

On the large time behaviour of the solution of an SDE driven by a Poisson Point Process

Elma Nassar and Etienne Pardoux

August 4, 2021

Abstract

We study a stochastic differential equation driven by a Poisson point process, which models continuous changes in a population's environment, as well as the stochastic fixation of beneficial mutations that might compensate for this change. The fixation probability of a given mutation increases as the phenotypic lag X_t between the population and the optimum grows larger, and successful mutations are assumed to fix instantaneously (leading to an adaptive jump). Our main result is that the process is transient (i.e., continued adaptation is impossible) if the rate of environmental change v exceeds a parameter m , which can be interpreted as the rate of adaptation in case every beneficial mutation gets fixed with probability 1. If $v < m$, the process is positive recurrent, while in the limiting case $m = v$, null recurrence or transience depends upon additional technical conditions. We show how our results can be extended to the case of a time varying rate of environmental change.

1 Introduction

We study the large time behaviour of the solution of a scalar stochastic differential equation of the type

$$X_t = X_0 - v(t) + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R} \times [0,1]} \alpha \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) M(ds, d\alpha, d\xi), \quad (1)$$

where M is a Poisson point process on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ with mean measure $ds \nu(d\alpha) d\xi$ and $\varphi(x, \alpha, \xi) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi \leq g(x, \alpha)\}}$. The goal of our work is to understand how a popu-

lation can adapt to a deterioration of its fitness, due for instance to continuous change in the climatic conditions, thanks to mutations which improve its adaptation to the new environment. $ds \nu(d\alpha)$ represents the rate of appearance of new mutations, while $g(x, \alpha)$ is the probability that a mutation α , which is proposed while the population's phenotypic lag is given by x , gets fixed. We assume that $g(x, \alpha) \rightarrow 1$ when $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ provided that $x\alpha < 0$.

We start with the simple case $v(t) = vt$, with $v > 0$. With the notation $m = \int_0^\infty \alpha \nu(d\alpha)$, in other words m is the mean movement to the right per time unit produced by the positive mutations if all of them get fixed, our first result says that the Markov process X_t is positive recurrent if $m > v$, transient if $m < v$, with a speed of escape to infinity equal to $v - m$. The most interesting case is the limit situation $m = v$. We show that, depending upon the speed at which $m(x) = \int_0^\infty \alpha g(x, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha)$ converges to m as $x \rightarrow -\infty$, the process can be either null recurrent or else transient with zero speed.

We then generalize our results to the case where $v(t)$ is a more general (and even possibly random) function of time.

Note that Kersting (1986) has studied similar questions in discrete time. Similar results for a SDE driven by Brownian motion with coefficients that do not depend upon the time variable would be easy to obtain. Here we use stochastic calculus and several ad hoc Lyapounov functions. Note that the Itô formula for processes with jumps leads to less explicit computations than in the Brownian case. To circumvent this difficulty, for the treatment of the delicate case $m = v$, we establish a stochastic inequality for C^2 functions whose second derivative is either increasing or decreasing, exploiting the fact that all jumps have the same sign, see Lemma 4 in subsection 4.3 below.

The paper is organized as follows. We define our model in detail in section 2, referring to models already studied in the biological literature. We establish existence and uniqueness of a solution to our equation in section 3 (the result is not immediate since we do not assume that the measure ν is finite). Section 4 is devoted to the large time behaviour of X_t when $v(t) = vt$, successively with $m < v$, $m > v$, and $m = v$. Finally section 5 is devoted to the large time behaviour of X_t when $v(t)$ takes a more general form, but $\bar{v} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \int_0^t v(s) ds$ exists.

2 The Model

Our starting point is the model by Kopp and Hermisson (2009) of a population of constant size N that is subject to Gaussian stabilizing selection, with a mov-

ing optimum that increases linearly at rate v . The population is assumed to be monomorphic at all times (i.e., its state is completely characterized by x). Mutations arise according to a Poisson point process with intensity $ds\nu(d\alpha)$. Note that, in the model considered in Kopp and Hermisson (2009),

$$\nu(d\alpha) = \frac{\Theta}{2}p(\alpha)d\alpha, \quad (2)$$

which translates as follows: mutations appear at rate $\Theta/2 = N\mu$ (where μ is the *per-capita* mutation rate and $\Theta = 2N\mu$ is a standard population-genetic parameter), and their phenotypic effects α are drawn from a distribution with density $p(\alpha)$. Whereas in our model, we do not impose that ν has a density, nor that it is a finite measure. We neglect the possibility of fixation of deleterious mutations. Yet even beneficial mutations have a significant probability of being lost due to the effects of genetic drift. A mutation with effect α that arises in a population with phenotypic lag x has a probability of fixation $g(x, \alpha)$ that satisfies

1. $0 \leq g(x, \alpha) \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha x < 0\}} \times \mathbf{1}_{\{|\alpha| \leq 2|x|\}}$,
2. For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $g(x, \alpha) \uparrow \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha x < 0\}}$, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$,
3. For any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$, there exists $c_K > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_K |\alpha| \times |g(x, \alpha) - g(y, \alpha)| \leq c_K |x - y|. \quad (3)$$

One popular model among theoretical biologists for the fixation probability is

$$g(x, \alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 - \exp(-2s(x, \alpha)) & \text{if } s(x, \alpha) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where

$$s(x, \alpha) = -\sigma[|\alpha|(2|x| - |\alpha|)]^+ \times \mathbf{1}_{\{x\alpha < 0\}} \approx \frac{\mathcal{W}(x + \alpha)}{\mathcal{W}(x)} - 1 \quad (5)$$

is the selection coefficient; $\mathcal{W}(x) = e^{-\sigma x^2}$ being the fitness of the population when the phenotypic lag is x and σ determining the strength of selection. The expression of g defined in (4) and (5) satisfies the three conditions listed above (see Lemma 1 for the proof of (3)), and is a good approximation of the fixation probability derived under a diffusion approximation (Malécot 1952; Kimura 1962), which is valid when the population size N is large enough. Note that Kopp and Hermisson (2009) used the even simpler approximation $g(x, \alpha) \approx 2s(x, \alpha)$ (Haldane 1927; for more exact approximations for the fixation probability in changing environments, see Uecker and Hermisson 2011; Peischl and Kirkpatrick 2012). Once a mutation

gets fixed, it is assumed to do so instantaneously, and the phenotypic lag x of the population is updated accordingly.

