arXiv:1604.01669v1 [hep-th] 6 Apr 2016

MSSM-like from $SU_5 \times D_4$ **Models**

R.Ahl Laamara^{1,2}, M. Miskaoui^{1,2}, E.H Saidi^{2,3*}

1. LPHE-Modeling and Simulations, Faculty Of Sciences, Mohamed V University, Rabat, Morocco

2. Centre of Physics and Mathematics, CPM- Morocco

3. International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Miramare, Trieste, Italy

November 27, 2024

Abstract

Using finite discrete group characters and symmetry breaking by hyperflux as well as constraints on top- quark family, we study minimal low energy effective theory following from $SU_5 \times D_4$ models embedded in F-theory with non abelian flux. Matter curves spectrum of the models is obtained from $SU_5 \times S_5$ theory with monodromy S_5 by performing two breakings; first from symmetric group S_5 to S_4 subsymmetry; and next to dihedral D_4 subgroup. As a consequence, and depending on the ways of decomposing triplets of S_4 , we end with three types of D_4 - models. Explicit constructions of these theories are given and a MSSM- like spectrum is derived.

Key words: F-GUT models with discrete symmetries, Characters of discrete groups, $SU_5 \times D_4$ models; MSSM like.

1 Introduction

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in building $SU_5 \times \Gamma$ GUT models, with discrete symmetries Γ , embedded in Calabi-Yau compactification of F-theory down to 4d space time [1]-[11]; and in looking for low energy minimal prototypes with broken monodromies [12]-[19]. This class of supersymmetric GUTs with discrete groups lead to quasi-realistic field spectrum having quark and lepton mass matrices with properties fitting with MSSM requirements. In the geometric engineering of these F-GUTs, splitting spectral cover method together with Galois theory tools are used to generate appropriate matter curves spectrum [20]-[25]; and a geometric Z₂ parity has been also introduced to

^{*}e-mail: h-saidi@fsr.ac.ma

suppress unwanted effects such as exotic couplings and undesired proton decay operators [26, 27, 28, 29].

In this paper, we develop another manner to deal with monodromy of F-GUT that is different from the one proposed first in [18], and further explored in [27, 30, 31], where matter curves of the same orbit of monodromy are identified. In our approach, we use the non abelian flux conjecture of [15, 16] to think of monodromy group of F- theory SU_5 models as a non abelian flavor symmetry Γ . Non trivial irreducible representations of the non abelian discrete group Γ are used to host the three generations of fundamental matter; a feature that opens a window to build semi-realistic models with matter curves distinguished from each other in accord with mass hierarchy and mixing neutrino physics [32, 33, 34].

In this work, we study the family of supersymmetric $SU_5 \times \Gamma_p \times U(1)^{5-p}$ models in the framework of F-theory GUT; with non abelian monodromies Γ_p contained in the permutation group S_5 [30]-[42]; and analyse the realisation of low energy constraints under which one can generate an effective field spectrum that resembles to MSSM. A list of main constraints leading to a good low energy spectrum are described in section 5; it requires amongst others a tree- level Yukawa coupling for top-quark family. To realise this condition with non abelian Γ_p , we consider the case where Γ_p is given by the order 8 dihedral group \mathbb{D}_4 ; this particular non abelian discrete symmetry has representations which allow more flexibility in accommodating matter generations. Recall that the non abelian alternating \mathbb{A}_4 group has no irreducible doublet as shown on the character relation $12 = 3^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$; and the irreducible representation of non abelian S_4 and S_3 , which can be respectively read from $24 = 3^2 + 3^2 + 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$ and $6 = 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$, have a doublet and two singlets. The non abelian dihedral group \mathbb{D}_4 however has representations \mathbf{R}_i with dimensions, that can be read from $8 = 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$, seemingly more attractable phenomenologically; it has 5 irreducible \mathbf{R}_i 's; four singlets, indexed by their basis characters as $\mathbf{1}_{++}, \mathbf{1}_{+-}, \mathbf{1}_{-+}, \mathbf{1}_{--}$; and an irreducible doublet $\mathbf{2}_{00}$; offering therefore several pictures to accommodate the three generations of matter of the electroweak theory; in particular more freedom in accommodating top quark family.

To deal with the engineering of $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$ - models, we develop a *new method* based on finite discrete group characters $\chi_{\mathbf{R}_i}$; avoiding as a consequence the complexity of Galois theory approach. The latter is useful to study F- theory models with the dihedral \mathbb{D}_4 and the alternating \mathbb{A}_4 subgroups of \mathbb{S}_4 as they are not directly reached by the standard splitting spectral cover method; they are obtained in Galois theory by putting constraints on the discriminant of underlying spectral covers; and introducing other monodromy invariant of the covers such a resolvent [14, 15, 29].

To derive the \mathbb{D}_4 - matter curves spectrum in $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$ - models, we think of it in terms

of a two steps descent from S_5 - theory; a first descent down to S_4 ; and a second one to \mathbb{D}_4 by turning on appropriate flux that will be explicitly described in this work; see also appendix C. By studying all scenarios of breaking the triplets S_4 - theory in terms of irreducible \mathbb{D}_4 - representations, we end with three kinds of \mathbb{D}_4 - models; one having a field spectrum involving all \mathbb{D}_4 - representations including doublet $\mathbf{2}_{00}$ (model I); the second theory (model II) has no doublet $\mathbf{2}_{00}$ nor the singlet $\mathbf{1}_{--}$; and the third model has no $\mathbf{2}_{00}$; but does have $\mathbf{1}_{--}$. We have studied the curves spectrum of the three \mathbb{D}_4 -models; and we have found that only model III allows a tree level 3-couplings and exhibits phenomenologically interesting features.

The presentation is as follows: In section 2, we study the $SU_5 \times S_5$ model; and describes the picture of the two steps breaking $S_5 \to S_4 \to S_3$ by using standard methods. In section 3, we introduce our method; and we revisit the construction of the S_4 - and S_3 models from the view of discrete group characters. In section 4, we use character group method to build three $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models. In section 5, we solve basic conditions for deriving MSSM- like spectrum from $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models. In section 6, we conclude and make discussions. Last section is devoted to three appendices: In appendix A, we give relations regarding group characters. In appendix B, we report details on other results obtained in this study; and in appendix C we exhibit the link between non abelian monodromies and flavor symmetry.

2 Spectral Covers in $SU_5 \times \Gamma$ models

In F-GUT models with SU_5 gauge symmetry, matter curves carry quantum numbers in $SU_5 \times SU_5^{\perp}$ bi-representations following from the breaking of E_8 as given below

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathbf{248} & \rightarrow & (\mathbf{24}, \mathbf{1}_{\perp}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{24}_{\perp}) \oplus \\
& & (\mathbf{10}, \mathbf{5}_{\perp}) \oplus (\overline{\mathbf{10}}, \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{\perp}) \oplus \\
& & (\overline{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{10}_{\perp}) \oplus (\mathbf{5}, \overline{\mathbf{10}}_{\perp})
\end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

In this SU_5 theory, the perpendicular SU_5^{\perp} is restricted to its Cartan-Weyl subsymmetry $(U_1^{\perp})^4$, see appendix C for some explicit details; and the matter content of the model is labeled by five weights t_i like

$$\mathbf{10}_{t_i}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-t_i}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{t_i+t_j}, \quad \mathbf{5}_{-t_i-t_j}, \quad \mathbf{1}_{t_i-t_j}$$
(2.2)

with traceless condition

$$t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 = 0 (2.3)$$

The components of the five 10-plets $\mathbf{10}_{t_i}$ and those of the ten 5-plets $\mathbf{\overline{5}}_{t_i+t_j}$ are related to each other by monodromy symmetries Γ ; offering a framework of approaching GUT - models with discrete symmetries originating from geometric properties of the elliptic Calabi-Yau fourfold CY4 which, naively, can be thought of as given by the 4- dim complex space

$$CY4 \sim E \times \mathcal{B}_3$$
 (2.4)

In this fibration, the complex 3- dim base \mathcal{B}_3 contains the complex GUT surface \mathcal{S}_{GUT} wrapped by 7-brane; and the complex elliptic curve E fiber is as follows

$$y^{2} = x^{3} + b_{5}xy + b_{4}x^{2}z + b_{3}yz^{2} + b_{2}xz^{3} + b_{0}z^{5}$$
(2.5)

where the homology classes [x], [y], [z] and $[b_k]$; associated with the holomorphic sections x, y, z and b_k , are expressed in terms of the Chern class $c_1 = c_1 (S_{GUT})$ of the tangent bundle of the S_{GUT} surface; and the Chern class -t of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{S_{GUT}|\mathcal{B}_3}$ like

$$\begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix} = 3(c_1 - t) , \quad \begin{bmatrix} z \end{bmatrix} = -t \begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix} = 2(c_1 - t) , \quad \begin{bmatrix} b_k \end{bmatrix} = (6c_1 - t) - kc_1$$
 (2.6)

2.1 Matter curves in $SU_5 \times S_5$ model

Matter curves of $SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-k} \times \Gamma_k$ models live on GUT surface \mathcal{S}_{GUT} with monodromy symmetries Γ_k contained in \mathbb{S}_5 , the Weyl group of SU_5^{\perp} ; see eq(9.8) of appendix C. In the case of $\Gamma_5 = \mathbb{S}_5$; these curves organise into reducible multiplets¹ of \mathbb{S}_5 with the following characteristic properties

matters curves	weights	\mathbb{S}_5 repres	homology classes	holomorphic sections	
10_{t_i}	t_i	5	$\eta - 5c_1$	$b_5 = b_0 \prod_{i=1}^5 t_i$	
$\bar{5}_{t_i+t_j}$	$t_i + t_j$	10	$\eta' - 10c_1$	$d_{10} = d_0 \prod_{j>i=1}^5 T_{ij}$	(2.7)
$1_{t_i-t_j}$	$t_i - t_j$	20	$\eta'' - 20c_1$	$g_{20} = g_0 \prod_{i \neq j=1}^{5} S_{ij}$	

where the t_i 's as above; $T_{ij} = t_i + t_j$ with i < j; and $S_{ij} = t_i - t_j$ with $i \neq j$. These t_i 's, T_{ij} 's; and S_{ij} 's are respectively interpreted as the simple zeros of the spectral covers $C_5 = 0$ describing ten-plets, $C_{10} = 0$ describing five-pelts and $C_{20} = 0$ for flavon singlets

¹An equivalent spectrum can be also given by using irreducible representations of S_5 and their characters; to fix ideas see the analogous S_4 - and S_3 - models studied in section 3.

[45]-[50]

$$C_{5} = b_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{5} (s - t_{i}) \equiv b_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{5} s_{i}$$

$$C_{10} = d_{0} \prod_{j>i=1}^{5} (s - T_{ij}) \equiv d_{0} \prod_{j>i=1}^{5} s_{ij}$$

$$C_{20} = g_{0} \prod_{i\neq j}^{5} (s - S_{ij}) \equiv g_{0} \prod_{i\neq j}^{5} s'_{ij}$$
(2.8)

The homology classes of the complex curves in (2.7) are nicely obtained by defining the spectral covers in terms of the usual holomorphic sections; for the 5-sheeted covering of \mathcal{S}_{GUT} , we have

$$\mathcal{C}_5 = b_0 s^5 + b_1 s^4 + b_2 s^3 + b_3 s^2 + b_4 s + b_5 = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

with $b_1 = 0$ due to traceless condition; and homology classes of the complex holomorphic sections b_k as follows

holomorphic sections	homology classes	
s	$-c_{1}$	(2.10)
b_k	$\eta - kc_1$	

with canonical homology class η given by

$$\eta = 6c_1 - t \tag{2.11}$$

with c_1 and -t as in eqs(2.6). From these relations, the homology class $[10_{t_i}] = [\mathcal{C}_5|_{s=0}]$ is given by $[b_5]$; by using $b_5 = b_0 \prod_{i=1}^5 t_i$, we have $[b_5] = \eta - 5c_1$ in agreement with (2.6). For the 10-sheeted covering, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{10} = \sum_{k=0}^{10} d_k s^{10-k} \tag{2.12}$$

and leads to the homology class $[d_{10}] = \eta' - 10c_1$ where, due to $d_0 = b_0^3$, the class η' can be related to the canonical η of the 5- sheeted cover like 3η . Similar relation can be written down for singlets

$$\mathcal{C}_{20} = \sum_{k=0}^{20} g_k s^{20-k} \tag{2.13}$$

leading to $[g_{20}] = \eta'' - 20c_1$ with the property $\eta'' = 9\eta$.

For later use, we consider together with (2.7) the so called geometric Z_2 parity of [19];

but as approached in [14, 15] in dealing with local models. For simplicity, we use a short way to introduce this parity by requiring, up to an overall phase, invariance of $C_5 = 0$, $C_{10} = 0$, $C_{20} = 0$ under the following transformations along the spectral fiber; see [14, 15, 16] for explicit details,

Under this phase change, the spectral covers eqns transform like

Focussing on 10-plets, and equating above C'_5 with the one deduced from construction of [16] namely $C'_5 = e^{i(\zeta-\phi)}C_5$; we learn that we should have $\beta = \zeta - \phi$; and therefore $b'_k = e^{i[\zeta+(k-6)\phi]}b_k$. For the particular choice $\phi = \pi$, we have $s'_i = -s_i$ and

$$b'_{k} = (-)^{k} e^{i\zeta} b_{k} \tag{2.16}$$

If we put $\zeta = 0$, we get $(b'_0, b'_5) = (+b_0, -b_5)$; while by taking $\zeta = \pi$, we have $(b'_0, b'_5) = (-b_0, +b_5)$; below we set $\zeta = \pi$. To get the parity of the holomorphic sections d_k and g_k of eqs (2.8), we use their relationships with the b_k coefficients. By help of the relations $d_{10} = b_3^2 b_4 - b_2 b_3 b_5 + b_0 b_5^2$ and $g_{20} = 256 b_4^5 b_0^4 + \dots$, it follows that $Z_2(d_{10}) \sim Z_2(b_3^2 b_4)$ and $Z_2(g_{20}) = Z_2(b_4^5 b_0^4)$; so we have [27, 30, 31]

$$Z_2(d_{10}) = -1 \quad , \quad Z_2(g_{20}) = -1 \quad , \quad Z_2(b_5) = +1$$

$$Z_2(d_0) = -1 \quad , \quad Z_2(g_0) = -1 \quad , \quad Z_2(b_0) = -1$$
(2.17)

in agreement with the homology class properties $\eta' = 3\eta$ and $\eta'' = 9\eta$.

2.2 Models with broken S_5

To engineer matter curves with monodromy $\Gamma_k \subset S_5$; we generally use spectral cover splitting method combined with constraints inspired from Galois theory [14, 15, 16, 26, 27]. In this study, we develop a new method without need of the involved tools of Galois group theory; our approach uses characters $\chi_{\mathbf{R}}(g)$ of discrete group representations; and relies directly the roots of the spectral covers. To illustrate the method; but also for later use, we first study the two interesting cases by using the standard method:

- $\Gamma_4 = \mathbb{S}_4 \subset \mathbb{S}_5$,
- $\Gamma_3 = \mathbb{S}_3 \subset \mathbb{S}_5.$

The case $\Gamma_4 = \mathbb{D}_4$ requires more tools; it will be studied later after revisiting \mathbb{S}_4 - and \mathbb{S}_3 models from the view of characters of their representations.

