

# Representation of real numbers by the alternating Cantor series

Symon Serbenyuk

**ABSTRACT.** The article is devoted to the alternating Cantor series. It is proved that any real number belonging to  $[a_0 - 1; a_0]$ , where  $a_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k}-1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}}$ , has no more than two representations by the series and only numbers from countable subset of real numbers have two representations. Geometry of the representation, properties of cylinders sets and semicylinders, simplest metric problems are investigated. Some applications of the series in fractal theory and relation between positive and alternating Cantor series are described. A shift operator and its some applications, set of incomplete sums are studied. Necessary and sufficient conditions for representations of rational numbers by the alternating Cantor series are formulated.

## Introduction

At present investigating of various numeral systems is useful for development of metric, probability and fractal theories of real numbers, for study of fractal and others properties of mathematical objects with complicated local structure such as continuous nowhere differentiable or singular functions, random variables of Jessen-Wintner type, DP-transformations (transformations preserving the fractal Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension), dynamical systems with chaotic trajectories, etc. [10, 17].

There exist systems of representation of real numbers with finite or infinite alphabet, with redundant digits or with zero redundancy. An s-adic numeral system is an example of real numbers encoding by finite alphabet and numbers representations by Ostrogradsky [1, 3, 8], Sylvester [9], Lüroth series [12, 18], or regular continued fractions,  $A_2$ -continued

---

**2001 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 26A24, 11K55, 26A48, 11J83; .

**Key words and phrases:** *alternating Cantor series, positive Cantor series, nega-D-representation, nega-( $d_n$ )-representation, cylinder, semicylinder, shift operator, Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension, set of incomplete sums.*

fractions [5], polybasic  $\tilde{Q}$ -representation [10], etc., are examples of encoding of real numbers by infinite alphabet. Representation [4, 7, 13, 14] by the positive Cantor series

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{d_1 d_2} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} + \dots, \varepsilon_n \in A_{d_n}, \quad (1)$$

where  $(d_n)$  is a fixed sequence of positive integers,  $d_n > 1$ , and  $(A_{d_n})$  is a sequence of sets  $A_{d_n} \equiv \{0, 1, \dots, d_n - 1\}$ , is an example of polybasic numeral system with zero redundancy. The last-mentioned encoding of real numbers has finite alphabet, when the sequence  $(d_n)$  is bounded. It is obvious that real numbers representation by the positive Cantor series is generalization of classic  $s$ -adic numeral system. It is easy to see that the series is "similar" to the following series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n},$$

where,  $(a_n)$  is a monotone non-decreasing sequence of positive integers,  $a_1 \geq 1$ . This series is Engel series [11].

In 1869, Georg Cantor [4] considered expansions of real numbers in the series (1). Now there exist many papers [4, 6, 7, 13, 14] devoted to study properties of representation of real numbers by the positive Cantor series but many problems related to these series are not solved completely. For example, criteria of representation of rational numbers, modeling of functions with complicated local structure, etc.

Since expansions of numbers by the positive Cantor series are useful for study complicated objects of fractal analysis, I introduce a notion of the alternating Cantor series. The alternating Cantor series is not considered in publications earlier and generalizes nega- $s$ -adic numeral system. In the paper I give a foundation of metric theory of representation of real numbers by the alternating Cantor series and consider some related problems of mathematical analysis.

## 1. The object of research

Let  $(d_n)$  be a fixed sequence of numbers from  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  and  $(A_{d_n})$  be a sequence of sets  $A_{d_n} \equiv \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d_n - 1\}$ .

**Definition 1.** *The sum*

$$-\frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{d_1 d_2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{d_1 d_2 d_3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 d_3 \dots d_n} + \dots, \quad (2)$$

where  $\varepsilon_n \in A_{d_n}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is called the alternating Cantor series.

A number  $d_n$  is called *the  $n$ th element* and  $\varepsilon_n$  is called *the  $n$ th digit* of the sum (2).

Let us denote by  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\dots\varepsilon_n\dots}^{-D}$  any number having representation (2). This notation is called *representation of  $x$  by the alternating Cantor series* or *nega- $D$ -representation*.

If sequence  $(d_n)$  is a purely periodic sequence with simple period  $(s)$ , where  $s > 1$  is a fixed positive integer number, then the sum (2) will be transformed into the following sum

$$-\frac{\varepsilon_1}{s} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{s^2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{s^3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{s^n} + \dots, \quad \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}.$$

The last-mentioned sum is nega- $s$ -adic representation of numbers from  $[-\frac{s}{s+1}; \frac{1}{s+1}]$ .

Following sums are examples of alternating Cantor series.

1.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{s^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n}},$$

where  $\alpha_n$  belongs to finite subset of positive integer numbers and  $1 < s \in \mathbb{N}$  is a fixed number and  $\varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, s^{\alpha_n} - 1\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

2.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (n+1)}, \quad \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}.$$

3.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_n},$$

where  $(p_n)$  is an increasing sequence of all prime numbers.

**Lemma 1.** *Every alternating Cantor series is absolutely convergent series and its sum belongs to  $[a_0 - 1; a_0]$ , where*

$$a_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2n} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2n}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n}.$$

*Proof.* The statement of the lemma follows from the next propositions

- the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n}$$

is convergent;

•

$$-1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \leq S \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n},$$

where  $S$  is a sum of (2).

□

**Lemma 2.** *Following relations*

$$\frac{a_n - 1}{d_1 \dots d_n} \leq r_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \varepsilon_{n+k}}{d_{n+1} \dots d_{n+k}} \leq \frac{a_n}{d_1 \dots d_n}$$

for  $n = 2m, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$-\frac{a_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \leq r_n \leq \frac{1 - a_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n}$$

for  $n = 2m - 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{d_{n+1} d_{n+2} \dots d_{n+k}},$$

are true for residual  $r_n$  of the series (2).

## 2. Representation of real numbers by the alternating Cantor series

**Lemma 3.** *Each number  $x \in [a_0 - 1; a_0]$  can be represented by the series (2).*

*Proof.* It is obvious that

$$a_0 = \Delta_{0[d_2-1]0[d_4-1]0[d_6-1]0\dots}^{-D},$$

$$a_0 - 1 = \Delta_{[d_1-1]0[d_3-1]0[d_5-1]0\dots}^{-D}.$$

Since  $x$  be an arbitrary number from  $(a_0 - 1; a_0)$ ,

$$-\frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k-1} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k-1}} < x \leq -\frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}}$$

with  $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq d_1 - 1$  and since

$$[a_0 - 1; a_0] = I_0 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{d_1-1} \left[ -\frac{i}{d_1} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k-1} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k-1}}; -\frac{i}{d_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}} \right],$$

it is obtained that

$$-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k-1} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k-1}} < x + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}}.$$

Let  $x + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} = x_1$ , then following cases are obtained:

1. If equality

$$x_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}}.$$

holds, then

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1[d_2-1]0[d_4-1]0\dots}^{-D} \text{ or } x = \Delta_{[\varepsilon_1-1]0[d_3-1]0[d_5-1]0\dots}^{-D}.$$

2. If the equality is false, then  $x = -\frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} + x_1$ , where

$$\frac{\varepsilon_2}{d_1 d_2} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k-1} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k-1}} \leq x_1 < \frac{\varepsilon_2}{d_1 d_2} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}}.$$

In the same way, let  $x_2 = x_1 - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{d_1 d_2}$ , then

1. if equality

$$x_2 = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k-1} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k-1}}.$$

holds, then

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 [d_3-1]0[d_5-1]0\dots}^{-D} \text{ or } x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 [\varepsilon_2-1]0[d_4-1]0[d_6-1]0\dots}^{-D}.$$

2. In a different case

$$x = -\frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{d_1 d_2} + x_2, \text{ where}$$

$$-\frac{\varepsilon_3}{d_1 d_2 d_3} - \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k-1} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k-1}} < x_2 \leq -\frac{\varepsilon_3}{d_1 d_2 d_3} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}}, \text{ etc.}$$

So, for positive integer  $m$ ,

$$-\sum_{k > \frac{m+2}{2}} \frac{d_{2k-1} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k-1}} < x_m - \frac{(-1)^{m+1} \varepsilon_{m+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} < \sum_{k > \frac{m+1}{2}} \frac{d_{2k} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}}.$$

Moreover, the following cases are possible:

1.

$$x_{m+1} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k > \frac{m+2}{2}} \frac{d_{2k-1}-1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k-1}}, & \text{if } m \text{ is an odd number;} \\ \sum_{k > \frac{m+1}{2}} \frac{d_{2k}-1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}}, & \text{if } m \text{ is an even number.} \end{cases}$$

In this case

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m+1} [d_{m+2}-1] 0 [d_{m+4}-1] 0 \dots}$$

or

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_m [\varepsilon_{m+1}-1] 0 [d_{m+3}-1] 0 [d_{m+5}-1] 0 \dots}$$

