

# SHINTANI ZETA FUNCTIONS AND A REFINEMENT OF GROSS'S LEADING TERM CONJECTURE

MINORU HIROSE

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of *Shintani data*, which axiomatizes algebraic aspects of Shintani zeta functions. We develop the general theory of Shintani data, and show that the order of vanishing part of Gross's conjecture follows from the existence of a Shintani datum. Recently, Dasgupta and Spiess proved the order of vanishing part of Gross's conjecture under certain conditions. We give an alternative proof of their result by constructing a certain Shintani datum. We also propose a refinement of Gross's leading term conjecture by using the theory of Shintani data. Our conjecture gives a conjectural construction of localized Rubin-Stark elements which can be regarded as a higher rank generalization of the conjectural construction of Gross-Stark units due to Dasgupta and Dasgupta-Spiess.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	2
0.1. Rubin-Stark conjecture and Rubin-Stark elements	2
0.2. A refinement of Gross's leading term conjecture	2
0.3. Conjectural construction of localized Rubin-Stark element.	5
Notation	6
1. $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani data and construction of $Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$ .	6
1.1. Definition of $\mathcal{F}(n)$ .	6
1.2. Double complexes.	7
1.3. Definition of $Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$	9
2. The construction of an $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum $(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta)$ .	10
2.1. Definition of $B$ .	11
2.2. Definition of functor $\beta$ .	12
2.3. Definition of natural transformation $\xi_{v,e} : B \rightarrow \beta$	12
2.4. Definitions of natural transformations $\psi : \beta \rightarrow R$ and $\mathcal{L}_{v,e} : B \rightarrow R$	13
2.5. Definition of $\vartheta$ .	14
2.6. Proof that $(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta)$ is a Shintani datum.	14
2.7. Proof that $(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta)$ is an $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum.	17
3. A certain submodule of $(\bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^{\times}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)]$ .	19
4. The conjecture	21
4.1. Refinement of Gross's leading term conjecture	21
4.2. Conjectural construction of localized Rubin-Stark element	22
References	23

## INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we formulate a conjecture concerning to the special value of Shimura zeta functions. This conjecture has two aspects. The one is a refinement of Gross's leading term conjecture. The other is a conjectural construction of localized Rubin-Stark elements.

**0.1. Rubin-Stark conjecture and Rubin-Stark elements.** Let  $F$  be a totally real field. For a finite abelian extension  $E$  of  $F$  and a set  $S$  of places of  $F$ , we write  $S_E$  for the set of places of  $E$  lying above places in  $S$ . For a finite place  $v$  of  $F$ , we write  $F_v$  for the completion of  $F$  at  $v$  and  $O_v$  for the maximal compact subring of  $F_v$ . We write  $S_\infty$  for the set of infinite places of  $F$ .

Let  $S$  and  $T$  be disjoint finite sets of places of  $F$  such that  $S \supset S_\infty$ . For a finite abelian extension  $E$  of  $F$ , we write  $\mathcal{O}_{E,S}$  for the ring of  $S_E$ -integers of  $E$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{E,S,T}^\times$  for the group of units of  $\mathcal{O}_{E,S}$  congruent to 1 modulo all places in  $T_E$ . For a finite abelian extension  $E$  of  $F$  unramified outside  $S$ , we write  $\Theta_{E,S,T}(s)$  for the Stickelberger function. Put

$$\Theta_{E,S,T} = \Theta_{E,S,T}(0) \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)].$$

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  be distinct elements of  $S$ . Put  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ . Assume that  $V \neq S$ . Let  $H$  be a finite abelian extension of  $F$  unramified outside  $S$  such that  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  split completely in  $H/F$ . Fix places  $w_1, \dots, w_r$  of  $H$  lying above  $v_1, \dots, v_r$ . In Section 3, we define a certain submodule  $\Lambda_{H,S,T}$  of  $(\bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Gal}(H/F)]$ . The module  $\Lambda_{H,S,T}$  is isomorphic to the Rubin-Stark lattice  $\Lambda_{S,T} \subset \mathbb{Q} \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Gal}(H/F)]}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times$  defined in [10]. Rubin-Stark conjecture is equivalent to the following conjecture (see Conjecture 4.1).

**Conjecture 0.1** (Rubin-Stark conjecture, equivalent to Conjecture B in [10]). *Let  $R_\infty : (\bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Gal}(H/F)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[\mathrm{Gal}(H/F)]$  be a homomorphism defined by*

$$R_\infty(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \otimes [\sigma]) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^r -\log |u_j|_{w_j} \right) [\sigma].$$

*Then there exists a unique element  $\epsilon_{H,S,T,V} \in \Lambda_{H,S,T}$  such that*

$$R_\infty(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{-r} \Theta_{H,S,T}(s).$$

The element  $\epsilon_{H,S,T,V} \in \Lambda_{H,S,T}$  in Conjecture 0.1 is called *Rubin-Stark element*.

**0.2. A refinement of Gross's leading term conjecture.** Let  $(H/F, S, T, (v_i)_i, (w_i)_i)$  be as in Section 0.1. Put  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ . Let  $K$  be a finite abelian extension of  $F$  unramified outside  $S$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{K,S,T}^\times$  is torsion free and  $H \subset K$ . Put  $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$ . By a result of Deligne-Ribet [7],  $\Theta_{K,S,T}$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ . Let  $G_v \subset G$  be the decomposition group at a place  $v$  of  $F$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , we denote by  $f_j$  the natural composite map

$$\mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times \hookrightarrow H^\times \hookrightarrow H_{w_j}^\times = F_{v_j}^\times \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rec}} G_{v_j} \hookrightarrow G.$$

For an abelian group  $A$  and a subgroup  $U$  of  $A$ , we write  $I_{U,A}$  for the kernel of the projection  $\mathbb{Z}[A] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[A/U]$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned} I'_H &= I_{\text{Gal}(K/H),G} \subset \mathbb{Z}[G] \\ D' &= \prod_{v \in V} I_{G_v,G} \subset \mathbb{Z}[G]. \end{aligned}$$

The (usual) regulator

$$R_{H/F,S,T,G} : \left( \bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)] \rightarrow D'/I'_H D'$$

is a homomorphism defined by

$$R_{H/F,S,T,G}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \otimes [\tau]) = [\tau] \prod_{j=1}^r ([f_j(u_j)] - [1]).$$

The Gross's leading term conjecture is as follows.

**Conjecture 0.2** (Gross's leading term conjecture). *We have*

$$\Theta_{K,S,T} \equiv R_{H/F,S,T,G}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}) \pmod{I'_H D'}.$$

The original form of this conjecture was given by Gross [9] and refined by many authors. This conjecture is one of such a refinement by the ideas of Tate [11], Aoki [1] and Burns [2], and equivalent to  $\text{MRS}(K/H/F, S, T, \emptyset, V)$  in [3]. Since  $R_{H/F,S,T,G}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}) \in \prod_{v \in V} I_{G_v,G}$ , this conjecture implies the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 0.3** (The order of vanishing part of Gross conjecture). *We have*

$$\Theta_{K,S,T} \in D'.$$

Fix an open subgroup  $J$  of  $\prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times$  which can be written as a direct product of open subgroups

$$J_v \subset F_v^\times \quad (v \in S).$$

We denote by  $\Upsilon(J)$  the set of finite abelian extensions  $E$  of  $F$  unramified outside  $S$  such that  $J \subset \ker(\prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times \xrightarrow{\text{rec}} \text{Gal}(E/F))$ . We assume that  $H \in \Upsilon(J)$ . For a place  $v \notin S$  of  $F$ , put  $J_v = \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ . For a place  $v$  of  $F$ , put  $N_v^{(J)} = F_v^\times / J_v$ . Put

$$N_F^{(J)} = \mathbb{A}_F^\times / \prod_v J_v = \prod_v' N_v^{(J)}$$

where  $\mathbb{A}_F^\times$  is the idele group of  $F$  and  $v$  runs all places of  $F$ . If there is no risk of confusion, we simply write  $N_F$  for  $N_F^{(J)}$  and  $N_v$  for  $N_v^{(J)}$ .

Put

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \prod_{v \in V} I_{N_v, N_F} \subset \mathbb{Z}[N_F] \\ I_H &= \ker(\mathbb{Z}[N_F] \xrightarrow{\text{rec}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)]) \\ I_{F^\times} &= \ker(\mathbb{Z}[N_F] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]} \mathbb{Z}[N_F]). \end{aligned}$$

For  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , we denote by  $\eta_j$  the natural composite map  $\mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times \hookrightarrow H^\times \hookrightarrow H_{w_j}^\times = F_{v_j}^\times \rightarrow N_{v_j} \hookrightarrow N_F$ . We define the (enhanced) regulator map

$$\hat{R}_{H/F,S,T,J} : \left( \bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)] \rightarrow D/I_H D$$

by

$$u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \otimes [\sigma] \mapsto [\bar{\sigma}] \prod_{j=1}^r ([\eta_j(u_j)] - [1])$$

where  $\bar{\sigma} \in N_F$  is any element of  $\text{rec}^{-1}(\sigma)$ . If  $K \in \Upsilon(J)$  then we have

$$R_{H/F,S,T,G} = \varphi \circ \hat{R}_{H/F,S,T,J}$$

where  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[N_F^{(J)}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G]$  is a homomorphism induced by the reciprocity map.