In the particular case $v(t) = vt$, the evolution of the phenotypic lag of the population can be described by the following particular case of equation (1):

$$X_t = X_0 - vt + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R} \times [0,1]} \alpha \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) M(ds, d\alpha, d\xi). \quad (6)$$

Here, M is a Poisson point process over $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ with intensity $ds \nu(d\alpha) d\xi$. $\nu(d\alpha)$ is a σ -finite measure on \mathbb{R} describing the distribution of new mutations up to a multiplicative constant, which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\alpha| \wedge 1 \nu(d\alpha) < \infty, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\varphi(x, \alpha, \xi) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi \leq g(x, \alpha)\}},$$

where the fixation probability $g(x, \alpha)$ has been defined above. The points of this Poisson point process (T_i, A_i, Ξ_i) are such that the (T_i, A_i) form a Poisson point process over $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ of the proposed mutations with intensity $ds\nu(d\alpha)$, and the Ξ_i are i.i.d. $\mathcal{U}[0, 1]$, globally independent of the Poisson point process of the (T_i, A_i) . T_i 's are the times when mutations are proposed and A_i 's are the effect sizes of those mutations. The Ξ_i are auxiliary variables determining fixation: a mutation gets instantaneously fixed if $\Xi_i \leq g(X_{T_i}, A_i)$, and is lost otherwise.

3 Existence and uniqueness

Define for all x

$$m(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha g(x, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha),$$

$$m = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha \nu(d\alpha), \quad (8)$$

$$\psi(x) = m(x) - v, \quad (9)$$

$$V(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha^2 g(x, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha),$$

$$V = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha^2 \nu(d\alpha). \quad (10)$$

$m(x)$ is the mean speed towards zero induced by the fixation of random mutations while $X_t = x < 0$. $V(x)$ is related to the second moment of the distribution

of these mutations. m and V are the limits of $m(x)$ and $V(x)$, respectively, in the case that all mutations with $\alpha > 0$ go to fixation (as we will show later, this is the case if $x \rightarrow -\infty$). Note that our assumptions do not exclude cases where $m = \infty$ and/or $V = \infty$, unless stated otherwise. However, since $g(x, \cdot)$ has compact support, for each x , $m(x) < \infty$ and $V(x) < \infty$. The cases $m = \infty$ and $V = \infty$ correspond to a heavy tailed ν . It would be quite acceptable on biological grounds to assume that $m < \infty$ and/or $V < \infty$. However, we refrain from adding unnecessary assumptions. We rewrite the SDE (6) as follows

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \psi(X_s) ds + \mathcal{M}_t \quad (11)$$

where the martingale

$$\mathcal{M}_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^1 \alpha \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) \bar{M}(ds, d\alpha, d\xi), \quad (12)$$

with $\bar{M}(ds, d\alpha, \xi)$ being the compensated Poisson measure $M(ds, d\alpha, d\xi) - ds\nu(d\alpha)d\xi$.

Proposition 1. *Equation (11) has a unique solution.*

Proof. If ν is a finite measure, then M has a.s. finitely many points in $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}$ for any $t > 0$. In that case, the unique solution is constructed explicitly by adding the successive jumps. In the general case, we choose an arbitrary compact set $K = [-k, k]$ (with $k > 0$). There are finitely many jumps (t_i, α_i) of M with $\alpha_i \notin K$. It suffices to prove existence and uniqueness between two such consecutive jumps. In other words, it suffices to prove existence and uniqueness under the assumption $\nu(K^c) = 0$, and hence from (7), we deduce that $\int (|\alpha| + \alpha^2) \nu(d\alpha) < \infty$, which we assume from now on. Define for each $t > 0$

$$\Gamma_t(U) = x - vt + \int_{[0,t] \times K \times [0,1]} \alpha \varphi(U_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) M(ds, d\alpha, d\xi). \quad (13)$$

A solution of equation (11) is a fixed point of the mapping Γ . Hence it suffices to prove that Γ admits a unique fixed point. For $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} e^{-\lambda t} |\Gamma_t(U) - \Gamma_t(V)| &= -\lambda \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} |\Gamma_s(U) - \Gamma_s(V)| ds + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} d|\Gamma_s(U) - \Gamma_s(V)| \\ &\leq -\lambda \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} |\Gamma_s(U) - \Gamma_s(V)| ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_{[0,t] \times K \times [0,1]} |\alpha| e^{-\lambda s} |\varphi(U_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) - \varphi(V_{s-}, \alpha, \xi)| M(ds, d\alpha, d\xi). \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality follows readily from the fact that, for all $0 < s < t$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Gamma_t(U) - \Gamma_t(V)| - |\Gamma_s(U) - \Gamma_s(V)| \\ & \leq \int_{(s,t) \times K \times [0,1]} |\alpha| \times |\varphi(U_{r-}, \alpha, \xi) - \varphi(V_{r-}, \alpha, \xi)| M(dr, d\alpha, d\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} |\Gamma_s(U) - \Gamma_s(V)| ds & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_K |\alpha| e^{-\lambda t} |g(U_s, \alpha) - g(V_s, \alpha)| \nu(d\alpha) ds \\ & \leq c_K \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} |U_s - V_s| ds. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The last inequality is due to the assumption (3). Let T be arbitrary. Define for all $\lambda > 0$ the norm on the Banach space $L^1(\Omega \times [0, T])$,

$$\|Z\|_{T,\lambda} = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{-\lambda t} |Z_t| dt.$$

We choose $\lambda_0 > c_K$. We deduce from (14) that

$$\mathbb{E} \|\Gamma(U) - \Gamma(V)\|_{T,\lambda_0} \leq \frac{c_K}{\lambda_0} \mathbb{E} \|U - V\|_{T,\lambda_0}.$$

Since $c_K/\lambda_0 < 1$, Γ has a unique fixed point such that $\Gamma_t(U) = U_t$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$. Since T is arbitrary, the result is proved. \square

We now prove that g given by (4) and (5) satisfies the assumption (3).

Lemma 1. *For any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ and for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\int_K |\alpha(g(u, \alpha) - g(v, \alpha))| \nu(d\alpha) \leq c_K |u - v|,$$

where $c_K = 4\sigma(\int_K \alpha^2 \nu(d\alpha))$.

