

Oscillation and variation for Riesz transform associated with Bessel operators

Huoxiong Wu, Dongyong Yang* and Jing Zhang

Abstract: Let $\lambda > 0$ and $\Delta_\lambda := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2\lambda}{x} \frac{d}{dx}$ be the Bessel operator on $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$. We show that the oscillation operator $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ and variation operator $\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ of the Riesz transform R_{Δ_λ} associated with Δ_λ are both bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$, from $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ to $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$, and from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ to $BMO(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$, where $\rho \in (2, \infty)$ and $dm_\lambda(x) := x^{2\lambda} dx$. As an application, we give the corresponding L^p -estimates for β -jump operators and the number of up-crossing.

Keywords: oscillation; variation; Bessel operator; Riesz transform.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 42B20, 42B35

H. Wu is supported by the NNSF of China (Grant Nos. 11371295, 11471041) and the NSF of Fujian Province of China (No. 2015J01025).

D. Yang is supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 11571289) and the State Scholarship Fund of China (No. 201406315078).

J. Zhang is supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 11561067).

1 Introduction and statement of main results

Let (\mathcal{X}, μ) be a measure space and $\mathcal{T}_* := \{T_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon > 0}$ be a family of operators bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\epsilon f$ exists in some sense. The variation operator $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\mathcal{T}_*)$ and oscillation operator $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{T}_*)$ of \mathcal{T}_* are two important tools to measure the speed of this convergence in ergodic theory; see, for example, [3, 21, 22, 23]. We recall that for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\mathcal{T}_*)(f)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{T}_*)(f)$ are, respectively, defined by setting

$$\mathcal{V}_\rho(\mathcal{T}_*)(f)(x) := \sup_{\epsilon_i \searrow 0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |T_{\epsilon_{i+1}} f(x) - T_{\epsilon_i} f(x)|^\rho \right)^{1/\rho},$$

where the supremum is taken over all sequences $\{\epsilon_i\}$ decreasing to zero, and

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{T}_*)(f)(x) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\epsilon_{i+1} \leq t_{i+1} < t_i \leq \epsilon_i} |T_{t_{i+1}} f(x) - T_{t_i} f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

with $\{\epsilon_i\}$ being a fixed sequence decreasing to zero. We also consider the operator

$$\mathcal{O}'(\mathcal{T}_*)(f)(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\epsilon_{i+1} < \delta_i \leq \epsilon_i} |T_{\epsilon_{i+1}} f(x) - T_{\delta_i} f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

*Corresponding author

It is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{O}'(\mathcal{T}_*)(f)(x) \leq \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{T}_*)(f)(x) \leq 2\mathcal{O}'(\mathcal{T}_*)(f)(x). \quad (1.1)$$

Denote by \mathcal{E} the mixed norm Banach space of two variables function h defined on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{E}} := \left(\sum_i \left(\sup_{\delta_i} |h(\delta_i, i)| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

Then we also have that

$$\mathcal{O}'(\mathcal{T}_*)(f)(x) = \|\{T_{t_{i+1}}f(x) - T_{\delta_i}f(x)\}_{\delta_i \in (t_{i+1}, t_i], i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\mathcal{E}}. \quad (1.3)$$

In their remarkable work [12], Campbell *et al.* established the strong (p, p) -boundedness for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and the weak type $(1, 1)$ -boundedness of the oscillation operator and the ρ -variation operator for the Hilbert transform, and applied to the study of λ -jump operator in ergodic theory. This result was further extended in [13], to the higher dimensional cases including Riesz transforms and general singular integrals with rough homogeneous kernels in \mathbb{R}^d . Since then, boundedness of oscillation and variation operators of singular integrals operators associated with differential operators has been studied in many recent papers. In particular, Gillespie and Torrea in [19] established weighted $L^p(\mathbb{R}, \omega)$ -boundedness of the oscillation operator and the ρ -variation operator for the Hilbert transform, where $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R})$, the Muckenhoupt class and obtained the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, |x|^\alpha dx)$ -boundedness of the oscillation operator and the ρ -variation operator for Riesz transform, for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (-1, p-1)$. Later, Betancor *et al.* [8] showed that the oscillation operator and the ρ -variation operator of the Riesz transform R_{S_λ} associated with the Bessel operator S_λ for $\lambda > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$ is bounded on $L^p(\omega)$ and from $L^1(\omega)$ to $L^{1, \infty}(\omega)$, where $\omega \in A^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $S_\lambda := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda}{x^2}$. For more results on variation and oscillation of singular integral operators, we refer the readers to [3, 5, 9, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, 23, 24, 27, 26, 32] and the references therein.

Inspired by the result of Betancor *et al.* in [8], the aim of this paper is to prove the L^p -boundedness and their endpoint estimates of the oscillation and variation operators for Riesz transforms associated with Δ_λ , the conjugation of the Bessel operator. To this end, we recall some necessary notation.

Let λ be a positive constant. The operator Δ_λ is defined by setting, for all suitable functions f on \mathbb{R}_+ ,

$$\Delta_\lambda f(x) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}f(x) - \frac{2\lambda}{x} \frac{d}{dx}f(x).$$

An early work concerning the Bessel operator is from Muckenhoupt and Stein [29]. They developed a theory associated to Δ_λ which is parallel to the classical one associated to the Laplace operator Δ . After the paper [29], a lot of work concerning the Bessel operators was carried out; see, for example [1, 4, 7, 10, 11, 18, 25, 30, 31]. Among the study of Δ_λ , the properties and L^p boundedness of Riesz transforms associated to Δ_λ defined by

$$R_{\Delta_\lambda} f := \partial_x (\Delta_\lambda)^{-1/2} f,$$

$(1 < p < \infty)$, have been studied extensively, see for example [1, 4, 7, 29, 30]. In particular, in [7, pp.710-711], Betancor *et al.* showed that if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$, then for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$R_{\Delta_\lambda} f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} R_{\Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon} f(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \int_{0, |x-y| > \varepsilon}^{\infty} R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) f(y) dm_\lambda(y),$$

where $dm_\lambda(y) := y^{2\lambda} dy$ and for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $x \neq y$,

$$R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) := -\frac{2\lambda}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{(x - y \cos \theta)(\sin \theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{(x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \theta)^{\lambda+1}} d\theta.$$

Moreover, Betancor *et al.* in [6] characterized the atomic Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ associated to Δ_λ in terms of the Riesz transform and the radial maximal function associated with the Hankel convolution of a class of suitable functions.