Fix  $J = \prod_{v \in V} J_v \subset \prod_{v \in V} F_v^\times$ . Assume that  $K \in \Upsilon(J)$ . See the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[N_F]/I_{F \times D} & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \mathbb{Z}[G] \\ \downarrow f_2 & & \downarrow f_3 \\ \mathbb{Z}[N_F]/I_H D & \xrightarrow{f_4} & \mathbb{Z}[G]/I_H D' \end{array}$$

where  $f_1, f_4$  are maps induced by the reciprocity map and  $f_2, f_3$  are projections. Note that  $\Theta_{K,S,T}$  is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  and  $\hat{R}_{H/F,S,T,J}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V})$  is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[N_F]/I_H D$ . Conjecture 0.2 is equivalent to the statement

$$f_3(\Theta_{K,S,T}) = f_4(\hat{R}_{H/F,S,T,J}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V})).$$

In this paper, under some conditions, we construct a special element  $\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V,J}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[N_F]/I_{F \times D}$  such that  $f_1(\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V,J}) = \Theta_{K,S,T}$ . Furthermore, we propose a conjecture (see Conjecture 0.7 below)

$$f_2(\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V,J}) = \hat{R}_{H/F,S,T,J}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}).$$

In Section 1, we introduce the notion of an  $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum. An  $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum is a triple  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  satisfying some conditions. Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  be an  $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum. In Section 1, we construct an element  $Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  of  $D/I_{F \times D}$  such that

$$f_1(Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)) = \Theta_{K,S,T}$$

for all  $K \in \Upsilon(J)$ . This gives a following theorem (see Theorem 1.11).

**Theorem 0.4.** *If an  $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum exists then Conjecture 0.3 is true for  $K \in \Upsilon(J)$ .*

Thus the order of vanishing part of Gross's conjecture is reduced to the existence of an  $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum. The author hopes that this axiomatic approach will lead to the full solution of the vanishing order part of Gross's conjecture in further investigations.

We say that a prime ideal  $\eta$  is degree one if  $N\eta$  is a rational prime. Let us consider the following conditions.

**Definition 0.5.** We say that  $(S, T, V)$  satisfies (C1) if

- $V \not\supset S_\infty$ ,

- no prime of  $S$  has the same residue characteristic as any prime in  $T$ ,
- no two primes in  $T$  have the same residue characteristic,
- $T$  contains at least two prime ideal of degree one, or  $T$  contains at least one prime ideal  $\eta$  of degree one such that  $N\eta \geq n + 2$ .

If (C1) holds then  $\Theta_{E,S,T}$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(E/F)]$  for any abelian extension  $E/F$  unramified outside  $S$ .

In Section 2, for all  $J$ , we construct a certain Shintani datum  $(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta)$  under the conditions that  $(S, T, V)$  satisfies (C1). This gives an alternative proof of the following theorem by Dasgupta and Spiess (see Corollary 2.17).

**Theorem 0.6** ([6]). *If  $(S, T, V)$ -satisfies (C1) then Conjecture 0.3 is true.*

Assume that  $(S, T, V)$ -satisfies (C1). We define an element  $\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V,J}$  of  $D/I_{F \times D}$  by

$$\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V,J} = Q(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta).$$

We propose a following conjecture (see Conjecture 4.2).

**Conjecture 0.7.** *For all  $J$ ,*

$$f_2(\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V,J}) = \hat{R}_{H/F,S,T,J}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}).$$

This is a refinement of Conjecture 0.2.

**0.3. Conjectural construction of localized Rubin-Stark element.** Let  $\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}$  be the Rubin-Stark element. The natural composite maps

$$H^\times \hookrightarrow H_{w_j}^\times = F_{v_j}^\times \rightarrow N_{v_j}^{(J)}$$

induce a map

$$\text{loc}_J : \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)] \rightarrow \bigotimes_{j=1}^r N_{v_j}^{(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)].$$

Put

$$\text{loc} = \left( \lim_{\leftarrow J} \text{loc}_J \right) : \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)] \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow J} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^r N_{v_j}^{(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)] \right).$$

In Section 4, we give a conjectural exact formula for

$$\text{loc}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}) \in \lim_{\leftarrow J} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^r N_{v_j}^{(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)] \right)$$

by using  $\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V,J}$  (Conjecture 4.7). The conjectural construction of Gross-Stark units due to Dasgupta [5] and Dasgupta-Spiess [6] can be regarded as the  $r = 1$  case of our formula.

*Acknowledgements.* The author would like to thank Nobuo Sato and Takamichi Sano for helpful discussions.

NOTATION

Throughout this paper, we use the same notation as in the introduction. We fix a totally real field  $F$ , disjoint finite sets  $(S, T)$  of places of  $F$  such that  $S \supset S_\infty$ , a subset  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\} \subsetneq S$ , and an open subgroup  $J = \prod_{v \in S} J_v \subset \prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times$ . We put  $S_f = S \setminus S_\infty$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{Sub}(V)$  the category of subsets of  $V$  whose morphisms are inclusions. For  $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset V$ ,  $r_{U_1}^{U_2}$  means a unique element of  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sub}(V)^{\mathrm{op}}}(U_2, U_1)$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{Mod}(F^\times)$  the category of  $\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]$ -modules. Unadorned tensor products are over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , except for Section 1. Unadorned tensor products are over  $\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]$  in Section 1. For a  $\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]$ -module  $M$ , we denote  $\ker(M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]} \mathbb{Z})$  by  $IM$ . Any map induced by the reciprocity map is denoted by  $\mathrm{rec}$ . For  $x \in F^\times$ , we define  $\mathrm{sgn}(x) \in \{\pm 1\}$  by

$$\mathrm{sgn}(x) = \prod_{v \in S_\infty} \mathrm{sgn}(|x|_v).$$

1.  $(S, T, V, J)$ -SHINTANI DATA AND CONSTRUCTION OF  $Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$ .

In this section, if we omit the subscript of  $\otimes$ , it means that the tensor product is over  $\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]$ . Let  $R$  be a functor from  $\mathbf{Sub}(V)^{\mathrm{op}}$  to  $\mathbf{Mod}(F^\times)$  defined by

$$R(W) = \mathbb{Z}[N_F / \prod_{v \in V \setminus W} N_v].$$

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a functor from  $\mathbf{Sub}(V)^{\mathrm{op}}$  to  $\mathbf{Mod}(F^\times)$ ,  $\varphi$  a natural transform from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $R$ , and  $x$  an element of  $\mathcal{F}(V)/I\mathcal{F}(V)$ . We say that the triple  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  is a Shintani datum if the following conditions hold.

- (1)  $H_i(F^\times, \mathcal{F}W) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$  and  $W \subset V$ .
- (2) For all  $W \subsetneq V$ ,  $r_W^V(\bar{x}) \in I\mathcal{F}(W)$  where  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  is a lift of  $x$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A Shintani datum  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  is an  $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum if

$$\mathrm{rec}(\varphi(\bar{x})) = \Theta_{K, S, T}$$

for all  $K \in \Upsilon(J)$  where  $\mathrm{rec} : \mathbb{Z}[N_F] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)]$  is the map induced by the reciprocity map and  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}V$  is a lift of  $x$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  be a Shintani datum. In this section, we define an element  $Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x) \in D/I_{F^\times}D$ .

**1.1. Definition of  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ .** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a functor from  $\mathbf{Sub}(V)^{\mathrm{op}}$  to  $\mathbf{Mod}(F^\times)$ . We put

$$\mathcal{F}(k) = \bigoplus_{\substack{W \subset V \\ \#W=k}} \mathcal{F}W.$$

Let us fix the ordering of  $V$ . For  $W_1, W_2 \subset V$ , we define  $c(W_1, W_2) \in \{0, 1, -1\}$  by

$$c(W_1, W_2) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i-1} & W_2 = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \text{ and } W_1 = W_2 \setminus \{w_i\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $w_1 < \dots < w_k$ . We define a homomorphism  $\partial : \mathcal{F}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(k-1)$  by

$$\partial(x) = \sum_{\substack{U \subset W \\ c(U, W) \neq 0}} c(U, W) r_U^W(x) \quad (x \in \mathcal{F}W).$$

We have  $\partial \circ \partial = 0$ .

1.2. **Double complexes.** Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  be a Shintani datum. Let

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

be a free resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in the category of  $\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]$ -module. Put  $\mathcal{F}_{i,j} = \mathcal{I}_i \otimes \mathcal{F}(j)$  and  $R_{i,j} = \mathcal{I}_i \otimes R(j)$ .

