

# MANIFOLDS CONTAINING AN AMPLE $\mathbb{P}^1$ -BUNDLE

DANIEL LITT

ABSTRACT. Sommese has conjectured a classification of smooth projective varieties  $X$  containing, as an ample divisor, a  $\mathbb{P}^d$ -bundle  $Y$  over a smooth variety  $Z$ . This conjecture is known if  $d > 1$ , if  $\dim(X) \leq 4$ , or if  $Z$  admits a finite morphism to an Abelian variety. We confirm the conjecture if the Picard rank  $\rho(Z) = 1$ , or if  $Z$  is not uniruled. In general we reduce the conjecture to a conjectural characterization of projective space: namely that if  $W$  is a smooth projective variety,  $\mathcal{E}$  is an ample vector bundle on  $W$ , and  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, T_W) \neq 0$ , then  $W \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction		1
2. The Proof		2
References		4

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Beltrametti and Sommese give a conjectural classification of smooth projective varieties  $X$  containing a  $\mathbb{P}^d$ -bundle as an ample divisor [BS95, Conjecture 5.5.1]. The main goal of this paper is to prove this conjecture in the case where  $X$  has minimal Picard rank,

$$\rho(X) = 2.$$

Throughout the paper we work over  $\mathbb{C}$ ; the phrase “ $\mathbb{P}^d$ -bundle,” will be taken to mean a  $\mathbb{P}^d$ -bundle locally trivial in the analytic topology.

The conjecture is:

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and  $Y \subset X$  a smooth ample divisor. Suppose that  $p : Y \rightarrow Z$  is a morphism exhibiting  $Y$  as a  $\mathbb{P}^d$ -bundle over a  $b$ -dimensional manifold  $Z$ . Then one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is a smooth quadric, and  $p$  is one of the projections to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .
- (2)  $X \simeq Q^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  is a smooth quadric threefold,  $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is a hyperplane section, and  $p$  is a projection to one of the factors.
- (3)  $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^b$ ,  $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^b$ ,  $p : Y \rightarrow Z$  is the projection to the second factor, and  $X$  is the projectivization of an ample vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .
- (4)  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  for an ample vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $Z$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_X(Y) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$  (i.e.  $Y$  is a fiberwise hyperplane).

Sommese has proven the case where  $d \geq 2$  (see e.g. [BS95, Theorem 5.5.2]). The cases where  $d = 1$  and  $b = 1, 2$  are due to the work of several authors (see

e.g. [BI09, Theorem 7.4] and the references therein). We prove the conjecture in the case where

$$\rho(Z) = 1,$$

(if  $\dim(X) \geq 4$ , this is equivalent to  $\rho(X) = 2$ ) and in general reduce it to a plausible conjectural improvement of a result of Andreatta-Wisniewski [AW01], namely

**Conjecture 2.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and  $\mathcal{E}$  an ample vector bundle on  $X$ . If*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, T_X) \neq 0,$$

*then  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ .*

We also prove the conjecture in the case that  $Z$  is not uniruled.

*Remark 3.* By [AW01], the existence of a map  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow T_X$  of constant rank implies  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ ; likewise, [AKP08, Corollary 4.3] proves the conjecture if  $\rho(X) = 1$ . One may also use the methods of [AKP08, Section 4] to prove the conjecture if there exists a map  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow T_X$  generically of maximal rank.

The idea of our argument is to show (via an analysis of the deformation theory of the map  $p : Y \rightarrow Z$ ) that either  $p$  extends to a map  $\hat{p} : X \rightarrow Z$  (using results of [Lit16]), or there is an ample vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $Z$  and a map  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow T_Z$ . In the former case, we are done by work of Sommese; in the latter case, we may apply Conjecture 2 to proceed.

**Acknowledgments.** This note owes a debt to conversations with Tommaso de Fernex, Paltin Ionescu, and Jason Starr. It was written with support from an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship.

## 2. THE PROOF

We first show:

**Lemma 4.** *As before, let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety,  $Y \subset X$  a smooth ample divisor, and  $p : Y \rightarrow Z$  a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. Let  $\hat{Y}$  be the formal scheme obtained by completing  $X$  at  $Y$ . If  $p : Y \rightarrow Z$  does not extend to a morphism  $\hat{p} : \hat{Y} \rightarrow Z$ , then there exists an ample vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $Z$  and a non-zero morphism  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow T_Z$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  be the ideal sheaf of  $Y$ , and let  $Y_n$  be the subscheme of  $X$  cut out by  $\mathcal{I}_Y^n$ . Then the obstruction to extending a map

$$p_n : Y_n \rightarrow Z$$

to a map

$$Y_{n+1} \rightarrow Z$$

lies in

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(p^*\Omega_Z^1, \mathcal{I}_Y^n/\mathcal{I}_Y^{n+1}) = H^1(Y, p^*T_Z \otimes \mathcal{I}_Y^n/\mathcal{I}_Y^{n+1}).$$