2. If there does not exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the last-mentioned system is true, then

$$x = -\frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} + x_1 = \dots = -\frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{d_1 d_2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{d_1 d_2 d_3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} + x_n = \dots$$

Whence,

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n}.$$

□

**Lemma 4.** *Numbers*

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} \varepsilon_m \varepsilon_{m+1} \dots} \quad \text{and} \quad x' = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} \varepsilon'_m \varepsilon'_{m+1} \dots},$$

where  $\varepsilon_m \neq \varepsilon'_m$ , are equal iff one of the following systems

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{m+2i-1} = d_{m+2i-1} - 1, \\ \varepsilon_{m+2i} = 0 = \varepsilon'_{m+2i-1}, \\ \varepsilon'_{m+2i} = d_{m+2i} - 1, \\ \varepsilon'_m = \varepsilon_m - 1; \end{array} \right. \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{m+2i} = d_{m+2i} - 1, \\ \varepsilon_{m+2i-1} = 0 = \varepsilon'_{m+2i}, \\ \varepsilon'_{m+2i-1} = d_{m+2i-1} - 1, \\ \varepsilon'_m - 1 = \varepsilon_m; \end{array} \right.$$

is satisfied for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $\varepsilon_m = \varepsilon'_m + 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = x - x' &= \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} \varepsilon_m \varepsilon_{m+1} \dots} - \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} \varepsilon'_m \varepsilon'_{m+1} \dots} = \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} + \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{m+1} (\varepsilon_{m+1} - \varepsilon'_{m+1})}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_{m+i} - \varepsilon'_{m+i}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+i}} (-1)^{m+i} + \dots = \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i (\varepsilon_{m+i} - \varepsilon'_{m+i})}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+i}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$v \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i (\varepsilon_{m+i} - \varepsilon'_{m+i})}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+i}} \geq - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m+i} - 1}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+i}} = -1.$$

The last-mentioned inequality is an equality in the following case

$$\varepsilon_{m+2i} = \varepsilon'_{m+2i-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_{m+2i-1} = d_{m+2i-1} - 1, \quad \varepsilon'_{m+2i} = d_{m+2i} - 1.$$

That is conditions of the first system follow from  $x = x'$  in the case. It is easy to see that second system conditions follow from  $x = x'$  on the assumption of  $\varepsilon'_m = \varepsilon_m + 1$ .

It is obvious that *sufficiency* is true.  $\square$

**Definition 2.** The *nega-D-representation*  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}$  of the number  $x$  from  $[a_0 - 1; a_0]$  is called *periodic* if there exist numbers  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the equality  $\varepsilon_{m+nt+j} = \varepsilon_{m+j}$  is true for arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . The number is denoted by

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_m (\varepsilon_{m+1} \varepsilon_{m+2} \dots \varepsilon_{m+t})}^{-D}.$$

The tuple of digits  $(\varepsilon_{m+1} \varepsilon_{m+2} \dots \varepsilon_{m+t})$  of the last-mentioned representation is called *period* and the number  $t$  is a length of the period. The representation is a *purely periodic*, if  $m = 0$ , and the representation is a *mixed periodic*, if  $m > 0$ .

**Definition 3.** The *nega-D-representation*  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}$  of  $x$  is a *quasiperiodic*, if there exist numbers  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and functions  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_t$  (mapping sets  $A_{d_n}$  in  $A_{d_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_m \phi_1(d_{m+1}) \phi_2(d_{m+2}) \dots \phi_t(d_{m+t}) \phi_1(d_{m+t+1}) \phi_2(d_{m+t+2}) \dots \phi_t(d_{m+2t}) \dots}^{-D}.$$

The following numbers are quasiperiodic:

$$x_1 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n 0[d_{n+2}-1][d_{n+3}-1] \dots [d_{n+i}-1] \dots}^{-D},$$

$$x_2 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n 0[d_{n+1}-1] 0[d_{n+3}-1] 0[d_{n+5}-1] \dots}^{-D}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

**Definition 4.** A number  $x \in I_0 = [a_0 - 1; a_0]$  is called *nega-D-rational number*, if it can be represented by

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_n [d_{n+1}-1] 0[d_{n+3}-1] 0[d_{n+5}-1] \dots}^{-D}$$

or

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} [\varepsilon_n - 1] 0[d_{n+2}-1] 0[d_{n+4}-1] 0[d_{n+6}-1] \dots}^{-D}$$

The rest of the numbers from  $I_0$  are *nega-D-irrational numbers*.

The next proposition follows from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4.

**Theorem 1.** *Every nega-D-irrational number has unique representation by the alternating Cantor series. Every nega-D-rational number has two representations by the series (2) such that for one from the representations conditions  $\varepsilon_{m+2i-1} = d_{m+2i-1} - 1$  and  $\varepsilon_{m+2i} = 0$  are true and for the other representation for fixed  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_0$  and for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  conditions  $\varepsilon_{m+2i-1} = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_{m+2i} = d_{m+2i} - 1$  are true.*

**Remark 1.** Using this theorem, one can consider the nega-D-representation of a number as function of this number. The function is well defined for nega-D-irrational numbers. Suppose one of representations of nega-D-rational number is not used. For example, the representation with conditions  $\varepsilon_{m+2i-1} = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_{m+2i} = d_{m+2i} - 1$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is a fixed number and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  is an arbitrary number. Then this function is well defined for nega-D-rational numbers too.

**Remark 2.** There exist sequences  $(d_n)$  such that irrational number is a nega-D-rational number in the alternating Cantor series representation. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^i \varepsilon_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i} + \frac{(-1)^n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \left( -1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (j+1)} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^i \varepsilon_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i} + \frac{(-1)^n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \left( -1 + \frac{1}{e} \right); \\ x &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^i \varepsilon_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i} + \frac{(-1)^n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \left( -1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot 2j} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^i \varepsilon_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i} + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{e}}{e}, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n [d_{n+1}-1] 0 [d_{n+3}-1] 0 \dots}^{-D} &\equiv g_n + \frac{(-1)^n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \left( -1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{d_{n+1} \dots d_{n+j}} \right), \\ \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} [\varepsilon_n - 1] 0 [d_{n+2}-1] 0 \dots}^{-D} &\equiv g_n + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{d_{n+1} \dots d_{n+j}} \right), \end{aligned}$$



where

$$g_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^i \varepsilon_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i}.$$

To avoid some inconveniences in the sequel one can modify notation (2) of the alternating Cantor series to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + \varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} (-1)^{n+1}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\varepsilon_n \in A_{d_n}$ , such that

$$[-1 + a_0; a_0] \rightarrow [0; 1], \text{ where } a_0 = -\Delta_{(1)}^{-D}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \right) &= g' - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2i} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2i}} = 0, \\ \sup \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \right) &= g' + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2i-1} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2i-1}} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n}.$$

The fact of representation of  $x \in [0; 1]$  by (3) is denoted by  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-(d_n)}$ . The last-mentioned notation is called *nega- $d_n$ -representation of the number  $x \in [0; 1]$* . Number  $d_n$  is called  *$n$ th element* and  $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_n(x)$  is  *$n$ th digit* of the sum (3).

### 3. Some properties of representation of real numbers by the alternating Cantor series

Let  $x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1(x) \varepsilon_2(x) \dots \varepsilon_n(x) \dots}^{-D}$  and  $y = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1(y) \varepsilon_2(y) \dots \varepsilon_n(y) \dots}^{-D}$ .

