

# Purely Hom-Lie bialgebras <sup>\*</sup>

Liqiang Cai<sup>1</sup> and Yunhe Sheng<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University,  
Kaifeng 475000, Henan, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jilin University,  
Changchun 130012, Jilin, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Xinyang Normal University,  
Xinyang 464000, Henan, China

Email: cailq13@mails.jlu.edu.cn, shengyh@jlu.edu.cn

## Abstract

In this paper, first we show that there is a Hom-Lie algebra structure on the set of  $(\sigma, \sigma)$ -derivations of an associative algebra. Then we construct the dual representation of a representation of a Hom-Lie algebra. We introduce the notions of a Manin triple for Hom-Lie algebras and a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra. Using the coadjoint representation, we show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Manin triples for Hom-Lie algebras and purely Hom-Lie bialgebras. Finally, we study coboundary purely Hom-Lie bialgebras and construct solutions of the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equations in some special Hom-Lie algebras using Hom- $\mathcal{O}$ -operators.

## 1 Introduction

In the study of  $\sigma$ -derivations of an associative algebra, Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov introduced the notion of a Hom-Lie algebra in [8]. Some  $q$ -deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras have the structure of a Hom-Lie algebra. By Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov's definition, a Hom-Lie algebra  $(L, [\cdot, \cdot]_L, \varsigma)$  is a nonassociative algebra  $(L, [\cdot, \cdot]_L)$  together with an algebra homomorphism  $\varsigma : L \rightarrow L$  such that  $[\cdot, \cdot]_L$  is skew-symmetric and the following equality holds:

$$[(\text{id} + \varsigma)(x), [y, z]_L]_L + [(\text{id} + \varsigma)(y), [z, x]_L]_L + [(\text{id} + \varsigma)(z), [x, y]_L]_L = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in L. \quad (1)$$

Because of their close relation to discrete and deformed vector fields and differential calculus [8, 12, 13], Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov's Hom-Lie algebras were widely studied. Recently, Elchinger, Lundengard, Makhlof and Silvestrov extend the result in [8] to the case of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations, see [7] for more details.

Then in [15], Makhlof and Silvestrov modified the definition of the Hom-Lie algebra. In Makhlof and Silvestrov's new definition, a Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  is a nonassociative algebra

<sup>0</sup> *Keyword:* Hom-Lie algebras, Manin triples, purely Hom-Lie bialgebras, classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equations

<sup>0</sup> *MSC:* 16T25, 17B62, 17B99.

<sup>\*</sup>Research supported by NSFC (11471139) and NSF of Jilin Province (20140520054JH, 20170101050JC).

$(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  together with an algebra homomorphism  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is skew-symmetric and the following equality holds:

$$[\phi(x), [y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\phi(y), [z, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\phi(z), [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2)$$

If the underlying nonassociative algebra is a Lie algebra, the above two definitions are equivalent. Some works have been done under this condition (e.g. [9, 20]). However, in general the above two definitions are not equivalent: in Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov's Hom-Lie algebra, if we set  $\phi = \text{id} + \varsigma$ , then  $\phi$  is not an algebra homomorphism. On the other hand, in Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov's original study, the underlying nonassociative algebra is not a Lie algebra. Even though many important works have been done for Makhlouf and Silvestrov's Hom-Lie algebra (e.g. [1, 3, 5, 14–17, 22, 23]), there are still lack of concrete examples of such an algebraic structure.

In this paper, we give an example of Makhlouf and Silvestrov's Hom-Lie algebra. We show that on the set of  $(\sigma, \sigma)$ -derivations of an associative algebra, there is a natural Hom-Lie algebra structure in the sense of Makhlouf and Silvestrov. In the sequel, we only consider Makhlouf and Silvestrov's Hom-Lie algebra.

The other purpose of this paper is to study the bialgebra theory for Hom-Lie algebras. A bialgebra is a pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  such that there are same algebraic structures on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  and some compatibility conditions are satisfied. One of the most interesting bialgebra is a Lie bialgebra ([6]), which contains a Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  and Lie coalgebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$  such that a compatibility condition between the Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot] : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and Lie cobracket  $\Delta : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  is satisfied. One compatibility condition is that  $\Delta$  is a 1-cocycle on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the coefficients in  $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ . Another equivalent compatibility condition is that  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*; \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is a Manin triple for Lie algebras. There are already two approaches to study Hom-Lie bialgebras. One is given in [24], where the compatibility condition is that the Hom-Lie cobracket  $\Delta$  is a 1-cocycle on the Hom-Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the coefficients in  $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ . However, different from the case of Lie bialgebras, there is not a natural Hom-Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*; \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is a Manin triple for Hom-Lie algebras. To solve this defect, the authors gave another approach in [18]. However, a quite strong condition needs to be added so that there is a coadjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

To give the correct notion of a Hom-Lie bialgebra, we need to have the correct dual representation first. As pointed in [3], for a representation  $\rho$  of a Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  on  $V$  with respect to  $\beta \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ ,  $\rho^*$  is not a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V^*$  anymore. To solve this problem, we introduce  $\rho^* : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V^*)$ , which turns to be a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V^*$  with respect to  $(\beta^{-1})^*$ . We explain that  $\rho^*$  is actually the right dual representation in the Hom-Lie algebra context (See Remark 3.5). This is the main tool in our study. Then we modify the invariant condition of a symmetric bilinear form on a Hom-Lie algebra. In [3], a symmetric bilinear form  $B$  on a Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  is called invariant if  $B(\phi(x), y) = B(x, \phi(y))$ . We modify this condition to be  $B(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = B(x, y)$ . See Remark 4.3 for the explanation. This is the main ingredient to give a new type of Hom-Lie bialgebras. With these preparations, we give the notions of a Manin triple for Hom-Lie algebras and a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra. We also study triangular purely Hom-Lie bialgebras in details. Our purely Hom-Lie bialgebra enjoys all the properties that a Lie bialgebra has.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show that on the set of  $(\sigma, \sigma)$ -derivations of an associative algebra, there is a natural Hom-Lie algebra structure (Theorem 2.2) and we also give some examples. In Section 3, associated to a representation  $\rho$  of a Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  on  $V$  with respect to  $\beta \in GL(V)$ , we construct a representation  $\rho^*$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V^*$  with respect to  $(\beta^{-1})^* \in GL(V^*)$ , which is called the dual representation (Lemma 3.4). In Section 4, first we give the notion of a quadratic Hom-Lie algebra. Then we give the notions of a Manin triple for Hom-

Lie algebras and a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra. We show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Manin triples for Hom-Lie algebras and purely Hom-Lie bialgebras (Theorem 4.11). In Section 5, we study coboundary purely Hom-Lie bialgebras and introduce the notion of the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation. We construct solutions of the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation in some semidirect product Hom-Lie algebra using Hom- $\mathcal{O}$ -operators (Theorem 5.12).

## Acknowledgements

We give our warmest thanks to the referees for very helpful comments that improve the paper.

## 2 Hom-Lie algebra structures on the set of $(\sigma, \sigma)$ -derivations

In this section, we construct a Hom-Lie algebra on the set of  $(\sigma, \sigma)$ -derivations of an associative algebra.

A Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  is called a **regular Hom-Lie algebra** if  $\phi$  is an algebra automorphism. Throughout the paper, all Hom-Lie algebras are regular. Let  $V$  be a vector space, for any  $\beta \in GL(V)$ , define a bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\beta}$  on  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  by

$$[A, B]_{\beta} = \beta A \beta^{-1} B \beta^{-1} - \beta B \beta^{-1} A \beta^{-1}, \quad \forall A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(V).$$

Then  $(\mathfrak{gl}(V), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\beta}, \text{Ad}_{\beta})$  is a regular Hom-Lie algebra, where  $\text{Ad}_{\beta}(A) = \beta A \beta^{-1}$  for all  $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . This regular Hom-Lie algebra plays important roles in the representation theory of Hom-Lie algebras. See [19] for more details.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an associative algebra over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ , and  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  denote two algebra endomorphisms on  $\mathcal{A}$ . A  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -**derivation** on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linear map  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$D(ab) = D(a)\tau(b) + \sigma(a)D(b), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (3)$$

The set of all  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\text{Der}_{\sigma, \tau}(\mathcal{A})$ . See [7] for examples of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. Let  $\sigma$  be an algebra isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define a skew-symmetric bilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\sigma} : \wedge^2 \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A})$  by

$$[D_1, D_2]_{\sigma} = \sigma \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} - \sigma \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1}, \quad \forall D_1, D_2 \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A}). \quad (4)$$

The following lemma ensures that the operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\sigma}$  is well-defined.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For all  $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A})$ , we have  $[D_1, D_2]_{\sigma} \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , by  $\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)\sigma(b)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_1, D_2]_\sigma(ab) &= (\sigma \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} - \sigma \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1})(ab) \\
&= \sigma D_1\left(\sigma^{-1} D_2(\sigma^{-1}(a)\sigma^{-1}(b))\right) - \sigma D_2\left(\sigma^{-1} D_1(\sigma^{-1}(a)\sigma^{-1}(b))\right) \\
&= \sigma D_1\left(\sigma^{-1}(D_2(\sigma^{-1}(a))b + aD_2(\sigma^{-1}b))\right) \\
&\quad - \sigma D_2\left(\sigma^{-1}(D_1(\sigma^{-1}(a))b + aD_1(\sigma^{-1}b))\right) \\
&= \sigma D_1\left(\sigma^{-1} D_2(\sigma^{-1}(a))\sigma^{-1}(b) + \sigma^{-1}(a)\sigma^{-1} D_2(\sigma^{-1}b)\right) \\
&\quad - \sigma D_2\left(\sigma^{-1} D_1(\sigma^{-1}(a))\sigma^{-1}(b) + \sigma^{-1}(a)\sigma^{-1} D_1(\sigma^{-1}b)\right) \\
&= \sigma\left(D_1(\sigma^{-1} D_2(\sigma^{-1}a))b + D_2(\sigma^{-1}a)D_1(\sigma^{-1}b)\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ D_1(\sigma^{-1}a)D_2(\sigma^{-1}b) + aD_1(\sigma^{-1} D_2(\sigma^{-1}b))\right) \\
&\quad - \sigma\left(D_2(\sigma^{-1} D_1(\sigma^{-1}a))b + D_1(\sigma^{-1}a)D_2(\sigma^{-1}b)\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ D_2(\sigma^{-1}a)D_1(\sigma^{-1}b) + aD_2(\sigma^{-1} D_1(\sigma^{-1}b))\right) \\
&= \sigma D_1(\sigma^{-1} D_2(\sigma^{-1}a))\sigma(b) + \sigma D_2(\sigma^{-1}a)\sigma D_1(\sigma^{-1}b) \\
&\quad + \sigma D_1(\sigma^{-1}a)\sigma D_2(\sigma^{-1}b) + \sigma(a)\sigma D_1(\sigma^{-1} D_2(\sigma^{-1}b)) \\
&\quad - \sigma D_2(\sigma^{-1} D_1(\sigma^{-1}a))\sigma(b) - \sigma D_1(\sigma^{-1}a)\sigma D_2(\sigma^{-1}b) \\
&\quad - \sigma D_2(\sigma^{-1}a)\sigma D_1(\sigma^{-1}b) - \sigma(a)\sigma D_2(\sigma^{-1} D_1(\sigma^{-1}b)) \\
&= [D_1, D_2]_\sigma(a)\sigma(b) + \sigma(a)[D_1, D_2]_\sigma(b),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $[D_1, D_2]_\sigma \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A})$ . ■

Define  $\text{Ad}_\sigma : \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A})$  by

$$\text{Ad}_\sigma(D) = \sigma \circ D \circ \sigma^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *With the above notations,  $(\text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A}), [\cdot, \cdot]_\sigma, \text{Ad}_\sigma)$  is a Hom-Lie algebra.*

*Proof.* For all  $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ad}_\sigma([D_1, D_2]_\sigma) &= \text{Ad}_\sigma(\sigma \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} - \sigma \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1}) \\
&= \sigma^2 \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-2} - \sigma^2 \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-2} \\
&= \sigma \circ (\sigma \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1}) \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ (\sigma \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1}) \circ \sigma^{-1} \\
&\quad - \sigma \circ (\sigma \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1}) \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ (\sigma \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1}) \circ \sigma^{-1} \\
&= [\text{Ad}_\sigma(D_1), \text{Ad}_\sigma(D_2)]_\sigma,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\text{Ad}_\sigma$  is an algebra isomorphism.

Furthermore, for all  $D_1, D_2, D_3 \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [[D_1, D_2]_{\sigma}, \text{Ad}_{\sigma}(D_3)]_{\sigma} + c.p. \\
&= [\sigma \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} - \sigma \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1}, \text{Ad}_{\sigma}(D_3)]_{\sigma} + c.p. \\
&= \sigma \circ (\sigma \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} - \sigma \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1}) \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}_{\sigma}(D_3) \circ \sigma^{-1} \\
&\quad - \sigma \circ \text{Ad}_{\sigma}(D_3) \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ (\sigma \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} - \sigma \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1}) \circ \sigma^{-1} + c.p. \\
&= \sigma^2 \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_3 \circ \sigma^{-2} - \sigma^2 \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_3 \circ \sigma^{-2} \\
&\quad - \sigma^2 \circ D_3 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-2} + \sigma^2 \circ D_3 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-2} + c.p. \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where c.p. means cyclic permutation. Therefore,  $(\text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\sigma}, \text{Ad}_{\sigma})$  is a Hom-Lie algebra. ■

**Remark 2.3.** *There are many examples of Hom-Lie algebras that are generalizations of the one given in Theorem 2.2: for all  $m, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(\text{Der}_{\sigma^m, \sigma^m}(\mathcal{A}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{ml}, \text{Ad}_{\sigma^l})$  is a Hom-Lie algebra, where the skew-symmetric bilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{ml} : \wedge^2 \text{Der}_{\sigma^m, \sigma^m}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\sigma^m, \sigma^m}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\text{Ad}_{\sigma^l}$  are given by*

$$[D_1, D_2]_{ml} = \sigma^l \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-m} \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-l} - \sigma^l \circ D_2 \circ \sigma^{-m} \circ D_1 \circ \sigma^{-l}, \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Ad}_{\sigma^l}(D) = \sigma^l \circ D \circ \sigma^{-l}, \quad \forall D_1, D_2, D \in \text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathcal{A}). \quad (7)$$

In particular, if  $m = 0, l = 0$ , we recover the usual derivation Lie algebra.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $M$  be a differential manifold, and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  a diffeomorphism. Obviously,  $\varphi^* : C^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$  is an algebra isomorphism. A section  $X \in \Gamma(\varphi^*TM)$  of the pull back bundle  $\varphi^*TM$  can be identified with a  $(\varphi^*, \varphi^*)$ -derivation on  $C^{\infty}(M)$ . Consequently,  $(\Gamma(\varphi^*TM), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\varphi^*}, \text{Ad}_{\varphi^*})$  is a Hom-Lie algebra. This example plays important role in our future study on Hom-Lie algebroids.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $\sigma : \mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t]]$  be an algebra isomorphism. Then the set of  $(\sigma, \sigma)$ -derivations is given by

$$\text{Der}_{\sigma, \sigma}(\mathbb{C}[[t]]) = \{D \mid D(f(t)) = f'(s)|_{s=\sigma(t)} D_0(t), \text{ for some } D_0(t) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]] \cong \mathbb{C}[[t]],$$

which has a basis  $\{d_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , where

$$d_n(f(t)) = f'(s)|_{s=\sigma(t)} t^n.$$

In particular, we have

$$d_n(t^k) = k(\sigma(t))^{k-1} t^n. \quad (8)$$

The Hom-Lie bracket is given by

$$[d_n, d_m]_{\sigma}(t^k) = k(m-n)(\sigma(t))^{n+m+k-2} \left( (\sigma^{-1}(t))' \right)^2. \quad (9)$$

(i) If  $\sigma(t) = qt$ , for some  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
[d_n, d_m]_{\sigma} &= (m-n)q^{n+m-3} d_{n+m-1}, \\
\text{Ad}_{\sigma}(d_n) &= q^{n-1} d_n.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $\sigma(t) = qt^{-1}$ , for some  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
[d_n, d_m]_{\sigma} &= (m-n)q^{n+m+1} d_{-n-m-3}, \\
\text{Ad}_{\sigma}(d_n) &= -q^{n+1} d_{-n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

### 3 Dual representations

In this section, we construct the dual representation of a representation of a Hom-Lie algebra without any additional condition. This is nontrivial. To our knowledge, people need to add a very strong condition to obtain a representation on the dual space in the former study. This restricts its development.

