

Extended symmetry analysis of generalized Burgers equations

Oleksandr A. Pocheketa[†] and Roman O. Popovych[‡]

[†]*Center for evaluation of activity of research institutions and scientific support of regional development of Ukraine, NAS of Ukraine, 54 Volodymyrska Str., 01030 Kyiv, Ukraine*

[‡]*Wolfgang Pauli Institute, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Vienna, Austria
Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivska Str., 01004 Kyiv, Ukraine*

E-mail: [†]pocheketa@nas.gov.ua, [‡]rop@imath.kiev.ua

Using enhanced classification techniques, we carry out the extended symmetry analysis of the class of generalized Burgers equations of the form $u_t + uu_x + f(t, x)u_{xx} = 0$. This enhances all the previous results on symmetries of these equations and includes the description of admissible transformations, Lie symmetries, Lie and nonclassical reductions, hidden symmetries, conservation laws, potential admissible transformations and potential symmetries. The study is based on the fact that the class is normalized, and its equivalence group is finite-dimensional.

1 Introduction

The methods of group analysis have been comprehensively developed and applied to wide and complicated classes of differential equations. Nevertheless, there are some simple famous model equations and classes of differential equations that have not been properly investigated from the symmetry point of view yet.

In this paper we exhaustively classify Lie symmetries and Lie reductions, reduction operators, conservation laws and potential symmetries of equations from the delightedly simple class

$$u_t + uu_x + f(t, x)u_{xx} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad f \neq 0, \quad (1)$$

which are called generalized Burgers equations. This enhances and essentially extends known results on these equations. We combine modern methods of group analysis of differential equations with original techniques, which include the algebraic method for group classification of normalized classes of differential equations [7, 49], the special technique of classifying appropriate subalgebras [7, 30], the classification of Lie reductions for normalized classes of differential equations up to equivalence transformations, the selection of optimal ansatzes for reduction [18, 19, 44], mappings between classes generated by families of point transformations [55] and the classification of reduction operators up to admissible transformations [52].

In (1) and in what follows subscripts of functions denote derivatives with respect to the corresponding variables. The consideration is within the local framework. The equation of the form (1) with a fixed f , which is assumed to be nonvanishing for all (t, x) 's from the related domain, is denoted by \mathcal{L}_f .

The classical Burgers equation $\mathcal{L}_{-\mu}$ with positive constant μ was suggested in the 1930s as a model for one-dimensional turbulence [11]. Among its most famous solutions there are so-called traveling waves. In [32] it is shown that, under proper interpretation, the same equation describes the propagation of one-dimensional weak planar waves. A formal multiple-scales method was employed to extend this model to weak cylindrical and spherical waves in [31]. Thus, generalized Burgers equations are used to model a wide variety of phenomena in physics, chemistry, mathematical biology, etc.; see, e.g., [60, Chapter 4].

As important but simple model, the Burgers equation was intensively studied and was used as illustrative and toy example or standard test benchmark in the course of developing various

mathematical concepts and methods, in particular, in the field of group analysis of differential equations; see [3, 35] and references therein. This tradition spread to generalized Burgers equations. The study of admissible transformations for the class (1) in [26] was a pioneer work on such transformations in the literature. It appeared that the equivalence groupoid constituted by admissible transformations can be described in terms of normalization. More specifically, the class (1) is normalized with respect to the usual equivalence group, which is six-dimensional, and this fact is of principal value for the entire consideration in this paper.

Equations from the class (1) and similar classes were subjects of many papers. In particular, Lie symmetries and similarity solutions of equations of the form (1) with $f_x = 0$ were considered in [14, 59]. It was also shown in [59] that among these equations only the classical Burgers equation, for which $f = \text{const}$, admits nontrivial potential symmetries and regular reduction operators inequivalent to Lie symmetries. More references and detail comments on studies related to group analysis of generalized Burgers equations are given in the corresponding sections of this paper. Since an essential part of such results presented in the literature are not exhaustive or completely correct, it is in fact necessary to carry out extended symmetry analysis of equations from the class (1) from the very beginning.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Basic notions of group analysis of differential equations are briefly reviewed in Section 2. The equivalence groupoid, the equivalence group and the equivalence algebra of the class (1) are computed in Section 3. In Section 4 we exhaustively classify Lie symmetries of equations from the class (1) using the algebraic method of group classification. This method is especially effective for the class (1) due to this class is normalized and its equivalence group is finite-dimensional. Section 5 is devoted to Lie reductions, hidden symmetries and similarity solutions of generalized Burgers equations that admit nonzero Lie invariance algebras. Section 6 deals with reduction operators and nonclassical reductions of equations from the class (1). Conservation laws and potential symmetries of these equations as well as potential admissible transformations between them are studied in Section 7. Implications of paper's results are discussed in the last section.

2 Theoretical background

Recall the concepts of a class of differential equations, the equivalence groupoid and the equivalence group of a class, and others involved in the present study. As in this paper we consider the class of equations of the simple form (1), we give definitions for the specific case of a class of single second-order partial differential equations with the two independent variables (t, x) and the single dependent variable u that are merely parameterized by the single arbitrary element $f = f(t, x, u)$ without any derivatives of f . For the general definition of a class of systems of differential equations involving a tuple of arbitrary elements with their derivatives see, e.g., [7, 49]. We deal here with usual equivalence groups, unless another type of an equivalence group is stated.

Consider a family of differential equations $\mathcal{L}_f: L^f[u] := L(t, x, u, u_t, u_x, u_{tt}, u_{tx}, u_{xx}, f) = 0$ parameterized by a parameter-function $f = f(t, x, u)$ running through the set \mathcal{S} of solutions of an auxiliary system of differential equations and differential inequalities on f , where all the variables t, x and u are assumed to be independent.

Definition 1. The set $\{\mathcal{L}_f \mid f \in \mathcal{S}\}$ denoted by $\mathcal{L}|\mathcal{S}$ is called a *class of differential equations*, that is defined by the parameterized form of equations $L(t, x, u, u_t, u_x, u_{tt}, u_{tx}, u_{xx}, f) = 0$ and the set \mathcal{S} of values of the arbitrary element f .

For the class (1), the form of equations and the set of arbitrary elements are

$$L^f[u] := u_t + uu_x + fu_{xx} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S} = \{f = f(t, x, u) \mid f_u = 0, f \neq 0\},$$

respectively. In general, the arbitrary elements may also depend on derivatives of dependent variables, but for the class (1) we have $f_{ut} = f_{u_x} = f_{u_{tt}} = f_{u_{tx}} = f_{u_{xx}} = 0$. These constraints will be used implicitly, e.g. while solving determining equations.

A point transformation in the space of (t, x, u) has the form

$$\varphi: \quad \tilde{t} = T(t, x, u), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x, u), \quad \tilde{u} = U(t, x, u), \quad (2)$$

where T , X and U are smooth functions of t , x and u with $|\partial(T, X, U)/\partial(t, x, u)| \neq 0$. Given two fixed equations \mathcal{L}_f and $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}$ from the class $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{S}}$ with arbitrary elements f and \tilde{f} , by $T(f, \tilde{f})$ we denote the set of point transformations in the space (t, x, u) that map \mathcal{L}_f to $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}$. An *admissible transformation* [45, 49] in the class $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a triple consisting of two arbitrary elements $f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{S}$ (or, in other words, the corresponding two equations, which are called the initial one and the target one) and a point transformation $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}(f, \tilde{f})$.

The notion of admissible transformation is a formalization of the earlier notions of form-preserving [26, 27], or allowed [22] transformations.

Definition 2. The *equivalence groupoid* $\mathcal{G}^\sim = \mathcal{G}^\sim(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{S}})$ of the class $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the set of admissible transformations of this class, $\{(f, \varphi, \tilde{f}) \mid f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{S}, \varphi \in \mathbb{T}(f, \tilde{f})\}$, equipped with the operation “ \circ ” of composition of admissible transformations.

The composition “ \circ ” of admissible transformations $(f_1, \varphi_1, \tilde{f}_1)$ and $(f_2, \varphi_2, \tilde{f}_2)$ is defined only if $\tilde{f}_1 = f_2$, and its result is $(f_1, \varphi_2 \varphi_1, \tilde{f}_2)$. It is obvious that the axioms of groupoid hold for \mathcal{G}^\sim :

1. $((f_1, \varphi_1, f_2) \circ (f_2, \varphi_2, f_3)) \circ (f_3, \varphi_3, f_4) = (f_1, \varphi_1, f_2) \circ ((f_2, \varphi_2, f_3) \circ (f_3, \varphi_3, f_4))$, which means the associativity of the composition.
2. For each f the role of the neutral element is played by the triple (f, id, f) , where id is the identical transformation, $\tilde{t} = t$, $\tilde{x} = x$, $\tilde{u} = u$.
3. Any admissible transformation (f, φ, \tilde{f}) is invertible, and the inverse is $(\tilde{f}, \varphi^{-1}, f)$.

Definition 3. The *usual equivalence group* $G^\sim = G^\sim(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{S}})$ of the class $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the (pseudo)group of point transformations in the extended space of (t, x, u, f) ,

$$\mathcal{T}: \quad \tilde{t} = T(t, x, u), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x, u), \quad \tilde{u} = U(t, x, u), \quad \tilde{f} = \Phi(t, x, u, f),$$

that are projectable to the variable space (t, x, u) and map each equation from the class $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{S}}$ to an equation from the same class.

Each equivalence transformation $\mathcal{T} \in G^\sim$ generates a family of admissible transformations $\{(f, \mathcal{T}|_{(t,x,u)}, \mathcal{T}f) \mid f \in \mathcal{S}\} \subset \mathcal{G}^\sim$, where $\mathcal{T}|_{(t,x,u)}$ denotes the restriction of \mathcal{T} to the space (t, x, u) .

Definition 4. A class of differential equations $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{S}}$ is called *normalized* if its equivalence groupoid \mathcal{G}^\sim is generated by its equivalence group G^\sim , meaning that for each triple (f, φ, \tilde{f}) from \mathcal{G}^\sim there exists a transformation \mathcal{T} from G^\sim such that $\tilde{f} = \mathcal{T}f$ and $\varphi = \mathcal{T}|_{(t,x,u)}$.

In the case of single dependent variable we might also consider contact transformations, see, e.g., [12]. They are of the form $\tilde{t} = T(t, x, u, u_t, u_x)$, $\tilde{x} = X(t, x, u, u_t, u_x)$, $\tilde{u} = U(t, x, u, u_t, u_x)$, $\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}} = U^t(t, x, u, u_t, u_x)$, $\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} = U^x(t, x, u, u_t, u_x)$, where the transformation components satisfy the nondegenerate assumption (i.e., the corresponding Jacobi matrix is nondegenerate) and the contact condition (meaning the consistence with the contact structure), and thus the components U^t and U^x are defined via the chain rule. At the same time, any contact transformation between any two second-order evolution equations that are linear with respect to the second derivative is induced by a specific point transformation, cf. [51, Proposition 2] and [56, Section 2]. This is why all contact transformations between equations from the class (1) are exhausted by the prolongations of point admissible transformations, and thus the problem of describing contact transformations in the class (1) is reduced to that for point transformations.

3 Equivalence groupoid and equivalence group

It is possible to find not only the equivalence group and the equivalence algebra of the class (1) but also its entire equivalence groupoid. In an implicit form, this groupoid was described in the pioneer paper [26], which is, actually, the first study of the set of admissible transformations in a class of differential equations. Earlier, in [13], a conformal transformation was found between equations of the form (1) with $f_x = 0$.

Theorem 5. *The class (1) is normalized in the usual sense. The usual equivalence group G^\sim of the class (1) consists of the transformations*

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{\kappa x + \mu_1 t + \mu_0}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad \tilde{u} = \frac{\kappa(\gamma t + \delta)u - \kappa\gamma x + \mu_1\delta - \mu_0\gamma}{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma}, \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{f} = \frac{\kappa^2}{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma} f, \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu_0, \mu_1$ and κ are arbitrary constants that are defined up to a nonzero multiplier, $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$ and $\kappa \neq 0$.

Proof. We fix any two equations from the class (1), $\mathcal{L}_f: u_t + uu_x + f(t, x)u_{xx} = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}: \tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}} + \tilde{u}\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} + \tilde{f}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} = 0$, and find all point transformations, which are of the form (2), between these two equations. For this purpose, we substitute all the tilded variables and derivatives with their expressions in terms of untilded values in $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}$, including

$$\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}} = \frac{1}{D_{\tilde{t}}T} \left(D_t U - \frac{D_x U D_t X}{D_x X} \right), \quad \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} = \frac{D_x U}{D_x X}, \quad \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} = D_x \left(\frac{D_x U}{D_x X} \right),$$

where $D_t = \partial_t + u_t \partial_u + u_{tt} \partial_{u_t} + u_{tx} \partial_{u_x} + \dots$ and $D_x = \partial_x + u_x \partial_u + u_{tx} \partial_{u_t} + u_{xx} \partial_{u_x} + \dots$ are the operators of total derivatives with respect to t and x . The obtained equation should be satisfied by all solutions of \mathcal{L}_f . This is why the equality derived by substituting u_t with $-uu_x - fu_{xx}$ in view of \mathcal{L}_f can be split with respect to u_x and u_{xx} , which gives the system of determining equations for the transformation components T, X and U .

The computation can be simplified by taking into account the specific structure of generalized Burgers equations, which are second-order quasilinear evolution equations, i.e., they are linear with respect to the derivative u_{xx} .¹ In view of [23, Lemma 1], each point transformation between the equations \mathcal{L}_f and $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}$ is projectable both on the space of t and on the space of (t, x) , $\tilde{t} = T(t)$, $\tilde{x} = X(t, x)$, $\tilde{u} = U(t, x, u)$, where $T_t X_x U_u \neq 0$.² The determining equations can be reduced to

$$U_{uu} = 0, \quad \text{and hence} \quad U = U^1(t, x)u + U^0(t, x), \\ \tilde{f} = \frac{X_x^2}{T_t} f, \quad U^1 = \frac{X_x}{T_t}, \quad U^0 = \frac{X_t}{T_t}, \quad X_{xx} = 0, \quad U_t^1 + U_x^0 = 0, \quad U_t^0 = 0.$$

The equivalence groupoid \mathcal{G}^\sim of the class (1) is established after solving these determining equations. The elements of \mathcal{G}^\sim are defined by (3), where the initial and the resulting values of the arbitrary element are connected by (4). Each transformation of the form (3) maps any equation from the class (1) to an equation from the same class, and its prolongation to the arbitrary element f , which is given by (4), is a point transformation in the joint space (t, x, u, f) . Hence such prolongations of the transformations of the form (3) according to (4) constitute the equivalence group G^\sim of the class (1). Since any element of \mathcal{G}^\sim is induced by an equivalence transformation, this class is normalized. \square

¹Throughout the paper, a linear function means a polynomial of degree one or zero.

²We can also use results of [39] for the narrower superclass $u_t + F(t, x, u)u_{xx} + H^1(t, x, u)u_x + H^0(t, x, u) = 0$, which gives more constraints for the transformation components, but the property of double projectability itself sufficiently simplifies the computation.

The connected component of the identity transformation in G^\sim is singled out by the inequalities $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$. Up to composing with each other and with continuous equivalence transformations, discrete equivalence transformations are exhausted by alternating signs in the tuples (t, u, f) and (x, u) .

