

TOTALLY ACYCLIC COMPLEXES

SERGIO ESTRADA AND ALINA IACOB

ABSTRACT. For a given class of modules $\mathcal{A}$, we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ the class of exact complexes X having all cycles in $\mathcal{A}$, and by $dw(\mathcal{A})$ the class of complexes Y with all components Y_j in $\mathcal{A}$. We consider a two sided noetherian ring R and we use the notations $\mathcal{GI}$ ($\mathcal{GF}$, $\mathcal{GP}$) for the class of Gorenstein injective (flat, projective respectively) R -modules. We prove (Theorem 1) that the following are equivalent: 1. Every exact complex of injective modules is totally acyclic. 2. Every exact complex of Gorenstein injective modules is in $\tilde{\mathcal{GI}}$. 3. Every complex in $dw(\mathcal{GI})$ is dg-Gorenstein injective. Theorem 2 shows that the analogue result for complexes of flat and Gorenstein flat modules also holds. We prove (Corollary 1) that, over a commutative noetherian ring R , the equivalent statements in Theorem 1 (as well as their counterparts from Theorem 2) hold if and only if the ring is Gorenstein. Thus we improve on a result of Iyengar's and Krause's; in [18] they proved that for a commutative noetherian ring R with a dualizing complex, the class of exact complexes of injectives coincides with that of totally acyclic complexes of injectives if and only if R is Gorenstein. We are able to remove the dualizing complex hypothesis. In the second part of the paper we focus on two sided noetherian rings that satisfy the Auslander condition. We prove (Theorem 6) that for such a ring R that also has finite finitistic flat dimension, every complex of injective (left and respectively right) R -modules is totally acyclic if and only if R is a Gorenstein ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

We recall that a module M is Gorenstein injective if and only if it is a cycle of a totally acyclic complex of injective modules. Dually, a module G is Gorenstein projective if it is a cycle of a totally acyclic complex of projective modules. And a Gorenstein flat module is a cycle of an F-totally acyclic complex of flat modules (see Section 2 for the definitions).

It is known that over a Gorenstein ring every exact complex of injective modules is totally acyclic. The analogous result for exact complexes of projective modules and exact complexes of flat modules also holds over Gorenstein rings.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E10; 16E30.

Key words and phrases. totally acyclic complex, Gorenstein injective module, Gorenstein projective module, Gorenstein flat module.

It is a natural question to consider whether or not these conditions actually characterize Gorenstein rings, or more generally whether or not it is possible to characterize Gorenstein rings in terms of exact complexes of (Gorenstein) injectives, (Gorenstein) projectives and (Gorenstein) flats. This is one of the main goals of this paper. In the commutative case we encompass and extend recent results by Murfet and Salarian in [19] and by Iyengar and Krause in [17]. We give equivalent characterizations of the condition that every exact complex of injective modules is totally acyclic. It involves $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ complexes, as well as $\text{dg-}\mathcal{A}$ complexes, so we recall first the following definitions.

Definition 1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a class of R -modules. An exact complex X is in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ if $Z_j(X) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all integers j .*

Definition 2. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be a cotorsion pair in $R\text{-Mod}$. A complex Y is a $\text{dg-}\mathcal{A}$ complex if each $Y_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and if $\text{Ext}^1(Y, U) = 0$ for any complex U in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Throughout the paper we use $\mathcal{GI}$ to denote the class of Gorenstein injective modules, $\mathcal{GP}$ for the class of Gorenstein projective modules, and $\mathcal{GF}$ for that of Gorenstein flat modules.

Our first two results are the following:

Theorem 1 Let R be a two sided noetherian ring. The following are equivalent:

1. Every exact complex of injective left R -modules is totally acyclic.
2. Every exact complex of Gorenstein injective left R -modules is in $\tilde{\mathcal{GI}}$.
3. Every complex of Gorenstein injective left R -modules is $\text{dg-Gorenstein injective}$.

Theorem 2 Let R be a two sided noetherian ring. The following are equivalent:

1. Every exact complex of flat right R -modules is F -totally acyclic.
2. Every exact complex of Gorenstein flat right R -modules is in $\tilde{\mathcal{GF}}$.
3. Every complex of Gorenstein flat right R -modules is $\text{dg-Gorenstein flat}$.

We also prove (Theorem 3) that when moreover R is right n -perfect (that is, every flat right R -module has finite projective dimension $\leq n$) then statements (1), (2), (3) in Theorem 2 are also equivalent to the following:

- (4) Every exact complex of projective right R -modules is totally acyclic.
- (5) Every exact complex of Gorenstein projective right R -modules is in $\tilde{\mathcal{GP}}$.
- (6) Every complex of Gorenstein projective right R -modules is dg-Gorenstein

projective.

