

RANDOM REFLECTIONS IN A HIGH DIMENSIONAL TUBE

KRZYSZTOF BURDZY AND TVRTKO TADIĆ

ABSTRACT. We consider light ray reflections in d -dimensional semi-infinite tube, for $d \geq 3$, made of Lambertian material. The source of light is placed far away from the exit, and the light ray is assumed to reflect so that the distribution of the direction of the reflected light ray has the density proportional to the cosine of the angle with the normal vector. We present new results on the exit distribution from the tube, and generalizations of some theorems from an earlier article, where the dimension was limited to $d = 2$ and 3 .

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a continuation of [BT16], where Lambertian reflections in a semi-infinite tube were introduced and studied. A source of light was placed far away from the tube opening and the light reflected from the walls of the tube according to the Lambertian law (also known as the Knudsen law in the theory of gases). The main results were concerned with the properties of the light ray at the exit time. The paper [BT16] was mostly concerned with the 2-dimensional case although it contained some results in the 3-dimensional case. This paper is devoted to the d -dimensional case, for any $d \geq 3$. The exit distribution is dramatically different in two dimensions from three dimensions. We will show that the exit distributions are similar in the qualitative sense for all $d \geq 3$.

On the technical side, we will derive some new results about overshoot of random walks, arccosine distribution and products of random variables having this distribution, and distributions with regularly varying tails.

One of our main results is Theorem 6.4 which states that if the last position of the ray was at a large distance β from the exit of the tube, the exiting point of the ray is (approximately) uniformly distributed on the exiting disc. This is illustrated in Figure 1. If we apply a filter on the exiting disc so that only the rays coming from the blue part of the tube are shown, the light on the exiting disc will be uniformly distributed. Results of a simulation illustrated in Figure 6 show that this is practically true when $\beta = 3$ and the source of light is at the distance 50 from the exiting disc.

Another of our main results is Theorem 7.1, the generalization of the brightness singularity result from [BT16]. Suppose that the light ray starts s units from the opening of the tube. Let \mathbf{v}_s be the unit vector representing the direction of the light ray at the exit time assuming it leaves the tube at the center of the opening. Let

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G50, 60K05, 37D50, 37H99.

Key words and phrases. random reflections, stopped random walks, tail of the arccosine distribution, undershoot, overshoot.

KB: Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-1206276. TT: Research supported in part by Croatian Science Foundation grant 3526.




FIGURE 1. Illustration of Theorem 6.4.

$\mathbf{B}(r) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = 1, x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 \leq r^2, x > 0\}$ denote a ball on the unit sphere. A somewhat informal statement of Theorem 7.1 is

Theorem 1.1. *For some constant $c > 0$ and any $0 < r_1 < r_2 < 1$,*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{d-2} \mathbb{P} \left(\mathbf{v}_s \in \mathbf{B} \left(\frac{r_2}{s} \right) \setminus \mathbf{B} \left(\frac{r_1}{s} \right) \right) = c(r_2^{d-2} - r_1^{d-2}).$$

Consider an observer at the center of the opening of the tube, looking towards the interior of the tube. The theorem says that small annuli at the center of the field of vision, with the area of magnitude $1/s^{d-1}$, receive about $1/s^{d-2}$ units of light. Hence, the apparent brightness is about s at the distance $1/s$ from the center, if the light source is s units away from the opening. This means that the surface of the tube does not appear to be Lambertian, i.e., the surface does not have uniform apparent brightness. This can be explained by the fact that not all parts of the surface of the tube receive the same amount of light.

A brief review of literature on random reflections is given in [BT16]; we only mention here some of the papers in this area: [ABS13, CPSV09, CPSV10a, CPSV10b, Eva01].

The paper is organized as follows. We present some results on random walks and arccosine distribution in Section 2. Section 3 contains a precise definition of Lambertian direction for a light ray and contains estimates of the exit distribution of the light ray from the tube in case there were no reflections inside the tube. The construction of the process of reflected light ray is given in Section 4. In the next section, Section 5, we included some precise results on the tail of the distribution of a single flight of light ray between reflections. Section 6 contains results on the exit distribution in the case when the last reflection is far from the exit, and the last section, Section 7, contains the rigorous statement and the proof of the brightness singularity result alluded to above.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Stopped random walks. We will study a random walk $\{S_n, n \geq 0\}$, with $S_0 = 0$ and $S_n = S_{n-1} + X_n$ for $n \geq 1$, where $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is an i.i.d. sequence.