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\sim = \langle \tilde{P}^t, \tilde{P}^x, \tilde{D}^t, \tilde{D}^x, \tilde{G}, \tilde{\Pi} \rangle$ that corresponds to the equivalence group G^\sim is called the *equivalence algebra* of the class (1). Its basis elements may be chosen as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}^t &= \partial_t, & \tilde{P}^x &= \partial_x, & \tilde{D}^t &= t\partial_t - u\partial_u - f\partial_f, & \tilde{D}^x &= x\partial_x + u\partial_u + 2f\partial_f, \\ \tilde{G} &= t\partial_x + \partial_u, & \tilde{\Pi} &= t^2\partial_t + tx\partial_x + (x - tu)\partial_u. \end{aligned}$$

The elementary one-parameter transformations from the group G^\sim that correspond to these basis elements are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}^t(\beta): & \quad \tilde{t} = t + \beta, & \tilde{x} &= x, & \tilde{u} &= u, & \tilde{f} &= f, \\ \hat{P}^x(\mu_0): & \quad \tilde{t} = t, & \tilde{x} &= x + \mu_0, & \tilde{u} &= u, & \tilde{f} &= f, \\ \hat{D}^t(\alpha): & \quad \tilde{t} = \alpha t, & \tilde{x} &= x, & \tilde{u} &= \frac{1}{\alpha}u, & \tilde{f} &= \frac{1}{\alpha}f, \\ \hat{D}^x(\kappa): & \quad \tilde{t} = t, & \tilde{x} &= \kappa x, & \tilde{u} &= \kappa u, & \tilde{f} &= \kappa^2 f, \\ \hat{G}(\mu_1): & \quad \tilde{t} = t, & \tilde{x} &= x + \mu_1 t, & \tilde{u} &= u + \mu_1, & \tilde{f} &= f, \\ \hat{\Pi}(\gamma): & \quad \tilde{t} = \frac{t}{\gamma t + 1}, & \tilde{x} &= \frac{x}{\gamma t + 1}, & \tilde{u} &= (\gamma t + 1)u - \gamma x, & \tilde{f} &= f. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

These transformations are deduced from (3)–(4) by setting all the constants (except one, which is present in a transformation) with the values that correspond to the identity transformation,

$$\alpha = 1, \quad \beta = 0, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \delta = 1, \quad \kappa = 1, \quad \mu_1 = 0, \quad \mu_0 = 0.$$

The equivalence algebra \mathfrak{g}^\sim can be found directly using the infinitesimal Lie method, in a similar way as finding Lie symmetries of single systems of differential equations [2, 37]. In fact, this is not needed since, knowing the complete equivalence group G^\sim , we can construct the algebra \mathfrak{g}^\sim as the set of infinitesimal generators of one-parameter subgroups of G^\sim , cf. [30].

The projection of \mathfrak{g}^\sim to the space (t, x, u) is the algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \langle P^t, P^x, D^t, D^x, G, \Pi \rangle \simeq \mathfrak{g}^\sim$ with

$$\begin{aligned} P^t &= \partial_t, & P^x &= \partial_x, & D^t &= t\partial_t - u\partial_u, & D^x &= x\partial_x + u\partial_u, \\ G &= t\partial_x + \partial_u, & \Pi &= t^2\partial_t + tx\partial_x + (x - tu)\partial_u. \end{aligned}$$

Both the algebras \mathfrak{g}^\sim and \mathfrak{g} are realizations of the so-called reduced (i.e., centerless) full Galilei algebra [15] with space dimension one, which is isomorphic to the affine Lie algebra $\text{aff}(2, \mathbb{R})$ [22]. The nonzero commutation relations of \mathfrak{g} are

$$\begin{aligned} [P^t, D^t] &= P^t, & [D^t, \Pi] &= \Pi, & [P^t, \Pi] &= 2D^t + D^x, \\ [P^x, D^x] &= P^x, & [P^x, \Pi] &= G, & [P^t, G] &= P^x, & [D^t, G] &= G, & [G, D^x] &= G. \end{aligned}$$

The Levi decomposition of the algebra \mathfrak{g} is $\mathfrak{g} = \langle P^t, D^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x, \Pi \rangle \in \langle D^x, P^x, G \rangle$. Here the subalgebra $\mathfrak{f} = \langle P^t, D^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x, \Pi \rangle$ is a Levi factor of \mathfrak{g} , which is a realization of the algebra $\text{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The radical $\mathfrak{r} = \langle D^x, P^x, G \rangle$ of \mathfrak{g} is a realization of the algebra $A_{3,3}$ from the Mubarakzhanov's list of low-dimensional real algebras [34] (see also [47]), which is the almost abelian algebra associated with the 2×2 identity matrix. More specifically, $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{n}$, where $\mathfrak{n} = \langle P^x, G \rangle$ is the nilradical (as well as the maximal abelian ideal) of both \mathfrak{r} and \mathfrak{g} , and the span $\mathfrak{c} = \langle D^x \rangle$ is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{r} . By $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ we denote the projectors defined by the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} \in (\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{n})$.

As the class (1) is normalized, the algebra \mathfrak{g} contains the union of Lie invariance algebras of all equations \mathcal{L}_f from the class (1). Moreover, the algebra \mathfrak{g} appears to coincide with this union, cf. Section 4, which displays the strong normalization of the class (1) in the infinitesimal sense.

4 Lie symmetries

Classical symmetry analysis of the classical Burgers equation and its generalizations related to the class (1) has been carried out since the 1960s. The maximal Lie invariance group of the Burgers equation was computed by Katkov [25] in the course of group classification of equations of the general form $u_t + uu_x = (f(u)u_x)_x$. The maximal Lie invariance algebra of the Burgers equation is five-dimensional and is spanned by the vector fields P^x , G , P^t , $D^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x$ and Π , cf. the algebra \mathfrak{g}^5 in Table 1 below.

The group classification problem for the subclass of (1) singled out by the constraint $f_x = 0$ was considered in [14, 59] without proper use of equivalence transformations. As a result, the classification lists presented there contain needless cases, which was already remarked in [26] concerning [14]; see also footnote 4 in [43]. The same remark is true for the group classification of equations of the general form $u_t + g(t, x)uu_x + f(t, x)u_{xx} = 0$ with $fg \neq 0$ in [54]. Moreover, classification cases were unnecessarily split into several subcases depending on the structure of symmetry algebras therein, and, as far as it can be analyzed, some classification cases were missed, in particular, due to over-gauging parameters, which is not allowed.

4.1 Determining equations for Lie symmetries

A vector field that generates a one-parameter Lie symmetry group of an equation $\mathcal{L}_f: L^f[u] = 0$ from the class (1) is of the form $Q = \tau(t, x, u)\partial_t + \xi(t, x, u)\partial_x + \eta(t, x, u)\partial_u$ and satisfies the infinitesimal invariance criterion

$$Q_{(2)}L^f[u]|_{\mathcal{L}_f} \equiv (\eta^t + \eta u_x + \eta^x u + \xi f_x u_{xx} + \tau f_t u_{xx} + \eta^{xx} f)|_{\mathcal{L}_f} = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $Q_{(2)}$ is the usual second-order prolongation of Q [35, 37], and η^t , η^x , η^{xx} are prolongation components, which are computed by $\eta^t = D_t \eta - u_t D_t \tau - u_x D_t \xi$, $\eta^x = D_x \eta - u_t D_x \tau - u_x D_x \xi$ and $\eta^{xx} = D_x^2 \eta - u_t D_x^2 \tau - 2u_{tx} D_x \tau - u_x D_x^2 \xi - 2u_{xx} D_x \xi$. Using the restriction $L^f[u] = 0$, we substitute $u_t = -uu_x - f u_{xx}$ for u_t and then split the result with respect to u_{tx} , u_{xx} , u_x and u . After simplifying we obtain a system of determining equations on the components τ , ξ and η ,

$$\tau_x = 0, \quad \tau_u = 0, \quad \xi_u = 0, \quad \eta_{uu} = 0, \quad \eta_x^1 = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\eta^0 - \xi_t = 0, \quad \eta^1 + \tau_t - \xi_x = 0, \quad \eta_t^1 = -\eta_x^0, \quad \eta_t^0 = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\tau f_t + \xi f_x + (\tau_t - 2\xi_x)f = 0. \quad (9)$$

Equations (7) imply $\tau = \tau(t)$, $\xi = \xi(t, x)$ and $\eta = \eta^1(t)u + \eta^0(t, x)$. Then making use of equations (8) we specify the form of the components of Q ,

$$\tau = c_2 t^2 + c_1 t + c_0, \quad \xi = (c_2 t + c_3)x + c_4 t + c_5, \quad \eta = (-c_2 t + c_3 - c_1)u + c_2 x + c_4, \quad (10)$$

where c_0, \dots, c_5 are arbitrary constants. In view of results of Section 3, we could postulate the form (10) for the components of Lie symmetry vector fields of equations from the class (1) from the very beginning. Indeed, the normalization of the class (1) proved in Theorem 5 implies that the maximal Lie invariance algebra \mathfrak{g}_f of any equation \mathcal{L}_f from the class (1) is contained by the algebra \mathfrak{g} , and the components of any vector field from \mathfrak{g} are of the form (10). Moreover, for any constant tuple (c_0, \dots, c_5) the equation (9) has a nonzero solution for f . This means that each element of the algebra \mathfrak{g} is a Lie symmetry of an equation from the class (1), i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{g}_f$.

The equation (9) is the only *classifying condition* for Lie symmetries of equations from the class (1). Depending on values of the arbitrary element f , the classifying condition imposes additional constraints for the constants c_0, \dots, c_5 . Varying f and splitting (9) with respect to f and its derivatives, we get $c_0 = \dots = c_5 = 0$. Therefore, the *kernel invariance algebra* of the class (1), i.e. the intersection of the maximal Lie invariance algebras of equations from this class, is $\{0\}$.

4.2 Appropriate subalgebras

As the class (1) is normalized (see Theorem 5) and its equivalence algebra \mathfrak{g}^\sim is finite-dimensional, it is convenient to carry out its group classification using the algebraic method. Although one could solve the group classification problem for the class (1) using the direct method, the algebraic method is much more effective on both the steps of computing and arranging classification cases, in particular, checking their inequivalence; cf. [55, p. 3]. Recall that the normalization of the class (1) has two consequences:

- The maximal Lie invariance algebra of every equation from this class is contained in the projection \mathfrak{g} of the equivalence algebra \mathfrak{g}^\sim to the space (t, x, u) .
- Equations \mathcal{L}_f and $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}$ from the class (1) are similar with respect to point transformations if and only if they are G^\sim -equivalent.

Therefore, in order to obtain the exhaustive group classification of the class (1), it suffices to construct a list of inequivalent appropriate subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} and then to find the corresponding values of the arbitrary element f for each subalgebra from the list. We call a subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ *appropriate* if \mathfrak{s} is the maximal Lie invariance algebra of an equation \mathcal{L}_f from the class (1). In other words, a subalgebra \mathfrak{s} of \mathfrak{g} is appropriate if there exists a value f^0 of the arbitrary element f such that the following conditions hold:

1. The components of every $Q \in \mathfrak{s}$ satisfy the classifying condition (9) with $f = f^0$ or, equivalently, f^0 is an invariant of a subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\sim$ whose projection to the space of (t, x, u) coincides with \mathfrak{s} .
2. The algebra \mathfrak{s} is maximal among the Lie invariance algebras of the equation \mathcal{L}_{f^0} .

We classify appropriate subalgebras of the algebra \mathfrak{g} up to the equivalence relation generated by the adjoint action of the group G^\sim on \mathfrak{g} .³ See, e.g., [35, Chapter 3.3], [37, Section 14.7] or [7, 8] for relevant elementary techniques and [38] for more sophisticated methods. The radical \mathfrak{r} and the nilradical \mathfrak{n} are megaideals (i.e., fully characteristic ideals) of \mathfrak{g} and hence they are G^\sim -invariant. To characterize classification cases, with any subalgebra \mathfrak{s} of \mathfrak{g} we associate the G^\sim -invariant values $\dim \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r}$, $\dim \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{n}$, $\dim \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{r}} \mathfrak{s}$ and $\dim \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{s}$. The adjoint actions of the elementary equivalence transformations (5) on the basis vector fields of \mathfrak{g} are as follows:

Ad	P^t	P^x	D^t	D^x	G	Π
$\hat{P}^t(\beta)$	P^t	P^x	$D^t - \beta P^t$	D^x	$G - \beta P^x$	$\Pi - \beta(2D^t + D^x) + \beta^2 P^t$
$\hat{P}^x(\mu_0)$	P^t	P^x	D^t	$D^x - \mu_0 P^x$	G	$\Pi - \mu_0 G$
$\hat{D}^t(\alpha)$	αP^t	P^x	D^t	D^x	$\alpha^{-1} G$	$\alpha^{-1} \Pi$
$\hat{D}^x(\kappa)$	P^t	κP^x	D^t	D^x	κG	Π
$\hat{G}(\mu_1)$	$P^t + \mu_1 P^x$	P^x	$D^t + \mu_1 G$	$D^x - \mu_1 G$	G	Π
$\hat{\Pi}(\gamma)$	$P^t - \gamma(2D^t + D^x) + \gamma^2 \Pi$	$P^x - \gamma G$	$D^t - \gamma \Pi$	D^x	G	Π

³The subalgebras of an algebra isomorphic to $\text{aff}(2, \mathbb{R})$ were first classified in [22] with respect to the group of internal automorphisms. Parameterized families of inequivalent subalgebras were additionally partitioned depending on their algebraic structure, which is unnecessary for the group classification of the class (1). Moreover, the list of subalgebras obtained therein is large. It consists of 44 families of subalgebras, and most of them are not appropriate as maximal Lie invariance algebras of equations from the class (1). Since the proof of the classification was not presented, it is impossible to check its correctness at a glance. This is why we classify appropriate subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} independently, without using the results of [22], which is much easier than the classification of all subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} . The list of inequivalent (nonzero) appropriate subalgebras presented in Table 1 below includes only 19 families of subalgebras.

To efficiently recognize inequivalent appropriate subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , we consider their projections on the Levi factor \mathfrak{f} . These projections are necessarily subalgebras of \mathfrak{f} , and, moreover, the projections of equivalent subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} are equivalent as subalgebras of \mathfrak{f} . A complete list of inequivalent subalgebras of the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is well known. In terms of the realization \mathfrak{f} , it is exhausted by $\{0\}$, $\langle P^t \rangle$, $\langle D^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x \rangle$, $\langle P^t + \Pi \rangle$, $\langle P^t, D^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x \rangle$ and \mathfrak{f} itself. Considering each of the listed subalgebras of \mathfrak{f} as a projection of an appropriate subalgebra, we try to add elements of the radical \mathfrak{r} to the basis elements of this subalgebra, and to additionally extend the basis by elements from the radical \mathfrak{r} .

Some properties of appropriate subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} directly follow from the classifying condition (9). Below by \mathfrak{s} we denote an appropriate subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Lemma 6. *If $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{n} \neq \{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{n}$.*

Proof. The condition $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{n} \neq \{0\}$ implies that the algebra \mathfrak{s} contains a vector field $aG + bP^x$ with constants $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$. Substituting the values $\tau = 0$ and $\xi = at + b$ corresponding to $aG + bP^x$ into (9), we get $f_x = 0$. For such f , both the pairs $(\tau, \xi) = (0, 1)$ and $(\tau, \xi) = (0, t)$ solve (9), and the pair $(\tau, \xi) = (0, x)$ is not a solution since $f \neq 0$. Therefore, the algebra \mathfrak{s} contains both P^x and G and does not contain D^x . \square

Corollary 7. $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r} \in \{\{0\}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}\}$.

Lemma 8. *If $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{c}$, then $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{s} \leq 2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{s} \not\subset \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$ and thus $\mathfrak{s} \setminus (\mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{c}) \neq \emptyset$. Each element of this set difference is of the form $Q = a_0P^t + a_1D^t + a_2\Pi + a_3D^x + a_4G + a_5P^x$ with $(a_4, a_5) \neq (0, 0)$. Since $D^x, Q \in \mathfrak{s}$, we have $[Q, D^x] = a_4G + a_5P^x \in \mathfrak{s}$. Then Lemma 6 implies that $D^x \notin \mathfrak{s}$, which gives a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$.

If $\dim \mathfrak{s} > 2$, then $\dim \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{f} \geq 2$ and hence, modulo G^\sim -equivalence, $\mathfrak{s} \supseteq \langle P^t, D^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x, D^x \rangle$. Therefore, we also get $\mathfrak{s} \supseteq \langle P^t, D^t \rangle$. The substitution of the values $(\tau, \xi) = (1, 0)$ and $(\tau, \xi) = (t, 0)$ corresponding to P^t and D^t , respectively, into (9) leads to a system on f that is inconsistent with the constraint $f \neq 0$ for the arbitrary element f . The obtained contradiction means that $\dim \mathfrak{s} \leq 2$ and hence $\dim \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{f} \leq 1$. \square

Corollary 9. *If $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{c}$, then $\mathfrak{s} \in \{\langle D^x \rangle, \langle D^x, P^t \rangle, \langle D^x, D^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x \rangle, \langle D^x, P^t + \Pi \rangle\} \bmod G^\sim$.*

This gives the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.1}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{2.2}$ – $\mathfrak{g}^{2.4}$ of Table 1, respectively.

