton*, Acta Math., **212** (2014), 59-140.
- [42] Y. Martel, F. Merle and P. Raphaël, *Blow-up for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation II: minimal mass dynamics*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., **17** (2015), 1855-1925.
- [43] Y. Martel, F. Merle and P. Raphaël, *Blow-up for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation III: exotic regimes*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, XIV (2015), 575-631.
- [44] F. Merle, *Construction of solutions with exactly k blow-up points for the Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity*, Comm. Math. Phys, **129** (1990), 223-240.
- [45] F. Merle, *Determination of blow-up solutions with minimal mass for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with critical power*, Duke Math. J., **69** (1993), 427-454.
- [46] F. Merle, *Nonexistence of minimal blow-up solutions of equations $iu_t = -\Delta u - k(x)|u|^{\frac{4}{N}}u$ in \mathbb{R}^N* , Ann. IHP Phys. Théor., **64** (1996), 33-85.
- [47] F. Merle, *Existence of blow-up solutions in the energy space for the critical generalized KdV equation*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **14** (2001), 555-578.
- [48] F. Merle and P. Raphaël, *Sharp upper bound on the blow up rate for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Geom. Func. Anal., **13** (2003), 591-642.
- [49] F. Merle and P. Raphaël, *On universality of blow-up profile for L^2 critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Invent. Math., **156** (2004), 565-672.
- [50] F. Merle and Y. Tsutsumi, *L^2 concentration of blow-up solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the critical power nonlinearity*, J. Differ. Eq., **84** (1990), 205-214.
- [51] L. Molinet and F. Ribaud, *Well-posedness results for the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation with arbitrary large initial data*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **70** (2004), 3757-3795.
- [52] L. Molinet and F. Ribaud, *Well-posedness results for the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation with small initial data*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **83** (2004), 277-311.
- [53] H. Ono, *Algebraic solitary waves in stratified fluids*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, **39** (1975), 1082-1091.
- [54] G. Perelman, *On the formation of singularities in solutions of the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, **2** (2001), 605-673.
- [55] P. Raphaël and J. Szeftel, *Existence and uniqueness of minimal blow-up solutions to an inhomogeneous mass critical NLS*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (2011), 471-546.
- [56] T. Tao, *Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$* , J. Hyperbolic Diff. Eq., **1** (2004), 27-49.
- [57] M. I. Weinstein, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates*, Comm. Math. Phys., **87** (1982/83), 567-576.
- [58] M. I. Weinstein, *Modulational stability of ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **16** (1985), 472-491.
- [59] M. I. Weinstein, *On the structure and formation of singularities in solutions to nonlinear dispersive evolution equations*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq., **11** (1986), 545-565.
- [60] M. I. Weinstein, *Existence and dynamic stability of solitary wave solutions of equations arising in long wave propagation*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq., **12** (1987), 1133-1173.
- [61] M. I. Weinstein, *Solitary waves of nonlinear dispersive evolution equations with critical power nonlinearities*, J. Diff. Eq., **69** (1987), 192-203.

CMLS, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 91128 PALAISEAU, FRANCE
E-mail address: `yvan.martel@polytechnique.edu`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, CAIXA POSTAL 68530, CEP:
21945-970, RIO DE JANEIRO, R.J, BRAZIL
E-mail address: `didierpilod@gmail.com`