For $s > 0$ we let

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} N_s &= \inf\{n > 0 : S_n > s\}, \\ O_s &= S_{N_s} - s, \quad U_s = s - S_{N_s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We call O_s the overshoot and U_s the undershoot of the random walk S_n at s .

Definition 2.1. For a function $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ we say that it is regularly varying with exponent (index) α if

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(xt)/h(t) = x^\alpha$$

for $x > 0$. A function h is called slowly varying if $\alpha = 0$.

It is well known that h is a regularly varying function with index α if and only if it is of the form $h(x) = x^\alpha L(x)$ where L is a slowly varying function.

We will use the notation $f \sim g$ to indicate that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)/g(x) = 1$, where a will depend on the context.

The following theorem can be found in [BGT87, Thm. 1.5.2].

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is regularly varying with index $\alpha < 0$. Then for every $a > 0$, the limit in (2.2) is uniform in $x \in [a, \infty)$.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let $S_0 = 0$, $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, where (X_n) is a sequence of continuous i.i.d. random variables such that $x \mapsto \mathbb{P}(X_1 > x)$ is regularly varying with index $-\alpha < 0$. Then for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{U_s}{U_s + O_s} \leq t \mid S_{N_s-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon) \right) = t^\alpha.$$

If $\mathbb{P}(X_1 < 0) > 0$ then (2.3) is true for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Remark 2.4. Statement (2.3) is written in the form that we will use later. It can be reformulated as follows. For $x \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{X_{N_s}}{U_s} > x \mid U_s \geq \varepsilon s \right) = x^{-\alpha}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We need to check whether $\mathbb{P}(S_{N_s-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon)) > 0$ for $s > 0$ so that (2.3) makes sense. For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{N_s-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon)) \geq \mathbb{P}(S_0 \leq s(1 - \varepsilon), S_1 > s) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > s) > 0.$$

If $\mathbb{P}(X_1 < 0) > 0$, then there exist $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > 0$ such that $\beta := \mathbb{P}(-\delta_1 < X_1 < -\delta_2) > 0$. For any $\varepsilon \geq 1$, there exists m such that $m\delta_2 > s(\varepsilon - 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_{N_s-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon)) &\geq \mathbb{P}(S_{m+1} > s, S_m \leq s(1 - \varepsilon), S_{m-1} < s, \dots, S_1 < s) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(-\delta_1 < X_1 < -\delta_2, \dots, -\delta_1 < X_m < -\delta_2, X_{m+1} > s + m\delta_1) \\ &= \beta^m \mathbb{P}(X_1 > s + m\delta_1) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By definition we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{U_s}{U_s + O_s} \leq t, S_{N_s-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(tX_{N_s} \geq (s - S_{N_s-1}), S_{N_s-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(tX_k \geq (s - S_{k-1}), S_{k-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon), N_s = k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_k \geq (s - S_{k-1})/t, S_{k-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon), S_{k-2} < s, \dots, S_1 < s) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{s(1-\varepsilon)} \mathbb{P}(X_k \geq (s - y)/t) \mathbb{P}(S_{k-1} \in dy, S_{k-2} < s, \dots, S_1 < s) \\
&\hspace{25em} (y = su) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}(X_1 \geq (s - su)/t) \mathbb{P}(S_{k-1} \in s du, S_{k-2} < s, \dots, S_1 < s).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2, since $1 - u \geq \varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_1 \geq (s(1 - u))/t)}{\mathbb{P}(X_1 \geq s(1 - u))} \rightarrow t^\alpha$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence, as $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{U_s}{U_s + O_s} \leq t, S_{N_s-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon)\right) \\
&\sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{1-\varepsilon} t^\alpha \mathbb{P}(X_1 \geq s - su) \mathbb{P}(S_{k-1} \in s du, S_{k-2} < s, \dots, S_1 < s) \\
&= t^\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{s(1-\varepsilon)} \mathbb{P}(X_k \geq s - su) \mathbb{P}(S_{k-1} \in dy, S_{k-2} < s, \dots, S_1 < s) \\
&= t^\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_k \geq s - S_{k-1}, S_{k-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon), N_s = k) \\
&= t^\alpha \mathbb{P}(S_{N_s-1} \leq s(1 - \varepsilon)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.2. Arcosine distribution. The arcsine distribution is well known for its many interesting properties, see, for example [AG80]. In this section we will study a similar distribution which we will call the arccosine distribution. We will need this material in Section 3 because we will study products of random variables of the form $\cos \Phi_1 \cdots \cos \Phi_n$ where Φ_1, \dots, Φ_n are i.i.d. random variables with distribution $U(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ (uniform on $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$).