Lemma 10. *If $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{n}$, then either $\dim \mathfrak{s} \leq 3$ and $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{f} = \{0\}$ or $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{n}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\dim \mathfrak{s} > 3$. Then $\dim \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathfrak{s} \geq 2$, i.e., modulo G^\sim , the algebra \mathfrak{s} contains the vector fields $Q^1 = P^t + aD^x$ and $Q^2 = D^t + bD^x$ with some constants a and b . Therefore, the commutator $[Q^1, Q^2] = P^t$ also belongs to \mathfrak{s} , and the classifying condition (9) in view of involving P^x and P^t implies that $f = \text{const}$.

In the same way, the condition $f = \text{const}$ is derived in the case $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{f} \neq \{0\}$ since after substituting the components of P^x and of any nonzero element of \mathfrak{f} into (9) we obtain the equations $f_x = 0$ and $f_t = 0$.

The maximal Lie invariance algebra of the equation \mathcal{L}_f with $f = \text{const}$, which is the classical Burgers equation, is the five-dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{n}$. \square

Corollary 11. *If $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{n}$, then $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_f \in \mathfrak{n}$, where*

$$\mathfrak{s}_f \in \{\{0\}, \langle P^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x \rangle, \langle D^t + aD^x \rangle, \langle P^t + \Pi + aD^x \rangle, \mathfrak{f}\} \bmod G^\sim,$$

the parameter a runs through $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and $a > 0 \bmod G^\sim$.

The list presented in Corollary 11 gives the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}^{2.1}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{3.1}$ – $\mathfrak{g}^{3.3}$ and \mathfrak{g}^5 of Table 1, respectively.

Corollary 12. *The dimension of any appropriate subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} is not greater than 5.*

Below we consider the last case for $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r}$, $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$. Then we obviously have $\dim \mathfrak{s} \leq 3$. In fact, the upper bound for $\dim \mathfrak{s}$ can be lowered.

Lemma 13. *If $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$, then $\dim \mathfrak{s} \leq 2$. Moreover, if additionally $\dim \mathfrak{s} = 2$, then $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{s} \neq \langle P^t, D^t \rangle \text{ mod } G^\sim$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\dim \mathfrak{s} \geq 2$ and $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{s} = \{0\}$. Modulo G^\sim -equivalence, we can assume that $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathfrak{s} \supseteq \langle P^t, D^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x \rangle$. In view of the classifying condition (9), the invariance of \mathcal{L}_f with respect to \mathfrak{s} then implies $f = \text{const}$. Recall that the maximal Lie invariance algebra of the equation \mathcal{L}_f for any (nonzero) constant f contains \mathfrak{n} , which contradicts the condition $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$. Therefore, $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{c}$ if $\dim \mathfrak{s} \geq 2$.

Suppose that $\dim \mathfrak{s} = 3$. Therefore, $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{f}$ and hence $\mathfrak{s} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, i.e. \mathfrak{s} is a Levi factor of \mathfrak{g} . Then the Levi–Malcev theorem (or the direct computation of commutation relations of \mathfrak{s}) implies $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{s} = \{0\}$. This contradicts the above conclusion that $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{c}$ if $\dim \mathfrak{s} \geq 2$.

Similarly to Lemma 8, the condition $\mathfrak{s} \supseteq \langle P^t, D^t \rangle$ implies $f = 0$, which contradicts the original inequality $f \neq 0$ for the arbitrary element f . \square

If $\dim \mathfrak{s} = 1$, then $\mathfrak{s} = \langle Q_{\mathfrak{f}} + a_3 D^x + a_4 G + a_5 P^x \rangle$, where $Q_{\mathfrak{f}} \in \{P^t, D^t, P^t + \Pi\} \text{ mod } G^\sim$ and a_3, a_4 and a_5 are constants. Consider $Q_{\mathfrak{f}} = P^t$. First suppose that $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{s} = \{0\}$ and thus $a_3 = 0$. The coefficient a_5 is gauged to zero by $\hat{G}(-a_5)$, and $a_4 \in \{0, 1\}$ up to scaling $\hat{D}^t(a_4^{-1})$ for $a_4 \neq 0$. If $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{c}$, i.e. $a_3 \neq 0$, then we scale a_3 to 1 by $\hat{D}^t(a_3)$ and by a subsequent rescaling of the basis vector field. Then we set the modified parameters a_4 and a_5 to zero by $\hat{G}(a_4)$ and $\hat{P}^x(a_4 + a_5)$. The other two G^\sim -inequivalent values of $Q_{\mathfrak{f}}$ are studied in a similar way. For the coefficient a_3 , we can then only alternate the sign of $a_3 - \frac{1}{2}$ or a_3 , respectively. The coefficients a_4 and a_5 can be set to zero by $\hat{G}(\mu_1)$ and $\hat{P}^x(\mu_0)$ for some μ^1 and μ^0 , except for $Q_{\mathfrak{f}} = D^t$ and $a_3 \in \{0, 1\}$ where the nonzero value a_5 (resp. a_4) can be only scaled to one if $a_3 = 0$ (resp. if $a_3 = 1$). In total, this results in the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.2}$ – $\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.8}$.

In the case $\dim \mathfrak{s} = 2$, up to G^\sim -equivalence we have that the subalgebra \mathfrak{s} is spanned by two vector fields of the form $Q^1 = P^t + b_3 D^x + b_4 G + b_5 P^x$ and $Q^2 = D^t + a_3 D^x + a_4 G + a_5 P^x$ with some constants a_3, a_4, a_5, b_3, b_4 and b_5 . The commutation relation for \mathfrak{s} is $[Q^1, Q^2] = Q^1$. Expanding it and collecting the coefficients of D^x , we derive $b^3 = 0$ and hence $a_3 \neq \frac{1}{2}$ since $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{c}$. Then collecting the coefficients of G and P^x leads to the equations $(a_3 - 2)b_4 = 0$ and $(a_3 - 1)b_5 + a_4 = 0$, respectively. We set b_5 to zero by $\hat{G}(-b_5)$, and hence also $a_4 = 0$. The coefficient b_4 is zero if $a_3 \neq 2$, and its nonzero value is scaled to one. For $a_3 \neq 0$ we can set $a_5 = 0$ by $\hat{P}^x(-a_5/a_3)$, and for $a_3 = 0$ the nonzero value of a_5 is scaled to one. The simultaneous vanishing $a_3 = a_5 = 0$ is not possible in view of Lemma 13. Therefore, in this case we obtain the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}^{2.5}$ – $\mathfrak{g}^{2.7}$, which completes the computation of G^\sim -inequivalent appropriate subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} .

4.3 Classification results

In order to compute the associated values of the arbitrary element f , for each of the listed inequivalent subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} we substitute the components τ and ξ of its basis vector fields into the classifying condition (9) and then solve the obtained system of differential equations on f . This system does have solutions and, moreover, at least for a subset of its solutions the involved subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} is the maximal Lie invariance algebra for the corresponding equations from the class (1). This means that the collection of properties of appropriate subalgebras derived in Section 4.2 gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} to be appropriate.

A complete list of inequivalent appropriate subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} and the corresponding values for f is presented in Table 1. Since the class (1) is normalized, the table provides its exhaustive group classification.

Table 1: The group classification of the class (1)

$\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$	Basis of \mathfrak{g}_f	$f(t, x)$	ω	Constraints
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.1}$	D^x	$x^2 h(\omega)$	t	$((\alpha\omega^2 + \beta\omega + \gamma)h)_\omega \neq 0$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.2}$	P^t	$h(\omega)$	x	$(\alpha\omega + \beta)h_\omega \neq \gamma h$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.3}$	$P^t + G$	$h(\omega)$	$x - \frac{t^2}{2}$	$(\alpha\omega + \beta)h_\omega \neq \gamma h$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.4}$	$P^t + D^x$	$e^{2t} h(\omega)$	$e^{-t} x$	$h_\omega \neq 0, \quad \omega h_\omega \neq 2h$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.5}$	$D^t + P^x$	$\frac{1}{t} h(\omega)$	$x - \ln t $	$h_\omega \neq 0, \quad h_\omega \neq -h$
$\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.6}$	$D^t + aD^x$	$\frac{ t ^{2a}}{t} h(\omega)$	$ t ^{-a} x$	see table notes; $a \geq \frac{1}{2} \bmod G^\sim$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.7}$	$D^t + D^x + G$	$t h(\omega)$	$\frac{x}{t} - \ln t $	$h_\omega \neq 0, \quad h_\omega \neq -h$
$\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.8}$	$P^t + \Pi + aD^x$	$e^{2a \arctan t} h(\omega)$	$\frac{e^{-a \arctan t}}{\sqrt{t^2 + 1}} x$	$\omega h_\omega \neq 2h; \quad a \geq 0 \bmod G^\sim$
$\mathfrak{g}^{2.1}$	P^x, G	$h(\omega)$	t	$(\alpha\omega^2 + \beta\omega + \gamma)h_\omega \neq \delta h$
$\mathfrak{g}^{2.2}$	D^x, P^t	x^2		
$\mathfrak{g}^{2.3}$	D^x, D^t	$\varkappa \frac{x^2}{t}$		$\varkappa \neq 0, \quad \varkappa > 0 \bmod G^\sim$
$\mathfrak{g}^{2.4}$	$D^x, P^t + \Pi$	$\frac{\varkappa x^2}{t^2 + 1}$		$\varkappa \neq 0, \quad \varkappa > 0 \bmod G^\sim$
$\mathfrak{g}^{2.5}$	$P^t, D^t + P^x$	e^{-x}		
$\mathfrak{g}_a^{2.6}$	$P^t, D^t + aD^x$	$ x ^{2-1/a}$		$a \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}$
$\mathfrak{g}^{2.7}$	$P^t + G, D^t + 2D^x$	$\varkappa \left x - \frac{t^2}{2} \right ^{3/2}$		$\varkappa \neq 0, \quad \varkappa > 0 \bmod G^\sim$
$\mathfrak{g}^{3.1}$	$P^x, G, P^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x$	εe^t		
$\mathfrak{g}_a^{3.2}$	$P^x, G, D^t + aD^x$	$\varepsilon t ^{2a-1}$		$a \neq \frac{1}{2}, \quad a > \frac{1}{2} \bmod G^\sim$
$\mathfrak{g}_a^{3.3}$	$P^x, G, P^t + \Pi + aD^x$	$\varepsilon e^{2a \arctan t}$		$a \neq 0, \quad a > 0 \bmod G^\sim$
\mathfrak{g}^5	$P^x, G, P^t, D^t + \frac{1}{2}D^x, \Pi$	1		

Here $\varepsilon = \pm 1 \bmod G^\sim$. The constants a and \varkappa and the (nonvanishing) function h should satisfy constraints in the last column for the expression of f to be well defined and for the corresponding Lie invariance algebra to be maximal. If possible, we gauge the constants a and \varkappa by equivalence transformations, which is also indicated in the last column. For the algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.1}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{1.2}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{1.3}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{2.1}$, the constants α , β , γ and δ involved in the corresponding inequalities for h are arbitrary but are not simultaneously zeros. The constraints for $\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.6}$ are $h_\omega \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\omega + \alpha)h_\omega &\neq (2a - 1)h \quad \text{if} \quad (a - 2)(a - 1)\alpha = 0, \\
(\omega + \beta)h_\omega &\neq 2h \quad \text{if} \quad (a - 1)a\beta = 0, \\
(a - 1)(\omega + \gamma)h_\omega &\neq (2a - 1)h \quad \text{if} \quad a(a + 1)\gamma = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

5 Classical similarity solutions

The solution of the group classification problem for a class of differential equations can be used for finding exact solutions of equations from the class. The standard procedure for this purpose starts with classifying subalgebras of the maximal Lie invariance algebra of each equation listed in the course of group classification. Then, using invariants of obtained inequivalent subalgebras, one constructs ansatzes for the unknown function and derives the corresponding reduced equations. In general, reduced equations are simpler for solving than their original counterparts since they have less number of independent variables. The last step of the procedure is to construct at least particular solutions of reduced equations, which gives exact solutions of the corresponding original equations.

In order to optimize the reduction process for equations from the class (1), we exploit two special reduction techniques.

The first technique is available due to the class (1) is normalized. Roughly speaking, this technique can be characterized as the classification of Lie reductions with respect to the equivalence group G^\sim of the whole class, rather than with respect to the Lie symmetry group of the equation to be reduced. Thus, this technique is related to the algebraic method of group classification. Since the class (1) is normalized, the projection of G^\sim to the space (t, x, u) contains the point symmetry groups of all equations from the class (1), and hence the maximal Lie invariance algebras of these equations are subalgebras of the projection \mathfrak{g} of the equivalence algebra \mathfrak{g}^\sim . Recall that equations \mathcal{L}_f and $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}$ from the class (1) are similar with respect to a point transformation if and only if they are G^\sim -equivalent. The similarity of equations \mathcal{L}_f and $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}$ implies the equivalence of their maximal Lie invariance algebras \mathfrak{g}_f and $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{f}}$ and establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the sets of subalgebras of these algebras. Subalgebras of \mathfrak{g}_f and $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{f}}$ are obviously subalgebras of the algebra \mathfrak{g} . So, it suffices to classify inequivalent subalgebras of the algebra \mathfrak{g} (cf. Section 4.2), that are appropriate for Lie reduction of equations from the class (1). This approach allows us to avoid the separate implementation of the Lie reduction procedure for each of the nineteen classification cases listed in Table 1.

The second technique, which was systematically used in [18, 19] and discussed in [44], is to construct ansatzes in such a way that reduced equations are of a simple and similar form. Thus, the algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{1.1}$ give trivial first-order ordinary differential equations. Reduced equations constructed using the algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.2}$ – $\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.8}$ are of order two. For all these algebras, we choose the invariant independent variable ω linear in x with coefficients dependent at most on t , and the general form of ansatzes is $u = F(t)\varphi(\omega) + G(t, x)$ with $G_{xx} = 0$. Here the intention is to make reduced equations of the same general form (11). After constructing intermediate ansatzes and the correspondent reduced equations, in some cases it is necessary to change the invariant dependent variable φ , e.g. $\varphi = \phi + 1$, in order to push all second-order reduced equations into the class (11).

Note that classical Lie reductions of equations from the class (1) were carried out earlier only for the subclass with $f_x = 0$ [14, 59] with some weaknesses⁴ and were later enhanced in [43]. Up to

⁴More specifically, in [14] optimal systems of subalgebras were constructed for the corresponding maximal Lie invariance algebras. These subalgebras were used for finding ansatzes for u and reduced ordinary differential equations. At the same time, the consideration was needlessly overcomplicated since the cases of Lie symmetry extensions were not simplified by point equivalence transformations, and two cases are equivalent to others with respect to point transformations. Some of the optimal systems are incorrect, cf. [43, footnote 7]. Moreover, no reduced equations were integrated. In [59], Lie reductions were performed only with respect to the one-dimensional subalgebras spanned by single basis elements, not to mention the presence of equivalent cases and needless parameters in the classification list. The reduced equation (95) in [59] contains two misprints and should in fact read as $F_0 f'' + f f' + m z_2 \lambda f' - m z_2 f + z_2^2 \lambda = 0$, cf. [43, footnote 8]. The further integration procedure is not applicable to the correct version of the reduced equation, and the functions (99)–(101) in [59] do not satisfy the corresponding generalized Burgers equation. The only nontrivial solutions (91)–(93) presented in [59] look, up to an equivalence transformation, like particular cases of the solution (15) for the first value of $\tilde{\omega}$ in (16), $\tilde{\omega} = \omega/\nu$.

G^\sim -equivalence, Lie symmetry extensions in this subclass are exhausted by the algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{2.1}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{3.1}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{3.2}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{3.3}$ and \mathfrak{g}^5 of Table 1.

Reduced equations for all possible G^\sim -inequivalent one-dimensional subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} are presented in Table 2. The case with $\mathfrak{g}^{1.0}$ in this table corresponds to the case with $\mathfrak{g}^{2.1}$ in Table 1; cf. Lemma 6.