2.2.1 S_4 - model in standard approach

To engineer the breaking of S_5 down to S_4 , we proceed as follows: First, we use S_5 -invariance to rewrite the holomorphic polynomial C_5 like

$$\mathcal{C}_5 = \frac{b_0}{5!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \prod_{i=1}^5 \left(s - t_{\sigma(i)} \right) \tag{2.18}$$

and similarly for C_{10} and C_{20} . To break S_5 down to S_4 , we impose a condition fixing one of the weight [51]; for example

$$\sigma(t_5) = t_5 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \sigma(5) = 5 \tag{2.19}$$

This requirement breaks S_5 down to one of the five possible S_4 subgroups living inside S_5 ; and leads to the following features:

(a) the traceless condition (2.3) of the orthogonal SU_5^{\perp} is solved as $t_5 = -(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4)$; it is manifestly \mathbb{S}_4 - invariant. To deal with this t_5 weight, we shall think about the breaking of \mathbb{S}_5 down to \mathbb{S}_4 in terms of the descent of the symmetry $SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-k} \times \Gamma_k$ from k=5 to k=4 as follows [58, 59]

$$\begin{array}{cccc} SU_5 \times U\left(1\right)^{5-5} \times \mathbb{S}_5 & \to & SU_5 \times U\left(1\right)^{5-4} \times \mathbb{S}_4 \\ & \sim & SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U\left(1\right) \end{array} \tag{2.20}$$

(b) the spectral covers C_5 and C_{10} split as the product of two factors: (α) the spectral cover C_5 factorises like $C_4 \times C_1$ with

$$C_4 = A_0 \prod_{i=1}^4 (s - t_i)$$
, $C_1 = a_0 (s - t_5)$ (2.21)

 and^2

$$b_0 = A_0 \times a_0$$

$$b_5 = A_4 \times a_1$$
(2.22)

together with the transformations following from (2.14-2.15). Notice that the above factorisations put conditions on the field \mathcal{K} where live the holomorphic sections; a feature

² The holomorphic sections A_l and a_m eqs(2.21) are directly derived by expanding the factorised forms of the spectral covers C_4 and C_1 ; we will not give these details here; for example the relevant A_4 and a_1 are given by $A_4 = A_0 \prod_{i=1}^4 t_i$ and $a_1 = -a_0 t_5$.

that is also predicted by Galois theory [28, 29]. As a naive illustration, we use the comparison with arithmetics in the set of integers \mathcal{Z} ; an integer number like 6 can be factorised in \mathcal{Z} as $6 = 2 \times 3$; while a prime integer like 5 has no factorisation.

By using $C'_5 = C'_4 \times C'_1$ and equating $e^{i(\zeta - \phi)} (C_4 \times C_1)$ with $(e^{i\xi}C_4) \times (e^{i\psi}C_1)$; it follows that $\zeta - \phi = \xi + \psi$; and

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
A'_{4} &=& e^{i\xi}A_{4} \\
a'_{1} &=& e^{i(\zeta - \xi - \phi)}a_{1}
\end{array}$$
(2.23)

from which we learn that A_4 and a_1 sections transform differently; and then $Z_2(b_4) = Z_2(A_4) \times Z_2(a_1)$. (β) the C_{10} splits in turns like $\tilde{C}_6 \times \tilde{C}_4$ with

$$C_6 = \tilde{A}_0 \prod_{j>i=1}^4 (s - T_{ij}) \qquad , \qquad C_4 = \tilde{a}_0 \prod_{i=1}^4 (s - T_{i5}) \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$d_0 = \tilde{A}_0 \times \tilde{a}_0$$

$$d_{10} = \tilde{A}_6 \times \tilde{a}_4$$
(2.25)

as well as $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_6 = e^{2i\tilde{\xi}}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_6$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4 = e^{2i\tilde{\psi}}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4$ with $\tilde{\xi} + \tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\zeta} - \phi$.

Under the above splitting, the spectrum (2.7) decomposes in terms of reducible S_4 multiplets as follows

curves	weights	S_4	U_1^{\perp}	homology	sections	Z_2	$U(1)_Y$ flux	
10_{t_i}	t_i	4	0	$\eta - 4c_1 + \chi$	A_4	\varkappa_4	N	
10_{t_5}	t_5	1	1	$-\chi - c_1$	a_1	\varkappa_1	-N	(2.2)
$5_{t_i+t_j}$	$t_i + t_j$	6	0	$\eta' - 6c_1 + \tilde{\chi}$	\tilde{A}_6	$\widetilde{\varkappa}_6$	N	
$5_{t_i+t_5}$	$t_i + t_5$	4	1	$-\tilde{\chi} - 4c_1$	\tilde{a}_4	$\tilde{\varkappa}_4$	-N	

with

$$A_{4} = A_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{4} t_{i} \quad , \qquad \tilde{A}_{6} = \tilde{A}_{0} \prod_{\substack{j>i=1\\4}}^{4} T_{ij}$$

$$a_{1} = a_{0}t_{5} \quad , \qquad \tilde{a}_{4} = \tilde{a}_{0} \prod_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{4} T_{i5}$$

$$(2.27)$$

and where \varkappa_i and $\tilde{\varkappa}_k$ refer to \mathbb{Z}_2 parities; for instance

$$\begin{aligned}
\varkappa_4 &= Z_2(A_4) , \quad \tilde{\varkappa}_6 &= Z_2(\tilde{A}_6) \\
\varkappa_1 &= Z_2(a_1) , \quad \tilde{\varkappa}_4 &= Z_2(\tilde{a}_4) \\
\varkappa_4 \varkappa_1 &= Z_2(b_5) , \quad \tilde{\varkappa}_4 \tilde{\varkappa}_6 &= Z_2(d_{10})
\end{aligned}$$
(2.28)

The last column of eq(2.26) refers to the hyperflux of the $U(1)_Y$ gauge field strength; it breaks SU_5 gauge symmetry down to standard model gauge invariance; and also pierces the matter curves of the model as shown on table.

2.2.2 S_3 - model in standard approach

The breaking of S_5 down to S_3 may be obtained from above S_4 model by further breaking S_4 down to S_3 ; this corresponds to $SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-5} \times S_5 \to SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-3} \times S_3$. This can be realised by fixing one of the four t_i roots; say t_4 ; so that the breaking pattern is given by

$$SU_{5} \times U(1)^{5-5} \times \mathbb{S}_{5} \longrightarrow SU_{5} \times U(1)^{5-3} \times \mathbb{S}_{3} \\ \sim SU_{5} \times \mathbb{S}_{3} \times U(1)^{2}$$
(2.29)

Setting $U(1)^2 = U_1^{\perp} \times U_1^{\perp}$, the previous \mathbb{S}_4 spectrum decomposes into reducible \mathbb{S}_3 multiplets as follows,

curves	S_3	$U_1^{\perp} \times U_1^{\perp}$	homology	section	$U(1)_Y$ flux	
10_{t_i}	3	(0, 0)	$\eta - 3c_1 {-} \chi - \chi'$	A'_3	-N-P	
10_{t_4}	1	(1, 0)	$\chi' - c_1$	A'_1	P	
10_{t_5}	1	(0,1)	$\chi - c_1$	a_1	N	(2.30)
$5_{t_i+t_j}$	3	(0, 0)	$\eta' - 3c_1 - \tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi}'$	$ ilde{A}'_3$	-N-P	(2.50)
$5_{t_i+t_4}$	3	(1, 0)	$\tilde{\chi}' - 3c_1$	\tilde{A}_3''	P	
$5_{t_i+t_5}$	3	(0,1)	$\tilde{\chi}-3c_1{-}\tilde{\chi}'$	\tilde{a}'_3	N - P	
$5_{t_4+t_5}$	1	(1, 1)	$\tilde{\chi}' - c_1$	\tilde{a}_1''	P	

with

$$b_5 = (A'_3 A'_1) \times a_1 \quad , \quad d_{10} = \left(\tilde{A}'_3 \tilde{A}''_3\right) \times (\tilde{a}'_3 \tilde{a}''_1)$$
 (2.31)

where A'_3 , A'_1 , a_1 and \tilde{A}'_3 , \tilde{A}''_3 , \tilde{a}'_3 , \tilde{a}''_1 are given by relations of form as in (2.27). An extra column for Z_2 - parity can be also added as in (2.26) with the property

$$Z_{2}(b_{5}) = Z_{2}(A'_{3}) \times Z_{2}(A'_{1}) \times Z_{2}(a_{1})$$

$$Z_{2}(d_{10}) = Z_{2}(\tilde{A}'_{3}) \times Z_{2}(\tilde{A}''_{3}) \times Z_{2}(\tilde{a}'_{3}) \times Z_{2}(\tilde{a}''_{1})$$
(2.32)

Observe also that here we have two new homology class cycles χ and χ' with

$$\int_{\chi} \mathcal{F}_X = N \qquad , \qquad \int_{\chi'} \mathcal{F}_X = P \tag{2.33}$$

The non zero P is responsible for the second splitting; this is because the breaking of \mathbb{S}_5 down to \mathbb{S}_3 has been undertaken into two stages: first $\mathbb{S}_5 \to \mathbb{S}_4$; and second $\mathbb{S}_4 \to \mathbb{S}_3$. In what follows we extend this idea to the breaking pattern of \mathbb{S}_5 down to \mathbb{D}_4 .

3 Revisiting S_4 and S_3 - models

In this section, we develop tools towards the study of the breaking of S_5 monodromy down to its \mathbb{D}_4 sub-symmetry. To our knowledge these tools, have not been used before;

even for S_n permutation groups; so we begin by revisiting the S_4 - and S_3 - models from the view of characters of their irreducible representations; and turn in next section to develop the \mathbb{D}_4 theory.

3.1 $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model

In the canonical t_i -weight basis, the matter spectrum of \mathbb{S}_4 - model is given by (2.26); there matter curves are organised into *reducible* multiplets of $\mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$. Below, we give another manner to approach the spectrum of \mathbb{S}_4 - model.

By help of the standard relation $24 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + 3^2$ showing that \mathbb{S}_4 has 5 *irreducible* representations \mathbf{R}_i and 5 *conjugacy* classes \mathfrak{C}_i [39, 40, 41, 42]; and by using properties of the irreducible \mathbf{R}_i representations of \mathbb{S}_4 given in appendix; eq(2.26) may be expressed in terms of the \mathbf{R}_i 's and their $\chi_R^{(a,b,c)}$ characters as follows

curves	weights	Irrep S_4	$\chi^{(a,b,c)}_R$	U_1^{\perp}	homology	$U(1)_Y$ flux	
$10_{x_{i}}$	x_i	3	(1, 0, -1)	0	$\eta - 3c_1$	0	
10_{x_4}	x_4	1	(1, 1, 1)	0	$\chi - c_1$	N	
10_{t_5}	t_5	1	(1, 1, 1)	1	$-\chi - c_1$	-N	(3.1)
$5_{X_{ij}}$	X_{ij}	3′	(-1, 0, 1)	0	$\eta' - 3c_1$	0	(0.1
$5_{X_{i4}}$	X_{i4}	3	(1, 0, -1)	0	$-3c_1 + \chi'$	N	
$5_{X_{i5}}$	X_{i5}	3	(1, 0, -1)	0	$-3c_1-\chi'$	-N	
$5_{X_{45}}$	X_{45}	1	(1,1,1)	1	$-c_1$	0	

Notice that S_4 has three generators denoted here by (a, b, c) and chosen as given by 2-, 3- and 4-cycles; they obey amongst others the cyclic properties $a^2 = b^3 = c^4 = I_{id}$; these three generators are non commuting permutation operators making extraction of full information from them a difficult task; but part of these information is given their $\chi_R^{(a,b,c)}$'s; these characters are real numbers as collected in following table [39, 40, 41, 42],

χ_{ij}	χ_{I}	$\chi_{\mathbf{3'}}$	χ_{2}	χ_{3}	χ_{ϵ}
a	1	-1	0	1	-1
b	1	0	-1	0	1
c	1	1	0	-1	-1

(3.2)

Notice also that the 4- and 6- representations of S_4 , which have been used in the canonical formulation of section 2, are decomposed in (3.1) as direct sums of irreducible components as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{4}_{(2,1,0)} &= \mathbf{1}_{(1,1,1)} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{(1,0,-1)} \\
\mathbf{6}_{(0,0,0)} &= \mathbf{3}_{(1,0,-1)} \oplus \mathbf{3}'_{(-1,0,1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Notice moreover that the previous t_i - weights are now replaced by new quantities x_i given by some linear combinations of the t_i 's fixed by representation theory of S_4 . One of these weights; say x_4 , is given by the usual completely S_4 - symmetric term

$$x_4 \sim (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4) \tag{3.4}$$

transforming in the trivial representation of S_4 ; the three other x_i are given by some orthogonal linear combinations of the four t_i 's that we express as follows

$$x_i = \alpha_i t_1 + \beta_i t_2 + \gamma_i t_3 + \delta_i t_4 \tag{3.5}$$

These three weights transform as an irreducible triplet of S_4 ; but seen that we have two kinds of 3-dim representations in S_4 namely **3** and **3'**, the explicit expressions of (3.5) depend in which of the two representations the x_i 's are sitting; details are reported in appendix where one also finds the relationships $t_{\mu} = U_{\mu\rho}x_{\rho}$ and $t_{\mu} \pm t_{\nu} = (U_{\mu\rho} \pm U_{\nu\rho})x_{\rho}$. Notice finally that the explicit expressions of $X_{\mu\nu}$ weights in (3.1) are not needed in our approach; their role will be played by the characters of the representations.

3.2 $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_3 \times \left(U_1^{\perp}\right)^2$ model

The spectrum of GUT- curves of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_3 \times (U_1^{\perp})^2$ model follows from the spectrum of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_5$ theory by using splitting spectral method. By working in the canonical basis for t_i - weights, this spectrum, expressed in terms of reducible multiplets, is given by (2.30). Here, we revisit the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_3 \times (U_1^{\perp})^2$ curves spectrum by using irreducible representations of \mathbb{S}_3 and their characters.

We start by recalling that S_3 has three irreducible representations as shown of the usual character relation $6 = 1^2 + 1^{2\prime} + 2^2$ linking the order of S_3 to the squared dimensions of its irreducible representations; these irreducible representations are nicely described in terms of Young diagrams [42]



The group S_3 is a non abelian discrete group; it has two non commuting generators (a, b) satisfying $a^2 = b^3 = 1$ with characters as follows

χ_R	χ_{I}	χ_{2}	χ_{ϵ}
a	1	1 0	-1
b	1	1 -1	1

curves	weights	Irrep S_3	$\chi^{(a,b)}_R$	U_1^{\perp}	homology	$U(1)_Y$ flux	
10_{x_i}	x_i	2	(0, -1)	0	$\eta-2c_1{-}\chi'$	-P	
10_{x_3}	x_3	1	(1,1)	0	$-\chi - c_1$	-N	
10_{x_4}	x_4	1	(1,1)	0	$\chi' - c_1$	P	
10_{t_5}	t_5	1	(1,1)	1	$\chi - c_1$	N	
$5_{X_{ij}}$	X_{ij}	2	(0, -1)	0	$\eta' - 2c_1$	0	(3.8
$5_{X_{i3}}$	X_{i3}	1	(-1, 1)	0	$-c_1 - \chi' - \chi$	-P-N	(0.0
$5_{X_{i4}}$	X_{i4}	2	(0, -1)	0	$-2c_1$	0	
$5_{X_{34}}$	X_{34}	1	(1,1)	0	$\chi' - c_1$	P	
$5_{X_{i5}}$	X_{i5}	2	(0, -1)	0	$-2c_1$	0	
$5_{X_{35}}$	X_{35}	1	(1,1)	0	$-c_1 - \chi' + \chi$	N - P	
$5_{X_{45}}$	X_{45}	1	(1, 1)	1	$\chi'-c_1$	P	

The spectrum of matter curves in the S_3 -model is obtained here by starting from the S_4 spectrum (t_1, t_2, t_3) (2.30); and then breaking S_4 monodromy to $S_3 \times S_1$. We find

where the integers P and N are as in eq(2.33).

4 $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$ models

First notice that the engineering of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ theory has been recently studied in [16] by using Galois theory; but here we use a method based on characters of the irreducible representations of \mathbb{D}_4 ; and finds at the end that there are in fact three kinds of $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models; they are explicitly constructed in this section. To that purpose, we first review useful aspects on characters of the dihedral group; then we turn to construct the three $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models.

