

Generalized Kato Decomposition For Operator Matrices and SVEP

Abdelaziz Tajmouati Mohammed Karmouni

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we show that for a bounded linear operator T , the corresponding generalized Kato decomposition spectrum $\sigma_{gK}(T)$ satisfies the equality $\sigma_{gD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) \cup (S(T) \cup S(T^*))$ where $\sigma_{gD}(T)$ is the generalized Drazin spectrum of T and $S(T)$ (resp., $S(T^*)$) is the set where T (resp., T^*) fails to have SVEP. As application, we give sufficient conditions which assure that the generalized Kato decomposition spectrum of an upper triangular operator matrices is the union of its diagonal entries spectra. Moreover, some applications are given.

Key words: Generalized Kato decomposition, generalized Drazin spectrum, left and right generalized Drazin spectra, single-valued extension property, operator matrices.

AMS Subject Classifications: 47A10; 47A05; 47A55.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout, X denotes a complex Banach space and $\mathcal{B}(X)$ denotes the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X , we denote by T^* , $N(T)$, $R(T)$, $R^\infty(T) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R(T^n)$, $K(T)$, $H_0(T)$, $\rho(T)$, $\sigma(T)$, respectively the adjoint, the null space, the range, the hyper-range, the analytic core, the quasinilpotent part, the resolvent set, the spectrum of T .

Recall that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be Kato operator or semi-regular if $R(T)$ is closed and $N(T) \subseteq R^\infty(T)$. Denote by $\rho_K(T)$:

$\rho_K(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is Kato} \}$ the Kato resolvent and $\sigma_K(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho_K(T)$ the Kato spectrum of T . It is well known that $\rho_K(T)$ is an open subset of \mathbb{C} .

According to [1, Definition 1.40], we say that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ admits a generalized Kato decomposition, abbreviated GKD or Pseudo-Fredholm operator if there exists a pair of T -invariant closed subspaces (M, N) such that $X = M \oplus N$, the restriction $T|_M$ is semi-regular, and $T|_N$ is quasinilpotent. Obviously, every Kato operator admits a GKD because in this case $M = X$ and $N = \{0\}$, again the quasi-nilpotent operator admits a GKD: Take $M = \{0\}$ and $N = X$. If we suppose that $T|_N$ is nilpotent of order $d \in \mathbb{N}$ then T is said to be of Kato type of operator of order d or Quasi Fredholm operator. Finally T is said essentially semi-regular if it admits a GKD (M, N) such that N is

finite-dimensional. Evidently every essentially semi-regular operator is of Kato type. The generalized Kato decomposition or Pseudo Fredholm spectrum of T is defined by $\sigma_{gK}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Pseudo Fredholm}\}$, evidently $\sigma_{gK}(T) \subseteq \sigma_K(T)$. We refer to [1] for more information about the topics of GKD.

Next, let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, T is said to have the single valued extension property at $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ (SVEP) if for every open neighbourhood $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ of λ_0 , the only analytic function $f : U \rightarrow X$ which satisfies the equation $(T - zI)f(z) = 0$ for all $z \in U$ is the function $f \equiv 0$. T is said to have the SVEP if T has the SVEP for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $A(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T \text{ has the SVEP at } \lambda\}$ and $S(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus A(T)$, by [11, proposition 1.2.16] $A(T) = \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $X_T(\emptyset) = \{0\}$, if and only if $X_T(\emptyset)$ is closed where $X_T(\Omega)$ is the local spectral subspace of T associated with the open Ω .

Obviously, every operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ has the SVEP at every $\lambda \in \rho(T)$, then T and T^* have the SVEP at every point of the boundary $\partial(\sigma(T))$ of the spectrum.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be decomposable if, for any open covering U_1, U_2 of the complex plane \mathbb{C} , there are two closed T -invariant subspaces X_1 and X_2 of X such that $X_1 + X_2 = X$ and $\sigma(T|X_k) \subset U_k$, $k = 1, 2$.