**Definition 3.1.** A representation of a Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  on a vector space  $V$  with respect to  $\beta \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is a linear map  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ , such that for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the following equalities are satisfied:

$$\rho(\phi(x)) \circ \beta = \beta \circ \rho(x); \quad (10)$$

$$\rho([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \circ \beta = \rho(\phi(x)) \circ \rho(y) - \rho(\phi(y)) \circ \rho(x). \quad (11)$$

We denote a representation of a Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  by  $(V, \beta, \rho)$ .

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  be a Hom-Lie algebra. The linear map  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  can be extended to a linear map from  $\wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}$ , for which we use the same notation  $\phi$  via

$$\phi(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k) = \phi(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi(x_k).$$

Furthermore, the bracket operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$  can also be extended to  $\wedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}$  via

$$[x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_m, y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_n]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} [x_i, y_j]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge \phi(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x}_i \cdots \wedge x_m \wedge y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{y}_j \cdots \wedge y_n), \quad (12)$$

for all  $x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_m \in \wedge^m \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_n \in \wedge^n \mathfrak{g}$ . Consequently,  $(\oplus_k \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}, \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  is a Hom-Gerstenhaber algebra introduced in [11].

**Example 3.2.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  be a Hom-Lie algebra. For any integer  $s$ , the  $\phi^s$ -adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\wedge^k \mathfrak{g}$ , which we denote by  $\text{ad}^s$ , is defined by

$$\text{ad}_x^s Y = [\phi^s(x), Y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, Y \in \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}.$$

In particular, we write  $\text{ad}^0$  simply by  $\text{ad}$ .

Given a representation  $\rho$  of the Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  on  $V$  with respect to  $\beta$ , define  $d_{\rho} : \text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}, V)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\rho} f(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho(x_i) (f(\phi^{-1}(x_1), \dots, \widehat{\phi^{-1}(x_i)}, \dots, \phi^{-1}(x_{k+1}))) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \beta f([\phi^{-2}(x_i), \phi^{-2}(x_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi^{-1}(x_1) \cdots, \widehat{\phi^{-1}(x_i)}, \dots, \widehat{\phi^{-1}(x_j)}, \dots, \phi^{-1}(x_{k+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have  $d_{\rho}^2 = 0$ . See [4] for more details. We use  $H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \rho)$  to denote the corresponding cohomology group.

**Example 3.3.** Consider the  $\phi^{-2}$ -adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ . A linear map  $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying  $\theta \circ \phi = \phi \circ \theta$  is a 1-cocycle, i.e.  $d_{\text{ad}^{-2}} \theta = 0$ , if

$$[\phi^{-2}(x), \theta(\phi^{-1}(y))]_{\mathfrak{g}} - [\phi^{-2}(y), \theta(\phi^{-1}(x))]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \theta([\phi^{-1}(x), \phi^{-1}(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0.$$

Equivalently,  $\theta$  is a  $(\phi^{-1}, \phi^{-1})$ -derivation.

Let  $(V, \beta, \rho)$  be a representation of a Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$ . In the sequel, we always assume that  $\beta$  is invertible. Define  $\rho^* : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V^*)$  as usual by

$$\langle \rho^*(x)(\xi), u \rangle = -\langle \xi, \rho(x)(u) \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in V, \xi \in V^*.$$

However, in general  $\rho^*$  is not a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  anymore (see [3] for details). Define  $\rho^* : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V^*)$  by

$$\rho^*(x)(\xi) := \rho^*(\phi(x))((\beta^{-2})^*(\xi)), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^*. \quad (13)$$

More precisely, we have

$$\langle \rho^*(x)(\xi), u \rangle = -\langle \xi, \rho(\phi^{-1}(x))(\beta^{-2}(u)) \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in V, \xi \in V^*. \quad (14)$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $(V, \beta, \rho)$  be a representation of a Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$ . Then  $\rho^* : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V^*)$  defined above by (13) is a representation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  on  $V^*$  with respect to  $(\beta^{-1})^*$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^*$ , we have

$$\rho^*(\phi(x))((\beta^{-1})^*(\xi)) = \rho^*(\phi^2(x))(\beta^{-3})^*(\xi) = (\beta^{-1})^*(\rho^*(\phi(x))(\beta^{-2})^*(\xi)) = (\beta^{-1})^*(\rho^*(x)(\xi)),$$

which implies  $\rho^*(\phi(x)) \circ (\beta^{-1})^* = (\beta^{-1})^* \circ \rho^*(x)$ .

On the other hand, by the Hom-Jacobi identity, for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in V^*$  and  $u \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \rho^*([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})((\beta^{-1})^*(\xi)), u \rangle \\ &= \langle \rho^*(\phi([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}))((\beta^{-3})^*(\xi)), u \rangle \\ &= -\langle (\beta^{-3})^*(\xi), \rho(\phi([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}))(u) \rangle \\ &= -\langle (\beta^{-3})^*(\xi), \rho(\phi^2(x))(\rho(\phi(y))(\beta^{-1}(u))) - \rho(\phi^2(y))(\rho(\phi(x))(\beta^{-1}(u))) \rangle \\ &= -\langle (\beta^{-4})^*(\xi), \rho(\phi^3(x))(\rho(\phi^2(y))(u)) - \rho(\phi^3(y))(\rho(\phi^2(x))(u)) \rangle \\ &= -\langle \rho^*(\phi^2(y))(\rho^*(\phi^3(x))((\beta^{-4})^*(\xi))) - \rho^*(\phi^2(x))(\rho^*(\phi^3(y))((\beta^{-4})^*(\xi))), u \rangle \\ &= -\langle \rho^*(\phi(y))(\rho^*(x)(\xi)) - \rho^*(\phi(x))(\rho^*(y)(\xi)), u \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\rho^*([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \circ (\beta^{-1})^* = \rho^*(\phi(x)) \circ \rho^*(y) - \rho^*(\phi(y)) \circ \rho^*(x).$$

Therefore,  $\rho^*$  is a representation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  on  $V^*$  with respect to  $(\beta^{-1})^*$ . ■

**Remark 3.5.** *The representation  $\rho^*$  given by (13) is the right dual representation in the Hom-Lie algebra context. It can be obtained essentially as follows. Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$  be a Lie algebra and  $\bar{\rho} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  a representation of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$  on  $V$ , and  $\bar{\rho}^* : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V^*)$  the associated dual representation. Then we have two semidirect product Lie algebras  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\bar{\rho}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V^*, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\bar{\rho}^*})$ . Note that  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\bar{\rho}})$  can be viewed as an abelian extension of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $V$ . Let  $(\phi, \beta)$  be an inducible pair ([2]), i.e.  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra automorphism and  $\beta \in GL(V)$  satisfy*

$$\beta \bar{\rho}(x) = \bar{\rho}(\phi(x))\beta, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

*Then  $\phi + \beta$  is an automorphism of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\bar{\rho}})$ . Since  $\phi$  is a Lie algebra automorphism, it follows that  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\phi}, \phi)$  is a Hom-Lie algebra ([21]), where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\phi} : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is given by  $[x, y]_{\phi} = \phi\{x, y\}$ . Similarly, we obtain a Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\phi+\beta}, \phi+\beta)$ . Now we define  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  by*

$$\rho(x)(u) = [x, u]_{\phi+\beta} = \beta \bar{\rho}(x)(u) = \bar{\rho}(\phi(x))\beta(u).$$

It follows that  $\rho$  is a representation of the Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\phi, \phi)$  on  $V$  with respect to  $\beta$ .

