

# Formal Deformation Quantization for Super Poisson Structures on Super Calabi-Yau Twistor Spaces

Dedicated to Professor Yoshiaki Maeda on his 70th birthday

Tadashi TANIGUCHI

Gunma National College of Technology, Maebashi, 371-8530, JAPAN

Naoya MIYAZAKI

Keio University, Yokohama, 223-8521, JAPAN

Yuji HIROTA

Azabu University, Sagamihara, 252-5201, JAPAN

**Keywords:** super twistor space, super Calabi-Yau, super Poisson structure, deformation quantization.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** Primary 58A50; Secondary 58C50, 53D17, 53D55

## Abstract

We endow super Poisson structures with super Calabi-Yau manifolds by using super twistor double fibrations. Moreover we define the structure of deformation quantization for such super Poisson manifolds.

## 1 Introduction

It has been shown that [1] there exists the relationship between  $\mathbb{L}^{5|6}$  and  $\mathbb{P}^{3|4}$  analogous to Mirror symmetry in some sense. It is known that the Calabi-Yau condition is the most important in order to study of Mirror symmetry. In the present paper, we are mainly concerned with super Calabi-Yau twistor spaces. It is known that Wolf [25] introduced super Calabi-Yau twistor spaces.

As mentioned in abstract, we introduce super Poisson structures on super Calabi-Yau twistor spaces. Then, we also study deformation quantization of super Calabi-Yau twistor spaces via the super Poisson structures. When  $N = 0$ , super Poisson manifolds are reduced to the classical Poisson manifolds.

The first purpose of the paper is to demonstrate that the super twistor double fibration plays a crucial role in our construction. We construct even super Poisson structures on super Calabi-Yau twistor spaces as in the case of classical dimension = 3.

The second one is to study deformation quantization of them. We show that even super Calabi-Yau twistor Poisson spaces can be deformation quantizable. In the topics, we shall exhibit Propositions 3.4, 3.5 and Theorem 3.6. It is also able to construct **odd** super Calabi-Yau twistor Poisson spaces in the way similar to and seems that those spaces can be deformation quantizable.

To end this section, we mention deformation quantization in the smooth and algebraic categories (cf. [4, 5, 6, 10, 15, 16, 17, 18, 14, 26]). Kontsevich showed in his celebrated paper [10] that any Poisson manifold can be deformation quantizable in smooth category with the formal parameter  $\hbar$ , while Miyazaki [14] discussed deformation quantizations in algebraic/analytic categories on the basis of the assumption that

$$\begin{aligned} & \overleftarrow{\partial}_{i_1} \omega^{i_1 j_1} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{j_1} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{i_2} \omega^{i_2 j_2} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{j_2} \cdots \overleftarrow{\partial}_{i_t} \omega^{i_t j_t} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{j_t} \\ = & \overleftarrow{\partial}_{i_1} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{i_2} \cdots \overleftarrow{\partial}_{i_t} \omega^{i_1 j_1} \omega^{i_2 j_2} \cdots \omega^{i_t j_t} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{j_1} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{j_2} \cdots \overrightarrow{\partial}_{j_t} \end{aligned}$$

in his first trial. The convergence of star product and the star exponential were discussed in [16, 17, 18].

The paper consists of five sections. In Section 2, we give a short overview of theory of supermanifold, and mention fundamental examples which we need in this paper. In Section 3, we consider formal deformation quantization for super Poisson twistor spaces. In Section 4, we study other examples. Finally, in Section 5, we study glueing problem of resulting algebras via formal deformation quantization.

## 2 Preliminaries

A theory of supermanifold is based on super (or  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded) algebra. In the section, we review quickly a supermanifold which we will use throughout the paper, supposing the reader has fundamental knowledge concerning superalgebras. For the further information for superalgebras and supermanifolds, we refer the reader to [11, 12, 13, 21, 22, 24].

Let us denote by  $C_M^\infty$  a sheaf of smooth functions on a smooth manifold  $M$ . We remark that every smooth manifold  $M$  is described as a ringed space  $(M, C_M^\infty)$ . A supermanifold is defined in terms of a ringed space in the same way as the ordinary one.

**Definition 2.1** *A supermanifold of dimension  $(n|N)$  is a ringed space  $(M, \mathcal{A}_M)$ , where  $M$  is a smooth manifold of dimension  $n$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_M = (\mathcal{A}_M)_0 \oplus (\mathcal{A}_M)_1$  is a sheaf of supercommutative rings on  $M$ , called the structure sheaf on  $M$ , which satisfies the following conditions :*

- (1) *For a nilpotent ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{N}_M := (\mathcal{A}_M)_1 + (\mathcal{A}_M)_1^2$  of  $\mathcal{A}_M$ ,  $(M, \mathcal{A}_M/\mathcal{N}_M)$  is a smooth manifold.*
- (2)  *$\mathcal{N}_M/\mathcal{N}_M^2$  is a locally free  $C_M^\infty$ -module of rank  $N$ .*
- (3)  *$\mathcal{A}_M$  is locally isomorphic, as a sheaf of supercommutative algebras, to the exterior algebra sheaf  $\wedge^\bullet(\mathcal{N}_M/\mathcal{N}_M^2)$ .*

We sometimes use the notation  $\hat{M}$  for a supermanifold  $(M, \mathcal{A}_M)$  throughout the paper. For a supermanifold  $\hat{M}$ , a local section  $f$  of the structure sheaf is written in the following way:

$$(2.1) \quad f = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq N} f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(z) \theta^{i_1} \theta^{i_2} \dots \theta^{i_k},$$

where  $f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(z)$  are smooth functions on  $M$  with local coordinates  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  and where  $\theta^1, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^N$  are odd variables so that they are local generators of  $\mathcal{N}_M/\mathcal{N}_M^2$ . We use the notation

$$(z|\theta) = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n | \theta^1, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^N)$$

for a local coordinate of a supermanifold  $\hat{M}$ .