Note that T is decomposable implies that T and T^* have the SVEP.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, the ascent of T is defined by $a(T) = \min\{p : N(T^p) = N(T^{p+1})\}$, if such p does not exist we let $a(T) = \infty$. Analogously the descent of T is $d(T) = \min\{q : R(T^q) = R(T^{q+1})\}$, if such q does not exist we let $d(T) = \infty$ [12]. It is well known that if both $a(T)$ and $d(T)$ are finite then $a(T) = d(T)$ and we have the decomposition $X = R(T^p) \oplus N(T^p)$ where $p = a(T) = d(T)$.

The descent and ascent spectra of $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ are defined by :

$$\sigma_{des}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, T - \lambda \text{ has not finite descent}\}$$

$$\sigma_{ac}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, T - \lambda \text{ has not finite ascent}\}$$

Drazin.M.P in [6] introduced the concept of Drazin inverse for semigroups For a bounded operator, $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be a Drazin invertible if there exists a positive integer k and an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that

$$ST = TS, \quad T^{k+1}S = T^k \quad \text{and} \quad S^2T = S.$$

Which is also equivalent to the fact that $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$; where T_1 is invertible and T_2 is nilpotent.

Recall that an operator T is Drazin invertible if it has a finite ascent and descent.

The concept of Drazin invertible operators has been generalized by Koliha [9]. In fact $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is generalized Drazin invertible if and only if $0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma(T)$ the set of all points of accumulation of $\sigma(T)$, which is also equivalent to the fact that $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ where T_1 is invertible and T_2 is quasinilpotent. The following statement are equivalent:

1. T is generalized Drazin invertible,

2. 0 is an isolated point in the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of T ;
3. $K(T)$ is closed and $X = K(T) \oplus H_0(T)$,

The Drazin and generalized Drazin spectra of $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ are defined by :

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{gD}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, T - \lambda \text{ is not generalized Drazin}\} \\ \sigma_D(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, T - \lambda \text{ is not Drazin invertible}\}\end{aligned}$$

In [10], the authors introduced and studied a new concept of left and right generalized Drazin inverse of bounded operators. In fact, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be left generalized Drazin invertible if $H_0(T)$ is closed and complemented with a subspace M in X such that $T(M)$ is closed which equivalent to $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ such that T_1 is left invertible and T_2 is quasi-nilpotent see [10, Proposition 3.2].

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be right generalized Drazin invertible if $K(T)$ is closed and complemented with a subspace N in X such that $N \subset H_0(T)$ which equivalent to $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ such that T_1 is right invertible and T_2 is quasi-nilpotent see [10, Proposition 3.4].

The left and right generalized Drazin spectra of $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{lgD}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, T - \lambda \text{ is not left generalized Drazin}\} \\ \sigma_{rgD}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, T - \lambda \text{ is not right generalized Drazin}\}\end{aligned}$$

And we have

$$\sigma_{gK}(T) \subset \sigma_{lgD}(T) \cap \sigma_{rgD}(T) \subset \sigma_{gD}(T) = \sigma_{lgD}(T) \cup \sigma_{rgD}(T)$$

The aim of this paper is to present the relationship between $\sigma_{gK}(\cdot)$ and $\sigma_{gD}(\cdot)$ and we apply this results to same classes of operator as multipliers and supercyclic operators. Finally, we prove that if A and B are decomposable, then for every $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ we have :

$$\sigma_{gK}(M_C) = \sigma_{gK}(A) \cup \sigma_{gK}(B) \quad \text{where } M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

2 SVEP and Pseudo Fredholm Spectrum

Lemma 2.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, has SVEP at $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then :*

$T - \lambda$ is bounded below if and only if $T - \lambda$ is semi regular

Proof. We have $T - \lambda$ bounded below implies that $T - \lambda$ is semi regular. Conversely, if $T - \lambda$ is semi regular then $R(T - \lambda)$ is closed. Suppose that $T - \lambda$ is not injective then $N(T - \lambda) \neq \{0\}$ since $T - \lambda$ is semi regular then $\{0\} \neq N(T - \lambda) \subseteq R^\infty(T - \lambda) = K(T - \lambda)$, hence $N(T - \lambda) \cap K(T - \lambda) \neq \{0\}$, this contradict that T has the SVEP at λ (see [1, Theorem 2.22]). Therefore $T - \lambda$ is bonded below. \square