Let $\rho > 2$ and $R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, := \{R_{\Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a family of truncated Riesz transform operators defined by

$$R_{\Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon} f(x) := \int_{0, |x-y|>\varepsilon}^\infty R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) f(y) dm_\lambda(y).$$

The ρ -variation operator $\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ and oscillation operator $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ associated with the Riesz transform are defined by setting, for all suitable functions f and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f(x) := \sup_{\varepsilon_j \searrow 0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |R_{\Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon_j} f(x) - R_{\Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon_{j+1}} f(x)|^\rho \right)^{1/\rho}$$

with the supremum taken over all sequences $\{\varepsilon_j\}_j$ decreasing converging to zero, and

$$\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f(x) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \sup_{\varepsilon_{j+1} \leq t_{j+1} < t_j \leq \varepsilon_j} |R_{\Delta_\lambda, t_j} f(x) - R_{\Delta_\lambda, t_{j+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\{\varepsilon_j\}_j$ is a fixed decreasing sequence converging to zero.

We are now to the first main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\rho \in (2, \infty)$ and $\lambda > 0$. The operators $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ are bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ into itself, for every $1 < p < \infty$, and from $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ to $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$.*

As applications of Theorem 1.1, we consider the β -jump operators and the number of up-crossing associated with the operators sequence $\{R_{\Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, which give certain quantitative information on the convergence of the family $\{R_{\Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$.

Definition 1.2 ([12]). Let $\beta > 0$. The β -jump operator $\Lambda(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \beta)(x)$ associated with a sequence $R_{\Delta_\lambda, *} = \{R_{\Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ acting on a function f at a point x is defined by

$$\Lambda(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \beta)(x) := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there exist } s_1 < t_1 \leq s_2 < t_2 < \cdots \leq s_n < t_n \\ \text{such that } |R_{\Delta_\lambda, s_i} f(x) - R_{\Delta_\lambda, t_i} f(x)| > \beta \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Also, for fixed $0 < \alpha < \gamma$, we consider the number of up-crossing $N(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \alpha, \gamma, x)$ associated with a sequence $R_{\Delta_\lambda, *} = \{R_{\Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ acting on a function f at a point x , which is defined by

$$N(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \alpha, \gamma, x) := \sup\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there exist } s_1 < t_1 < s_2 < t_2 < \cdots < s_n < t_n \\ \text{such that } R_{\Delta_\lambda, s_i} f(x) < \alpha, R_{\Delta_\lambda, t_i} f(x) > \gamma \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\right\}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$N(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \alpha, \gamma, x) \leq \Lambda(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \gamma - \alpha)(x). \quad (1.4)$$

Also, from [12], the β -jump operators is controlled by the ρ -variation operator. Precisely, we have that for any $\beta \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\beta(\Lambda(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \beta)(x))^{1/\rho} \leq \mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}f)(x). \quad (1.5)$$

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.1, (1.5) and (1.4), we have the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\rho \in (2, \infty)$, $\lambda, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ and $0 < \alpha < \gamma$. Then there exist positive constants $C(p, \rho, \lambda)$ and $C(\rho, \lambda)$, such that for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$,*

$$\left\| \Lambda(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \beta, \cdot)^{1/\rho} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \leq \frac{C(p, \rho, \lambda)}{\beta} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)},$$

$$\left\| N(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \alpha, \gamma, \cdot)^{1/\rho} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \leq \frac{C(p, \rho, \lambda)}{\gamma - \alpha} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)},$$

and for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$m_\lambda(\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \Lambda(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \beta, x) > n\}) \leq \frac{C(\rho, \lambda)}{\beta n^{1/\rho}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)},$$

and

$$m_\lambda(\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : N(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *}, f, \alpha, \gamma, x) > n\}) \leq \frac{C(\rho, \lambda)}{(\gamma - \alpha)n^{1/\rho}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.$$

For $p = \infty$, we also study the boundedness of $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ to $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ introduced in [6].

Definition 1.4 ([6, 31]). A function $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ belongs to the space $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ if

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} := \sup_{x, r \in (0, \infty)} \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x, r))} \int_{I(x, r)} |f(y) - f_{I(x, r), \lambda}| y^{2\lambda} dy < \infty,$$

where $I(x, r) := (x - r, x + r) \cap (0, \infty)$ and

$$f_{I(x, r), \lambda} := \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x, r))} \int_{I(x, r)} f(y) y^{2\lambda} dy.$$

Our result concerning the boundedness of $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ for $p = \infty$ is stated as below.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\rho \in (2, \infty)$ and $\lambda > 0$. If f in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f(x) < \infty$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ and there exists a positive constant C independent f such that*

$$\|\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.$$

*The same result holds for $\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$.*

The organization of this paper is as follows.

Section 2 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We present the proof of the boundedness of $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ by dividing into two steps. In the first step, motivated by [5] and [8], we show that for any $p \in (1 + 2\lambda, \infty)$, $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$. Notice that though $x^{2\lambda} \in A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and the kernel $R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) = (xy)^\lambda R_{S_\lambda}(x, y)$, where $R_{S_\lambda}(x, y)$ is the kernel of R_{S_λ} , one can not obtain the $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ -boundedness of $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ directly by that of $\mathcal{O}'(R_{S_\lambda, *})$ in [8]. We mention that in this step, by decomposing the kernel of R_{Δ_λ} into four parts, we first have that for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f(x) \leq C \left[\mathcal{O}'(H_*^{loc})f(x) + T_1f(x) + T_2f(x) + \mathcal{M}_\lambda f(x) \right],$$

where H^{loc} is the local Hilbert transform introduced by Andersen and Muchenhaupt [2], \mathcal{M}_λ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on \mathbb{R}_+ with measure dm_λ and T_i , $i = 1, 2$, are bounded operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$. Moreover, by decomposing the Hilbert transform H on \mathbb{R} into three parts, we further obtain that

$$\mathcal{O}'(H_*^{loc})f(x) \leq C \left[\mathcal{O}'(H_*)\tilde{f}(x) + \mathcal{M}f(x) + T_1f(x) \right],$$

where $\tilde{f}(x) := f(x)$ if $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and 0 otherwise, and \mathcal{M} is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on \mathbb{R}_+ with Lebesgue measure. Then by the known fact that $x^{2\lambda} \in A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ if and only if $0 < 2\lambda < p - 1$ and the $L^p(\omega)$ -boundedness of $\mathcal{O}'(H_*)$, established by [19], for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain the $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ -boundedness of $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ with $p \in (1 + 2\lambda, \infty)$.

