

Competition between Alkalide Characteristics and Nonlinear Optical Properties
in OLi_3-M-Li_3O ($M = Li, Na, \text{ and } K$) Complexes

Ambrish Kumar Srivastava and Neeraj Misra*

Department of Physics, University of Lucknow, Lucknow - 226007, Uttar Pradesh, India

*Corresponding author, E-mail: neerajmisra11@gmail.com

Abstract

Alkalides possess enhanced nonlinear optical (NLO) responses due to localization of excess electrons on alkali metals. We have proposed a new class of alkalides by sandwiching alkali atoms (M) between two Li_3O superalkali clusters at MP2/6-311++G(d,p) level. We notice a competition between alkalide characteristics and NLO properties in $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ (M = Li, Na and K) isomers. For instance, the atomic charge on M (q_M) in D_{2h} structure is $-0.58e$ for M = Li and its first static mean hyperpolarizability (β_0) is 1 a.u., but in C_{2v} structure, $q_M = -0.12e$ and $\beta_0 = 3.4 \times 10^3$ a.u. More interestingly, the β_0 value for M = K (C_{2v}) increases to 1.9×10^4 a.u. in which $q_M = 0.24e$. These findings may provide new insights into the design of alkalides, an unusual class of salts and consequently, lead to further researches in this direction.

Keywords: Superalkali, Structures, Alkalides, NLO property, *Ab initio* calculations.

1. Introduction

Alkali metals generally possess +1 oxidation state and form ionic salts such as Li^+F^- , Na^+Cl^- etc. with appropriate anions. During 1970s, Dye et al. [1] discovered an unusual salt $[\text{Na}(\text{2,2,2-crypt})]^+\text{Na}^-$, which contains Na^- isolated with Na^+ by cryptand ligand. This created the first example of an alkalide, the salts in which the anionic sites are occupied by alkali metals, i.e., Li^- , Na^- , K^- , Rb^- etc. In subsequent studies, they reported a series of alkalides [2-5]. However, the high reactivity of alkalide anion results in the reductive cleavage of covalent bonds (such as C-O bond in a cryptand), destabilizing most of the alkalides even at the room temperature. Consequently, the room temperature stable alkalides have been designed using amines instead of ether linkages in cryptand such as $\text{K}^+(\text{aza222})\text{M}^-$ ($\text{M} = \text{Na}, \text{K}$) [6]. This unusual -1 oxidation state of the alkali metals provides alkalides powerful reducing properties and unique electro-optical properties such as enhanced nonlinear optical (NLO) behaviour. Chen et al. [7] have designed a series of alkalides $\text{Li}^+(\text{calix}[4]\text{pyrrole})\text{M}^-$ ($\text{M} = \text{Li}, \text{Na}, \text{K}$) and discussed their NLO properties. They proposed that the NLO behaviour of these alkalides increases with the increase in the atomic number of alkali anion (M^-). The enhanced NLO properties of AdzH^+Na^- have been accredited to its small transition energy and high oscillator strength [8]. Some inorganic alkalide have also been reported such as “inverse sodium hydride” (H^+Na^-) [9] and $\text{Li}^+(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{M}^-$ ($\text{M} = \text{alkali atoms}$) [10].

The species with lower ionization potential than alkalis, so called as superalkalis [11] have been widely studied [12-16] due to their possible applications in the design of novel compounds [17-26] with unique and interesting properties. For instance, they can form unusual compounds with aromaticity [19, 20], enhanced electro-optical properties [21-23, 26] and increased gas phase basicity [25, 26]. Sun et al. [27] have employed superalkali clusters to design novel alkalides with larger NLO properties. They replaced Li^+ cations in $\text{Li}^+(\text{calix}[4]\text{pyrrole})\text{M}^-$ alkalides by some superalkali cations such as Li_3^+ , M_3O^+ ($\text{M} = \text{Li}, \text{Na}$,