Iyengar and Krause proved in [17, Corollary 5.5] that over a commutative noetherian ring with a dualizing complex every exact complex of injective modules is totally acyclic if and only if R is a Gorenstein ring. In Corollary 1 we are able to drop the dualizing complex hypothesis and also use theorems 1 and 2 to find new characterizations of Gorenstein (commutative) rings:

Corollary 1 Let R be a commutative noetherian ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. R is a Gorenstein ring.
2. Every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic.
3. Every exact complex of flat R -modules is F-totally acyclic.
4. Every exact complex of Gorenstein flat R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$.
5. Every exact complex of Gorenstein injective R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}$.
6. Every complex of Gorenstein injective R -modules is dg-Gorenstein injective.
7. Every complex of Gorenstein flat R -modules is dg-Gorenstein flat.

If moreover R is n -perfect, for some $n \geq 0$ (for instance if R has finite Krull dimension) then Corollary 1 and Theorem 3 give that statements (1) - (7) above are also equivalent to statements (8)-(10) below (Corollary 2):

- (8) Every exact complex of projective R -modules is totally acyclic.
- (9) Every exact complex of Gorenstein projective R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}$.
- (10) Every complex of Gorenstein projective R -modules is dg-Gorenstein projective.

We consider then a two sided noetherian ring R such that every exact complex of injective modules is totally acyclic. We prove (Proposition 3) that if furthermore R satisfies the Auslander condition and has finitistic flat dimension then every injective R -module has finite flat dimension. We use this result to prove the following characterization of Gorenstein rings (Theorem 6):

Let R be a two sided noetherian ring of finitistic flat dimension that satisfies the Auslander condition. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1) R is Gorenstein.
- 2) Every exact complex of injective left R -modules is totally acyclic and every exact complex of injective right R -modules is totally acyclic.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper R will denote an associative ring with unit (non necessarily commutative). The category of left R -modules will be denoted by

$R\text{-Mod}$, and the category of unbounded complexes of left R -modules will be denoted by $Ch(R)$. Modules are, unless otherwise explicitly stated, left modules.

We recall that an exact complex of projective modules $P = \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_{-1} \rightarrow \dots$ is said to be *totally acyclic* if for any projective R -module P , the complex $\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_{-1}, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_0, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_1, P) \rightarrow \dots$ is still exact.

A module G is Gorenstein projective if it is a cycle of such a totally acyclic complex of projective modules ($G = Z_j(P)$, for some integer j).

Dually, an exact complex of injective modules $I = \dots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_{-1} \rightarrow \dots$ is said to be *totally acyclic* if for any injective R -module I , the complex $\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I, I_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I, I_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I, I_{-1}) \rightarrow \dots$ is still exact.

A module M is Gorenstein injective if it is a cycle in a totally acyclic complex of injective modules ($M = Z_j(I)$ for some integer j).

We also recall that an exact complex of flat left R -modules, $F = \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F_{-1} \rightarrow \dots$ is said to be *F-totally acyclic* if for any injective right R -module I , the complex $\dots \rightarrow I \otimes F_1 \rightarrow I \otimes F_0 \rightarrow I \otimes F_{-1} \rightarrow \dots$ is still exact.

A module H is Gorenstein flat if it is a cycle in an F-totally acyclic complex of flat modules ($H = Z_j(F)$ for some integer j).

A pair of classes $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ in an abelian category $\mathcal{D}$ is called a *cotorsion pair* if $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^\perp$ and $\mathcal{A} = {}^\perp \mathcal{B}$, where for a given class of modules $\mathcal{C}$, the right orthogonal class $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ is defined to be the class of objects Y in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\text{Ext}^1(A, Y) = 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Similarly, we define the left orthogonal class ${}^\perp \mathcal{C}$. The cotorsion pair is called *hereditary* if $\text{Ext}^i(A, B) = 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, and $i \geq 1$. The cotorsion pair is called *complete* if for each object M in $\mathcal{D}$ there exist short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow B' \rightarrow A' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$.

Following Gillespie [12, Definition 3.3 and Proposition 3.6] there are four classes of complexes in $Ch(R)$ that are associated with a cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ in $R\text{-Mod}$:

1. An exact complex X is an $\mathcal{A}$ -complex if $Z_j(X) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all integers j . We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ the class of all exact $\mathcal{A}$ -complexes.
2. An exact complex U is a $\mathcal{B}$ -complex if $Z_j(X) \in \mathcal{B}$ for all integers j . We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ the class of all exact $\mathcal{B}$ -complexes.
3. A complex Y is a dg- $\mathcal{A}$ complex if each $Y_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and each map $Y \rightarrow U$ is

null-homotopic, for each complex $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. We denote by $dg(\mathcal{A})$ the class of all $dg\text{-}\mathcal{A}$ complexes.

4. A complex W is a $dg\text{-}\mathcal{B}$ complex if each $W_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and each map $V \rightarrow W$ is null-homotopic, for each complex $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. We denote by $dg(\mathcal{B})$ the class of all $dg\text{-}\mathcal{B}$ complexes.