Let us consider the two complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{F}_{2,r} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{2,r-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{2,0} \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{F}_{1,r} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{1,r-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{1,0} \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{F}_{0,r} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{0,r-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{0,0} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ R_{2,r} & \longrightarrow & R_{2,r-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & R_{2,0} \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ R_{1,r} & \longrightarrow & R_{1,r-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & R_{1,0} \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ R_{0,r} & \longrightarrow & R_{0,r-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & R_{0,0} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

These complexes play an important role in our construction of  $Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$ . We denote the vertical (resp. horizontal) arrows by  $\partial_v$  (resp.  $\partial_h$ ).

**Proposition 1.3.** *The vertical sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{0,k}$$

is exact for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$ .

*Proof.* The claim is equivalent to the statement that

$$H_i(\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E_V]} \mathcal{F}W \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}W \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0 \otimes \mathcal{F}W \rightarrow 0) = 0$$

for all  $W \subset V$  and  $i \geq 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & H_i(\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E_V]} \mathcal{F}W \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}W \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0 \otimes \mathcal{F}W \rightarrow 0) \\ &= \text{Tor}_i^{F^\times}(\mathcal{F}W, \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \text{Tor}_i^{F^\times}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{F}W) \\ &= H_i(F^\times, \mathcal{F}W) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the claim follows from the definition.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.4.** *The horizontal sequence*

$$R_{k,r} \rightarrow R_{k,r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_{k,0} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the exactness of  $R(r) \rightarrow R(r-1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R(0) \rightarrow 0$  since  $\mathcal{I}_k$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]$ -module. Fix  $0 \leq m \leq r-1$ . Let us prove the exactness of  $R(m+1) \rightarrow R(m) \rightarrow R(m-1)$ . We define the subspaces of  $R(m)$  by

$$R^{(k)}(m) = \bigoplus_{\substack{W \subset V \\ \#W=m \\ \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subset W}} R(W) \subset R(m) \quad (k = 0, \dots, r).$$

For  $k = 0, \dots, r-1$ , let  $P(k)$  be the following statement:

If  $a \in R^{(k)}(m) \cap \ker(\partial)$  then there exists  $b \in R^{(k+1)}(m)$  such that  $a - \partial(b) \in R^{(k+1)}(m)$ .

Let us prove  $P(k)$ . Assume that  $a \in R^{(k)}(m) \cap \ker(\partial)$ . Then  $a$  can be written as

$$a = \sum_{\substack{W \subset V \\ \#W=m}} a_W \quad (a_W \in R(W)).$$

Note that we have

$$r_{W \setminus \{v_j\}}^W a_W = 0$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and  $W \supset \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ . Put

$$a_1 = \sum_{\substack{W \subset V \\ \#W=m \\ v_{k+1} \in W}} a_W$$

and

$$a_2 = \sum_{\substack{W \subset V \\ \#W=m \\ v_{k+1} \notin W}} a_W.$$

Then we have  $a = a_1 + a_2$ . For  $W \subset V$  such that  $\#W = m$  and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\} \subset W$ , Let  $b_W \in R(W)$  be any element such that

$$r_{W \setminus \{v_{k+1}\}}^W b_W = C(W \setminus \{v_{k+1}\}, W) a_{W \setminus \{v_{k+1}\}}$$

and

$$r_{W \setminus \{v_j\}}^W b_W = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, k).$$

Put

$$b = \sum_{\substack{W \subset V \\ \#W=m \\ \{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\} \subset W}} b_W.$$

Then we have

$$\partial b - a_2 \in R^{(k+1)}(m).$$

Since  $a_1 \in R^{(k+1)}(m)$ , we have  $a - \partial b \in R^{(k+1)}(m)$ . Thus  $P(k)$  is proved. Since  $R_m^{(0)} = R_m$  and  $R_m^{(r)} = \{0\}$ , the claim is proved.  $\square$



### 1.3. Definition of $Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  be a Shintani datum. Take  $a_j \in \mathcal{F}_{j, r-j}$  for each  $j = 0, 1, \dots, r$  and  $b_j \in R_{j, r-j+1}$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, r+1$ . We say that  $(a_0, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_{r+1})$  is a compatible system for  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  if

- $a_0 \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  is a lift of  $x \in \mathcal{F}(V)/(I_{F^\times} \otimes \mathcal{F}(V))$ ,
- $\partial_v(a_{j+1}) = \partial_h(a_j)$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ ),
- and  $\partial_h(b_j) = \varphi(a_j) - \partial_v(b_{j+1})$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ).

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  be a Shintani datum. There exists a compatible system for  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$ .*

*Proof.* The existence of  $a_1$  follows from the definition of Shintani data. For  $k = 2, \dots, r$ , the existence of  $a_k$  follows from the exactness of the vertical sequence. For  $k = r, r-1, \dots, 1$ , the existence of  $b_k$  follows from Proposition 1.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  be a Shintani datum. If  $(a_0, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_{r+1})$  is a compatible system for  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$ , then*

$$\varphi(a_0) - \partial_v(b_1) \in D.$$

*Proof.* Note that the ideal  $D = \prod_{v \in V} I_{N_v, N_F}$  is equal to

$$\{x \in R(V) \mid r_{V \setminus \{v\}}^V(x) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}.$$

Thus the proposition follows from

$$\partial_h(\varphi(a_0) - \partial_v(b_1)) = 0.$$

$\square$

**Proposition 1.8.**  $\varphi(a_0) - \partial_v(b_1) \pmod{I_{F^\times} D}$  does not depend on the choice of a compatible system  $(a_0, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_{r+1})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(a'_0, \dots, a'_r, b'_1, \dots, b'_r)$  be another compatible system. Then there exists  $c_j \in \mathcal{F}_{j, r+1-j}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r+1$  and  $d_j \in R_{j, r+2-j}$  for  $j = 2, \dots, r+2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a'_0 &= a_0 + \partial_v(c_1) \\ a'_j &= a_j + \partial_v(c_{j+1}) + \partial_h(c_j) \quad (j = 1, \dots, r) \\ b'_j &= b_j + \varphi(c_j) + \partial_h(d_j) - \partial_v(d_{j+1}) \quad (j = 2, \dots, r). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$e = \varphi(c_1) - b'_1 + b_1 + \partial_v(d_2) \in R_{1, r}.$$

Then we have  $e \in \mathcal{I}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]} D$  since  $\partial_h(e) = 0$ . We have

$$\varphi(a'_0) - \partial_v(b'_1) - \varphi(a_0) + \partial_v(b_1) = \partial_v(e) \in I_{F^\times} D.$$

Thus the claim is proved.  $\square$

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  be a Shintani datum. We define  $Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x) \in D/(I_{F^\times} D)$  by

$$Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x) = \varphi(a_0) - \partial_v(b_1)$$

where  $(a_0, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_{r+1})$  is a compatible system for  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$ .

It is obvious that  $Q(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  does not depend on the choice of a free resolution  $\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be functors from  $\mathbf{Sub}(V)^{\text{op}}$  to  $\mathbf{Mod}(F^\times)$ . Let  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow R$  be natural transformations. Let  $x$  be an element of  $\mathcal{F}(V)/(I_{F^\times} \otimes \mathcal{F}(V))$ . If  $(\mathcal{F}, \psi \circ \phi, x)$  and  $(\mathcal{G}, \psi, \phi_V(x))$  are Shintani data then*

$$Q(\mathcal{F}, \psi \circ \phi, x) = Q(\mathcal{G}, \psi, \phi_V(x)).$$

*Proof.* If  $(a_0, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_{r+1})$  is a compatible system for  $(\mathcal{F}, \psi \circ \phi, x)$  then  $(\phi(a_0), \dots, \phi(a_r), b_1, \dots, b_{r+1})$  is a compatible system for  $(\mathcal{G}, \psi, \phi_V(x))$ . Thus the claim holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.11.** *If an  $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum exists then*

$$\Theta_{E, S, T} \in \prod_{v \in V} I_{G_v, G}$$

for all  $E \in \Upsilon(J)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  be an  $(S, T, V)$ -Shintani datum and  $(a_0, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_{r+1})$  a compatible system for  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$ . Since  $(\mathcal{F}, \varphi, x)$  is an  $(S, T, V)$ -Shintani datum, we have

$$(1.1) \quad \text{rec}(\varphi(a_0)) = \Theta_{E, S, T}.$$

Since  $\varphi(a_0) - \partial_v(b_1) \in \prod_{v \in V} I_{N_v, N_F}$  and  $\partial_v(b_1) \in I_{F^\times}$ , we have

$$(1.2) \quad \text{rec}(\varphi(a_0)) = \text{rec}(\varphi(a_0) - \partial_v(b_1)) \in \prod_{v \in V} I_{G_v, G}.$$

The theorem follows from (1.1) and (1.2).  $\square$

## 2. THE CONSTRUCTION OF AN $(S, T, V, J)$ -SHINTANI DATUM $(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta)$ .