**Proposition 1.** *For any numbers  $x$  and  $y$  from  $[-1 + a_0; a_0]$  the inequality  $x < y$  is true iff there exists  $m$  such that*

$$\varepsilon_n(x) = \varepsilon_n(y) \text{ for } n < 2m \text{ and } \varepsilon_{2m}(x) < \varepsilon_{2m}(y)$$

or

$$\varepsilon_n(x) = \varepsilon_n(y) \text{ for } n < 2m - 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_{2m-1}(x) > \varepsilon_{2m-1}(y).$$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $x_1 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{2k-1}}^{-D}(0)$ ,  $x_2 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{2k}}^{-D}(0)$ ,  $x_3 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{2k+1}}^{-D}(0)$  and  $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$  for all  $i = \overline{1, 2k+1}$ . Then the following two-sided inequality is true:

$$x_1 < x_3 < x_2.$$

*Proof.* The proposition follows from the equality

$$x_3 = x_1 + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}} \left( \varepsilon_{2k} - \frac{\varepsilon_{2k+1}}{d_{2k+1}} \right) = x_2 - \frac{\varepsilon_{2k+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k+1}}.$$

□

**Proposition 3.** Let  $z_1 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{2k}}^{-D}(0)$ ,  $z_2 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{2k+1}}^{-D}(0)$ ,  $z_3 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{2k+2}}^{-D}(0)$  and  $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$  for all  $i = \overline{1, 2k+2}$ . Then

$$z_2 < z_3 < z_1.$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that the proposition is true because

$$z_3 = z_1 - \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k+1}} \left( \varepsilon_{2k+1} - \frac{\varepsilon_{2k+2}}{d_{2k+2}} \right) = z_2 + \frac{\varepsilon_{2k+2}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k+2}}.$$

□

#### 4. Relation between representations of real numbers by the positive Cantor series and the alternating Cantor series

Let  $(d_n)$  be a fixed sequence of positive integer numbers such that  $d_n \geq 1$ . For any  $x \in [0; 1]$  there exists sequence  $(\alpha_n)$ :  $\alpha_n \in A_{d_n}$  such that

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \equiv \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^D.$$

It is obvious that

$$x = \frac{\alpha_1 d_2 + \alpha_2}{d_1 d_2} + \frac{\alpha_3 d_4 + \alpha_4}{d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{2n-1} d_{2n} + \alpha_{2n}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2n}} + \dots,$$

but this representation is a representation of  $x$  by the positive Cantor series with sequence elements  $(d'_n)$ , where  $d'_n = d_{2n-1} d_{2n}$ .

In fact,  $0 \leq \alpha_{2n-1} d_{2n} + \alpha_{2n} \leq d_{2n-1} d_{2n} - 1$  and therefore

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_n} \equiv \Delta_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_n \dots}^{D'} \quad (4)$$

where  $\beta_n = \alpha_{2n-1}d_{2n} + \alpha_{2n}$ ,  $p_n = d_{2n-1}d_{2n}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now let us consider representation (2). Using the same technique, it is obtained that

$$x = \frac{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1 d_2}{d_1 d_2} + \frac{\varepsilon_4 - \varepsilon_3 d_4}{d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_{2n} - \varepsilon_{2n-1} d_{2n}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2n}} + \dots$$

But  $(\varepsilon_{2n} - \varepsilon_{2n-1} d_{2n})$  belongs to  $\{0, 1, \dots, d_{2n-1}d_{2n} - 1\}$  for not all values of  $\varepsilon_{2n-1}$  and  $\varepsilon_{2n}$ . Thus the nega- $(d_n)$ -representation of the number  $x$  can be used in this case.

Indeed, for

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + \delta_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} (-1)^{n+1} \equiv \Delta_{\delta_1 \delta_2 \dots \delta_n}^{-(d_n)},$$

where

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \equiv \Delta_{0[d_2-1]0[d_4-1]0\dots}^{-D},$$

it is obtained that

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2n} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2n}} + \frac{\delta_1 d_2 - \delta_2}{d_1 d_2} + \frac{\delta_3 d_4 - \delta_4}{d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4} + \dots + \frac{\delta_{2n-1} d_{2n} - \delta_{2n}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2n}} + \dots$$

Thus, the number  $(\delta_{2n-1} d_{2n} - \delta_{2n} + d_{2n} - 1)$  belongs to  $\{0, 1, \dots, d_{2n-1}d_{2n} - 1\}$  for real numbers nega- $(d_n)$ -representation always and

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta_{2n-1} + 1)d_{2n} - \delta_{2n} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2n}} \equiv \Delta_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_n}^{D'}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\gamma_n = (\delta_{2n-1} + 1)d_{2n} - \delta_{2n} - 1 = \delta_{2n-1}d_{2n} + d_{2n} - 1 - \delta_{2n}$ .

The next proposition follows from (4) and (5).

**Lemma 5.** *The following functions are identity transformations of  $[0; 1]$ :*

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n}^D \xrightarrow{f} \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 [d_2-1-\varepsilon_2] \dots \varepsilon_{2n-1} [d_{2n-1}-\varepsilon_{2n}] \dots}^{-(d_n)} = f(x) = y,$$

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n}^{-(d_n)} \xrightarrow{g} \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 [d_2-1-\varepsilon_2] \dots \varepsilon_{2n-1} [d_{2n-1}-\varepsilon_{2n}] \dots}^D = g(x) = y.$$

Therefore, the following functions are DP-functions (functions preserving the fractal Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension) on  $[0; 1]$ :

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n}^D \xrightarrow{f} \Delta_{[d_1-1-\varepsilon_1] \varepsilon_2 \dots [d_{2n-1}-1-\varepsilon_{2n-1}] \varepsilon_{2n} \dots}^{-(d_n)} = f(x) = y,$$

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n}^{-(d_n)} \xrightarrow{g} \Delta_{[d_1-1-\varepsilon_1] \varepsilon_2 \dots [d_{2n-1}-1-\varepsilon_{2n-1}] \varepsilon_{2n} \dots}^D = g(x) = y.$$

**Lemma 6.** *The following equalities are true:*

1.  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^D - \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D} \equiv 2\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 0 \varepsilon_3 0 \dots}^D \equiv -2\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 0 \varepsilon_3 0 \dots}^{-D};$
2.  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^D + \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D} \equiv 2\Delta_{0 \varepsilon_2 0 \varepsilon_4 \dots}^D \equiv 2\Delta_{0 \varepsilon_2 0 \varepsilon_4 \dots}^{-D};$
3.  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^D - \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-(d_n)} \equiv 2\Delta_{\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_4 \varepsilon_6 \dots}^{D'} - \Delta_{[d_2-1][d_4-1] \dots}^{D'}, \varepsilon_n \in A_{d_n};$
4.  $\Delta_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_n \dots}^{D'} = \Delta_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_n \dots}^{D'} + \Delta_{[d_2-1][d_4-1] \dots [d_{2n}-1] \dots}^{D'} - 2\Delta_{\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_4 \varepsilon_6 \dots}^{D'}.$

## 5. Shift operator

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{[-1+a_0; a_0]}^{-D}$  be a set of all nega-D-representations of real numbers from  $[-1 + a_0; a_0]$ .

A shift operator  $\hat{\varphi}$  of the sum (2) on the set  $\mathcal{F}_{[-1+a_0; a_0]}^{-D}$  is defined by

$$\hat{\varphi} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \right) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \varepsilon_n}{d_2 d_3 \dots d_n},$$

$$\hat{\varphi}(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}) = \Delta_{\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D_1} = -d_1 \Delta_{0 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}.$$

The operator generates a function  $\hat{\varphi}$ , that

$$\hat{\varphi} : [-1 + a_0; a_0] \rightarrow [-a_0 d_1; 1 - a_0 d_1].$$

n-fold application of the shift operator  $\hat{\varphi}$  leads to the operator  $\hat{\varphi}^n$ , that

$$\hat{\varphi}^n \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \varepsilon_{n+k}}{d_{n+1} \dots d_{n+k}},$$

$$\hat{\varphi}^n(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}) = \Delta_{\varepsilon_{n+1} \varepsilon_{n+2} \dots}^{-D_n} = (-1)^n d_1 d_2 \dots d_n \underbrace{\Delta_{0 \dots 0}^{-D}}_n \varepsilon_{n+1} \varepsilon_{n+2} \dots.$$

A generalized shift operator  $\hat{\varphi}_m$  of the sum (2) is defined by the following way:

$$\hat{\varphi}_m \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \right) = -\frac{\varepsilon_1}{d_1} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{m-1} \varepsilon_{m-1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m-1}} + \frac{(-1)^m \varepsilon_{m+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m-1} d_{m+1}} + \dots,$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_m(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} \varepsilon_m \varepsilon_{m+1} \dots}^{-D}) = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m-1} \varepsilon_{m+1} \dots}^{-D_m}.$$

**Remark 3.** An application of the shift operators  $\hat{\varphi}$  or  $\hat{\varphi}_m$  leads to transition to "other" numeral system because for arbitrary number  $x \in [a_0 - 1; a_0]$  sequences  $(\varepsilon_n)$  and  $(d_n)$  are fixed in sum (2).

It is easy to see that the operator  $\hat{\varphi}$  has exactly  $d_1$  invariant points, that

$$-\frac{i}{d_1 + 1}, \quad i = \overline{0, d_1 - 1}.$$

The operator  $\hat{\varphi}$  is not bijection because the points  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}$  ( $\varepsilon_1 = \overline{0, d_1 - 1}$ ) are preimages of the point  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D_1}$ .

If a sequence  $(d_n)$  is purely periodic with period of length  $k$ , then the mapping  $\hat{\varphi}$  has periodic points of period of length  $k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , i. e.

$$\hat{\varphi}^{k+tj}(\Delta_{(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k)}^{-D}) = \hat{\varphi}^{kt+j}(\Delta_{(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k)}^{-D}), \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

**Lemma 7.** *The following set is invariant under the mapping  $\hat{\varphi}$ , if a sequence  $(d_n)$  is a purely periodic sequence with simple period:*

$$C[-D, V] = \{x : x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}, \varepsilon_n \in \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, d_n - 1\}\},$$

where  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  are fixed positive integer numbers,  $1 < m \leq d_n - 1$ ,  $d_n > 2$ .