Yang and Liu showed in [21, Theorem 3.5] that when $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair in $R\text{-Mod}$, the pairs $(dg(\mathcal{A}), \tilde{\mathcal{B}})$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, dg(\mathcal{B}))$ are complete (and hereditary) cotorsion pairs. Moreover, by Gillespie [12, Theorem 3.12], we have that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = dg(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = dg(\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{E}$ (where $\mathcal{E}$ is the class of all exact complexes). For example, from the (complete and hereditary) cotorsion pairs $(Proj, R\text{-Mod})$ and $(R\text{-Mod}, Inj)$ one obtains the standard (complete and hereditary) cotorsion pairs $(\mathcal{E}, dg(Inj))$ and $(dg(Proj), \mathcal{E})$. Over a left noetherian ring R , the pair $({}^\perp \mathcal{GI}, \mathcal{GI})$ is complete hereditary cotorsion pair. This is essentially due to Krause in [18, Theorem 7.12] (see Enochs and Iacob [5, Corollary 1] for a precise formulation). Therefore $({}^\perp \widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}, dg(\mathcal{GI}))$ is a complete cotorsion pair.

We recall that a ring R is right n -perfect if each flat right R -module has finite projective dimension $\leq n$. Then if R is left coherent and right n -perfect the pair $(\mathcal{GP}, \mathcal{GP}^\perp)$ is also a complete hereditary cotorsion pair in the category of right R -modules (see Bravo, Gillespie and Hovey [1, Proposition 8.10] or Estrada, Iacob and Odabaşı [10, Propostion 7]). Hence the pair $(\widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}, dg(\mathcal{GP}^\perp))$ is a complete cotorsion pair.

Finally, if R is a right coherent ring, then $(\mathcal{GF}, \mathcal{GF}^\perp)$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair. This is due to Enochs, Jenda and López-Ramos in [8]. The class $\mathcal{GF}^\perp$ is known as the class of *Gorenstein cotorsion modules* and it is usually denoted by $\mathcal{GC}$. So the pair $(\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}, dg(\mathcal{GC}))$ is a complete cotorsion pair.

We also recall that given a class of modules $\mathcal{A}$, we denote by $dw(\mathcal{A})$ the class of complexes of modules, X , such that each component, X_n , is in $\mathcal{A}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Proposition 1. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring such that every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic. Then the character module of any Gorenstein injective R -module is a Gorenstein flat right R -module.*

Proof. Let ${}_R G$ be a Gorenstein injective module. Then there exists an exact complex of injective R -modules $I = \dots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_{-1} \rightarrow \dots$ with $G = Z_0(I)$. Then I^{++} is an exact complex of injective R -modules, so by

hypothesis, I^{++} is totally acyclic. Therefore $G^{++} = Z_0(I^{++})$ is Gorenstein injective. Since $(G^+)^+$ is Gorenstein injective, it follows that G^+ is Gorenstein flat (by Holm [13], Theorem 3.6). $\square$

Theorem 1 below gives equivalent characterizations of the condition that every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic.

Theorem 1. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring. The following are equivalent:*

1. *Every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic.*
2. *Every exact complex of Gorenstein injective R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}$.*
3. *Every complex of Gorenstein injective R -modules is a dg-Gorenstein injective complex.*

Proof. 1. $\Rightarrow$ 2. Let Y be an exact complex of Gorenstein injective modules. Since $({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}, \mathcal{GI})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair, we have that the pair $(dg({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}), \widetilde{\mathcal{GI}})$ is a complete cotorsion pair in $Ch(R)$. So there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ with $B \in dg({}^\perp\mathcal{GI})$ and with $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}$. Both A and Y are exact complexes, so B is also exact. For each n we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y_n \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow 0$ with both Y_n and A_n in $\mathcal{GI}$; so $B_n \in \mathcal{GI}$, for all n . Since $B_n \in {}^\perp\mathcal{GI} \cap \mathcal{GI}$, it follows that B_n is injective for each integer n . Thus B is an exact complex of injective modules, and then, by (1), B is totally acyclic. So $Z_n(B)$ is Gorenstein injective for all n . The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_n(Y) \rightarrow Z_n(A) \rightarrow Z_n(B) \rightarrow 0$ with both $Z_n(A)$ and $Z_n(B)$ Gorenstein injective gives that $G.i.d.Z_n(Y) \leq 1$. We also have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_n(Y) \rightarrow Y_n \rightarrow Z_{n-1}(Y) \rightarrow 0$, with Y_n Gorenstein injective and $G.i.d.Z_n(Y) \leq 1$. It follows that $Z_{n-1}(Y)$ is Gorenstein injective for all n . So $Y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}$.

2. $\Rightarrow$ 3. Let X be a complex of Gorenstein injective R -modules. Since $(\mathcal{E}, dg(Inj))$ is a complete cotorsion pair, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with A a DG-injective complex and with B an exact complex. Then for each n there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0$ with A_n injective and with X_n Gorenstein injective. It follows that each B_n is Gorenstein injective. So B is an exact complex of Gorenstein injective modules; by (2), B is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}$, and therefore in $dg(\mathcal{GI})$.