Let

$$F = \cos \Phi,$$

where $\Phi \stackrel{d}{=} U(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. We will call the distribution ‘‘arccosine’’ and we will use F to denote a random variable with this distribution in the rest of the paper. Note that $F \in [0, 1]$, a.s.

Lemma 2.5. *We have for $x \in (0, 1)$,*

$$P(F > x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arccos x.$$

The density of F is $\frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}}$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ and 0 otherwise. Furthermore, as $x \rightarrow 1^-$,

$$\mathbb{P}(F > x) \sim \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi}\sqrt{1-x}.$$

We omit the proof because it is elementary.

Recall that $0!! = 1$, $1!! = 1$, and $n!! = n \cdot (n-2)!!$ for integers $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let (F_k) be an i.i.d. sequence of random variables distributed as F . For $n \geq 1$, we have $\mathbb{P}(F_1 F_2 \cdots F_n > x) \sim c_n (1-x)^{n/2}$ as $x \rightarrow 1^-$, where $c_1 = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi}$, $c_2 = \frac{2}{\pi}$ and for $n \geq 2$ we have*

$$c_n = \frac{4}{\pi n} c_{n-2}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(F_1 F_2 \cdots F_n > x) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n!!} \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{n/2} (1-x)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}n!!} \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{(n+1)/2} (1-x)^{n/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The claim holds for $n = 1$ by Lemma 2.5. Suppose $n = 2$. Then for $x \rightarrow 1^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(F_1 F_2 > x) &= \int_x^1 \mathbb{P}\left(F_1 > \frac{x}{s}\right) \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{1-s^2}} ds \\ &\sim 4 \int_x^1 \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sqrt{1-\frac{x}{s}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s}} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{1+s}} ds \\ &\sim 4 \int_x^1 \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sqrt{1-\frac{x}{s}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s}} ds \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_x^1 \sqrt{\frac{s-x}{1-s}} ds = \frac{2(1-x)}{\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider $n \geq 3$ and assume that our claim holds for $n-1$ and $n-2$. The last asymptotic estimate yields for $x \rightarrow 1^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}(F_1 F_2 \cdots F_n > x) \\ &= \int_x^1 \mathbb{P}\left(F_1 F_2 \cdots F_{n-2} > \frac{x}{s}\right) \mathbb{P}(F_{n-1} F_n \in ds) \\ &\sim \int_x^1 \mathbb{P}\left(F_1 F_2 \cdots F_{n-2} > \frac{x}{s}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} ds \\ &\sim \frac{2c_{n-2}}{\pi} \int_x^1 \left(1-\frac{x}{s}\right)^{(n-2)/2} ds \\ &\sim \frac{2c_{n-2}}{\pi} \int_x^1 (s-x)^{(n-2)/2} ds = \frac{4c_{n-2}}{\pi n} (1-x)^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition now follows by induction. \square




FIGURE 2. Reflections in cylinder; 3-dimensional illustration.




FIGURE 3. Reflection vector encoding; 3-dimensional illustration.

3. LIGHT RAY IN CYLINDER

We will study a light reflection model in a semi-infinite d -dimensional cylinder, generalizing the setup introduced in [BT16]. Consider a semi-infinite cylinder in d dimensions

$$C = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = 1, x_1 \leq 0\}.$$

The cross sections will be denoted

$$\mathbf{S}_s = \{(s, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = 1\}.$$

We will assume that the light ray reflects from the cylinder surface and stays inside the cylinder until it exits C , i.e., it crosses \mathbf{S}_0 (see Figure 2. for the case $d = 3$). The reflections will be Lambertian—we formalize this as follows.

- The ray starts at a point S_0 uniformly chosen in \mathbf{S}_{-s} for some $s > 0$. The initial velocity vector points into the interior of the cylinder and has the same distribution as the one at any reflection point, described below.
- Whenever the ray hits C , it reflects. The angle Θ between the reflected ray and the inner normal vector to the surface at the reflection point Q (see Figure 3) has the following density,

$$(3.1) \quad f(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta, & \text{for } \theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Given Θ , the distribution of the point of intersection of the $(d - 1)$ -dimensional unit sphere centered at Q and projection of the reflected ray on the tangent

hyper plane is uniformly distributed on the intersection of the sphere and the tangent hyperplane (see Figure 3).