Proof. For $0 < u < v$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K |\alpha(g(u, \alpha) - g(v, \alpha))| \nu(d\alpha) & = \int_{\mathbb{R}_- \cap K} |\alpha| \left(e^{-2\sigma|\alpha|(2|v| - |\alpha|)^+} - e^{-2\sigma|\alpha|(2|u| - |\alpha|)^+} \right) \nu(d\alpha) \\ & = \int_{[-2u, 0] \cap K} |\alpha| \left(e^{-2\sigma|\alpha|(2|v| - |\alpha|)} - e^{-2\sigma|\alpha|(2|u| - |\alpha|)} \right) \nu(d\alpha) \\ & \quad + \int_{[-2v, -2u] \cap K} |\alpha| \left| e^{-2\sigma|\alpha|(2|v| - |\alpha|)} - 1 \right| \nu(d\alpha) \\ & \leq 4\sigma \left(\int_{[-2u, 0] \cap K} \alpha^2 \nu(d\alpha) \right) \times |u - v| \\ & \quad + 2\sigma \int_{[-2v, -2u] \cap K} \alpha^2 (2v + \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) \\ & \leq 4\sigma \left(\int_K \alpha^2 \nu(d\alpha) \right) \times |u - v|. \end{aligned}$$

A similar estimate can easily be obtained for $v < u < 0$. For $u < 0 < v$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\alpha(g(u, \alpha) - g(v, \alpha))| \nu(d\alpha) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_- \cap K} |\alpha g(v, \alpha)| \nu(d\alpha) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \cap K} |\alpha g(u, \alpha)| \nu(d\alpha) \\
&\leq 2\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}_- \cap K} \alpha^2 (2v + \alpha)^+ \nu(d\alpha) + 2\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \cap K} \alpha^2 (-2u - \alpha)^+ \nu(d\alpha) \\
&\leq 4\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}_- \cap K} \alpha^2 |v| \nu(d\alpha) + 4\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \cap K} \alpha^2 |u| \nu(d\alpha) \\
&\leq 4\sigma \left(\int_K \alpha^2 \nu(d\alpha) \right) \times |u - v|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Classification of the large-time behaviour

Proposition 2. *If $X_0 > 0$, then X_t becomes negative after a finite time a.s.*

Proof. Let $T_0 = \inf(t > 0, X_t < 0)$. Since $g(x, \alpha) = 0$ for $x\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{t \wedge T_0^-} &= X_0 - v(t \wedge T_0) + \int_0^{t \wedge T_0^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^1 \alpha \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) M(ds, d\alpha, d\xi) \\
&\leq X_0 - v(t \wedge T_0),
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$t \wedge T_0 \leq \frac{X_0 - X_{t \wedge T_0^-}}{v} < \frac{X_0}{v}.$$

Let t tend to ∞ .

$$T_0 \leq \frac{X_0}{v} < \infty$$

□

Whether the process X_t is positive recurrent, nul recurrent or transient depends only upon its behavior while $X_t < 0$. Hence, we only need to consider in detail the case when X_t is negative, in which case only positive mutations ($\alpha > 0$) have a positive probability of fixation.

Proposition 3. *The functions $x \mapsto m(x)$ and $x \mapsto V(x)$ are continuous and decreasing on \mathbb{R}_- and*

$$\begin{aligned}
m(x) &\xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} m, \\
V(x) &\xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} V.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Proof. We prove this result for the function $x \mapsto m(x)$. A similar argument applies to $V(x)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} h &: \mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \\ (x, \alpha) &\mapsto h(x, \alpha) = \alpha g(x, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

We have that $h(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\nu)$, and $x \mapsto h(x, \alpha)$ is decreasing. For each fixed $\alpha > 0$, $0 \leq h(x, \alpha) \uparrow \alpha$, as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. By the monotone convergence theorem, it follows that

$$m(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} m.$$

Continuity is proved similarly. \square

To determine the large-time behavior of the process, we now consider successively, the three cases $v > m$, $v < m$ and $v = m$.

4.1 The case $v > m$

In particular, here $m = \int_0^\infty \alpha \nu(d\alpha)$ is finite. Let

$$\mathcal{N}_t = \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R} \times [0,1]} \alpha \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) M(ds, d\alpha, d\xi)$$

be the sum of all the jumps on the time interval $[0, t]$. We have that

$$\mathcal{N}_t = \mathcal{N}_t^{(+)} + \mathcal{N}_t^{(-)} \leq \mathcal{N}_t^{(-)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_t^{(+)} &= \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{s-} > 0\}} d\mathcal{N}_s \\ \mathcal{N}_t^{(-)} &= \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{s-} < 0\}} d\mathcal{N}_s \end{aligned}$$

Let $m^{(-)}(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\{x < 0\}} m(x)$, hence

$$\mathcal{M}_t^{(-)} = \mathcal{N}_t^{(-)} - \int_0^t m^{(-)}(X_s) ds.$$

Thus,

$$X_t \leq X_0 + \int_0^t (m^-(X_s) - v) ds + \mathcal{M}_t^{(-)}$$

Lemma 2. *If $m < \infty$, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{M}_t^{(-)}}{t} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Proof. $\mathcal{M}_t^{(-)}$ is a square-integrable martingale, such that $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{M}_t^{(-)} = 0$. Define M^0 as the Poisson random measure for new mutations i.e. a Poisson point process on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ with intensity $ds\nu(d\alpha)$. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_i &= \int_{i-1}^i \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \alpha \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) M(ds, d\alpha, d\xi), \\ \omega_i &= \int_{i-1}^i m^-(X_s) ds, \\ \eta_i &= \int_{i-1}^i \int_0^\infty \alpha M^0(ds, d\alpha), \\ Y_i &= \xi_i - \omega_i, \\ \mathcal{M}_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $0 \leq \xi_i \leq \eta_i$ and $0 \leq \omega_i \leq m$. We first establish

Lemma 3.

$$\text{If } \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n} \xrightarrow[n]{n} 0, \quad \text{then } \frac{\mathcal{M}_t^-}{t} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

Proof.