The set

$$C[-D, V_n] = \{x : x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}, \varepsilon_n \in V_n = \{v_1^{(n)}, v_2^{(n)}, \dots, v_m^{(n)}\} \subset A_n\}$$

is an invariant by the mapping  $\hat{\varphi}^k$ , if sequences  $(d_n)$  and  $(V_n)$  are purely periodic with period of length  $k$ .

A shift operator  $\tilde{\varphi}$  of representation by the alternating Cantor series of  $x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}) = \Delta_{\varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_{n+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n}.$$

It is obvious that the mapping  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is not always well defined. In fact, for not all alternating Cantor series the inequality  $\varepsilon_{n+1} \leq d_n - 1$  is true. The next proposition follows from the last-mentioned inequality.

**Lemma 8.** *The operator  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is well defined iff for a sequence  $(d_n)$  of elements of the sum (2) for arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the following inequality is true:*

$$d_{n+1} \leq d_n.$$

**Remark 4.** In Lemma 8, one can understand that operator is well defined in the wide sense, i. e., for arbitrary  $x \in [a_0 - 1; a_0]$ . Really, for any sequence  $(d_n)$  there exist points from  $[a_0 - 1; a_0]$  such that the function  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is well defined in these points.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n}^{-D}$ . If there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , that  $\hat{\varphi}^m(x) = x$ , then*

$$x = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(-1)^m d_1 d_2 \dots d_m - 1} \right) \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_m}^{-D}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_m \varepsilon_{m+1} \dots}^{-D} = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_m}^{-D} + \underbrace{\Delta_{0 \dots 0}_{\varepsilon_{m+1} \varepsilon_{m+2} \dots}}_m^{-D} = \\ &= \varphi^m(x) = x_m = (-1)^m d_1 d_2 \dots d_m \underbrace{\Delta_{0 \dots 0}_{\varepsilon_{m+1} \varepsilon_{m+2} \dots}}_m^{-D}. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition of the lemma follows from the last-mentioned expression.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $x$  be a fixed number. If there exist  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\hat{\varphi}^m(x) = \hat{\varphi}^{m+c}(x)$ , then*

$$x = \frac{\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_m}^{-D} + (-1)^{c+1} d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+c} \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m+c}}^{-D}}{1 + (-1)^{c+1} d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+c}}.$$

*Proof.* The proposition follows from the next equality:

$$x - \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_m}^{-D} = (-1)^c d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+c} (x - \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m+c}}^{-D}).$$

$\square$

**Lemma 11.** *For arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the following equalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}^k(x) &= (-1)^k d_1 d_2 \dots d_k x + (-1)^{k+1} d_1 d_2 \dots d_k \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k}^{-D}, \\ x &= (-1)^k \frac{\hat{\varphi}^k(x) + (-1)^k d_1 d_2 \dots d_k \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k}^{-D}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k}, \end{aligned}$$

are true.

The next proposition follows from the last-mentioned lemma.

**Lemma 12.** *For arbitrary numbers  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  the equality*

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^c d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+c} \cdot \hat{\varphi}^m(x) - \hat{\varphi}^{m+c}(x) = \\ &= (-1)^{m+c} d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+c} \cdot \underbrace{\Delta_{0 \dots 0}_{\varepsilon_{m+1} \varepsilon_{m+2} \dots \varepsilon_{m+c}}^{-D}}_m \end{aligned}$$

is true.

**Theorem 2.** *The mapping  $\hat{\varphi}$  is decreasing on each first rank interval  $\nabla_c^{-D} = (\inf \Delta_c^{-D}; \sup \Delta_c^{-D})$ .*

*Proof.* Let points  $x_1 = \Delta_{c\varepsilon_2(x_1)\varepsilon_3(x_1)\dots\varepsilon_n(x_1)}^{-D}$  and  $x_2 = \Delta_{c\varepsilon_2(x_2)\varepsilon_3(x_2)\dots\varepsilon_n(x_2)}^{-D}$  be an arbitrary points from the interval  $\nabla_c^{-D}$  such that  $x_1 < x_2$ .

$\hat{\varphi}(x_1) > \hat{\varphi}(x_2)$  because  $\varepsilon_n(\hat{\varphi}(x)) = \varepsilon_{n+1}(x)$  and the Proposition 1 is true for  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .  $\square$

The next proposition follows from this theorem.

**Corollary 1.** *The mapping  $\hat{\varphi}$  has a derivative almost everywhere (with respect to Lebesgue measure).*

**Theorem 3.** *The mapping  $\hat{\varphi}$  is continuous at each point of first rank interval  $\nabla_c^{-D}$  and endpoints of the interval are points of discontinuity of the mapping.*

*Proof.* Let  $x = \Delta_{c\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3\dots\varepsilon_n}^{-D}$  be an arbitrary nega-D-irrational point from  $\nabla_c^{-D}$ . Let  $(x_m)$  be an arbitrary sequence of points from  $\nabla_c^{-D}$  such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = x$ . Then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = x \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n_m = \infty, \text{ where}$$

$n_m = \min\{n : \varepsilon_n(x_m) \neq \varepsilon_n(x)\}$ . The last-mentioned equivalence follows from definition and basic properties of the nega-D-representation of real numbers.

$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\varphi}(x_m) = \hat{\varphi}(x)$  because  $\varepsilon_n(\hat{\varphi}(x)) = \varepsilon_{n+1}(x)$ . So, the mapping  $\hat{\varphi}$  is continuous in  $x$ .

Let  $x_0$  be a certain nega-D-rational point from  $\nabla_c^{-D}$ , i. e.,

$$x_0 = \Delta_{c\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3\dots\varepsilon_n[d_{n+1}-1]0[d_{n+3}-1]0\dots}^{-D} = \Delta_{c\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3\dots\varepsilon_{n-1}[\varepsilon_n-1]0[d_{n+2}-1]0[d_{n+4}-1]0\dots}^{-D}$$

At the same time

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \hat{\varphi}(x) = \Delta_{\varepsilon_2\dots\varepsilon_n[d_{n+1}-1]0[d_{n+3}-1]0\dots}^{-D_1} = \Delta_{\varepsilon_2\dots\varepsilon_{n-1}[\varepsilon_n-1]0[d_{n+2}-1]0[d_{n+4}-1]0\dots}^{-D_1}$$

Indeed, existence of left and right finite limits in each point of this interval follows from monotonicity and boundedness of mapping.

Let us consider a question of continuity of  $\hat{\varphi}$  in

$$x_1 = \Delta_{c[d_2-1]0[d_4-1]0\dots}^{-D} = \Delta_{[c-1]0[d_3-1]0[d_5-1]0\dots}^{-D} = x_2, \quad c \neq 0.$$

The ends of the interval  $\nabla_c^{-D}$  are jump points of  $\hat{\varphi}$  because

$$\hat{\varphi}(x_1) = \Delta_{[d_2-1]0[d_4-1]0\dots}^{-D_1} \neq \Delta_{0[d_3-1]0[d_5-1]0\dots}^{-D_1} = \hat{\varphi}(x_2).$$

$\square$

**Theorem 4.** *If the mapping  $\hat{\varphi}$  has derivative at point  $x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}$ , then*

$$(\hat{\varphi}(x))' = -d_1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  has derivative at point  $x_0$ , then for a sequence  $(x_m)$  of numbers  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1(x_0)\varepsilon_2(x_0)\dots\varepsilon_n(x_0)\varepsilon_{n+1}(x)\dots}^{-D}$ , where  $\varepsilon_k(x) \neq \varepsilon_k(x_0)$  for all  $k > n$ , it is obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\varphi}(x))' &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{\varphi}(x) - \hat{\varphi}(x_0)}{x - x_0} = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta_{\varepsilon_2(x)\varepsilon_3(x)\dots}^{-D} - \Delta_{\varepsilon_2(x_0)\varepsilon_3(x_0)\dots}^{-D}}{\Delta x} = \\ &= - \lim_{\varepsilon_n(x) \rightarrow \varepsilon_n(x_0)} \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i \varepsilon_i(x)}{d_2 \dots d_i} - \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i \varepsilon_i(x_0)}{d_2 \dots d_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_j(x)}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_j(x_0)}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j}} = \\ &= - \lim_{\varepsilon_n(x) \rightarrow \varepsilon_n(x_0)} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_j(x)}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_j(x_0)}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j} + \frac{\varepsilon_1(x) - \varepsilon_1(x_0)}{d_1}}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_j(x)}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_j(x_0)}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j}} d_1 = \\ &= -d_1 - d_1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{0}{\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_j(x)}{d_{n+1} d_2 \dots d_j} - \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_j(x_0)}{d_{n+1} d_2 \dots d_j}} = -d_1. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 2.** *The mapping  $\hat{\varphi}^k$  has not derivative at any nega- $D$ -rational point.*

## 6. Representation of rational and irrational numbers

An idea of the shift operator of the sum (2) is effective for formulation of criteria of rational numbers representation by the alternating series. Analogously to [14], the main propositions can be formulated by the following way.