In the second step, by applying the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition established by Coifman and Weiss [14], and the $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ -boundedness of $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ with $p \in (1 + 2\lambda, \infty)$ obtained in the first step, we establish the weak type (1,1) estimation of $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$. Then by the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, we further obtain the $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ -boundedness of $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ with $p \in (1, 1 + 2\lambda]$. Via (1.1), we then show that $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and from $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ to $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$.

In Section 3, we investigate behaviors of $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ at endpoint $p = \infty$. Under the assumption that $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ with $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f(x) < \infty$ a.e. x , we apply Theorem 1.1 and the known upper bound of kernel R_{Δ_λ} to establish the $(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda), \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda))$ -boundedness of $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$.

Throughout the paper, we denote by C positive constants which are independent of the main parameters, but they may vary from line to line. If $f \leq Cg$, we then write $f \lesssim g$ or $g \gtrsim f$; and if $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$, we write $f \sim g$. For every $p \in (1, \infty)$, p' means the conjugate of p , i.e., $1/p' + 1/p = 1$. For any $k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $I := I(x, r)$ for some $x, r \in (0, \infty)$, $kI := I(x, kr)$.

2 $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ -boundedness and weak type (1,1) estimate

In this section, we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1. To begin with, we first recall a useful lemma on the upper and lower bounds of kernel $R_{\Delta_\lambda}(y, z)$ of R_{Δ_λ} , which is an important tool in this paper and can be found in, for example, [7, 8, 18].

Lemma 2.1. *The kernel $R_{\Delta_\lambda}(y, z)$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- i) *There exists a positive constant C such that for any $y, z \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $y \neq z$,*

$$|R_{\Delta_\lambda}(y, z)| \leq C \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(y, |y - z|))}. \quad (2.1)$$

ii) There exists a positive constant \tilde{C} such that for any $y, y_0, z \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $|y_0 - z| < |y_0 - y|/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(y, y_0) - R_{\Delta_\lambda}(y, z)| + |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(y_0, y) - R_{\Delta_\lambda}(z, y)| \\ & \leq \tilde{C} \frac{|y_0 - z|}{|y_0 - y|} \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(y, |y_0 - y|))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

iii) There exist positive constants $K_1 > 2$ large enough and $C_{K_1, \lambda}, \tilde{C}_{K_1, \lambda} \in (1, \infty)$ such that for any $y, z \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $z > K_1 y$,

$$\frac{y}{z^{2\lambda+2}} / C_{K_1, \lambda} \leq R_{\Delta_\lambda}(y, z) \leq \tilde{C}_{K_1, \lambda} \frac{y}{z^{2\lambda+2}}.$$

iv) There exist $K_2 \in (1/2, 1)$ such that $1 - K_2$ small enough and a positive constant $C_{K_2, \lambda}$ such that for any $y, z \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $z/y \in (K_2, 1)$,

$$\left| R_{\Delta_\lambda}(y, z) + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{y^\lambda z^\lambda} \frac{1}{y - z} \right| \leq C_{K_2, \lambda} \frac{1}{y^{2\lambda+1}} \left(\log_+ \frac{\sqrt{yz}}{|y - z|} + 1 \right).$$

It is straightforward from the definition of m_λ (i.e., $dm_\lambda(x) := x^{2\lambda} dx$) that there exists a positive constant $C > 1$ such that for all $x, r \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$C^{-1} m_\lambda(I(x, r)) \leq x^{2\lambda} r + r^{2\lambda+1} \leq C m_\lambda(I(x, r)). \quad (2.3)$$

This means that $(\mathbb{R}_+, |\cdot|, dm_\lambda)$ is a space of homogeneous type in the sense of [14, 15].

To establish the weak type estimation for $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$, another main tool in our proof is the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition established in [14, pp. 73-74] in the setting of spaces of homogeneous type.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ and $\eta > 0$, there exist functions g and b , a family of intervals $\{I_j\}_j$, and constants $C > 0$ and $M \geq 1$, such that*

- (i) $f = g + b =: g + \sum_j b_j$, where b_j is supported in I_j ,
- (ii) $\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I_j)} \int_{I_j} |b_j| dm_\lambda \leq C\eta$ and $\int_{I_j} b_j(x) dm_\lambda(x) = 0$ for each j ,
- (iii) $\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \leq C\eta$ and $\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}$,
- (iv) $\sum_j \|b_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}$,
- (v) $\sum_j m_\lambda(I_j) \leq \frac{C}{\eta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}$,
- (vi) for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\sum_j \chi_{I_j}(x) \leq M$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only give the estimation $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$. The proof for $\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ can be given analogously as that of $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$, and we leave the part to the interested readers. Moreover, by (1.1), it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and from $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ to $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$. We divide the proof into two steps as follows.

Step 1. We first show that $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ for any $p \in (1 + 2\lambda, \infty)$. To this end, let $\{t_j\}_j$ be a fixed sequence which decreases to zero and $\delta_j \in (t_{j+1}, t_j]$ for each j , and

$$B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}_+ : t_{j+1} < |x - y| \leq \delta_j\}.$$

Motivated by the method in [5] (see also [8]), we decompose the $|R_{\Delta_\lambda, t_{j+1}} f(x) - R_{\Delta_\lambda, \delta_j} f(x)|$ according to the domain of integration as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& |R_{\Delta_\lambda, t_{j+1}} f(x) - R_{\Delta_\lambda, \delta_j} f(x)| \\
&= \left| \int_0^{\frac{x}{2}} R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) f(y) \chi_{B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}}(y) y^{2\lambda} dy + \int_{2x}^\infty R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) f(y) \chi_{B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}}(y) y^{2\lambda} dy \right. \\
&\quad + \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^\lambda y^\lambda} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} \chi_{B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}}(y) y^{2\lambda} dy \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} \left[R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^\lambda y^\lambda} \frac{1}{x-y} \right] f(y) \chi_{B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}}(y) y^{2\lambda} dy \right| \\
&=: \left| \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{I}_i(\delta_j, j)(x) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{E} be the mixed norm Banach space of two variables function h defined on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (1.2). Then we see that

$$\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 \|\mathbb{I}_i(\delta_j, j)(x)\|_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

By $\|\chi_{B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}}(y)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq 1$, Lemma 2.1 i), (2.3) and Minkowski's inequality, we obtain that

$$\|\mathbb{I}_1(\delta_j, j)(x)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \frac{1}{x^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^x |f(y)| y^{2\lambda} dy \lesssim \mathcal{M}_\lambda(f)(x),$$

where \mathcal{M}_λ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator defined by setting, for any function $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda(f)(x) := \sup_{\substack{I \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \\ I \ni x}} \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I |f(y)| y^{2\lambda} dy.$$

From [14], we know that \mathcal{M}_λ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$ and from $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ to $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$.