If V has the uniform distribution $U(-1, 1)$ then it is easy to check that the following equalities hold in the sense of distribution,

$$(3.2) \quad \sin \Theta = V, \quad \cos \Theta = \sqrt{1 - V^2}, \quad \tan \Theta = \frac{V}{\sqrt{1 - V^2}}.$$

Suppose that the light ray emanates from the point $(-u, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$ for some $u > 0$. The tangent plane to the cylinder C at this point is $\{x : x_d = -1\}$ and the ray is moving in the direction of the random vector

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{v}(R) = (R \sin \Theta \cos \Phi_{d-2} \cos \Phi_{d-3} \dots \cos \Phi_2 \cos \Phi_1, \\ R \sin \Theta \cos \Phi_{d-2} \cos \Phi_{d-2} \dots \cos \Phi_2 \sin \Phi_1, \\ R \sin \Theta \cos \Phi_{d-2} \cos \Phi_{d-2} \dots \cos \Phi_3 \sin \Phi_2, \\ \dots, \\ R \sin \Theta \cos \Phi_{d-2} \sin \Phi_{d-3}, \\ R \sin \Theta \sin \Phi_{d-2}, \\ R \cos \Theta),$$

where $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{d-2}$ are i.i.d. uniform $U(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, and $R > 0$.

Let the infinite cylinder be denoted

$$\bar{C} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = 1\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that a light ray starts from a point $x = (-u, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$ and moves in the direction $\mathbf{v}(R)$ given by (3.3). The ray will exit \bar{C} at a point y whose distance from x is*

$$(3.4) \quad R = \frac{2 \cos \Theta}{1 - \sin^2 \Theta \cos^2 \Phi_{d-2} \dots \cos^2 \Phi_1}.$$

Proof. We need to find $R > 0$ such that $y = x + \mathbf{v}(R)$ and $y_2^2 + y_3^2 + \dots + y_d^2 = 1$. A straightforward calculation yields (3.4). \square

If a light ray starts at $(-u, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$ and moves in the direction of the vector (3.3) then it is easy to check that it intersects the plane $\{x : x_1 = 0\}$ at a point $Z_u = (0, \tilde{Z}_u)$ given by

$$(3.5) \quad \left(0, \frac{u \sin \Phi_1}{\cos \Phi_1}, \frac{u \sin \Phi_2}{\cos \Phi_1 \cos \Phi_2}, \dots, \frac{u \sin \Phi_{d-2}}{\cos \Phi_1 \dots \cos \Phi_{d-2}}, \frac{u \cot \Theta}{\cos \Phi_1 \dots \cos \Phi_{d-2}} - 1\right).$$

Let $I_{d-1} = [-1, 1]^{d-1}$ and let $\mathcal{B}(A)$ denote the family of Borel subsets of a set A .

Lemma 3.2. *Let ρ_u be the conditional distribution of \tilde{Z}_u given $\{\tilde{Z}_u \in I_{d-1}\}$, i.e.,*

$$(3.6) \quad \rho_u(A) = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in A \mid \tilde{Z}_u \in I_{d-1}), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(I_{d-1}).$$

The measures ρ_u converge weakly, when $u \rightarrow \infty$, to the measure ρ_∞ given by

$$(3.7) \quad \rho_\infty(A) = \frac{1}{2^d} \int_A dx_2 \dots dx_{d-1} (1 + x_d) dx_d.$$

Proof. Let $I_{t_2, \dots, t_d} := [-1, -1 + t_2] \times \dots \times [-1, -1 + t_d]$. It will suffice to prove that, as $u \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in I_{t_2, \dots, t_d} | \tilde{Z}_u \in I_{d-1}) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in I_{t_2, \dots, t_d})}{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in I_{d-1})} \rightarrow \frac{t_2 \dots t_{d-1} t_d^2}{2^d}.$$