**Theorem 5.** *A rational number  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  from  $[-1 + a_0; a_0]$  has finite expansion in the sum (2) iff there exists  $n_0$ , that  $d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n_0} \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *There exist sequences  $(d_n)$ , that every rational number has infinite representation by the series (2). For example,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (n+1)}; \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot 2n}.$$

**Lemma 13.** *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the equality*

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-(d_n)} + \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D} + \Delta_{(1)}^{-D} = 0$$

*is true.*



**Corollary 4.** *There exist the alternating Cantor series (3) such that a number  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n}^{-(d_n)}(0)$  is an irrational.*

The following propositions are equivalent.

**Theorem 6.** *A number  $x_0 \in [0; 1]$  is rational iff there exist  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\hat{\varphi}^k(x) = \hat{\varphi}^t(x).$$

**Theorem 7.** *A number  $x_0 \in (-1 + a_0; a_0)$  is rational iff for its nega-D-representation  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n}^{-D}$  there exist  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $k < t$ ) such that*

$$\underbrace{\Delta_{0 \dots 0}_{\varepsilon_{k+1} \varepsilon_{k+2} \varepsilon_{k+3} \dots}}_k^{-D} = (-1)^{t-k} d_{k+1} d_{k+2} \dots d_t \underbrace{\Delta_{0 \dots 0}_{\varepsilon_{t+1} \varepsilon_{t+2} \varepsilon_{t+3} \dots}}_t^{-D}.$$

**Theorem 8.** *A number  $x$  is rational iff the sequence  $(\hat{\varphi}^k(x))$ , where  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , has at least two identical terms of the sequence.*

## 7. Geometry and foundation of a metric theory of the nega-D-representation

Let  $(d_n)$  be a fixed sequence of positive integer numbers  $d_n \geq 1$ .

Let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$  be an ordered tuple of integer numbers such that  $c_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d_i - 1\}$  for  $i = \overline{1, m}$ .

**Definition 5.** *Nega-D-cylinder of rank  $m$  with the base  $c_1 c_2 \dots c_m$  is a set  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}$  of all numbers from  $[-1 + a_0; a_0]$  such that the first  $m$  digits of the representation of the numbers are equal to  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$  respectively.*

**Lemma 14.** *Nega-D-cylinder is a closed interval and*

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} = \left[ g_m + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} (a_m - 1); g_m + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} a_m \right]$$

for an even number  $m$  and

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} = \left[ g_m + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} a_m; g_m + \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} (a_m - 1) \right]$$

for an odd number  $m$ , where

$$a_m = \sup \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_{m+j}}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+j}}, \quad g_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(-1)^i c_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be an even number and  $x \in \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}$ , i. e.

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(-1)^i c_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_j}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j},$$

where  $\varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d_j - 1\}$ . Then

$$x' = g_m - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m+2k-1} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+2k-1}} \leq x \leq g_m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m+2k} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+2k}} = x''.$$

Hence,  $x \in [x'; x'']$  and  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} \subseteq [x'; x'']$ .

$x \in \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}$  and  $x' \in \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} \ni x''$  because

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m+2j} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+2j}} &= \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} \sup \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_{m+j}}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+j}}, \\ - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m+2j-1} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+2j-1}} &= \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} \inf \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_{m+j}}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+j}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 15.** *Cylinders  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}$  have the following properties.*

1.

$$\inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} = \begin{cases} g_m - \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m+2j-1} - 1}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+2j-1}}, & m \text{ is an even,} \\ g_m - \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m+2j} - 1}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+2j}}, & m \text{ is an odd.} \end{cases}$$

2.

$$\sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} = \begin{cases} g_m + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m+2j} - 1}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+2j}}, & m \text{ is an even;} \\ g_m + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{m+2j-1} - 1}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+2j-1}}, & m \text{ is an odd.} \end{cases}$$

3.

$$|\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}| = \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m}.$$

4.

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^{-D} \subset \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}.$$

5.

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} = \bigcup_{c=0}^{d_{m+1}-1} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^{-D}.$$

6.

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}| = 0.$$

7.

$$\frac{|\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c_{m+1}}^{-D}|}{|\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}|} = \frac{1}{d_{m+1}}.$$

8.

$$\begin{cases} \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^{-D} = \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m [c+1]}^{-D}, & \text{if } m \text{ is an odd,} \\ \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m [c+1]}^{-D} = \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^{-D}, & \text{if } m \text{ is an even,} \end{cases}$$

where  $c \neq d_{m+1} - 1$ .

9.

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} \cap \Delta_{e_1 e_2 \dots e_m}^{-D} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}, & \text{if } e_i = c_i \text{ } i = \overline{1, m}; \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } \exists i, i < m, \text{ that } c_i \neq e_i; \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } \exists i \text{ that } c_i \neq e_i, c_m \neq e_m - 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $e_m \neq 0$  in the last case.

10.

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} = x \equiv \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m \dots}^{-D}.$$

*Proof.* Properties 1 and 2 follow immediately from the definition of  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}$ . The Property 3 is a corollary of these properties. Properties 6 and 7 follow from the property 3.

*Property 4.* Let  $m$  be an even positive integer number. It is proved that

$$\begin{cases} \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^{-D} \geq \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}, \\ \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^{-D} \leq \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}. \end{cases}$$

Really,  $\inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^{-D} - \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} = g_m +$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{(-1)^{m+1} c}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} + \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} \left( \frac{d_{m+3} - 1}{d_{m+2} d_{m+3}} + \frac{d_{m+5} - 1}{d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+5}} + \dots \right) - g_m - \\ & - \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} \left( -\frac{d_{m+1} - 1}{d_{m+1}} - \frac{d_{m+3} - 1}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2} d_{m+3}} - \frac{d_{m+5} - 1}{d_{m+1} \dots d_{m+5}} - \dots \right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{d_{m+1} - 1 - c}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} \geq 0, \text{ where the inequality is an equality in}$$

the case of  $c = d_{m+1} - 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m}^{-D} - \sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_m c}^{-D} &= \frac{(-1)^m}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_m} \left( \frac{d_{m+2} - 1}{d_{m+1} d_{m+2}} + \frac{d_{m+4} - 1}{d_{m+1} \dots d_{m+4}} + \dots \right) - \\ + g_m - g_m - \frac{(-1)^{m+1} c}{d_1 \dots d_{m+1}} - \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{d_1 \dots d_{m+1}} \left( -\frac{d_{m+2} - 1}{d_{m+2}} - \frac{d_{m+4} - 1}{d_{m+2} \dots d_{m+4}} - \dots \right) &= \\ = \frac{c}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} \geq 0, \text{ where the inequality is an equality in} \end{aligned}$$

the case of  $c = 0$ .

Similarly, the last-mentioned inequality system is true in the case of an odd  $m$ .

*The Property 5* follows from *the Property 4* and the definition of  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}$ .

*Property 8.* In fact, for an odd  $m$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^{-D} - \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m [c+1]}^{-D} &= \frac{(-1)^{m+1} c}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} + \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} a_{m+1} - \\ - \frac{c+1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} (-1)^{m+1} - \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} (a_{m+1} - 1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For an even  $m$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m [c+1]}^{-D} - \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^{-D} &= \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} (c+1) + \\ + \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} (a_{m+1} - 1) - \frac{(-1)^{m+1} c}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} - \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{m+1}} a_{m+1} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

*The property 9* follows from *properties 1, 2 and 8*.

*Property 10.* It is easy to see from *the property 4* that

$$\Delta_{c_1}^{-D} \subset \Delta_{c_1 c_2}^{-D} \subset \Delta_{c_1 c_2 c_3}^{-D} \subset \dots \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D} \subset \dots,$$

and from the last lemma and the Cantor's intersection theorem, it follows that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D} = x \equiv \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n \dots}^{-D}.$$

□

## 8. Simplest metric problems

Let us consider a set  $\Delta_c^k$ , that its elements have a fixed digit  $c \in A_{d_k}$  on position  $k$  of their nega-D-representations  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}$ .

**Lemma 16.** *The set  $\Delta_c^k$  ( $k > 1$ ) is a union of cylinders of rank  $k$ .*

*Proof.* If  $k = 1$ , then it is easy to see that  $\Delta_c^k \equiv \Delta_c^{-D}$ .