Now using this principle, we investigate the dual representation. First we observe that we should consider  $(\beta^{-1})^* : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  rather than  $\beta^*$  to ensure that the following equality holds:

$$(\beta^{-1})^* \bar{\rho}^*(x) = \bar{\rho}^*(\phi(x))(\beta^{-1})^*.$$

Then  $\phi + (\beta^{-1})^*$  is an automorphism of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V^*, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\bar{\rho}^*})$ , and we obtain a Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\phi + (\beta^{-1})^*}, \phi + (\beta^{-1})^*)$ . Now we define  $\rho^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V^*)$  by

$$\rho^*(x)(\xi) = [x, \xi]_{\phi + (\beta^{-1})^*} = (\beta^{-1})^* \bar{\rho}^*(x)(\xi) = \bar{\rho}^*(\phi(x))(\beta^{-1})^*(\xi).$$

It follows that  $\rho^*$  is a representation of the Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\phi, \phi)$  on  $V^*$  with respect to  $(\beta^{-1})^*$ .

Finally, one can deduce that the relation between  $\rho$  and  $\rho^*$  is exactly given by (14). This justifies that  $\rho^*$  is the right dual representation in the Hom-Lie algebra context.

**Remark 3.6.** In Example 3.2, we have seen that there are a series of adjoint representation. Parallel to this result, for any  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can define  $\rho_s^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V^*)$  by

$$\rho_s^*(x)(\xi) = \rho^*(\phi^s(x))((\beta^{-2})^*(\xi)).$$

Then  $\rho_s^*$  is also a representation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \phi)$  on  $V^*$  with respect to  $(\beta^{-1})^*$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $(V, \beta, \rho)$  be a representation of Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \phi)$ . Then we have

$$(\rho^*)^* = \rho.$$

*Proof.* For all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in V, \xi \in V^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\rho^*)^*(x)(u), \xi \rangle &= \langle (\rho^*)^*(\phi(x))(\beta^2(u)), \xi \rangle = -\langle \beta^2(u), \rho^*(\phi(x))(\xi) \rangle \\ &= -\langle \beta^2(u), \rho^*(\phi^2(x))((\beta^{-2})^*(\xi)) \rangle = \langle \rho(\phi^2(x))(\beta^2(u)), (\beta^{-2})^*(\xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \rho(x)(u), \xi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(\rho^*)^* = \rho$ . ■

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \phi)$  be a Hom-Lie algebra. Then  $\text{ad}^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  defined by

$$\text{ad}_x^* \xi = \text{ad}_{\phi(x)}^*(\phi^{-2})^*(\xi), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad (15)$$

is a representation of the Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \phi)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  with respect to  $(\phi^{-1})^*$ , which is called the **coadjoint representation**.

Using the coadjoint representation  $\text{ad}^*$ , we can obtain a semidirect product Hom-Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \phi)$  be a Hom-Lie algebra. Then there is a natural Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_s, \phi \oplus (\phi^{-1})^*)$ , where the Hom-Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_s$  is given by

$$[x + \xi, y + \eta]_s = [x, y]_\mathfrak{g} + \text{ad}_x^* \eta - \text{ad}_y^* \xi = [x, y]_\mathfrak{g} + \text{ad}_{\phi(x)}^*(\phi^{-2})^*(\eta) - \text{ad}_{\phi(y)}^*(\phi^{-2})^*(\xi), \quad (16)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

The above construction of the semidirect product Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_s, \phi \oplus (\phi^{-1})^*)$  is a very important step to build a Manin triple from a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra as shown in the next section.

## 4 Purely Hom-Lie bialgebras and Manin triples for Hom-Lie algebras

In this section, we give the notions of a Manin triple for Hom-Lie algebras and a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra, and show that they are equivalent. First we modify the notion of a quadratic Hom-Lie algebra.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{V}}, \phi_{\mathcal{V}})$  be a Hom-Lie algebra. A symmetric bilinear form  $B$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  is called *invariant* if for all  $u, v, w \in \mathcal{V}$ , we have

$$B([u, v]_{\mathcal{V}}, \phi_{\mathcal{V}}(w)) = -B(\phi_{\mathcal{V}}(v), [u, w]_{\mathcal{V}}), \quad (17)$$

$$B(\phi_{\mathcal{V}}(u), \phi_{\mathcal{V}}(v)) = B(u, v). \quad (18)$$

The quadruple  $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{V}}, \phi_{\mathcal{V}}, B)$  is called a **quadratic Hom-Lie algebra**.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  be a basis of a 4-dimensional vector space  $\mathcal{V}$ . Define a skew-symmetric bracket operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{V}}$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2]_{\mathcal{V}} &= e_2, & [e_1, e_3]_{\mathcal{V}} &= e_2, & [e_1, e_4]_{\mathcal{V}} &= -e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4, \\ [e_2, e_3]_{\mathcal{V}} &= 0, & [e_2, e_4]_{\mathcal{V}} &= e_3, & [e_3, e_4]_{\mathcal{V}} &= e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathcal{V}})$  is a Hom-Lie algebra in which  $\phi_{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . More precisely,

$$\phi_{\mathcal{V}}(e_1) = e_1 + e_2, \quad \phi_{\mathcal{V}}(e_2) = e_2, \quad \phi_{\mathcal{V}}(e_3) = e_3, \quad \phi_{\mathcal{V}}(e_4) = -e_3 + e_4.$$

Furthermore, let  $(b_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and define the symmetric bilinear form via  $B(e_i, e_j) = b_{ij}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{V}}, \phi_{\mathcal{V}}, B)$  is a quadratic Hom-Lie algebra.

**Remark 4.3.** Note that the invariant condition (18) is not the same as the one give in [3], where the author used the condition

$$B(\phi_{\mathcal{V}}(u), v) = B(u, \phi_{\mathcal{V}}(v)). \quad (19)$$

Note that the compatibility condition between  $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and the multiplication  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is that  $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is an algebra automorphism. For the algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ , the set of algebra automorphisms is a group, called the automorphism group. Thus, it is natural to require that  $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and the bilinear form  $B$  satisfy a similar compatibility condition. One can show that the set of linear maps that preserve the bilinear form  $B$  in the sense of (18) is a group. Actually it is the orthogonal group associated to the bilinear form  $B$ . However, linear maps that preserve the bilinear form  $B$  in the sense of (19) do not have such properties.

**Definition 4.4.** A **Manin triple for Hom-Lie algebras** is a triple  $(\mathcal{V}; \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$  in which  $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{V}}, \phi_{\mathcal{V}}, B)$  is a quadratic Hom-Lie algebra,  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}', [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}'})$  are isotropic Hom-Lie subalgebras of  $\mathcal{V}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}'$  as vector spaces;

(ii)  $\phi_{\mathcal{V}} = \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \phi_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ .

**Example 4.5.** Let  $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{V}}, \phi_{\mathcal{V}}, B)$  be the quadratic Hom-Lie algebra given in Example 4.2. Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be the Hom-Lie subalgebra given by

$$\mathfrak{g} = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}, \quad [e_1, e_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} = e_2, \quad \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}', [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}'})$  be the Hom-Lie subalgebra given by

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \text{span}\{e_3, e_4\}, \quad [e_3, e_4]_{\mathfrak{g}'} = e_3, \quad \phi_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is straightforward to see that  $(\mathcal{V}; \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$  is a Manin triple for Hom-Lie algebras.

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, (\phi^{-1})^*)$  be two Hom-Lie algebras.  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is called a **purely Hom-Lie bialgebra** if the following compatibility condition holds:

$$\Delta([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \text{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)}\Delta(y) - \text{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)}\Delta(x), \quad (20)$$

where  $\Delta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  is the dual of the Hom-Lie algebra structure  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , i.e.

$$\langle \Delta(x), \xi \wedge \eta \rangle = \langle x, [\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \rangle.$$

**Example 4.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'$  be the two Hom-Lie algebras given in Example 4.5. We view  $\mathfrak{g}'$  as the dual space of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and view  $\{e_3, e_4\}$  the dual basis of  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$  is a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra.

**Remark 4.8.** We give some explanations of the compatibility condition (20). Due to the fact that  $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}$  is a graded Hom-Lie algebra, (20) means that  $\Delta$  is a  $(\phi^{-1}, \phi^{-1})$ -derivation. On the other hand, using the cohomology point of view, (20) also means that  $\Delta$  is a 1-cocycle on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the coefficients in  $(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}^{-2})$ .