Table 2: Lie reductions with respect to one-dimensional subalgebras of \mathfrak{g}

$\subset \mathfrak{g}$	Basis	Ansatz, $\varphi = \varphi(\omega)$	ω	Reduced equation
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.0}$	P^x	$u = \varphi$	t	$\varphi_\omega = 0$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.1}$	D^x	$u = x\varphi$	t	$\varphi_\omega + \varphi^2 = 0$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.2}$	P^t	$u = \varphi$	x	$h(\omega)\varphi_{\omega\omega} + \varphi\varphi_\omega = 0$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.3}$	$P^t + G$	$u = \varphi + t$	$x - \frac{t^2}{2}$	$h(\omega)\varphi_{\omega\omega} + \varphi\varphi_\omega + 1 = 0$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.4}$	$P^t + D^x$	$u = e^t\varphi$	$e^{-t}x$	$h(\omega)\varphi_{\omega\omega} + \varphi\varphi_\omega - \omega\varphi_\omega + \varphi = 0$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.5}$	$D^t + P^x$	$u = t^{-1}\varphi$	$x - \ln t $	$h(\omega)\varphi_{\omega\omega} + \varphi\varphi_\omega - \varphi_\omega - \varphi = 0$
$\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.6}$	$D^t + aD^x$	$u = t ^a t^{-1}\varphi$	$ t ^{-a}x$	$h(\omega)\varphi_{\omega\omega} + \varphi\varphi_\omega - \omega\varphi_\omega + (a-1)\varphi = 0$
$\mathfrak{g}^{1.7}$	$D^t + D^x + G$	$u = \varphi + \ln t $	$\frac{x}{t} - \ln t $	$h(\omega)\varphi_{\omega\omega} + \varphi\varphi_\omega - (\omega+1)\varphi_\omega + 1 = 0$
$\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.8}$	$P^t + \Pi + aD^x$	$u = \frac{e^{a \arctan t}}{\sqrt{t^2+1}}\varphi + \frac{t+a}{t^2+1}x$	$\frac{e^{-a \arctan t}}{\sqrt{t^2+1}}x$	$h(\omega)\varphi_{\omega\omega} + \varphi\varphi_\omega + 2a\varphi + (a^2+1)\omega = 0$

In order to solve the second-order reduced equations listed in Table 2, we consider the super-class of ordinary differential equations of the form

$$h(\omega)\phi_{\omega\omega} + \phi\phi_\omega + \alpha\phi + \beta\omega + \gamma = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad h(\omega) \neq 0, \quad (11)$$

which contains all the reduced equations (except those for $\mathfrak{g}^{1.0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{1.1}$). The change of the variable φ (if needed) and the values of the constants α , β and γ for them are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}^{1.2} : & \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = 0, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \varphi = \phi; \\ \mathfrak{g}^{1.3} : & \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = 0, \quad \gamma = 1, \quad \varphi = \phi; \\ \mathfrak{g}^{1.4} : & \quad \alpha = 2, \quad \beta = 1, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \text{after the change} \quad \varphi = \phi + \omega; \\ \mathfrak{g}^{1.5} : & \quad \alpha = -1, \quad \beta = 0, \quad \gamma = -1, \quad \text{after the change} \quad \varphi = \phi + 1; \\ \mathfrak{g}_a^{1.6} : & \quad \alpha = a, \quad \beta = a - 1, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \text{after the change} \quad \varphi = \phi + \omega; \\ \mathfrak{g}^{1.7} : & \quad \alpha = 1, \quad \beta = 0, \quad \gamma = 1, \quad \text{after the change} \quad \varphi = \phi + \omega + 1; \\ \mathfrak{g}_a^{1.8} : & \quad \alpha = 2a, \quad \beta = a^2 + 1, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \varphi = \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Linear solutions of reduced equations of the form (11), as well as all solutions of the reduced equations for $\mathfrak{g}^{1.0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{1.1}$, lead to solutions of equations from the class (1) that are linear with respect to x . The solutions being linear with respect to x are only common for all equations from the class (1) and are exhausted by the two families, $u = c_0$ and $u = (x + c_1)/(t + c_2)$, where c_0 , c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants. They also arise in Section 6.1 within the framework of reduction operators.

We find Lie symmetries of ordinary differential equations from the class (11) and use them for solving reduced equations presented for the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.2}$ – $\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.8}$ from Table 2. For these symmetries to be well interpreted as symmetries of reduced equations, equivalence transformations between equations from the class (11) are not involved in the consideration.

Proposition 14. *The values of the arbitrary elements h , α , β and γ that correspond to equations from the class (11) with nonzero maximal Lie invariance algebras, \mathfrak{h} , are exhausted by*

1. $h = h_0(\omega + \frac{\gamma}{\beta})^2$, $\beta \neq 0$: $\mathfrak{h} = \langle (\omega + \frac{\gamma}{\beta})\partial_\omega + \phi\partial_\phi \rangle$;
2. $h = h_0$, $\beta = 0$, $\gamma \neq 0$: $\mathfrak{h} = \langle \partial_\omega \rangle$;
3. $h = h_0|\omega + \mu|^{3/2}$, $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $\gamma \neq 0$: $\mathfrak{h} = \langle 2(\omega + \mu)\partial_\omega + \phi\partial_\phi \rangle$;
4. $h = -\frac{\alpha}{2}\omega^2 + \mu\omega + \nu$, $\beta = \gamma = 0$: $\mathfrak{h} = \langle h\partial_\omega - \alpha h\partial_\phi, -(hf\frac{d\omega}{h})\partial_\omega + (\phi + \alpha hf\frac{d\omega}{h} + \alpha\omega - \mu)\partial_\phi \rangle$;
5. $h_{\omega\omega} = \frac{\kappa}{h} - \alpha$, $\beta = \gamma = 0$: $\mathfrak{h} = \langle h\partial_\omega + (\kappa - \alpha h)\partial_\phi \rangle$;
6. $\frac{h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha}{(h_\omega + \alpha\omega + \mu)^2} = \frac{\kappa}{h}$, $\beta = \gamma = 0$: $\mathfrak{h} = \langle \xi\partial_\omega + (\phi - \alpha\xi + \alpha\omega + \mu)\partial_\phi \rangle$.

Here h_0 , μ , ν and κ are arbitrary constants with $h_0 \neq 0$ and $\kappa \neq 0$, and $\xi = \frac{h_\omega + \alpha\omega + \mu}{h_\omega + \alpha}$.

Proof. Any Lie symmetry operator of an equation from the class (11) has the general form $\xi(\omega)\partial_\omega + [c_1\phi - \alpha\xi(\omega) + \alpha c_1\omega + c_0]\partial_\phi$, where c_1 and c_0 are arbitrary constants and the component $\xi = \xi(\omega)$ satisfies the classifying equations

$$(\xi_\omega - 2c_1)(\beta\omega + \gamma) + \beta\xi = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\xi_\omega - \frac{h_\omega}{h}\xi = -c_1, \quad (13)$$

$$h\xi_{\omega\omega} = -\alpha\xi + c_1\alpha\omega + c_0, \quad \text{and hence} \quad (h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha)\xi = c_1h_\omega + c_1\alpha\omega + c_0. \quad (14)$$

Both the forms of the equation (14) are equivalent when (13) holds, and are useful for the further classification. The simplest way to solve the system (12)–(14) is to start its integration from equation (12) considering the cases $\beta = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$ separately.

For $\beta \neq 0$, the equation (12) implies

$$\xi = \frac{c_1(\beta\omega + \gamma)}{\beta} + \frac{b}{\beta\omega + \gamma}.$$

Here and below b denotes an integration constant. The assumption $b \neq 0$ leads to a contradiction. As a result, $b = 0$, i.e. $\xi = c_1(\omega + \frac{\gamma}{\beta})$, and thus $\xi_{\omega\omega} = 0$. Hence from the first form of (14) we get $c_0 = c_1\frac{\alpha\gamma}{\beta}$. Since the algebra \mathfrak{h} is supposed to be nonzero, it should contain a vector field with a nonzero value of c_1 . Therefore, from (13) we have $(\omega + \frac{\gamma}{\beta})h_\omega = 2h$, which gives item 1 of the proposition.

If $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0$, then from (12) we obtain $\xi = 2c_1\omega + b$ and split the first form of (14) with respect to ω to derive $\alpha c_1 = 0$ and $c_0 = \alpha b$. Then we exploit (13) and obtain items 2 and 3 depending on whether c_1 vanishes for all elements of \mathfrak{h} or not, respectively.

The equation (12) with $\beta = \gamma = 0$ is an identity. Consider the subcases $h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha = 0$ and $h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha \neq 0$ separately.

If $h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha = 0$, integrating (13) we derive $\xi = bh - c_1h \int \frac{d\omega}{h}$. This gives item 4.

For $h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha \neq 0$, the second form of the equation (14) gives

$$\xi = \frac{h_\omega + \alpha\omega}{h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha}c_1 + \frac{1}{h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha}c_0.$$

Then the equation (13) can be represented as $K^1c_1 + K^0c_0 = 0$, where

$$K^0 = \left[\frac{1}{h(h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha)} \right]_\omega h \quad \text{and} \quad K^1 = 2 + (h_\omega + \alpha\omega)K^0.$$

If $K^0 = 0$, then $K^1 = 2$, $c_1 = 0$ and $\kappa := h(h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha) = \text{const}$. That is why we have item 5. Now suppose that $K^0 \neq 0$. K^1 and K^0 are linearly dependent, otherwise $c_1 = c_0 = 0$, which corresponds to the trivial algebra. Therefore, $\mu := -K^1/K^0 = \text{const}$, and hence $c_0 = \mu c_1$. Then the equation (13) reduces to

$$\frac{h}{h_{\omega} + \alpha\omega + \mu} \left[\frac{(h_{\omega} + \alpha\omega + \mu)^2}{h(h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha)} \right]_{\omega} = 0, \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \frac{h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha}{(h_{\omega} + \alpha\omega + \mu)^2} = \frac{\kappa}{h}$$

when once integrated. Here κ is an integration constant. Thus we obtain item 6. In the last two items we have $\kappa \neq 0$ since $h_{\omega\omega} + \alpha \neq 0$. \square

The equation (11) with $h = -\frac{\alpha}{2}\omega^2 + \mu\omega + \nu$ and $\beta = \gamma = 0$ (item 4 of Proposition 14) admits the widest (two-dimensional) symmetry algebra. In this case in the variables

$$\tilde{\omega} = \int \frac{d\omega}{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\omega^2 + \mu\omega + \nu}, \quad \tilde{\phi} = \phi + \alpha\omega - \mu$$

the equation (11) can be once integrated, which leads to $2\tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\omega}} = c_0 - \tilde{\phi}^2$, where c_0 is an integration constant. The solution of the integrated equation depends on the sign of c_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi} &= -\varkappa \tan\left(\frac{\varkappa}{2}\tilde{\omega} + c_1\right) & \text{if } c_0 < 0, \quad \varkappa := \sqrt{-c_0}, \\ \tilde{\phi} &= \frac{2}{\tilde{\omega} + c_1} \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{\phi} = 0 & \text{if } c_0 = 0, \\ \tilde{\phi} &= \varkappa \frac{c_1 e^{\varkappa\tilde{\omega}} - 1}{c_1 e^{\varkappa\tilde{\omega}} + 1} \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{\phi} = \varkappa & \text{if } c_0 > 0, \quad \varkappa := \sqrt{c_0}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where c_1 is another integration constant. The form of $\tilde{\omega}$ depends on the sign of $\Delta := \mu^2 + 2\alpha\nu$ and on α , μ and ν , namely

$$\tilde{\omega} = \begin{cases} \frac{\omega}{\nu}, & \alpha = 0, \mu = 0, \nu \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{\mu} \ln \left| \omega + \frac{\nu}{\mu} \right|, & \alpha = 0, \mu \neq 0, \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \arctan \frac{\alpha\omega - \mu}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}, & \alpha \neq 0, \Delta < 0, \\ \frac{2}{\alpha\omega - \mu}, & \alpha \neq 0, \Delta = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \ln \left| \frac{\alpha\omega - \mu + \sqrt{\Delta}}{\alpha\omega - \mu - \sqrt{\Delta}} \right|, & \alpha \neq 0, \Delta > 0. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Therefore, substituting the expressions for $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ into $\phi = \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{\omega}) - \alpha\omega + \mu$ we have fifteen different expressions for solutions of (11) with h quadratic in ω and $\beta = \gamma = 0$.

We can apply this result to the reduced equations that correspond to the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1,2}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1^{1,6}$ (cf. Table 2), where $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, respectively.

The differential equation for h in item 5 being multiplied by $2h_{\omega}$ and once integrated takes the form $h_{\omega}^2 = 2\nu \ln|h| - 2\alpha h + c_1$, where c_1 is an arbitrary constant. After the second integration we have the implicit general solution

$$\pm \int \frac{dh}{\sqrt{2\nu \ln|h| - 2\alpha h + c_1}} = \omega + c_2.$$

For some items of Proposition 14, we can construct at least particular solutions of related equations of the form (11) for certain values of parameters. We can use e.g. Lie reduction of equations from the class (11) to algebraic equations.

The symmetry algebra of item 3 gives the ansatz $\phi = c\sqrt{|\omega + \mu|}$. The constant c is a solution of the reduced algebraic equation $2\varepsilon c^2 - h_0 c + 4\gamma = 0$, where $\varepsilon = \text{sgn}(\omega + \mu)$, and hence

$$c = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} (h_0 \pm \sqrt{h_0^2 - 32\varepsilon\gamma}).$$

Hereby for $\gamma = 1$ we can use this solution ϕ of the reduced equation associated with the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{1.3}$, see Table 2, to find the solution

$$u(t, x) = c\sqrt{\left|x - \frac{t^2}{2} + \mu\right|} + t \quad (17)$$

of the generalized Burgers equation \mathcal{L}_f with $f = h_0|x - \frac{t^2}{2} + \mu|^{3/2}$, and $\mu = 0 \pmod{G^\sim}$.

If we suppose $\alpha = \mu = 0$ in item 6, then the corresponding equation $h_{\omega\omega}/h_\omega = \kappa h_\omega/h$ for h can be integrated, $h = h_0|\omega + \lambda|^{1/\kappa}$ if $\kappa \neq 1$ and $h = h_0 e^{\lambda\omega}$ if $\kappa = 1$, and the associated Lie symmetry algebra leads to the ansatz $\phi = c(h/h_0)^\kappa$. Here h_0 and λ are integration constants. This ansatz reduces the equation (11) to a quadratic equation in c whose two solutions are $c = 0$ and

$$c = \begin{cases} \frac{1-2\kappa}{1-\kappa} h_0 \text{sgn}(\omega + \lambda) & \text{if } \kappa \neq 1, \\ -\lambda h_0 & \text{if } \kappa = 1. \end{cases}$$

Using this result for the reduced equation that corresponds to the algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{1.2}$, see Table 2, we obtain the stationary solutions $u(t, x) = c|x + \lambda|^{1/\kappa}$ and $u(t, x) = -\lambda h_0 e^{\lambda x}$ for the equations of the form (1) with $f = h_0|x + \lambda|^{1/\kappa}$ and $f = h_0 e^{\lambda x}$, respectively.

Remark 15. If a reduced equation admits Lie symmetries that are not induced by Lie symmetries of the initial equation, then the initial equation is said to have *additional* [35] (or *hidden* [1]) symmetries with respect to the corresponding reduction. The first example of such symmetries was presented in [24]; see also the discussion of this example in [35, Example 3.5]. A comprehensive study of such symmetries for the Navier–Stokes equations was carried out in [18, 19]. The Lie reductions of relevant equations from the class (1) with respect to algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{1.1}$ lead to first-order reduced equations. Therefore, the corresponding initial equations admit infinite-dimensional families of hidden symmetries with respect to the above reductions, but these symmetries are not essential for consideration because they provide no new exact solutions. The other algebras from Table 2 give second-order reduced equations of the general form (11). Among Lie symmetries of such reduced equations there are both induced and hidden symmetries. Namely, in items 1–3 of Proposition 14, all symmetries of related reduced equations (which are constructed using the algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.4}$, $\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.6}$ with $a \neq 1$, $\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.8}$; $\mathfrak{g}^{1.3}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{1.5}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{1.7}$; $\mathfrak{g}^{1.3}$, respectively) are induced by Lie symmetries of the corresponding initial equations from the class (1). The condition $\beta = \gamma = 0$ of items 4–6 can be satisfied only by reduced equations obtained using the algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.2}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1^{1.6}$. In item 4, the first basis vector field is induced only if $f = x$ for the reduction with respect to $\mathfrak{g}^{1.2}$, and the second basis vector field is induced only if $f = t(\omega + \bar{\nu})^2$ with $\omega = x/t$ for the reduction with respect to $\mathfrak{g}_1^{1.6}$. All the other Lie symmetries of reduced equations presented in items 4–6 are hidden symmetries of the corresponding initial equations from the class (1).