This last is equal to

$$H^1(\mathbf{R}p_*(p^*T_Z \otimes \mathcal{I}_Y^n/\mathcal{I}_Y^{n+1}))$$

which is the same as

$$H^1(T_Z \otimes \mathbf{R}p_*\mathcal{I}_Y^n/\mathcal{I}_Y^{n+1})$$

by the projection formula. As  $\mathcal{I}_Y^n/\mathcal{I}_Y^{n+1}$  is anti-ample, this last equals

$$H^0(T_Z \otimes \mathbf{R}^1p_*\mathcal{I}_Y^n/\mathcal{I}_Y^{n+1}).$$

Applying Serre duality, we see that this is the same as

$$H^0(T_Z \otimes (p_*(\mathcal{O}(nY)|_Y \otimes \omega_{Y/Z}))^\vee) = \text{Hom}(p_*(\omega_{Y/Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}(nY)|_Y), T_Z).$$

But by [MT07, Theorem 1.2],

$$p_*(\omega_{Y/Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}(nY)|_Y)$$

is either zero or ample. Thus either the problem of extending  $p$  to  $\widehat{Y}$  is unobstructed, or the obstruction is a non-zero map from an ample vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $Z$  to  $T_Z$ , as desired.  $\square$

We will also require:

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension at least 3, and  $Y \subset X$  an ample divisor. Let  $Z$  be a smooth variety with  $\dim(Z) < \dim(Y)$ . Then the restriction map*

$$\text{Hom}(X, Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\widehat{Y}, Z)$$

*is a bijection. Here  $\widehat{Y}$  is, as before, the formal scheme obtained by completing  $X$  at  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* This is a combination of two results from [Lit16]. First, by [Lit16, Corollary 2.10], applied to the projection  $X \times Z \rightarrow X$ , a map  $p : \widehat{Y} \rightarrow Z$  extends uniquely to some Zariski-open neighborhood  $U$  of  $Y$ . Second, by [Lit16, Corollary 3.3], this rational map to  $Y$  is in fact regular.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $X, Y, Z, p$  be as in Conjecture 1. Suppose that either*

- (1)  $Z$  is not uniruled, or
- (2)  $\rho(Z) = 1$  (equivalently,  $\rho(X) = \rho(Y) = 2$ ).

*Then Conjecture 1 is true for  $X, Y, Z, p$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality,  $p$  has relative dimension 1 (i.e. it exhibits  $Y$  as a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $Z$ ) as the case of relative dimension greater than 1 is already known [BS95, Theorem 5.5.2]. We may also assume  $\dim(Z) > 2$ , as again, if  $\dim(Z) \leq 2$ , the result is already known [BI09, Theorem 7.4].

By Lemma 4, either  $p$  extends to a map  $\hat{p} : \widehat{Y} \rightarrow Z$  or  $T_Z$  contains an ample subsheaf, namely the image of  $\mathcal{E}$  from Lemma 4. In the former case, the map  $p$  extends to a map  $\tilde{p} : X \rightarrow Z$  by Lemma 5 and we are done by [BI09, Theorem 5.5(ii)] (in particular, we are in case (4) of the conjecture). In the latter case, we consider the situations

- (1)  $Z$  not uniruled, or
- (2)  $\rho(Z) = 1$

separately.

- (1) Suppose  $Z$  is not uniruled. Then  $T_Z$  contains no ample subsheaves by a result of Miyaoka (see e.g. [Kol96, IV.1.16]), so we have a contradiction.
- (2) Alternately, suppose  $\rho(Z) = 1$ . Then as  $T_Z$  contains an ample subsheaf, by [AKP08, Corollary 4.3],  $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ . By [FSS87, Theorem 2.1] we conclude the result, namely that we are in case (3) of the conjecture.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *Suppose that Conjecture 2 is true. Then Conjecture 1 holds as well.*

*Proof.* This is the same argument as in the  $\rho(Z) = 1$  case above, replacing the reference to [AKP08] with Conjecture 2.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AKP08] Marian Aprodu, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Galois coverings and endomorphisms of projective varieties. *Math. Z.*, 260(2):431–449, 2008. 2, 3, 4
- [AW01] Marco Andreatta and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. On manifolds whose tangent bundle contains an ample subbundle. *Invent. Math.*, 146(1):209–217, 2001. 2
- [BI09] Mauro C. Beltrametti and Paltin Ionescu. A view on extending morphisms from ample divisors. In *Interactions of classical and numerical algebraic geometry*, volume 496 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 71–110. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009. 2, 3
- [BS95] Mauro C. Beltrametti and Andrew J. Sommese. *The adjunction theory of complex projective varieties*, volume 16 of *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995. 1, 3
- [FSS87] Maria Lucia Fania, Ei-ichi Sato, and Andrew John Sommese. On the structure of 4-folds with a hyperplane section which is a  $\mathbf{p}^1$  bundle over a surface that fibres over a curve. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 108:1–14, 1987. 3
- [Kol96] János Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. 3
- [Lit16] D. Litt. Non-Abelian Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorems. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2016. 2, 3
- [MT07] Christophe Mourougane and Shigeharu Takayama. Hodge metrics and positivity of direct images. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 606:167–178, 2007. 3