4.1 Characters in \mathbb{D}_4 models

The dihedral \mathbb{D}_4 is an order 8 subgroup of \mathbb{S}_4 with no 3-cycles; there are three kinds of such subgroups inside \mathbb{S}_4 ; an example of \mathbb{D}_4 subgroup is the one having the following elements (12) (24)

$$I_{id} , \frac{(24)}{(13)} , \frac{(13)(24)}{(12)(34)} , \frac{(1234)}{(14)(23)}$$
(4.1)

with non commuting generators $a = \langle (24) \rangle$ and $b = \langle (1234) \rangle$ satisfying $a^2 = b^4 = I$ and $aba = b^3$. The two other \mathbb{D}'_4 and \mathbb{D}''_4 have similar contents; but with other transpositions and 4-cycles. In terms of (a, b) generators, the eight elements (4.1) of the dihedral \mathbb{D}_4 reads as

$$I_{id} , \begin{array}{c} a \\ b^2 a \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} b^2 \\ a b \\ ba \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} b \\ b^3 \\ b^3 \end{array}$$
(4.2)

they form 5 conjugacy classes as follows

$$\mathfrak{C}_{1} = \{I_{id}\} , \ \mathfrak{C}_{2} = \{b^{2}\} , \ \mathfrak{C}_{3} = \{b, b^{3}\}
\mathfrak{C}_{4} = \{a\} , \ \mathfrak{C}_{5} = \{ab\}$$
(4.3)

The dihedral group \mathbb{D}_4 has also 5 irreducible representations \mathbf{R}_i ; this can be directly learnt on the character formula $8 = 1_1^2 + 1_2^2 + 1_3^2 + 1_4^2 + 2^2$, linking the order of \mathbb{D}_4 with the sum of d_i^2 , the squares of the dimensions d_i of the irreducible \mathbf{R}_i representations of \mathbb{D}_4 . So, the order 8 dihedral group has four irreducible representations with 1-dim; and a fifth irreducible \mathbb{D}_4 - representation with 2-dim [42]. The character table of \mathbb{D}_4 representations is given by

$\mathfrak{C}_i \setminus \chi_{\boldsymbol{R}_j}$	χ_{1_1}	χ_{1_2}	χ_{1_3}	χ_{1_4}	χ_{2}	number
\mathfrak{C}_1	1	1	1	1	2	1
\mathfrak{C}_2	1	1	1	1	-2	1
\mathfrak{C}_3	1	1	-1	-1	0	2
\mathfrak{C}_4	1	-1	1	-1	0	2
\mathfrak{C}_5	1	-1	-1	1	0	2

from which we learn the following characters of the (a, b) generators

$\chi_{ij}^{(g)}$	χ_{1_1}	χ_{1_2}	χ_{1_3}	χ_{1_4}	χ_{2}
a	1	-1	1	-1	0
b	1	1	-1	-1	0

(4.4)

For other features see [41]. With these tools at hand, we turn to engineer the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models with dihedral monodromy symmetry.

4.2 Three \mathbb{D}_4 - models

As in the case of \mathbb{S}_3 monodromy, the breaking of \mathbb{S}_4 down to \mathbb{D}_4 is induced by non zero flux piercing the curves of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model. Using properties from the

character table of \mathbb{D}_4 , we distinguish three kinds of models depending on the way the \mathbb{S}_4 irreducible triplets have been pierced; there are three possibilities and are as described
in what follows:

4.2.1 First case: $3 = 1_{+,-} \oplus 2_{0,0}$

In this model, the various irreducible triplets of S_4 ; in particular those involved in:

- (i) the five 10-plets namely $\mathbf{5} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{t_5}$, and
- (*ii*) the ten 5-plets which includes the four 10-plets charged under U_1^{\perp} namely $\mathbf{4}_{t_5} = \mathbf{1}_{t_5} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{t_5}$, and the six uncharged 10-plets given by $\mathbf{6} = \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{3}'$,

are decomposed as sums of two singlets $\mathbf{1}_{p,q} + \mathbf{1}_{p',q'}$ and a doublet $\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$. The character properties of the \mathbb{D}_4 - representations indicate that the decompositions of the triplets should be as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{3}|_{\mathbb{D}_{4}} &= \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{0,0} \\ \mathbf{3}'|_{\mathbb{D}_{4}} &= \mathbf{1}_{-,+} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{0,0} \end{aligned}$$
 (4.6)

By substituting these relations back into the restricted spectrum resulting from (3.1), we end with the following $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ spectrum

- $\begin{array}{c|c} \text{homology} & \text{U}(1)_Y \text{ flux} \\ \hline \eta 2c_1 \varphi & -N P \\ -c_1 & 0 \\ \chi' c_1 & P \end{array}$ $\chi^{(a,b)}_{\mathbf{R}}$ U_1^{\perp} \mathbb{D}_4 curves weights $10_{y_{i}}$ $\mathbf{2}$ 0 (0, 0) y_i $10_{y_3} \\ 10_{y_4}$ y_3 1 (1, -1)0 (4.7) y_4 0 1 (1,1)1 1 10_{t} t_5 (1,1) $\chi - c_1$
- five 10-plets

where $\chi_{\mathbf{R}}^{(a,b)}$ stands for the character of the generators in the **R** representation; $\varphi = \chi + \chi'$, and the integers N and P as in eqs(2.33). Notice that the multiplets 10_{y_4} and 10_{t_5} transform in the same trivial \mathbb{D}_4 - representation; but having different t₅- charges; the 10_{y_3} transforms also as a singlet; but with character (1, -1); it is a good candidate for accommodating the top-quark family.

• ten 5-plets

curves	weight	\mathbb{D}_4	$\chi^{(a,b)}_{{f R}}$	U_1^{\perp}	homology	$U(1)_Y$ flux	
$5_{Y_{i3}}$	Y_{i3}	2	(0,0)	0	$\eta' - 2c_1 + \varphi$	N + P	
$5_{Y_{12}}$	Y_{12}	1	(-1, 1)	0	$-\chi - c_1$	-N	
$5_{Y_{i4}}$	Y_{i4}	2	(0,0)	0	$-\chi'-c_1$	-P	(18)
$5_{Y_{34}}$	Y_{34}	1	(1, -1)	0	$-2c_1$	0	(4.0)
$5_{Y_{i5}}$	Y_{i5}	2	(0,0)	1	$-\chi'-c_1$	-P	
$5_{Y_{35}}$	Y_{35}	1	(1, -1)	1	$-\chi - c_1$	-N	
$5_{Y_{45}}$	Y_{45}	1	(1, 1)	1	$\varphi - 2c_1$	N+P	

where we have set $\varphi = \chi + \chi'$. From this table, we learn that among the ten 5-plets, two sit in the $1_{+,-}$ representation with character (1, -1); but with differen t_5 charges; one in $1_{-,+}$ with character (-1, 1) with no t_5 charge; and a fourth in the trivial representation of \mathbb{D}_4 with a unit t_5 charge.

• flavons

Among the 24 flavons of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model, there are 20 ones charged under \mathbb{D}_4 monodromy symmetry; but because of hermitic feature, they can be organised into $\mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{10}'$ subsets with opposite \mathbb{D}_4 characters and opposite t_5 charges. Moreover due to reducibility of the 10-dim multiplet as $\mathbf{10} = \mathbf{4}_{t_5} \oplus \mathbf{6}$, which is also equal to $(\mathbf{1}_{t_5} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{t_5}) \oplus (\mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{3}')$; and therefore to the direct sum $\mathbf{1}_{+,+}^{t_5} \oplus$ $(\mathbf{1}_{+,-}^{t_5} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{0,0}^{t_5})$ plus $(\mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{0,0}) + (\mathbf{1}_{-,+} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{0,0})$; one ends with: (α) flavons doublets ϑ^i , $\vartheta_{t_5}^i$ having character (0,0) with and without t_5 charges; and (β) flavon singlets having characters ($\pm 1, \pm 1$) with and without t_5 charges; they are as collected below.

curves	weights	\mathbb{D}_4 irrep	$\chi^{(a,b)}_{\mathbf{R}}$ character	t_5 charge
$1_{\pm Z_{i3}}$	$\pm Z_{i3}$	2	(0,0)	0
$1_{\pm Z_{12}}$	$\pm Z_{12}$	1	$\pm(-1,1)$	0
$1_{\pm Z_{i4}}$	$\pm Z_{i4}$	2	(0,0)	0
$1_{\pm Z_{34}}$	$\pm Z_{34}$	1	$\pm(1, -1)$	0
$1_{\pm Z_{i5}}$	$\pm Z_{i5}$	2	(0,0)	∓1
$1_{\pm Z_{35}}$	$\pm Z_{35}$	1	$\pm(1, -1)$	∓ 1
$1_{\pm Z_{45}}$	$\pm Z_{45}$	1	$\pm(1,1)$	∓ 1

(4.9)

4.2.2 Second case: $3 = 1_{+,-} \oplus 1_{+,-} \oplus 1_{-,+}$

This is a completely reducible model; under restriction to dihedral subsymmetry, the **3** and **3'** triplets of \mathbb{S}_4 are decomposed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{3}|_{\mathbb{D}_{4}} &= \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,+} \\ \mathbf{3}'|_{\mathbb{D}_{4}} &= \mathbf{1}_{-,+} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,+} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \end{aligned}$$
 (4.10)

by substituting these decompositions back into the spectrum of $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ - theory given by (3.1), we obtain the curves spectrum of the second $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ - model:

• five 10-plets

The spectrum of the 10-plets in the \mathbb{D}_{4} - model II can be also deduced from (4.7) by splitting the $\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$ doublet as $\mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,+}$; we have

curves	\mathbb{D}_4 irrep	character	U_1^{\perp}	homology	$U(1)_Y$ flux	
$10_{+,-}$	$1_{+,-}$	(1, -1)	0	$\eta - c_1 - \chi - \chi'$	-N-P	
$10_{-,+}$	$1_{-,+}$	(-1, 1)	0	$-c_{1}$	0	(1 11)
$10_{+,-}$	$1_{+,-}$	(1, -1)	0	$-c_1$	0	(4.11)
$10_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$	(1,1)	0	$\chi' - c_1$	P	
$10^{t_5}_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$	(1, 1)	1	$\chi - c_1$	N	

Here we have two matter multiplets namely $10_{+,+}$ and $10_{+,+}^{t_5}$; they transform in the same trivial \mathbb{D}_4 - representation with character (1, 1); but having different t_5 charges. We also have two $10_{+,-}$ multiplets transforming in $1_{+,-}$ with character (1, -1); but with different fluxes; and one multiplet $10_{-,+}$ with character (-1, 1); it will be interpreted in appendix B as the one accommodating the top-quark family.

• ten 5-plets

curves	\mathbb{D}_4 irrep	$\chi^{(a,b)}_{{f R}}$	U_1^{\perp}	homology	$U(1)_Y$ flux	
$5_{+,-}$	$1_{+,-}$	(1, -1)	0	$\eta' - c_1 + \chi + \chi'$	N + P	
$5_{-,+}$	$1_{-,+}$	(-1, 1)	0	$-c_{1}$	0	
$5_{-,+}$	$1_{-,+}$	(-1, 1)	0	$-\chi - c_1$	-N	
$5_{+,-}$	$1_{+,-}$	(1, -1)	0	$-\chi' - c_1$	-P	
$5_{-,+}$	$1_{-,+}$	(-1, 1)	0	$-c_{1}$	0	(4.12)
$5_{+,-}$	$1_{+,-}$	(1, -1)	0	$-c_1$	0	
$5^{t_5}_{+,-}$	$1_{+,-}$	(1, -1)	1	$-\chi' - c_1$	-P	
$5^{t_5}_{-,+}$	$1_{-,+}$	(-1, 1)	1	$-c_{1}$	0	
$5^{t_5}_{+,-}$	$1_{+,-}$	(1, -1)	1	$-\chi - c_1$	-N	
$5^{t_5}_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$	(1,1)	1	$-2c_1 + \chi + \chi'$	N + P	

where N and P as in eqs(2.33).

In this model, there is no flavon doublets; there are only singlet flavons transforming in the representations $\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$, $\mathbf{1}_{-,-}$, $\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$, $\mathbf{1}_{-,+}$ with and without \mathbf{t}_5 charges; they are denoted in what follows as $\vartheta_{p,q}$ and $\vartheta_{p,q}^{\pm t_5}$ with $p, q = \pm 1$.

4.2.3 Third case: $3 = 1_{+,+} \oplus 1_{-,-} \oplus 1_{+,-}$

This \mathbb{D}_4 - model differs from the previous one by the characters of the singlets; since in this case the \mathbb{S}_4 - triplets $\mathbf{3}|_{\mathbb{S}_4}$ and $\mathbf{3}'|_{\mathbb{S}_4}$ are decomposed in terms of irreducible representations of \mathbb{D}_4 like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{3}|_{\mathbb{D}_{4}} &= \mathbf{1}_{+,+} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \\ \mathbf{3}'|_{\mathbb{D}_{4}} &= \mathbf{1}_{+,+} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,+} \end{aligned}$$
(4.13)

Substituting these relationships back into (3.1), we get the curve spectrum of the third model namely:

- U_1^{\perp} curves \mathbb{D}_4 irrep character homology $\begin{array}{c|c} \eta - c_1 - \varphi & -N - P \\ -c_1 & 0 \\ -c_1 & 0 \\ \chi' - c_1 & P \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c|ccccc} 10_{+,+} & \mathbf{1}_{+,+} \\ 10_{-,-} & \mathbf{1}_{-,-} \\ 10_{+,-} & \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \\ 10_{+,+} & \mathbf{1}_{+,+} \\ 10_{+,+} & \mathbf{1}_{+,+} \end{array}$ (1,1)0 (-1, -1)0 (4.14)(1, -1)0 0 (1, 1) 10^{t_5} $1_{+,+}$ 1 N(1,1) $\chi - c_1$
- five 10-plets

Here we have three $10_{p,q}$ matter multiplets in the trivial \mathbb{D}_4 - representation with character (p,q) = (1,1); one of them namely $10_{+,+}^{t_5}$ having a t₅ charge and the two others not. A fourth curve $10_{+,-}$ in $1_{+,-}$ without t₅ charge nor a flux; and a fifth $10_{-,-}$ in $1_{-,-}$ with no t₅ but carrying a flux.

• ten 5-plets

curves	\mathbb{D}_4 irrep	$\chi^{(a,b)}_{{f R}}$	U_1^{\perp}	homology	$U(1)_Y$ flux	
$5_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$	(1,1)	0	$\eta' - c_1 + \chi + \chi'$	N + P	
$5_{-,-}$	$1_{-,-}$	(-1, -1)	0	$-\kappa_1\chi'-c_1$	$-\kappa_1 P$	
$5_{-,+}$	$1_{-,+}$	(-1, 1)	0	$-\chi - c_1$	-N	
$5_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$	(1,1)	0	$-\kappa_2\chi'-c_1$	$-\kappa_2 P$	
$5_{-,-}$	$1_{-,-}$	(-1, -1)	0	$-c_1$	0	(4.15)
$5_{+,-}$	$1_{+,-}$	(1, -1)	0	$-c_{1}$	0	
$5^{t_5}_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$	(1,1)	1	$-\kappa_1\chi'-c_1$	$-\kappa_1 P$	
$5^{t_5}_{-,-}$	$1_{-,-}$	(-1, -1)	1	$-\kappa_2\chi'-c_1$	$-\kappa_2 P$	
$5^{t_5}_{+,-}$	$1_{+,-}$	(1, -1)	1	$-\chi - c_1$	-N	
$5^{t_5}_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$	(1,1)	1	$-2c_1 + \chi + \chi'$	N + P	

with $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 = 1$ whose values will be fixed by the derivation of MSSM. The ten 5-plets $5_{p,q}$ splits as follows: 4 with p = q = 1; the two $5_{+,+}^{t_5}$ having a t₅ charge and the two others $5_{+,+}$ chargeless; the U^{\perp} charges and the (N, P) fluxes allow to distinguish the four. There are also 3 types of $5_{-,-}$ plets; two $5_{+,-}$ and one $5_{-,+}$. This model has no flavon doublets; there are only singlet flavons $\vartheta_{p,q}$ and $\vartheta_{p,q}^{\pm t_5}$ with $p, q = \pm 1$.

5 MSSM like spectrum

First, we describe the breaking of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ theory down to supersymmetric standard model; then we study the derivation of the spectrum of MSSM like model with \mathbb{D}_4 monodromy; and where the heaviest top-quark family is singled out.