By duality we have

Lemma 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, suppose that T^* has SVEP at $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then :*

$T - \lambda$ is surjective if and only if $T - \lambda$ is semi regular

Lemma 2.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then:*

$$S(T) \subset \sigma_{lgD}(T) \text{ and } S(T^*) \subset \sigma_{rgD}(T).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \notin \sigma_{lgD}(T)$ then $T - \lambda$ is a left generalized Drazin invertible hence $H_0(T - \lambda)$ is closed by [3, Theorem 1.7] T has the SVEP at λ .

Let $\lambda \notin \sigma_{rgD}(T)$ then $T - \lambda$ is a right generalized Drazin invertible hence $K(T - \lambda)$ is closed and $K(T - \lambda) \oplus N = X$ where $N \subseteq H_0(T - \lambda)$ then $K(T - \lambda) + H_0(T - \lambda) = X$ by [3, Theorem 1.7] T^* has the SVEP at λ . □

Proposition 2.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then:*

$$\sigma_{lgD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) \cup S(T)$$

Proof. Since $\sigma_{gK}(T) \subset \sigma_{lgD}(T)$ by lemma 2.3 $\sigma_{gK}(T) \cup S(T) \subset \sigma_{lgD}(T)$
Now, let $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gK}(T) \cup S(T)$, then $T - \lambda$ is a Pseudo Fredholm operator, hence there exists two T -invariant closed subspaces of X , M and N such that $(T - \lambda)_{iM}$ is semi regular and $(T - \lambda)_{iN}$ is quasinilpotent. We have T has the SVEP at λ implies that T_{iM} and T_{iN} have the SVEP at λ (see [1, Theorem 2.9]). By Lemma 2.1 $(T - \lambda)_{iM}$ is bounded below which implies that $T - \lambda$ is left generalized Drazin. This complete the proof. □

Corollary 2.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, suppose that T has the SVEP. Then:*

$$\sigma_{lgD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T)$$

By duality we get a similarly result for the right generalized Drazin spectrum.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then:*

$$\sigma_{rgD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) \cup S(T^*)$$

Proof. We have $\sigma_{gK}(T) \subset \sigma_{rgD}(T)$ by lemma 2.3 $\sigma_{gK}(T) \cup S(T^*) \subset \sigma_{rgD}(T)$
Let $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gK}(T)$, then $T - \lambda$ is a Pseudo Fredholm operator, hence there exists two T -invariant closed subspaces of X , M and N such that $(T - \lambda)_{iM}$ is semi regular and $(T - \lambda)_{iN}$ is quasinilpotent. Since T^* has the SVEP at λ this implies that $T_{iN^\perp}^*$ and $T_{iM^\perp}^*$ have the SVEP at λ (see [1, Theorem 2.9]). By Lemma 2.2 $(T - \lambda)_{iM}$ is surjective which implies that $T - \lambda$ is left generalized Drazin. This complete the proof. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, suppose that T^* has the SVEP. Then:*

$$\sigma_{rgD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T)$$

Example 1. Let C_p the Cesaro operator on the classical Hardy space $H^p(\mathcal{D})$, where \mathcal{D} the open unit disc of \mathbb{C} and $1 \leq p < \infty$, is given by:

$$C_p f(\lambda) := \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda \frac{f(\zeta)}{1-\zeta} d\zeta$$

C_p has the SVEP whenever $1 < p < \infty$ and $\sigma_{gK}(C_p) = \partial\Gamma_p$, Γ_p is the closed disc centered at $\frac{p}{2}$ with radius $\frac{p}{2}$. Then $\sigma_{lgD}(C_p) = \partial\Gamma_p$.