Let $Y \in {}^\perp\mathcal{GI}$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ gives an exact sequence $0 = \text{Ext}^1(Y, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(Y, X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(Y, A) = 0$ (since Y is exact and A is a DG-injective complex). It follows that $\text{Ext}^1(Y, X) = 0$ for any $Y \in {}^\perp\mathcal{GI}$, so $X \in dg(\mathcal{GI})$. So we have that $dw(\mathcal{GI}) \subseteq dg(\mathcal{GI})$. The other

inclusion always holds, thus $dg(\mathcal{GI}) = dw(\mathcal{GI})$.

3. $\Rightarrow$ 1. Let X be an exact complex of injective left R -modules. In particular, $X \in dw(\mathcal{GI})$ and by (3), X is in $dg(\mathcal{GI})$. Since $X \in dg(\mathcal{GI})$ and X is exact, it follows that $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}$, and therefore $Z_n(X) \in \mathcal{GI}$ for all n . Thus X is a totally acyclic complex. $\square$

Theorem 2. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *Every exact complex of flat right R -modules is F -totally acyclic.*
2. *Every exact complex of Gorenstein flat right R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$.*
3. *Every complex of Gorenstein flat right R -modules is a dg -Gorenstein flat complex.*

Proof. 1. $\Rightarrow$ 2. Let X be an exact complex of Gorenstein flat modules. Since $(\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}, dg(\mathcal{GC}))$ is a complete cotorsion pair there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with $A \in dg(\mathcal{GC})$, and $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$. Then for each n we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0$ with both B_n and X_n Gorenstein flat modules. It follows that each A_n is Gorenstein flat. The complex A is in $dg(\mathcal{GC})$, so each A_n is also Gorenstein cotorsion. Thus $A_n \in \mathcal{GF} \cap \mathcal{GC} \subseteq Flat$, for all n . Also, since both B and X are exact, A is an exact complex. So A is an exact complex of flat modules; by (1), A is an F -totally acyclic complex. Then $Z_n(A)$ is Gorenstein flat for all n . And, since A is both exact and in $dg(\mathcal{GC})$, A is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GC}}$. Thus $Z_n(A)$ is also Gorenstein cotorsion. So $Z_n(A) \in \mathcal{GF} \cap \mathcal{GC} \subseteq Flat$. So A is a flat complex.

For each n we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_n(A) \rightarrow Z_n(B) \rightarrow Z_n(X) \rightarrow 0$ with $Z_n(A)$ flat and $Z_n(B)$ Gorenstein flat. It follows that $G.f.d.Z_n(X) \leq 1$, for all n . But for each n there is also an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_n(X) \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow Z_{n-1}(X) \rightarrow 0$. Since $G.f.d.Z_{n-1}(X) \leq 1$, and $X_{n-1} \in \mathcal{GF}$ it follows that $Z_n(X)$ is Gorenstein flat, for all n .

2. $\Rightarrow$ 3. Let X be a complex of Gorenstein flat right R -modules. Since $(dg(Proj), \mathcal{E})$ is a complete cotorsion pair, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$ with $D \in dg(Proj)$ and with C an exact complex. Then for each n we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow 0$ with both D_n and X_n Gorenstein flat modules. It follows that each C_n is Gorenstein flat. Thus C is an exact complex of Gorenstein flat modules, so by (2), C is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$.

Let $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GC}}$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$ gives an exact sequence $0 = \text{Ext}^1(C, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(D, A) = 0$ (since A is exact and D is DG-projective). It follows that $\text{Ext}^1(X, A) = 0$ for any $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GC}}$, so $X \in dg(\mathcal{GF})$.

3. $\Rightarrow$ 1. Let Y be an exact complex of flat right R -modules. By (3),

$Y \in dg(\mathcal{GF})$. Since Y is also exact it follows that Y is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$. Therefore $Z_n(Y)$ is Gorenstein flat for each n . So Y is F-totally acyclic. $\square$

Using Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we obtain the following characterization of commutative Gorenstein rings.

Corollary 1. *Let R be a commutative noetherian ring. The following are equivalent:*

1. R is Gorenstein.
2. Every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic.
3. Every exact complex of flat R -modules is F-totally acyclic.
4. Every exact complex of Gorenstein flat R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$.
5. Every exact complex of Gorenstein injective R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}$.
6. Every complex of Gorenstein injective R -modules is dg-Gorenstein injective.
7. Every complex of Gorenstein flat R -modules is dg-Gorenstein flat.

Proof. 1. $\Rightarrow$ 2. It follows from [7, Theorem 10.1.13(1)].

2. $\Rightarrow$ 3. Let F be an exact complex of flat modules. Then F^+ is an exact complex of injective modules. By hypothesis F^+ is totally acyclic. This means that $\text{Hom}(I, F^+)$ is exact for every injective module I . But $\text{Hom}(I, F^+) \simeq (I \otimes F)^+$. So $(I \otimes F)^+$ is exact, which implies that $I \otimes F$ is exact, for every injective I . That is, F is F-totally acyclic.