If  $k = 2$ , then

$$\Delta_c^2 = \Delta_{0c}^{-D} \cup \Delta_{1c}^{-D} \cup \Delta_{2c}^{-D} \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{[d_1-1]c}^{-D}.$$

Let  $k = n$ , then

$$\Delta_c^n = \underbrace{\Delta_{\underbrace{00\dots 00}_{n-1}c}^{-D}} \cup \underbrace{\Delta_{\underbrace{00\dots 01}_{n-1}c}^{-D}} \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{[d_1-1][d_2-1]\dots[d_{n-1}-1]c}^{-D}.$$

□

**Lemma 17.** *The Lebesgue measure of  $\Delta_c^k$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{d_k}$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\Delta_c^k) &= \sum_{c_1=0}^{d_1-1} \dots \sum_{c_{k-1}=0}^{d_{k-1}-1} |\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{k-1} c}^{-D}| = \\ &= \frac{1}{d_k} \sum_{c_1=0}^{d_1-1} \dots \sum_{c_{k-1}=0}^{d_{k-1}-1} |\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{k-1}}^{-D}| = \frac{1}{d_k}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 5.** *The Lebesgue measure of the set  $\Delta_c^k$  of numbers such that its elements have a digit  $\varepsilon_k \neq c$  on position  $k$  of their nega-D-representations  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}$  is equal to  $1 - \frac{1}{d_k}$ .*

**Lemma 18.** *Diameter of the set  $\Delta_c^k$  is calculated by the following formula*

$$d(\Delta_c^k) = \frac{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k - d_k + 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be an even number,

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \sup \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \varepsilon_{k+j}}{d_{k+1} d_{k+2} \dots d_{k+j}}. \text{ Then } d(\Delta_c^k) = \max \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^i \varepsilon_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i} + \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^k c}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k} + \frac{(-1)^k}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k} a_k - \min \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^i \varepsilon_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i} - \frac{(-1)^k c}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k} - \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{(-1)^k}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k} (a_k - 1) &= \left( \frac{d_2 - 1}{d_1 d_2} + \frac{d_4 - 1}{d_1 \dots d_4} + \dots + \frac{d_{k-2} - 1}{d_1 \dots d_{k-2}} \right) + \\
&+ \left( \frac{d_1 - 1}{d_1} + \frac{d_3 - 1}{d_1 d_2 d_3} + \dots + \frac{d_{k-1} - 1}{d_1 \dots d_{k-1}} \right) + \frac{(-1)^k}{d_1 \dots d_k} = \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{d_1 \dots d_{k-1}} + \frac{(-1)^k}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k} = \frac{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k - d_k + 1}{d_1 \dots d_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $k$  be an odd number, then

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\Delta_c^k) &= \left( \frac{d_2 - 1}{d_1 d_2} + \frac{d_4 - 1}{d_1 \dots d_4} + \dots + \frac{d_{k-1} - 1}{d_1 \dots d_{k-1}} \right) - \\
&- \left( -\frac{d_1 - 1}{d_1} - \frac{d_3 - 1}{d_1 d_2 d_3} - \dots - \frac{d_{k-2} - 1}{d_1 \dots d_{k-2}} \right) + \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k} = \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{k-1}} + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k} = \frac{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k - d_k + 1}{d_1 \dots d_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m)$  and  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$  are fixed tuples of positive integer numbers such that  $c_i \in A_{d_{k_i}}$ ,  $i = \overline{1, m}$ ,  $0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m$ .

**Lemma 19.** *The Lebesgue measure of the set  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}$  of numbers such that its elements have a digit  $c_i$  on position  $k_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, m}$ ) of their nega-D-representations  $\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}$  is calculated by formula*

$$\lambda \left( \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{d_{k_i}}.$$

*Proof.* Let us consider the set  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2}^{k_1 k_2}$ , that fixed digits  $c_1 \in A_{d_{k_1}}$ ,  $c_2 \in A_{d_{k_2}}$  are situated on positions  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  respectively in nega-D-representations of elements of the set.

$$\lambda \left( \Delta_{c_1 c_2}^{k_1 k_2} \right) = \frac{1}{d_{k_2}} \cdot \frac{d_{k_2-1}}{d_{k_2-1}} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{d_{k_1+1}}{d_{k_1+1}} \left| \Delta_{c_1}^{k_1} \right| = \frac{1}{d_{k_2}} \cdot \frac{1}{d_{k_1}} = \lambda \left( \Delta_{c_1}^{k_1} \right) \cdot \lambda \left( \Delta_{c_2}^{k_2} \right).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda \left( \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} \right) &= \frac{1}{d_{k_m}} \left| \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{m-1}}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_{m-1}} \right| = \frac{1}{d_{k_m}} \cdot \frac{1}{d_{k_{m-1}}} \left| \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{m-2}}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_{m-2}} \right| = \dots = \\
&= \frac{1}{d_{k_m}} \cdot \frac{1}{d_{k_{m-1}}} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{1}{d_{k_1}} = \frac{1}{d_{k_1} d_{k_2} \dots d_{k_m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 6.** Sets  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}$  are metrically independent, i. e.

$$\lambda\left(\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}\right) = \lambda\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m \Delta_{c_i}^{k_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda\left(\Delta_{c_i}^{k_i}\right).$$

**Lemma 20.** Diameter  $d\left(\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}\right)$  of the set  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}$  is calculated by formula

$$d\left(\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m}\right) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{d_{k_i} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{k_i}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m\}$  and let  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} - \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} = \\ &= \sum_{2l=j \notin K, j < k_m} \frac{d_j - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j} + \frac{(-1)^{k_m}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{k_m}} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{k_m+2p} - 1}{d_{k_m+1} \dots d_{k_m+2p}} + \\ & \quad + \frac{(-1)^{k_m}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{k_m}} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{k_m+2p} - 1}{d_{k_m+1} \dots d_{k_m+2p}} - \\ & - \sum_{2l+1=j \notin K, j < k_m} \frac{1 - d_j}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j} - \frac{(-1)^{k_m}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{k_m}} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - d_{k_m+2p+1}}{d_{k_m+1} \dots d_{k_m+2p+1}} = \\ &= \sum_{0 < j < k_m, j \notin K} \frac{d_j - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j} + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{k_m}} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{d_{k_i} - 1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{k_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 9. Faithfulness of nega-D-cylinders for the Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension calculating

Let  $E$  be a bounded subset of  $[a_0 - 1; a_0]$ .

**Theorem 9.** Let a sequence  $(d_n)$  of elements of the alternating Cantor series sum is bounded. Then for any  $E \subset [a_0 - 1; a_0]$  values of the Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension of  $E$  calculated by family of cylinders  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D}$  and calculated by family of closed intervals are equals.

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi_1$  be a family of covering of  $E$  by closed intervals and  $\Phi_2$  be a family of covering of  $E$  by cylinders  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D}$ .

Let us find conditions on  $(d_n)$  such that

$$m_\varepsilon^\alpha(E, \Phi_1) \leq m_\varepsilon^\alpha(E, \Phi_2).$$

for  $\Phi_2 \subset \Phi_1$ .

Let  $u$  be an arbitrary closed interval of covering of  $E$ .

Let  $k$  be a minimal positive integer such that  $u$  does not contain nega-D-cylinders  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D}$  of rank  $k - 1$ . Then  $u$  belongs to not more than  $d_k$  cylinders of rank  $k$  but  $u$  contains a cylinder of rank  $k + 1$ .

$$m_\varepsilon^\alpha(E, \Phi_1) \leq m_\varepsilon^\alpha(E, \Phi_2) \leq d_k d_{k+1} m_\varepsilon^\alpha(E, \Phi_1),$$

where

$$m_\varepsilon^\alpha(E, \Phi) = \inf_{d(E_j) \leq \varepsilon} \sum_j d^\alpha(E_j)$$

for fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , fixed  $\alpha > 0$  and covering of  $E$  by sets  $E_j$  with diameters  $d(E_j) \leq \varepsilon$ .

It should be noted that

$$d_k d_{k+1} \leq \left( \max_n \{d_n\} \right)^2 < \infty, \quad \text{if } (d_n) \text{ is bounded.}$$

Indeed,

$$0 < \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\max_n \{d_n\}} \leq \frac{|\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n i}^{-D}|}{|\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D}|} = \frac{1}{d_{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2} = \lambda_2 < 1,$$

where for arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are fixed numbers. It is true iff a sequence  $(d_n)$  is bounded.  $\square$

In 2013, several authors [16] considered general necessary and sufficient conditions for a covering family to be faithful and new techniques for proving faithfulness/non-faithfulness for the family of cylinders generated by expansions of real numbers by positive Cantor series.