First, note that if $\tilde{Z}_u \in I_{d-1}$ then

$$\left| \frac{u \sin \Phi_k}{\cos \Phi_1 \dots \cos \Phi_k} \right| \leq 1$$

and, therefore, $|\sin \Phi_k| \leq 1/u$ for $k = 1, \dots, d-2$. Hence, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, for large enough $u > 0$, if $\tilde{Z}_u \in I_{d-1}$ then $\cos \Phi_1 \cos \Phi_2 \dots \cos \Phi_{k-2} \in (1 - \varepsilon, 1]$ for $k = 3, \dots, d$. This implies that for large enough u we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left((\sin \Phi_1, \dots, \sin \Phi_{d-2}, \cot \Theta) \in \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{u} \cdot I_{t_2, \dots, t_{d-1}, 1+t_d} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in I_{t_2, \dots, t_d}) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left((\sin \Phi_1, \dots, \sin \Phi_{d-2}, \cot \Theta) \in \frac{1}{u} \cdot I_{t_2, \dots, t_{d-1}, 1+t_d} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{d-2}$ and Θ are independent, we have for $\alpha > 0$,

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left((\sin \Phi_1, \dots, \sin \Phi_{d-2}, \cot \Theta) \in \frac{\alpha}{u} \cdot I_{t_2, \dots, t_{d-1}, 1+t_d} \right) \\ & = \left[\prod_{k=2}^{d-1} \mathbb{P} \left(\sin \Phi_{k-1} \in \frac{\alpha}{u} [-1, -1 + t_k] \right) \right] \mathbb{P} \left(\cot \Theta \in \frac{\alpha}{u} [0, t_d] \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $k = 2, \dots, d-1$,

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\sin \Phi_{k-1} \in \frac{\alpha}{u} [-1, -1 + t_k] \right) \\ & = \frac{\arcsin \left(\frac{\alpha(-1+t_k)}{u} \right) - \arcsin \left(-\frac{\alpha}{u} \right)}{\pi} \sim \frac{\alpha}{u} \cdot \frac{t_k}{\pi}, \quad \text{for } u \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\cot \Theta \in \frac{\alpha}{u} [0, t_d] \right) = \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-V^2}}{V} \leq \frac{\alpha}{u} t_d, V \geq 0 \right) \\ & = \mathbb{P} \left(1 \leq \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{u^2} t_d^2 + 1 \right) V^2, V \geq 0 \right) = \mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{u^2} t_d^2}} \leq V \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{u^2} t_d^2}} \right) \sim \frac{\alpha^2}{4u^2} t_d^2, \quad \text{for } u \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This, (5.8) and (5.9) yield, for $u \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(3.12) \quad \mathbb{P} \left((\sin \Phi_1, \dots, \sin \Phi_{d-2}, \cot \Theta) \in \frac{\alpha}{u} \cdot I_{t_2, \dots, t_{d-1}, 1+t_d} \right) \sim \frac{\alpha^d}{4u^d \pi^{d-2}} t_2 \dots t_{d-1} t_d^2.$$

Using the fact that $I_{d-1} = I_{2,\dots,2}$, we obtain from (3.9) and the last formula,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \varepsilon)^d \frac{t_2 \cdots t_{d-1} t_d^2}{2^d} &\leq \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in I_{t_2, \dots, t_d} | \tilde{Z}_u \in I_{d-1}) \\ &\leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in I_{t_2, \dots, t_d} | \tilde{Z}_u \in I_{d-1}) \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon)^d} \frac{t_2 \cdots t_{d-1} t_d^2}{2^d}. \end{aligned}$$

As we let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ the lemma follows from (5.7). \square

Corollary 3.3. *As $u \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$(3.13) \quad \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in I_{d-1}) \sim \frac{2^{d-2}}{u^d \pi^{d-2}}.$$

For $A \in \mathcal{B}(I_{d-1})$ such that $\lambda_{d-1}(\partial A) = 0$, when $u \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(3.14) \quad \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in A) \sim \frac{1}{4u^d \pi^{d-2}} \int_A dx_2 \cdots dx_{d-1} (1 + x_d) dx_d.$$

Proof. The claim (3.13) follows from (3.9) and (3.12) when we set $t_1 = \dots = t_d = 2$.