Analogously, one can define the notion of a complex supermanifold by taking a complex manifold as an underlying smooth manifold and replacing  $C_M^\infty$  with a sheaf of holomorphic functions in Definition 2.1. Noting the fact, let us recall the definition of super twistor manifold (see [14]).

**Definition 2.2**  *$(3|N)$ -dimensional complex super manifold  $\hat{Z}$  is called a super twistor space if the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1)  *$p : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is a holomorphic fiber bundle.*
- (2)  *$Z$  has a family of holomorphic section of  $p$  whose normal bundle  $\mathcal{N}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n_i)$ , where  $\Pi$  denotes the parity change functor.*

**Example 2.1** (I) *The typical example is the real (or complex) super vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{n|N}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^{n|N}$ ) which can be defined by*

$$\mathbb{R}^{n|N} = \left( \mathbb{R}^n, \bigwedge^{\bullet} (\mathbb{R}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \right),$$

$$\mathbb{C}^{n|N} = \left( \mathbb{C}^n, \bigwedge^{\bullet} (\mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}) \right).$$

*It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{R}^{n|N}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{O}(S^{\bullet}(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \wedge^{\bullet} \mathbb{R}^N))$ .*

(II) *A complex super projective space of dimension  $(n|N)$  is defined by*

$$\mathbb{P}^{n|N} = \left( \mathbb{P}^n, \bigwedge^{\bullet} (\mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)) \right).$$

*We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}$  the structure sheaf  $\bigwedge^{\bullet} (\mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1))$  of  $\mathbb{P}^{n|N}$ . A local section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}$  is represented as in (2.1) via a degree  $(-k)$  homogeneous element  $f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(z)$ . For example, if  $(n|N) = (3|2)$ , we see that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3|2}}$  is decomposed in the following way:*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3|2}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2),$$

*where  $\Pi$  denotes the parity change functor as mentioned before. We define the line sheaf of degree  $d$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}(d)$  as  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}(d) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)$  stands for the sheaf of germs of locally defined, holomorphic and homogeneous degree  $d$ .*

*The first Chern class is given by*

$$c_1(\mathbb{P}^{n|N}) = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1}) - c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^N) = (n+1-N)x,$$

*where  $x = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}(1))$ . Hence a super twistor space  $\mathbb{P}^{n|N}$  might admit the structure of a Calabi-Yau supermanifold (or might satisfy a Calabi-Yau condition) if and only if  $N = 4$ .*

(III) *A weighted super projective space [25, p.40] is defined by*

$$\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{n|N}[k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n+1} | l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N] = (\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^n[k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n+1}], \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}),$$

*where*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{n|N}} = \bigwedge^{\bullet} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^n}(-l_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^n}(-l_N)).$$

*Note that with the definitions above, we have*

$$\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{n|N}[\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^{n+1} | \overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^N] = \mathbb{P}^{n|N}.$$

*The first Chern class is given by*

$$c_1(\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{n|N}) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_i - \sum_{j=1}^N l_j \right) x,$$

*$x = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}(1))$ . Hence, for appropriate numbers  $k_i$  and  $l_j$ , the weighted projective super space  $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{n|N}$  becomes a Calabi-Yau supermanifold.*

Let us now consider an open subset of  $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{3|N}[k_1, k_2, 1, 1 | l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N]$  defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}^{3|N}[k_1, k_2, 1, 1 | l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N] \\ = & \mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{3|N}[k_1, k_2, 1, 1 | l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N] \setminus \mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{1|N}[k_1, k_2, 1, 1 | l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N]. \end{aligned}$$

This can be identified with the holomorphic fiber bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(l_j) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1,$$

and as such it can be covered by two patches (cf. [25]).

When  $k_1 = k_2 = l_1 = l_2 = \dots = l_N = 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}^{3|N} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1), \quad \mathcal{P}^{3|N} \cong \mathbb{P}^{3|N} \setminus \mathbb{P}^{1|N}.$$

In this case, Calabi-Yau condition is of the  $k_1 + k_2 - (l_1 + \dots + l_N) + 2 = 0$ .

In particular we consider the case of  $N = 2$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}^{3|2}[p, q] = \mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{3|2}[1, 1, 1, 1 | p, q] \setminus \mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{1|2}[1, 1 | p, q].$$

This space can be identified with the holomorphic fiber bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(p) \oplus \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(q) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1.$$

For the particular combination  $p + q = 4$ , it is a Calabi-Yau supermanifold.