and K). Subsequently, they doped Li_3 superalkali in NH_3 [28] and noticed the alkalide characteristics of $\text{Li}_3(\text{NH}_3)_n\text{Na}$ ($n = 1-4$) with considerably large hyperpolarizabilities. There are two important points noticeable in the design of these alkalides. First, they need a stabilizing ligand and second, their NLO properties are closely associated with the alkalide characteristics. Recently, we have suggested a simple design of alkalides by sandwiching alkali atoms between two Li_2F superalkali clusters [29]. These alkalides are stabilized by charge transfer from Li_2F superalkalis to alkali atoms, without use of any ligand. In this study, we propose a new series of alkalides using two Li_3O superalkalis. Li_3O superalkali has been observed experimentally by Jensen [30, 31] and already been used to design a number of compounds [21, 25, 27]. The novel alkalides, $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ ($\text{M} = \text{Li, Na, and K}$) reported here, do not require any ligand. Furthermore, we will show that there is an interesting contest between alkalide and NLO characteristics such that the former becomes significant by the suppression of the later and vice versa.

2. Computational details

All calculations on $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ ($\text{M} = \text{Li, Na, and K}$) complexes were performed using *ab initio* second order Møller-Plesset (MP2) perturbation theory [32] with 6-311++G(d,p) basis set as implemented in GAUSSIAN 09 program [33] with the aid of GaussView 5.0 software [34]. This scheme has recently been used to study a variety of superalkali compounds [25, 26]. The calculated vibrational harmonic frequencies were found to be positive which ensure that the optimized structures correspond to true minima. The natural bonding orbital (NBO) scheme [35] has been employed to calculate atomic charges on M atoms. The NLO properties were studied by calculating the mean polarizability (α_0) and first order static mean hyperpolarizability (β_0) using the finite-field approach [36] by numerical differentiation with an electric field magnitude of 0.001 a.u.

$$\alpha_o = \frac{1}{3}(\alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy} + \alpha_{zz})$$

$$\beta_o = (\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2)^{1/2}; \beta_i = \frac{3}{5}(\beta_{iii} + \beta_{ijj} + \beta_{ikk}); (i, j, k = x, y, z)$$

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structures and bonding

In order to find the equilibrium structures, we have placed M atoms between two Li₃O superalkali clusters along the axis passing through centre of Li₃O as well as perpendicular to this axis. The geometry optimization leads to two planar structures of OLi₃–M–Li₃O complexes, *D*_{2h} and *C*_{2v} for M = Li and Na as shown in Fig. 1. In *D*_{2h} isomer, M atom is collinear with central O atoms of Li₃O but inclined at about 45° in *C*_{2v} structure. Table 1 lists various parameters associated with these structures. The *D*_{2h} structure is 0.34 eV and 0.32 eV higher in energy than *C*_{2v} for M = Li and Na, respectively. As mentioned earlier, these structures are planar in which both Li₃O superalkalis and M atoms lie in the same plane. This is in contrast to FLi₂–M–Li₂F in which Li₂F superalkalis are perpendicular to each other [29]. For M = K, we do not find *D*_{2h} structure of OLi₃–M–Li₃O complex but only *C*_{2v} structure. The bond-lengths of OLi₃–M–Li₃O complexes are also listed in Table 1. One can see that the distance between Li and M atoms increases with the increase in the atomic number of M. For instance, Li–M bond-lengths are 3.23 and 3.37 Å (M = Li) which increase to 3.83 and 4.15 Å (M = K), respectively for *C*_{2v} structure of OLi₃–M–Li₃O. On the contrary, Li–O bond lengths of Li₃O in OLi₃–M–Li₃O complexes are approximately same irrespective of M.

In order to explore the chemical bonding in OLi₃–M–Li₃O complexes, we have performed quantum theory of atoms in molecule (QTAIM) [37, 38] analyses. The molecular graphs of OLi₃–M–Li₃O calculated by QTAIM are displayed in Fig. 2 for M = Na. One can note that M interacts to Li₃O superalkalis *via* O atoms in *D*_{2h} structure whereas *via* Li atoms