3. $\Rightarrow$ 1. This follows from Murfet and Salarian [19, Theorem 4.27].

This shows that conditions (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent. Finally, by Theorem 2, (3), (4) and (7) are equivalent. And by Theorem 1, (2), (5) and (6) are equivalent. $\square$

We show that if R is a two sided noetherian ring such that R is also right n -perfect, then the equivalent characterizations from Theorem 2 can be extended to include the analogue results for the Gorenstein projective modules. The proof uses the fact that over such a ring R the pair $(\mathcal{GP}, \mathcal{GP}^\perp)$ is a complete hereditary pair. As noted in Section 2, this gives a complete cotorsion pair, $(dg(\mathcal{GP}), \mathcal{GP}^\perp)$, in the category of complexes of right R -modules.

Theorem 3. *Let R be a two sided noetherian and right n -perfect ring. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. Every exact complex of flat right R -modules is F-totally acyclic.
2. Every exact complex of Gorenstein flat right R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$.
3. Every complex of Gorenstein flat right R -modules is a dg-Gorenstein flat

complex.

4. Every exact complex of projective right R -modules is totally acyclic.
5. Every exact complex of Gorenstein projective right R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}$.
6. Every complex of Gorenstein projective right R -modules is a dg-Gorenstein projective complex.

Proof. By Theorem 2, statements (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent.

$2 \Rightarrow 5$. Let X be an exact complex of Gorenstein projective right R -modules. Since the ring is coherent and right n -perfect, by Christensen, Frankild and Holm [2, Proposition 3.7], every Gorenstein projective right R -module is Gorenstein flat. So $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$. Then, for each j , $Z_j(X) \in \mathcal{GF}$. By [10, Proposition 5], $G.p.d.Z_j(X) \leq n$. Since we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_{n+j}(X) \rightarrow X_{n+j-1} \rightarrow X_{n+j-2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_{j+1} \rightarrow Z_j(X) \rightarrow 0$ with $Z_j(X)$ Gorenstein flat and all the X_i 's projective right R -modules, it follows that $Z_{j+n}(X)$ is Gorenstein projective for all integers j . But then by replacing j with $j - n$ we obtain that $Z_j(X)$ is Gorenstein projective for all j .

$5 \Rightarrow 6$. Let X be a complex of Gorenstein projective right R -modules. There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$ with C exact and with D a DG-projective complex. For each j , the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow 0$ with both X_n and D_n Gorenstein projective right modules gives that each C_n is Gorenstein projective. Thus C is an exact complex of Gorenstein projective right R -modules, so by (5), C is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}$.

Let $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}^\perp$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$ gives an exact sequence $0 = \text{Ext}^1(C, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(D, A) = 0$ (since D is DG-projective, and A is exact).

Since $\text{Ext}^1(X, A) = 0$ for any $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}^\perp$, and $(dg(\mathcal{GP}), \widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}^\perp)$ is a cotorsion pair it follows that X is a dg-Gorenstein projective complex.

$6 \Rightarrow 2$. Let X be an exact complex of Gorenstein flat right R -modules. Consider a partial projective resolution of X :

$0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$. Since R is right n -perfect and each X_j is Gorenstein flat by [10, Proposition 5] we have that for each j , $G.p.d.X_j \leq n$, so each Y_j is Gorenstein projective. Then Y is an exact complex of Gorenstein projective right R -modules, so, by (6), Y is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}$. Therefore $Z_j(Y) \in \mathcal{GP}$ for all j , so the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_j(Y) \rightarrow Z_j(P_{n-1}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Z_j(P_0) \rightarrow Z_j(X) \rightarrow 0$ gives that $G.p.d.Z_j(X) \leq n$ for all j . By [2, Proposition 3.7] we have $G.f.d.Z_j(X) \leq G.p.d.Z_j(X) \leq n$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_{j+n}(X) \rightarrow X_{j+n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_{j+1} \rightarrow Z_j(X) \rightarrow 0$ with all X_i Gorenstein flat and with $G.f.d.Z_j(X) \leq n$ gives that $Z_{j+n} \in \mathcal{GF}$ for all j . Then by replacing j with $j - n$ we obtain that $Z_j(X)$ is Gorenstein flat for all integers j . So $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$.

1 $\Rightarrow$ 4. Let X be an exact complex of projective right R -modules. By (1), X is F-totally acyclic. Since R is left noetherian and right n -perfect, every F-totally acyclic complex of projective right R -modules is totally acyclic ([10, Lemma 3])

4 $\Rightarrow$ 5. Let X be an exact complex of Gorenstein projective modules. Since $(dg(\mathcal{GP}), \widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion pair, there is an exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with $B \in dg(\mathcal{GP})$ and with $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}^\perp$. Both A and X are exact, so B is also exact. Thus $B \in dg(\mathcal{GP}) \cap \mathcal{E}$, so $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}$.