There are three types of such Calabi-Yau supermanifolds as follows:

$$\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}^3 | 2[1, 3], \quad \mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}^3 | 2[2, 2], \quad \mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}^3 | 2[4, 0].$$

(IV) A super ambitwistor space ([25, p.15]) is defined by

$$\mathbb{L}^{5|2N} = \left( \mathbb{L}^5, \bigwedge^{\bullet} ((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(-1, 0) \otimes \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(0, -1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^N) / \mathcal{I}) \right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{I} = \langle X^\alpha \mu_\alpha - Y^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\xi_i \zeta^i \rangle$$

is the ideal subsheaf in

$$\bigwedge^{\bullet} ((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(-1, 0) \otimes \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(0, -1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N*}).$$

The first Chern class is given by

$$c_1(\mathbb{L}^{5|2N}) = (3 - N)x + (3 - N)y,$$

where  $x = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}^{5|2N}}(1, 0))$  and  $y = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}^{5|2N}}(0, 1))$ , respectively ([25, p.20]). In the case of  $N = 3$ , the super ambitwistor space is the super Calabi-Yau space, that is

$$\mathbb{L}^{5|6} = \left( \mathbb{L}^5, \bigwedge^{\bullet} ((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(-1, 0) \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(0, -1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{3*}) / \mathcal{I}) \right).$$

$\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$  is an open subset defined by  $\mathcal{L}^{5|6} = \mathbb{L}^{5|6} \cap (\mathcal{P}^{3|3} \times \mathcal{P}_*^{3|3})$  (see [24], p.87).

### 3 Deformation Quantization for Super Poisson Twistor Spaces

Let  $\hat{M}$  be a supermanifold of dimension  $(n | N)$ . For superbivector fields  $A$  and  $B$  on  $\hat{M}$  which are written locally in the form

$$A = \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{i_1, i_2} A^{i_1 i_2} \partial_{i_1} \wedge \partial_{i_2}, \quad B = \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{j_1, j_2} B^{j_1 j_2} \partial_{j_1} \wedge \partial_{j_2},$$

one can get a supertrivector field  $[A, B]$  expressed locally by

$$\begin{aligned} [A, B] &= \frac{1}{2!} (-1)^{|i_1|(|j_1|+|j_2|+|B|)} A^{\mu i_1} \partial_{\mu} B^{j_1 j_2} \partial_{i_1} \wedge \partial_{j_1} \wedge \partial_{j_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2!} (-1)^{|A|(|j_1|+|B|)} B^{\mu j_1} \partial_{\mu} A^{i_1 i_2} \partial_{i_1} \wedge \partial_{i_2} \wedge \partial_{j_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $|i_k|, |j_k|, |A|, |B|$  are the Grassmann parities of the corresponding coordinates. The supertrivector field  $[A, B]$  which are assigned to a pair of superbivector fields  $(A, B)$  is called a super Schouten bracket of  $A$  and  $B$  (see [2]). A super bivector  $\pi$  satisfying  $[\pi, \pi] = 0$  is called a super Poisson structure. A super Poisson structure  $\pi$  on  $\hat{M}$  yields a super Poisson algebra in a similar way as an ordinary Poisson structure does a Poisson algebra.

**Definition 3.1** A super Poisson algebra is a supercommutative algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  endowed with a product

$$\{, \}_2 : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

which satisfy the following conditions:

- (1)  $\{f, g\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2} = -(-1)^{|f||g|} \{g, f\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}$  for any homogeneous element  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (2)  $(-1)^{|f||h|} \{f, \{g, h\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2} + (-1)^{|g||f|} \{g, \{h, f\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2} + (-1)^{|h||g|} \{h, \{f, g\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2} = 0$ ;
- (3)  $\{f, g \cdot h\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2} = \{f, g\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2} \cdot h + (-1)^{|f||g|} g \cdot \{f, h\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}$ .

The bracket  $\{, \}_2$  is called a super Poisson bracket. Moreover, we call an even (resp. odd) Poisson bracket if  $|\{f, g\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}| = |f| + |g|$  (resp.  $|\{f, g\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}| = |f| + |g| + 1$ ).

For a super Poisson algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{A}[[\hbar]]$  the space of all formal power series  $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} f_r \hbar^r$ ,  $f_r \in \mathcal{A}$  in a parameter  $\hbar$ .

**Definition 3.2** A super Poisson algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \{, \}_2)$  is said to be deformation quantizable if there exists a product

$$* : \mathcal{A}[[\hbar]] \times \mathcal{A}[[\hbar]] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}[[\hbar]]$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $*$  is bilinear and  $\hbar$ -linear;
- (2)  $f * (g * h) = (f * g) * h$  for any  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{A}[[\hbar]]$ ;
- (3) If  $f * g = f \cdot g + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \pi_r(f, g) \hbar^r$ , then  $\pi_1(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \{f, g\}_{\mathbf{Z}_2}$ .

The product  $*$  is called a star product or  $*$ -product (see [4, 10, 6, 15, 16, 26]).

Inspired by Definition 2.1 for a general super manifold  $\hat{M}$ , we can define anti-chiral super manifold in the following way [3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18].

**Definition 3.3** Let  $M = M^{4|4N}$  be a  $(4 | 4N)$ -dimensional super manifold, and with an  $N$ -SUSY structure equipped with a local coordinate system  $(x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} | \theta^{\dot{\alpha}}, \theta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}})$ . Then  $(4 | 2N)$ -dimensional super manifold  $X$  is referred to as an anti-chiral super manifold, if it has anti-chiral coordinate systems  $(x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} := x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \theta^{\dot{\alpha}} \theta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}})$  with patching work.