Example 2. Let T be defined on $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ by :

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

We have $\sigma_{gD}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| \leq 1\}$. Since T has the SVEP then

$$\sigma_{gK}(T) = \sigma_{lgD}(T)$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then:

$$\sigma_{gD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) \cup (S(T) \cup S(T^*))$$

Proof. We have $\sigma_{gD}(T) \supseteq \sigma_{gK}(T) \cup (S(T) \cup S(T^*))$

Conversely, let $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gK}(T) \cup (S(T) \cup S(T^*))$, then $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gK}(T)$ and $\lambda \notin (S(T) \cup S(T^*))$, by Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 $\lambda \notin \sigma_{lgD}(T) \cup \sigma_{rgD}(T)$, since $\sigma_{gD}(T) = \sigma_{lgD}(T) \cup \sigma_{rgD}(T)$, then $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gD}(T)$. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, suppose that T and T^* have the SVEP. Then:

$$\sigma_{gD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T)$$

Corollary 2.4. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, be decomposable. Then:

$$\sigma_{gD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T)$$

Example 3. Let T be the unilateral weighted shift on $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ defined by:

$$Te_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = p! \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{N} \\ e_{n+1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The adjoint operator of T is :

$$T^*e_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ or } n = p! + 1 \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{N} \\ e_{n-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have $\sigma(T) = \overline{D(0,1)}$ the unit closed disc. The point spectrum of T and T^* are : $\sigma_p(T) = \sigma_p(T^*) = \{0\}$, hence T and T^* have the SVEP. Then $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{su}(T) = \sigma(T)$, hence $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ cluster at every point where $\sigma_{su}(T)$ and $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ respectively the surjective and approximative spectrum.

From [8, Theorem 3.5], $\sigma_{gK}(T) = \sigma(T) = \overline{D(0,1)}$

According to corollaries, $\sigma_{gD}(T) = \sigma_{lgD}(T) = \sigma_{rgD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) = \overline{D(0,1)}$.

In the next proposition, we prove equality up to $\sigma_{des}(T)$ between the Drazin spectrum and the generalized Drazin spectrum.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then :*

$$\sigma_D(T) = \sigma_{gD}(T) \cup \sigma_{des}(T)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gD}(T) \cup \sigma_{des}(T)$ without loss of generality, we can assume that $\lambda = 0$, then $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ with T_1 is invertible operator and T_2 is quasinilpotent. Since T has finite descent then T_1 and T_2 have finite descent, we have T_2 is quasinilpotent with finite descent implies that is a nilpotent operator (see [12]). Thus T is a Drazin invertible operator. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, with finite spectrum $\sigma(T)$. Then :*

$$\sigma_D(T) = \sigma_{gD}(T)$$

In particular if T is decomposable.

Proof. Direct consequence to [3, Theorem 1.11] and Proposition 2.3. \square

3 Applications

A bounded linear operator T is called supercyclic provided there is some $x \in X$ such that the set $\{\lambda T^n, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is dense in X . It is well now that if T is supercyclic then $\sigma_p(T^*) = \{0\}$ or $\sigma_p(T^*) = \{\alpha\}$ for some nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Since an operator with countable point spectrum has SVEP, then we have the following:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, a supercyclic operator. Then :*

$$\sigma_{rgD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T)$$

Since, Every hyponormal operator T on a Hilbert space has the single valued extension property, we have

Proposition 3.2. *Let T a hyponormal operator on a Hilbert space then:*

$$\sigma_{lgD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T)$$

In particular, If T is auto-adjoint then : $\sigma_{gD}(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T)$

Let A be a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra. The mapping $T : A \rightarrow A$ is said to be a multiplier of A if $T(xy) = xT(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. It is well known each multiplier on A is a continuous linear operator and that the set of all multiplier on A is a unital closed commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(A)$ [11, Proposition 4.1.1]. Also the semi-simplicity of A implies that every multiplier has the SVEP (see [11, Proposition 2.2.1]). According to Proposition 2.1 we have :

Proposition 3.3. *Let T be a multiplier on semi-simple commutative Banach algebra A , then the following assertions are equivalent*

1. T is Pseudo-Fredholm .
2. T is left generalized Drazin invertible.

Now if assume in additional that A is regular and Tauberian (see [11, Definition 4.9.7]), then every multiplier T^* has SVEP. Hence we have the following result,