**Remark 4.9.** Our definition of a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra is different from the Hom-Lie bialgebras given in [24] and [18] respectively. In [24], a Hom-Lie bialgebra is a quadruple  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \Delta, \phi)$ , where  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  is a Hom-Lie algebra and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \Delta, \phi)$  is a Hom-Lie coalgebra<sup>1</sup> such that the following compatibility condition is satisfied:

$$\Delta([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \text{ad}_{\phi(x)}\Delta(y) - \text{ad}_{\phi(y)}\Delta(x), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (21)$$

Note that even though one can also explain the above compatibility condition as a derivation, or a 1-cocycle, there is not a natural Hom-Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$ . To solve this problem, the authors introduced another kind of Hom-Lie bialgebras based on admissible Hom-Lie algebras in [18]. However, the condition for an admissible Hom-Lie algebra is quite strong, which makes such kind of Hom-Lie bialgebras too restrictive. It is obvious that the compatibility conditions (20) and (21) are not the same. The other difference, which is more intrinsic, is that the algebra homomorphism on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is  $(\phi^{-1})^*$  in a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra and the algebra homomorphism on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is  $\phi^*$  in Hom-Lie bialgebras given in [24] and [18]. By Remark 3.5, we believe that using  $(\phi^{-1})^*$  as the algebra homomorphism on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is more natural.

<sup>1</sup> $(\mathfrak{g}, \Delta, \phi)$  is a Hom-Lie coalgebra if and only if  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \Delta^*, \phi^*)$  is a Hom-Lie algebra.

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, (\phi^{-1})^*)$  be two Hom-Lie algebras. Then the condition (20) is equivalent to*

$$\Upsilon([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) = \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\xi)} \Upsilon(\eta) - \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\eta)} \Upsilon(\xi), \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad (22)$$

where  $\mathfrak{ad}_{\xi} \eta = [\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$  and  $\Upsilon : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$  is the dual of the Hom-Lie algebra structure  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}} : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.

$$\langle \Upsilon(\xi), x \wedge y \rangle = \langle \xi, [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle.$$

*Proof.* First for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \Xi = \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_k$ , we have

$$(\phi^{-1})^* \mathfrak{ad}_x^* \Xi = \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi(x)}^* (\phi^{-1})^* (\Xi), \quad (23)$$

$$\mathfrak{ad}_x^* (\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \phi^*(\xi_i) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathfrak{ad}_x^* \xi_i \wedge \dots \wedge \phi^*(\xi_k). \quad (24)$$

Then for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , by  $\langle \mathfrak{ad}_{\xi}^* x, \eta \rangle = -\langle x, \mathfrak{ad}_{\xi} \eta \rangle$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle -\Delta([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)} \Delta(y) - \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)} \Delta(x), \xi \wedge \eta \rangle \\ &= -\langle [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \rangle - \langle \Delta(y), \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)}^* (\xi \wedge \eta) \rangle + \langle \Delta(x), \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)}^* (\xi \wedge \eta) \rangle \\ &= -\langle x \wedge y, \Upsilon([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) \rangle - \langle \Delta(y), \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)}^* \xi \wedge \phi^*(\eta) + \phi^*(\xi) \wedge \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)}^* \eta \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \Delta(x), \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)}^* \xi \wedge \phi^*(\eta) + \phi^*(\xi) \wedge \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)}^* \eta \rangle \\ &= -\langle x \wedge y, \Upsilon([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) \rangle + \langle y, \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\eta)} \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)}^* \xi \rangle - \langle y, \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\xi)} \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)}^* \eta \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle x, \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\eta)} \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)}^* \xi \rangle + \langle x, \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\xi)} \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)}^* \eta \rangle \\ &= -\langle x \wedge y, \Upsilon([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) \rangle + \langle \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)} \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\eta)} y, \xi \rangle - \langle \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)} \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\xi)} y, \eta \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)} \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\eta)} x, \xi \rangle + \langle \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)} \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\xi)} x, \eta \rangle \\ &= -\langle x \wedge y, \Upsilon([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) \rangle + \langle \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\eta)} (x \wedge y), \Upsilon(\xi) \rangle - \langle \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\xi)} (x \wedge y), \Upsilon(\eta) \rangle \\ &= \langle x \wedge y, -\Upsilon([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) - \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\eta)} \Upsilon(\xi) + \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^*(\xi)} \Upsilon(\eta) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (20) and (22) are equivalent.  $\blacksquare$

For two Hom-Lie algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, (\phi^{-1})^*)$ , define a skew-symmetric bilinear operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\boxtimes} : \wedge^2 (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$  and a symmetric bilinear form  $B$  by

$$\begin{aligned} [x + \xi, y + \eta]_{\boxtimes} &= ([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathfrak{ad}_{\xi}^* y - \mathfrak{ad}_{\eta}^* x) + ([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + \mathfrak{ad}_x^* \eta - \mathfrak{ad}_y^* \xi) \\ &= ([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathfrak{ad}_{(\phi^{-1})^*(\xi)}^* \phi^2(y) - \mathfrak{ad}_{(\phi^{-1})^*(\eta)}^* \phi^2(x)) \\ & \quad + ([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi(x)}^* (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta) - \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi(y)}^* (\phi^{-2})^*(\xi)) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and

$$B(x + \xi, y + \eta) = \xi(y) + \eta(x). \quad (26)$$

Note that (25) can be viewed as a generalization of (16).

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  be a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra. Then  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\boxtimes}, \phi \oplus (\phi^{-1})^*, B)$  is a quadratic Hom-Lie algebra, where the Hom-Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\boxtimes}$  and the bilinear form  $B$  are given by (25) and (26) respectively. Furthermore,  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*; \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is a Manin triple for Hom-Lie algebras.*

*Conversely, let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*})$  be two Hom-Lie algebras and  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, B)$  a quadratic Hom-Lie algebra, where the symmetric bilinear form  $B$  is given by (26). If  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*; \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is a Manin triple for Hom-Lie algebras, then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  be a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra. For all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , by (25), we have

$$(\phi \oplus (\phi^{-1})^*)[x + \xi, y + \eta]_{\bowtie} = [(\phi \oplus (\phi^{-1})^*)(x + \xi), (\phi \oplus (\phi^{-1})^*)(y + \eta)]_{\bowtie}. \quad (27)$$

Now we show that  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\bowtie}$  satisfies the Hom-Jacobi identity:

$$[[x + \xi, y + \eta]_{\bowtie}, (\phi \oplus (\phi^{-1})^*)(z + \delta)]_{\bowtie} + c.p. = 0, \quad (28)$$

which is equivalent to the following two equalities

$$[[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, (\phi^{-1})^*(\delta)]_{\bowtie} + [[y, \delta]_{\bowtie}, \phi(x)]_{\bowtie} + [[\delta, x]_{\bowtie}, \phi(y)]_{\bowtie} = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$[[\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \phi(z)]_{\bowtie} + [[\eta, z]_{\bowtie}, (\phi^{-1})^*(\xi)]_{\bowtie} + [[z, \xi]_{\bowtie}, (\phi^{-1})^*(\eta)]_{\bowtie} = 0. \quad (30)$$