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_t^-}{t} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{[t]}^-}{[t]} \times \frac{[t]}{t} + \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^-}{t},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^-}{t} &= \frac{1}{t} \left(\int_{[t]}^t \int \int \alpha \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) M(ds, d\alpha, d\xi) - \int_{[t]}^t m^-(X_s) ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t} \left(\int_{[t]}^{[t]} \int \int \alpha \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) M(ds, d\alpha, d\xi) + \int_{[t]}^{[t]} m^-(X_s) ds \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t} (\xi_{[t]} + \omega_{[t]}) = \frac{[t]}{t} \times \frac{1}{[t]} (Y_{[t]} + 2\omega_{[t]}) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} 0, \end{aligned}$$

since for all $n > 0$,

$$\frac{Y_{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_i}{n+1} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n} \times \frac{n}{n+1} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$$

and

$$0 \leq \frac{|\omega_n|}{n} \leq \frac{m}{n},$$

hence

$$\frac{\omega_n}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

□

Back to the proof of Lemma 1. We now define

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \{\eta_i > i\}, \\ \tilde{Y}_i &= Y_i \mathbf{1}_{\{\eta_i \leq i\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the $(\eta_i, i \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ are i.i.d, integrable and

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta_i > i) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(\eta_1 > i) \leq \mathbb{E}\eta_1 < \infty,$$

it follows from Borel Cantelli's Lemma that $\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_i) = 0$. Hence, a.s. there exists $N(\alpha)$ such that for all $n > N(\alpha)$, we have $\tilde{Y}_n = Y_n$. But since $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Y_1)$ due to the dominated convergence theorem, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{Y}_i - \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_i))}{n} \xrightarrow{n} 0.$$

Due to corollary 3.22 in Breiman (1968)¹, it is again sufficient to prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_i^2)}{i^2} < \infty.$$

Indeed, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_i^2)}{i^2} < 2m.$$

The underlying calculation can be found in the proof of theorem 3.30 in Breiman (1968). □

¹In the proof of this theorem, we replace Kolmogorov's inequality by Doob's inequality for martingales, and the result holds in our case.

Remark 1. In the case $m < \infty$ and $X_t \rightarrow -\infty$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{t} \mathcal{M}_t^{(+)} \rightarrow 0,$$

since eventually X_t becomes negative. Furthermore, if we assume that $\int_{-\infty}^0 \alpha \nu(d\alpha) > -\infty$ then the previous Lemma implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_t}{t} \rightarrow 0,$$

whether $X_t \rightarrow -\infty$ or not. But we refrain from adding any supplementary assumption on ν .

Proposition 4. *In the case $v > m$, $X_t \rightarrow -\infty$ with speed $v - m$ in the sense that*

$$\frac{X_t}{t} \xrightarrow{a.s.} m - v \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof.

$$\frac{X_t}{t} = \frac{X_0}{t} - v + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t m(X_s) ds + \frac{\mathcal{M}_t}{t} \leq \frac{X_0}{t} - v + m + \frac{\mathcal{M}_t}{t}.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_t}{t} \leq -v + m. \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, it follows from (15) that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists K_\epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } x \leq -K_\epsilon \Rightarrow m(x) > m - \epsilon, \quad (18)$$

and since $X_t \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} -\infty$ by (17), we have

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists t_\epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } \forall s \geq t_\epsilon \Rightarrow X_s \leq -K_\epsilon. \quad (19)$$

Statements (18) and (19) combined give

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists t_\epsilon \text{ such that } \forall s \geq t_\epsilon \Rightarrow m(X_s) > m - \epsilon.$$

Then, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and $t > t_\epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{X_t}{t} &\geq \frac{X_{t_\epsilon}}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_\epsilon}^t (m(X_s) - v) ds + \frac{\mathcal{M}_t - \mathcal{M}_{t_\epsilon}}{t} \\ &\geq \frac{X_{t_\epsilon}}{t} + (m - \epsilon - v) \times \frac{t - t_\epsilon}{t} + \frac{\mathcal{M}_t - \mathcal{M}_{t_\epsilon}}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_t}{t} \geq -v + m$$

We conclude that $X_t \rightarrow -\infty$ with speed $v - m$. □

4.2 The case $v < m$

The assumption is satisfied, in particular, when $m = \infty$.

Proposition 5. *In the case $v < m$, X_t is positive recurrent.*

Proof. Since $x \mapsto m(x)$ is continuous, decreasing from \mathbb{R}_- to \mathbb{R}_+ and $m(0) = 0 < v < m$, $\exists N > 0$ such that $m(-N) = v$. We choose an arbitrary $K > N$, so that for all $x < -K$

$$\psi(x) > m(-K) - v > 0.$$

Assume that $X_0 < -K$, and define the stopping time

$$T_K = \inf\{t > 0, X_t \geq -K\}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{t \wedge T_K} &= X_0 + \int_0^{t \wedge T_K} \psi(X_s) ds + \int_{[0, t \wedge T_K] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 1]} \alpha \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) \bar{M}(ds, d\alpha, d\xi) \\ &> X_0 + (m(-K) - v)(t \wedge T_K) + \mathcal{M}_{t \wedge T_K}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$0 > \mathbb{E}(X_{t \wedge T_K}) > X_0 + (m(-K) - v)\mathbb{E}(t \wedge T_K).$$

Now let t tend to ∞ . It follows by monotone convergence that

$$\mathbb{E}(T_K) < \frac{-X_0}{m(-K) - v} < \infty. \quad (20)$$

Given any fixed $T > 0$, let p denote the lower bound of the probability that, starting from any given point $x \in [-K, 0)$ at time t_0 , X hits $[0, +\infty)$ before time T . Clearly $p > 0$. We now define a geometric random variable β with success probability p . Let us restart our process X at time $t_0 = T_K$ from $x_0 \in [-K, 0)$. If X hits zero before time T , then $\beta = 1$. If not, we look at the position X_T of X at time T . Two cases are possible:

- If $X_T < -K$, we wait until X goes back above $-K$. Since $X_T \geq -(K + vT)$, the time α_2 needed to do so satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_2) \leq \frac{K + vT}{m(-K) - v}.$$

This calculation is similar to (20).

- If $X_T \geq -K$, we start afresh from there, since the probability to reach zero in less than T is greater than or equal to p .

So either at time T or at time $T + \alpha_2$, we start again from a level which is above $-K$. If $[0, +\infty)$ is reached during the next time interval of length T , then $\beta = 2$. If not, we repeat the procedure. A.s. one of the mutually independent trials is successful. We have that

$$T_0 < T_K + \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} (T + \alpha_i),$$

where the random variables $(\alpha_i)_i$ are i.i.d, globally independent of β . Hence

$$\mathbb{E}T_0 < \mathbb{E}T_K + \frac{1}{p} \left(T + \frac{K + vT}{m(-K) - v} \right),$$

and the process is positive recurrent. \square

4.3 The case $v = m$

We first state a lemma that we will apply several times in this section.