For each n we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0$ with both B_n and X_n Gorenstein projective. Thus for each n , $A_n \in \mathcal{GP} \cap \mathcal{GP}^\perp$, so each A_n is a projective right R -module. Since A is an exact complex of projective right R -modules, by (4), A is totally acyclic. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_n(A) \rightarrow Z_n(B) \rightarrow Z_n(X) \rightarrow 0$ with both $Z_n(A)$ and $Z_n(B)$ Gorenstein projectives gives that $G.p.d.Z_n(X) \leq 1$, for all n . But then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow X_{n+1} \rightarrow Z_n(X) \rightarrow 0$ with X_{n+1} Gorenstein projective and with $G.p.d.Z_n(X) \leq 1$ gives that $Z_{n+1}(X)$ is Gorenstein projective. $\square$

Corollary 1 and Theorem 3 give the following:

Corollary 2. *Let R be a commutative noetherian ring which is n -perfect, for some integer $n \geq 0$ (for instance if R has a dualizing complex). The following are equivalent:*

1. *R is a Gorenstein ring.*
2. *Every exact complex of injective modules is totally acyclic.*
3. *Every exact complex of flat R -modules is F-totally acyclic.*
4. *Every exact complex of Gorenstein flat R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$.*
5. *Every exact complex of Gorenstein injective R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}$.*
6. *Every complex of Gorenstein injective R -modules is dg-Gorenstein injective.*
7. *Every complex of Gorenstein flat R -modules is dg-Gorenstein flat.*
8. *Every exact complex of projective R -modules is totally acyclic.*
9. *Every exact complex of Gorenstein projective R -modules is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{GP}}$.*
10. *Every complex of Gorenstein projective R -modules is dg-Gorenstein projective.*

One of the main open problems in Gorenstein homological algebra is: “What is the most general type of ring over which the class of Gorenstein injective modules is (pre)covering (preenveloping respectively)?”. We give a sufficient condition in order for $\mathcal{GI}$ be both covering and enveloping.

Theorem 4. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring such that every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic. Then the class of Gorenstein injective modules is both covering and enveloping in $R\text{-Mod}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1, over such a ring R the character module of any Gorenstein injective R -module is Gorenstein flat. By Iacob [15, Theorems 3 and 5], the class of Gorenstein injective R -modules is both covering and enveloping. □

Theorem 5. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring such that every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic. Then the class of Gorenstein flat right R -modules is preenveloping in $R\text{-Mod}$.*

Proof. Since over such a ring the character modules of Gorenstein injective modules are Gorenstein flat the result follows from Iacob [16, Theorem 1]. □

4. RINGS THAT SATISFY THE AUSLANDER CONDITION

We recall that Bass proved that a commutative noetherian ring R is a Gorenstein ring if and only if the flat dimension of the i th term in a minimal injective resolution of R is at most $i - 1$, for all $i \geq 1$. In the non-commutative case, Auslander proved that this condition is left-right symmetric (see Fossum, Griffith and Reiten [11, Theorem 3.7]). In this case the ring is said to satisfy the *Auslander condition*.

In [14] Huang introduces the notion of modules satisfying the Auslander condition. We recall the definition ([14]): given a left noetherian ring R , a left R -module M is said to satisfy the Auslander condition if the flat dimension of the i th term in the minimal injective resolution of M is at most $i - 1$ for any $i \geq 1$.

We also recall the following:

Theorem (this is part of [14, Theorem 1.3]) If R is a left noetherian ring then the following are equivalent:

1. ${}_R R$ satisfies the Auslander condition.
2. $fd_R E^0(M) \leq fd_R M$ for any ${}_R M$, where $E^0(M)$ is the injective envelope of M .

If moreover R is left and right noetherian then the statements above are also equivalent to:

3. the opposite version of (i) ($1 \leq i \leq 2$).

We recall that a ring R has *finite finitistic flat dimension* if the maximum of flat dimensions among the modules with finite flat dimension is finite. In

the following we prove (Proposition 3) that if R is two sided noetherian of finite finitistic flat dimension, such that R satisfies the Auslander condition and every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic then every injective R -module has finite flat dimension.

We recall that a module M is *strongly cotorsion* if $\text{Ext}^1(F, M) = 0$ for any module F of finite flat dimension. By Yan [20, Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 2.14], if R has finite finitistic flat dimension then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{SC})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair (where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the class of modules of finite flat dimension and $\mathcal{SC}$ is the class of strongly cotorsion modules). We use this result to prove (Theorem 5) that if every exact complex of injective left R -modules is totally acyclic and every exact complex of injective right R -modules is totally acyclic then R is an Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring.

We start with the following result:

Lemma 1. *If R is two sided noetherian such that every exact complex of injective modules is totally acyclic, then any Gorenstein injective module is strongly cotorsion.*

Proof. By Proposition 1 the character module of any Gorenstein injective R -module is Gorenstein flat right R -module. By Iacob [15, Lemma 2], we have that $K \in {}^\perp\mathcal{GI}$ if and only if K^+ is Gorenstein cotorsion right R -module. Since for any flat module K , we have that K^+ is an injective right R -module, it follows that any flat module is in ${}^\perp\mathcal{GI}$.