in C_{2v} structure. These interactions can be classified by some topological parameters at the bond critical point (BCP) such as electron density (ρ) and its Laplacian ($\nabla^2\rho$). According to QTAIM, $\nabla^2\rho < 0$ for covalent bonding and ionic bonding is characterized by $\nabla^2\rho > 0$. For instance, ρ and $\nabla^2\rho$ values for Na–O bonds in $OLi_3\text{--Na--Li}_3O$ (D_{2h}) are 0.05027 a.u. and 0.41912 a.u., respectively, which suggest that the interactions between Na atom and OLi_3 moieties are essentially ionic. This bond is, however, weaker than corresponding Li–O bonds in $OLi_3\text{--Li--Li}_3O$ (D_{2h}) as the bond lengths of M–O (M = Na) 4.51 Å are larger than 4.34 Å (M = Li). In $OLi_3\text{--Na--Li}_3O$ (C_{2v}), ρ and $\nabla^2\rho$ values are 0.00600 a.u. and -0.00002 a.u. for Na–Li, and 0.00771 a.u. and -0.00238 a.u. for Li–Li, respectively. The Li–Li bond length (3.34–3.35 Å) is not affected by the atomic number of M. Therefore, C_{2v} structure is stabilized by not only the covalent interactions between M and Li_3O superalkalis but also between Li_3O superalkalis. This additional interaction provides significant stabilization in C_{2v} structure of $OLi_3\text{--M--Li}_3O$ complexes, which is evident from their relative energies with respect to D_{2h} structure (see Table 1).

3.2. Alkalide characteristics

The NBO charges on M atoms, q_M are listed in Table 2. One can see that all q_M values are negative except for M = K. Therefore, $OLi_3\text{--M--Li}_3O$ complexes are stabilized by the charge transfer from Li_3O superalkalis to M atoms. The charge transfer decreases with the increase in atomic number of M, i.e., IPs of M atoms. In particular, $OLi_3\text{--M--Li}_3O$ (D_{2h}) structures possess q_M values are $-0.57e$ for Li and $-0.48e$ for Na. These values are significantly large to establish the alkalide characteristics of $OLi_3\text{--M--Li}_3O$ complexes. The alkalide behaviour of $OLi_3\text{--M--Li}_3O$ is less pronounced than those of $FLi_2\text{--M--Li}_2F$ complexes [29] in which NBO charge values on alkali anions are $-0.69e$ (M= Li) and $-0.64e$ (M = Na), but more effective than those of $Li^+(\text{calix}[4]\text{pyrrole})M^-$ [7] possessing q_M values of $-0.33e$ (M = Li)

and $-0.36e$ ($M = \text{Na}$). In order to further explore the alkalide behavior of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes, we have plotted their molecular orbital surfaces in Fig. 3, including singly occupied molecular orbitals (SOMOs) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). One can see that the β -SOMO of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ (D_{2h}) is localized on M atom, i.e., the excess electron cloud is concentrated on M resembling its anionic feature. In order to further reveal the electronic localization in $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$, we have plotted electron localization function (ELF) in Fig. 4 for $M = \text{Na}$. The ELF of D_{2h} structure also suggests that the excess electron in the $\text{OLi}_3\text{-Na-Li}_3\text{O}$ is located on the Na metal in accordance with the SOMO surface (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the ELF values for various regions indicate the existence of ionic interaction between Na and OLi_3 in D_{2h} but covalent interaction between OLi_3 superalkalis in C_{2v} structure, in addition to the ionic interaction as mentioned earlier.

The excess electron on alkali metal in alkalides is loosely bound due to very small electron affinity of alkali metal atoms. Consequently, alkalides possess lower IPs, even smaller than those of alkali metals. In Table 2, we have listed the calculated IPs of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes using Koopmans' theorem, which approximates the IP of a system to the negative energy eigenvalue of its HOMO. The calculated IPs of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ are smaller than those of Li (~ 5 eV) which increase successively with the atomic number of M. This can be expected due to decrease in their alkalide characteristics, i.e., decrease in q_M values. Similarly, the IPs of C_{2v} structures are larger than those of D_{2h} . Thus, the increase in the alkalide nature results in the decrease in their stability and *vice versa*. In order to further explore this fact, we have calculated the difference in the energies of the HOMO and the LUMO, i.e., HOMO-LUMO energy gap (E_{gap}). It has been established that the systems with higher E_{gap} values are electronically more stable and vice versa. The E_{gap} of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes is also listed in Table 2. The E_{gap} value increases with the increase in atomic

number of M suggesting that the stability of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ is enhanced which is in accordance with the increase in their IP values and decrease in alkalide characteristics.