Lemma 4. *Let X_t be a FV càdlàg process.*

1. *If $\Phi \in C^1$, then*

$$\Phi(X_t) = \Phi(X_0) + \int_0^t \Phi'(X_{s-}) dX_s + \sum_{s \leq t, \Delta X_s \neq 0} \Phi(X_{s-} + \Delta X_s) - \Phi(X_{s-}) - \Phi'(X_{s-}) \Delta X_s,$$

where $\Delta X_s = X_s - X_{s-}$, $\forall s$.

2. *Moreover, if $\Phi \in C^2$ such that Φ'' is an increasing function and $\Delta X_s \geq 0$ for all s , then*

$$\Phi(X_t) - \Phi(X_0) - \int_0^t \Phi'(X_{s-}) dX_s \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \leq t, \Delta X_s \neq 0} \Phi''(X_s) (\Delta X_s)^2.$$

If $\Phi \in C^2$ such that Φ'' is a decreasing function and $\Delta X_s \geq 0$ for all s , then

$$\Phi(X_t) - \Phi(X_0) - \int_0^t \Phi'(X_{s-}) dX_s \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \leq t, \Delta X_s \neq 0} \Phi''(X_{s-}) (\Delta X_s)^2.$$

In particular, choosing $\Phi(x) = x^2$, we deduce that

$$X_t^2 = X_0^2 + 2 \int_0^t X_{s-} dX_s + \sum_{s \leq t} (\Delta X_s)^2. \quad (21)$$

Proof. The first part of this lemma is a well known result (see Protter 2005). We will only prove part 2 of the lemma. If $\Phi \in C^2$ then it follows from Taylor's formula that there exists a random function β taking its values in $[0, 1]$ such that for all s

$$\Phi(X_s) - \Phi(X_{s-}) - \Phi'(X_{s-})\Delta X_s = \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(X_{s-} + \beta_s\Delta X_s) (\Delta X_s)^2.$$

If Φ'' is an increasing function and $y \geq 0$ then

$$\Phi''(x) \leq \Phi''(x + \beta_s y) \leq \Phi''(x + y).$$

If Φ'' is a decreasing function and $y \geq 0$ then

$$\Phi''(x + y) \leq \Phi''(x + \beta_s y) \leq \Phi''(x).$$

□

Note that $V \leq \infty$ and at this stage we do not assume that V is finite. In the case $m = v$, the asymptotic behavior of the process X_t depends on the asymptotic behavior of the mean net rate of adaptation $\psi(x)$ defined in (9) as $x \rightarrow -\infty$.

Proposition 6. *We assume that $m = v$. If moreover*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x\psi(x)| < \frac{V}{2}, \tag{22}$$

then the process X_t is null recurrent.

We first establish

Lemma 5. *Under the condition $m < \infty$, we have that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{V(x)}{|x|} = 0.$$

Proof. Consider, for $x < x_0 < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V(x)}{|x|} &\leq \frac{1}{|x|} \int_0^{2|x|} \alpha^2 \nu(d\alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{|x|} \int_0^{2|x_0|} \alpha^2 \nu(d\alpha) + \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{2|x_0|}^{2|x|} \alpha^2 \nu(d\alpha) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|x|} \int_0^{2|x_0|} \alpha^2 \nu(d\alpha) + 2 \int_{2|x_0|}^{\infty} \alpha \nu(d\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{V(x)}{|x|} \leq 2 \int_{2|x_0|}^{\infty} \alpha \nu(d\alpha),$$

and our condition implies that the last right hand side tends to 0, as $x_0 \rightarrow -\infty$. The results follows. \square

We can now return to the

Proof of Proposition 6. First note that, since $m = v$ implies $\psi(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \leq 0$, condition (22) is equivalent to

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x| \psi(x) > -\frac{V}{2}.$$

To prove recurrence under condition (22), we recall that

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \psi(X_s) ds + \mathcal{M}_t. \quad (23)$$

We will apply Lemma 3 with $f(x) = \log |x|$, with $x < 0$. Here f'' is decreasing. Hence as long as X_t remains negative,

$$\begin{aligned} \log |X_t| &\leq \log |X_0| + \int_0^t \frac{\psi(X_s)}{X_s} ds + \int_0^t \frac{1}{X_{s-}} d\mathcal{M}_s - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \leq t} \frac{(\Delta X_s)^2}{X_{s-}^2} \\ &\leq \log |X_0| + \int_0^t \frac{\psi(X_s)}{X_s} ds + \int_0^t \frac{1}{X_{s-}} d\mathcal{M}_s \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha^2 \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi)}{X_{s-}^2} \bar{M}(ds, d\alpha, d\xi) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{V(X_s)}{X_s^2} ds \\ &= \log |X_0| + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\psi(X_s)}{X_s} - \frac{V(X_s)}{2X_s^2} \right) ds + \hat{\mathcal{M}}_t, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ is a martingale. For all $a < b < 0$, define the stopping time

$$S_{a,b} = \inf(t > 0, X_t \leq a \text{ or } X_t \geq b).$$

It follows from our assumption that there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{x \leq -L} \left(|x| \psi(x) + \frac{V(x)}{2} \right) > 0. \quad (24)$$

For any $N > L$, from Doob's optional sampling theorem, if $-N < X_0 < L$,

$$\mathbb{E} \log |X_{t \wedge S_{-N, -L}}| \leq \log |X_0| + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge S_{-N, -L}} \left(\frac{\psi(X_s)}{X_s} - \frac{V(X_s)}{2X_s^2} \right) ds.$$

Letting t tend to ∞ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \log |X_{S_{-N,-L}}| \leq \log |X_0|.$$

Define the stopping times

$$\begin{aligned} T_{-L}^\uparrow &= \inf(t > 0, X_t \geq -L), \\ T_{-N}^\downarrow &= \inf(t > 0, X_t \leq -N). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the previous estimate that

$$\log N \times \mathbb{P}(T_{-N}^\downarrow < T_{-L}^\uparrow) < \log |X_0|.$$

We deduce that $\mathbb{P}(T_{-N}^\downarrow < T_{-L}^\uparrow) \rightarrow 0$ as N tend to ∞ . We conclude that the process returns a.s. an infinite number of times above $-L$, hence also above 0 by a classical argument (see the proof of Proposition 5). Therefore, the process X is recurrent.