Claim (3.14) now follows from (3.13) and Lemma 3.2. \square

Proposition 3.4. *For all $u > 0$, \tilde{Z}_u is a continuous random vector with density f_u continuous at $(0, \dots, 0)$. Further, we have as $u \rightarrow \infty$*

$$f_u(0, \dots, 0) \sim \frac{1}{4u^d \pi^{d-2}}.$$

Proof. It is easy to see from the definition that \tilde{Z}_u has a continuous density. By (3.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4u^d \pi^{d-2} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in A) &= \int_A 4u^d \pi^{d-2} f_u(x_2, \dots, x_d) dx_2 \cdots dx_d \\ &\rightarrow \int_A dx_2 \cdots dx_{d-1} (1 + x_d) dx_d, \end{aligned}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ such that $\lambda(\partial A) = 0$. This implies $4u^d \pi^{d-2} f_u(0, \dots, 0) \rightarrow 1$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. \square

The closed ball in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} with center x and radius r will be denoted $B_r(x)$. We will write $\mathbb{D} = B_1(0)$ and we will use λ_{d-1} to denote Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{d-1} . The following result will help us estimate where the light ray exits the tube.

Proposition 3.5. *The measure τ_u defined by*

$$\tau_u(A) = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in A | \tilde{Z}_u \in \mathbb{D}) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}),$$

converges weakly, as $u \rightarrow \infty$, to the measure τ_∞ given by

$$(3.15) \quad \tau_\infty(A) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \int_A dx_2 \cdots dx_{d-1} (1 + x_d) dx_d \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}).$$




FIGURE 4. Illustration of Lemma 3.2: Given that the ray passes through the square, the probability that it passes through the rectangle is approximately $t_3^2 t_2 / 8$ for large u .

Proof. Recall ρ_∞ defined in (3.7). We have

$$\rho_\infty(\mathbb{D}) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx_2 \dots dx_{d-1} (1 + x_d) dx_d = \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx_2 \dots dx_{d-1} dx_d = \frac{\pi^{(d-1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}.$$

Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$. Note that $\tau_\infty(\partial A) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda_{d-1}(\partial A) = 0$ if and only if $\rho_\infty(\partial A) = 0$. Also note that $\lambda_{d-1}(\partial \mathbb{D}) = 0$. These remarks imply that if $\lambda_{d-1}(\partial A) = 0$ then

$$\tau_u(A) = \frac{\rho_u(A)}{\rho_u(\mathbb{D})} \rightarrow \frac{\rho_\infty(A)}{\rho_\infty(\mathbb{D})} = \tau_\infty(A), \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty.$$

The claim now follows from Portmanteau's theorem. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Measure τ_∞ has the following properties.*

- (a) $\tau_\infty(B_r(0)) = r^{d-1}$ for $r \leq 1$.
- (b) If $y = (0, \dots, 0, -1 + r)$ then $\tau_\infty(B_r(y)) = r^d$ for $r \leq 1$.

Proof. By symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\infty(B_r(0)) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \int_{B_r(0)} dx_2 \dots dx_{d-1} (1 + x_d) dx_d \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \int_{B_r(0)} dx_2 \dots dx_{d-1} dx_d = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \lambda_{d-1}(B_r(0)) = r^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For part (b), we apply the change of coordinates $(h_2, \dots, h_{d-1} + r) = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \int_{B_r(y)} dx_2 \dots dx_{d-1} x_d dx_d &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \int_{B_r(0)} dh_2 \dots dh_{d-1} (h_d + r) dh_d \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} r \int_{B_r(0)} dh_2 \dots dh_{d-1} dh_d + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \int_{B_r(0)} dh_2 \dots dh_{d-1} h_d dh_d \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} r \lambda_{d-1}(B_r(0)) = r^d.$$

□

Let $\mathbb{S} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : y_1^2 + \dots + y_{d-1}^2 = 1\}$. We will analyze the trajectory of a light ray starting from $(-u, y)$, where y is any point in \mathbb{S} . For $y \in \mathbb{S}$ let U^y be any unitary linear operator on \mathbb{R}^{d-1} such that $U^y(0, \dots, -1) = y$. The operator U^y can be identified with an orthogonal matrix. We will use $*$ to denote the transpose. In particular, U^{y*} will denote the inverse operator to U^y .

Let

$$(3.16) \quad Z_{u,y} = (0, \tilde{Z}_{u,y})$$

be the point where the light ray starting at $(-u, y)$ intersects the plane $\{x : x_1 = 0\}$.

Remark 3.7. (i) It is easy to see that for $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$, the probability $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in U^{y*}(A))$ is equal to $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in A)$. Hence, it depends on u, y and A but it does not depend on the choice of U^y .