By straightforward computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, (\phi^{-1})^*(\delta)]_{\bowtie} + [[y, \delta]_{\bowtie}, \phi(x)]_{\bowtie} + [[\delta, x]_{\bowtie}, \phi(y)]_{\bowtie} \\ = & (-\mathfrak{ad}_{(\phi^{-2})^*(\delta)}^* \phi^2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \mathfrak{ad}_{\mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^2(y)}^*(\phi^{-3})^*(\delta)}^* \phi^3(x) - \mathfrak{ad}_{\mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^2(x)}^*(\phi^{-3})^*(\delta)}^* \phi^3(y) \\ & - [\mathfrak{ad}_{(\phi^{-1})^*(\delta)}^* \phi^2(y), \phi(x)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\mathfrak{ad}_{(\phi^{-1})^*(\delta)}^* \phi^2(x), \phi(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ & + (\mathfrak{ad}_{\phi([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})}^*(\phi^{-3})^*(\delta) - \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^2(x)}^* \circ \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^3(y)}^*(\phi^{-4})^*(\delta) + \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^2(y)}^* \circ \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^3(x)}^*(\phi^{-4})^*(\delta))). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.8,  $\mathfrak{ad}_x^*(\xi) = \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi(x)}^*(\phi^{-2})^*(\xi)$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  with respect to  $(\phi^{-1})^*$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})}^*(\phi^{-3})^*(\delta) - \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^2(x)}^* \circ \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^3(y)}^*(\phi^{-4})^*(\delta) + \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^2(y)}^* \circ \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^3(x)}^*(\phi^{-4})^*(\delta) \\ = & \mathfrak{ad}_{[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}}^*((\phi^{-1})^*\delta) - \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi(x)}^* \mathfrak{ad}_y^* \delta + \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi(y)}^* \mathfrak{ad}_x^* \delta \\ = & 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \gamma, -\mathfrak{ad}_{(\phi^{-2})^*(\delta)}^* \phi^2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \mathfrak{ad}_{\mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^2(y)}^*(\phi^{-3})^*(\delta)}^* \phi^3(x) - \mathfrak{ad}_{\mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^2(x)}^*(\phi^{-3})^*(\delta)}^* \phi^3(y) \\ & - [\mathfrak{ad}_{(\phi^{-1})^*(\delta)}^* \phi^2(y), \phi(x)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\mathfrak{ad}_{(\phi^{-1})^*(\delta)}^* \phi^2(x), \phi(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle \\ = & \langle [(\phi^{-2})^*(\delta), \gamma]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \phi^2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rangle - \langle [\mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^2(y)}^*(\phi^{-3})^*(\delta), \gamma]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \phi^3(x) \rangle + \langle [\mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^2(x)}^*(\phi^{-3})^*(\delta), \gamma]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \phi^3(y) \rangle \\ & + \langle \mathfrak{ad}_{(\phi^{-1})^*(\delta)}^* \circ \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi(x)}^*(\gamma), \phi^2(y) \rangle - \langle \mathfrak{ad}_{(\phi^{-1})^*(\delta)}^* \circ \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi(y)}^*(\gamma), \phi^2(x) \rangle \\ = & \langle \delta \wedge (\phi^2)^*(\gamma), \Delta([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rangle - \langle \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)}^*(\delta \wedge (\phi^2)^*(\gamma)), \Delta(x) \rangle + \langle \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)}^*(\delta \wedge (\phi^2)^*(\gamma)), \Delta(y) \rangle \\ = & \langle \Delta([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(y)} \Delta(x) - \mathfrak{ad}_{\phi^{-1}(x)} \Delta(y), \delta \wedge (\phi^2)^*(\gamma) \rangle \\ = & 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (29) holds. Similarly, by Lemma 4.10, we deduce that (30) holds. Therefore,  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\bowtie}$  satisfies the Hom-Jacobi identity (28).

By (27) and (28),  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\bowtie}, \phi \oplus (\phi^{-1})^*)$  is a Hom-Lie algebra. Furthermore, it is obvious that the bilinear form  $B$  defined by (26) is invariant. Therefore,  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*; \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is Manin triple for Hom-Lie algebras.

Conversely, if  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*; \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is a Manin triple for Hom-Lie algebras, then by (18), we obtain  $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = (\phi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1})^*$ . By (17), we can deduce that

$$[x + \xi, y + \eta]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*} = [x + \xi, y + \eta]_{\bowtie}.$$

Then similarly as the proof of (29), we get the compatibility condition (20). Thus,  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is a purely Hom-Lie bialgebra. ■

## 5 Triangular Hom-Lie bialgebras

For any  $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ , the induced skew-symmetric linear map  $r^\sharp : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is defined by

$$\langle r^\sharp(\xi), \eta \rangle = \langle r, \xi \wedge \eta \rangle. \quad (31)$$

**Definition 5.1.** A purely Hom-Lie bialgebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is said to be **coboundary** if

$$\Delta(x) = (d_{\text{ad}^{-2}r})(x) = [\phi^{-2}(x), r]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \text{for some } r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}. \quad (32)$$

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  be a Hom-Lie algebra and  $\Delta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  defined by (32) for some  $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying

$$r^\sharp \circ (\phi^{-1})^* = \phi \circ r^\sharp. \quad (33)$$

Then for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , we have

$$[\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \text{ad}_{r^\sharp(\xi)}^* \eta - \text{ad}_{r^\sharp(\eta)}^* \xi = \text{ad}_{\phi(r^\sharp(\xi))}^* (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta) - \text{ad}_{\phi(r^\sharp(\eta))}^* (\phi^{-2})^*(\xi), \quad (34)$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$  is defined by  $\langle [\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, x \rangle = \langle \Delta(x), \xi \wedge \eta \rangle$ . Furthermore, we have

$$[r^\sharp \circ \phi^*(\xi), r^\sharp \circ \phi^*(\eta)]_{\mathfrak{g}} - r^\sharp \circ \phi^*([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) = \frac{1}{2}[r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi, \eta). \quad (35)$$

*Proof.* To be simple, assume  $r = r_1 \wedge r_2$ . By (32) and (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, x \rangle &= \langle \xi \wedge \eta, \Delta(x) \rangle = \langle \xi \wedge \eta, [\phi^{-2}(x), r]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi \wedge \eta, [\phi^{-2}(x), r_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge \phi(r_2) + \phi(r_1) \wedge [\phi^{-2}(x), r_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle \\ &= \langle [\phi^{-2}(x), r_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \xi \rangle \langle \phi(r_2), \eta \rangle - \langle [\phi^{-2}(x), r_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \eta \rangle \langle \phi(r_2), \xi \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \phi(r_1), \xi \rangle \langle [\phi^{-2}(x), r_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \eta \rangle - \langle \phi(r_1), \eta \rangle \langle [\phi^{-2}(x), r_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle [\phi^{-2}(x), \langle r_2, \phi^*(\eta) \rangle r_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \xi \rangle - \langle [\phi^{-2}(x), \langle r_2, \phi^*(\xi) \rangle r_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \eta \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle [\phi^{-2}(x), \langle r_1, \phi^*(\xi) \rangle r_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \eta \rangle - \langle [\phi^{-2}(x), \langle r_1, \phi^*(\eta) \rangle r_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \xi \rangle \\ &= -\langle [\phi^{-2}(x), r^\sharp(\phi^*(\eta))]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \xi \rangle + \langle [\phi^{-2}(x), r^\sharp(\phi^*(\xi))]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \eta \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi^{-2}(x), -\text{ad}_{r^\sharp(\phi^*(\eta))}^* \xi + \text{ad}_{r^\sharp(\phi^*(\xi))}^* \eta \rangle \\ &= \langle x, -\text{ad}_{\phi^2(r^\sharp(\phi^*(\eta)))}^* (\phi^{-2})^*(\xi) + \text{ad}_{\phi^2(r^\sharp(\phi^*(\xi)))}^* (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta) \rangle, \\ &= \langle x, \text{ad}_{\phi(r^\sharp(\xi))}^* (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta) - \text{ad}_{\phi(r^\sharp(\eta))}^* (\phi^{-2})^*(\xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle x, \text{ad}_{r^\sharp(\xi)}^* \eta - \text{ad}_{r^\sharp(\eta)}^* \xi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (34) holds.