The base space which appeared in the right hand side of double fibrations below studied in the present paper is mainly an anti-chiral complex super vector space  $\mathbb{C}^{4|2N}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{4|4N}$ . We denote by  $T_0^*\mathbb{C}^{n|N}$  and  $T_1^*\mathbb{C}^{n|N}$  the even part ( $\mathbb{C}^n$  bundle on  $\mathbb{C}^{n|N}$ ) of the cotangent bundle  $T^*\mathbb{C}^{n|N}$  and the odd part ( $\mathbb{C}^{0|N}$  bundle on  $\mathbb{C}^{n|N}$ ) of the cotangent bundle  $T^*\mathbb{C}^{n|N}$ , respectively. They can be identified with  $T_0^*\mathbb{C}^{n|N} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n|N}$  and  $T_1^*\mathbb{C}^{n|N} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n|2N}$ .

**Proposition 3.4** Consider a product on the even part  $T_0^*\mathbb{C}^{n|N} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2n|N}$  of the cotangent bundle  $T^*\mathbb{C}^{n|N}$  defined by

$$(3.1) \quad f(x|\theta) * g(x|\theta) = f(x|\theta) \exp \left[ \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial X^A} E_{\text{even}}^{AB} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial X^B} \right] g(x|\theta),$$

where  $X^A = (x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}})$  and

$$E_{\text{even}}^{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega^{\alpha\dot{\alpha},\beta\dot{\beta}} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{i\dot{\alpha},j\dot{\beta}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the product (3.1) gives deformation quantization which has the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, x^{\beta\dot{\beta}}]_* &= \hbar \omega^{\alpha\dot{\alpha},\beta\dot{\beta}}, \\ [x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}]_* &= [\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\beta}}]_* = 0, \\ [x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}]_* &= [\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}]_* = 0, \\ \{\theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \theta_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} &= \hbar \omega^{i\dot{\alpha},j\dot{\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{, \}$  means a supercommutator.

**Proposition 3.5** Consider a product on the odd part  $T_1^*\mathbb{C}^{n|N} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n|2N}$  of the cotangent bundle  $T^*\mathbb{C}^{n|N}$  defined by

$$(3.2) \quad f(x|\theta) * g(x|\theta) = f(x|\theta) \exp \left[ \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial X^A} E_{\text{odd}}^{AB} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial X^B} \right] g(x|\theta),$$

where  $X^A = (x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}})$  and

$$E_{\text{odd}}^{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega^{\alpha\dot{\alpha},j\dot{\beta}} \\ \omega^{j\dot{\beta},\alpha\dot{\alpha}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the product (3.2) gives deformation quantization which has the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, x^{\beta\dot{\beta}}]_* &= 0 \\ [x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}]_* &= [\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\beta}}]_* = 0, \\ [x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}]_* &= \hbar \omega^{\alpha\dot{\alpha},j\dot{\beta}}, \\ [\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}]_* &= 0, \\ \{\theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \theta_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{, \}$  means a supercommutator.

Using the notion of super manifold, especially  $\mathbb{W}P^{n|N}, \mathbb{L}^{5|6}$  and their open version, we would like to construct and study star products with respect to them in the sense of the previous paragraph. One may see that super twistor double fibrations play crucial roles.

**Theorem 3.6** *Let  $(\mathbb{C}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{P}^1}, \pi)$  be one of super Poisson algebra on the super Calabi-Yau twistor space  $\mathbb{C}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{P}^1$  defined in the following way: consider a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P}^{3|4} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|8} \\ [x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}] & \longleftarrow & (x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}) & \longrightarrow & (x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}). \end{array}$$

and a bivector

$$\pi = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{2} \{(\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2\} \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i},$$

where  $z^\alpha = x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \xi_i = \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ .

Then  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{P}^1}, \pi)$  can be deformation quantizable and the non-commutative product  $*$  is explicitly written down in the following.

$$(3.3) \quad f(z|\xi) * g(z|\xi) = f(z|\xi) \exp \left[ \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial X^A} \pi^{AB} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial X^B} \right] g(z|\xi),$$

where  $X^A = (z^\alpha, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} | \xi_i)$  or  $X^A = (X^\alpha, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, Y^{\dot{\alpha}}, \mu_\alpha | \xi_i, \zeta^i) \in \mathbb{C}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .  $\pi^{AB}$  is one of the super even Poisson bivectors on the super Calabi-Yau twistor family  $\mathbb{C}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Proof** First, we remark that the bivector satisfies  $[\pi, \pi] = 0$  since the coefficients of each term do not have variables which are differentiated by  $\pi$ . Therefore  $\pi$  is a super even Poisson bivector. Next we would like to study quantization of  $(\mathbb{C}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{P}^1, \pi)$ . When we put  $z^\alpha = x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \xi_i = \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ , the local coordinates on  $\mathcal{P}^{3|4}$  satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [z^1, z^2]_* &= 2\hbar \lambda_1 \lambda_2, \\ \{\xi_i, \xi_i\}_* &= \hbar((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2), \\ (0 \text{ o.w.}). \end{aligned}$$

We first prove for  $\mathcal{P}^{3|4} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ . Consider the following double fibration (cf. [22]).