Similarly, by iii) and iv) of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|\mathbb{I}_2(\delta_j, j)(x)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim \int_{2x}^\infty |f(y)| \frac{dy}{y} =: T_1(f)(x),$$

and

$$\|\mathbb{I}_4(\delta_j, j)(x)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim T_2(f)(x) + \frac{1}{x^{2\lambda+1}} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} |f(y)| y^{2\lambda} dy \lesssim T_2(f)(x) + \mathcal{M}_\lambda(f)(x),$$

where

$$T_2 f(x) := \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} \frac{1}{x^{2\lambda+1}} \log_+ \frac{\sqrt{xy}}{|x-y|} |f(y)| y^{2\lambda} dy.$$

By change of variables and Minkowski's inequality, we see that for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_1(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} &= \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left[\int_2^\infty |f(xz)| \frac{dz}{z} \right]^p x^{2\lambda} dx \right\}^{1/p} \\
&\leq \int_2^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty |f(xz)|^p x^{2\lambda} dx \right]^{1/p} \frac{dz}{z} \\
&= \int_2^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty |f(y)|^p y^{2\lambda} dy \right]^{1/p} \frac{dz}{z^{(2\lambda+1)/p+1}} \\
&= \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \int_2^\infty z^{-(2\lambda+1)/p-1} dz \\
&= \frac{p2^{-(2\lambda+1)/p}}{2\lambda+1} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}. \tag{2.4}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, observe that

$$\left[\int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} \left(\log_+ \frac{\sqrt{xy}}{|x-y|} \right)^{p'} y^{2\lambda} dy \right]^{\frac{p}{p'}} \sim \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \left(\log_+ \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|1-t|} \right)^{p'} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} x^{\frac{p(2\lambda+1)}{p'}} \sim x^{\frac{p(2\lambda+1)}{p'}}.$$

By this and Hölder's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T_2(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}^p \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^{p(2\lambda+1)}} \left[\int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} \log_+ \frac{\sqrt{xy}}{|x-y|} |f(y)| y^{2\lambda} dy \right]^p x^{2\lambda} dx \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty x^{2\lambda-p(2\lambda+1)} \left[\int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} \left(\log_+ \frac{\sqrt{xy}}{|x-y|} \right)^{p'} y^{2\lambda} dy \right]^{\frac{p}{p'}} \left[\int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} |f(y)|^p y^{2\lambda} dy \right] dx \\
&\sim \int_0^\infty x^{2\lambda-p(2\lambda+1)+\frac{p(2\lambda+1)}{p'}} \left[\int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} \left(\log_+ \frac{\sqrt{xy}}{|x-y|} \right)^{p'} dy \right]^{\frac{p}{p'}} \left[\int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} |f(y)|^p y^{2\lambda} dy \right] dx \\
&\sim \int_0^\infty x^{-1} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} |f(y)|^p y^{2\lambda} dy dx \\
&\sim \int_0^\infty |f(y)|^p y^{2\lambda-1} \int_{\frac{y}{2}}^{2y} dx dy \\
&\sim \int_0^\infty |f(y)|^p y^{2\lambda} dy. \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate I_3 , let H^{loc} be the local Hilbert transform introduced by Andersen and Muckenhoupt [2]:

$$H^{\text{loc}} f(x) := p.v. - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy, \quad x > 0.$$

We write

$$H_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}^{\text{loc}} f(x) := -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} \chi_{B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}}(y) \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy, \quad x > 0.$$

Then from the mean value theorem, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{I}_3(\delta_j, j)(x) - H_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}^{\text{loc}} f(x) \right| &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} \frac{y^\lambda - x^\lambda}{x^\lambda} \chi_{B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}}(y) dy \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{x} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{2x} |f(y)| \chi_{B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}}(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|\mathbb{I}_3(\delta_j, j)(x)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim \left\| H_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}^{\text{loc}} f \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} + \mathcal{M}_\lambda f(x).$$

Observe that

$$H^{\text{loc}} f(x) = H\tilde{f}(x) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{x/2} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{2x}^\infty \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy,$$

where H is the Hilbert transform and

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x > 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}^{\text{loc}} f(x) &= H_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}} \tilde{f}(x) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{x/2} \chi_{B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}}(y) \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{2x}^\infty \chi_{B_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}}(y) \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (1.3) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}'(H_*^{\text{loc}})f(x) &= \|H_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}}^{\text{loc}} f\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|H_{\delta_j, t_{j+1}} \tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{E}} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{x/2} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|} dy + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{2x}^\infty \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|} dy \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}'(H_*)\tilde{f}(x) + \frac{2}{x\pi} \int_0^x |f(y)| dy + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{2x}^\infty \frac{|f(y)|}{y} dy \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{O}'(H_*)\tilde{f}(x) + \mathcal{M}f(x) + T_1 f(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M}f(x)$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function defined as, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathcal{M}f(x) := \sup_{\substack{I \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \\ I \ni x}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(y)| dy.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f(x) \lesssim \mathcal{O}'(H_*)\tilde{f}(x) + \mathcal{M}f(x) + T_1 f(x) + T_2 f(x) + \mathcal{M}_\lambda f(x).$$

From [19, Theorem 1.5], we have $\mathcal{O}'(H_*) : L^p(\omega) \rightarrow L^p(\omega)$, $\omega \in A_p(\mathbb{R})$. And from [28], we also have \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L^p(\omega)$. Moreover, from [20, p.286], we know that $x^{2\lambda} \in A_p$ if and only if $0 < 2\lambda < p - 1$. Thus combing (2.4) and (2.5), we get $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f(x)$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ for $p \in (1 + 2\lambda, \infty)$.