On the other hand, for all  $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle [\phi(r^\sharp(\xi)), \phi(r^\sharp(\eta))]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \phi(r^\sharp([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*})), \theta \rangle \\
= & \langle [\phi(r^\sharp(\xi)), \phi(r^\sharp(\eta))]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta \rangle + \langle [\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, r^\sharp(\phi^*(\theta)) \rangle \\
= & \langle [\phi(r^\sharp(\xi)), \phi(r^\sharp(\eta))]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta \rangle + \langle \text{ad}_{\phi(r^\sharp(\xi))}^*(\phi^{-2})^*(\eta) - \text{ad}_{\phi(r^\sharp(\eta))}^*(\phi^{-2})^*(\xi), r^\sharp(\phi^*(\theta)) \rangle \\
= & \langle [\phi(r^\sharp(\xi)), \phi(r^\sharp(\eta))]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta \rangle - \langle (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta), [\phi(r^\sharp(\xi)), r^\sharp(\phi^*(\theta))]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle \\
& + \langle (\phi^{-2})^*(\xi), [\phi(r^\sharp(\eta)), r^\sharp(\phi^*(\theta))]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle \\
= & \langle [r^\sharp((\phi^{-1})^*(\xi)), r^\sharp((\phi^{-1})^*(\eta))]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta \rangle - \langle (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta), [r^\sharp((\phi^{-1})^*(\xi)), r^\sharp(\phi^*(\theta))]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle \\
& + \langle (\phi^{-2})^*(\xi), [r^\sharp((\phi^{-1})^*(\eta)), r^\sharp(\phi^*(\theta))]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle \\
= & -\langle r_1, (\phi^{-1})^*(\xi) \rangle \langle [r_2, r_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta \rangle \langle r_2, (\phi^{-1})^*(\eta) \rangle - \langle r_2, (\phi^{-1})^*(\xi) \rangle \langle [r_1, r_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta \rangle \langle r_1, (\phi^{-1})^*(\eta) \rangle \\
& + \langle r_1, (\phi^{-1})^*(\xi) \rangle \langle [r_2, r_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}, (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta) \rangle \langle r_2, \phi^*(\theta) \rangle + \langle r_2, (\phi^{-1})^*(\xi) \rangle \langle [r_1, r_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta) \rangle \langle r_1, \phi^*(\theta) \rangle \\
& - \langle r_1, (\phi^{-1})^*(\eta) \rangle \langle [r_2, r_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}, (\phi^{-2})^*(\xi) \rangle \langle r_2, \phi^*(\theta) \rangle - \langle r_2, (\phi^{-1})^*(\eta) \rangle \langle [r_1, r_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, (\phi^{-2})^*(\xi) \rangle \langle r_1, \phi^*(\theta) \rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{2} [r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}} ((\phi^{-2})^*(\xi), (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta), \theta) \\
= & \langle \frac{1}{2} [r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}} ((\phi^{-2})^*(\xi), (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta)), \theta \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that (35) holds.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  be a Hom-Lie algebra. Then  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, (\phi^{-1})^*)$  is a Hom-Lie algebra, where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$  is defined by (34) for some  $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying (33), if and only if*

$$\text{ad}_x[r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0, \quad (36)$$

Under these conditions,  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$  is a coboundary purely Hom-Lie bialgebra.

*Proof.* By straightforward computation,  $(\phi^{-1})^*$  is an algebra automorphism, i.e.  $(\phi^{-1})^*([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) = [(\phi^{-1})^*(\xi), (\phi^{-1})^*(\eta)]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$  if and only if (33) holds.

By Proposition 5.2, (36) and the fact that  $\text{ad}^*$  is a representation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\phi^{-1})^*(\xi), [\eta, \delta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + c.p. \\
= & \text{ad}_{\phi(r^\sharp(\xi))}^* \left( \text{ad}_{r^\sharp(\eta)}^* \delta - \text{ad}_{r^\sharp(\delta)}^* \eta \right) - \text{ad}_{\phi(r^\sharp([\eta, \delta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}))}^* (\phi^{-1})^*(\xi) + c.p. \\
= & \text{ad}_{\phi(r^\sharp(\xi))}^* (\text{ad}_{r^\sharp(\eta)}^* (\delta)) - \text{ad}_{\phi(r^\sharp(\eta))}^* (\text{ad}_{r^\sharp(\xi)}^* (\delta)) \\
& - \text{ad}_{r^\sharp([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*})}^* (\phi^{-1})^*(\delta) + c.p. \\
= & \text{ad}_{[r^\sharp(\xi), r^\sharp(\eta)]_{\mathfrak{g}} - r^\sharp([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*})}^* (\phi^{-1})^*(\delta).
\end{aligned}$$

For all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle [(\phi^{-1})^*(\xi), [\eta, \delta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + c.p., x \rangle \\
= & -\langle (\phi^{-3})^* \delta, \text{ad}_{[\phi(r^\sharp(\xi)), \phi(r^\sharp(\eta))]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \phi(r^\sharp([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}))} x \rangle + c.p. \\
= & -\langle \text{ad}_x^* (\phi^{-3})^* (\delta), [\phi(r^\sharp(\xi)), \phi(r^\sharp(\eta))]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \phi(r^\sharp([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*})) \rangle + c.p..
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Proposition 5.2 and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle [(\phi^{-1})^*(\xi), [\eta, \delta]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + c.p., x \rangle \\
&= -\langle \text{ad}_x^*(\phi^{-3})^*(\delta), \frac{1}{2}[r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}}((\phi^{-2})^*(\xi), (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta)) \rangle + c.p. \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}[r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}}((\phi^{-2})^*(\xi), (\phi^{-2})^*(\eta), \text{ad}_x^*(\phi^{-3})^*(\delta)) + c.p. \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\langle [r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{ad}_x^*((\phi^{-3})^*(\xi) \wedge (\phi^{-3})^*(\eta) \wedge (\phi^{-3})^*(\delta)) \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\langle \text{ad}_x[r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}}, (\phi^{-3})^*(\xi \wedge \eta \wedge \theta) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that Hom-Jacobi identity is satisfied if and only if  $\text{ad}_x[r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$ , for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . ■

**Example 5.4.** Let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  be a basis of a 3-dimensional vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Define a skew-symmetric bracket operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by

$$[e_1, e_3] = e_2.$$

Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  is a Hom-Lie algebra, where  $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{a} \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $r = e_1 \wedge e_3$  and  $r^{\sharp} =$

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . It is straightforward to see that (33) holds. Furthermore, we have  $[r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}} = -2e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$  and  $\text{ad}_x[r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Thus, conditions in Theorem 5.3 are satisfied and this gives rise to a coboundary purely Hom-Lie bialgebra. More precisely, we have

$$\Delta(e_1) = \frac{1}{a}e_1 \wedge e_2, \quad \Delta(e_2) = 0, \quad \Delta(e_3) = -ae_2 \wedge e_3,$$

and the Hom-Lie algebra on the dual space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is given by

$$[e^1, e^2]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \frac{1}{a}e^1, \quad [e^1, e^3]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = 0, \quad [e^2, e^3]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = -ae^3,$$

where  $\{e^1, e^2, e^3\}$  are the dual basis.

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a regular Hom-Lie algebra and  $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying (33). The equation

$$[r, r]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0. \tag{37}$$

is called the **classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation**. A **triangular purely Hom-Lie bialgebra** is a coboundary purely Hom-Lie bialgebra, in which  $r$  is a solution of the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation.

**Remark 5.6.** Due to the condition (32), the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation defined above is not the same as existing ones.

**Example 5.7.** Let  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  be a basis of a 2-dimensional vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\{e^1, e^2\}$  the dual basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Define a skew-symmetric bracket operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by

$$[e_1, e_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} = e_2.$$

Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  is a Hom-Lie algebra in which  $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . See [5] for more details about the classification of 2-dimensional Hom-Lie algebra. Since  $\wedge^3 \mathfrak{g} = 0$ , any  $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  is a solution of the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation. In particular, let  $r = e_1 \wedge e_2$ . Then the Hom-Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is given by

$$[e^1, e^2]_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = e^1, \quad (\phi^{-1})^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consequently,  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, (\phi^{-1})^*)$  constitute a triangular purely Hom-Lie bialgebras.

**Corollary 5.8.** *If  $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  a solution of the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation (37), then  $r^{\sharp}$  is a homomorphism from the Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, (\phi_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{-1})^*)$  to the Hom-Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ .*

At the end of this section, we construct a solution of the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation using the Hom- $\mathcal{O}$ -operator introduced in [4], which is a generalization of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator introduced by Kupershmidt in [10].

**Definition 5.9.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  be a Hom-Lie algebra and  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  a representation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  on  $V$  with respect to  $\beta \in GL(V)$ . A linear map  $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is called a Hom- $\mathcal{O}$ -operator if  $T$  satisfies*

$$T \circ \beta = \phi \circ T; \tag{38}$$

$$[Tu, Tv]_{\mathfrak{g}} = T(\rho(T(\beta^{-1}(u)))(v) - \rho(T(\beta^{-1}(v)))(u)). \tag{39}$$

By Proposition 5.2, we have the following example of Hom- $\mathcal{O}$ -operators.

**Example 5.10.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  be a Hom-Lie algebra. Then  $r \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$  satisfies the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation (37) and condition (33) if and only if  $r^{\sharp} \circ (\phi^{-1})^*$  is a Hom- $\mathcal{O}$ -operator associated to the coadjoint representation  $\text{ad}^*$ .