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P}^{3|N} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|2N} \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|2N} \\ [x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}] & \longleftarrow & (x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}) & \longrightarrow & (x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}). \end{array}$$

If we put  $z^\alpha := x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \xi_i := \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ , then we see

$$\begin{aligned} [z^\alpha, z^\beta]_* &= [x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, x^{\beta\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\dot{\beta}}]_* \\ &= [x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, x^{\beta\dot{\beta}}]_* \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\beta}} \\ &= \hbar D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}, \beta\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\xi_i, \xi_j\}_* &= \{\theta_i^\alpha \lambda_\alpha, \theta_j^\beta \lambda_\beta\}_* \\
&= \{\theta_i^\alpha, \theta_j^\beta\}_* \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta \\
&= \hbar C^{i\alpha, j\beta} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used  $[x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, x^{\beta\dot{\beta}}]_* = \hbar D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}, \beta\dot{\beta}}$  and  $\{\theta_i^\alpha, \theta_j^\beta\}_* = \hbar C^{i\alpha, j\beta} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta$ .

This indicates the star-product is globally defined (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.6). We would like to show the equality above makes sense by patching together. Let  $\mathcal{P}^{3|4} \ni (z^\alpha, \lambda_\alpha | \xi^i)$  be the homogeneous coordinate. Using this coordinate, we introduce the coordinate neighborhood in the following way.

$$(3.5) \quad \omega_+^\alpha := \frac{z^\alpha}{\lambda_1}, \quad \lambda_+ := \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}, \quad \xi_+^i := \frac{\xi_i}{\lambda_1} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{U}_+,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \omega_-^\alpha := \frac{z^\alpha}{\lambda_2}, \quad \lambda_- := \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}, \quad \xi_-^i := \frac{\xi_i}{\lambda_2} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{U}_-.$$

The transition functions are given in the following way.

$$(3.7) \quad \omega_-^\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda_+} \omega_+^\alpha, \quad \lambda_- = \frac{1}{\lambda_+}, \quad \xi_-^i = \frac{1}{\lambda_+} \xi_+^i, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{P}^{3|4} = \mathcal{U}_+ \cup \mathcal{U}_-.$$

Under the coordinate above, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \{\xi_+^i, \xi_+^j\}_* = \hbar(C^{i1, j1} + 2C^{i1, j2} \lambda_+ + C^{i2, j2} \lambda_+ \lambda_+) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{U}_+,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \{\xi_-^i, \xi_-^j\}_* = \hbar(C^{i1, j1} \lambda_- \lambda_- + 2C^{i1, j2} \lambda_- + C^{i2, j2}) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{U}_-.$$

Thus we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \{\xi_-^i, \xi_-^j\}_* = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_+}\right)^2 \{\xi_+^i, \xi_+^j\}_*.$$

The arguments similar to this can be applied for patching works of super Poisson Calabi-Yau,  $\mathcal{WP}^{2|3}[1, 3]$ ,  $\mathcal{WP}^{2|3}[2, 2]$ ,  $\mathcal{WP}^{2|3}[4, 0]$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$ .

## 4 Other examples

In the present section we give other examples.

**Proposition 4.1** *A complex super projective space of dimension  $(n|N)$  is defined by*

$$\mathbb{P}^{n|N} = (\mathbb{P}^n, \bigwedge (\mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1))).$$



We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}$  the structure sheaf  $\wedge^{\cdot}(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1))$  of  $\mathbb{P}^{n|N}$ . A local section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}$  is represented as in (2.1) via a degree  $(-k)$  homogeneous element  $f_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(z)$ . For example, if  $(n|N) = (3|2)$ , we see that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3|2}}$  is decomposed in the following way:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3|2}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2),$$

where  $\Pi$  denotes the parity change functor. We define the line sheaf of degree  $d$  that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}(d) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}$ , where we denote the sheaf of germs of locally defined, holomorphic, homogeneous degree  $d$  by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}^n$ . The first Chern class is given by

$$c_1(\mathbb{P}^{n|N}) = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1}) - c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^N) = (n+1-N)x,$$

where  $x = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n|N}}(1))$ . Hence we may conclude that super twistor space  $\mathbb{P}^{n|N}$  becomes a Calabi-Yau supermanifold if and only if  $N = 4$ .

Deformation quantization for this object is constructed by the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{WP}^{3|2}[1, 3] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|6} \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|6} \\ [x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_1}, \theta_2^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dot{\alpha}_2 \dot{\alpha}_3} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_2} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_3}] & \longleftarrow & (x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1}, \theta_2^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dot{\alpha}_2 \dot{\alpha}_3}) & \longrightarrow & (x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} | \theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1}, \theta_2^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dot{\alpha}_2 \dot{\alpha}_3}). \end{array}$$

We define a super even bivector as follows.

$$\pi = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{2}((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \vee \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{1}{2}((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2)^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \vee \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}.$$

The bivector  $\pi$  satisfies  $[\pi, \pi] = 0$  with respect to super Schouten bracket because coefficients of each term do not have variables which are differentiated by  $\pi$ . Then  $\pi$  defines a super even Poisson bivector.