Let C be a module of finite flat dimension. Then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ with each F_j flat. Let G be a Gorenstein injective R -module. Then by the above $\text{Ext}^l(C, G) = 0$ for all $l \geq n + 1$.

Also, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow E_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ with each E_j injective and with all $\text{Ker}(E_j \rightarrow E_{j-1})$ Gorenstein injective. Then $\text{Ext}^1(C, G) \simeq \text{Ext}^{n+1}(C, G_n) = 0$. So G is strongly cotorsion. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring that satisfies the Auslander condition and such that every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic. Then every strongly cotorsion module has Gorenstein injective dimension ≤ 1 .*

Proof. Let M be a strongly cotorsion module. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow A \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with A injective. Since both A and M are strongly cotorsion it follows that L is also strongly cotorsion. Since the injective envelope of ${}_R R$ is flat it follows (from Enochs and Huang [4, Theorem 4.4(5)]) that the injective cover $I_0 \rightarrow L$ is surjective. By Wakamatsu's lemma ([7, Corollary 7.2.3]) $J_0 = \text{Ker}(I_0 \rightarrow L) \in \text{Inj}^\perp$. Hence we have the short exact

sequence $0 \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with I_0 injective and $J_0 \in \text{Inj}^\perp$. Since A is injective and $I_0 \rightarrow L$ is an injective precover, there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & L \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow u & & \downarrow u & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J_0 & \longrightarrow & I_0 & \xrightarrow{g} & L \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

So we have an exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow J_0 \oplus A \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow 0$ with both M and I_0 strongly cotorsion modules. It follows that J_0 is strongly cotorsion. Then, by the same reasoning as above, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J_1 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow 0$ with I_1 an injective module and with J_1 in $\text{Inj}^\perp$. In fact, since $J_0 \in \text{Inj}^\perp$, we have that $\text{Ext}^1(E, J_0) = \text{Ext}^2(E, J_1) = 0$ for any injective R -module E .

We show that J_1 is a strongly cotorsion module.

Let F be a module of finite flat dimension. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$ with E the injective envelope of F . Since R satisfies the Auslander condition, E has finite flat dimension. It follows that D is also a module of finite flat dimension, so $\text{Ext}^1(D, J_0) = 0$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$ gives a long exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}^1(E, J_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(F, J_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(D, J_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(E, J_1) = 0.$$

So $\text{Ext}^1(F, J_1) \simeq \text{Ext}^2(D, J_1)$.

Also, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J_1 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow 0$ gives the exact sequence: $0 = \text{Ext}^1(D, J_0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(D, J_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(D, I_1) = 0$. Thus $\text{Ext}^2(D, J_1) = 0$, and by the above, $\text{Ext}^1(F, J_1) = 0$ for any R -module F of finite flat dimension. So J_1 is a strongly cotorsion module, and therefore its injective cover is a surjective map. Continuing this process, we obtain an exact left injective resolution of $L = \dots \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$. Pasting it together with a right injective resolution of L , we obtain an exact complex of injective modules: $\dots \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots$. By hypothesis, this is a totally acyclic complex. So L is Gorenstein injective. Then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow A \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with both A and L Gorenstein injective modules gives that $\text{G.i.d.} M \leq 1$.

□

Lemma 3. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring that satisfies the Auslander condition. If V is a strongly cotorsion module of finite flat dimension then V is injective.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(V) \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ with $\mathcal{E}(V)$ the injective envelope of V . Since R satisfies the Auslander condition, $f.d.(\mathcal{E}(V)) < \infty$. It follows that W also has finite flat dimension. Since V is strongly cotorsion, $\text{Ext}^1(W, V) = 0$. So the sequence is split exact, and therefore $\mathcal{E}(V) \simeq V \oplus W$. Thus V is an injective module. $\square$

Proposition 2. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring of finitistic flat dimension and that satisfies the Auslander condition. If moreover every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic then every strongly cotorsion R -module is Gorenstein injective.*

Proof. Let M be a strongly cotorsion R -module. Then its flat cover is injective (by [4, Theorem 4.4(5)]), and therefore there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow I \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with I injective and with $J \in \text{Inj}^\perp$. Since $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{SC})$ is a complete cotorsion pair (where $\mathcal{F}$ is the class of modules of finite flat dimension and $\mathcal{SC}$ that of the strongly cotorsion modules), there is also an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ with U strongly cotorsion and with V of finite flat dimension.

Since I is an injective module we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and therefore an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow I \oplus V \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$.

Both M and U are strongly cotorsion, so V is also strongly cotorsion. But V has finite flat dimension. So by Lemma 3, V is injective.