In this section, we construct an  $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum  $(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta)$  under the Condition (C1). We assume (C1) throughout this section. We write  $T'$  for the set of primes of  $F$  which have a same residue characteristic as some prime in  $T$ . We write  $I_F$  for the group of fractional ideals of  $F$ ,  $I_{(S)}$  for the group of fractional ideals coprime to  $S$ ,  $I_{(T)}$  for the group of fractional ideals coprime to  $T'$ ,  $I_{(S, T)}$  for the group of fractional ideals coprime to  $S$  and  $T'$ ,  $P_{(T)}$  for the group of principal fractional ideals coprime to  $T'$ ,  $F_{(S)}$  for the group of elements of  $F^\times$  coprime to  $S$ ,  $F_{(T)}$  for the group of elements of  $F^\times$  coprime to  $T'$ . For a finite set  $W$  of places of  $F$ , we put  $N_W = \prod_{v \in W} N_v$ . Put  $N^S = \prod'_{v \notin S} N_v = \prod'_{v \notin S} (F_v^\times / O_v^\times)$ . Note that we have

$$N_F = N_S \oplus N^S.$$

We write  $i_S : F^\times \rightarrow N_F$  for the natural composite map  $F^\times \rightarrow N_S \subset N_F$ , and  $i^S : F^\times \rightarrow N_F$  for the natural composite map  $F^\times \rightarrow N^S \subset N_F$ . Note that  $i_S + i^S$  is equal to a diagonal map  $F^\times \rightarrow N_F$ . Let  $\iota : I_F \rightarrow N^S \subset N_F$  be the unique homomorphism such that  $\iota(x) = i^S(x)$  for all  $x \in F^\times$ . For  $W \subset V$ , put  $\bar{W} = W + (S \setminus V)$ . For  $W \subset S_\infty$ , put  $X_W = \prod_{v \in W} (F_v^\times / \mathbb{R}_+)$ . Put  $E = \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ . We denote by  $E_+$  the group of totally positive units of  $F$ . We put  $n = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

2.1. **Definition of  $B$ .** For a non-empty set  $X$ , let  $C_k(X)$  denote a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by the formal symbols

$$(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (x_1, \dots, x_k \in X).$$

There is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_3(X) \xrightarrow{d_3} C_2(X) \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1(X) \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{d_0} 0$$

where

$$d_m((x_1, \dots, x_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i-1} (x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_m).$$

We put  $\mathcal{C}(X) = C_n(X) / \ker(C_n(X) \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1}(X))$ .

If a group  $A$  acts on  $X$ , we define the action of  $A$  to  $C_k(X)$  by

$$a(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (ax_1, \dots, ax_k).$$

If  $A$  acts on  $X$  freely, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad H_{k-1}(A, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq d_k^{-1}(I_A(C_{k-1}(X))) / (\ker \partial_k + I_A(C_k(X)))$$

from the definition of the group homology.

For  $W \subset S_\infty$  and  $g \in X_W$ , put

$$F_g = F_{(T)} \cap i^{-1}(g) \quad (i : F^\times \rightarrow X_W \text{ is a diagonal map}).$$

For  $W \subset S_\infty$  and  $k \geq 0$ , we define a  $\mathbb{Z}[F_{(T)}]$ -module  $C_{k,W}$  by the following way. The underlying  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of  $C_{k,W}$  is

$$\bigoplus_{g \in X_W} C_k(F_g).$$

We write an element of  $C_{k,W}$  as

$$\sum_{g \in X_W} \Lambda_g \llbracket g \rrbracket \quad (\Lambda_g \in C_k(F_g)).$$

We define the action of  $F_{(T)}$  to  $C_{k,W}$  by

$$[x](\Lambda \llbracket g \rrbracket) = \text{sgn}(x) \cdot (x\Lambda) \llbracket xg \rrbracket \quad (x \in F_{(T)}).$$

For  $W \subset S_\infty$ , we define a  $\mathbb{Z}[F_{(T)}]$ -module  $\mathcal{K}_W$  by

$$C_{n,W} / \ker(C_{n,W} \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1,W}).$$

For  $W \subset S_f$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{A}_W \subset \mathbb{Z}[F]$  the  $\mathbb{Z}[F_{(T)}]$ -submodule generated by

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in W} (1 - [\mathfrak{p}]) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})[\mathfrak{p}]).$$

For  $W \subset V$ , we define a  $\mathbb{Z}[F_{(T)}]$ -module  $\mathcal{M}_W$  by the following way. The underlying  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of  $\mathcal{M}_W$  is

$$\mathcal{K}_{W \cap S_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{W \cap S_f}.$$

The action of  $F_{(T)}$  to  $\mathcal{M}_W$  is defined by

$$x(f \otimes g) = xf \otimes xg \quad ((x, f, g) \in F_{(T)} \times \mathcal{K}_{W \cap S_\infty} \times \mathcal{A}_{W \cap S_f}).$$

**Definition 2.1.** We define a functor  $B : \mathbf{Sub}(V)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z}[F^\times])$  by

$$B(W) = (\mathcal{M}_W \otimes \mathbb{Z}[N^S] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[F^\times]) / \mathcal{N}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module spanned by

$$\{x^{-1}a \otimes i^S(x)b \otimes c - a \otimes b \otimes xc \mid x \in F_{(T)}, a \in \mathcal{M}_W, b \in \mathbb{Z}[N^S], c \in \mathbb{Z}[F^\times]\}.$$

We define the action of  $F^\times$  to  $B(W)$  by  $x(a \otimes b \otimes c) = (a \otimes b \otimes xc)$ .

**2.2. Definition of functor  $\beta$ .** For linearly independent vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in F$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we define the rational cone  $C(x_1, \dots, x_m) \subset F^\times$  by

$$C(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m t_i x_i \mid t_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

For  $W \subset S_\infty$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{K}_W$  the subgroup of  $\text{Map}(F, \mathbb{Z})$  spanned by

$$\{\mathbf{1}_{C(x_1, \dots, x_m)} \mid m > 0, g \in X_W, x_1, \dots, x_m \in F_g\}.$$

For  $\gamma = \sum_j n_j \mathbf{b}_j \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{l}_F]$ , we put

$$\mathbf{1}_\gamma = \sum_j n_j \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{b}_j} \in \text{Map}(F, \mathbb{Z}).$$

For  $W \subset S_f$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{A}_W$  the subgroup of  $\text{Map}(F, \mathbb{Z})$  spanned by  $\{\mathbf{1}_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{A}_W\}$ . For  $W \subset V$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_W$  the subgroup of  $\text{Map}(F^\times, \mathbb{Z})$  spanned by

$$\{f \cdot g \mid (f, g) \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{W} \cap S_\infty} \times \mathcal{A}_{\bar{W} \cap S_f}\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** We define a functor  $\beta : \mathbf{Sub}(V)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z}[F^\times])$  by

$$\beta(W) = (\mathcal{M}_W \otimes \mathbb{Z}[N^S] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[F^\times]) / \mathcal{N}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module spanned by

$$\{x^{-1}a \otimes i^S(x)b \otimes c - a \otimes b \otimes xc \mid x \in F_{(T)}, a \in \mathcal{M}_W, b \in \mathbb{Z}[N^S], c \in \mathbb{Z}[F^\times]\}$$

where

$$p : N_F = N_S \oplus N^S \rightarrow N^S$$

is the projection. We define the action of  $F^\times$  to  $\beta(W)$  by  $x(a \otimes b \otimes c) = (a \otimes b \otimes xc)$ .

**2.3. Definition of natural transformation  $\xi_{v,e} : B \rightarrow \beta$ .** We use the Shintani cocycle defined in [4]. Let us recall the construction of it. Let us fix a determinant map  $\det : F^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . In this subsection, we fix an infinite place  $v \in S_\infty \setminus V$  and  $e \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Let  $\rho$  be an embedding of  $F$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  corresponding to  $v$ . Let  $w$  be a unique element of  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\rho'(w) = \begin{cases} e & \rho' = \rho \\ 0 & \rho' \neq \rho \end{cases}$$

for all the embeddings  $\rho' : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . For linearly independent vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in F^\times$ , we define the cone  $C_\infty(x_1, \dots, x_m) \subset F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  by

$$C_\infty(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m t_i x_i \mid t_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

The Shintani cocycle  $\Xi_{v,e} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]}(C_n(\rho^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})) \oplus C_n(\rho^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{<0})), \text{Map}(F^\times, \mathbb{Z}))$  is defined as  $\Xi_{v,e}(\Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 0$  if  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in F$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and as

$$\Xi_{v,e}((x_1, \dots, x_n))(y) = \text{sgn}(\det(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \times \begin{cases} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} 1_{C_\infty(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(y + \epsilon w) & x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ are in } \rho^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} 1_{C_\infty(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(y - \epsilon w) & x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ are in } \rho^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{<0}) \end{cases}$$

otherwise. The following theorem is proved in [4].