When we put  $z^\alpha = x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ ,  $\xi_1 = \theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_1}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \theta_2^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dot{\alpha}_2 \dot{\alpha}_3} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_2} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_3}$ , those commutation relations is the following.

$$\begin{aligned} [z^1, z^2]_* &= 2\hbar \lambda_1 \lambda_2, \\ \{\xi_1, \xi_1\}_* &= \hbar((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2), \\ \{\xi_2, \xi_2\}_* &= \hbar((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2)^3, \\ &(0 \text{ o.w.}). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.2** A weighted super projective space ([25], p.40) is defined by

$$\mathbb{WP}^{n|N}[k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n+1} | l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N] = (\mathbb{WP}^n[k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n+1}], \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}^{n|N}}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}^{n|N}} = \bigwedge (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}^n}(-l_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}^n}(-l_N)).$$

Note that with the definitions above, we have

$$\mathbb{WP}^{n|N}[\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^{n+1} | \overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^N] = \mathbb{P}^{n|N}.$$

The first Chern class is given by

$$c_1(\mathbb{WP}^{n|N}) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_i - \sum_{j=1}^N l_j \right) x,$$

$x = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{WP}^{n|N}}(1))$ . Hence, for appropriate numbers  $k_i$  and  $l_j$ , the weighted projective super space  $\mathbb{WP}^{n|N}$  becomes a Calabi-Yau supermanifold.

Let us now consider an open subset of  $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{3|N}[k_1, k_2, 1, 1|l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N]$  defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}^{3|N}[k_1, k_2, 1, 1|l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N] \\ = & \mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{3|N}[k_1, k_2, 1, 1|l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N] \setminus \mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{1|N}[k_1, k_2, 1, 1|l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N]. \end{aligned}$$

The space defined as above can be identified with the holomorphic fiber bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(l_j) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1,$$

and as such it can be covered by two patches (cf. [25]).

In the case of  $k_1 = k_2 = l_1 = l_2 = \dots = l_N = 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}^{3|N} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1), \quad \mathcal{P}^{3|N} \cong \mathbb{P}^{3|N} \setminus \mathbb{P}^{1|N}.$$

In this case, Calabi-Yau condition is of the  $k_1 + k_2 - (l_1 + \dots + l_N) + 2 = 0$ . In particular we consider the case of  $N = 2$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}^{3|2}[p, q] = \mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{3|2}[1, 1, 1, 1|p, q] \setminus \mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^{1|2}[1, 1|p, q].$$

This space can be identified with the holomorphic fiber bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(p) \oplus \Pi \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(q) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1.$$

For the particular combination  $p + q = 4$ , it becomes a Calabi-Yau supermanifold.

There are three types in the case of Calabi-Yau supermanifold. It is that

$$\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}^{2|3}[1, 3], \quad \mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}^{2|3}[2, 2], \quad \mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}^{2|3}[4, 0].$$

A super ambitwistor space ([25], p.15) is defined by

$$\mathbb{L}^{5|2N} = (\mathbb{L}^5, \bigwedge ((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(-1, 0) \otimes \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(0, -1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^N) / \mathcal{I})),$$

where

$$\mathcal{I} = \langle X^\alpha \mu_\alpha - Y^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\xi_i \zeta^i \rangle$$

is the ideal subsheaf in

$$\bigwedge ((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(-1, 0) \otimes \mathbb{C}^N \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(0, -1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N*})).$$

The first Chern class is given by

$$c_1(\mathbb{L}^{5|2N}) = (3 - N)x + (3 - N)y,$$

where  $x = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}^{5|2N}}(1, 0))$  and  $y = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}^{5|2N}}(0, 1))$ , respectively ([25], p.20). In the case of  $N = 3$ , the super ambitwistor space is the super Calabi-Yau space, that is

$$\mathbb{L}^{5|6} = (\mathbb{L}^5, \bigwedge ((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(-1, 0) \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(0, -1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{3*}) / \mathcal{I})).$$

$\mathcal{L}^{5|6}$  is an open subset defined by  $\mathcal{L}^{5|6} = \mathbb{L}^{5|6} \cap (\mathcal{P}^{3|3} \times \mathcal{P}^{3|3})$  [24p.87].

Star product for this object is constructed by the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{WP}^{3|2}[2, 2] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|6} \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|6} \\ [x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}|\theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_1}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_2}, \theta_2^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_1}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_2}] & \longleftarrow & (x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}|\theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2}, \theta_2^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2}) & \longrightarrow & (x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}|\theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2}, \theta_2^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2}). \end{array}$$

We introduce a bivector as follows:

$$\pi = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{2}((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2)^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \vee \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \vee \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \right).$$

When we put  $z^\alpha = x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ ,  $\xi_1 = \theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_2}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \theta_2^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_2}$ , those commutation relations are the followings.

$$\begin{aligned} [z^1, z^2]_* &= 2\hbar \lambda_1 \lambda_2, \\ \{\xi_1, \xi_1\}_* &= \{\xi_2, \xi_2\}_* = \hbar((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2)^2, \\ (0 \text{ o.w.}). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.3** For this object, we use the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{WP}^{3|2}[4, 0] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|6} \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|6} \\ [x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}|\theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2\dot{\alpha}_3\dot{\alpha}_4}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_1}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_2}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_3}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_4}, \theta_2] & \longleftarrow & (x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}|\theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2\dot{\alpha}_3\dot{\alpha}_4}, \theta_2) & \longrightarrow & (x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}|\theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2\dot{\alpha}_3\dot{\alpha}_4}, \theta_2). \end{array}$$

We introduce an even Poisson bivector as follows.

$$\pi = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{2}((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2)^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \vee \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \vee \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}.$$

Obviously, the bivector  $\pi$  satisfies  $[\pi, \pi] = 0$  with respect to super Schouten bracket.