And by Lemma 2, $G.i.d.U \leq 1$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow I \oplus V \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $I \oplus V$ injective and with $G.i.d.U \leq 1$ gives that M is Gorenstein injective. $\square$

Corollary 3. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring of finitistic flat dimension and satisfies the Auslander condition. If moreover every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic then the class of strongly cotorsion R -modules coincides with that of the Gorenstein injective modules.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 1 and Proposition 2. $\square$

We can prove now:

Proposition 3. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring of finitistic flat dimension such that R satisfies the Auslander condition and every exact complex of injective R -modules is totally acyclic. Then every injective R -module has finite flat dimension.*

Proof. By Corollary 5 above we have that $\mathcal{GI} = \mathcal{SC}$ in this case. It follows that ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{GI} = \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{F}$ the class of modules of finite flat dimension. Since the class of injective modules is contained in ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{GI}$, we have that $\text{Inj} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. $\square$

We can give now the following characterization of Gorenstein rings:

Theorem 6. *Let R be a two sided noetherian ring of finitistic flat dimension that satisfies the Auslander condition. The following are equivalent:*

1. *R is a Gorenstein ring.*
2. *Every exact complex of injective left R -modules is totally acyclic and every exact complex of injective right R -modules is totally acyclic.*

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$. is known ([7, Theorem 10.1.13]).

$2 \Rightarrow 1$. Let F be a flat left R -module. Then F^+ is an injective right R -module. By Proposition 3, $\text{flat.dim.} F^+ < \infty$. Therefore $\text{inj.dim.} F^{++} < \infty$. Since R is left noetherian and $F \subseteq F^{++}$ is a pure submodule it follows that $\text{inj.dim} F \leq \text{inj.dim.} F^{++} < \infty$ ([7, Lemma 9.1.5]). In particular, for $F = R$ we obtain that $\text{inj.dim.}_R R < \infty$.

Since R is left and right noetherian and $\text{inj.dim.}_R R < \infty$ it follows ([7, Proposition 9.1.6]) that $\text{inj.dim} R_R < \infty$. Thus R is an Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bravo, J. Gillespie and M. Hovey. The stable module category of a general ring. preprint, arXiv:1210.0196.
- [2] L.W. Christensen, A. Frankild and H. Holm. On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions – A functorial description with applications. *Journal of Algebra*, 302:231–279, 2006.
- [3] E.E. Enochs, S. Estrada and A. Iacob. Cotorsion pairs, model structures and homotopy categories. *Houston J. Math.* 40(1):43–61, 2014.
- [4] E.E. Enochs and Z. Huang. Injective Envelopes and (Gorenstein) Flat Covers. *Alg. Rep. Theory*, 15:1131–1145, 2012.
- [5] E.E. Enochs and A. Iacob. Gorenstein injective covers and envelopes over noetherian rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 143:5–12, 2015.

- [6] E.E. Enochs and O.M.G. Jenda. Gorenstein injective and projective modules. *Math. Zeit.*, 220:611–633, 1995.
- [7] E.E. Enochs and O.M.G. Jenda. *Relative Homological Algebra*. Walter de Gruyter, 2000. De Gruyter Exposition in Math; 30.
- [8] E.E. Enochs, O.M.G. Jenda and J.A. López-Ramos. The existence of Gorenstein flat covers. *Math. Scand.*, 94:46–62, 2004.
- [9] E.E. Enochs, O.M.G. Jenda and B. Torrecillas. Gorenstein flat modules. *J. Nanjing Univ.*, 10:1–9, 1994.
- [10] S. Estrada, A. Iacob and S. Odabaşı. Gorenstein flat and projective (pre)covers. submitted. arXiv:1508.04173.
- [11] R. M. Fossum, P.A. Griffith and I. Reiten. Trivial Extensions of Abelian Categories. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 456, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [12] J. Gillespie. The flat model structure on $\text{Ch}(\mathbf{R})$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(8):3369–3390, 2004..
- [13] H. Holm. Gorenstein homological dimensions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 189(1): 167–193, 2004.
- [14] Z. Huang. On Auslander type conditions of modules. preprint.
- [15] A. Iacob. Gorenstein injective envelopes and covers over two sided noetherian rings. submitted.
- [16] A. Iacob. Gorenstein flat preenvelopes. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 52: 895–903, 2015.
- [17] S. Iyengar and H. Krause. Acyclicity versus total acyclicity for complexes over noetherian rings. *Doc. Math.*, 11:207–240, 2006.
- [18] H. Krause. The stable derived category of a Noetherian scheme. *Compos. Math.*, 141(5): 1128–1162, 2005.
- [19] D. Murfet and S. Salarian. Totally acyclic complexes over noetherian schemes. *Adv. Math.*, 226:1096–1133, 2011.
- [20] H. Yan. Strongly cotorsion (torsion-free) modules and cotorsion pairs. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 47:1041–1052, 2010.
- [21] G. Yang and Z. Liu. Cotorsion pairs and model structures on $\text{Ch}(\mathbf{R})$. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, (2) 52:783–797, 2011.