Step 2. By applying the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem and Step 1, to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to prove that for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ and $\eta > 0$,

$$m_\lambda(\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})f(x) > \eta\}) \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}. \quad (2.6)$$

The main tool in our proof is the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition in Lemma 2.2. Let $g, b, \{b_j\}_j$ and $\{I_j\}_j$ be as Lemma 2.2. Since the operator $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ is sublinear, to show (2.6), it suffices to prove

$$m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : |\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(g)(x)| > \frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : |\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(b)(x)| > \frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}. \quad (2.8)$$

For (2.7), by the L^p -boundedness of $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ with $p > 1 + 2\lambda$ from Step 1 and Lemma 2.2 (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : |\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(g)(x)| > \frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right) &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} [\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(g)(x)]^p dm_\lambda(x) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |g(x)|^p dm_\lambda(x) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)| dm_\lambda(x). \end{aligned}$$

This shows (2.7).

In what follows, we prove (2.8). Let $\tilde{I}_j := 3I_j$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} := \bigcup_j \tilde{I}_j$. Using the doubling property of m_λ (2.3) and Lemma 2.2 (v), we write

$$\begin{aligned} m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : |\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(b)(x)| > \frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right) &\lesssim m_\lambda(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}) + m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}} : |\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(b)(x)| > \frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} + m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}} : |\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(b)(x)| > \frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the second term on the right of the last inequality. To this end, we first introduce some notations. Let $\delta_i \in (t_{i+1}, t_i]$ and A_{δ_i} be the interval $(t_{i+1}, \delta_i]$. Set

$$R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}} b(x) := \int_{|x-y| \in A_{\delta_i}} R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) b(y) dm_\lambda(y).$$

Then, the operator $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(b)(x)$ can be expressed more conveniently as:

$$\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(b)(x) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\delta_i \in (t_{i+1}, t_i]} \left| R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b)(x) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$, choose a $\tilde{\delta}_i \in (t_{i+1}, t_i]$ such that

$$\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(b)(x) \leq \left[\sum_i 2 \left| R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b)(x) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq 2 \left[\sum_i \left| \sum_j R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b_j)(x) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

Then we only need to prove the following inequality:

$$m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}} : \left(\sum_i \left|\sum_j R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x)\right|^2\right)^{1/2} > \frac{\eta}{4}\right\}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}. \quad (2.9)$$

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ and i ,

$$A_{\tilde{\delta}_i} + x := (x + t_{i+1}, x + \tilde{\delta}_i] \cup \left([x - \tilde{\delta}_i, x - t_{i+1}) \cap \mathbb{R}_+\right).$$

Note that $R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b_j)(x)$ is nonzero only if, for some j , I_j lies entirely in $A_{\tilde{\delta}_i} + x$ or I_j intersects the boundary of $A_{\tilde{\delta}_i} + x$. So, we split the family of indexes j in two categories:

$$L_i^1 := \{j : I_j \subset x + A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}\} \quad \text{and} \quad L_i^2 := \{j : I_j \not\subset x + A_{\tilde{\delta}_i} \text{ and } I_j \cap (x + A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\sum_i \left|\sum_j R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b_j)(x)\right|^2\right]^{1/2} \\ & \leq \left[\sum_i \left|\sum_{j \in L_i^1} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b_j)(x)\right|^2\right]^{1/2} + \left[\sum_i \left|\sum_{j \in L_i^2} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b_j)(x)\right|^2\right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to prove (2.9), it suffices to show the following two inequalities:

$$m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}} : \left[\sum_i \left|\sum_{j \in L_i^1} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b_j)(x)\right|^2\right]^{1/2} > \frac{\eta}{8}\right\}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}} : \left[\sum_i \left|\sum_{j \in L_i^2} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b_j)(x)\right|^2\right]^{1/2} > \frac{\eta}{8}\right\}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.$$

We first prove (2.10). Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $j \in L_i^1$, that is, $I_j \subset x + A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}$. Since by Lemma 2.2 (ii), $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} b_j(x) dm_\lambda(x) = 0$, we have

$$R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b_j)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) b_j(y) dm_\lambda(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} [R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) - R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y_j)] b_j(y) dm_\lambda(y), \quad (2.11)$$

where y_j is the center of I_j . Because for fixed x , $x + A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}$ and $x + A_{\tilde{\delta}_k}$ are disjoint for $i \neq k$, we see that for $j \in L_i^1$, I_j and $x + A_{\tilde{\delta}_k}$ are disjoint. Therefore, by (2.2) and (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sum_i \left|\sum_{j \in L_i^1} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b_j)(x)\right|^2\right]^{1/2} & \leq \sum_i \left|\sum_{j \in L_i^1} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\tilde{\delta}_i}}(b_j)(x)\right| \\ & \lesssim \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) - R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y_j)| |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \\ & \lesssim \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{|y - y_j|}{|x - y|} \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x, |x - y|))} |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& m_\lambda \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}} : \left[\sum_i \left| \sum_{j \in L_i^1} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} > \frac{\eta}{8} \right\} \right) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}}} \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{|y - y_j|}{|x - y|} \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x, |x - y|))} |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y) dm_\lambda(x) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_j \int_{I_j} |b_j(y)| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{I}_j} \frac{|y - y_j|}{|x - y|} \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x, |x - y|))} dm_\lambda(x) dm_\lambda(y) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_j \int_{I_j} |b_j(y)| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I_j|}{3^k |I_j|} \int_{3^{k+1} I_j \setminus 3^k I_j} \frac{dm_\lambda(x)}{m_\lambda(I(x, |x - y|))} dm_\lambda(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$, $y, y_j \in I_j$, we have $|x - y| \sim |x - y_j|$ and

$$m_\lambda(I(x, |x - y|)) \sim m_\lambda(I(x, |x - y_j|)) \sim m_\lambda(I(y_j, |x - y_j|)). \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, we get

$$\int_{3^{k+1} I_j \setminus 3^k I_j} \frac{dm_\lambda(x)}{m_\lambda(I(x, |x - y|))} \lesssim \frac{m_\lambda(3^{k+1} I_j)}{m_\lambda(3^k I_j)} \lesssim 1.$$

Consequently, by this fact and Lemma 2.2 (iv), we conclude that

$$m_\lambda \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}} : \left(\sum_i \left| \sum_{j \in L_i^1} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right| \right) > \frac{\eta}{8} \right\} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.$$

This completes the proof of (2.10).