When we put  $z^\alpha = x_R^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ ,  $\xi_1 = \theta_1^{\dot{\alpha}_1\dot{\alpha}_2\dot{\alpha}_3\dot{\alpha}_4} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_2} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_3} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}_4}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \theta_2$ , the  $*$ -product satisfies the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [z^1, z^2]_* &= 2\hbar \lambda_1 \lambda_2, \\ \{\xi_1, \xi_1\}_* &= \hbar((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2)^4, \\ \{\xi_2, \xi_2\}_* &= \hbar, \\ (0 \text{ o.w.}). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.4** The fifth example is constructed using the following diagram .:

$$\mathbb{C}^{4|24} \ni (x^\mu|\Theta^A, \Theta^{\dot{A}}) \mapsto (x_R^\mu := x^\mu - a_{A\dot{B}}^\mu \Theta^A \Theta^{\dot{B}}|\Theta^{\dot{A}}) \in \mathbb{C}^{4|12}.$$

If we put  $x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x_R^\mu$ ,  $(\theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \eta^{i\alpha}) = \Theta^{\dot{A}}$ ,  $\dot{A} = \dot{1}, \dots, \dot{12}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then the anti-chiral SUSY structure can be defined by

$$\hat{Q}_{\dot{A}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta^{\dot{A}}}, \quad \hat{Q}_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta^A} + 2a_{A\dot{B}}^\mu \Theta^{\dot{B}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{L}^{5|6} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|12} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_* & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{4|12} \\ [X^\alpha, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, Y^{\dot{\alpha}}, \mu_{\dot{\alpha}}|\xi_i, \xi^i] & \longleftarrow & (x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \mu_{\dot{\alpha}}|\theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \eta^{i\alpha}) & \longrightarrow & (x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}|\theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \eta^{i\alpha}). \end{array}$$

We define a super even bivector as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi &= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial X^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial X^2} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \mu_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial X^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^1} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \mu_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial X^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^2} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \mu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial X^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^1} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \mu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial X^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^2} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} ((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2) \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \vee \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} + \frac{1}{2} ((\mu_1)^2 + (\mu_2)^2) \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i} \vee \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

When we put

$$[X^\alpha, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, Y^{\dot{\alpha}}, \mu_\alpha | \xi_i, \zeta^i] = [(x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \eta^{i\alpha} \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}}) \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, (x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \eta^{i\alpha}) \mu_\alpha, \mu_\alpha | \theta_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \eta^{i\alpha} \mu_\alpha]$$

then the  $*$ -product satisfies the following commutation relations.

$$\begin{aligned}
[X^1, Y^1]_* &= \hbar \lambda_2 \mu_2, \\
[X^1, Y^2]_* &= \hbar \lambda_1 \mu_2, \\
[X^2, Y^1]_* &= -\hbar \lambda_2 \mu_1, \\
[X^2, Y^2]_* &= -\hbar \lambda_1 \mu_1, \\
\{\xi_i, \xi_i\}_* &= \hbar ((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \\
\{\zeta_i, \zeta_i\}_* &= \hbar ((\mu_1)^2 + (\mu_2)^2) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \\
[X^1, X^2]_* &= 2\hbar \lambda_1 \lambda_2, \\
[Y^1, Y^2]_* &= 0, \\
(0 \text{ o.w.}).
\end{aligned}$$

## 5 Glueing

Next we would like to show the above argument can be extended to  $\mathbb{P}^{3|N}$ . Replacing  $(z^1, z^2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 | \xi_i)$  by  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 | \xi_i)$  ( $i = 1 \sim N$ ), we see that

$$(5.1) \quad \{\xi_i, \xi_j\}_* = \hbar (C^{i1, j1} z_2 z_3 + 2C^{i1, j2} z_3 z_4 + C^{i2, j2} z_4 z_4).$$

Take an inhomogeneous coordinate system in the following way:

$$(5.2) \quad \left( \frac{z_2}{z_1}, \frac{z_3}{z_1}, \frac{z_4}{z_1} \middle| \frac{\xi_1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{\xi_N}{z_1} \right) \text{ on } U_1,$$

$\vdots$

$$(5.3) \quad \left( \frac{z_1}{z_4}, \frac{z_2}{z_4}, \frac{z_3}{z_4} \middle| \frac{\xi_1}{z_4}, \dots, \frac{\xi_N}{z_4} \right) \text{ on } U_4.$$

Set  $z_l^k := \frac{z_k}{z_l}$ ,  $\xi_l^i := \frac{\xi_i}{z_l}$ . Then

$$(5.4) \quad z_k^m = \frac{1}{z_l^k} z_l^m, \quad z_l^l = \frac{1}{z_l^k} z_l^k, \quad \xi_k^i = \frac{1}{z_l^k} \xi_l^i \text{ on } U_k \cap U_l (k \neq m, l \neq m),$$

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbb{P}^{3|N} = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 \cup U_4.$$