5.1 Breaking gauge symmetry

Gauge symmetry is broken by $U(1)_Y$ hyperflux; by assuming doublet- triplet splitting produced by N units of $U(1)_Y$, but still preserving $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$, the 10-plets and 5-plets get decomposed into irreducible representations of standard model symmetry. The 5-plets of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models with multiplicity M_5 split as [60, 61]

$$n_{(3,1)_{-1/3}} - n_{(\bar{3},1)_{+1/3}} = M_5$$

$$n_{(1,2)_{+1/2}} - n_{(1,2)_{-1/2}} = M_5 + N$$
(5.1)

leading to a difference between number of triplets and doublets in the low energy MSSM effective theory. These two relations are important since for $N \neq 0$ the correlation is some how relaxed; by choosing

$$M_5^{(Higgs)} = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

the coloured triplet-antitriplet fields $(3,1)_{-1/3}$ and $(\bar{3},1)_{+1/3}$ in the Higgs matter curve come in pair that form heavy massive states; which decouple at low energy. Moreover, by making particular choices of the $M_5^{(matter)}$ multiplicities, we can also have the desired matter curve properties for accommodating fermion families; in particular the chirality property $n_{(1,2)_{+1/2}} \neq n_{(1,2)_{-1/2}}$ which is induced by hyperflux. Furthermore, due to the flux, we also have different numbers of down quarks d_L^c and lepton doublets L.

For the 10- plets of the GUT- model with multiplicity M_{10} , we have the following decompositions [27, 62, 63]

$$n_{(3,2)_{+1/6}} - n_{(\bar{3},2)_{-1/6}} = M_{10}$$

$$n_{(\bar{3},1)_{-2/3}} - n_{(3,1)_{+2/3}} = M_{10} - N$$

$$n_{(1,1)_{+1}} - n_{(1,1)_{-1}} = M_{10} + N$$
(5.3)

The first relation with $M_{10} \neq 0$ generates up-quark chirality since the number $n_{(3,2)_{+1/6}}$ of $Q_L = (3,2)_{+1/6}$ representations differs from the number $n_{(\bar{3},2)_{+1/6}}$ of $\bar{Q}_L = (\bar{3},2)_{-1/6}$. With non zero units of hyperflux, the two extra relations leads to the other desired splitting; the second relation leads for $N \neq 0$ to lifting the multiplicities between $Q = (3,2)_{+1/6}$ and $u^c = (\bar{3},1)_{-2/3}$ while the third relation ensures the chirality property of e_L^c . In what follows, we study the derivation of an effective matter curve spectrum that resembles to the field content of MSSM. In addition to three families and

$$\sum M_{10} + \sum M_5 = 0 \tag{5.4}$$

as well as total hyperflux conservation

$$\sum_{fluxes} N_i = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

we demand the following:

- only a tree- level Yukawa coupling is allowed; and is given by the top-quark family,
- the heaviest third generation is the least family affected by hyperflux,
- MSSM matter generations are in $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ representations,
- no dimension 4 and 5 proton decay operators are allowed,
- no μ term at a tree level,
- two Higgs doublets H_u and H_d as required by MSSM.

5.2 Building the spectrum

Seen that there are three possible $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models, we focus on the first model with curve spectrum given by eqs(4.7-4.8); and consider first the 10-plets; then turn after to 5-plets. Results regarding the two other models II and III are reported in appendix B.

5.2.1 Ten-plets sector in \mathbb{D}_4 - model I

The five 10-plets of the \mathbb{D}_4 model carry different quantum numbers with respect to $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ representations, different hyperflux units (N, P); and different $M_{10}^{(n)}$ multiplicities satisfying the properties (5.3). By thinking about $\sum M_{10}$ as given by the number of MSSM generations

$$\sum M_{10} = 3 \tag{5.6}$$

and taking into account that the two components of the 10_i - doublet are monodromy equivalent; it follows that one of the five 10-plets should be disregarded; at least at a tree level analysis. Moreover, using the property that top- quark 10-plet should be a \mathbb{D}_4 singlet; one may choose the $M_{10}^{(n)}$'s as in following table,

curves	\mathbb{D}_4 irrep	U_1^{\perp}	$U(1)_Y$ flux	multipl	icity
10_i	$2_{0,0}$	0	-N-P	$M_{10}^{(a)}$	2
10_{3}	$1_{+,-}$	0	0	$M_{10}^{(3)}$	1
10_{4}	1_{++}	0	P	$M_{10}^{(4)}$	0
10_{5}	1_{++}	1	N	$M_{10}^{(5)}$	0

where chiral modes of 10_4 have been ejected $(M_{10}^{(4)} = 0)$. Notice that the top- quark generation can a priori be taken in any one of the three \mathbb{D}_4 - singlets; that is either 10_3 or 10_4 ; or 10_5 ; the basic difference between these \mathbb{D}_4 - singlets is given by t_5 charge and hyperflux. But the choice of the 10_3 -multiplet looks be the natural one as it is unaffected by hyperflux, a desired property for MSSM and beyond; and has no t_5 charge

$$\mathbf{10}_3 = (Q_L, U_L^c, e_L^c) \equiv \mathbf{10}_{+,-} \tag{5.8}$$

This multiplet captures also an interesting signature of \mathbb{D}_4 monodromy in the sense it behaves as a \mathbb{D}_4 -singlet $1_{+,-}$ with non trivial character (+1, -1). The importance of this feature at modeling level is twice: (i) first it fixes the quantum numbers of the 5_{H_u} Higgs representation as a \mathbb{D}_4 - singlet $5_{p,q}$ as shown on the tree level top- quark Yukawa coupling

$$10_{+,-} \otimes 10_{+,-} \otimes 5_{H_u}$$
 (5.9)

Monodromy invariance of (5.9) under $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ requires 5_{H_u} in the trivial representation with no t_5 charge; i.e. $5_{H_u} \sim 1_{+,+}$. However, an inspection of the characters of the U_1^{\perp} chargeless 5-plets revels that there is no $(5_{+,+})_{t_5=0}$ in the spectrum of the the $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models I and II constructed above. To bypass this constraint, we realise the role of the Higgs 5_{H_u} by allowing VEVs to come from flavons as well; in other words by thinking of 5_{H_u} as follows

$$5_{H_u} \rightarrow 5_{p,q} \otimes \vartheta_{p',q'} \quad with \quad pp' = 1, \ qq' = 1$$
 (5.10)

where $\vartheta_{p',q'}$ stands for a flavon in the representation $1_{p',q'}$.

(ii) second it gives an important tool to distinguish between matter and Higgs in the 5plets sector as manifestly exhibited by the tri-coupling $10_{+,-} \otimes \bar{5}^M \otimes \bar{5}_{H_d}$. This interaction requires matter $\bar{5}_3^M$ and Higgs $\bar{5}_{H_d}$ to be in different \mathbb{D}_4 - singlets $1_{p,q}$ and $1_{p',q'}$ with pp' = 1and qq' = -1; see discussion given later on.

By choosing the hyperflux units as N = P = 1; and using (5.3) we obtain the matter content

curves	\mathbb{D}_4	U_1^{\perp}	flux	matter content	Z_2 parity	
10_i	$2_{0,0}$	0	-2	$2Q_L \oplus 4e_L^c$	$arkappa_{43}~=~-$	
10_{3}	$1_{+,-}$	0	0	$Q_L \oplus U_L^c \oplus e_L^c$	$arkappa_{42}~=~-$	(5.11)
10_{4}	$1_{+,+}$	0	1	$U^c_L \ominus e^c_L$	$arkappa_{41} \;\;=\;\;+\;$	
10_{5}	$1_{+,+}$	1	1	$U^c_L \ominus e^c_L$	$arkappa_1 ~=~ +$	

Notice that by following [16] using Galois theory, the 10-plets have been attributed \mathbb{Z}_2 parity charges as reported by the last column of above table. In our formulation these parities correspond to $s_i \rightarrow -s_i$ and \varkappa_1 and $\varkappa_4 = \varkappa_{41} \varkappa_{42} \varkappa_{43}$ as in eq(2.28); by help of (2.14) and (2.22) we obtain

$$\mathbb{Z}_{2}(b_{5}) = +1 , \ \mathbb{Z}_{2}(b_{0}) = -1 \mathbb{Z}_{2}(d_{10}) = -1 , \ \mathbb{Z}_{2}(d_{0}) = -1$$

$$(5.12)$$

in agreement with (2.17).

5.2.2 Five-plets sector

Like for 10-plets, the ten 5-plets carry different quantum numbers of $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ representations, hyperflux units (N, P) and $M_5^{(n)}$ multiplicities as in (5.1). To have a matter curve spectrum that resembles to MSSM, we choose the $M_5^{(n)}$'s and the hyperflux as

curves	\mathbb{D}_4 irrep	U_1^{\perp}	homology	flux	multiplicity	
$5_{Y_{i3}}$	${f 2}_{0,0}$	0	$\eta' - 2c_1 - \chi' + \xi'$	-N-P	$M_5^{(1)}$	
$5_{Y_{12}}$	$1_{-,+}$	0	$\chi' - c_1$	N	$M_5^{(2)}$	
$5_{Y_{i4}}$	$2_{0,0}$	0	$\xi' - c_1$	Р	$M_5^{(3)}$	(5.12)
$5_{Y_{34}}$	$1_{+,-}$	0	$-2c_{1}$	0	$M_5^{(4)}$	(0.10)
$5_{Y_{i5}}$	$2_{0,0}$	-1	$\xi' - c_1$	Р	$M_{5}^{(5)}$	
$5_{Y_{35}}$	$1_{+,-}$	-1	$\chi' - c_1$	N	$M_5^{(6)}$	
$5_{Y_{45}}$	$1_{+,+}$	-1	$-2c_1-\chi'-\xi'$	-N-P	$M_{5}^{(7)}$	

where χ' and ξ' are two classes playing similar role as in the case of breaking S_5 monodromy down to S_3 . By using (5.4-5.6), we have

$$\sum M_5 = -\sum M_{10} = -3 \tag{5.14}$$

and thinking of this number as $\sum M_5 = 3 - 6$, a possible configuration for a MSSM like spectrum is given by

$$M_5^{(1)} = 2
 M_5^{(2)} = 0
 M_5^{(3)} = -4
 M_5^{(4)} = 0
 M_5^{(6)} = 1
 M_5^{(7)} = -2$$
(5.15)

By choosing the hyperflux as N = P = 1, and putting back into above table, we obtain, after relabeling, the 5-plets

curves	\mathbb{D}_4	U_1^{\perp}	flux	$M_5^{(n)}$	matter	parity	
$\left(5_{i}^{M}\right)_{0}$	$2_{0,0}$	0	-2	2	$2\bar{d}_L^c$	$\widetilde{arkappa}_{61} \;=\; +$	
$\left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0$	$1_{-,+}$	0	1	0	H_u^-	$\widetilde{arkappa}_{62} \;=\; +$	
$(5^{M}_{+,-})_{0}$	$1_{+,-}$	0	1	-4	$-4\bar{d}_L^c - 3\bar{L}$	$ ilde{arkappa}_{63}~=~-$	(5.16)
$\left(5^{H_d}_{+,+}\right)_{-t_{\scriptscriptstyle E}}$	$1_{+,+}$	-1	1	0	$-H_d$	$ ilde{arkappa}_{41} \;\;=\;\;+$	(5.10)
$\left(5^{M}_{+,-}\right)_{-t\epsilon}$	$1_{+,-}$	-1	1	1	$ar{d}_L^c$	$\widetilde{arkappa}_{42} \;=\; +$	
$\left(5_{i}^{M}\right)_{-t_{5}}$	$2_{0,0}$	-1	-2	-2	$-2\bar{d}_L^c$	$ ilde{arkappa}_{43} \;=\; +$	
$\left(5_{i}^{M}\right)_{0}^{3}$	$2_{0,0}$	0	0	0	0	$\tilde{\varkappa}_{64} = +$	

From this table we learn that the up-Higgs 5-plet $(5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0$ has a character equal to (-1,+1) and no t₅ charge; by substituting in (5.10), we obtain $5_{H_u} \sim (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0$.

We also learn that the 5-plet $(5^M_{+,-})_0$ is the least multiplet affected by hyperflux; and because of our assumptions, it is the candidate for matter $\bar{5}^M_3$; the partner of 10₃ in the underlying SO₁₀ GUT-model. With this choice, the down-type quarks tri-coupling for the third family namely $10_3 \otimes \bar{5}^M_3 \otimes \bar{5}^{H_d}$; and which we rewrite like

$$10_{+,-} \otimes \bar{5}^{M}_{p,q} \otimes \bar{5}^{H_d}_{p',q'}$$
 with $pp' = 1, qq' = -1$ (5.17)

This coupling requires the matter $\bar{5}_3^M$ and the down-Higgs $\bar{5}^{H_d}$ multiplets to belong to different \mathbb{D}_4 singlets seen that 10_3 is in $\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$ representation. However, the candidates $(\bar{5}_{-,-}^{H_d})_{t_5}$ and $\bar{5}_3^M \equiv (\bar{5}_{-,+}^M)_0$ are ruled out because of the non conservation of t_5 charge. Nevertheless, a typical diagonal mass term of third family may be generated by using a flavon $\vartheta_{-t_5}^+$ carrying -1 unit charge under U_1^{\perp} and transforming as a trivial \mathbb{D}_4 singlet. This leads to the realisation $\bar{5}^{H_d} \sim (\bar{5}_{-,-}^{H_d})_{t_5}(\vartheta_{++})_{-t_5}$; and then to

$$(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\bar{5}^M_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\bar{5}^{H_d}_{-,-})_{t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{++})_{-t_5}$$
 (5.18)

Non diagonal 4-order coupling superpotentials with one $(10_{+,-})_0$ are as follows³

$$(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,+})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{u}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,-})_{0}
(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_{5}} \otimes (5^{H_{u}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,-})_{-t_{5}}
(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{u}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (10^{i}_{0,0})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta^{i}_{0,0})_{0}
(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{u}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0}
(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{u}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (10^{i}_{0,0})_{0} (\vartheta^{i}_{0,0})_{0}
(10_{+,+})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{u}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (10^{i}_{0,0})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta^{i}_{0,0})_{0}
(10_{+,+})_{t_{5}} \otimes (5^{H_{u}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (10^{i}_{0,0})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta^{i}_{0,0})_{-t_{5}}$$

$$(5.19)$$

Below, we discuss some properties of these couplings.

5.3 More on couplings in \mathbb{D}_4 model I

First, we study the quark sector; and turn after to the case of leptons.

5.3.1 Quark sector

From the view of supersymmetric standard model with $SU(3) \times SU_L(2) \times U_Y(1)$ gauge symmetry; and denoting the triplet and doublet components of the Higss 5-plets $5^{H_x} = 3^{H_x} \oplus 2^{H_x}$ respectively like $D_x \oplus H_x$, the usual tree level up/down-type Yukawa couplings in SU_5 model split like

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
10^{M} \cdot 10^{M} \cdot 5^{H_{u}} & \rightarrow & Qu^{c}H_{u} + u^{c}e^{c}D_{u}^{c} + QQD_{u}^{c} \\
10^{M} \cdot \overline{5}^{M} \cdot \overline{5}^{H_{d}} & \rightarrow & Qd^{c}H_{d} + e^{c}LH_{d} + QD_{d}^{c}L
\end{array}$$
(5.20)

³a complete classification requires also use Z_2 partity; see [16].

They involve up/down Higgs triplets D_u^c and D_d^c , which are exotic to MSSM; but with the hyperflux $U_Y(1)$ choice we have made in $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model (5.11,5.16), they are removed; therefore we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} 10^{M} \cdot 10^{M} \cdot 5^{H_{u}} & \to & Qu^{c}H_{u} \\ 10^{M} \cdot \overline{5}^{M} \cdot \overline{5}^{H_{d}} & \to & Qd^{c}H_{d} + e^{c}LH_{d} \end{array}$$
(5.21)

with right hand sides capturing same monodromy representations as left hand sides; that is Q, u^c same $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ representations as 10^M ; and so on. In what follows, we study each of these terms separately by taking into account $\vartheta_{p,q}$ flavon contributions up to order four couplings; some of these flavons are interpreted as right neutrinos; they will be discussed at proper time.