Proposition 3.4. *Let T be a multiplier on semi-simple regular and Tauberian commutative Banach algebra A , then the following assertions are equivalent*

1. T is Pseudo-Fredholm .
2. T is generalized Drazin invertible.

Let G a locally compact abelian group, with group operation $+$ and Haar measure μ , let $L^1(G)$ consist of all \mathbb{C} -valued functions on G integrable with respect to Haar measure and $M(G)$ the Banach algebra of regular complex Borel measures on G . We recall that $L^1(G)$ is a regular semi-simple Tauberian commutative Banach algebra. Then we have the following:

Corollary 3.1. *Let G be a locally compact abelian group, $\mu \in M(G)$. Then every convolution operator $T_\mu : L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G)$, $T_\mu(k) = \mu \star k$ is Pseudo Fredholm if and only if is generalized Drazin invertible.*

In [10] and [16], The authors proved that if Q is a quasi-nilpotent operator commute with T then: $\sigma_*(T + Q) = \sigma_*(T)$ where $\sigma_* = \sigma_{rgD}, \sigma_{lgD}, \sigma_{gD}$, since $S(T + Q) = S(T)$ where Q is a quasi-nilpotent operator commutes with T , we have the following:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, Q be a quasi-nilpotent operator which commutes with T . Then:*

$$\sigma_{gK}(T + Q) \cup S(T) = \sigma_{gK}(T) \cup S(T)$$

4 Generalized Kato Decomposition for Operator Matrices

Let X and Y be Banach spaces and $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operator from X to Y .

For $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$, we denote by $M_C \in \mathcal{B}(X \oplus Y)$ the operator defined on $X \oplus Y$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

It is well know that, in the case of infinite dimensional, the inclusion $\sigma(M_C) \subset \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)$, may be strict.

This motivates serval authors to study the defect $(\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B)) \setminus \sigma_*(M_C)$ where σ_*

runs different type spectra.

In [5], they proved that : $\sigma_{gD}(M_C) \subset \sigma_{gD}(A) \cup \sigma_{gD}(B)$, this inclusion may be strict (see [16, Example 3.4].

In this section we interested and motivated by the relationship between $\sigma_{gK}(M_C)$ and $\sigma_{gK}(A) \cup \sigma_{gK}(B)$. We start by the following :

Proposition 4.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$. Then: $\sigma_{gK}(M_C) = \sigma_{gK}(A) \cup \sigma_{gK}(B) \implies \sigma_{gD}(M_C) = \sigma_{gD}(A) \cup \sigma_{gD}(B)$*

Proof. Let $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gD}(M_C)$, then $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gK}(M_C) = \sigma_{gK}(A) \cup \sigma_{gK}(B)$ this implies $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gK}(A)$ and $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gK}(B)$. Suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma_{gD}(A)$, from Theorem 2.1 $\lambda \in S(A) \cup S(A^*)$. Then $S(A) \cup S(A^*) \subset S(M_C) \cup S(M_C^*) \subset \sigma_{gD}(M_C)$. This contradict that $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gD}(M_C)$. Hence $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gD}(A)$. According to [17, Lemmma 2.4], $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gD}(B)$. Thus $\lambda \notin \sigma_{gD}(A) \cup \sigma_{gD}(B)$. We conclude that $\sigma_{gD}(A) \cup \sigma_{gD}(B) \subset \sigma_{gD}(M_C)$. Since $\sigma_{gD}(M_C) \subset \sigma_{gD}(A) \cup \sigma_{gD}(B)$. This complete the proof. \square

Proposition 4.1 and [16, Proposition 3.12] gives the following:

Corollary 4.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ and $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$. Then: $\sigma_{gK}(M_C) = \sigma_{gK}(A) \cup \sigma_{gK}(B) \implies \sigma(M_C) = \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)$*