Consider possible reductions with respect to the two-dimensional inequivalent subalgebras of the algebra \mathfrak{g} . For this purpose for each basis vector field of a subalgebra we write the

characteristic equation and thus obtain a system of two differential equations. If the system is consistent, then its solution gives an ansatz reducing the corresponding equations from the class (1) to algebraic equations. The system obtained for $\mathfrak{g}^{2.1}$ is inconsistent, i.e. there is no ansatz associated with this subalgebra. An ansatz constructed with $\mathfrak{g}^{2.4}$ is $u = (t + c)x/(t^2 + 1)$ but the corresponding reduced equation $c^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions. The other subalgebras allow us to construct some simple solutions, namely, $u = 0$ from $\mathfrak{g}^{2.2}$, $u = 0$ and $u = x/t$ from $\mathfrak{g}^{2.3}$, $u = 0$ and $u = e^{-x}$ from $\mathfrak{g}^{2.5}$, $u = 0$ and $u = a^{-1}x|x|^{-1/a}$ from $\mathfrak{g}_a^{2.6}$, and from $\mathfrak{g}^{2.7}$ we re-obtain solution (17) with $\mu = 0$ and $h_0 = \kappa$. Up to G^\sim -equivalence, the other two-dimensional subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} can be assumed to contain the vector field P^x [22] and, therefore, to lead at most to constant solutions of equations from the class (1).

6 Reduction operators and nonclassical reductions

Achieving new possible reductions opens a way for finding more exact solutions, which may be of interest for modeling physical phenomena and verifying approximate methods of solving differential equations. Nonclassical reductions were first considered in [9] as a generalization of the classical Lie reduction method. An attempt of formalizing them was made in [17]. Vector fields associated with nonclassical reductions are called nonclassical, or conditional, or Q -conditional symmetries [16, 36]. Another, more proper, name for such a vector field Q is a *reduction operator* [29], which relates Q to reducing the number of independent variables in a partial differential equation with an ansatz constructed by Q [64].

After the linear heat equation [9], the Burgers equation was the second one that was considered from the point of view of reduction operators [61, 62]; see also a review of these results in [3]. Later, reduction operators of the Burgers equation were objects of study and discussion in a number of papers [4, 5, 33, 36, 53]. These studies were summed up in [42]. Attempts to describe nonclassical symmetries for equations from the class (1) with nonconstant f 's were started in [59] for the subclass of equations with $f_x = 0$. It was also shown that in this subclass reduction operators inequivalent to Lie symmetries exist only for $f = \text{const}$, i.e. for the classical Burgers equation. Preliminary results on reduction operators of equations from the class (1) with general f 's were first outlined in [41].

Here we arrange the consideration of reduction operators for generalized Burgers equations presented in [41, 42] and extend it with complete proofs of the corresponding assertions.

Roughly speaking, a *reduction operator* of an equation \mathcal{L}_f from the class (1) is a vector field of the form $Q = \tau(t, x, u)\partial_t + \xi(t, x, u)\partial_x + \eta(t, x, u)\partial_u$ with $(\tau, \xi) \neq (0, 0)$ that leads to an ansatz reducing the initial equation to an ordinary differential equation (see [28, 46, 64] for precise definitions). Due to the equivalence relation of reduction operators, one can multiply Q by a nonzero function of (t, x, u) in order to gauge a component of Q to one. The set of reduction operators for any (1+1)-dimensional evolution equation can be naturally partitioned into two subsets depending on whether $\tau = 0$ or $\tau \neq 0$. Moreover, reduction operators with $\tau = 0$ are *singular* [10, 28], and the problem of finding them is equivalent to solving a single determining equation, which reduces to the original equation [20, 28, 63] (so-called “no-go” problem).⁵ In particular, the determining equation for singular reduction operators of the equation \mathcal{L}_f is

$$\eta_t + u\eta_x + \eta^2 + f_x(\eta_x + \eta\eta_u) + f(\eta_{xx} + 2\eta\eta_{xu} + \eta^2\eta_{uu}) = 0,$$

where the component ξ is already set to 1 using the equivalence relation of reduction operators; cf. [42, Section 2] for the Burger equation \mathcal{L}_1 .

⁵Sometimes such a determining equation may be useful, when it is possible to guess some ad hoc forms of its particular solutions, although there is no algorithmic procedure to do this. See, e.g., [21]. Singular reduction operators corresponding to these particular solutions can be used to construct exact solutions for the original equation.

For this reason, we devote the rest of this section to *regular* reduction operators of equations from the class (1), which have, up to the equivalence relation of reduction operators, the general form $Q = \partial_t + \xi(t, x, u)\partial_x + \eta(t, x, u)\partial_u$. The conditional invariance criterion [16, 52, 64] implies the condition

$$Q_{(2)}L^f[u] \Big|_{\mathcal{L}_f \cap \mathcal{Q}_{(2)}} = 0 \quad (18)$$

for the vector field Q to be a reduction operator of the equation $\mathcal{L}_f: L^f[u] = 0$. Here, again, $Q_{(2)}$ is the standard second-order prolongation of the vector field Q , the manifold defined by the equation \mathcal{L}_f in the second-order jet space with the variables $(t, x, u, u_t, u_x, u_{tt}, u_{tx}, u_{xx})$ is denoted by the same symbol \mathcal{L}_f , and $\mathcal{Q}_{(2)}$ is the manifold defined in the same jet space by the invariant surface condition $Q[u] := \eta - u_t - \xi u_x = 0$ and its differential consequences $D_t Q[u] = 0$ and $D_x Q[u] = 0$. These consequences are not needed in the course of expanding the condition (18) since the expression $Q_{(2)}L^f[u]$ does not contain the derivatives u_{tt} and u_{tx} due to the gauging of the component τ of Q to 1. Thus, the expanded condition (18) is

$$\eta^t + \eta u_x + u \eta^x + (f_t + \xi f_x)u_{xx} + f \eta^{xx} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad u_t + u u_x + f u_{xx} = 0, \quad u_t + \xi u_x = \eta.$$

Substituting $u_t = \eta - \xi u_x$ and $u_{xx} = (\xi u_x - u u_x - \eta)/f$ and splitting the result with respect to u_x , we obtain the system of determining equations

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{uu} = 0, \quad \eta_{uu} &= \frac{2}{f} \xi_u (\xi - u) + 2 \xi_{xu}, \\ (2\xi_u + 1)\eta + \left(\frac{f_t}{f} + \frac{f_x}{f} \xi \right) (\xi - u) + 2f\eta_{xu} - \xi_t - 2\xi_x \xi + u\xi_x - f\xi_{xx} &= 0, \\ \eta_t + u\eta_x + f\eta_{xx} - \left(\frac{f_t}{f} + \frac{f_x}{f} \xi \right) \eta + 2\xi_x \eta &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Integrating the first two equations, we can represent ξ and η as polynomials of u with coefficients depending on t and x ,

$$\xi = \xi^1(t, x)u + \xi^0(t, x), \quad \eta = \frac{\xi^1(\xi^1 - 1)}{3f}u^3 + \left(\xi_x^1 + \frac{\xi^1 \xi^0}{f} \right) u^2 + \eta^1(t, x)u + \eta^0(t, x),$$

where the coefficients ξ^1 , ξ^0 , η^1 and η^0 are assumed as new unknown functions. Substituting the expressions for ξ and η into the third determining equation and splitting the result with respect to u , we derive the system

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^1(\xi^1 - 1)(2\xi^1 + 1) &= 0, \\ \xi^1(2\xi^1 + 1)\xi^0 - \xi^1(\xi^1 - 1)f_x &= 0, \\ (\xi^1 - 1)f_t + (2\xi^1 + 1)(f\eta^1 + f\xi_x^0 - f_x\xi^0) &= 0, \\ (2\xi^1 + 1)\eta^0 + \left(\frac{f_t}{f} + \frac{f_x}{f} \xi^0 \right) \xi^0 + 2f\eta_x^1 - \xi_t^0 - 2\xi_x^0 \xi^0 - f\xi_{xx}^0 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In the second and third equations of (20) we immediately take into account the implication $\xi^1 = \text{const}$ of the first equation of (20).

The further consideration depends on the choice of solution of the first equation of (20). We devote the next subsections to the cases $\xi^1 = 1$ and $\xi^1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, and the case $\xi^1 = 0$ is partitioned into two subcases, $\xi_{xx}^0 = 0$ and $\xi_{xx}^0 \neq 0$. Note that the last determining equation (19) will be represented in terms of ξ^1 , ξ^0 , η^1 and η^0 and split with respect to u in every particular case.

The partition of reduction operators of equations from the class (1) into the singular and regular reduction operators and the further partition of the regular case into the above subcases

are invariant under the action of G^\sim on the pairs ('equation', 'its reduction operator'). See, e.g., [46, Section 3] or [28, Definition 3]. Therefore, these reduction operators can be classified up to G^\sim -equivalence, which coincides with \mathcal{G}^\sim -equivalence due to the normalization of the class (1).

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 16. *Up to G^\sim -equivalence, all regular reduction operators of equations from the class (1) are exhausted by*

1. $Q^1 = \partial_t + u\partial_x$ for any equation \mathcal{L}_f from the class (1),
2. Lie symmetry operators with nonzero coefficients of ∂_t ,
3. $Q^\theta = \partial_t - (\theta_t/\theta_x)\partial_x$ for each equation \mathcal{L}_{f^θ} with $f^\theta = -1/\theta_x$, where $\theta = \theta(t, x)$ is an arbitrary nonconstant solution of the equation

$$\theta_t = \frac{\theta_{xx}}{\theta_x} + h(\theta)\theta_x,$$

and h is an arbitrary smooth function of θ ,

4. $Q^{\xi^0\eta^1\eta^0} = \partial_t + (-\frac{1}{2}u + \xi^0)\partial_x + (\frac{1}{4}u^3 - \frac{1}{2}\xi^0u^2 + \eta^1u + \eta^0)\partial_u$ only for the classical Burgers equation \mathcal{L}_1 (modulo G^\sim -equivalence, any constant f can be set to one), where

$$\xi^0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & u^1 & z^1 \\ 1 & u^2 & z^2 \\ 1 & u^3 & z^3 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & u^1 & y^1 \\ 1 & u^2 & y^2 \\ 1 & u^3 & y^3 \end{vmatrix}}, \quad \eta^1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & y^1 & z^1 \\ 1 & y^2 & z^2 \\ 1 & y^3 & z^3 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & u^1 & y^1 \\ 1 & u^2 & y^2 \\ 1 & u^3 & y^3 \end{vmatrix}}, \quad \eta^0 = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} u^1 & y^1 & z^1 \\ u^2 & y^2 & z^2 \\ u^3 & y^3 & z^3 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & u^1 & y^1 \\ 1 & u^2 & y^2 \\ 1 & u^3 & y^3 \end{vmatrix}},$$

u^i are solutions of \mathcal{L}_1 such that the determinant in the denominators does not vanish, $y^i = 2u_x^i + (u^i)^2$ and $z^i = 4u_{xx}^i + 6u^i u_x^i + (u^i)^3$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The proof of the theorem is split into the four parts corresponding to theorem's cases and accompanied with brief discussions of associated nonclassical reductions.

6.1 Trivial case $\xi^1 = 1$

The case $\xi^1 = 1$ was considered in [4, 53] for the classical Burgers equation and in [41] for all equations from the class (1). The determining equations (20) imply $\xi^0 = 0$, $\eta^1 = 0$, $\eta^0 = 0$, which gives *item 1* of Theorem 16. The vector field $Q^1 = \partial_t + u\partial_x$ is a unique common reduction operator for all equations of the class (1). The set of Q^1 -invariant solutions of every equation \mathcal{L}_f from the class (1) is exhausted by two families, $u(t, x) = (x + c_1)/(t + c_2)$ and $u = c$, where c_1 , c_2 and c are arbitrary constants, and these are only common solutions for all equations from the class (1), cf. Section 5. See [42, Section 3.1] for more details on related reductions. Note that any transformation from the restriction of G^\sim to the space (t, x, u) pushes forward Q^1 to an equivalent vector field differing from Q^1 by a nonvanishing multiplier and preserves both the families of Q^1 -invariant solutions.

6.2 Case $\xi^1 = -\frac{1}{2}$

This value for ξ^1 is possible only if $f = \text{const}$, hence the subproblem in question is equivalent to the problem of finding reduction operators with $\xi^1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ for the classical Burgers equation. Since the usual equivalence group contains scale transformations impacting the constant f , we can set $f = 1$ modulo G^\sim , and thus obtain the Burgers equation

$$\mathcal{L}_1: \quad L^1[u] := u_t + uu_x + u_{xx} = 0.$$

The determining equations on the components $\xi^0 = \xi^0(t, x)$, $\eta^1 = \eta^1(t, x)$ and $\eta^0 = \eta^0(t, x)$ become

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_t^0 + 2\xi_x^0\xi^0 + \xi_{xx}^0 - 2\eta_x^1 &= 0, \\ \eta_t^1 + 2\xi_x^0\eta^1 + \eta_{xx}^1 + \eta_x^0 &= 0, \\ \eta_t^0 + 2\xi_x^0\eta^0 + \eta_{xx}^0 &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

Reduction operators in this case take the form

$$Q = \partial_t + \left(-\frac{1}{2}u + \xi^0\right) \partial_x + \left(\frac{1}{4}u^3 - \frac{\xi^0}{2}u^2 + \eta^1u + \eta^0\right) \partial_u.\tag{22}$$

As it was established in [5, 33], solving the system (21) is equivalent to solving the system of three copies of the linear heat equation. Therefore, it has been referred to as a “no-go” problem. Using a technique developed in [46], we showed in [42] that this equivalence immediately follows from the fact that Q is a reduction operator of the Burgers equation. Moreover, we derived the representation of solutions of the system (21) via solutions of the uncoupled system of three copies of the initial Burgers equation. Below we briefly review results of [42]. Note that the proofs given in [5, 33] did not use the relation of the system (21) with reduction operators of the Burgers equation.

Lemma 17. *Any solution of the determining system (21) on the coefficients of reduction operators of the form (22) is represented as*

$$\xi^0 = \frac{(W(\bar{v}))_x}{W(\bar{v})}, \quad \eta^1 = \frac{|\bar{v}, \bar{v}_{xx}, \bar{v}_{xxx}|}{W(\bar{v})}, \quad \eta^0 = -2\frac{W(\bar{v}_x)}{W(\bar{v})},\tag{23}$$

where $\bar{v} = (v^1, v^2, v^3)$ is a triple of linearly independent solutions of the heat equation $v_t + v_{xx} = 0$, $W(\bar{v}) = |\bar{v}, \bar{v}_x, \bar{v}_{xx}|$ and $W(\bar{v}_x) = |\bar{v}_x, \bar{v}_{xx}, \bar{v}_{xxx}|$ are the Wronskians of this triple and the triple of the corresponding derivatives with respect to x , respectively, and $|\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{r}|$ denotes the determinant of the matrix constructed with ternary columns \bar{p} , \bar{q} and \bar{r} . Conversely, any triple (ξ^0, η^1, η^0) admitting the representation (23) satisfies the system (21).

The proof of the lemma is based on properties of reduction operators. Considering Q -invariant solutions for an operator Q of the form (22), we solve the system of two equations $L^1[u] = 0$ and $Q[u] = 0$, recombined for convenience as $L^1[u] = 0$, $L^1[u] + Q[u] = 0$. The Hopf–Cole transformation $u = 2v_x/v$ maps this system to the linear system

$$v_t + v_{xx} = 0,\tag{24}$$

$$v_{xxx} - \xi^0 v_{xx} + \eta^1 v_x + \frac{1}{2}\eta^0 v = 0.\tag{25}$$

Since the family of Q -invariant solutions of \mathcal{L}_1 is two-parameter, the space of solutions of the system (24)–(25) with respect to v is three-dimensional. Let the functions $v^i = v^i(t, x)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, constitute a basis of this space. Then the family of Q -invariant solutions of \mathcal{L}_1 is represented as

$$u = 2\frac{c_1 v_x^1 + c_2 v_x^2 + c_3 v_x^3}{c_1 v^1 + c_2 v^2 + c_3 v^3},\tag{26}$$

where only two of the constants c_1, c_2, c_3 are essential.