**Theorem 2.3.**  $\Xi_{v,e}$  satisfies the following cocycle relation:

$$\Xi_{v,e}(d_{n+1}\Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})) = 0.$$

For  $W \subset V$ , we define  $\phi_{W,v,e} : \mathcal{M}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_W$  by

$$\phi_{W,v,e}(\Lambda \otimes \gamma) = \Xi_{v,e}(j(\Lambda)) \cdot \mathbf{1}_\gamma \quad ((\Lambda, \gamma) \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{W} \cap S_\infty} \times \mathcal{A}_{\bar{W} \cap S_f})$$

where  $j : \mathcal{K}_{\bar{W} \cap S_\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(F^\times)$  is a map defined by

$$j\left(\sum_g D_g \llbracket g \rrbracket\right) = \sum_g D_g.$$

Note that  $j$  is *not* a  $\mathbb{Z}[F_{(T)}]$ -homomorphism since

$$j([x]\Lambda) = \text{sgn}(x)[x]j(\Lambda) \quad ((x, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{W} \cap S_\infty} \times F_{(T)}).$$

**Definition 2.4.** We define a natural transformation  $\xi_{v,e}$  from  $B$  to  $\beta$  by

$$\xi_{v,e}(W)(a \otimes b \otimes c) = \phi_{W,v,e}(a) \otimes b \otimes c.$$

**2.4. Definitions of natural transformations  $\psi : \beta \rightarrow R$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{v,e} : B \rightarrow R$ .** For  $f \in \mathcal{M}_W$ ,  $\mathbf{s} = (s_v)_{v \in \bar{W} \cap S_\infty} \in \mathbb{C}^{\#(\bar{W} \cap S_\infty)}$  and  $y \in N_{\bar{W}}$ , define the Dirichlet series  $\zeta_{f,y}(\mathbf{s})$  by

$$\zeta_{f,y}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{x \in i^{-1}(y)} f(x) \prod_{v \in \bar{W}_\infty} |x|_v^{-s_v}$$

where  $i : F^\times \rightarrow N_{\bar{W}}$  is the diagonal map. The Dirichlet series  $\zeta_{f,y}(\mathbf{s})$  converges absolutely if  $\Re s_v > 1$  for all  $v \in \bar{W} \cap S_\infty$ . The following statement can be proved by the almost same way as that used in [5, Section 6.1].

**Proposition 2.5.** *The Dirichlet series  $\zeta_{f,y}(\mathbf{s})$  has an analytic continuation to a meromorphic function on the hole  $\mathbb{C}^{\bar{W}_\infty}$ , and is holomorphic at  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0}$ . Furthermore  $\zeta_{f,y}(\mathbf{0}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

The conditions concerning  $T$  in Condition (C1) are used only for this proposition. Since  $\#\{y \in N_{\bar{W}} \mid \zeta_{f,y}(\mathbf{0}) \neq 0\} < \infty$ , the sum

$$\zeta_f(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{y \in N_{\bar{W}}} \zeta_{f,y}(\mathbf{s})[y^{-1}] \in \mathbb{Z}[N_{\bar{W}}]$$

is well-defined. Put  $\zeta_f = \zeta_f(\mathbf{0}) \in \mathbb{Z}[N_{\bar{W}}]$ . We define the natural transformation  $\psi$  from  $\beta$  to  $R$  by

$$\psi(W)(f \otimes a \otimes b) = i_1(\zeta_f)i_2(a)i_3(b) \quad ((f, a, b) \in \mathcal{M}_W \times \mathbb{Z}[N^S] \times \mathbb{Z}[F^\times])$$

where  $i_1 : \mathbb{Z}[N_{\bar{W}}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[N_F / \prod_{v \in V \setminus W} N_v]$ ,  $i_2 : \mathbb{Z}[N^S] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[N_F / \prod_{v \in V \setminus W} N_v]$  and  $i_3 : \mathbb{Z}[F^\times] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[N_F / \prod_{v \in V \setminus W} N_v]$  are natural map. This map is well-defined because  $i_1(\zeta_{xf}) = i_S(x)^{-1}i_1(\zeta_f)$ ,  $i_2(xa) = i^S(x)i_2(a)$ , and  $i_3(xb) = xi_3(b)$  for  $x \in F_{(T)}$ . We define a natural transformation  $\mathcal{L}_{v,e}$  from  $B$  to  $R$  by  $\mathcal{L}_{v,e} = \psi \circ \xi_{v,e}$ .

**2.5. Definition of  $\vartheta$ .** Put  $X_\infty = X_{S_\infty}$ . We denote by  $i_\infty : F^\times \rightarrow X_\infty$  the natural diagonal map. Put  $g_0 = i_\infty(1) \in X_\infty$ . For a subgroup  $A \subset F^\times$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} Z_A &= \{D \in \mathcal{C}(F^\times) \mid d_n(D) \in I_{A,A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[A]} C_{n-1}(F^\times)\} \\ U_A &= I_{A,A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[A]} \mathcal{C}(F^\times). \end{aligned}$$

From a definition of group homology, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$Z_A/U_A \simeq H_{n-1}(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Put

$$\gamma_A : Z_A \rightarrow Z_A/U_A \simeq H_{n-1}(E_+, \mathbb{Z}).$$

For a subgroup  $A \subset F^\times$  and  $c \in H_{n-1}(A, \mathbb{Z})$ , we put

$$Y(c) = \{D \in Z_A \mid \gamma_A(D) = c\}.$$

The following theorem is proved in [8].

**Theorem 2.6.** *There exists a canonical generator  $\eta$  of  $H_{n-1}(E_+, \mathbb{Z})$  such that*

$$\sum_{\epsilon \in E_+} \Xi_{v,\epsilon}(D)(x\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 1 & i_\infty(x) = g_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all  $D \in \mathcal{C}(F_{g_0}) \cap Y(\eta)$  and  $x \in F^\times$ .

We put  $\delta_{S,T} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_f} (1 - [\mathfrak{p}]) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})[\mathfrak{p}])$ . We denote by  $C_F^+$  the narrow class group of  $F$ .

**Definition 2.7.** For a narrow ideal class  $C \in C_F^+$ , define  $\vartheta_C \in B(V)/IB(V)$  by

$$\vartheta_C = (D[[g_0]] \otimes \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \delta_{S,T}) \otimes \iota(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes 1$$

where  $\mathfrak{a} \in C \cap \mathfrak{I}_{(T)}$  and  $D \in \mathcal{C}(F_{g_0}) \cap Y(\eta)$ . This definition does not depend on the choice of  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $D$ .

**Definition 2.8.** We define  $\vartheta \in B(V)/IB(V)$  by

$$\vartheta = \sum_{C \in C_F^+} \vartheta_C.$$

**2.6. Proof that  $(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta)$  is a Shintani datum.**

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $a \in B(V)$  be a lift of  $\vartheta$ . For all  $v \in V$ ,  $r_{V \setminus \{v\}}^V(a) \in IB(V \setminus \{v\})$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $v \in V$  and  $D \in \mathcal{C}(F_{g_0}) \cap Y(\eta)$ . Let  $f : B(V)/IB(V) \rightarrow B(V \setminus \{v\})/IB(V \setminus \{v\})$  be a homomorphism induced by  $r_{V \setminus \{v\}}^V$ . What we want to prove is that  $f(\vartheta) = 0$ . We will consider the following three cases:

- (1)  $v$  is finite,
- (2)  $v$  is infinite and there exists  $\epsilon \in E$  such that  $(\epsilon)_v < 0$  and  $(\epsilon)_w > 0$  for all  $w \in S_\infty - \{v\}$ ,
- (3)  $v$  is infinite and there exists no such a  $\epsilon \in E$ .

In the case (1), put  $\mathbf{p} = v$ . Put  $\delta_{S',T} = \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in S_f \setminus \{v\}} (1 - [\mathfrak{q}]) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in T} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})[\mathfrak{q}])$ . For  $C \in C_F^+$ , we put

$$\vartheta'_C = (D[[g_0]] \otimes \mathbf{a}^{-1} \delta_{S',T}) \otimes \iota(\mathbf{a}) \otimes 1 \in B(V \setminus \{v\})/IB(V \setminus \{v\})$$

where  $\mathbf{a} \in C \cap \mathfrak{l}_{(T)}$ . Then  $\vartheta'_C$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mathbf{a}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vartheta_C) &= (D[[g_0]] \otimes \mathbf{a}^{-1} \delta_{S,T}) \otimes \iota(\mathbf{a}) \otimes 1 \\ &= (D[[g_0]] \otimes (\mathbf{a}^{-1} - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \delta_{S,T}) \otimes \iota(\mathbf{a}) \otimes 1 \\ &= \vartheta'_C - \vartheta'_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}C}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$f(\vartheta) = \sum_{C \in C_F^+} \vartheta'_C - \sum_{C \in C_F^+} \vartheta'_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}C} = 0.$$

In the case (2), let  $E'$  be a subgroup of  $E$  spanned by  $E_+ \cup \{\epsilon\}$ . Note that  $E'$  is a free abelian group. Let  $g'_0 \in X_{S_\infty \setminus \{v\}}$  be a projection of  $g_0$ . It is enough to prove that  $D[[g'_0]] \in I_{E',E'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E']} (\mathcal{C}(F_{g'_0})[[g'_0]])$ . There exists an element  $D'$  of  $\mathcal{C}(F_{g'_0}) \cap Z_{E'}$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma_{E'}(D) = \gamma_{E'}(2D').$$

Put  $D'' = (1 + \epsilon)D'$ . We have  $D'' \in Z_{E'}$  because

$$(1 + [\epsilon])I_{E',E_+} \subset I_{E_+,E_+}.$$

Thus we have

$$(2.3) \quad D - D'' \in Z_{E_+}.$$

From (2.2), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \gamma_{E'}(D - D'') = 0.$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), we have  $D - D'' \in U_{E_+}$ . Thus we have

$$(2.5) \quad (D - D'')[[g'_0]] \in I_{E_+,E_+} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E_+]} (\mathcal{C}(F_{g'_0})[[g'_0]]).$$

Since  $D'' = (1 + \epsilon)D'$ , we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} D''[[g'_0]] &= ([1] - [\epsilon])(D'[[g'_0]]) \\ &\in I_{E',E'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E']} (\mathcal{C}(F_{g'_0})[[g'_0]]). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$D[[g'_0]] \in I_{E',E'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E']} (\mathcal{C}(F_{g'_0})[[g'_0]]).$$

Thus  $f(\vartheta) = 0$ .