Using this coordinate system and transition functions, we see that

$$(5.6) \quad \{\xi_k^i, \xi_k^j\}_* = \hbar \left( C^{i1,j1} \frac{z_3}{z_k} \frac{z_3}{z_k} + 2C^{i1,j2} \frac{z_3}{z_k} \frac{z_4}{z_k} + C^{i2,j2} \frac{z_4}{z_k} \frac{z_4}{z_k} \right),$$

$$(5.7) \quad \{\xi_l^i, \xi_l^j\}_* = \hbar \left( C^{i1,j1} \frac{z_3}{z_l} \frac{z_3}{z_l} + 2C^{i1,j2} \frac{z_3}{z_l} \frac{z_4}{z_l} + C^{i2,j2} \frac{z_4}{z_l} \frac{z_4}{z_l} \right).$$

Hence we obtain the following identity.

$$(5.7) = \left( \frac{1}{z_l^k} \right)^2 (5.6).$$

This indicates well-definedness of the product  $f * g$  for sections  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3|W} = \wedge(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1))$  which represent germs.

Taking a real slice we can show the same formula for case of  $R = \mathbb{R}$ .

## References

- [1] M. Aganagic and C. Vafa, *Mirror symmetry and supermanifolds*, hep-th/0403192.
- [2] J. A. de Azcarraga, J. M. Izquierdo, A. M. Perelomov and J. C. Perez Bueno, *The  $Z_2$ -graded Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket and generalized super-Poisson structures*, hep-th/9612186.
- [3] T. Araki, T. Takashima and S. Watamura, *Instantons in  $\mathcal{N} = 1/2$  super Yang-Mills theory via deformed superADHM construction*, Journal of High Energy Physics, JHEP 12(2005) 044.
- [4] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer, *Deformation theory and quantization I*, Ann. of Phys. 111 (1978), 61-110. hep-th/0510088
- [5] M. De Wilde and P. B. Lecomte, *Existence of star products and formal deformations of the Poisson Lie algebra of arbitrary symplectic manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. 7 (1983).
- [6] B. V. Fedosov, *A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization*, Jour. Diff. Geom. 40 (1994), 213-238.
- [7] S. Ferrara, M. A. Lledo and O. Macia, *Supersymmetry in noncommutative superspaces*, hep-th/0307039.
- [8] A. Kapustin, A. Kuznetsov and D. Orlov, *Noncommutative instantons and twistor transform*, hep-th/0002193, Commun. Math. Phys. 221 (2001) 385-432.
- [9] D. Klemm, S. Penati and L. Tamassia, *Non(anti)commutative super space*, hep-th/0104190.
- [10] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. 66, (2003), 157-216.
- [11] C. LeBrun, Y. S. Poon and R. O. Wells, Jr., *Projective embedding of complex supermanifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. 126 (1990) 433-452.
- [12] Yu. I. Manin, *Gauge field theory and complex geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, Second Edition 1997.
- [13] Yu. I. Manin, *Topics in Noncommutative Geometry*, M.B. Porter Lectures Rice Univ.
- [14] N. Miyazaki, *Quantization of holomorphic Poisson structure -related to generalized Kaehler structure-*, arXiv:1403.7709v2 [math.DG] 14 May 2014

- [15] H. Omori, Y. Maeda and A. Yoshioka, *Weyl manifolds and deformation quantiation* Advances in Mathematics 85, 224-255 (1991)
- [16] H. Omori, Y. Maeda, N. Miyazaki and A. Yoshioka, *Poincaré-Cartan class and deformation quantization of Kähler manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. 194 (1998), 207-230.
- [17] H. Omori, Y. Maeda, N. Miyazaki and A. Yoshioka, *A new nonformal noncommutative calculus: Associativity and finite part regularization*, Astérisque 321 (2008), 267-297.
- [18] H. Omori, Y. Maeda, N. Miyazaki and A. Yoshioka, *Deformation of expressions for elements of an algebra*, Symplectic, Poisson and noncommutative Geometry, vol.62, pp.101-140, MSRI Publication, 2014, Cambridge University Press
- [19] H. Ooguri, C. Vafa, *The C-Deformation of Gluino and Non-planar Diagrams*, hep-th/0302109.
- [20] T. Taniguchi and N. Miyazaki, *On non(anti)commutative super twistor spaces*, Int. Jour. Geom. Methods Modern Phys. 7(2010) 1-14.
- [21] T. Taniguchi, *ADHM construction of N=2 super Yang-Mills instantons*, Jour. of Geom. Phys., 59(2009) 1199-1209.
- [22] T. Taniguchi, *Super characteristic classes and Riemann-Roch type formula*, Advances in Pure Math., 5(2015), 353-366.
- [23] N. Seiberg, *Noncommutative superspace,  $\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{2}$  supersymmetry, field theory and string theory*, JHEP 0306 (2003), hep-th/0305248v1
- [24] R. S. Ward and R. O. Wells, Jr., *Twistor geometry and field theory*, Cambridge Monographs on Math. Phys. 1990.
- [25] M. Wolf, *On Supertwistor Geometry and Integrability in Super Gauge Theory*, Leibniz Universität Hannover PhD thesis 2006.
- [26] A. Yoshioka, *Contact Weyl manifold over a symplectic manifold*, in “Lie groups, Geometric structures and Differential equations”, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 37(2002), 4