3.3. Nonlinear optical properties

The NLO properties of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes have been explored by calculating mean polarizabilities (α_0) and first order static hyperpolarizabilities (β_0) as listed in Table 3. One can note that the α_0 of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ increases with the increase in the atomic number of M. Furthermore, the α_0 of D_{2h} structures is larger than their lower energy C_{2v} structures, which reach to 1335 a.u. for $\text{OLi}_3\text{-Na-Li}_3\text{O}$. Note that α_0 of $\text{FLi}_2\text{-M-Li}_2\text{F}$ is limited to 1151 a.u. for $M = \text{K}$ [29] and those of $\text{Li}^+(\text{calix}[4]\text{pyrrole})\text{M}^-$ and $\text{Li}^+(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Li}^-$ have been reported to be 398-585 a.u. [7] and 498-879 a.u. [10], respectively. Therefore, mean polarizability of these alkalides are smaller than those of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes. The β_0 values of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes show an interesting trend. It increases with the increase in the atomic number of M which has already been observed in case of $\text{Li}^+(\text{calix}[4]\text{pyrrole})\text{M}^-$ alkalides [7]. More interestingly, D_{2h} structures of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ possess vanishing β_0 values, although they possess significant alkalide characteristics for $M = \text{Li}$ and Na . On the contrary, C_{2v} structures possess significant β_0 values but not alkalide behaviour. For instance, β_0 value of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-K-Li}_3\text{O}$ is as high as 1.9×10^4 a.u., which is comparable to those of $\text{Li}^+(\text{calix}[4]\text{pyrrole})\text{K}^-$ [7] and those of other $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ (C_{2v}) complexes are also in the same order ($\sim 10^3$ a.u.) as of $\text{Li}^+(\text{calix}[4]\text{pyrrole})\text{M}^-$ [7]. Thus, there is a competition between alkalide characteristics and NLO properties of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes. This feature is in contrast to many previous studies [7, 8], which demonstrated that large NLO responses results as a consequence of alkalide characteristics.

In order to explain this phenomenon, we have performed CIS/6-311++G(d, p) calculations on $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes. A qualitative estimation of β_0 may be obtained by following equation [39],

$$\beta_o \propto \frac{(\Delta\mu)f_o}{(\Delta E)^3}$$

where $\Delta\mu$ and ΔE are transition dipole moments and energies for crucial excited states, which is specified by the largest oscillator strengths (f_o). CIS calculated ΔE , f_o and $\Delta\mu$ values for crucial excited states are also listed in Table 3. The crucial excited states correspond to β -SOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 and α -SOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 transition for D_{2h} and C_{2v} structures, respectively. From Fig. 3, it is evident that the β -SOMO and α -SOMO of OLi_3-M-Li_3O is localized on M atom and between M and Li_3O moieties, respectively but LUMO+1 is delocalized over Li atoms of Li_3O moieties. Table 3 also lists the major contribution of electronic transition in crucial excited states. One can note that in C_{2v} structures, ΔE , f_o and $\Delta\mu$ values increase along with the percentage contribution of the crucial transition, which results in the increase in β_o for M = Li and Na. For M = K, both f_o and $\Delta\mu$ further increase but ΔE decreases, which results in the enormous increase in the β_o value. In D_{2h} structures, although f_o and $\Delta\mu$ values are larger than corresponding C_{2v} structures and ΔE values are smaller, β_o vanishes identically due to its symmetry about centre. This can be understood on the basis of the nature of electronic transition in crucial excited states. In C_{2v} structures, α -SOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 transition corresponds to charge transfer from inner to outer Li atoms within Li_3O superalkali moieties, which results in larger β_o value. On the contrary, β -SOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 transition in D_{2h} structures leads to the delocalization of excess electron on M atom over outer Li atoms of Li_3O , which cause to vanish the β_o due to symmetry.