## 10. Set of incomplete sums

Let  $(d_n)$  be a fixed sequence of positive integer numbers  $d_n > 1$  and  $(\varepsilon_n)$  be a fixed sequence. Let us consider the corresponding alternating Cantor series

$$s_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \equiv \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}. \quad (6)$$

Let  $(A'_n)$  be a sequence of sets  $A'_n \equiv \{0, \varepsilon_n\}$  and

$$L'_{s_0} = A'_1 \times A'_2 \times A'_n \times \dots = \{\delta : \delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n, \dots), \delta_n \in A'_n\}.$$



**Definition 6.** A number  $s = s(\delta)$

$$s = s(\delta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \delta_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\delta = (\delta_n) \in L'_{s_0}$ , is called the incomplete sum of the alternating Cantor series (6).

The set of all incomplete sums of the alternating Cantor series (6) is denoted by  $M_{s_0}$ , i. e.

$$M_{s_0} \equiv \{x : x = \Delta_{\delta_1 \delta_2 \dots \delta_n \dots}^{-D}, (\delta_n) \in L'_{s_0}\}.$$

It is obvious that

$$M_{s_0} \subset \left[ -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{2k-1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k-1}}; \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{2k}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}} \right] = I_0^{M_{s_0}} \quad \text{for } s_0 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}$$

and  $M_{s_0} = \{0\}$  for  $s_0 = 0$ . Moreover,

$$\bigcup_{s_0} M_{s_0} = \left[ -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k-1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k-1}}; \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{2k}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2k}} \right].$$

For investigating topological and metric properties of sets  $M_{s_0}$  of all incomplete sums of the series (6), one can introduce some auxiliary notions.

**Definition 7.** Cylinder of rank  $n$  with the base  $c_1 c_2 \dots c_n$  is a set

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} \equiv \left\{ x : x = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^i c_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i} + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j \delta_j}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_j} \right\},$$

where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  are fixed numbers from  $A'_1, A'_2, \dots, A'_n$  respectively and  $\delta_j \in A'_j$ .

**Definition 8.** Cylindrical closed interval (interval)  $I_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}$  ( $\nabla_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}$ ) of rank  $n$  with the base  $c_1 c_2 \dots c_n$  is a closed interval (interval), that its ends coincide with ends of the cylinder  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}$ .

The following properties of cylindrical sets follow from the Definition 7 immediately.

1.

$$\inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n 0 \varepsilon_{n+2} 0 \varepsilon_{n+4} \dots}^{-D}, & \text{if } n \text{ be an odd;} \\ \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n \varepsilon_{n+1} 0 \varepsilon_{n+3} 0 \varepsilon_{n+5} \dots}^{-D}, & \text{if } n \text{ be an even.} \end{cases}$$

2.

$$\sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n \varepsilon_{n+1} 0 \varepsilon_{n+3} 0 \varepsilon_{n+5} \dots}^{-D}, & \text{if } n \text{ be an odd;} \\ \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n 0 \varepsilon_{n+2} 0 \varepsilon_{n+4} \dots}^{-D}, & \text{if } n \text{ be an even.} \end{cases}$$

3.

$$d(\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}) = \Delta_{\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_n \varepsilon_{n+1} \varepsilon_{n+2} \dots}^D \equiv \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_k} \leq \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \rightarrow 0,$$

$n \rightarrow \infty$ .

4. If  $\varepsilon_{n+1} \neq 0$ ,

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n 0}^{M_{s_0}} \cup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n \varepsilon_{n+1}}^{M_{s_0}}.$$

5.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} &\subset I_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} \subset \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D}, \\ M_{s_0} &\subset \bigcup_{c_i \in A'_i, i=\overline{1, n}} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} \subset \bigcup_{c_i \in A'_i, i=\overline{1, n}} I_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

6.

$$|\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D} \setminus (\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n 0}^{-D} \cup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n \varepsilon_{n+1}}^{-D})| = \begin{cases} \frac{d_{n+1}-2}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n+1}}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{n+1} > 0; \\ \frac{d_{n+1}-1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n+1}}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{n+1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 21.** Let  $s_0 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{-D}$  be a given number and let  $(c_n)$  be an arbitrary fixed sequence from  $L'_{s_0}$ . Then

1.

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n \dots}^{-D}.$$

2.

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D} \cap M_{s_0}$$

and

$$M_{s_0} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{c_i \in A'_i, i=\overline{1, n}} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} \right),$$

where  $A_i = \{0, \varepsilon_i\}$ .

*Proof.* 1. Let  $x = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n \dots}^{-D} \cdot \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n \dots}^{-D} = x \in \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}$  follows from the definition of  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}$ . Therefore,  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}$ . First proposition of the lemma follows from the Property 5 of  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}$ .

2. Let  $x \in M_{s_0}$ , then  $x$  belongs to certain cylinder  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} \subset \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D}$ . Let us consider a set  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D} \cap M_{s_0}$ . Numbers of type  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n \delta_{n+1} \delta_{n+2} \dots \delta_{n+k} \dots}^{-D}$ , where  $\delta_{n+k} \in A'_{n+k}$ , are elements of the set. Consequently,

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}} \subset (\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D} \cap M_{s_0})$$

and if  $x \in (\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{-D} \cap M_{s_0})$ , then  $x \in \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** *The set  $M_{s_0}$  of incomplete sums of the alternating Cantor series is*

1. *an one-element set  $\{0\}$ , if  $s_0 = 0$ ;*
2. *a finite set, when the condition  $\varepsilon_n \neq 0$  is true for finite set of values  $n$  in  $s_0 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}$ ;*
3. *a segment  $[-\frac{2}{3}; \frac{1}{3}]$ , when  $d_n = \text{const} = 2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_0 = -\frac{1}{3}$ ;*
4. *a union of finite number of segments, when there exists finite set of values  $m_i$  ( $i = \overline{1, k_0}$ ,  $k_0$  is a fixed number), that  $d_{m_i} \neq 2$  and  $s_0 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{m_{k_0}}(1)}$ ;*
5. *a continuous, perfect, nowhere dense set of zero Lebesgue measure, when  $s_0 \neq 0$  and  $d_n > 2$  for infinite set of values  $n$ .*

*Proof.* First four propositions follow from the following facts: the set  $M_{s_0}$  is  $C[-D, A'_n]$ , for condition  $d_n = 2$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) the alternating Cantor series is a nega-binary sum

$$-\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2^2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2^3} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^n \varepsilon_n}{2^n} + \dots, \quad \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1\}.$$

To prove fifth proposition, let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} x = \Delta_{\delta_1 \delta_2 \dots \delta_n \dots}^{-D} &\xrightarrow{f} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 + \delta_1)(2 + \delta_1 + \delta_2) \dots (2 + \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_n)} \equiv \\ &\equiv \Delta_{\delta_1 \delta_2 \dots \delta_n \dots}^E = f(x) = y. \end{aligned}$$

That is argument of the mapping  $f$  is represented by the alternating Cantor series and its value is represented by the positive Engel series

and  $f : M_{s_0} \rightarrow C[E, V_n]$ , where  $V_n = A'_n$ . The last-mentioned mapping is not bijection in nega-D-rational points

$$\Delta_{\delta_1 \delta_2 \dots \delta_{k-1} \delta_k [d_{k+1}-1] 0 [d_{k+3}-1] \dots}^{-D} = \Delta_{\delta_1 \delta_2 \dots \delta_{k-1} [\delta_k-1] 0 [d_{k+2}-1] 0 [d_{k+4}-1] \dots}^{-D}.$$

It is true, when  $s_0 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{k-1} 1 [d_{k+1}-1] [d_{k+2}-1] [d_{k+3}-1] \dots}^{-D}$ . Continuum power of  $M_{s_0}$  follows from continuity of  $C[E, V_n]$  because a set of nega-D-rational numbers is no-more-than countable set in  $M_{s_0}$  and one can to use one from two representations of the nega-D-rational number (for example, first) for a case, when an argument is a such number.

Let us prove that the set  $M_{s_0}$  is a nowhere dense set.

Let us choose a cylinder  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{n-1}}^{M_{s_0}}$ , that a condition  $\varepsilon_n \neq 0$  is true for  $s_0 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n}^{-D}$ . Let us investigate the mutual placement of cylinders  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{n-1} 0}^{M_{s_0}}$ ,  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{n-1} \varepsilon_n}^{M_{s_0}}$ . Let  $n$  be an even number, then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{n-1} \varepsilon_n}^{M_{s_0}} - \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{n-1} 0}^{M_{s_0}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^i c_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} - \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n+2k-1}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n+2k-1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^i c_i}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_i} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n+2k}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n+2k}} = \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_n}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n+k}}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n+k}} = \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n} \left( \varepsilon_n - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n+k}}{d_{n+1} \dots d_{n+k}} \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is cylinders are left-to-right situated and the last-mentioned difference equals to zero, when  $s_0 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} 1 [d_{n+1}-1] [d_{n+2}-1] [d_{n+3}-1] \dots}^{-D}$ .