Now take three arbitrary linearly independent solutions v^i of the heat equation (24) and substitute each of them into (25). Solving the obtained system of three copies of (25) as a system of linear algebraic equations on ξ^0, η^0 and η^1 , we derive the representation (23).

Conversely, if the coefficients ξ^0 , η^0 and η^1 are of the form (23), then the equation \mathcal{L}_1 admits a two-parametric family (26) of Q -invariant solutions. Hence the vector field Q is a reduction operator of \mathcal{L}_1 , which completes the proof of Lemma 17.

Lemma 17 and the Hopf–Cole transformation allow us to represent the coefficients ξ^0 , η^0 and η^1 in terms of three solutions of the classical Burgers equation,

$$\xi^0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\bar{e}, \bar{u}, \bar{z}|}{|\bar{e}, \bar{u}, \bar{y}|}, \quad \eta^1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\bar{e}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}|}{|\bar{e}, \bar{u}, \bar{y}|}, \quad \eta^0 = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{|\bar{u}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}|}{|\bar{e}, \bar{u}, \bar{y}|}, \quad (27)$$

where the columns \bar{e} , \bar{y} and \bar{z} consist of $e^i = 1$, $y^i = 2u_x^i + (u^i)^2$ and $z^i = 4u_{xx}^i + 6u^i u_x^i + (u^i)^3$, respectively, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and \bar{u} is a column of three solutions of the Burgers equation with $|\bar{e}, \bar{u}, \bar{y}| \neq 0$. This results in *item 4* of Theorem 16. The associated nonclassical reductions were discussed in [42, Section 3.3].

6.3 Case $\xi^1 = 0$ with $\xi_{xx}^0 \neq 0$

The condition $\xi^1 = 0$ implies that $\xi = \xi^0(t, x)$ and $\eta = \eta^1(t, x)u + \eta^0(t, x)$. Substituting these expressions into the system (19)–(20) and splitting (19) with respect to u , we obtain $\eta_x^1 = 0$, i.e. $\eta^1 = \eta^1(t)$, and

$$\eta_x^0 + \eta^1 \xi_x^0 = (\eta^1)^2 - \eta_t^1, \quad (28)$$

$$f_t + f_x \xi^0 - f(\eta^1 + \xi_x^0) = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$\xi_t^0 + \xi^0 \xi_x^0 + f \xi_{xx}^0 = \eta^0 + \eta^1 \xi^0, \quad (30)$$

$$\eta_t^0 + \eta^0 \xi_x^0 + f \eta_{xx}^0 = \eta^1 \eta^0. \quad (31)$$

Lemma 18. *For the case $\xi^1 = 0$, $\xi_{xx}^0 \neq 0$ the component η vanishes modulo G^\sim .*

Proof. For convenience we denote $\alpha := (\eta^1)^2 - \eta_t^1$. The equation (28) can be easily integrated with respect to x ,

$$\eta^0 + \eta^1 \xi^0 = \alpha x + \beta, \quad (32)$$

where an arbitrary function $\beta = \beta(t)$ arises in the course of the integration. After eliminating η^0 in view of (32) and recombining, the equations (30) and (31) take the form

$$\xi_t^0 + \xi^0 \xi_x^0 + f \xi_{xx}^0 = \alpha x + \beta, \quad (33)$$

$$((\alpha x + \beta) \xi^0)_x = 2\eta^1(\alpha x + \beta) - (\alpha_t x + \beta_t). \quad (34)$$

In fact, $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ for any solution of the system (28)–(31). Indeed, suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$. Integrating (34) with respect to x , we get

$$\xi^0 = \frac{(\alpha \eta^1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_t) x^2 + (2\eta^1 \beta - \beta_t) x + \delta}{\alpha x + \beta} = \gamma^1 x + \gamma^0 + \frac{\mu}{\alpha x + \beta},$$

where δ is an arbitrary function of t that arises in the course of integration, and

$$\gamma^1 = \eta^1 - \frac{\alpha_t}{2\alpha}, \quad \gamma^0 = \frac{\eta^1 \beta - \beta_t}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha_t \beta}{2\alpha^2}, \quad \mu = \delta + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}(\beta_t - \eta^1 \beta) - \frac{\alpha_t \beta^2}{2\alpha^2}.$$

Then the equation (33) gives an expression for f , which is a polynomial in $(\alpha x + \beta)$, and the coefficient of $(\alpha x + \beta)^0$ is $\mu/(2\alpha)$. Substituting the expressions for ξ^0 and f into (29), we derive the condition that a polynomial in $(\alpha x + \beta)$ and $(\alpha x + \beta)^{-1}$ with coefficients depending on t identically equals zero. This means that all the coefficients of this polynomial vanish.

In particular, the coefficient of the lowest power $(\alpha x + \beta)^{-2}$ is $\mu^2/2$. Hence $\mu = 0$ and then we have $\xi^0 = \gamma^1 x + \gamma^0$, which contradicts the assumption $\xi_{xx}^0 \neq 0$.

Knowing that $\alpha = 0$, we differentiate the equation (34) with respect to x and obtain $\beta \xi_{xx}^0 = 0$. Therefore, $\beta = 0$ in view of the assumption $\xi_{xx}^0 \neq 0$.

In view of the notation of α and the equation (32), the condition $\alpha = \beta = 0$ implies that $\eta_t^1 = (\eta^1)^2$ and $\eta^0 = -\eta^1 \xi^0$. The solutions of the equation $\eta_t^1 = (\eta^1)^2$ are $\eta^1 = 0$ and $\eta^1 = -(t+c)^{-1}$, where c is an integration constant. The second value of η^1 can be set to zero using the equivalence transformation $\tilde{t} = -(t+c)^{-1}$, $\tilde{x} = x(t+c)^{-1}$, $\tilde{u} = (t+c)u - x$, $\tilde{f} = f$. \square

As $\eta = 0$, the system of determining equations (28)–(31) reduces to the system

$$f_t + \xi f_x - \xi_x f = 0, \quad (35)$$

$$\xi_t + \xi \xi_x + f \xi_{xx} = 0, \quad (36)$$

which is well determined as it consists of two differential equations in two unknown functions, $f = f(t, x)$ and $\xi = \xi(t, x)$, and definitely has no nontrivial differential consequences.

We write the equation (35) in conserved form, $(1/f)_t + (\xi/f)_x = 0$, and use it to introduce the potential $\theta = \theta(t, x)$ which is defined by the system $\theta_x = -1/f$, $\theta_t = \xi/f$, and thus $f = -1/\theta_x$, $\xi = -\theta_t/\theta_x$. Substituting these expressions for f and ξ into (36) and integrating, we obtain

$$\theta_t = \frac{\theta_{xx}}{\theta_x} + h(\theta)\theta_x, \quad (37)$$

where h is an arbitrary smooth function of θ [41]. As a result, the system (35)–(36) reduces to the equation (37). For any h and for an arbitrary solution θ of the equation (37), the vector field

$$Q^\theta = \partial_t - \frac{\theta_t}{\theta_x} \partial_x$$

is a reduction operator of the equation \mathcal{L}_{f^θ} with $f^\theta = -1/\theta_x$, which proves *item 3* of Theorem 16.

The impossibility of complete explicit description of reduction operators in this case, which is equivalent to the problem of finding the general solution of the system (35)–(36), was signed out in [41]. Nevertheless, new solutions of equations from the class (1) were constructed *ibid* by nonclassical reduction via establishing the connection between the system (35)–(36) and the potential fast diffusion equation (37) with $h = 0$ and using a number of already known exact solutions of the latter equation [52].

6.4 Case $\xi^1 = 0$ with $\xi_{xx}^0 = 0$

We prove that in this case reduction operators are equivalent to Lie symmetry operators. This assertion was first stated in [41, Section 2]. Under the condition $\xi_{xx}^0 = 0$, the equation (28) implies $\eta_{xx}^0 = 0$. Hence $\xi(t, x) = \xi^0(t, x) = \xi^{01}(t)x + \xi^{00}(t)$ and $\eta(t, x) = \eta^1(t)u + \eta^{01}(t)x + \eta^{00}(t)$. In terms of the new parameter-functions, the determining equations (28)–(31) take the form

$$\xi_t^{01} = (\eta^1 - \xi^{01})\xi^{01} + \eta^{01}, \quad (38)$$

$$\xi_t^{00} = (\eta^1 - \xi^{01})\xi^{00} + \eta^{00}, \quad (39)$$

$$\eta_t^1 = (\eta^1 - \xi^{01})\eta^1 - \eta^{01}, \quad (40)$$

$$\eta_t^{01} = (\eta^1 - \xi^{01})\eta^{01}, \quad (41)$$

$$\eta_t^{00} = (\eta^1 - \xi^{01})\eta^{00}, \quad (42)$$

$$\eta^1 = \frac{f_t}{f} + \frac{f_x}{f} (\xi^{01}x + \xi^{00}) - \xi^{01}. \quad (43)$$

The next step is to modify the determining equations by the substitution $\xi^{01} = \varphi_t/\varphi$ and $\eta^1 = -\psi_t/\psi$, where φ and ψ are smooth functions of t with $\varphi\psi \neq 0$. Specifically, from (41) we get the equation

$$\frac{\eta_t^{01}}{\eta^{01}} + \frac{\varphi_t}{\varphi} + \frac{\psi_t}{\psi} = 0,$$

which integrates to the condition $\eta^{01}\varphi\psi = a_0 = \text{const}$ and thus implies

$$\eta^{01} = \frac{a_0}{\varphi\psi}. \quad (44)$$

Substituting the expression (44) for η^{01} into the modified equations (38) and (40), we derive $\varphi_{tt}\psi + \varphi_t\psi_t = a_0$ and $\varphi\psi_{tt} + \varphi_t\psi_t = a_0$, or, after integration,

$$\varphi_t\psi = a_0t + a_1, \quad \varphi\psi_t = a_0t + a_2, \quad (45)$$

respectively. The sum of the equations (45) is directly integrated to

$$\varphi\psi = a_0t^2 + (a_1 + a_2)t + a_3, \quad (46)$$

where a_1 , a_2 and a_3 are arbitrary constants with $(a_0, a_1 + a_2, a_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ since $\varphi\psi \neq 0$. Then the equation (44) leads to

$$\eta^{01} = \frac{a_0}{a_0t^2 + (a_1 + a_2)t + a_3}.$$

Dividing each of the equations (45) by (46) we obtain

$$\xi^{01} = \frac{\varphi_t}{\varphi} = \frac{a_0t + a_1}{a_0t^2 + (a_1 + a_2)t + a_3}, \quad \eta^1 = -\frac{\psi_t}{\psi} = -\frac{a_0t + a_2}{a_0t^2 + (a_1 + a_2)t + a_3}.$$

We sequentially integrate the two still unused equations (42) and (39) to derive

$$\eta^{00} = \frac{a_4}{a_0t^2 + (a_1 + a_2)t + a_3}, \quad \xi^{00} = \frac{a_4t + a_5}{a_0t^2 + (a_1 + a_2)t + a_3}$$

with two more integration constants a_4 and a_5 .

Finally, in view of the obtained representations of ξ and η we have the family of vector fields

$$Q^a = \partial_t + \frac{(a_0t + a_1)x + a_4t + a_5}{a_0t^2 + (a_1 + a_2)t + a_3}\partial_x + \frac{-(a_0t + a_2)u + a_0x + a_4}{a_0t^2 + (a_1 + a_2)t + a_3}\partial_u,$$

where the arbitrary constant tuple $a = (a_0, \dots, a_5)$ is defined up to a nonzero multiplier, and $(a_0, a_1 + a_2, a_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$. Each Q^a is equivalent (up to multiplication by nonvanishing function) to the vector field

$$\tilde{Q}^a = (a_0t^2 + (a_1 + a_2)t + a_3)\partial_t + ((a_0t + a_1)x + a_4t + a_5)\partial_x + (-(a_0t + a_2)u + a_0x + a_4)\partial_u.$$

Note that \tilde{Q}^a is of the general form obtained for Lie symmetry operators in Section 4.1. For Q^a to be a reduction operator of \mathcal{L}_f with certain f , its coefficients should additionally satisfy the equation (43). This is equivalent to the fact that the components of \tilde{Q}^a satisfy the classifying condition (9) with the same f . In other words, the vector field Q^a is a reduction operator of an equation \mathcal{L}_f if and only if the equivalent vector field \tilde{Q}^a is the Lie symmetry generator of the same equation, which results in *item 2* of Theorem 16.

Lie reductions and Lie solutions of equations from the class (1) were considered in Section 5.

7 Conservation laws and potential admissible transformations

Given a generalized Burgers equation \mathcal{L}_f of the form (1), in order to study its (local) conservation laws we use the common technique based on the notion of characteristic [35] which was developed by Vinogradov [58]. As this equation is a second-order quasilinear evolution equation, it is sufficient to consider the characteristics of conservation laws that depend only on t , x and u , $\lambda = \lambda(t, x, u)$; see [51, Corollary 2].

The necessary condition for a conservation-law characteristic λ of \mathcal{L}_f to be its co-symmetry leads to the determining equation $-\mathbf{D}_t\lambda + \mathbf{D}_x^2(f\lambda) + u_x\lambda - \mathbf{D}_x(u\lambda) = 0$ holding on solutions of \mathcal{L}_f . After the substitution $u_t = -uu_x - fu_{xx}$ we split this determining equation with respect to u_{xx} , which gives $\lambda_u = 0$ and then simplifies it to $-\lambda_t + (f\lambda)_{xx} - u\lambda_x = 0$. Further splitting with respect to u results in $\lambda_x = 0$ and $f_{xx}\lambda = \lambda_t$. Hence $f_{xxx}\lambda = 0$. This means that the equation \mathcal{L}_f possesses nontrivial conservation laws if and only if $f_{xxx} = 0$, i.e.

$$f = f^2(t)x^2 + f^1(t)x + f^0(t), \quad (47)$$

where the coefficients f^2 , f^1 and f^0 are smooth functions of t not vanishing simultaneously. Then

$$\lambda = \lambda(t) = e^{\int f_{xx} dt}$$

is a unique linearly independent characteristic of the equation \mathcal{L}_f . Hereinafter, an integral with respect to t means a fixed antiderivative. In other words, the space of conservation laws of \mathcal{L}_f is one-dimensional. After multiplying by λ the equation \mathcal{L}_f can be rewritten in the conserved form

$$\mathbf{D}_t(\lambda u) + \lambda \mathbf{D}_x \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + fu_x - f_x u \right) = 0.$$

Using this form of \mathcal{L}_f , we introduce the potential $v = v(t, x)$ defined by the system

$$v_x = \lambda u, \quad v_t = -\lambda \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + fu_x - f_x u \right). \quad (48)$$

which is called a potential system for \mathcal{L}_f and is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}$. After excluding the dependent variable u from $\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}$, we obtain the associated potential equation $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ for \mathcal{L}_f ,

$$v_t + \frac{1}{2\lambda}v_x^2 + fv_{xx} - f_x v_x = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad f_{xxx} = 0, \quad \lambda_t = f_{xx}\lambda, \quad f\lambda \neq 0. \quad (49)$$

Since it is impossible to choose a canonical representative in a one-dimensional space of conservation-law characteristics of the equation \mathcal{L}_f with general f quadratic in x , in the course of the consideration of the class (48) of potential systems, $\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}$, and of the class (49) of potential equations, $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$, it is necessary to extend the arbitrary element with λ . The set $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ run by the extended arbitrary element (f, λ) is defined by the auxiliary system $f_{xxx} = 0$, $\lambda_t = f_{xx}\lambda$, $f\lambda \neq 0$. Potential systems $\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f,\tilde{\lambda}}$ (resp. potential equations $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{f,\tilde{\lambda}}$) with linearly dependent λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ are assumed to be gauge-equivalent in the sense of potential systems (resp. potential equations). Therefore, we associate the single equation \mathcal{L}_f with the set of gauge-equivalent potential systems $\{\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}\}$ and with the set of gauge-equivalent potential equations $\{\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}\}$.

We can also study potential conservation laws of the equation \mathcal{L}_f , which are local conservation laws of the corresponding potential system $\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}$, or, equivalently, the potential equation $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$.⁶ Let μ be the reduced characteristic of a local conservation law for the potential equation $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$.