4. Final remarks and conclusions

The structures of novel OLi_3-M-Li_3O complexes have been analyzed by placing alkali metal M (= Li, Na and K) between two Li_3O superalkalis. We have obtained two isomers, D_{2h} and C_{2v} . The D_{2h} isomer, which is higher in energy than C_{2v} , is stabilized by ionic interactions and C_{2v} by covalent interactions. The NBO charges on M atoms in D_{2h} isomer of

$\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes are $-0.58e$ and $-0.47e$ for Li and Na, respectively, which suggest their alkalide characteristics. This is further supported by their lower ionization potentials and molecular orbital surface, showing the localization of excess electron on M atoms. However, their first static mean hyperpolarizability vanishes due to centrosymmetry. On the contrary, the C_{2v} isomers have been shown to possess significant NLO properties, but not alkalide characteristics. Their first static mean hyperpolarizability is of order of $3.4\text{--}3.8\times 10^3$ a.u. for $M = \text{Li, Na}$ which increases abruptly to 1.9×10^4 a.u. CIS calculations have been performed to explain the NLO properties of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes. We have noticed that the isomers of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ with appreciable alkalide nature fail to exhibit NLO responses and vice versa. These findings establish an interesting competition between alkalide behaviour and NLO properties.

Acknowledgement

A. K. Srivastava acknowledges Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India for a research fellowship [Grant No. 09/107(0359)/2012-EMR-I].

References

- [1] F. J. Tehan, B. L. Barnett, J. L. Dye, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 96 (1974) 7203–7208.
- [2] J. L. Dye, J. M. Ceraso, Mei Lok Tak, B. L. Barnett, F. J. Tehan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 96 (1974) 608–609.
- [3] J. L. Dye, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 18 (1979) 587–598.
- [4] J. Kim, A. S. Ichimura, R. H. Huang, M. Redko, R. C. Phillips, J. E. Jackson, J. L. Dye, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 121 (1999) 10666–10667.
- [5] M. Y. Redko, R. H. Huang, J. E. Jackson, J. F. Harrison, J. L. Dye, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 125 (2003) 2259–2263.
- [6] J. L. Dye, *Inorg. Chem.* 36 (1997) 3816.
- [7] W. Chen, Z. -R. Li, D. Wu, Y. Li, C. -C. Sun, F. L. Gu, Y. Aoki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 128 (2006) 1072–1073.
- [8] W. Chen, Z. -R. Li, D. Wu, Y. Li, C. -C. Sun, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 109 (2005) 2920–2924.
- [9] M. Y. Redko, M. Vlassa, J. E. Jackson, A. W. Misiolek, R. H. Huang, J. L. Dye, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 124 (2002) 5928–5929.
- [10] Y. -Q. Jing, Z. -R. Li, D. Wu, Y. Li, B. -Q. Wang, F. L. Gu, Y. Aoki, *ChemPhysChem* 7 (2006) 1759–1763.
- [11] G. L. Gutsev, A. I. Boldyrev, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 92 (1982) 262–266.
- [12] N. Hou, D. Wu, Y. Li, Z. R. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 136 (2014) 2921–2927.
- [13] J. Tong, Y. Li, D. Wu, Z.-J. Wu, *Inorg. Chem.* 51 (2012) 6081–6088.
- [14] J. Tong, Y. Li, D. Wu, Z.-R. Li, X. R. Huang, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 115 (2011) 2041–2046.
- [15] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, *J. Mol. Model.* 21 (2015) 305.
- [16] J. Y. Liu, D. Wu, W. M. Sun, Y. Li, Z. R. Li, *Dalton Trans.* 43 (2014) 18066–18073.
- [17] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, *New J. Chem.* 38 (2014) 2890–2893.
- [18] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, *RSC Adv.* 4 (2014) 41260–41265.
- [19] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, *Mol. Phys.* 112 (2014) 2621–2626.