**Example 5.11.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  be the 2-dimensional Hom-Lie algebra given in Example 5.7. Let  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\{e^1, e^2\}$  the dual basis. Then  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is an Hom- $\mathcal{O}$ -operator associated to the coadjoint representation  $\text{ad}^*$ .

Next, we consider the semi-direct product Hom-Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho} V^*$ . Any linear map  $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  can be view as an element  $\bar{T} \in \otimes^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V^*)$  via

$$\bar{T}(\xi + u, \eta + v) = \langle T(u), \eta \rangle, \quad \forall \xi + u, \eta + v \in \mathfrak{g}^* \oplus V.$$

Let  $\kappa$  be the exchange operator acting on the tensor space, then  $r := \bar{T} - \kappa(\bar{T})$  is skew-symmetric.

**Theorem 5.12.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  be a Hom-Lie algebra,  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  a representation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$  on  $V$  with respect to  $\beta \in GL(V)$  and  $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  a linear map satisfying  $T \circ \beta = \phi \circ T$ . Then  $r = \bar{T} - \kappa(\bar{T})$  is a solution of the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation in the Hom-Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho} V^*$  if and only if  $T \circ \beta$  is an Hom- $\mathcal{O}$ -operator.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis of  $V$  and  $\{v^1, \dots, v^n\}$  be its dual basis. It is obvious that  $\bar{T}$  can be expressed by  $\bar{T} = v^i \otimes T(v_i)$ . Here the Einstein summation convention is used. Therefore, we can write  $r = v^i \wedge T(v_i)$ . By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[r, r]_{\mathfrak{g} \times_{\rho^*} V^*} &= [v^i \wedge T(v_i), v^j \wedge T(v_j)]_{\mathfrak{g} \times_{\rho^*} V^*} \\
&= (\beta^{-1})^*(v^i) \wedge (\beta^{-1})^*(v^j) \wedge [T(v_i), T(v_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
&\quad + (\beta^{-1})^*(v^i) \wedge [T(v_i), v^j]_{\mathfrak{g} \times_{\rho^*} V^*} \wedge \phi(T(v_j)) \\
&\quad - (\beta^{-1})^*(v^j) \wedge [v^i, T(v_j)]_{\mathfrak{g} \times_{\rho^*} V^*} \wedge \phi(T(v_i)) \\
&= \langle (\beta^{-1})^*(v^i), v_m \rangle v^m \wedge \langle (\beta^{-1})^*(v^j), v_n \rangle v^n \wedge [T(v_i), T(v_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
&\quad + \langle (\beta^{-1})^*(v^i), v_m \rangle v^m \wedge \langle \rho^*(T(v_i))(v^j), v_n \rangle v^n \wedge T(\beta(v_j)) \\
&\quad + \langle (\beta^{-1})^*(v^j), v_n \rangle v^n \wedge \langle \rho^*(T(v_j))(v^i), v_m \rangle v^m \wedge T(\beta(v_i)) \\
&= v^m \wedge v^n \wedge \langle v^i, \beta^{-1}(v_m) \rangle \langle v^j, \beta^{-1}(v_n) \rangle [T(v_i), T(v_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
&\quad - v^m \wedge v^n \wedge \langle v^j, \rho(T(\beta^{-1}(v_i)))(\beta^{-2}(v_n)) \rangle \langle v^i, \beta^{-1}(v_m) \rangle T(\beta(v_j)) \\
&\quad + v^m \wedge v^n \wedge \langle v^i, \rho(T(\beta^{-1}(v_j)))(\beta^{-2}(v_m)) \rangle \langle v^j, \beta^{-1}(v_n) \rangle T(\beta(v_i)) \\
&= v^m \wedge v^n \wedge ([T(\beta^{-1}(v_m)), T(\beta^{-1}(v_n))]_{\mathfrak{g}} - T\beta(\rho(T(\beta^{-2}(v_m))))(\beta^{-2}(v_n))) \\
&\quad + T\beta(\rho(T(\beta^{-2}(v_n))))(\beta^{-2}(v_m))) \\
&= v^m \wedge v^n \wedge ([T\beta(\beta^{-2}(v_m)), T\beta(\beta^{-2}(v_n))]_{\mathfrak{g}} - T\beta(\rho(T\beta(\beta^{-1} \cdot \beta^{-2}(v_m))))(\beta^{-2}(v_n))) \\
&\quad + T\beta(\rho(T\beta(\beta^{-1} \cdot \beta^{-2}(v_n))))(\beta^{-2}(v_m))).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since  $T \circ \beta = \phi \circ T$ , it is obvious that  $T \circ \beta$  satisfies  $(T \circ \beta) \circ \beta = \phi \circ (T \circ \beta)$ . Thus,  $r$  is a solution of the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation in the Hom-Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \times_{\rho^*} V^*$  if and only if  $T \circ \beta$  is a Hom- $\mathcal{O}$ -operator. ■

## References

- [1] F. Ammar, Z. Ejbehi and A. Makhlof, Cohomology and Deformations of Hom-algebras. *J. Lie Theory* 21 (2011), no. 4, 813-836.
- [2] V. G. Bardakov and M. Singh, Extensions and automorphisms of Lie algebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* 16 (2017), 1750162, 15 pp.
- [3] S. Benayadi and A. Makhlof, Hom-Lie Algebras with Symmetric Invariant NonDegenerate Bilinear Forms. *J. Geom. Phys.* 76 (2014), 38-60.
- [4] L. Cai and Y. Sheng, Hom-big brackets: theory and applications. *SIGMA*, 12 (2016), 014, 18 pages.
- [5] J. M. Casas, M. A. Insua and N. Pacheco, On universal central extensions of Hom-Lie algebras. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 44 (2015), no. 2, 277-288.
- [6] V. Drinfeld, Hamiltonian structure on the Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric sense of the classical Yang-Baxter equations. *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 27 (1983), 68-71.
- [7] O. Elchinger, K. Lundengard, A. Makhlof and S. Silvestrov, Brackets with  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations and  $(p, q)$ -deformations of Witt and Virasoro algebras. *Forum Math.* 28 (2016), no. 4, 657673.

- [8] J. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Deformations of Lie algebras using  $\sigma$ -derivations. *J. Algebra* 295 (2006), 314-361.
- [9] Q. Jin and X. Li, Hom-Lie algebra structures on semi-simple Lie algebras. *J. Algebra* 319 (2008), no. 4, 1398-1408.
- [10] B. A. Kupershmidt, What a classical  $r$ -matrix really is. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **6** (1999), 448-488.
- [11] C. Laurent-Gengoux and J. Teles, Hom-Lie Algebroids. *J. Geom. Phys.* 68 (2013), 69-75.
- [12] D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities. *J. Algebra* 288 (2005), 321-344.
- [13] D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Quasi-Lie algebras. *Contemp. Math.* 391 (2005), 241-248.
- [14] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, Notes on Formal Deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie Algebras. *Forum Math.*, 22 (2010), no. 4, 715-739.
- [15] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, Hom-algebra structures. *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* Vol. 2 (2008), No. 2, 51-64.
- [16] A. Makhlouf and F. Panaite, Hom-L-R-smash products, Hom-diagonal crossed products and the Drinfeld double of a Hom-Hopf algebra. *J. Algebra* 441 (2015), 314-343.
- [17] Y. Sheng, Representations of Hom-Lie algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 15 (2012), no. 6, 1081-1098.
- [18] Y. Sheng and C. Bai, A new approach to hom-Lie bialgebras. *J. Algebra* 399 (2014), 232-250.
- [19] Y. Sheng and Z. Xiong, On hom-Lie algebras. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* 63 (2015), No. 12, 2379-2395.
- [20] W. Xie, Q. Jin and W. Liu, Hom-structures on semi-simple Lie algebras. *Open Math.* 13 (2015), 617-630.
- [21] D. Yau, Hom-algebras and homology. *J. Lie Theory* 19 (2009), 409-421.
- [22] D. Yau, The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras. *J. Phys. A* 42 (2009), no. 16, 165202, 12 pp.
- [23] D. Yau, Hom-quantum groups: I. Quasi-triangular Hom-bialgebras. *J. Phys. A* 45 (2012), no. 6, 065203, 23 pp.
- [24] D. Yau, The classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation and Hom-Lie bialgebras. *Int. Electron. J. Algebra* 17 (2015), 11-45.