Theorem 4.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$. If A, A^*, B and B^* have the SVEP, then for every $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ we have:*

$$\sigma_{gK}(M_C) = \sigma_{gK}(A) \cup \sigma_{gK}(B)$$

Proof. A, A^*, B and B^* have the SVEP according to [7, Proposition 3.1], M_C and M_C^* have the SVEP. Hence by corollary 2.3

$$\sigma_{gK}(M_C) = \sigma_{gD}(M_C)$$

$$\sigma_{gK}(A) = \sigma_{gD}(A) \text{ and } \sigma_{gK}(B) = \sigma_{gD}(B)$$

By [16, Corollary 3.6] and Corollary 2.3, $\sigma_{gD}(M_C) = \sigma_{gD}(A) \cup \sigma_{gD}(B) = \sigma_{gK}(A) \cup \sigma_{gK}(B)$. Therefore :

$$\sigma_{gK}(M_C) = \sigma_{gK}(A) \cup \sigma_{gK}(B)$$

\square

Corollary 4.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$. If A and B are decomposable , then for every $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ we have:*

$$\sigma_{gK}(M_C) = \sigma_{gK}(A) \cup \sigma_{gK}(B)$$

In particular, If A and B are algebraic or compact.

References

- [1] P. AIENA. *Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory with Applications to Multipliers*. Kluwer.Acad.Press,2004.
- [2] P. AIENA, T.MILLER., M.NEUMANN *On a localized single- valued extension property*. Proc.R.Ir.Acad.104(1)(2004) 17-34
- [3] P.AIENA, M.T.BIONDI, *Ascent, descent, quasi-nilpotent part and analytic core of operators.*, Mat. Vesnik (2002) 54, 57-70.
- [4] E. BOASSO. *Isolated spectral points and Koliha-Drazin invertible elements in quotient Banach algebras and homomorphism ranges*, arXiv:1403.3663v1
- [5] D. S. DJORDJEVIC AND P. S. STANIMIROVIC, *On the generalized Drazin inverse and generalized resolvent*, Czech. Math. J. 51 (126) (2001), 617-634.
- [6] DRAZIN MP. *Pseudo-inverse in associative rings and semigroups*. Amer. Math. Monthly. (1958),65:506-514.
- [7] M.HOUMIDI, H.ZGHITTI, *Propriétés spectrales locales d'une matrice carrée des opérateurs*, Acta Math.Vietnam.25 (2000),137-144
- [8] Q. JIANG, H. ZHONG. *Generalized Kato decomposition, single-valued extension property and approximate point spectrum*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 356 (2009) 322-327.
- [9] KOLIHA JJ. *A generalized Drazin inverse*. Glasgow Math. J. 1996,38:367-81
- [10] KOUIDER.M.H, MOHAMMED BENHARRAT,BEKKAI MESSIRDI, *Left and right generalized Drazin invertible operators*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2014.962534>
- [11] K.B.LAURSEN, M.M.NEUMANN. *An introduction to Local Spectral Theory*in: London Mathematical Society Monograph, New series, Vol. 20, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000
- [12] D. LAY, A. TAYLOR. *Introduction to functional analysis*. J. Wiley and Sons, N. York. 1980
- [13] M.MBEKHTA, *Généralisation de la décomposition de Kato aux opérateurs paranormaux et spectraux*, Glasgow Math J, 29 (1987) 159-175.
- [14] V. MÜLLER. *Spectral Theory of Linear Operators and Spectral Systems in Banach Algebras* 2nd edition. Oper. Theory Advances and Applications . vol 139 (2007).
- [15] C.SCHMOEGER. *On isolated points of the spectrum of a bounded linear operator*,Proc. Amer. Math.Soc. 117 (1993), 715-719

- [16] H.ZARIOUH, H. ZGHITTI. *On pseudo B-Weyl operators and generalized drazin invertible for operator matrices*,arXiv:1503.06611v1.
- [17] S. ZHANG, H. ZHONG, L. LIN, *Generalized Drazin Spectrum of Operator Matrices*, Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. 29 (2) (2014), 162-170.

Abdelaziz Tajmouati
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz
Fez, Morocco
Email: abdelaziztajmouati@yahoo.fr

Mohammed Karmouni
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz
Fez, Morocco
Email: mohammed.karmouni@usmba.ac.ma