In the case (3), let  $x \in F_{(T)}$  be any element such that

$$\text{sgn}((x)_{v'}) = \begin{cases} -1 & v' = v \\ 1 & v' \neq v \end{cases}$$

for  $v' \in S_\infty$ . Then, for all  $C \in C_F^+$ , we have

$$f(\vartheta_C) + f(\vartheta_{(x)C}) = 0$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{(x)C} &= (D[[g_0]] \otimes (x\mathbf{a})^{-1} \delta_{S,T}) \otimes \iota(x\mathbf{a}) \otimes 1 \\ &= - (xD[[xg_0]] \otimes (\mathbf{a})^{-1} \delta_{S,T}) \otimes \iota(\mathbf{a}) \otimes 1 \quad (\mathbf{a} \in C \cap \mathfrak{l}_{(T)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D - xD \in I_{E_+, E_+} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E_+]} \mathcal{C}(F_{g'_0}) \quad (g'_0 \in X_{S_\infty \setminus \{v\}} \text{ is the projection of } g_0).$$

Take  $P \subset C_F^+$  such that  $C_F^+ = P \sqcup (x)P$ . We have

$$f(\vartheta) = \sum_{C \in P} (f(\vartheta_C) + f(\vartheta_{(x)C})) = 0.$$

□

In the proofs of Lemma 2.10 and Proposition 1.4, we use Shapiro's lemma many times.

**Lemma 2.10.** *For all  $W \subsetneq S_\infty$  and  $i > 0$ , we have  $H_i(E, \mathcal{K}_W) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $W \subsetneq S_\infty$  and  $i > 0$ . From the definition, the sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_{n+2, W} \rightarrow C_{n+1, W} \rightarrow C_{n, W} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_W \rightarrow 0$$

is a free resolution of  $\mathcal{K}_W$  in the category of  $\mathbb{Z}[E]$ -module. Thus we have

$$H_i(E, \mathcal{K}_W) = H_{i+n-1}(E, C_{0, W}).$$

The set  $X_W$  can be written as

$$X_W = g_1(E/E_+) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup g_s(E/E_+)$$

where  $g_1, \dots, g_s \in X_W$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , put

$$A_j = \mathbb{Z}[[g_j]] \subset C_{0, W}$$

and

$$A'_j = \bigoplus_{g \in g_j(E/E_+)} \mathbb{Z}[[g]] \subset C_{0, W}.$$

Put  $U = \ker(E \rightarrow X_W)$ . Note that  $U$  is a free abelian group of rank  $n - 1$  since  $W \neq S_\infty$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{i+n-1}(E, C_{0, W}) &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^s H_{i+n-1}(E, A'_j) \\ &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^s H_{i+n-1}(E, A_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[U]} \mathbb{Z}[E]) \\ &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^s H_{i+n-1}(U, A_j). \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $1 \leq j \leq s$ . Note that the underlying  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of  $A_j$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the action of  $U$  to  $A_j$  is defined by

$$xa = \text{sgn}(x)a \quad ((x, a) \in U \times A_j).$$

Put  $U' = \ker(U \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}} \{\pm 1\})$ . If  $U' = U$  then

$$H_{i+n-1}(U, A_j) \simeq \wedge^{i+n-1} U = 0.$$

If  $U' \neq U$  then there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[U']} \mathbb{Z}[U] \rightarrow A_j \rightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}[U]$ -modules. This short exact sequence induces the following exact sequence.

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{i+n-1}(U', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{i+n-1}(U, A_j) \rightarrow H_{i+n-2}(U, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{i+n-2}(U', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$$



Here we have

$$H_{i+n-1}(U', \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

and

$$\ker(H_{i+n-2}(U, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{i+n-2}(U', \mathbb{Z})) = 0.$$

Thus  $H_{i+n-1}(U, A_j) = 0$  and the claim is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11.**  $H_i(F^\times, B(W)) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$  and  $W \subset V$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $W \subset V$  and  $i > 0$ . Let  $X \subset N^S$  be the image of  $F_{(T)}$  under  $\iota$ . We put

$$B'(W) = \mathcal{M}_W \otimes \mathbb{Z}[X] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[F^\times]/\mathcal{N} \subset B(W).$$

Then  $B(W)$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of  $B'(W)$ . So it is enough to prove that

$$H_i(F^\times, B'(W)) = 0$$

for the proof of the proposition. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_W$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}[F^\times]$ -module and  $h : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_W \simeq \mathcal{M}_W$  a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module such that

$$x \cdot h(a) = h(x^{-1}a) \quad (x, a) \in F^\times \times \mathcal{M}_W.$$

Then  $B'(W)$  is isomorphic to  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times]} \mathbb{Z}[F^\times]$ . Therefore we have

$$H_i(F^\times, B'(W)) = H_i(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_W).$$

Note that  $H_i(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_W) = 0$  is equivalent to  $H_i(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times, \mathcal{M}_W) = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_W$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of  $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{W} \cap S_\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E]} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times]$ , it is enough to prove that

$$H_i(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{W} \cap S_\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E]} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times]) = 0.$$

Since we have

$$H_i(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{W} \cap S_\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[E]} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times]) = H_i(E, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{W} \cap S_\infty})$$

and  $\bar{W} \cap S_\infty \neq S_\infty$ , the proposition follows from Lemma 2.10.  $\square$

From Proposition 2.9 and 2.11, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.12.** *The triple  $(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta)$  is a Shintani datum.*

**2.7. Proof that  $(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta)$  is an  $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum.** Let  $E \in \Upsilon(J)$ . First, let us recall the definition of the Stickelberger function  $\Theta_{E,S,T}(s)$ .

**Definition 2.13.** The Stickelberger function  $\Theta_{E,S,T}(s) \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Gal}(E/F)]$  is defined by

$$\Theta_{E,S,T}(s) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(E/F), \mathbb{C}^\times)} L_{S,T}(\chi, s) e_{\bar{\chi}}$$

where  $L_{S,T}(\chi, s)$  is an analytic continuation of

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} (1 - \chi(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}) N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T} (1 - \chi(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}) N(\mathfrak{p})^{1-s})^{-1} \quad (\Re(s) > 1)$$

and

$$e_{\bar{\chi}} = \frac{1}{\#\text{Gal}(E/F)} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/F)} \chi(\sigma) [\sigma \in \mathbb{C}[G]].$$

For integer ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we put

$$c_T(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in T \\ \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{a}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})).$$

Then the Stickelberger element can be written as

$$\Theta_{E,S,T}(s) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in I_{(S)} \\ \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_F}} c_T(\mathfrak{a}) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s} [\text{rec}(\iota(\mathfrak{a}))^{-1}].$$

For  $C \in C_F^\pm$ , we put

$$\Theta_{E,S,T}(s, C) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in I_{(S)} \cap C \\ \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_F}} c_T(\mathfrak{a}) N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s} [\text{rec}(\iota(\mathfrak{a}))^{-1}].$$

**Lemma 2.14.** *We have*

$$\Theta_{E,S,T}(0, C) = \text{rec}(\mathcal{L}_{v,e}(\theta_C)) \in \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(E/F)]$$

where  $\theta_C \in B(V)$  is a lift of  $\vartheta_C$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\mathfrak{a} \in C \cap I_{(S,T)}$ . Let  $D$  be any element of  $\mathcal{C}(F_{g_0}) \cap Y(\eta)$ . We put

$$\theta_C = (D[[g_0]] \otimes \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \delta_{S,T}) \otimes \iota(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes 1 \in B(V).$$