For the part L_i^2 , a simple geometrical inspection via Lemma 2.2(vi) shows that L_i^2 contains at most finite j 's for any i . It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i \left| \sum_{j \in L_i^2} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right|^2 & \lesssim \sum_i \sum_{j \in L_i^2} \left| R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right|^2 \\
& \lesssim \sum_j \sum_i \left| R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right|^2 \\
& \lesssim \sum_j \left(\sum_i \left| R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right| \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& m_\lambda \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}} : \left(\sum_i \left| \sum_{j \in L_i^2} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} > \frac{\eta}{8} \right\} \right) \\
& \leq m_\lambda \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}} : \sum_i \left| \sum_{j \in L_i^2} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right|^2 > \frac{\eta^2}{64} \right\} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta^2} \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{I}_j} \left(\sum_i \left| R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right| \right)^2 dm_\lambda(x).$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{I}_j$ for some j . Then by (2.1) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i |R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x)|^2 &\leq \sum_i \left[\int_{|x-y| \in A_{\delta_i}} |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y)| |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \right]^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_i \left[\int_{|x-y| \in A_{\delta_i}} \frac{|b_j(y)|}{m_\lambda(I(x, |x-y|))} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{[m_\lambda(I(y_j, |x-y_j|))]^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \\ &\quad \times \sum_i \int_{|x-y| \in A_{\delta_i}} \frac{|b_j(y)|}{m_\lambda(I(x, |x-y|))} dm_\lambda(y) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{[m_\lambda(I(y_j, |x-y_j|))]^2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (ii) and (iv) of Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{I}_j} \left(\sum_i \left| R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right| \right)^2 dm_\lambda(x) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{I}_j} \frac{1}{[m_\lambda(I(y_j, |x-y_j|))]^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \right)^2 dm_\lambda(x) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{3^{k+1}I_j \setminus 3^k I_j} \frac{\eta m_\lambda(I_j)}{[m_\lambda(I(y_j, |x-y_j|))]^2} dm_\lambda(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \\ &\lesssim \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_\lambda(I_j) m_\lambda(3^{k+1}I_j)}{[m_\lambda(3^k I_j)]^2} \\ &\lesssim \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$m_\lambda \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{I}} : \left[\sum_j \left| \sum_{i \in L_j^2} R_{\Delta_\lambda}^{A_{\delta_i}}(b_j)(x) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} > \frac{\eta}{4} \right\} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)},$$

which finishes the proof of weak type (1, 1) estimation of $\mathcal{O}'(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$. Theorem 1.1 is proved. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

As the proof of Theorem 1.1, by similarity, we only consider $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$. The proof for $\mathcal{V}_\rho(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ is similar and omitted.

Fix $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ and define $f_1(y) := f(y)\chi_{4I}$ and $f_2(y) := f(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 4I}$, where $I := I(x_0, r)$. From the Hölder inequality, Theorem 1.1 and (2.3), we deduce that

$$\int_I |\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_1)(x)| dm_\lambda(x) \leq [m_\lambda(I)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_1)(x)|^2 dm_\lambda(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim [m_\lambda(I)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{4I} |f(x)|^2 dm_\lambda(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim m_\lambda(I) \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Then $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_1)(x) < \infty$, *a. e.* $x \in I$. According to the assumption, we may choose $x_1 \in I$ such that $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_2)(x_1) < \infty$.

By the fact that $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ is sublinear, (3.1), we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I |\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f)(x) - \mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_2)(x_1)| dm_\lambda(x) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I \mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_1)(x) dm_\lambda(x) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I |\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_2)(x) - \mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_2)(x_1)| dm_\lambda(x) \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} + \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I |\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_2)(x) - \mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_2)(x_1)| dm_\lambda(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, to finish the proof of Theorem 1.5, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I |\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_2)(x) - \mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_2)(x_1)| dm_\lambda(x) \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.$$

Assume that $\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})$ is defined by a given sequence $\{t_i\}_i$ decreasing and converging to zero. Now, for $x \in I$, and $I_i := (t_{i+1}, t_i]$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, by (1.1) and (1.3), we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_2)(x) - \mathcal{O}(R_{\Delta_\lambda, *})(f_2)(x_1)| \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{i+1} < \epsilon_{i+1} < \epsilon_i \leq t_i} \left| [R_{\Delta_\lambda, \epsilon_i} f_2(x) - R_{\Delta_\lambda, \epsilon_{i+1}} f_2(x)] \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - [R_{\Delta_\lambda, \epsilon_i} f_2(x_1) - R_{\Delta_\lambda, \epsilon_{i+1}} f_2(x_1)] \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{i+1} < \delta_i \leq t_i} \left| [R_{\Delta_\lambda, t_{i+1}} f_2(x) - R_{\Delta_\lambda, \delta_i} f_2(x)] \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - [R_{\Delta_\lambda, t_{i+1}} f_2(x_1) - R_{\Delta_\lambda, \delta_i} f_2(x_1)] \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \left\| \left\{ \int_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}} R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) f_2(y) dm_\lambda(y) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \int_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}} R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y) f_2(y) dm_\lambda(y) \right\}_{\delta_i \in I_i, i \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} \\
&\leq \left\| \left\{ \int_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}} [R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) - R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)] f_2(y) dm_\lambda(y) \right\}_{\delta_i \in I_i, i \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} \\
&\quad + \left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} [\chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) - \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y)] R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y) f_2(y) dm_\lambda(y) \right\}_{\delta_i \in I_i, i \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} \\
&=: \mathbf{D}_1 + \mathbf{D}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\left\| \left\{ \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \right\}_{\delta_i \in I_i, i \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq 1.$$

By this fact, Mikowski's inequality, (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \|\{\chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y)\}_{\delta_i \in J_i, i \in \mathbb{N}}\| \varepsilon |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) - R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)| |f_2(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x, y) - R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)| |f_2(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k+1}I \setminus 2^k I} \frac{|x - x_1| |f(y)|}{|x - y| m_\lambda(I(y, |x - y|))} dm_\lambda(y) \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{|I|}{2^k |I|} \int_{2^{k+1}I \setminus 2^k I} \frac{dm_\lambda(y)}{m_\lambda(I(y, |x - y|))} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{m_\lambda(2^{k+1}I)}{m_\lambda(2^k I)} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third-to-last inequality, we use the fact that for $x, x_0 \in I$, $y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 4I$, $|x - y| \sim |x_0 - y|$, and in the second-to-last inequality,

$$m_\lambda(I(y, |x - y|)) \sim m_\lambda(I(y, |x_0 - y|)) \sim m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|)). \quad (3.2)$$

For D_2 , note that the integral

$$E := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) - \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y)| |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)| |f_2(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \neq 0$$

only if either

$$\chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) = 0,$$

or viceversa, that is,

$$\chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) = 1.$$

Equivalently, if $E \neq 0$, at least one of the following four statements holds:

- (i) $t_{i+1} < |x - y| \leq \delta_i$ and $|x_1 - y| \leq t_{i+1}$;
- (ii) $t_{i+1} < |x - y| \leq \delta_i$ and $|x_1 - y| > \delta_i$;
- (iii) $|x - y| \leq t_{i+1}$ and $t_{i+1} < |x_1 - y| \leq \delta_i$;
- (iv) $|x - y| > \delta_i$ and $t_{i+1} < |x_1 - y| \leq \delta_i$.