• Up-type Yukawa couplings

Because of the $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ monodromy charge of the up-Higgs 5-plet like $(5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0$, there is no monodromy invariant 3-coupling type $10^M \cdot 10^M \cdot 5^{H_u}$. As shown by eq(5.10), one needs to go to higher orders by implementing flavons with quantum numbers depending on the monodromy representation of the 10-plets. Indeed, by focussing on the third generation $10^M_3 \equiv (10_{+,-})_0$; we can distinguish diagonal and non diagonal interactions; an inspection of \mathbb{D}_4 quantum numbers of matter and Higgs multiplets reveals that we need \mathbb{D}_4 - charged flavons to have monodromy invariant superpotentials as shown below

$$W_{top}^{(4)} = \alpha_3 Tr[(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_u})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0]$$
(5.22)

By restricting to VEVs $\langle \vartheta_{-,+} \rangle = \rho_0$ and $\langle H_u \rangle = v_u$; this non-renormalisable coupling leads to the top quark mass term $m_t Q_3 u_3^c$ with m_t equal to $\alpha_3 v_u \rho_0$. Such a term should be thought of as a particular contribution to a general up-quark mass terms $u_i^c M^{ij} u_j$ with 3×3 mass matrix as follows

$$M_{u,c,t} = v_u \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & \alpha_3 \rho_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.23)

where the (*)'s refer to contributions coming from other terms including non diagonal couplings; one of them is

$$Tr[(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_u})_0 \otimes (10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})_0']$$
(5.24)

it involves a 10-plet doublet $(10_{0,0})_0 \equiv (10_i)_0$ and a flavon doublet $(\vartheta_{0,0})'_0 \equiv (\vartheta_i)'_0$ with VEVs (ρ_1, ρ_2) ; the latter $(\vartheta_{0,0})'_0$ will be combined the 10_i -plet doublet like $(10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})'_0$

to make a scalar. Indeed, the tensor product can be reduced as direct sum over irreducible representations of \mathbb{D}_4 having amongst others the \mathbb{D}_4 -component

$$S_{-,-} = (10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})_0'|_{-,-}$$
(5.25)

with (-,-) charge character. This negative charge is needed to compensate the (-,-) charge coming from $(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0$. Restricting to quarks, this reduction corresponds to $(10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})'_0 \to Q_i \otimes \rho_i$ with

$$Q_i \otimes \rho_i|_{(-,-)} = Q_1 \rho_2 - Q_2 \rho_1 \tag{5.26}$$

Putting back into (5.24), and thinking of $S_{-,-}$ in terms of the linear combination $\alpha_2(Q_1\rho_2 - Q_2\rho_1)$ of quarks, we obtain $\alpha_2 v_u(Q_1\rho_2 - Q_2\rho_1)u_3^c$; which can be put into the form $u_i^c M^{ij}u_j$ with mass matrix as

$$M_{u,c,t} = v_u \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \alpha_2 \rho_2 \\ * & * & -\alpha_2 \rho_1 \\ * & * & \alpha_3 \rho_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.27)

One can continue to fill this mass matrix by using the VEV's of other flavons; however to do that, one needs to rule out couplings with those flavons describing right neutrinos ν_i^c . Extending ideas from [16], the 3 generations of the right handed neutrinos ν_i^c in $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model should be as

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\nu_3^c & \to & (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \\
(\nu_1^c, \nu_2^c)^\top & \to & (\vartheta_{0,0})_0
\end{array}$$
(5.28)

with the following features among the set of 15 flavons of the model

flavons	SU_5	\mathbb{D}_4 irrep	U_1^{\perp}	Z_2 Parity	VEV	
$(\vartheta_{0,0})_0'$	1	2	0	+	$(\rho_1,\rho_2)^\top$	
$\left(artheta_{-,+} ight)_0$	1	1	0	+	$ ho_0$	
$(artheta_{0,0})_{\pm t_5}$	1	2	± 1	+	$(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)^ op$	(5.20)
$(\vartheta_{+,-})_{\pm t_5}$	1	1	±1	+	—	(0.29)
$(\vartheta_{+,+})_{\pm t_5}$	1	1	±1	Ŧ	ω	
$(\vartheta_{0,0})_0 = (\nu_1^c, \nu_2^c)^\top$	1	2	0	—	—	
$\left(\vartheta_{+,-}\right)_0 = \nu_3^c$	1	1	0	—	—	

Therefore, the contribution to (5.27) coming from the diagonal couplings of the doublets $(10_{0,0})_0$ follows from

$$W^{(4)} = Tr[(5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes [(10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (10_{0,0})_0]|_{p,q} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0]$$
(5.30)

However, though monodromy invariant, this couplings cannot generate the mass term $mQ_{1,2}u_{1,2}^c$ since the matter curve $(10_{0,0})_0$ don't contain the quark $u_{1,2}^c$; so the mass matrix (5.27) for the up-type quarks is

$$M_{u,c,t} = v_u \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 \rho_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha_2 \rho_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_3 \rho_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.31)

it is a rank one matrix; it gives mass to the third generation (top-quark); while the two first generations are massless.

masses for lighter families

The rank one property of above mass matrix (5.31) is a known feature in GUT models building including F-Theory constructions; see for instance [36, 43, 44, 64]. To generate masses for the up- quarks in the first two generations, different approaches have been used in literature: (i) approach based on flux corrections using non perturbative effects [20] or non commutative geometry [21]; and (ii) method using δW deformations of the GUT superpotential W by higher order chiral operators[14, 43, 44, 64, 65, 66]. Following the second way of doing, masses to the two lighter families are generated by higher dimensional operators corrections that are invariant under \mathbb{D}_4 symmetry and Z₂ parity. This invariance requirement leads to involve 6- and 7-dimensional chiral operators which contribute to the up- quark mass matrix as follows

$$\delta W = \sum_{i=1}^{5} x_i \delta W_i \tag{5.32}$$

with

$$\delta W_{1} = (10_{0,0}^{i})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,+})_{0} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0}^{i})_{-t_{5}} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_{5}} \\
\delta W_{2} = (10_{0,0}^{i})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_{5}} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0}^{i})_{-t_{5}} \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0}^{i})_{-t_{5}} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_{5}} \\
\delta W_{3} = (10_{0,0}^{i})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_{5}} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0}^{i})_{-t_{5}} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{-t_{5}} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_{5}}$$
(5.33)

and

$$\delta W_{4} = (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,+})_{0} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{-t_{5}} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_{5}}
\delta W_{5} = (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_{5}} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0}^{i})_{-t_{5}}^{2} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_{5}}$$
(5.34)

Notice that the adjunction of $(\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5}$ chiral superfield is required by invariance under \mathbb{Z}_2 parity. Using this deformation, a higher rank up- quark mass matrix is obtained as usual by giving VEVs to flavons as in in (5.29) and $\langle (\vartheta_{+,-})_{-t_5} \rangle = \varphi$. By calculating the product of the operators in eqs(5.33-5.34) using \mathbb{D}_4 fusion rules, we obtain

$$x_1 \delta W_1 = (10^i_{0,0})_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta^i_{0,0})_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5}$$

= $x_1 v_u (Q_1 \sigma_1 - Q_2 \sigma_2) u_2^c \omega$

and

$$x_{2}\delta W_{2} = (10^{i}_{0,0})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_{5}} \otimes (5^{H_{u}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta^{i}_{0,0})_{-t_{5}} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{-t_{5}} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_{5}}$$

$$= x_{2}v_{u}(Q_{1}\sigma_{2} - Q_{2}\sigma_{1})u_{1}^{c}\omega\varphi$$

$$(5.35)$$

The operator

$$(10_{0,0}^{i})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_{5}} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0}^{i})_{-t_{5}} \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0}^{i})_{-t_{5}} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_{5}}$$

contributes in the up-quark mass matrix (5.31) as a correction to the matrix elements $m_{1,1}$ and $m_{1,2}$; it has the same role as the higher operator (5.35); so we will not take it into account in the quark mass matrix. Expanding the remaining operators by help of the \mathbb{D}_4 rules, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_4 \delta W_4 &= (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5} \\ &= x_4 v_u \rho_0 \varphi \omega Q_3 u_2^c \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_5 \delta W_5 &= (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)^2_{-t_5} \otimes \left(\vartheta_{+,+}\right)_{t_5} \\ &= x_5 v_u \omega Q_3 u_1^c \left(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 \sigma_1\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Summing up all contributions, we end with the following up-quark matrix

$$M_{u,c,t} = v_u \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \sigma_1 \omega \varphi & x_1 \omega & \alpha_2 \rho_2 \\ -x_2 \sigma_1 \omega \varphi & -x_1 \omega & -\alpha_2 \rho_1 \\ 0 & x_4 \rho_0 \varphi \omega & \alpha_4 \rho_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.36)

• Down-type Yukawa

Following the same procedure as in up-Higgs type coupling, we can build invariant operators for the down-type Yukawa

$$(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-}^M)_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,+}^{H_d})_{t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{-t_5} (\overline{5}_{+,-}^M)_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,+}^{H_d})_{t_5} \otimes (10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})_{-t_5}$$

$$(5.37)$$

Restricting VEV of down Higgs $\langle H_d \rangle = v_d$, and using the flavons VEVs as in(5.29) as well as taking into account multiplicities, the first coupling gives a mass term of the form $m_i d_i^c Q_3$ with $m_i = \omega v_d y_{3,i}$ where $y_{3,i}$ are coupling constants. For the second term, we need to reduce $(10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})_{-t_5}$ into irreducible \mathbb{D}_4 representations; and restricts to the component $S_{(+,-)} = Q_i \otimes \sigma_i|_{(+,-)}$ with

$$S_{(+,-)} = Q_1 \sigma_1 + Q_2 \sigma_2 \tag{5.38}$$

So the couplings in eqs(5.37) may expressed like

$$y_{3,i}Q_3d_i^c\omega v_d + y_{1,i}(Q_1\sigma_1 + Q_2\sigma_2)d_i^c v_d$$
(5.39)

leading to the mass matrix

$$m_{d,s,b} = v_d \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,1}\sigma_1 & y_{1,2}\sigma_1 & y_{1,3}\sigma_1 \\ y_{1,1}\sigma_2 & y_{1,2}\sigma_2 & y_{1,3}\sigma_2 \\ y_{3,1}\omega & y_{3,2}\omega & y_{3,3}\omega \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.40)

5.3.2 Lepton sector

First we consider the charged leptons; and then turn to neutrinos.

• Charged leptons

Charged leptons masses are determined by the same operators used in the case of the down quark sector $10^M \otimes \overline{5}^M \otimes \overline{5}^{H_d}$; using spectrum eqs(5.11,5.16), the appropriate operators which provide mass to charged leptons are

$$(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-}^M)_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,+}^{H_d})_{t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{-t_5} (10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-}^M)_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,+}^{H_d})_{t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})_{-t_5}$$
(5.41)

giving the lepton mass term $m^{ij}e_i^c L_j$ with mass matrix

$$m_{e,\mu,\tau} = v_d \begin{pmatrix} z_{1,1}\sigma_1 & z_{1,2}\sigma_1 & z_{1,3}\sigma_1 \\ z_{1,1}\sigma_2 & z_{1,2}\sigma_2 & z_{1,3}\sigma_2 \\ z_{3,1}\omega & z_{3,2}\omega & z_{3,3}\omega \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.42)

• Neutrinos

Right handed neutrinos are as in eq(5.28), they have negative R- parity. Dirac neutrino term is embedded in the coupling $\left(\nu_i^c \otimes \overline{5}^M\right) \otimes 5^{H_u}$ where the right neutrino ν_i^c is an SU_5 singlet; it allows a total neutrino mass matrix using see-saw I mechanism [18]. The invariant operators that give the Dirac neutrino in $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model are

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,i} \left(\vartheta_{+,-}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\overline{5}_{+,-}^M\right)_0 \otimes \left(5_{-,+}^{H_u}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta_{-,+}\right)_0 \\ x_{2,i} \left(\vartheta_{0,0}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\overline{5}_{+,-}^M\right)_0 \otimes \left(5_{-,+}^{H_u}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta_{0,0}\right)_0' \end{aligned}$$
(5.43)

Using the \mathbb{D}_4 algebra rules and flavon VEV's, these couplings lead to

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,i}v_u\rho_0 L_i\nu_3^c \\ x_{2,i}v_u\rho_2 L_i\nu_1^c - x_{2,i}v_u\rho_1 L_i\nu_2^c \end{aligned} (5.44)$$

and then to a Dirac neutrino mass matrix as

$$m_D = v_u \begin{pmatrix} x_{2,1}\rho_2 & -x_{2,1}\rho_1 & x_{1,1}\rho_0 \\ x_{2,2}\rho_2 & -x_{2,2}\rho_1 & x_{1,2}\rho_0 \\ x_{2,3}\rho_2 & -x_{2,3}\rho_1 & x_{1,3}\rho_0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.45)

The Majorana neutrino term is given by $M\nu_i^c \otimes \nu_j^c$; by using eqs(5.11,5.16), the Majorana neutrino couplings in $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \\ (\vartheta_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})_0 \\ (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})'_0 \end{aligned}$$
(5.46)

we can also add the singlet $(\vartheta_{-,+})_0$ as a correction of the last two operators. The operators in above (5.46) lead to

$$m\nu_3^c\nu_3^c$$
 , $M\nu_1^c\nu_2^c$, $\lambda \nu_3^c(\nu_1^c\rho_1 + \nu_2^c\rho_2)$ (5.47)

and ends with a Majorana neutrino mass matrix like

$$m_{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M & \lambda \rho_{1} \\ M & 0 & \lambda \rho_{2} \\ \lambda \rho_{1} & \lambda \rho_{2} & m \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.48)

The general neutrino mass matrix is calculated using see-saw I mechanism; it reads as $M_{\nu} = -m_D m_M^{-1} m_D^{\top}$; and leads to the following effective neutrino mass matrix

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\nu} \simeq \xi_0 \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & m_{1,3} \\ m_{1,2} & m_{2,2} & m_{2,3} \\ m_{1,3} & m_{2,3} & m_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.49)

with

$$m_{1,1} = \lambda^{2} x_{2,1}^{2} \rho_{2}^{4} - 2x_{2,1}^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} m M + 2\lambda x_{2,1} \rho_{2}^{2} (\lambda x_{2,1} \rho_{1}^{2} - x_{1,1} M \rho_{0}) + (\lambda x_{2,1} \rho_{1}^{2} + x_{1,1} M \rho_{0})^{2} m_{2,2} = \lambda^{2} x_{2,2}^{2} \rho_{2}^{4} - 2x_{2,2}^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} m M + 2\lambda x_{2,2} \rho_{2}^{2} (\lambda x_{2,2} \rho_{1}^{2} - x_{1,2} M \rho_{0}) + (\lambda x_{2,2} \rho_{1}^{2} + x_{1,2} M \rho_{0})^{2} m_{3,3} = \lambda^{2} x_{2,3}^{2} \rho_{2}^{4} - 2x_{2,3}^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} m M + 2\lambda x_{2,3} \rho_{2}^{2} (\lambda x_{2,3} \rho_{1}^{2} - x_{1,3} M \rho_{0}) + (\lambda x_{2,3} \rho_{1}^{2} + x_{1,3} M \rho_{0})^{2}$$
(5.50)

and

$$m_{1,2} = \lambda^{2} x_{2,1} x_{2,2} \rho_{2}^{4} - 2x_{2,1} x_{2,2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} m M + (\lambda x_{2,1} \rho_{1}^{2} + x_{1,1} \rho_{0} M) (\lambda x_{2,2} \rho_{1}^{2} + x_{1,2} \rho_{0} M) + \lambda \rho_{2}^{2} [2\lambda x_{2,1} x_{2,2} \rho_{1}^{2} - \rho_{0} M (x_{1,1} x_{2,2} + x_{2,1} x_{1,2})] m_{1,3} = \lambda^{2} x_{2,1} x_{2,3} \rho_{2}^{4} - 2x_{2,1} x_{2,3} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} m M + (\lambda x_{2,1} \rho_{1}^{2} + x_{1,1} \rho_{0} M) (\lambda x_{2,3} \rho_{1}^{2} + x_{1,3} \rho_{0} M) + \lambda \rho_{2}^{2} [2\lambda x_{2,1} x_{2,3} \rho_{1}^{2} - \rho_{0} M (x_{1,1} x_{2,3} + x_{2,1} x_{1,3})] m_{2,3} = \lambda^{2} x_{2,2} x_{2,3} \rho_{2}^{4} - 2x_{2,2} x_{2,3} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} m M + (\lambda x_{2,2} \rho_{1}^{2} + x_{1,2} \rho_{0} M) (\lambda x_{2,3} \rho_{1}^{2} + x_{1,3} \rho_{0} M) + \lambda \rho_{2}^{2} [2\lambda x_{2,2} x_{2,3} \rho_{1}^{2} - \rho_{0} M (x_{1,3} x_{2,2} + x_{2,3} x_{1,2})]$$
(5.51)

and where we have set

$$\xi_0 = \frac{v_u^2}{M(mM - 2\lambda^2 \rho_1 \rho_2)}$$
(5.52)

To obtain neutrino mixing compatible with experiments we need a particular parametrization and some approximations on M_{ν} . To that purpose, recall that there are three approaches to mixing using: (i) the well know *Tribimaximal* (TBM) mixing matrix, (ii) *Bimaximal* (BM) and (iii) *Democratic* (DC); all of the TBM, BM and DC mixing matrices predict a zero value for the angle θ_{13} . However recent results reported by MINOS [24], Double Chooz [25],T2K [54], Daya Bay [55], and RENO [56] collaborations reaveled a non-zero θ_{13} ; such non-zero θ_{13} has been recently subject of great interest; in particular by perturbation of the TBM mixing matrix [57].