- [20] F. F. Wang, Z. R. Li, D. Wu, X. Y. Sun, W. Chen, Y. Li, C. C. Sun, *ChemPhysChem* 7 (2006) 1136-1141.
- [21] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, *J. Mol. Model.* 21 (2015) 147.
- [22] Y. Li, D. Wu, Z. R. Li, *Inorg. Chem.* 47 (2008) 9773-9778.
- [23] H. Yang, Y. Li, D. Wu, Z. R. Li, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 112 (2012) 770-778.
- [24] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, *Mol. Sim.* 41 (2015) 1278-1282.
- [25] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, *New J. Chem.* 39 (2015) 6787-6790.
- [26] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, *RSC Adv.* 5 (2015) 74206-74211.
- [27] W. M. Sun, L. T. Fan, Y. Li, J. Y. Liu, D. Wu, Z. R. Li, *Inorg. Chem.* 53 (2014) 6170–6178.
- [28] W. M. Sun, D. Wu, Y. Li, Z. R. Li, *Dalton Trans.* 43 (2014) 486–494.
- [29] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 639 (2015) 307-309.
- [30] J. Jensen, *Angew. Chem.* 88 (1976) 412.
- [31] J. Jensen, *Angew. Chem.* 89 (1977) 5567.
- [32] C. Møller, M. S. Plesset, *Phys. Rev.* 46 (1934) 618–622.
- [33] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, *et al.* Gaussian 09, Revision C02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT (2010).
- [34] R. Dennington, T. Keith, J. G. V. Millam, GaussView, version 5, Semichem Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS (2009).
- [35] A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock, F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.* 83 (1985) 735–746.
- [36] H. D. Cohen, C. C. J. Roothaan, *J. Chem. Phys.* 43 (1965) S34-S39.
- [37] R. F. W. Bader, *Atoms in Molecules: A quantum theory*, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1994).
- [38] T. A. Keith, T K Gristmill Software, AIMAll (Version 12.09.23), Overland Park KS, USA (2012).
- [39] J. L. Oudar, *J. Chem. Phys.* 67 (1977) 446-457.

Table 1. Relative energy (ΔE) and bond-distances of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes at MP2/6-311++G(d,p) level.

Complex	Sym	ΔE (eV)	Li-M (Å)	O-M/Li-Li (Å)	Li-O (Å)
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-Li-Li}_3\text{O}$	D_{2h}	0.34	3.35	4.34	1.67, 1.75
	C_{2v}	0.00	3.23, 3.37	3.34	1.67, 1.75
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-Na-Li}_3\text{O}$	D_{2h}	0.32	3.57	4.51	1.68, 1.74
	C_{2v}	0.00	3.49, 3.65	3.35	1.67, 1.75
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-K-Li}_3\text{O}$	C_{2v}		3.83, 4.15	3.33	1.67, 1.74

Table 2. NBO charges (q_M), ionization potential (IP) and HOMO-LUMO gap (E_{gap}) of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes at MP2/6-311++G(d,p) level.

Complex	Sym	q_M (e)	IP (eV)	E_{gap} (eV)
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-Li-Li}_3\text{O}$	D_{2h}	-0.58	2.88	2.75
	C_{2v}	-0.12	3.96	3.82
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-Na-Li}_3\text{O}$	D_{2h}	-0.47	3.07	2.92
	C_{2v}	-0.01	3.65	3.49
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-K-Li}_3\text{O}$	C_{2v}	0.24	3.63	3.47

Table 3. NLO parameters of $\text{OLi}_3\text{-M-Li}_3\text{O}$ complexes obtained at MP2/6-311++G(d,p) level. CIS/6-311++G(d,p) results for crucial excited states are also listed.

Complex	Sym	α_0	β_0	$\Delta\mu$	f_0	ΔE	Crucial transition*
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-Li-Li}_3\text{O}$	D_{2h}	412.9	1	9.61	0.20	0.84	$\beta\text{-S}\rightarrow\text{L}+1$ (52%)
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-Li-Li}_3\text{O}$	C_{2v}	286.0	3436	5.34	0.22	1.65	$\alpha\text{-S}\rightarrow\text{L}+1$ (11%)
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-Na-Li}_3\text{O}$	D_{2h}	1335.5	0	14.76	0.30	0.82	$\beta\text{-S}\rightarrow\text{L}+1$ (55%)
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-Na-Li}_3\text{O}$	C_{2v}	523.0	3853	7.64	0.34	1.82	$\alpha\text{-S}\rightarrow\text{L}+1$ (31%)
$\text{OLi}_3\text{-K-Li}_3\text{O}$	C_{2v}	601.3	19406	9.29	0.41	1.80	$\alpha\text{-S}\rightarrow\text{L}+1$ (17%)

*S = SOMO, L = LUMO




Fig. 1. Equilibrium structures of OLi_3-M-Li_3O ($M = Li, Na$ and K) complexes at MP2/6-311++G(d,p) level.




Fig. 2. Molecular graph of $OLi_3-Na-Li_3O$ complexes calculated by QTAIM method.




Fig. 3. Selected molecular orbital surfaces of OLi_3-M-Li_3O ($M = Li, Na$ and K) complexes.




Fig. 4. The ELF map of $OLi_3-Na-Li_3O$ complexes.