Since $|x - x_1| < 2r$, we observe that in case (i),

$$t_{i+1} < |x - y| \leq |x - x_1| + |x_1 - y| < t_{i+1} + 2r;$$

in case (ii),

$$\delta_i < |x_1 - y| \leq |x_1 - x| + |x - y| < \delta_i + 2r;$$

in case (iii),

$$t_{i+1} < |x_1 - y| < t_{i+1} + 2r;$$

and in case (iv),

$$\delta_i < |x - y| < \delta_i + 2r.$$

Then, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| < t_{i+1} + 2r\}}(y) |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)| |f_2(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \chi_{\{\delta_i < |x_1-y| < \delta_i + 2r\}}(y) |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)| |f_2(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| < t_{i+1} + 2r\}}(y) |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)| |f_2(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \chi_{\{\delta_i < |x-y| < \delta_i + 2r\}}(y) |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)| |f_2(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \\ &=: \mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2 + \mathbf{J}_3 + \mathbf{J}_4. \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate \mathbf{J}_1 and claim that

$$\mathbf{J}_1 \lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{r}{|x_0 - y|} \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Indeed, observe that for any $y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 4I$ and $x \in I$,

$$|x - y| > 3r, \quad |x_1 - y| > 3r, \quad \text{and} \quad |x_1 - y|/3 < |x - y| < 5|x_1 - y|/3. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, if $x, x_1 \in I$ and $r \geq t_{i+1}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 4I : t_{i+1} < |x - y| < t_{i+1} + 2r\} \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 4I : |x - y| < 3r\} = \emptyset.$$

This means $\mathbf{J}_1 = 0$ and (3.3) holds.

In the following, we assume that $r < t_{i+1}$. From this assumption, we further deduce that for $x \in I$,

$$0 < m_\lambda(I(x, t_{i+1} + 2r)) - m_\lambda(I(x, t_{i+1})) \lesssim (x + t_{i+1} + 2r)^{2\lambda} r \lesssim (x + t_{i+1})^{2\lambda} r.$$

By this fact, together with (2.1), (2.3), Hölder's inequality and the fact that for any $x \in I$, $y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 4I$,

$$m_\lambda(I(x_1, |x_1 - y|)) \sim m_\lambda(I(y, |x_1 - y|)) \sim m_\lambda(I(y, |x - y|)) \sim m_\lambda(I(x, |x - y|)), \quad (3.5)$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_1 &\leq [m_\lambda(I(x, t_{i+1} + 2r)) - m_\lambda(I(x, t_{i+1}))]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)|^2 |f_2(y)|^2 dm_\lambda(y) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[(x + t_{i+1})^{2\lambda} r \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_1, |x_1 - y|))^2} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_1, |x_1 - y|))} \frac{(x + t_{i+1})^{2\lambda} r}{m_\lambda(I(x, |x - y|))} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x, |x-y|))} \frac{(x+|x-y|)^{2\lambda} r}{(x^{2\lambda} + |x-y|^{2\lambda})|x-y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0-y|))} \frac{r}{|x_0-y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (3.3).

Now we estimate J_2 . By (3.4), we see that for $x_1 \in I$ and $r \geq \delta_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 4I : \delta_i < |x-y| < \delta_i + 2r\} \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 4I : |x-y| < 3r\} = \emptyset.$$

which implies that $J_2 = 0$. Therefore, in what follows, we assume $r < \delta_i$. Observe that

$$0 < m_\lambda(I(x_1, \delta_i + 2r)) - m_\lambda(I(x_1, \delta_i)) \lesssim (x_1 + \delta_i + 2r)^{2\lambda} r \lesssim (x_1 + \delta_i)^{2\lambda} r.$$

By this fact, Hölder's inequality, (2.1), (3.5), (3.4) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq [m_\lambda(I(x_1, \delta_i + 2r)) - m_\lambda(I(x_1, \delta_i))]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{\delta_i < |x_1-y| < \delta_i + 2r\}}(y) \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)|^2 |f_2(y)|^2 dm_\lambda(y) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{\delta_i < |x_1-y| < \delta_i + 2r\}}(y) \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2 (x_1 + \delta_i)^{2\lambda} r}{m_\lambda(I(x_1, |x_1-y|))^2} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_1, |x_1-y|))} \frac{(x_1 + |x_1-y|)^{2\lambda} r}{m_\lambda(I(x_1, |x_1-y|))} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_1, |x_1-y|))} \frac{(x_1 + |x_1-y|)^{2\lambda} r}{(x_1^{2\lambda} + |x_1-y|^{2\lambda})|x_1-y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0-y|))} \frac{r}{|x_0-y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq [m_\lambda(I(x_1, t_{i+1} + 2r)) - m_\lambda(I(x_1, t_{i+1}))]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| < t_{i+1} + 2r\}}(y) \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)|^2 |f_2(y)|^2 dm_\lambda(y) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0-y|))} \frac{r}{|x_0-y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 &\leq [m_\lambda(I(x, \delta_i + 2r)) - m_\lambda(I(x, \delta_i))]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{\delta_i < |x-y| < \delta_i + 2r\}}(y) \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) |R_{\Delta_\lambda}(x_1, y)|^2 |f_2(y)|^2 dm_\lambda(y) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0-y|))} \frac{r}{|x_0-y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now returning to the estimate of D_2 and combing the estimates of J_1, J_2, J_3 and J_4 , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 &\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{r}{|x_0 - y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \right\}_{\delta_i \in I_i, i \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \left\{ \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x_1-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{r}{|x_0 - y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \right\}_{\delta_i \in I_i, i \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} \\ &=: D_{21} + D_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

A straight calculation involving (2.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{21} &= \left[\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\delta_i \in I_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \chi_{\{t_{i+1} < |x-y| \leq \delta_i\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{r}{|x_0 - y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{|f_2(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{r}{|x_0 - y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k+1}I \setminus 2^k I} \frac{|f(y)|^2}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{r}{|x_0 - y|} dm_\lambda(y) \right]^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{m_\lambda(2^{k+1}I)}{m_\lambda(2^k I)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\sim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $D_{22} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}$. Consequently, we have

$$D_2 \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.$$

Combining the estimate for D_1 , we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Acknowledgments

This work is done during Dongyong Yang's visit to Macquarie University. He would like to thank Professors Xuan Thinh Duong and Ji Li for their generous help.