To estimate the proper masses of the M_{ν} matrix; we diagonalise it by using the unitary U_{TBM} TBM mixing matrix; we use the μ - τ symmetry requiring $m_{2,2} = m_{3,3}$, $m_{1,2} = m_{1,3}$; as well as the condition $m_{2,3} = m_{1,1} + m_{1,2} - m_{2,2}$. So we have $M_{\nu}^{diag} = U_{TBM}^{\top} M_{\nu} U_{TBM}$ with

$$U_{TBM} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.53)

and therefore

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\nu}^{diag} \simeq \xi_0 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.54)

with eigenvalues as

$$\lambda_{1} = \xi_{0}(m_{1,1} - m_{1,2})$$

$$\lambda_{2} = \xi_{0}(m_{1,1} + 2m_{1,2})$$

$$\lambda_{3} = \xi_{0}(2m_{3,2} - m_{1,1} - m_{1,2})$$
(5.55)

6 Conclusion and discussions

In this paper, we have developed a method based on characters of discrete group representations to study $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ - GUT models with dihedral monodromy symmetry. After having revisited the construction of $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ and $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_3 \times (U_1^{\perp})^2$ models from the character representation view, we have derived three $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models (referred here to as I, II and III) with curves spectrum respectively given by eqs(4.7-4.8), (4.11-4.12) and (4.14-4.15). These models follow from the three different ways of decomposing the irreducible \mathbb{S}_4 - triplets in terms of irreducible representations of \mathbb{D}_4 ; see eqs (4.6,4.10,4.13); such richness may be interpreted as due to the fact that \mathbb{D}_4 has four kinds of singlets with generator group characters given by the (p, q) pairs with $p, q = \pm 1$. Then we have focussed on the curve spectrum (4.7-4.8) of the first $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model; and studied the derivation of a MSSM- like spectrum by using particular multiplicity values and turning on adequate fluxes. We have found that with the choice of: (i) topquark family $\mathbf{10}_3$ as $(\mathbf{10}_{+-})_0$, transforming into a \mathbb{D}_4 - singlet with $\chi^{(a,b)}$ character equal to (1, -1); and (ii) a 5^{H_u} up-Higgs as $(5_{-,+})_0$, transforming into a different \mathbb{D}_4 - singlet with character equal to (-1, 1); there is no tri-Yukawa couplings of the form

$$(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u})_{++}$$

as far as $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ invariance is required; this makes $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model with two quark generations accommodated into a \mathbb{D}_4 - doublet non interesting phenomenologically. Monodromy invariant couplings require implementation of flavons $\vartheta_{p,q}$ by thinking of $5^{H_u} \sim (5_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0$ leading therefore to a superpotential of order 4. The same property appears with the down-Higgs couplings where $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ invariance of $10_3 \otimes \overline{5}_3^M \otimes \overline{5}^{H_d}$ requires: (α) a matter $\overline{5}_3^M \equiv (\overline{5}_{-,+}^M)_0$ in a U_1^{\perp} chargeless \mathbb{D}_4 - singlet with character (-1, 1); and (β) a curve $\overline{5}^{H_d}$ with a \mathbb{D}_4 - character like $(\overline{5}_{-,-})_{+t_5}$ composed with a charged flavon $(\vartheta_{++})_{-t_5}$; that is as

$$(\overline{5}_{-,-})_{+t_5}\otimes(\vartheta_{++})_{-t_5}$$

By analysing the conditions that a $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ -spectrum has to fulfill in order to have a tri-Yukawa coupling for top-quark family $\mathbf{10}_3$, we end with the constraint that the character of 5^{H_u} up-Higgs should be equal to (1, 1) as clearly seen on $10_{+,-} \otimes 10_{+,-} \otimes 5^{H_u}$. This constraint is valid even if 10_3 was chosen like $10_{+,+}$. By inspecting the spectrum of the three studied $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models; it results that the spectrum of the third model given by eqs(4.14-4.15) which allow tri- Yukawa coupling; for details on contents and couplings of models II and III; see appendix B.

7 Appendix A: Characters in S_4 -models

In this appendix, we give details on some useful properties of Γ -models studied in this paper; in particular on the representations of \mathbb{S}_4 and their characters.

7.1 Irreducible representations of \mathbb{S}_4

First, recall that S_4 has five *irreducible* representations; as shown on the character formula $24 = 1^2 + 1'^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + 3'^2$; these are the 1-dim representations including the trivial **1** and the sign $\epsilon = \mathbf{1}'$; a 2-dim representation **2**; and the 3-dim representations **3** and **3'**, obeying some "duality relation". This duality may be stated in different manners; but,

in simple words, it may be put in parallel with polar and axial vectors of 3-dim euclidian space. In the language of Young diagrams; these five irreducible representations are given by

$$\mathbf{1}: \square \square , \mathbf{2}: \square , \mathbf{3}: \square (7.1)$$
$$\mathbf{3}': \square , \mathbf{1}': \square (7.2)$$

and

This diagrammatic description is very helpful in dealing with S_4 representation theory [40, 41, 42]; it teaches us a set of useful information; in particular helpful data on the three following:

i) Expressions of (3.5)

In the representation 3 of the permutation group S_4 , the three x_i - weights in (3.5) read in terms of the t_i 's as

$$\vec{x} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 - t_2 - t_3 + t_4 \\ t_1 + t_2 - t_3 - t_4 \\ t_1 - t_2 + t_3 - t_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_4 - t_2 - t_3 \\ x_4 - t_3 - t_4 \\ x_4 - t_4 - t_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(7.3)

where $x_4 = \frac{1}{2}(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4)$ is the completely symmetric term. The normalisation coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ is fixed by requiring the transformation $x_i = U_{ij}t_j$ as follows

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \det U = 1$$
(7.4)

For the the representation 3', we have

$$\vec{x}' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 - 3t_2 + t_3 + t_4 \\ t_1 + t_2 - 3t_3 + t_4 \\ t_1 + t_2 + t_3 - 3t_4 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} x_4 - 2t_2 \\ x_4 - 2t_3 \\ x_4 - 2t_4 \end{pmatrix}$$
(7.5)

The entries of these triplets are cyclically rotated by the (234) permutation.

ii) \mathbb{S}_4 -*triplets as 3-cycle* (234)

The $\{|t_i\rangle\}$ and $\{|x_i\rangle\}$ weight bases are related by the orthogonal 5×5 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} U & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad |x_i\rangle = U_{ij} |t_j\rangle$$
(7.6)

with U as in (7.4); and then

$$t_{1} = \frac{1}{2}(x_{4} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3})$$

$$t_{2} = \frac{1}{2}(x_{4} - x_{1} + x_{2} - x_{3})$$

$$t_{3} = \frac{1}{2}(x_{4} - x_{1} - x_{2} + x_{3})$$

$$t_{4} = \frac{1}{2}(x_{4} + x_{1} - x_{2} - x_{3})$$
(7.7)

From these transformations, we learn $t_i = U_{ki}x_k$; and then $t_i \pm t_j = (U_{ki} \pm U_{kj})x_k$ which can be also expressed $t_i \pm t_j = V_{ij}^{\pm kl}X_{kl}^{\pm}$. Similar relations can be written down for $\{|x'_i\rangle\}$.

7.2 Characters

The discrete symmetry group S_4 model has 24 elements arranged into five conjugacy classes $\mathfrak{C}_1, ..., \mathfrak{C}_5$ as on table (7.8); it has five irreducible representations $\mathbf{R}_1, ..., \mathbf{R}_5$ with dimensions given by the relation $24 = 1^2 + 1^{2\prime} + 2^2 + 3^2 + 3^{2\prime}$; their character table $\chi_{ij} = \chi_{\mathbf{R}_i}(\mathfrak{C}_i)$ is as given below

$\mathfrak{C}_i \setminus \mathrm{irrep} \; oldsymbol{R}_j$	χ_{I}	$\chi_{3'}$	χ_{2}	χ_{3}	χ_{ϵ}	number	
$\mathfrak{C}_1 \equiv e$	1	3	2	3	1	1	
$\mathfrak{C}_2 \equiv (\alpha\beta)$	1	-1	0	1	-1	6	(7.8)
$\mathfrak{C}_3 \equiv (\alpha\beta)(\gamma\delta)$	1	-1	2	-1	1	3	(1.0)
$\mathfrak{C}_4 \equiv (\alpha \beta \gamma)$	1	0	-1	0	1	8	
$\mathfrak{C}_5 \equiv (\alpha \beta \gamma \delta)$	1	1	0	-1	-1	6	

The S_4 group has 3 non commuting generators (a, b, c) which can be chosen as given by the 2-, 3- and 4- cycles obeying amongst others the cyclic relations $a^2 = b^3 = c^4 = I_{id}$. In our approach the character of these generators have been used in the engineering of GUT models with S_4 monodromy; they are as follows

χ_{ij}	χ_{I}	$\chi_{3'}$	χ_{2}	χ_{3}	χ_{ϵ}
a	1	-1	0	1	-1
b	1	0	-1	0	1
c	1	1	0	-1	-1

In the $SU_5 \times S_4$ theory considered in paper, the various curves of the spectrum of the GUT- model belong to S_4 - multiplets which can be decomposed into irreducible representation of S_4 . In doing so, one ends with curves indexed by the characters of the generators of S_4 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{4} &= \mathbf{1}_{(1,1,1)} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{(1,0,-1)} \\
\mathbf{6} &= \mathbf{3}_{(1,0,-1)} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{(-1,0,1)}'
\end{aligned} (7.10)$$

8 Appendix B: Results on $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$ models II & III

In this appendix, we collect results regarding the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ models II and III of subsections § 4.2.2 and § 4.2.3. In addition to higher order terms, we also study when couplings like

Couplings	SU_5	\mathbb{D}_4	U_1^{\perp}	Parity
$10_i \otimes 10_j \otimes 5_{H_u}$	1	$1_{+,+}$	0	+
$10_i \otimes \overline{5}_j \otimes \overline{5}_{H_d}$	1	$1_{+,+}$	0	+
$ u_i^c \otimes \overline{5}_M \otimes 5_{H_u} $	1	$1_{+,+}$	0	+
$m u_i^c\otimes u_j^c$	1	$1_{+,+}$	0	+

(8.1)

can be generated.

8.1 $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$ model II

The spectrum of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model II under breaking $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ to MSSM is given by:

Curve in D_4 model II	U_1^\perp	Spectrum in MSSM	
$10_1 = 10_{+,-}$	0	$M_1Q_L + u_L^c(M_1 - N - P) + e_L^c(M_1 + N + P)$	
$10_2 = 10_{-,+}$	0	$M_2Q_L + u_L^c M_2 + e_L^c M_2$	
$10_3 = 10_{+,-}$	0	$M_3Q_L + u_L^c M_3 + e_L^c M_3$	
$10_4 = 10_{+,+}$	0	$M_4 Q_L + u_L^c (M_4 + P) + e_L^c (M_4 - P)$	
$10_5 = 10_{+,+}$	1	$M_5Q_L + u_L^c(M_5 + N) + e_L^c(M_5 - N)$	
$5_1 = 5_{+,-}$	0	$M_1'\overline{d}_L^c + (M_1' + N + P)\overline{L}$	
$5_2 = 5_{-,+}$	0	$M_2' \overline{d}_L^c + M_2' \overline{L}$	$(\circ \gamma)$
$5_3 = 5_{-,+}$	0	$M'_3\overline{D}_d + (M'_3 - N)\overline{H}_d$	(0.2)
$5_4 = 5_{+,-}$	0	$M_4'D_u + (M_4' - P)H_u$	
$5_5 = 5_{-,+}$	0	$M_5' \overline{d}_L^c + M_5' \overline{L}$	
$5_6 = 5_{+,-}$	0	$M_6' \overline{d}_L^c + M_6' \overline{L}$	
$5_7 = 5^{t_5}_{+,-}$	-1	$M_7' \overline{d_L^c} + (M_7' - P) \overline{L}$	
$5_8 = 5^{t_5}_{-,+}$	-1	$M_8' \overline{d_L^c} + M_8' \overline{L}$	
$5_9 = 5^{t_5}_{+,-}$	-1	$M_9' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_9' - N) \overline{L}$	
$5_{10} = 5^{t_5}_{+,+}$	-1	$M_{10}^{\prime}\overline{d}_{L}^{c} + (M_{10}^{\prime} + N + P)\overline{L}$	

To get 3 generations of matter curves and 2 Higgs doublets of MSSM, taking into account the constraints in subsection (5.1), we make the following choice of the flux parameters;

P = -N = 1, and

$$M_{1} = M_{2} = M_{3} = M_{4} = -M_{5} = 1$$

$$M'_{1} = M'_{3} = M'_{4} = M'_{8} = M'_{10} = 0$$

$$M'_{2} = M'_{5} = M'_{6} = M'_{9} = -M'_{7} = -1$$
(8.3)

Using the property $\sum_{i} M_5^i = -\sum_{i} M_{10}^i = -3$, the localization of Higgs curves are as $5^{H_u} = 5_{-,+}, \overline{5}^{H_d} = 5_{+,-}$; and the third generation like $10_1 = 10^{M_3}$; and $5_2 = 5^{M_3}$. The distribution of the matter curves is collected in the following table:

Curve in D_4 model II	U_1^\perp	Spectrum in MSSM	Z_2 parity
$10_1 = 10^{M_3} = (10_{+,-})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	_
$10_2 = (10_{-,+})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	_
$10_3 = (10_{+,-})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	_
$10_4 = (10_{+,+})_0$	0	$Q_L + 2u_L^c$	+
$10_5 = (10_{+,+})_{t_5}$	1	$-Q_L - 2u_L^c$	_
$5_1 = (5_{+,-})_0$	0	—	+
$5_2 = 5^{M_3} = (5_{-,+})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_{L}^{c}-\overline{L}$	_
$5_3 = (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0$	0	H_u	+
$5_4 = (5_{+,-}^{H_d})_0$	0	$-\overline{H}_d$	+
$5_5 = 5^{M_1} = (5_{-,+})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_{L}^{c}-\overline{L}$	_
$5_6 = 5^{M_2} = (5_{+,-})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_{L}^{c}-\overline{L}$	_
$5_7 = (5_{+,-})_{-t_5}$	-1	\overline{d}_{L}^{c}	+
$5_8 = (5_{-,+})_{-t_5}$	-1	_	+
$5_9 = (5_{+,-})_{-t_5}$	-1	$-\overline{d}_{L}^{c}$	+
$5_{10} = (5_{+,+})_{-t_{\rm F}}$	-1	_	+

(8.4)

From this spectrum, we learn that we have three families of fermions, an extra vector like pairs, $d_L^c + \overline{d}_L^c$, $Q_L + \overline{Q}_L$; and two $2(u_L^c + \overline{u}_L^c)$ which are expected to get a large mass if some of the singlet states acquire large VEV's. In this \mathbb{D}_4 model; there are only singlet flavons transforming in the representations $1_{+,+}$, $1_{+,-}$, $1_{-,+}$; with and without t_5 charges, they are classified as $(\vartheta_{p,q})_{0,\pm t_5}$ with $p,q = \pm 1$; they lead to the following order 4-couplings

• Up-type quark Yukawa couplings

The allowed Yukawa couplings that are invariant under $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ are:

$$(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0}$$

$$(10_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (10_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0}$$

$$(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0}$$

$$(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (10_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{0}$$

$$(10_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{0}$$

$$(10_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{0}$$

• Down-type quark Yukawa couplings

The Yukawa couplings down-type are:

$$(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0}
(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0}
(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{0}
(10_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{0}
(10_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0}
(10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{0}$$