⁶There exists an isomorphism between the spaces of conservation laws of the equation (49) and of the system (48).

Then $\mu = \mu(t, x, v)$ [51, Corollary 2]. We write the equation $-D_t\mu + D_x^2(f\mu) - D_x((\frac{1}{\lambda}v_x - f_x)\mu) = 0$ holding on solutions of $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ and solve it with respect to μ , which gives only zero solutions if $f \neq \text{const}$. As a result, we conclude that the equation \mathcal{L}_f with $f \neq \text{const}$ has no nonzero potential conservation laws, and thus $\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}$ is the only canonical potential system for \mathcal{L}_f . The classical Burgers equation (for which $f = \text{const}$) possesses potential conservation laws, which are local conservation laws of the corresponding potential equation $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ with reduced characteristics of the form $\mu = \psi(t, x)e^{\frac{v}{2f}}$, where $\psi(t, x)$ is an arbitrary solution of the linear heat equation $\psi_t = f\psi_{xx}$. Since the potential Burgers equation $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ is similar to the linear heat equation, the classical Burgers equation admits no higher-level potential conservation laws [50, Theorem 5].

Therefore, the class $(1)_{f_{xxx}=0}$ of equations of the form (1) with f quadratic in x is naturally partitioned into the subclasses

$$\mathcal{L}^1 = \{\mathcal{L}_f \mid f = \text{const}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}^2 = \{\mathcal{L}_f \mid f_{xxx} = 0, f \neq \text{const}\}.$$

Both these subclasses are closed under the action of the equivalence group G^\sim and, therefore, are normalized as well as the class (1) in view of [49, Proposition 4].

Admissible transformations and the equivalence groupoid of the class of potential systems (48) can be called *potential admissible transformations* and the *potential equivalence groupoid* of the class $(1)_{f_{xxx}=0}$, respectively.

Lemma 19. *The equivalence groupoids of the class of potential systems (48) and of the class of potential equations (49) are isomorphic.*

Proof. We fix any two values of the arbitrary element from the set $\check{\mathcal{S}}$, (f, λ) and $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\lambda})$. Every point transformation between the equations $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{f},\tilde{\lambda}}$ is prolonged to u according to the equation $u = v_x/\lambda$, which gives a point transformation between the systems $\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{f},\tilde{\lambda}}$. Conversely, let φ be a point transformation between the systems $\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{f},\tilde{\lambda}}$,

$$\varphi: \quad \tilde{t} = T(t, x, u, v), \quad \tilde{x} = X(t, x, u, v), \quad \tilde{u} = U(t, x, u, v), \quad \tilde{v} = V(t, x, u, v).$$

Substituting $u = v_x/\lambda$ into T , X and V and neglecting the transformation component of φ for u , we obtain a contact transformation between $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{f},\tilde{\lambda}}$, which is necessarily induced by a point transformation since both the equations $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{f},\tilde{\lambda}}$ are second-order evolution equations that are linear with respect to the second derivative u_{xx} [51, Proposition 2]. \square

In view of Lemma 19, we can deal with the class of potential equations (49) instead of the class of potential systems (48) when studying potential admissible transformations of the class $(1)_{f_{xxx}=0}$.

Corollary 20. *For each fixed value f with $f_{xxx} = 0$, there exists an isomorphism between the Lie invariance algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}}$ of the equation $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ and the system $\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}$.*

This isomorphism is provided by the projection to the space of (t, x, v) when mapping $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{R}_{f,\lambda}}$ onto $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}}$ and by the prolongation according to the equation $u = v_x/\lambda$ for the inverse mapping.

In order to find the equivalence groupoid of the class (49), it is convenient to reparameterize this class by assuming the coefficients f^2 , f^1 and f^0 of f in (47) to be new arbitrary elements. We use both the parameterizations simultaneously.

The above partition of the class $(1)_{f_{xxx}=0}$ hints at the partition of the class (49) into the subclasses

$$\mathcal{P}^1 = \{\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda} \mid f = \text{const}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}^2 = \{\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda} \mid f_{xxx} = 0, f \neq \text{const}\},$$

which have essentially different transformational properties and are potential counterparts of the subclasses \mathcal{L}^1 and \mathcal{L}^2 , respectively.

Proposition 21. *The equivalence groupoid of the subclass \mathcal{P}^2 consists of the triples of the form (f, φ, \tilde{f}) where the components of the transformation φ are*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{t} &= \frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}, & \tilde{x} &= \frac{\kappa x + \mu_1 t + \mu_0}{\gamma t + \delta}, \\ \tilde{v} &= c_0 \left(v - \frac{\gamma \lambda(t)}{2(\gamma t + \delta)} x^2 + \frac{\delta \mu_1 - \gamma \mu_0}{\kappa(\gamma t + \delta)} \lambda(t) x + V^0(t) \right),\end{aligned}$$

the relation between the source and target arbitrary elements is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}^2 &= \frac{(\gamma t + \delta)^2}{\Delta} f^2, & \tilde{f}^1 &= \frac{\gamma t + \delta}{\Delta} (\kappa f^1 - 2(\mu_1 t + \mu_0) f^2), \\ \tilde{f}^0 &= \frac{1}{\Delta} (\kappa^2 f^0 - \kappa(\mu_1 t + \mu_0) f^1 + (\mu_1 t + \mu_0)^2 f^2), & \text{i.e. } \tilde{f} &= \frac{\kappa^2}{\Delta} f, & \tilde{\lambda} &= c_0 \frac{\Delta}{\kappa^2} \lambda,\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$

$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu_0, \mu_1$ and κ are arbitrary constants defined up to a nonzero multiplier with $\Delta := \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$ and $\kappa \neq 0$; c_0 and σ are arbitrary constants with $c_0 \neq 0$, and

$$V^0 = \int \left(\frac{(\delta\mu_1 - \gamma\mu_0)^2}{2\kappa^2(\gamma t + \delta)^2} + \frac{\delta\mu_1 - \gamma\mu_0}{\kappa(\gamma t + \delta)} f^1(t) + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma t + \delta} f^0(t) \right) \lambda(t) dt + \sigma,\tag{51}$$

Proof. Each equation $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ from the class (49) is mapped by the point transformation

$$\psi_{f,\lambda}: \quad \hat{t} = \frac{1}{2} \int \lambda dt, \quad \hat{x} = \lambda x + \int f^1 \lambda dt, \quad \hat{v} = v,\tag{52}$$

parameterized by f (more precisely, by f^2 and f^1) and λ to a shorter equation $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\hat{f}}$ from the class

$$\hat{v}_{\hat{t}} + \hat{v}_{\hat{x}}^2 + \hat{f} \hat{v}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{f} \neq 0, \quad \hat{f}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{x}} = 0.\tag{53}$$

The image of f under the mapping is computed by $\hat{f}(\hat{t}, \hat{x}) = 2\lambda(t)f(t, x)$. Equivalently, we can compute the images of the coefficients of f . The subclasses \mathcal{P}^1 and \mathcal{P}^2 of the class (49) are then mapped to the subclasses $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^1 = \{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\hat{f}} \mid \hat{f} = \text{const}\}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^2 = \{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\hat{f}} \mid \hat{f}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}\hat{x}} = 0, \hat{f} \neq \text{const}\}$ of the class (53), respectively. The transformational properties of the subclass $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^2$ essentially differ from those of the subclass $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^1$, and there are no point transformations between equations from the different subclasses; see [40] (note that the subclasses notation therein is slightly different). The transformational part of each admissible transformation of the subclass $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^2$ has the following properties:

- the transformational component for \hat{t} depends only on \hat{t} ,
- the transformational component for \hat{x} depends only on (\hat{t}, \hat{x}) and is linear in \hat{x} ,
- the transformational component for \hat{v} is linear in \hat{v} with a constant coefficient of \hat{v} and is quadratic in \hat{x} .

Consider an arbitrary admissible transformation (f, φ, \tilde{f}) of the subclass \mathcal{P}^2 . Denote

$$\hat{f} := \psi_{f,\lambda} f, \quad \hat{\tilde{f}} := \psi_{\tilde{f},\tilde{\lambda}} \tilde{f}, \quad \hat{\varphi} := \psi_{\tilde{f},\tilde{\lambda}} \varphi \psi_{f,\lambda}^{-1}, \quad \text{and hence} \quad \varphi = \psi_{\tilde{f},\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1} \hat{\varphi} \psi_{f,\lambda}.$$

Then the triple $(\hat{f}, \hat{\varphi}, \hat{\tilde{f}})$ is an admissible transformation of the subclass $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^2$, and hence its transformational part $\hat{\varphi}$ has the properties listed above. In view of (52) and the relation of φ with $\hat{\varphi}$, the point transformation φ has the same properties, i.e.

$$\varphi: \quad \tilde{t} = T(t), \quad \tilde{x} = X^1(t)x + X^0(t), \quad \tilde{v} = c_0(v + V^2(t)x^2 + V^1(t)x + V^0(t)),$$

where $T_t X^1 c_0 \neq 0$. We substitute the expressions of tilded entities in terms of untilded ones, including the derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{v}_t &= \frac{c_0}{T_t} \left(v_t + V_t^2 x^2 + V_t^1 x + V_t^0 - \frac{X_t^1 x + X_t^0}{X^1} (v_x + 2V^2 x + V^1) \right), \\ \tilde{v}_x &= c_0 \frac{v_x + 2V^2 x + V^1}{X^1}, \quad \tilde{v}_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} = c_0 \frac{v_{xx} + 2V^2}{(X^1)^2},\end{aligned}$$

and also $v_t = -\frac{1}{2X}v_x^2 - f v_{xx} + f_x v_x$ into $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{f}}$, and split the obtained equation with respect to v_{xx} , v_x and x . After simplifying, this gives

$$\tilde{f} = \frac{(X^1)^2}{T_t} f, \quad \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{c_0 T_t}{(X^1)^2} \lambda, \quad V^2 = \frac{c_0 X_t^1}{2 X^1} \lambda, \quad V^1 = c_0 \frac{X_t^0}{X^1} \lambda, \quad (54)$$

$$\left(\frac{X_t^1}{(X^1)^2} \right)_t = 0, \quad \left(\frac{X_t^0}{(X^1)^2} \right)_t = 0, \quad V_t^0 = c_0 \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{X_t^0}{X^1} \right)^2 + \frac{X_t^0}{X^1} f^1 - \frac{X_t^1}{X^1} f^0 \right). \quad (55)$$

The first equation of (54) implies the relation between coefficients of f and \tilde{f} ,

$$\tilde{f}^2 = \frac{1}{T_t} f^2, \quad \tilde{f}^1 = \frac{X^1}{T_t} f^1 - 2 \frac{X^0}{T_t} f^2, \quad \tilde{f}^0 = \frac{(X^1)^2}{T_t} f^0 - \frac{X^0 X^1}{T_t} f^1 + \frac{(X^0)^2}{T_t} f^2. \quad (56)$$

Since $\lambda = e^{\int f^2 dt}$, $\tilde{\lambda} = e^{\int \tilde{f}^2 d\tilde{t}}$ and $T_t \tilde{f}^2 = f^2$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)_t = 2 \left(T_t \tilde{f}^2 - f^2 \right) \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda} = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda} = \text{const.}$$

Hence the second equation of (54) gives $T_t / (X^1)^2 = \tilde{\lambda} / (c_0 \lambda) = \text{const.}$ The first equation of (55) can be represented as $(1/X^1)_{tt} = 0$, which means that $1/X^1$ is a linear function of t . Then the relation $T_t = \text{const} \cdot (X^1)^2$ implies that T is fractional linear in t . As a result, we derive

$$T = \frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad X^1 = \frac{\kappa}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad X^0 = \frac{\mu_1 t + \mu_0}{\gamma t + \delta},$$

where the expression for X^0 is obtained from the second equation of (55). The last equation of (55) leads, after integration, to the expression (51). Finally, from (56) we have (50). \square

Corollary 22. *There are no point transformations relating equations from the different subclasses \mathcal{P}^1 and \mathcal{P}^2 .*

Since admissible transformations of the subclass \mathcal{P}^2 are uniformly parameterized by the extended arbitrary element in a nonlocal way, we may say that this subclass is normalized in the extended generalized sense although there is no point transformation group underlying this normalization in a natural way.

Comparing the transformations in Theorem 5 and Proposition 21 and knowing the connection (48) between u and v , we conclude that the equivalence groupoids of the classes \mathcal{L}^2 and \mathcal{P}^2 are isomorphic up to gauge shifts $\tilde{v} = v + \sigma$ and gauge scalings $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{\lambda}) = (c_0 v, c_0 \lambda)$. The same is true for the equivalence groups of the classes \mathcal{L}^2 and \mathcal{P}^2 although these equivalence groups are of different kinds. This also implies that for each nonconstant f with $f_{xxx} \neq 0$ the Lie symmetry groups of the equations \mathcal{L}_f and $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ are isomorphic up to shifts of v , which belong to the kernel group of \mathcal{P}^2 , and so the group classifications for the classes \mathcal{L}^2 and \mathcal{P}^2 are equivalent. Since the class \mathcal{L}^2 is closed under the action of G^\sim , its group classification can be easily separated out from the group classification of the entire class (1), which is given in Table 1.

Proposition 23. *Potential admissible transformations of the class \mathcal{L}^2 are induced by its usual admissible transformations. In particular, equations from this class have no nontrivial potential symmetries.*

Consider the potential counterpart \mathcal{P}^1 of the class \mathcal{L}^1 . For constant values of f , the transformation (52) degenerates to a simple rescaling of t . Hence the class \mathcal{P}^1 in fact coincides, up to the scaling $\hat{t} = \frac{1}{2}t$, with the class $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^1$, see equation (53). The equivalence groupoid of the class $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^1$ is described in [40, Proposition 2]. We modify it up to the multipliers $\frac{1}{2}$ and κ for better consistence with the present paper.

Proposition 24. *The subclass $\mathcal{P}^1 = \{\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda} \mid f = \text{const}\}$ of the class (49) is normalized in the generalized sense. Its generalized equivalence group is constituted by the transformations*

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{\alpha t + \beta}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{\kappa x + \mu_1 t + \mu_0}{\gamma t + \delta}, \quad \tilde{v} = \frac{2\kappa^2 f}{\Delta} \ln \left| F^1 \left(e^{\frac{v}{2f}} + F^0 \right) \right|, \quad \tilde{f} = \frac{\kappa^2}{\Delta} f,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \kappa, \mu_1, \mu_0$ are arbitrary constants with $\Delta := \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$ and $\kappa \neq 0$, the tuple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \kappa)$ is defined up to a nonzero multiplier,

$$F^1 = \begin{cases} k \sqrt{|\gamma t + \delta|} \exp \left(-\frac{(\gamma \kappa x - \mu_1 \delta + \mu_0 \gamma)^2}{4f \kappa^2 \gamma (\gamma t + \delta)} \right), & \gamma \neq 0, \\ k \exp \frac{2\kappa \mu_1 x + \mu_1^2 t}{4\kappa^2 f}, & \gamma = 0, \end{cases}$$

k is a nonzero constant, and F^0 is a solution of the linear equation $F_t^0 + f F_{xx}^0 = 0$.

Proposition 24 is quite obvious since the class \mathcal{P}^1 is the orbit of any its single equation under the action of a scaling group. The complicated form of admissible transformations in the class \mathcal{P}^1 is a consequence of the fact that any equation $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ with $f = \text{const}$ can be linearized to the heat equation $w_t + f w_{xx} = 0$ by the variable change $w = e^{v/(2f)}$, and thus general symmetry transformations of $\mathcal{P}_{f,\lambda}$ are of complicated form and involve an arbitrary solution of the heat equation. The potential symmetries of the classical Burgers equation are commonly known, see, e.g., [35, Example 2.42] and [48]. Up to linear combining, the nontrivial potential symmetries of \mathcal{L}_f that are associated with local conservation laws are exhausted by the images of linear superposition symmetries of the corresponding linear heat equation $w_t + f w_{xx} = 0$ under push-forwarding with $v = 2f \ln w$ and prolonging to u according to $u = v_x$.

Propositions 21 and 24 jointly with Corollary 22 give the complete description of the equivalence groupoid of the class (49).