References

- [1] K. F. Andersen and R. A. Kerman, Weighted norm inequalities for generalized Hankel conjugate transformations, *Studia Math.* 71 (1981/82), 15-26.
- [2] K. F. Andersen and B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted weak type Hardy inequalities with applications to Hilbert transforms and maximal functions, *Studia Math.* 72 (1982), 9-26.
- [3] J. Bougain, Pointwise ergodic theorem for arithmetic sets, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 69 (1989), 5-45.
- [4] J. J. Betancor, A. Chicco Ruiz, J. C. Fariña and L. Rodríguez-Mesa, Maximal operators, Riesz transforms and Littlewood-Paley functions associated with Bessel operators on BMO, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 363 (2010), 310-326.
- [5] J. J. Betancor, R. Crescimbeni, and J. L. Torrea, Oscillation and variation of the Laguerre heat and Poisson semigroups and Riesz transforms, *Acta Math. Sci. Engl. Ser.* 32 (2012), 907-928.

- [6] J. J. Betancor, J. Dziubański and J. L. Torrea, On Hardy spaces associated with Bessel operators, *J. Anal. Math.* 107 (2009), 195-219.
- [7] J. J. Betancor, J. C. Fariña, D. Buraczewski, T. Martínez and J. L. Torrea, Riesz transform related to Bessel operators, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 137 (2007), 701-725.
- [8] J. J. Betancor, D. Buraczewski, J. C. Fariña, T. Martínez and J. L. Torrea, Riesz transform related to Bessel operators, <http://www.math.uni.wroc.pl/dbura/publications/bessel.pdf>.
- [9] J. J. Betancor, J. C. Fariña, E. Harbour and L. Rodríguez-Mesa, L^p -boundedness properties of variation operators in the Schrödinger setting. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 26 (2013), 485-534.
- [10] J. J. Betancor, J. C. Fariña and A. Sanabria, On Littlewood-Paley functions associated with Bessel operators, *Glasg. Math. J.* 51 (2009), 55-70.
- [11] J. J. Betancor, E. Harboure, A. Nowak and B. Viviani, Mapping properties of functional operators in harmonic analysis related to Bessel operators, *Studia Math.* 197 (2010), 101-140.
- [12] J. T. Campbell, R. L. Jones, K. Reinhold and M. Wierdl, Oscillation and variation for the Hilbert transform, *Duke Math. J.* 105 (2000), 59-83.
- [13] J. T. Campbell, R. L. Jones, K. Reinhold and M. Wierdl, Oscillation and variation for singular integrals in higher dimensions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), 2115-2137.
- [14] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes*, Lecture Note in Mathematics, 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1971).
- [15] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83 (1977), 569-645.
- [16] R. Crescimbeni, F. J. Martín-Reyes, A. De La Torre and J. L. Torrea, The ρ -variation of the Hermitian Riesz transform, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* 26 (2010), 1827-1838.
- [17] R. Crescimbeni, R. A. Macías, T. Menárguez, T and J.L. Torrea and B. Viviani, The ρ -variation as an operator between maximal operators and singular integrals, *J. Evol. Equ.* 9 (2009), 81-102.
- [18] X. T. Duong, J. Li, B. D. Wick and D. Yang, Hardy space via factorization, and BMO space via commutators in the Bessel setting, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, to appear.
- [19] T.A. Gillespie and J.L. Torrea, Dimension free estimates for the oscillation of Riesz transforms, *Israel J. Math.* 141 (2004), 125-144.
- [20] L. Grafakos, *Morden Fourier Analysis*, Pearson Education, Inc. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, (2004).
- [21] E. Harboure, R. A. Macías, M.T. Menárguez and J.L. Torrea, Oscillation and variation for the Gaussian Riesz transforms and Poisson integral, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 135 (2005), 85-104.
- [22] R. L. Jones, R. Kaufman, J. M. Rosenblatt and M. Wierdl, Oscillation in ergodic theory, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 18 (1998), 889-935.
- [23] R. L. Jones and K. Reinhold, Oscillation and variation inequalities for convolution powers, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 21 (2001), 1809-1829.
- [24] R. L. Jones, A. Seeger and J. Wright, Strong variational and jump inequalities in harmonic analysis, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360 (2008), 6711-6742.
- [25] R. A. Kerman, Boundedness criteria for generalized Hankel conjugate transformations, *Canad. J. Math.* 30 (1978), 147-153.
- [26] F. Liu and H. Wu, A criterion on oscillation and variation for the commutators of singular integral operators, *Forum Math.* 27 (2015), 77-97.
- [27] A. Mas and X. Tolsa, Variation for the Riesz transform and uniform rectifiability, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 16 (2014), 2267-2321.

- [28] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 165 (1972), 207-226.
- [29] B. Muckenhoupt and E. M. Stein, Classical expansions and their relation to conjugate harmonic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118 (1965), 17-92.
- [30] M. Villani, Riesz transforms associated to Bessel operators, *Illinois J. Math.* 52 (2008), 77-89.
- [31] Da. Yang and Do. Yang, Real-variable characterizations of Hardy spaces associated with Bessel operators, *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)* 9 (2011), 345-368.
- [32] J. Zhang and H. Wu, Oscillation and variation inequalities for the commutators of singular integrals with Lipschitz functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 214 (2015), 21 pp.

Huoxiong Wu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

E-mail: huoxwu@xmu.edu.cn

Dongyong Yang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

E-mail: dyyang@xmu.edu.cn

Jing Zhang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yili Normal College, Yining Xinjiang 835000, China

E-mail: zjmath66@126.com