Then  $\theta_C$  is a lift of  $\vartheta_C$ . We denote by  $F_+$  the set of totally positive elements. Since any ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  in  $C$  can be written as  $\mathfrak{b} = x\mathfrak{a}$  where  $x \in F_+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{E,S,T}(s, C) &= \sum_{x \in (\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \cap F_{(S)} \cap F_+)/E_+} c_T(x\mathfrak{a}) N(x\mathfrak{a})^{-s} [\text{rec}(\iota(x\mathfrak{a}))^{-1}] \\ (2.7) \quad &= N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s} [\text{rec}(\iota(\mathfrak{a}))] \sum_{x \in (\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \cap F_{(S)} \cap F_+)/E_+} c_T(x\mathfrak{a}) N((x))^{-s} [\text{rec}(i^S(x))]. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \delta_{S,T}}(x) = \begin{cases} c_T(x\mathfrak{a}) & x \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \cap F_{(S)} \\ 0 & x \in F \setminus (\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \cap F_{(S)}). \end{cases}$$

Since  $\text{rec} : \mathbb{A}_F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(E/F)]$  vanishes on  $F^\times \subset \mathbb{A}_F^\times$ , we have

$$(2.9) \quad \text{rec}(i^S(x)) = \text{rec}(i_S(x))^{-1} \quad (x \in F^\times).$$

From (2.8), (2.9) and Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in (\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \cap F_{(S)} \cap F_+)/E_+} c_T(x\mathfrak{a}) N((x))^{-s} [\text{rec}(i^S(x))] &= \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \cap F_{(S)}} \Xi_{v,e}(D) c_T(x\mathfrak{a}) N((x))^{-s} [\text{rec}(i_S(x))^{-1}] \\ &= \sum_{x \in F^\times} \Xi_{v,e}(D)(x) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \delta_{S,T}}(x) \cdot N((x))^{-s} [\text{rec}(i_S(x))^{-1}] \\ (2.10) \quad &= \sum_{x \in F^\times} \phi_{V,v,e}(D[[g_0]] \otimes \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \delta_{S,T})(x) \cdot N((x))^{-s} [\text{rec}(i_S(x))^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$f = \phi_{V,v,e}(D[[g_0]] \otimes \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \delta_{S,T}).$$

From the definition, we have

$$\zeta_f((s_v)_v) = \sum_{x \in F^\times} f(x) \prod_{v \in S_\infty} |x|_v^{-s_v} [i_S(x)^{-1}].$$

Thus

$$(2.11) \quad \text{rec}(\zeta_f((s, \dots, s))) = \sum_{x \in F^\times} f(x)N((x))^{-s}[\text{rec}(i_S(x))^{-1}].$$

From (2.7), (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\Theta_{E,S,T}(s, C) = N(\mathbf{a})^{-s}[\text{rec}(\iota(\mathbf{a})) \cdot \text{rec}(\zeta_f(s, \dots, s))].$$

Thus

$$(2.12) \quad \Theta_{E,S,T}(0, C) = \text{rec}(\zeta_f[\iota(\mathbf{a})]).$$

From the definition, we have

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{v,e}(\theta_C) &= \psi(\xi_{v,e}(\theta_C)) \\ &= \psi(f \otimes \iota(\mathbf{a}) \otimes 1) \\ &= \zeta_f[\iota(\mathbf{a})]. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$\Theta_{E,S,T}(0, C) = \text{rec}(\mathcal{L}_{v,e}(\theta_C)).$$

□

**Lemma 2.15.** *We have*

$$\Theta_{E,S,T} = \text{rec}(\mathcal{L}_{v,e}(\theta)) \in \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(E/F)]$$

where  $\theta \in B(V)$  is a lift of  $\vartheta$ .

*Proof.* The claim follows from Lemma 2.14. □

From Proposition 2.12 and Lemma 2.15 we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.16.** *The triple  $(B, \mathcal{L}, \vartheta)$  is an  $(S, T, V, J)$ -Shintani datum.*

From this theorem and Theorem 1.11, we obtain the following corollary, which was already proved in [6].

**Corollary 2.17.** *If  $(S, T, V)$ -satisfies (C1) then*

$$\Theta_{E,S,T} \in \prod_{v \in V} I_{G_v, G}$$

for all finite abelian extensions  $E$  of  $F$  unramified outside  $S$ .

### 3. A CERTAIN SUBMODULE OF $(\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)]$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group. For a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module  $N$ , we write  $\mathbb{Q}N$  for  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} N$  and  $N[G]$  for  $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[G]$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -lattice is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module which is free as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -lattice. Define the action of  $G$  to  $(\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r M)[G]$  by

$$\sigma(m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_r \otimes [\tau]) = m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_r \otimes [\sigma\tau]$$

where  $m_1, \dots, m_r \in M$  and  $\tau, \sigma \in G$ . For  $\sigma \in G$ , define  $c_\sigma \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}((\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r M)[G])$  by

$$c_\sigma(m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_r \otimes [\tau]) = m_1^\sigma \otimes m_2 \otimes \dots \otimes m_r \otimes [\tau].$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{S}_r = \{f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\} \mid f \text{ is a bijection}\}$$

be the symmetric group of degree  $r$ . There is a natural action of  $\mathfrak{S}_r$  to  $(\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r M)[G]$ . We say that  $m \in (\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r M)[G]$  is antisymmetric if  $fm = \text{sgn}(f)m$  for all  $f \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ .

**Definition 3.2.**  $(M, G)_\star^r$  is the submodule of  $(\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r M)[G]$  defined by

$$(M, G)_\star^r = \{m \in (\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r M)[G] : m \text{ is antisymmetric and } c_\sigma(m) = \sigma m \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\}.$$

For  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M, \mathbb{Z}[G])$ , we define  $\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_r \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r M, \mathbb{Z}[G])$  by

$$(\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_r)(m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_r) = \det(\varphi_i(m_j)) \quad (m_1, \dots, m_r \in M).$$

In [10], Rubin defined  $\wedge_0^r M \subset \mathbb{Q} \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r M$  by

$$\wedge_0^r M = \{m \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r M : (\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_r)(m) \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \text{ for all } \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M, \mathbb{Z}[G])\}.$$

Define  $P \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Q} \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r M, \mathbb{Q}(\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r M)[G])$  by

$$P(m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_r) = \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \text{sgn}(f) \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \left( \sum_{\sigma \in G} m_{f(j)}^{\sigma^{-1}}[\sigma] \right).$$

**Proposition 3.3.**  $\wedge_0^r M$  and  $(M, G)_\star^r$  are isomorphic by  $P$ .

*Proof.* For  $l \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , we define  $\hat{l} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M, \mathbb{Z}[G])$  by

$$\hat{l}(m) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} l(m^{\sigma^{-1}})[\sigma].$$

Note that we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M, \mathbb{Z}[G]) = \{\hat{l} \mid l \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Z})\}.$$

For  $l_1, \dots, l_r \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , we have

$$(\hat{l}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{l}_r) = (l_1 \otimes \dots \otimes l_r \otimes \text{id}) \circ P.$$

Therefore, for  $m \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r M$ , we have

$$m \in \wedge_0^r M \Leftrightarrow P(m) \in \left( \bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r M \right)[G].$$

Thus it is enough to prove that  $P$  gives an isomorphism between  $\mathbb{Q} \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r M$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(M, G)_\star^r$ . It is obvious that  $P(\mathbb{Q} \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r M) \subset \mathbb{Q}(M, G)_\star^r$  from the definition. Define  $\bar{Q} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}(\bigotimes_{j=1}^r M)[G], \mathbb{Q} \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r M)$  by

$$\bar{Q}(m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_r[\sigma]) = \frac{1}{(\#G)^r r!} m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_r^\sigma.$$

Let  $Q \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}(M, G)_\star^r, \mathbb{Q} \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r M)$  be the restriction of  $\bar{Q}$ . Let us prove that  $Q$  is the inverse function of  $P$ . We have  $Q \circ P = \text{id}$  since

$$\begin{aligned} Q(P(m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_r)) &= Q\left(\sum_{f \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \text{sgn}(f) \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \left(\sum_{\sigma \in G} m_{f(j)}^{\sigma^{-1}}[\sigma]\right)\right) \\ &= m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_r \end{aligned}$$

for  $m_1, \dots, m_r \in M$ . Let us prove that  $P \circ Q = \text{id}$ . We define

$$R \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^r M\right)[G], \mathbb{Q}\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^r M\right)[G]\right)$$

by

$$R(m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_r \otimes [\tau]) = \frac{1}{(\#G)^r r!} \sum_{f \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \text{sgn}(f) \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r \in G} m_{f(1)}^{\sigma_1^{-1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes m_{f(r)}^{\sigma_r^{-1}} [\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r \tau].$$

Then we have  $P \circ \bar{Q} = R$ . since  $R(m) = m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Q}(M, G)_*^r$ , we have  $P \circ Q = \text{id}$ . Thus the claim is proved.  $\square$

For  $\chi \in \hat{G}$ , we define  $r_S(\chi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq r}$  and  $e_\chi \in \mathbb{C}[G]$  by

$$r_S(\chi) = \begin{cases} \#\{v \in S \mid \chi(G_v) = 1\} & \chi \neq 1 \\ \#S - 1 & \chi = 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \chi(\gamma) [\gamma^{-1}].$$

Let  $H$  be a finite abelian extension of  $F$  unramified outside  $S$ . We define  $\Lambda_{H,S,T} \subset (\bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)]$  by

$$\Lambda_{H,S,T} = \{\alpha \in (\mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times, G)_*^r \mid e_\chi \alpha = 0 \text{ for all } \chi \in \hat{G} \text{ such that } r_S(\chi) > r\}$$

where  $G = \text{Gal}(H/F)$ .