8.2 $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$ model III

Curves in D_4 model III	U_1^\perp	Spectrum in MSSM	
$10_1 = 10_{+,+}$	0	$M_1Q_L + u_L^c(M_1 - N - P) + e_L^c(M_1 + N + P)$	
$10_2 = 10_{-,-}$	0	$M_2Q_L + u_L^c M_2 + e_L^c M_2$	
$10_3 = 10_{+,-}$	0	$M_3Q_L + u_L^c M_3 + e_L^c M_3$	
$10_4 = 10_{+,+}$	0	$M_4 Q_L {+} u_L^c (M_4 {+} P) {+} e_L^c (M_4 {-} P)$	
$10_5 = 10_{+,+}$	1	$M_5Q_L + u_L^c(M_5 + N) + e_L^c(M_5 - N)$	
$5_1 = 5_{+,+}$	0	$M_1'\overline{d}_L^c + (M_1' + N + P)\overline{L}$	
$5_2 = 5_{-,-}$	0	$M_2' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_2' - \kappa_1 P) \overline{L}$	(97)
$5_3 = 5_{-,+}$	0	$M'_3D_u + (M'_3 - N)\overline{H}_d$	(0.7)
$5_4 = 5_{+,+}$	0	$M_4' \overline{D}_d + (M_4' - \kappa_2 P) H_u$	
$5_5 = 5_{-,-}$	0	$M_5' \overline{d}_L^c + M_5' \overline{L}$	
$5_6 = 5_{+,-}$	0	$M_6' \overline{d}_L^c + M_6' \overline{L}$	
$5_7 = 5_{+,+}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_7' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_7' - \kappa_1 P) \overline{L}$	
$5_8 = 5^{t_5}_{-,-}$	-1	$M_8' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_8' - \kappa_2 P) \overline{L}$	
$5_9 = 5_{+,-}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_9' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_9' - N) \overline{L}$	
$5_{10} = 5_{+,+}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_{10}^{\prime}\overline{d}_{L}^{c} + (M_{10}^{\prime} + N + P)\overline{L}$	

The spectrum of the model $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ Model III is as follows

The 3 generations of fermions and the 2 Higgs H_u , H_d are obtained by taking the fluxes like N = -P = -1 with $\kappa_1 = 0$, $\kappa_2 = 1$; and

$$M_{1} = M_{2} = M_{3} = M_{4} = -M_{5} = 1$$

$$M'_{1} = M'_{3} = M'_{4} = M'_{7} = M'_{10} = 0$$

$$M'_{2} = M'_{5} = M'_{6} = M'_{8} = -M'_{9} = -1$$
(8.8)

We choose the Higgs curves as $5^{H_u} = (5^{H_u}_{+,+})_0$, $5^{H_d} = (5^{H_d}_{-,+})_0$ and the third 10^{M_3} , $\overline{5}^{M_3}$ generation as follow

Curves in D_4 model III	U_1^\perp	Spectrum in MSSM	Z_2 parity
$10_1 = 10^{M_3} = (10_{+,+})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	_
$10_2 = (10_{-,-})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	_
$10_3 = (10_{+,-})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	_
$10_4 = (10_{+,+})_0$	0	$Q_L + 2e_L^c$	+
$10_5 = (10_{+,+})_{t_5}$	1	$-Q_L - 2e_L^c$	_
$5_1 = (5_{+,+})_0$	0	-	+
$5_2 = 5^{M_3} = (5_{-,-})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_{L}^{c}-\overline{L}$	—
$5_3 = (5^{H_d}_{-,+})_0$	0	$-\overline{H}_d$	+
$5_4 = (5_{+,+}^{H_u})_0$	0	H_u	+
$5_5 = 5^{M_1} = (5_{-,-})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_{L}^{c}-\overline{L}$	_
$5_6 = 5^{M_2} = (5_{+,-})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_{L}^{c}-\overline{L}$	_
$5_7 = (5_{+,+})_{-t_5}$	-1	_	+
$5_8 = (5_{-,-})_{-t_5}$	-1	$-\overline{d}_{L}^{c}$	+
$5_9 = (5_{+,-})_{-t_5}$	-1	\overline{d}_L^c	+
$5_{10} = (5_{+,+})_{-t_5}$	-1	_	+

(8.9)

• Up-type quark Yukawa couplings

The allowed Yukawa couplings that are invariant under $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ and preserving parity symmetry are:

$$(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_u})_0 \tag{8.10}$$

for third generation; and

$$(10_{-,-})_{0} \otimes (10_{-,-})_{0} \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} (10_{+,+})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,+})_{0} \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} (10_{+,+})_{0} \otimes (10_{-,-})_{0} \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,-})_{0} (10_{+,+})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{0} (10_{-,-})_{0} \otimes (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_{u}})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0}$$

$$(8.11)$$

• Down-type quark Yukawa couplings

The Yukawa coupling down-type are:

$$(10_{+,+})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_0 \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_d})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \tag{8.12}$$

for third generation; and

$$(10_{+,+})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{0} \\ (10_{+,+})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,-})_{0} \\ (10_{-,-})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0} \\ (10_{-,-})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_{0} \\ (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{-,+})_{0} \\ (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{-,+})_{0} \\ (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{-,+})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{-,+})_{0} \\ (10_{+,-})_{0} \otimes (5^{H_{d}}_{-,+})_{0} \\ (10_{$$

For the neutrino sectors in both models II and III, the couplings are embedded in the Dirac and Majorana operators as for model I; their mass matrix depend on the choice of the localization of right neutrino in the singlet curves $\vartheta_{\pm,\pm}$.

9 Appendix C: Monodromy and flavor symmetry

We begin by recalling that in F-theory GUTs, quantum numbers of particle fields and their gauge invariant interactions descend from an affine E_8 singularity in the internal Calabi-Yau Geometry: $CY4 \sim \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_3$. The observed gauge bosons, the 4D matter generations and the Yukawa couplings of standard model arise from symmetry breaking of the underlying E_8 gauge symmetry of compactification of F- theory to 4D space time. In this appendix, we use known results on F-theory GUTs to exhibit the link between non abelian monodromy and flavor symmetry which relates the three flavor generations of SM. First, we briefly describe how abelian monodromy like \mathbb{Z}_p appear in F-GUT models; then we study the extension to non abelian discrete symmetries such the dihedral \mathbb{D}_4 we have considered in present study.

9.1 Abelian monodromy

One of the interesting field realisations of the F-theory approach to GUT is given by the remarkable $SU_5 \times SU_5^{\perp}$ model with basic features encoded in the internal geometry; in particular the two following useful ones: (i) the $SU_5 \times SU_5^{\perp}$ invariance follows from a particular breaking way of E_8 ; and (ii) the full spectrum of the field representations of the model is as in eq(2.1). From the internal CY4 geometry view, SU_5 and SU_5^{\perp} have interpretation in terms of singularities; the SU_5 lives on the so called GUT surface S_{GUT} ; it appears in terms of the singular locus of the following Tate form of the elliptic fibration $y^2 = x^3 + b_5 xy + b_4 x^2 z + b_3 y z^2 + b_2 x z^3 + b_0 z^5$; it is the gauge symmetry visible in 4D

space time of the GUT model. Quite similarly, the SU_5^{\perp} may be also imagined to have an analogous geometric representation in the internal geometry; but with different physical interpretation; it lives as well on a complex surface S'; another divisor of the base \mathcal{B}_3 of the complex four dimensional elliptic CY4 fibration. Obviously these two divisors are different, but intersect. Here, we want to focus on aspects of the representations of SU_5^{\perp} appearing in eq(2.1) and too particulary on the associated matter curves Σ_{t_i} , $\Sigma_{t_i+t_j}$, $\Sigma_{t_i-t_j}$; which are nicely described in the spectral cover method using an extra spectral parameter s. If thinking of the hidden SU_5^{\perp} in terms of a broken symmetry by an abelian flux or Higgsing down to its Cartan subgroup, the resulting symmetry of the GUT model becomes $U(1)^4 \times SU_5$ with⁴

$$U(1)^{4} = U(1)_{1} \times U(1)_{2} \times U(1)_{3} \times U(1)_{4}$$

$$\equiv \prod_{i=1}^{4} U(1)_{i}$$
(9.1)

The extra U(1)'s in the breaking $U(1)^4 \times SU_5$ put constraints on the superpotential couplings of the effective low energy model; the simultaneous existence of $U(1)^4$ is phenomenologically undesirable since it does not allow a tree-level Yukawa coupling for the top quark. This ambiguity is overcome by imposing abelian monodromies among the U(1)'s allowing the emergence of a rank one fermion mass matrix structure; see eqs(9.4-9.5) given below.

Following the presentation of section 2 of this paper, the spectral covers describing the above invariance are given by polynomials with an affine variable s as in eq(2.8); see also (2.9,2.12,2.13). To fix the ideas, we consider monodromy properties of 10-plets Σ_{t_i} encoded in the spectral cover equation

$$\mathcal{C}_5: b_5 + b_3 s^2 + b_2 s^3 + b_4 s^4 + b_0 s^5 = 0 \tag{9.2}$$

The location of the seven branes on GUT surface associated to this SU_5 representation is given by $b_5 = 0$. Using the method of [18, 27, 30, 31], the possible abelian monodromies are \mathbb{Z}_2 , \mathbb{Z}_3 , \mathbb{Z}_4 , $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$; they lead to factorizations of the \mathcal{C}_5 spectral cover as

$$\mathcal{C}_2 \times (\mathcal{C}_1)^3$$
, $\mathcal{C}_3 \times (\mathcal{C}_1)^2$, $\mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_3 \times \mathcal{C}_2$, $(\mathcal{C}_2)^2 \times \mathcal{C}_1$ (9.3)

and to the respective identification of the weights $\{t_1, t_2\}$, $\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$, $\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$, $\{t_1, t_2\} \cup \{t_3, t_4, t_5\}$ and $\{t_1, t_2\} \cup \{t_3, t_4\}$.

⁴ Recall the three useful relations: (a) Let $\vec{H} = (H_1, ..., H_4)$ the generators of the U(1)_i charge factors and $E_{\pm \alpha_i}$ the step operators associated with the simple roots $\vec{\alpha}_i$, then we have $[E_{+\alpha_i}, E_{-\alpha_i}] = \vec{\alpha}_i \cdot \vec{H} \cdot (b)$ If denoting by $|\vec{\mu}\rangle$ a weight vector of the fundamental representation of SU_5^{\perp} , then we have $\vec{\alpha}_i \cdot \vec{H} |\vec{\mu}\rangle = \lambda_i |\vec{\mu}\rangle$ with $\lambda_i = \vec{\alpha}_i \cdot \vec{\mu}$. (c) using the 4 usual fundamental weight vectors $\vec{\omega}_i$ dual to the 4 simple roots, the 5 weight vectors $\{\vec{\mu}_k\}$ of the representation are: $\vec{\mu}_1 = \vec{\omega}_1$, $\vec{\mu}_2 = \vec{\omega}_2 - \vec{\omega}_1$, $\vec{\mu}_3 = \vec{\omega}_3 - \vec{\omega}_2$, $\vec{\mu}_4 = \vec{\omega}_4 - \vec{\omega}_3$, $\vec{\mu}_5 = -\vec{\omega}_4$.

The algebraic equations for the matter curves Σ_{t_i} , $\Sigma_{t_i+t_j}$, $\Sigma_{t_i-t_j}$ in terms of the t_i weights associated with the SU_5^{\perp} fundamental representation are respectively given by $t_i = 0$; $(t_i + t_j)_{i < j} = 0$ and $\pm (t_i - t_j)_{i < j} = 0$; they are denoted like 10_{t_i} , $\overline{5}_{t_i+t_j}$ and $1_{\pm(t_i-t_j)}$; see eq(2.2).

As a first step to approach non abelian monodromies we are interested in here, it is helpful to notice the two useful following things: (a) the homology 2-cycles in the CY4 underlying $SU_5 \times U(1)^4$ invariance has monodromies captured by a finite discrete group that can be used as a constraint in the modeling. (b) from the view of phenomenology, these monodromies must be at least \mathbb{Z}_2 in order to have top- quark Yukawa coupling at tree level as noticed before. Notice moreover that under this \mathbb{Z}_2 , matter multiplets of the SU_5 model split into two \mathbb{Z}_2 sectors⁵: even and odd; for example the two tenplets $\{10_{t_1}, 10_{t_2}\}$ are interchanged under $t_1 \leftrightarrow t_2$; the corresponding eigenstates are given by $10_{t_{\pm}}$ with eigenvalues ± 1 . By requiring the identification $t_1 \leftrightarrow t_2$, naively realised by setting $t_1 = t_2 = t$, matter couplings in the model get restricted; therefore the off diagonal tree level Yukawa coupling

$$10_{t_1} \cdot 10_{t_2} \cdot 5_{-t_1 - t_2} \tag{9.4}$$

which is invariant under $SU_5 \times U(1)^4$, becomes after $t_1 \leftrightarrow t_2$ identification a diagonal top-quark interaction invariant under \mathbb{Z}_2 monodromy. The resulting Yukawa coupling reads as follows [27, 30, 31]

$$10_t . 10_t . 5_{-2t}$$
 (9.5)

the other diagonal coupling $10_0.10_0.5_{-2t}$ is forbidden by the U(1) symmetry; see footnote 5. Notice that for bottom- quark the typical Yukawa coupling $10_t.\bar{5}_{t_i+t_j}.\bar{5}_{t_k+t_l}$ is allowed by \mathbb{Z}_2 while $10_0.\bar{5}_{t_i+t_j}.\bar{5}_{t_k+t_l}$ is forbidden.

In this monodromy invariant theory, the symmetry of the model is given by $SU_5 \times U(1)^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$; it may be interpreted as the invariance that remains after taking the coset with respect to \mathbb{Z}_2 ; that is by a factorisation of type $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ with $H = G/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Indeed, starting from $SU_5 \times U(1)^4$ and performing the two following operations: (i) use the traceless property of the *fundamental* representation of SU_5^{\perp} to think of (9.1) like

$$U(1)^{4} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{5} U(1)_{t_{i}}\right) / \mathcal{J}$$
(9.6)

with $\mathcal{J} = \{t_i \mid t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 = 0\} \simeq U(1)_{diag}$; this property is a rephrasing of the usual U(5) factorisation; i.e $SU(5) = \frac{U(5)}{U(1)}$. (ii) substitute the product $U(1)_{t_1} \times U(1)_{t_2}$ by the reduced abelian group $U(1)_t \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ where monodromy group has been explicitly exhibited. In this way of doing, one disposes of a discrete group that may be

⁵ In general we have two \mathbb{Z}_2 eigenstates: $t_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 \pm t_2)$ with eigenvalues ± 1 . While any function of t_+ is \mathbb{Z}_2 invariant, only those functions depending on $(t_-)^2$ which are symmetric with respect to \mathbb{Z}_2 .

promoted to a symmetry of the fields spectrum. To that purpose, we need two more steps: first explore all allowed discrete monodromy groups; and second study how to link these groups to flavor symmetry. For the extension of above \mathbb{Z}_2 , a similar method can be used to build other prototypes; in particular models with abelian discrete symmetries like $SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-k} \times \mathbb{Z}_k$ with k = 3, 4, 5; or more generally as

$$SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-p-q} \times \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$$

$$(9.7)$$

where $1 and <math>\mathbb{Z}_1 \equiv I_{id}$, $\mathbb{Z}_0 \equiv I_{id}$. Notice that the discrete groups in eq(9.7) are natural extensions of those of the theories with $SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-k} \times \mathbb{Z}_k$ symmetry; and that the condition $p + q \leq 5$ on allowed abelian monodromies is intimately related with the Weyl symmetry $\mathcal{W}_{SU_5^{\perp}}$ of SU_5^{\perp} . Therefore, we end with the conclusion that the $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ abelian discrete groups in above relation are in fact particular subgroups of the non abelian symmetric group $\mathcal{W}_{SU_5^{\perp}} \simeq \mathbb{S}_5$.