Analogously, for the inequality

$$\inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{n-1} 0}^{M_{s_0}} - \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{n-1} \varepsilon_n}^{M_{s_0}} \geq 0,$$

the same result is obtained in the case of odd  $n$ . That is cylinders  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}$  are right-to-left situated. So, for any interval belonging to  $[\inf M_{s_0}; \sup M_{s_0}]$  there exists subinterval, that the subinterval does not contain points from  $M_{s_0}$  because  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{n-1} 0}^{M_{s_0}} \cap \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{n-1} \varepsilon_n}^{M_{s_0}} \neq \emptyset$  iff

$$\varepsilon_n = 0 \text{ or } s_0 = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} 1 [d_{n+1}-1] [d_{n+2}-1] [d_{n+3}-1] \dots}^{-D}.$$

Let us prove that  $M_{s_0}$  is a closed set without isolated points. Let us choose an arbitrary limit point  $x_0$  from  $M_{s_0}$ . From definition of the point it follows that interval  $(x_0 - \varepsilon; x_0 + \varepsilon)$  contains at least one point from  $M_{s_0}$  (not coinciding with  $x_0$ ) for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If there does not exist unique

closed interval  $I_{\delta_1(x_0)\delta_2(x_0)\dots\delta_n(x_0)}^{M_{s_0}}$ , that  $x_0$  belongs to the closed interval, then  $x_0$  belongs to one from adjacent to  $M_{s_0}$  intervals. Therefore, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $(x_0 - \varepsilon_0; x_0 + \varepsilon_0) \cap M_{s_0} = \emptyset$ . In this case  $x_0$  is not limit. If there exists closed interval  $I_{\delta_1(x_0)\delta_2(x_0)\dots\delta_n(x_0)}^{M_{s_0}}$ , then

$$M_{s_0} \ni \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{\delta_1(x_0)\delta_2(x_0)\dots\delta_n(x_0)}^{M_{s_0}} = x_0.$$

Hence,  $M_{s_0}$  is a closed set.

Suppose that there exists certain isolated point  $x' = \Delta_{\delta_1\delta_2\dots\delta_n}^{-D}$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$(x' - \varepsilon_0; x' + \varepsilon_0) \cap (M_{s_0} \setminus \{x'\}) = \emptyset. \quad (8)$$

Let us choose a number  $m$  such that  $d(\Delta_{\delta_1\delta_2\dots\delta_m}^{M_{s_0}}) < \varepsilon_0$  and  $\varepsilon_{m+1}(s_0) \neq 0$ . Then  $\Delta_{\delta_1\delta_2\dots\delta_m}^{M_{s_0}} \subset (x' - \varepsilon_0; x' + \varepsilon_0)$  and

$$x' \neq x = \Delta_{\delta_1\delta_2\dots\delta_n\sigma\delta_{m+2}\dots}^{-D} \in (x' - \varepsilon_0; x' + \varepsilon_0) \cap M_{s_0}, \text{ where}$$

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{m+1}, & \text{if } \delta_{m+1} = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } \delta_{m+1} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The last contradicts (8). So, the assumption is false. The set  $M_{s_0}$  does not contain isolated points.

Let us calculate a Lebesgue measure of  $M_{s_0}$ . Let  $F_k$  be a union of cylinder closed intervals  $I_{c_1c_2\dots c_k}^{M_{s_0}}$  of rank  $k$  ( $c_k \in A'_k$ ). Then  $M_{s_0} \subset F_k \subset F_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda(M_{s_0}) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_k$ . From  $d(\Delta_{c_1c_2\dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}) = |I_{c_1c_2\dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}|$  and properties of  $\Delta_{c_1c_2\dots c_n}^{M_{s_0}}$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(M_{s_0}) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( 2^k \cdot \underbrace{\Delta_{0\dots 0}^D}_{\varepsilon_{k+1}(s_0)\varepsilon_{k+2}(s_0)\varepsilon_{k+3}(s_0)\dots} \right) = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{2^k}{d_1d_2\dots d_k} \cdot \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i(s_0)}{d_{k+1}\dots d_i} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

## References

- [1] S. Alberverio, O. Baranovskiy, M. Pratsiovytyi, and G. Torbin, *The Ostrogradsky series and related Cantor-like sets*, Acta Arith. **130** (2007), no. 3, pp.215-230.

- [2] S. Alberverio, M. Pratsiovytyi, G. Torbin *Transformations preserving the Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension*, Central European Journal of Mathematics, **6**, No. 1, 2008, pp. 119-128.
- [3] O. M. Baranovskyi, I. M. Pratsiovyta, M. V. Pratsiovytyi, *On one function related to first and second Ostrogradsky series*, Trans. Dragomanov Nat. Pedagogical Univ. Ser. 1, Physics and Mathematics, N.**10**, 2009, pp. 40-49 (in Ukrainian).
- [4] G. Cantor, *Ueber die einfachen Zahlensysteme*, Z. Math. Phys., N.**14**, 1869, pp. 121-128.
- [5] S. O. Dmytrenko, D. V. Kyurchev, M. V. Pratsiovytyi,  *$A_2$ -continued fraction representation of real numbers and its geometry*, Ukr. Mat. Zh. **61** (2009), no. 4, pp. 452-463 (in Ukrainian).
- [6] M. V. Lebid, G. M. Torbin, *On DP-transformations generated by random variables with independent  $C$ -symbols*, Trans. Dragomanov Nat. Pedagogical Univ. Ser. 1, Physics and Mathematics, N.**14**, 2013, pp. 240-252 (in Ukrainian).
- [7] Bill Mance, *Normal numbers with respect to the Cantor series expansion*, The Ohio State University, 2010, 290 p.
- [8] I. M. Pratsiovyta, *On expansions of numbers in alternating  $s$ -adic series and first and second Ostrogradsky series*, Ukr. Mat. Zh. **61** (2009), no. 7, pp. 958-968 (in Ukrainian).
- [9] I. M. Pratsiovyta, M. V. Zadnipyryanyi, *Expansions of numbers in Sylvester series and their applications*, Trans. Dragomanov Nat. Pedagogical Univ. Ser. 1, Physics and Mathematics, N.**10**, 2009, pp. 73-87 (in Ukrainian).
- [10] M. V. Pratsiovytyi, *Fractal approach to investigation of singular probability distributions*, Dragomanov Nat. Pedagogical Univ. Publ., Kyiv, 1998 (in Ukrainian).
- [11] M. V. Pratsiovytyi, B. I. Hetman, *Engel series and their applications*, Trans. Dragomanov Nat. Pedagogical Univ. Ser. 1, Physics and Mathematics, N.**7**, 2006, pp. 105-116 (in Ukrainian).
- [12] M. V. Pratsiovytyi, Yu. Khvorostina, *Set of incomplete sums of alternating Lüroth series and probability distributions on it*, Trans. Dragomanov Nat. Pedagogical Univ. Ser. 1, Physics and Mathematics, N.**10**, 2009, pp. 14-27 (in Ukrainian).
- [13] Yu. Ralko, *Representation of numbers by the Cantor series and some its applications*, Trans. Dragomanov Nat. Pedagogical Univ. Ser. 1, Physics and Mathematics, N.**10**, 2009, pp. 132-140 (in Ukrainian).
- [14] S. Serbenyuk, *Representation of numbers by the positive Cantor series: expansion for rational numbers*, Trans. Dragomanov Nat. Pedagogical Univ. Ser. 1, Physics and Mathematics, N.**14**, 2013, pp. 253-267 (in Ukrainian).
- [15] S. O. Serbenyuk, *Real numbers representation by the Cantor series*, International Conference on Algebra dedicated to 100th anniversary of S.M. Chernikov: Abstracts, Kyiv: Dragomanov National Pedagogical University, 2012. - P. 136.
- [16] Sergio Alberverio, Ganna Ivanenko, Mykola Lebid, Grygoriy Torbin, *On the Hausdorff dimension faithfulness and the Cantor series expansion*, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1305.6036v1.pdf>
- [17] A. F. Turbin, M. V. Pratsiovytyi *Fractal sets, functions and probability distributions*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1992 (in Russian).
- [18] Yu. I. Zhykharyeva, M. V. Pratsiovytyi, *Representations of numbers by positive Lüroth series: elements of metric theory*, Trans. Dragomanov Nat. Pedagogical Univ. Ser. 1, Physics and Mathematics, N.**9**, 2008, pp. 200-211 (in Ukrainian).

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**S. Serbenyuk**

Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,  
Tereschenkivska St. 3, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine

*E-Mail:* `simon.mathscience@imath.kiev.ua`,  
`simon6@ukr.net`

*URL:*