Let now $X_0 < -(L+1)$. For all $N > L$, multiplying (23) by -1 , we have

$$|X_{t \wedge S_{-N,-L}}| = |X_0| - \int_0^{t \wedge S_{-N,-L}} \psi(X_s) ds - \int_0^{t \wedge S_{-N,-L}} d\mathcal{M}_s,$$

By Doob's theorem and letting t tend to ∞ , since again $\psi(x) \leq 0$ for $x \leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_{S_{-N,-L}}| &= |X_0| - \mathbb{E} \int_0^{S_{-N,-L}} \psi(X_s) ds \geq |X_0|, \text{ hence} \\ L\mathbb{P}(T_{-L}^\uparrow < T_{-N}^\downarrow) + N\mathbb{P}(T_{-N}^\downarrow < T_{-L}^\uparrow) &\geq |X_0|. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N\mathbb{P}(T_{-N}^\downarrow < T_{-L}^\uparrow) \geq |X_0| - L > 0. \quad (25)$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$X_t^2 = X_0^2 - \int_0^t 2|X_s|\psi(X_s)ds + \int_0^t 2X_s d\mathcal{M}_s + \sum_{s \leq t} (\Delta X_s)^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \leq t} (\Delta X_s)^2 &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_0^1 \alpha^2 \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) \bar{M}(ds, d\alpha, d\xi) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha^2 g(X_{s-}, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (24) and the monotonicity of $V(x)$

$$X_{t \wedge S_{-N,-L}}^2 \leq X_0^2 + \int_0^{t \wedge S_{-N,-L}} 2V(-N)ds + \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t \wedge S_{-N,-L}},$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{S_{-N,-L}}$ is a martingale. Letting t tend to ∞ , we have for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}X_{S_{-N,-L}}^2 &\leq X_0^2 + 2V(-N)\mathbb{E}S_{-N,-L}, \text{ hence} \\ \mathbb{E}S_{-N,-L} &\geq \frac{L^2\mathbb{P}(T_{-L}^\uparrow < T_{-N}^\downarrow) + N^2\mathbb{P}(T_{-N}^\downarrow < T_{-L}^\uparrow) - X_0^2}{2V(-N)}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows by monotone convergence that

$$\mathbb{E}(T_{-L}^\uparrow) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}S_{-N,-L} \geq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ N\mathbb{P}(T_{-N}^\downarrow < T_{-L}^\uparrow) \times \frac{N}{2V(-N)} - \frac{X_0^2}{2V(-N)} \right\}.$$

Combining this with Lemma 5 and (25), we deduce that $\mathbb{E}T_{-L}^\uparrow = \infty$ and the process is null recurrent. \square

Remark 2. Condition (22) is rather weak. It is satisfied as soon as both the measure ν and V are finite. We give the proof below. It is also satisfied for some measures that don't have a second moment such as

$$\nu(d\alpha) \approx \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^{2+\delta}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha \geq 1\}}, \quad \frac{1}{2} < \delta \leq 1.$$

Proposition 7. *If ν is a finite measure, $V < \infty$ and the fixation probability is given by (4) and (5), then (22) is satisfied.*

Proof. Let for all x ,

$$\begin{aligned}D(x) = |x\psi(x)| - \frac{V(x)}{2} &= |x| \int_{2|x|}^\infty \alpha \nu(d\alpha) - \int_0^{2|x|} \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \nu(d\alpha) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{2|x|} \left(|x|\alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \right) e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \nu(d\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

It follows by dominated convergence that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \alpha^2 e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \mathbf{1}_{[0,2|x|]} \nu(d\alpha) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} 0,$$

since ,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^2 e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \mathbf{1}_{[0,2|x|]} &\xrightarrow[x \rightarrow -\infty]{a.s.} 0 \text{ for all } \alpha > 0, \\ \text{and } \alpha^2 e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \mathbf{1}_{[0,2|x|]} &\leq \alpha^2 \in L^1(\nu).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_0^{2|x|} |x|\alpha e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \nu(d\alpha) = \int_0^{|x|} |x|\alpha e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \nu(d\alpha) + \int_{|x|}^{2|x|} |x|\alpha e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \nu(d\alpha).$$

Note that if $0 \leq \alpha \leq |x|$ then $2|x| - \alpha \geq |x|$, thus

$$e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \leq e^{-2\sigma\alpha|x|}.$$

In addition the function

$$\begin{aligned} f_\sigma : \mathbb{R}_+ &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \\ z &\rightarrow f_\sigma(z) = ze^{-\sigma z} \end{aligned}$$

has a maximum for $z = \frac{1}{\sigma}$. It follows that

$$\int_0^{|x|} |x| \alpha e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \nu(d\alpha) \leq \frac{2}{\sigma e} \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma|x|\alpha} \nu(d\alpha) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} 0,$$

again by dominated convergence. The second term also goes to 0 when $x \rightarrow -\infty$. In fact,

$$\int_{|x|}^{2|x|} |x| \alpha e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \nu(d\alpha) \leq \int_0^\infty \alpha^2 e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \nu(d\alpha),$$

and by the same argument as before the result follows since

$$\alpha^2 e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \mathbf{1}_{[|x|, 2|x|]} \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow -\infty]{a.s.} 0 \text{ for all } \alpha > 0,$$

$$\text{and } \alpha^2 e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \mathbf{1}_{[|x|, 2|x|]} \leq \alpha^2 \in L^1(\nu).$$

Furthermore, it follows from the fact that V is finite that

$$|x| \int_{2|x|}^\infty \alpha \nu(d\alpha) \leq \int_{2|x|}^\infty \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \nu(d\alpha) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} 0.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} D(x) = -\frac{V}{2} < 0.$$

□

We now consider the case $m = v$ and $\liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x\psi(x)| > \frac{V}{2}$, which implies in particular that $V < \infty$.

Proposition 8. *Assume that $m = v$ and*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x\psi(x)| > \frac{V}{2}. \quad (26)$$

If, moreover, there exist $0 < p_0 < 1$ and $0 < \beta_0 < 1$ such that for all $0 < \beta < \beta_0$

$$|x|^{p_0+2} \int_{-\beta x}^\infty \alpha^2 g(x, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} 0, \quad (27)$$

then X_t is transient, that is, $X_t \rightarrow -\infty$, and moreover $\frac{X_t}{t} \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 3. The conditions of Proposition 8 are satisfied in the case where both ν is infinite and its tail is thin enough, while g is given by (4) and (5). For example, if

$$\nu(d\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{1+\delta}} \mathbf{1}_{|\alpha|<1} + \rho(\alpha) \mathbf{1}_{|\alpha|>1} \right) d\alpha,$$

where $\rho(\alpha) \leq C|\alpha|^{-(5+\delta')}$, $|\alpha| > 1$ for some $\delta, \delta' > 0$. Condition (26) follows from the fact that $V < \infty$ while $|x\psi(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, since, cf. proof of Proposition 7,

$$\begin{aligned} |x| \int_0^1 \alpha e^{-2\sigma\alpha(2|x|-\alpha)} \nu(d\alpha) &\geq |x| \int_0^1 \alpha^{-\delta} e^{-4\sigma\alpha|x|} d\alpha \\ &= |x|^\delta \int_0^{|x|} e^{-4\sigma z} \frac{dz}{z^\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (27) is easy to check.