8 Conclusion

Extended symmetry analysis of the class (1) of generalized Burgers equations was merely one of the purposes of this paper. Although the class (1) looks quite simple, it has several interesting specific properties that are related to the field of group analysis of differential equations. This is why the class (1) is a convenient object for testing various methods that were recently developed in this field. Moreover, the study of the class (1) in the present paper can serve as a source of ideas for improving and modifying known techniques.

Although the arbitrary element f of the class (1) depends on two arguments and the usual equivalence group G^\sim of this class is finite-dimensional, the class (1) is normalized in the usual sense, and thus it is a rare bird among classes of differential equations considered in the literature on symmetries. Similar subclasses of variable-coefficient Korteweg–de Vries equations were singled out in [22] in the course of symmetry analysis of a wider class of KdV-like equations,

$u_t + f(t, x)uu_x + g(t, x)u_{xxx} = 0$ with $fg \neq 0$. These subclasses are associated with the constraints $f = x$, $f = x^{-1}$ and $f = 1$, and the last subclass is the most relevant to the class (1). Therein, Lie symmetries of equations from these three subclasses were studied using an early version of the algebraic method of group classification. See also a modern treatment of these results in [57]. At the same time, the algebraic method of group classification was (implicitly or explicitly) used mostly for normalized classes whose equivalence algebras are infinite-dimensional; see [6, 7, 30, 49, 50] and references therein. The attempt of extending results of [22] to variable-coefficient Burgers equations of the form $u_t + f(t, x)uu_x + g(t, x)u_{xx} = 0$ with $fg \neq 0$ in [54] was not completely successful, in particular, due to weaknesses of the used sets of subalgebras of the related (finite-dimensional) algebras of vector fields. This is why it was instructive to carry out the group classification of the class (1) accurately using various notions and techniques within the framework of the algebraic method, including the ascertainment of normalization of the class (1) and the selection of appropriate subalgebras of (the projection of) its equivalence algebra.

For optimizing the procedure of Lie reductions of equations from the class (1), we have applied two special techniques. The technique of classifying of Lie reductions of partial differential equations from a normalized class with respect to its equivalence group is completely original. This technique allowed us to study Lie reductions for the whole class (1) at once but not separately for each case of Lie symmetry extensions listed in Table 1. Moreover, the classification of appropriate subalgebras of the projection of the equivalence algebra can then be adapted for the classification of Lie reductions. Each subalgebra leads to a set of equivalent ansatzes, and the second technique is aimed to optimize the form of reduced equations via selecting specific ansatzes among equivalent ones. In other words, the selected ansatzes are not of the simplest form but they are complicated as much as it is necessary for simplifying the further study of reduced equations.

There are two kinds of Lie reductions of generalized Burgers equations from the class (1), singular and regular ones. The algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{1.1}$ lead to singular reductions since the order of associated reduced equations, which equals one, is less than the order of original equations, which equals two. These reduced equations can easily be integrated although this gives only trivial solutions of original equations. These solutions linearly depend on x and are thus common for all equations from the class (1). Lie reductions with the algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1.2}$ – $\mathfrak{g}_a^{1.8}$ are regular. Due to the selection of ansatzes, the associated reduced equations are included in the single class (11), which allowed us to unify symmetry analysis of reduced equations and to completely describe hidden symmetries of equations from the class (1).

Theorem 16 together with the preceding discussion of singular reduction operators presents one of a few examples existing in the literature, where reduction operators are completely described for a nontrivial class of differential equations as well as nonclassical reductions result in effectively finding new exact solutions. The technique of classifying reduction operators with respect to the equivalence group of the class under consideration plays an important but not crucial role here.

Three “no-go” cases of different nature are singled out in the course of the study. For every equation \mathcal{L}_f from the class (1), the problem of describing its singular reduction operators is “no-go” since the corresponding ansatzes reduce \mathcal{L}_f to first-order ordinary differential equations. This is a general property of partial differential equations with two independent variables that possess first co-order singular sets of vector fields parameterized by single arbitrary functions of both independent and dependent variables [28, Section 8]. Another “no-go” case is related to regular reduction operators of the classical Burgers equation. It was studied in [5, 33] and can be explained by the linearization of the Burgers equation to the heat equation with the Hopf–Cole transformation and the existence of the similar “no-go” case for regular reduction operators of linear evolution equations [20, 46]. The last “no-go” case arises due to the study of reduction operators for a class of differential equations, and this phenomenon was not discussed

in the literature before [41]. Involving the arbitrary element f to the determining equations for the components of regular reduction operators leads to the necessity of solving the well-defined system (35)–(36). At the same time, the last case is of most interest in spite of its “no-go” essence. The system (35)–(36) reduces to the single equation (37). Many exact solutions of the equation (37) are known for the value $h = 0$, which immediately results in exact solutions of various equations from the class (1).

We studied both local and potential conservation laws of these equations. Only equations with $f_{xxx} = 0$ admit nonzero conservation laws. Using the subclass $(1)_{f_{xxx}=0}$ of such equations as illustrating example, we introduced the notion of potential equivalence groupoid of a class of differential equations and then computed the potential equivalence groupoid of the above subclass. All assertions on potential symmetries of equations from the class (1) are direct consequences of the comparison of the usual and potential equivalence groupoids of the subclass $(1)_{f_{xxx}=0}$.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Vyacheslav Boyko for useful discussions. The research of ROP was supported by project P25064 of FWF.

References

- [1] Abraham-Shrauner B. and Govinder K.S., Master partial differential equations for a type II hidden symmetry, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343** (2008), 525–530.
- [2] Akhatov I.S., Gazizov R.K. and Ibragimov N.K., Nonlocal symmetries. A heuristic approach, in *Current problems in mathematics. Newest results, Vol. 34*, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, 1989, pp. 3–83, 195. (Russian), translated in *J. Soviet Math.* **55** (1991), 1401–1450.
- [3] Ames W.F., *Nonlinear partial differential equations in engineering. Vol. II*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [4] Arrigo D.J., Broadbridge P. and Hill J.M., Nonclassical symmetry solutions and the methods of Bluman–Cole and Clarkson–Kruskal, *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993), 4692–4703.
- [5] Arrigo D.J. and Hickling F., On the determining equations for the nonclassical reductions of the heat and Burgers’ equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **270** (2002), 582–589.
- [6] Basarab-Horwath P., Lahno V. and Zhdanov R., The structure of Lie algebras and the classification problem for partial differential equations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **69** (2001), 43–94, arXiv:math-ph/0005013.
- [7] Bihlo A., Dos Santos Cardoso-Bihlo E. and Popovych R.O., Complete group classification of a class of nonlinear wave equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **53** (2012), 123515, 32 pages, arXiv:1106.4801.
- [8] Bihlo A. and Popovych R.O., Symmetry analysis of barotropic potential vorticity equation, *Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing, China)* **52** (2009), 697–700, arXiv:0811.3008.
- [9] Bluman G.W. and Cole J.D., The general similarity solution of the heat equation, *J. Math. and Mech.* **18** (1969), 1025–1042.
- [10] Boyko V.M., Kunzinger M. and Popovych R.O., Singular reduction modules of differential equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **57** (2016), 101503, 34 pp., arXiv:1201.3223.
- [11] Burgers J.M., A mathematical model illustrating the theory of turbulence, in *Advances in Applied Mechanics*, vol. 1, edited by R. von Mises and T. von Karman, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1948, pp. 171–199.
- [12] Campbell J.E., *Elementary treatise on Lie theory of transformation groups*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1903.
- [13] Cates A.T., A point transformation between forms of the generalised Burgers equation, *Phys. Lett. A* **137** (1989), 113–114.
- [14] Doyle J. and Englefield M.J., Similarity solutions of a generalized Burgers equation, *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **44** (1990), 145–153.
- [15] Fushchich W.I., Barannik L.F. and Barannik A.F., *Subgroup analysis of Galilei and Poincaré groups and reduction of nonlinear equations*, Naukova Dumka, Kyiv, 1991. (Russian)
- [16] Fushchich W.I., Shtelen W.M. and Serov N.I., *Symmetry analysis and exact solutions of equations of nonlinear mathematical physics*, vol. 246 of *Mathematics and its Applications*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993.

- [17] Fushchich W.I. and Tsifra I.M., On a reduction and solutions of nonlinear wave equations with broken symmetry, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **20** (1987), L45–L48.
- [18] Fushchych W.I. and Popovych R.O., Symmetry reduction and exact solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations. I, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **1** (1994), 75–113, arXiv:math-ph/0207016.
- [19] Fushchych W.I. and Popovych R.O., Symmetry reduction and exact solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations. II, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **1** (1994), 158–188, arXiv:math-ph/0207016.
- [20] Fushchych W.I., Shtelen W.M., Serov M.I. and Popovych R.O., Q -conditional symmetry of the linear heat equation, *Dopov. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr.* (1992), no. 12, 28–33.
- [21] Gandarias M.L., New symmetries for a model of fast diffusion, *Phys. Lett. A* **286** (2001), 153–160.
- [22] Gazeau J.P. and Winternitz P., Symmetries of variable coefficient Korteweg–de Vries equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992), 4087–4102.
- [23] Ivanova N.M., Popovych R.O. and Sophocleous C., Group analysis of variable coefficient diffusion–convection equations. I. Enhanced group classification, *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **31** (2010), 100–122, arXiv:0710.2731.
- [24] Kapitanskii L.V., Group analysis of the Navier–Stokes and Euler equations in the presence of rotation symmetry and new exact solutions to these equations, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **243** (1978), 901–904.
- [25] Katkov V.L., Group classification of solutions of the Hopf equation, *Zh. Prikl. Mekh. i Tekhn. Fiz.* (1965), no. 6, 105–106.
- [26] Kingston J.G. and Sophocleous C., On point transformations of a generalised Burgers equation, *Phys. Lett. A* **155** (1991), 15–19.
- [27] Kingston J.G. and Sophocleous C., On form-preserving point transformations of partial differential equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** (1998), 1597–1619.
- [28] Kunzinger M. and Popovych R.O., Singular reduction operators in two dimensions, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** (2008), 505201, 24 pages, arXiv:0808.3577.
- [29] Kunzinger M. and Popovych R.O., Is a nonclassical symmetry a symmetry?, in *Proceedings of 4th Workshop “Group Analysis of Differential Equations and Integrable Systems” (26–30 October 2008, Protaras, Cyprus)*, Protaras, 2009, pp. 107–120, arXiv:0903.0821.
- [30] Kurujibwami C., Basarab-Horwath P. and Popovych R.O., Algebraic method for group classification of (1+1)-dimensional linear Schrödinger equations (2016), 30 pages.
- [31] Leibovich S. and Seebass A.R., *Nonlinear waves. Based on a series of seminars held at Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y., 1969*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y.–London, 1974.
- [32] Lighthill M.J., Viscosity effects in sound waves of finite amplitude, in *Surveys in mechanics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1956, pp. 250–351.
- [33] Mansfield E.L., The nonclassical group analysis of the heat equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **231** (1999), 526–542.
- [34] Mubarakzjanov G.M., On solvable Lie algebras, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Matematika* (1963), no. 1 (32), 114–123.
- [35] Olver P.J., *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 107, Springer–Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [36] Olver P.J. and Vorob’ev E.M., Nonclassical and conditional symmetries, in *CRC handbook of Lie group analysis of differential equations*, vol. 3, edited by R.L. Anderson and et al., CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1996, pp. 291–328.
- [37] Ovsyannikov L.V., *Group analysis of differential equations*, Academic Press, New York–London, 1982.
- [38] Patera J., Winternitz P. and Zassenhaus H., Continuous subgroups of the fundamental groups of physics. I. General method and the Poincaré group, *J. Math. Phys.* **16** (1975), 1597–1614.
- [39] Poheketa O.A., Normalized classes of generalized Burgers equations, in *Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop “Group Analysis of Differential Equations and Integrable Systems” (Protaras, Cyprus, June 17–21, 2012)*, edited by O.O. Vaneeva, C. Sophocleous, R.O. Popovych, P.G.L. Leach, V.M. Boyko and P.A. Damianou, University of Cyprus, Nicosia, 2013, pp. 170–178, arXiv:1605.04077.
- [40] Poheketa O.A., Equivalence groupoid of generalized potential Burgers equations, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **621** (2015), 012011, 10 pages, arXiv:1605.04096.
- [41] Poheketa O.A. and Popovych R.O., Reduction operators and exact solutions of generalized Burgers equations, *Phys. Lett. A* **376** (2012), 2847–2850, arXiv:1112.6394.
- [42] Poheketa O.A. and Popovych R.O., Reduction operators of Burgers equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **398** (2013), 270–277, arXiv:1208.0232.

- [43] Pocheketa O.A., Popovych R.O. and Vaneeva O.O., Group classification and exact solutions of variable-coefficient generalized Burgers equations with linear damping, *Appl. Math. Comput* **243** (2014), 232–244, arXiv:1308.4265.
- [44] Popovich R.O., On Lie reduction of the Navier–Stokes equations, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys* **2** (1995), 301–311.
- [45] Popovych R.O., Classification of admissible transformations of differential equations, in *Collection of Works of Institute of Mathematics*, vol. 3, Institute of Mathematics, Kyiv, 2006, pp. 239–254.
- [46] Popovych R.O., Reduction operators of linear second-order parabolic equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** (2008), 185202, 31 pages, arXiv:0712.2764.
- [47] Popovych R.O., Boyko V.M., Nesterenko M.O. and Lutfullin M.W., Realizations of real low-dimensional Lie algebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** (2003), 7337–7360, arXiv:math-ph/0301029v3.
- [48] Popovych R.O. and Ivanova N.M., Potential equivalence transformations for nonlinear diffusion-convection equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** (2005), 3145–3155, arXiv:math-ph/0407008.
- [49] Popovych R.O., Kunzinger M. and Eshraghi H., Admissible transformations and normalized classes of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **109** (2010), 315–359, arXiv:math-ph/0611061.
- [50] Popovych R.O., Kunzinger M. and Ivanova N.M., Conservation laws and potential symmetries of linear parabolic equations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **100** (2008), 113–185, arXiv:0706.0443.
- [51] Popovych R.O. and Samoilenko A.M., Local conservation laws of second-order evolution equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** (2008), 362002, 11 pages, arXiv:0806.2765.
- [52] Popovych R.O., Vaneeva O.O. and Ivanova N.M., Potential nonclassical symmetries and solutions of fast diffusion equation, *Phys. Lett. A* **362** (2007), 166–173, arXiv:math-ph/0506067.
- [53] Pucci E., Similarity reductions of partial differential equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** (1992), 2631–2640.
- [54] Qu C.Z., Allowed transformations and symmetry classes of variable coefficient Burgers equations, *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **54** (1995), 203–225.
- [55] Vaneeva O.O., Popovych R.O. and Sophocleous C., Enhanced group analysis and exact solutions of variable coefficient semilinear diffusion equations with a power source, *Acta Appl. Math.* **106** (2009), 1–46, arXiv:0708.3457.
- [56] Vaneeva O.O., Popovych R.O. and Sophocleous C., Equivalence transformations in the study of integrability, *Phys. Scr.* **89** (2014), 038003, 9 pages, arXiv:1308.5126.
- [57] Vaneeva O. and Pošta S., Equivalence groupoid of a class of variable coefficient Korteweg–de Vries equations, arXiv:1604.06880, 2016, 15 pages.
- [58] Vinogradov A.M., Local symmetries and conservation laws, *Acta Appl. Math.* **2** (1984), 21–78.
- [59] Wafo Soh C., Symmetry reductions and new exact invariant solutions of the generalized Burgers equation arising in nonlinear acoustics, *Internat. J. Engng. Sci.* **42** (2004), 1169–1191.
- [60] Whitham G., *Linear and nonlinear waves*, Wiley-Interscience, New York–London–Sydney, 1974.
- [61] Woodard H., *Similarity solutions for partial differential equations generated by finite and infinitesimal groups*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, 1971.
- [62] Woodard H. and Ames W., *Similarity solutions for partial differential equations generated by finite and infinitesimal groups*, Tech. rep., University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, 1971.
- [63] Zhdanov R.Z. and Lahno V.I., Conditional symmetry of a porous medium equation, *Physica D* **122** (1998), 178–186.
- [64] Zhdanov R.Z., Tsyfra I.M. and Popovych R.O., A precise definition of reduction of partial differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **238** (1999), 101–123, arXiv:math-ph/0207023.