9.2 Non abelian monodromy and flavor symmetry

To begin notice that the appearance of abelian discrete symmetry in the SU_5 based GUT models with invariance (9.7) is remarkable and suggestive. It is remarkable because these finite discrete symmetries have a geometric interpretation in the internal CY4; and constitutes then a prediction of F- theory GUT. It is suggestive since such kind of discrete groups, especially their non abelian generalisation, are highly desirable in phenomenology; particularly in playing the role of a flavor symmetry. In this regards, it is interesting to recall that it is quite well established that neutrino flavors are mixed; and this property requires non abelian discrete group symmetries like the alternating \mathbb{A}_4 group which has been subject to intensive research during last decade [32, 33, 34, 52, 53]. Following the conjecture of [15, 16], non-abelian discrete symmetries may be reached in F- theory GUT by assuming the existence of a non abelian flux breaking the SU_5^{\perp} down to a non abelian group $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{W}_{SU_5^{\perp}}$. In this view, one may roughly think about the $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ group of (9.7) as special symmetries of a family of SU_5 based GUT models with invariance given by

$$SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-k} \times \Gamma_k \tag{9.8}$$

where now Γ_k is a subgroup of \mathbb{S}_5 that can be a non abelian discrete group. In this way of doing, one then distinguishes several SU_5 GUT models with non abelian discrete symmetries classified by the number of surviving U(1)'s. In presence of no U(1) symmetry, we have prototypes like $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_5$ and $SU_5 \times \mathbb{A}_5$; while for a theory with one U(1), we have symmetries as follows

$$SU_5 \times U(1) \times \mathbb{S}_4$$

$$SU_5 \times U(1) \times \mathbb{A}_4$$

$$SU_5 \times U(1) \times \mathbb{D}_4$$

(9.9)

where the alternating \mathbb{A}_4 and dihedral \mathbb{D}_4 are the usual subgroups of \mathbb{S}_4 itself contained in \mathbb{S}_5 . In the case with two U(1)'s, monodromy gets reduced like $SU_5 \times U(1)^2 \times \mathbb{S}_3$. Moreover, by using non abelian discrete monodromy groups Γ_k , one ends with an important feature; these discrete groups have, in addition to trivial representations, higher dimensional representations that are candidates to host more than one matter generation. Under transformations of Γ_k ; the generations get in general mixed. Therefore the non abelian Γ_k 's in particular those having 3- and/or 2-dimensional irreducible representations may be naturally interpreted in terms of flavor symmetry.

In the end of this section, we would like to add a comment on the splitting spectral cover construction regarding non abelian discrete monodromy groups like \mathbb{A}_4 and \mathbb{D}_4 . In the models (9.9), the spectral cover for the fundamental \mathcal{C}_5 is factorised like $\mathcal{C}_5 = \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1$ and similarly for \mathcal{C}_{10} and \mathcal{C}_{20} respectively associated with the antisymmetric and the adjoint of SU_5^{\perp} . In the $\mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1$ splitting, we have

$$C_4 = a_5 s^4 + a_4 s^3 + a_3 s^2 + a_2 s + a_1$$

$$C_1 = a_7 s + a_6$$
(9.10)

where the a_i 's are complex holomorphic sections. For the generic case where the coefficients a_i are free, the splitted spectral cover $C_4 \times C_1$ has an \mathbb{S}_4 monodromy. To have splitted spectral covers with monodromies given by the subgroups \mathbb{A}_4 and \mathbb{D}_4 , one needs to put constraints on the a_i 's; these conditions have been studied in [14, 16]; they are non linear relations given by Galois theory. Indeed, starting from $SU_5 \times SU_5^{\perp}$ model and borrowing tools from [16], the breaking of $SU_5 \times SU_5^{\perp}$ down to $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U(1)$ model considered in this paper may be imagined in steps as follows: first breaking SU_5^{\perp} to subgroup $SU_4^{\perp} \times U(1)$ by an abelian flux; then breaking the SU_4^{\perp} part to the discrete group \mathbb{S}_4 by a non-abelian flux as conjectured in [15, 16]; deformations of this flux lead to subgroups of \mathbb{S}_4 . To obtain the constraints describing the \mathbb{D}_4 splitted spectral cover descending from $C_4 \times C_1$, we use Galois theory; they are given by a set of two constraints on the holomorphic sections of $C_4 \times C_1$; and are obtained as follows:

(i) the first constraint comes from the discriminant $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}_4}$ of the spectral cover \mathcal{C}_4 which should not be a perfect square; that is $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}_4} \neq \delta^2$. The explicit expression of the discriminant of \mathcal{C}_4 has been computed in literature; so we have

$$108a_0(\lambda a_6^2 + 4a_1a_7)(\kappa^2 a_7^2 + a_0(\lambda a_6^2 + 4a_1a_7))^2 \neq \delta^2$$
(9.11)

where dependence into a_6 and a_7 is due to solving the traceless condition $b_1 = 0$ in $C_5 = C_4 \times C_1$. (*ii*) the second constraint is given by a condition on the cubic resolvent which should be like $R_{C_4}(s)|_{s=0} = 0$. The expression of $R_{C_4}(s)$ is known; it leads to

$$a_2^2 a_7 = a_1 \left(a_0 a_6^2 + 4a_3 a_7 \right) \tag{9.12}$$

where a_0 is a parameter introduced by the solving the traceless condition $b_1 = 0$; for explicit details see [16].

Acknowledgement 1 Saidi thanks ICTP Trieste- Italy for kind hospitality where part of this work has been done.

References

- [1] C. Vafa, *Evidence for F theory*, Nucl. Phys. B 469 (1996) 403 arXiv:hep-th/9602022.
- [2] R. Donagi and M. Wijnholt, Model Building with F-Theory, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 15 (2011) 1237 [arXiv:0802.2969 [hep-th]].
- [3] C. Beasley, J. J. Heckman and C. Vafa, *GUTs and Exceptional Branes in F-theory* - I, JHEP **0901** (2009) 058 [arXiv:0802.3391 [hep-th]].
- [4] C. Beasley, J. J. Heckman and C. Vafa, GUTs and Exceptional Branes in F-theory
 II: Experimental Predictions, JHEP 0901 (2009) 059 [arXiv:0806.0102 [hep-th]].
- [5] T. Weigand, Lectures on F-theory compactifications and model building, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 214004 [arXiv:1009.3497 [hep-th]].
- [6] R. Donagi and M. Wijnholt, *Higgs Bundles and UV Completion in F-Theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **326** (2014) 287 [arXiv:0904.1218 [hep-th]].
- [7] R. Donagi and M. Wijnholt, Breaking GUT Groups in F-Theory, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 15 (2011) 1523 [arXiv:0808.2223 [hep-th]].
- [8] R. Blumenhagen, T. W. Grimm, B. Jurke and T. Weigand, *Global F-theory GUTs*, Nucl. Phys. B 829 (2010) 325 [arXiv:0908.1784].
- [9] J. Tate, "Algorithm for Determining the Type of a Singular Fiber in an Elliptic Pencil," in Modular Functions of One Variable IV, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 476, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1975).
- [10] Sven Krippendorf, Sakura Schafer-Nameki, Jin-Mann Wong, arXiv:1507.05961,

- [11] F. Denef, Les Houches Lectures on Constructing String Vacua, arXiv:0803.1194.
- [12] J. Marsano, N. Saulina and S. Schafer-Nameki, Monodromies, Fluxes, and Compact Three-Generation F-theory GUTs, JHEP 0908 (2009) 046 [arXiv:0906.4672 [hepth]].
- [13] Florent Baume, Eran Palti, Sebastian Schwieger, On E_8 and F-Theory GUTs, arXiv:1502.03878,
- [14] Athanasios Karozasy, Stephen F. King, George K. Leontaris and Andrew Meadowcroft, Discrete Family Symmetry from F-Theory GUTs, [arXiv:1406.6290v4 [hepph]],
- [15] I. Antoniadis and G. K. Leontaris, Neutrino mass textures from F-theory, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2670 [arXiv:1308.1581 [hep-th]].
- [16] Athanasios Karozasy, Stephen F. King, George K. Leontaris, Andrew K.Meadowcroft, Phenomenological implications of a minimal F-theory GUT with discrete symmetry, [arXiv1505.009337v3 [hep-th]].
- [17] Anshuman Maharana, Eran Palti, Models of Particle Physics from Type IIB String Theory and F-theory: A Review, arXiv:1212.0555,
- [18] J. J. Heckman, A. Tavanfar and C. Vafa, The Point of E(8) in F-theory GUTs, JHEP 1008 (2010) 040 [arXiv:0906.0581 [hep-th]].
- [19] Hirotaka Hayashi, Teruhiko Kawano, Yoichi Tsuchiya, Taizan Watari, HEP 1008:036, 2010, [arXiv:0910.2762 [hep-th]].
- [20] A. Font, L. E. Ibanez, F. Marchesano and D. Regalado, Non-perturbative effects and Yukawa hierarchies in F-theory SU(5) Unification, JHEP 1303 (2013) 140 [Erratumibid. 1307 (2013) 036] [arXiv:1211.6529 [hep-th]].
- [21] S. Cecotti, M. C. N. Cheng, J. J. Heckman and C.Vafa, arXiv:0910.0477[hep-th].
- [22] L. Aparicio, A. Font, L. E. Ibanez and F. Marchesano, JHEP 1108 (2011)152 [arXiv:1104.2609[hep-th]].
- [23] Asan Damanik, Non zero θ_{13} and Neutrino Masses from Modified TBM, EJTP 11, No. 31 (2014)125–130P.
- [24] Adamson et. al. (MINOS Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 181802 (2011), arXiv:1108.0015[hep-ex]. doi: 10.1103/Phys Rev Lett.107.181802

- [25] Y. Abe et al. [DOUBLE-CHOOZ Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 131801
 (2012) [arxiv: 1112.6353 [hep-ex]].
- [26] H. Hayashi, T. Kawano, Y. Tsuchiya and T. Watari, *Flavor Structure in F-theory Compactifications*, JHEP **1008** (2010) 036 [arXiv:0910.2762 [hep-th]]
- [27] I. Antoniadis and G. K. Leontaris, *Building SO(10) models from F-theory*, JHEP 1208 (2012) 001 [arXiv:1205.6930 [hep-th]].
- [28] Patrick Morandi, Field and Galois Theory, Springer, 1996.
- [29] Michael Artin, Algebra, Prentice-Hall Inc. 1991.
- [30] J. C. Callaghan, S. F. King, G. K. Leontaris and G. G. Ross, Towards a Realistic F-theory GUT, [arXiv:1109.1297[hep-th]].
- [31] Emilian Dudas and Eran Palti, On hypercharge flux and exotics in F-theory GUTs, [arXiv:1007.1297[hep-th]].
- [32] S. F. King and C. Luhn, Neutrino Mass and Mixing with Discrete Symmetry, Rept. Prog. Phys. 76 (2013) 056201 [arXiv:1301.1340 [hep-ph]].
- [33] Guido Altarelli, Ferruccio Feruglio, Discrete Flavor Symmetries and Models of Neutrino Mixing, [arXiv:1000.0211[hep-th]].
- [34] S. F. King, A. Merle, S. Morisi, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, Neutrino Mass and Mixing: from Theory to Experiment, New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 045018 [arXiv:1402.4271 [hep-ph]].
- [35] H. Hayashi, T. Kawano, R. Tatar and T. Watari, Codimension-3 Singularities and Yukawa Couplings in F-theory, [arXiv:0901.4941 [hep-th]].
- [36] S. F. King, G. K. Leontaris and G. G. Ross, Family symmetries in F-theory GUTs, Nucl. Phys. B 838 (2010) 119 [arXiv:1005.1025 [hep-ph]].
- [37] G. K. Leontaris, Aspects of F-Theory GUTs, PoS CORFU 2011 (2011) 095 [arXiv:1203.6277 [hep-th]].
- [38] J. Marsano, Hypercharge Flux, Exotics, and Anomaly Cancellation in F-theory GUTs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 081601 [arXiv:1011.2212 [hep-th]].
- [39] Wiliam Fulton, Joe Harris, Young Tabeaux with Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry, Springer-Verlag (1991).

- [40] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, H. Okada, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, Non-Abelian Discrete Symmetries in Particle Physics, [arXiv:1003.3552 [hep-th]],
- [41] R. Ahl Laamara, M. Miskaoui, E.H Saidi, Building SO₁₀ models with D₄ symmetry, [arXiv:1511.03166[hep-th]].
- [42] El Hassan Saidi, On Building superpotentials in F- GUTs, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. (2016) 013 B07, arXiv:1512.02530.
- [43] G. K. Leontaris and G. G. Ross, Yukawa couplings and fermion mass structure F-theory GUTs, JHEP 02 (2011) 108 [arXiv:1009.6000 [hep-ph]].
- [44] C. Ludeling, H. P. Nilles and C. C. Stephan, The Potential Fate of Local Model Building, [arXiv:1101.3346 [hep-ph]].
- [45] J. J. Heckman, Particle Physics Implications of F-theory, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.
 60 (2010) 237 [arXiv:1001.0577 [hep-th]].
- [46] T. W. Grimm, The N=1 effective action of F-theory compactifications, Nucl. Phys. B 845 (2011) 48 [arXiv:1008.4133 [hep-th]].
- [47] C. M. Chen, J. Knapp, M. Kreuzer and C. Mayrhofer, Global SO(10) F-theory GUTs, JHEP 1010 (2010)057 [arXiv:1005.5735[hep-th]].
- [48] J. C. Callaghan and S. F. King, *E6 Models from F-theory*, JHEP **1304** (2013) 034 [arXiv:1210.6913 [hep-ph]].
- [49] R. Tatar and W. Walters, GUT theories from Calabi-Yau 4-folds with SO(10) Singularities, JHEP 1212 (2012) 092 [arXiv:1206.5090 [hep-th]].
- [50] J. C. Callaghan, S. F. King and G. K. Leontaris, Gauge coupling unification in E6 F-theory GUTs with matter and bulk exotics from flux breaking, JHEP 1312 (2013) 037 [arXiv:1307.4593 [hep-ph]].
- [51] El Hassan Saidi, Breaking discrete symmetries in F-GUT, LPHE-MS -1511,
- [52] Fredrik Björkeroth, Francisco J. de Anda, Ivo de Medeiros Varzielas, Stephen F. King, Journal-ref: JHEP 06 (2015) 141, arXiv:1503.03306,
- [53] S. F. King, Neutrino mass models, Rept. Prog. Phys. 67 (2004) 107 [hep-ph/0310204].
- [54] K. Abe et al. (T2K Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 041801 (2011), arXiv:1106.2822
 [hep-ph]. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.041801

- [55] F. P. An et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 171803 (2012), arXiv:1203.1669v2 [hep-ex]. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.171803
- [56] J. K. Ahn et al. (RENO Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 191802 (2012), arXiv: 1204.0626v2 [hep-ex]. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.191802
- [57] S. Boudjemaa and S.F.King, Deviations from Tri-bimaximal Mixing: Charged Lepton Corrections and Renormalization Group Running, [arXiv:0808.2782v3 [hep-th]],
- [58] J. Marsano, N. Saulina and Sakura Schafer Nameki, "Compact F-theory GUTs with $U(1)_{PQ}$," [arXiv:0912.0272v2 [hep-th]].
- [59] J. Marsano, N. Saulina and Sakura Schafer Nameki, "F-theory Compactifications for Supersymmetric GUTs," [arXiv:0904.3932v3 [hep-th]],
- [60] S. Krippendorf, D. K. M. Penaa, P. K. Oehlmanna and F. Ruehle, "Rational Ftheory GUTs without exotics," [arXiv:1401.5084v1 [hep-th]],
- [61] T. W. Grimm and H. Hayashi, "F-theory fluxes, Chirality and Chern-Simons theories," [arXiv:1111.1232v2 [hep-th]],
- [62] E. Dudas and E. Palti, "Froggatt-Nielsen models from E(8) in F-theory GUTs," [arXiv:0912.0853 [hep-th]],
- [63] M. Cvetic, T. W. Grimm and D. Klevers, "Anomaly Cancellation And Abelian Gauge Symmetries In F-theory," [arXiv:1210.6034v2 [hep-th]].
- [64] R. N. Mohapatra, Neutrino mass and Grand Unification of flavor, [arXiv:1007.1633 [hep-ph]].
- [65] K. S. Babu and S. M. Barr, Natural Gauge Hierarchy in SO(10), [arXiv:9402291v1 [hep-ph]].
- [66] Z. Berezhiani, M. Chianese, G. Mielec and S. Morisi, *Chances for SUSY-GUT in the LHC Epoch*, [arXiv:1505.04950 [hep-ph]].