Proof. First note that condition (26) is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x|\psi(x) < -\frac{V}{2}.$$

Hence there exist $K > 0$ and $0 < p \leq p_0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \leq -K} \left(|x|\psi(x) + (2p+1) \frac{V(x)}{2} \right) < 0. \quad (28)$$

Let f be the $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ -function such that $f(-1) = 1$, $f'(-1) = p$, and

$$f''(x) = \frac{p(p+1)}{|x|^{p+2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \leq -1\}} + p(p+1) \mathbf{1}_{\{x \geq -1\}},$$

with p being a real number in $(0, 1)$ for which (28) holds. Then it follows from Lemma 3 applied to f , since f'' is an increasing function,

$$f(X_t) \leq f(X_0) + \int_0^t \psi(X_s) f'(X_s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty f''(X_s + \alpha) \alpha^2 g(X_s, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) ds + \mathcal{N}_t,$$

where the martingale \mathcal{N} is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_t = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \left[f'(X_{s-}) + f''(X_{s-} + \alpha) \alpha^2 \right] \varphi(X_{s-}, \alpha, \xi) \bar{M}(ds, d\alpha, d\xi).$$

Let us admit for the moment:

Lemma 6. *If (27) holds, then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x|^{p+2} \int_0^\infty f''(x + \alpha) \alpha^2 g(x, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) = p(p+1)V.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x|^{p+2} \int_0^\infty f''(x + \alpha) \alpha^2 g(x, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) < \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} p(2p+1)V(x).$$

Hence, there exists $N \geq K$ such that for all $x \leq -N$,

$$\int_0^\infty f''(x + \alpha) \alpha^2 g(x, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) < p(2p+1) \frac{V(x)}{|x|^{p+2}}.$$

Thus, for all $k > 0$ satisfying $-kN < X_0 < -N$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_{t \wedge S_{-kN, -N}}) &\leq f(X_0) + \int_0^{t \wedge S_{-kN, -N}} \frac{p}{|X_s|^{p+1}} \left[\psi(X_s) + (2p+1) \frac{V(X_s)}{2|X_s|} \right] ds \\ &\quad + \mathcal{N}_{t \wedge S_{-kN, -N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $k \geq 3$, letting $X_0 = -2N$, it follows from (28) that

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X_{t \wedge S_{-kN, -N}})) \leq \frac{1}{(2N)^p}.$$

Thus, if we let t tend to ∞ ,

$$\frac{1}{N^p} \mathbb{P}(S_{-kN, -N} = T_{-N}^\uparrow) \leq \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{|X_{S_{-kN, -N}}|^p} \leq \frac{1}{(2N)^p}.$$

Now letting k tend to ∞ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{-N}^\uparrow < \infty) \leq \frac{1}{2^p}.$$

Thus, the process is transient, which means

$$X_t \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} -\infty.$$

And since $m = v < \infty$, it follows from Lemma 1 that $\frac{M_t}{t} \rightarrow 0$, hence

$$\frac{X_t}{t} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

□

Proof of Lemma 4. For any $0 < \beta < \beta_0 < 1$, if $x < -(1 - \beta)^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|x|^{p+2} \int_0^\infty f''(x + \alpha)g(x, \alpha)\alpha^2\nu(d\alpha) &= |x|^{p+2} \int_0^{-\beta x} f''(x + \alpha)\alpha^2g(x, \alpha)\nu(d\alpha) \\
&\quad + |x|^{p+2} \int_{-\beta x}^\infty f''(x + \alpha)\alpha^2g(x, \alpha)\nu(d\alpha) \\
&\leq \int_0^{-\beta x} \frac{p(p+1)}{(1-\beta)^{p+2}} \alpha^2g(x, \alpha)\nu(d\alpha) \\
&\quad + |x|^{p+2} p(p+1) \int_{-\beta x}^\infty \alpha^2g(x, \alpha)\nu(d\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
|x|^{p+2} \int_0^\infty f''(x + \alpha)\alpha^2g(x, \alpha)\nu(d\alpha) &\geq p(p+1) \int_0^{-\beta x} \frac{|x|^{p+2}}{|x + \alpha|^{p+2}} \alpha^2g(x, \alpha)\nu(d\alpha) \\
&> p(p+1) \int_0^{-\beta x} \alpha^2g(x, \alpha)\nu(d\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $x \rightarrow -\infty$ in the two above inequalities, we deduce from (27), which holds with p_0 replaced by $p \leq p_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
p(p+1)V &\leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x|^{p+2} \int_0^\infty f''(x + \alpha)\alpha^2g(x, \alpha)\nu(d\alpha) \\
&\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x|^{p+2} \int_0^\infty f''(x + \alpha)\alpha^2g(x, \alpha)\nu(d\alpha) \\
&\leq \frac{p(p+1)}{(1-\beta)^{p+2}} V.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, letting $\beta \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$|x|^{p+2} \int_0^\infty f''(x + \alpha)\alpha^2g(x, \alpha)\nu(d\alpha) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} p(p+1)V.$$

□

Remark 4. We have not been able to precise the large time behavior of the process X_t when the measure ν is of the type

$$\nu(d\alpha) \approx \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^{2+\delta}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha \geq 1\}}, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

which still satisfies $m < \infty$. In this case, $V = \infty$, $|x\psi(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, and (27) also fails.