#### 4. THE CONJECTURE

**4.1. Refinement of Gross's leading term conjecture.** We fix an abelian extension  $H$  of  $F$  and places  $w_1, \dots, w_r$  of  $H$  lying above  $v_1, \dots, v_r$ . We assume that  $H \in \Upsilon(J)$  and that  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  split completely at  $H/F$ . We assume the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 4.1** (Rubin-Stark conjecture, equivalent to Conjecture B in [10]). *Let  $R_\infty : (\bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^r \mathcal{O}_{H,S,T}^\times) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[\text{Gal}(H/F)]$  be a homomorphism defined by*

$$R_\infty(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r \otimes [\sigma]) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^r -\log |u_j|_{v_j} \right) [\sigma].$$

*Then there exists a unique element  $\epsilon_{H,S,T,V} \in \Lambda_{H,S,T}$  such that*

$$R_\infty(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{-r} \Theta_{H,S,T}(s).$$

Our main conjecture is as follows.

**Conjecture 4.2.** *Assume that  $(S, T, V)$ -satisfies the condition (C1). Let  $\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}$  be as in Conjecture 4.1. Fix  $v \in S_\infty \setminus V$  and  $e \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Then the element  $\hat{R}_{H/F,S,T,J}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}) \in D/I_H D$  is equal to the projection of*

$$Q(B, \mathcal{L}_{v,e}, \vartheta) \in D/I_F \times D.$$

*Remark 4.3.* We expect  $Q(B, \mathcal{L}_{v,e}, \vartheta)$  to be independent of the choice of  $v$  and  $e$ . We conjecture that

$$(4.1) \quad H_i(F^\times, \beta(W)) = 0 \quad (i > 0, W \subset V)$$

and that

$$(4.2) \quad \xi_{v,e}(\vartheta) = \xi_{v',e'}(\vartheta)$$

for all  $v, v', e, e'$ . If (4.1) and (4.2) are true then  $Q(B, \mathcal{L}_{v,e}, \vartheta)$  does not depend on the choice of  $v$  and  $e$  since  $Q(B, \mathcal{L}_{v,e}, \vartheta) = Q(\beta, \psi, \xi_{v,e}(\vartheta))$  from Proposition 1.10.

*Remark 4.4.* Assume that  $(S, T, V)$ -satisfies the condition (C1). If Conjecture 4.2 is true then Conjecture 0.2 is true for all  $K \in \Upsilon(J)$ .

Let us take the inverse limit  $\varprojlim_J$  in Conjecture 4.2. We assume that  $(S, T, V)$ -satisfies the condition (C1). Fix  $v \in S_\infty \setminus V$  and  $e \in \{\pm 1\}$ . To avoid the confusion, we write  $D^{(J)}$  for  $D$ ,  $I_{F^\times}^{(D)}$  for  $I_{F^\times}$  and  $I_H^{(D)}$  for  $I_H$ . We put

$$\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V,J} = Q(B, \mathcal{L}_{v,e}, \vartheta) \in D^{(J)} / I_{F^\times}^{(J)} D^{(J)}$$

and

$$\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V} = \lim_{\varprojlim_J} \hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V,J} \in \lim_{\varprojlim_J} \left( D^{(J)} / I_{F^\times}^{(J)} D^{(J)} \right).$$

Let

$$p_H : \lim_{\varprojlim_J} \left( D^{(J)} / I_{F^\times}^{(J)} D^{(J)} \right) \rightarrow \lim_{\varprojlim_J} \left( D^{(J)} / I_H^{(J)} D^{(J)} \right)$$

be a natural projection map. Put

$$\hat{R}_{H/F,S,T} = \lim_{\varprojlim_J} \hat{R}_{H/F,S,T,J}.$$

**Conjecture 4.5.** *Let  $\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}$  be as in Conjecture 4.1. The following equality holds.*

$$p_H(\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V}) = \hat{R}_{H/F,S,T}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}).$$

Conjecture 4.2 and Conjecture 4.5 are equivalent.

**4.2. Conjectural construction of localized Rubin-Stark element.** Let us give an interpretation of Conjecture 4.5 as a conjectural construction of localized Rubin-Stark element. We assume that  $(S, T, V)$ -satisfies the condition (C1). Fix  $v \in S_\infty \setminus V$  and  $e \in \{\pm 1\}$ . We put  $\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V,J} = Q(B, \mathcal{L}_{v,e}, \vartheta)$ . The regulator map  $\hat{R}_{H/F,S,T}$  factor through loc, i.e., there exists a unique homomorphism

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{H/F,S,T} : \lim_{\varprojlim_J} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^r N_{v_j}^{(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)] \right) \rightarrow \lim_{\varprojlim_J} \left( D^{(J)} / I_H^{(J)} D^{(J)} \right)$$

such that  $\hat{R}_{H/F,S,T} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{H/F,S,T} \circ \text{loc}$ . Define a homomorphism  $\bar{c}_J$  from  $\mathbb{Z}[N_F]$  to  $\bigotimes_{j=1}^r N_{v_j}^{(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)]$  by

$$\bar{c}_J([x]) = p_1(x) \otimes \cdots \otimes p_r(x) \otimes [\text{rec}(x)]$$

where  $p_j : N_F^{(J)} \rightarrow N_{v_j}^{(J)}$  are natural projections. The homomorphism  $\bar{c}_J$  vanishes on  $I_H^{(J)} D^{(J)}$ . Let

$$c_J : D^{(J)} / I_H^{(J)} D^{(J)} \rightarrow \bigotimes_{j=1}^r N_{v_j}^{(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)]$$

be the map induced from  $\bar{c}_J$ . Put

$$c = \left( \lim_{\varprojlim_J} c_J \right) : \lim_{\varprojlim_J} \left( D^{(J)} / I_H^{(J)} D^{(J)} \right) \rightarrow \lim_{\varprojlim_J} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^r N_{v_j}^{(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)] \right).$$

Then  $c \circ \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{H/F,S,T}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{H/F,S,T} \circ c$  are identities.

**Definition 4.6.** We define the *analytic Rubin-Stark element*

$$\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}^{\text{an}} \in \varprojlim_J \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^r N_{v_j}^{(J)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(H/F)] \right)$$

by

$$\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}^{\text{an}} = c \circ p_H(\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V}).$$

Since  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{H/F,S,T} \circ c = \text{id}$ , we have  $p_H(\hat{\Theta}_{S,T,V}) = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{H/F,S,T}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}^{\text{an}})$ .

**Conjecture 4.7.** *Let  $\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}$  be as in Conjecture 4.1. Then we have*

$$\text{loc}(\epsilon_{H,S,T,V}) = \epsilon_{H,S,T,V}^{\text{an}}.$$

Conjecture 4.5 and Conjecture 4.7 are equivalent.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Noboru Aoki. On Tate’s refinement for a conjecture of Gross and its generalization. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 16(3):457–486, 2004.
- [2] David Burns. Congruences between derivatives of abelian  $L$ -functions at  $s = 0$ . *Invent. Math.*, 169(3):451–499, 2007.
- [3] David Burns, Masato Kurihara, and Takamichi Sano. On zeta elements for  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . *preprint*.
- [4] Pierre Charollois, Samit Dasgupta, and Matthew Greenberg. Integral Eisenstein cocycles on  $\mathbf{GL}_n$ , II: Shintani’s method. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 90(2):435–477, 2015.
- [5] Samit Dasgupta. Shintani zeta functions and Gross-Stark units for totally real fields. *Duke Math. J.*, 143(2):225–279, 2008.
- [6] Samit Dasgupta and Michael Spiess. Partial zeta values, Gross’s tower of fields conjecture, and Gross-Stark units. *preprint*.
- [7] Pierre Deligne and Kenneth A. Ribet. Values of abelian  $L$ -functions at negative integers over totally real fields. *Invent. Math.*, 59(3):227–286, 1980.
- [8] Francisco Diaz y Diaz and Eduardo Friedman. Signed fundamental domains for totally real number fields. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 108(4):965–988, 2014.
- [9] Benedict H. Gross. On the values of abelian  $L$ -functions at  $s = 0$ . *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 35(1):177–197, 1988.
- [10] Karl Rubin. A Stark conjecture “over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ” for abelian  $L$ -functions with multiple zeros. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 46(1):33–62, 1996.
- [11] John Tate. Refining Gross’s conjecture on the values of abelian  $L$ -functions. In *Stark’s conjectures: recent work and new directions*, volume 358 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 189–192. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.