5 Generalization to the case of a time-variable speed

In the following, we treat the case where the speed of environmental change is a random function of time

$$v(t) = \int_0^t v_1(s)ds + \mathcal{R}_t \quad (29)$$

where v_1 is a random function such that

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t v_1(s)ds \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} \bar{v},$$

and \mathcal{R} is a stochastic process. The stochastic equation describing the evolution of phenotypic lag becomes

$$X_t = X_0 - v(t) + \int_0^t m(X_s)ds + \mathcal{M}_t. \quad (30)$$

As above, we study three cases:

5.1 The case $\bar{v} > m$

Here we assume that \mathcal{R} satisfies the condition

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}_t}{t} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

This condition is verified by a Brownian motion for example. It is easy to see that results (15) and (16) hold in the new context of equation (30). Following the steps of the proof in section 1, we can see that $X_t \rightarrow -\infty$ with speed $\bar{v} - m$.

5.2 The case $\bar{v} < m$

Define \mathcal{T} as the set of bounded stopping times. Now we assume that there exists $0 < c < \infty$ such that $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{R}_T \leq c$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$. This condition is verified for example by a process sum of a martingale and a bounded process. In this case, we will prove that the process X_t is positive recurrent. We can see from (15) and (29) that there exist $M, N > 0$ such that for $y < -M$ and $t > N$,

$$m(x) - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t v_1(s)ds > \frac{m - \bar{v}}{2}.$$

We remind that

$$T_{-M}^\uparrow = \inf\{t > 0, X_t \geq -M\}.$$

For the purpose of notation and without loss of generality, we denote T_{-M}^\uparrow by T . Assume that $X_0 < -M$. It follows that for all $t > N$,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge T} [m(X_s) - v_1(s)] ds < -X_0 + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{R}_{t \wedge T},$$

since $X_s < 0$ for $s < T$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge T} [m(X_s) - v_1(s)] ds &= \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{T \geq N} \int_0^{t \wedge T} [m(X_s) - v_1(s)] ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{T < N} \int_0^{t \wedge T} [m(X_s) - v_1(s)] ds \\ &< -X_0 + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{R}_{t \wedge T}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m - \bar{v}}{2} \mathbb{E} (\mathbf{1}_{T \geq N} (t \wedge T)) &\leq -X_0 + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{R}_{t \wedge T} - \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{T < N} \int_0^{t \wedge T} [m(X_s) - v_1(s)] ds \\ &\leq -X_0 + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{R}_{t \wedge T} + \int_0^N v_1^+(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now let t tend to ∞ , yielding

$$\mathbb{E} (\mathbf{1}_{T \geq N} T) < \frac{2}{m - \bar{v}} \left(-X_0 + \int_0^N v_1^+(s) ds + c \right) < \infty.$$

Thus, $\mathbb{E}(T) < N + \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{T \geq N} T) < \infty$. From here, it is not hard to prove that $\mathbb{E} X_{T_0^\uparrow} < \infty$. Thus, X_t is positive recurrent.

5.3 The case $\bar{v} = m$

Here we assume that $\mathcal{R}_t \equiv 0$. Even in this case stronger assumptions need to be made. Define

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\sup} &= \sup_s v_1(s), \\ v_{\inf} &= \inf_s v_1(s), \\ \psi_{\sup}(x) &= m(x) - v_{\sup}, \\ \psi_{\inf}(x) &= m(x) - v_{\inf}, \end{aligned}$$

We define two sets of assumptions:

Assumptions A

- $v_{\text{sup}} < \infty$,
- $\liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x| \psi_{\text{sup}}(x) > -\frac{V}{2}$.

Assumptions B

- $v_{\text{inf}} < \infty$,
- $\limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |x| \psi_{\text{inf}}(x) < -\frac{V}{2}$.

Under the set of assumptions A, we can prove that the process is recurrent. We have, however, not been able to prove null recurrence in the case of non-constant v .

Ideas of Proof. Apply Lemma 3 to the process in equation (30) with $f(x) = \log |x|$, with $x < 0$. Here f'' is decreasing. Hence, as long as X_t remains negative,

$$\begin{aligned} \log |X_t| &\leq \log |X_0| + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\psi_{\text{sup}}(X_s)}{X_s} - \frac{V(X_s)}{2X_s^2} \right) ds + \int_0^t \frac{v_{\text{sup}} - v_1(s)}{X_s} ds + \mathcal{M}'_t \\ &< \log |X_0| + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\psi_{\text{sup}}(X_s)}{X_s} - \frac{V(X_s)}{2X_s^2} \right) ds + \mathcal{M}'_t, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{M}' is a martingale. Then we continue the proof as for the case of constant speed. \square

Under the set of assumptions B and hypothesis (27), we can prove that

$$X_t \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{X_t}{t} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

Ideas of Proof. We take the same function f we constructed in the case of constant speed. We have $f' > 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_t) &\leq f(X_0) + \int_0^t \psi_{\text{inf}}(X_s) f'(X_s) ds + \int_0^t (v_{\text{inf}} - v_1(s)) f'(X_s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty f''(X_s + \alpha) \alpha^2 g(X_s, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) ds + \mathcal{N}'_t \\ &\leq f(X_0) + \int_0^t \psi_{\text{inf}}(X_s) f'(X_s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty f''(X_s + \alpha) \alpha^2 g(X_s, \alpha) \nu(d\alpha) ds + \mathcal{N}'_t, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{N}' is a martingale. Then we continue the proof as for the case of constant speed. \square

Acknowledgement The authors want to thank Michael Kopp for formulating the biological question which led us to this research, and for many stimulating discussions in the course of our work.

Bibliography

- G. Kersting, “On recurrence and transience of growth model,” *Journal of Applied Probability*, 1986.
- M. Kopp and J. Hermisson, “The genetic basis of phenotypic adaptation II: The distribution of adaptive substitutions in the moving optimum model,” *Genetics*, vol. 183, pp. 1453–1476, 2009.
- G. Malécot, “Les processus stochastiques et la méthode des fonctions génératrices ou caractéristiques.” *Publications de l’institut de Statistiques de l’Université de Paris*, vol. 1, pp. 1–16, 1952.
- M. Kimura, “On the probability of fixation of mutant genes in a population,” *Genetics*, vol. 47, no. 6, p. 713, 1962.
- J. B. S. Haldane, “A mathematical theory of natural and artificial selection. Part V: selection and mutation,” *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, vol. 23, pp. 838–844, 1927.
- H. Uecker and J. Hermisson, “On the fixation process of a beneficial mutation in a variable environment,” *Genetics*, vol. 188, no. 4, pp. 915–930, Aug 2011.
- S. Peischl and M. Kirkpatrick, “Establishment of new mutations in changing environments,” *Genetics*, 2012.
- L. Breiman, *Probability*. Addison-Wesley, 1968.
- P. E. Protter, *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2005.