(ii) It follows from the first part of the remark and Proposition 3.5 that for $y \in \mathbb{S}$, probability measures $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in \cdot \mid \tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in \mathbb{D})$ converge weakly to the probability measure $\tau_\infty \circ U^{y*}$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3.8. For $y \in \mathbb{S}$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$,

$$(3.17) \quad \tau_\infty(U^{y*}(A)) = \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(A)}{\lambda_{d-1}(\mathbb{D})} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{d-1}(\mathbb{D})} \int_A (y_1 x_2 + \dots + y_{d-1} x_d) dx_2 \dots dx_d,$$

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}} \tau_\infty(U^{y*}(A)) \mathbb{P}(\Sigma \in dy) = \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(A)}{\lambda_{d-1}(\mathbb{D})},$$

where Σ is a random vector uniformly distributed on \mathbb{S} .

Proof. We have from (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\infty(U^{y*}(A)) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \int_{U^{y*}(A)} dx_2 \dots dx_{d-1} (1 + x_d) dx_d \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \int_{U^{y*}(A)} dx_2 \dots dx_{d-1} dx_d + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \int_{U^{y*}(A)} x_d dx_2 \dots dx_{d-1} dx_d \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(A)}{\lambda_{d-1}(\mathbb{D})} + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(d-1)/2}} \int_A (U^{y*}x)_d dx_2 \dots dx_{d-1} dx_d. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, $(U^{y*}x)_d = (U^y(0, \dots, 0, 1))^*x = -y^*x$. This and the last displayed formula imply (3.17).

Formula (3.18) follows from the fact that the integral in (3.17) becomes 0 since we are integrating over a symmetric surface. □

Lemma 3.9. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\lambda_{d-1}(\partial A) = 0$ then $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in A \mid \tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in \mathbb{D})$ converges uniformly to $\tau_\infty(U^{y*}(A))$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $A\Delta B$ denote the symmetric difference of sets A and B . It is elementary to prove that for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $U : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is an isometry satisfying $|U(x) - x| < \varepsilon$ then $\lambda_{d-1}(U(A)\Delta A) < \delta$.

It is easy to see that there exists $c_1 < \infty$ such that for every $u \geq 1$, the density of the measure $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in \cdot \mid \tilde{Z}_u \in \mathbb{D})$ is bounded above by c_1 (a formal proof could be based on ideas used in the proof of Lemma 3.2).

Fix any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\delta_1 > 0$. Find $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that if $U : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is an isometry satisfying $|U(x) - x| < \varepsilon$ then $\lambda_{d-1}(U(A)\Delta A) < \delta_1/c_1$. Suppose that $y, z \in \mathbb{S}$ and $|y - z| < \varepsilon$. Then there exists an isometry $U : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that $U(y) = z$ and $|U^*(x) - x| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \mathbb{D}$. We now use Remark 3.7 (i) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in A \mid \tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in \mathbb{D}) &= \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in U^{y^*}(A) \mid \tilde{Z}_u \in \mathbb{D}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in (U \circ U^y)^*(U^*(A)) \mid \tilde{Z}_u \in \mathbb{D}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u \in (U \circ U^y)^*(A) \mid \tilde{Z}_u \in \mathbb{D}) + (\delta_1/c_1)c_1 \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{u,z} \in U^{z^*}(A) \mid \tilde{Z}_{u,z} \in \mathbb{D}) + \delta_1. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the functions $y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in A \mid \tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in \mathbb{D})$ are equicontinuous for $u \geq 1$. The lemma now follows from the pointwise convergence of $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in A \mid \tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in \mathbb{D})$ to $\tau_\infty(U^{y^*}(A))$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$, proved in Proposition 3.5 and Remark 3.7 (ii). \square

Proposition 3.10. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$, $\lambda_{d-1}(A) > 0$ and $\lambda_{d-1}(\partial A) = 0$ then the ratio*

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in A \mid \tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in \mathbb{D})}{\tau_\infty(U^{y^*}(A))}$$

converges uniformly to 1 as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\tau_\infty(U^{y^*}(A)) > 0$ for every $y \in \mathbb{S}$ because $\lambda_{d-1}(A) > 0$. It follows from (3.17) that the function $y \mapsto \tau_\infty(U^{y^*}(A))$ is continuous. Therefore, due to compactness of \mathbb{S} , we have $m := \inf\{\tau_\infty(U^{y^*}(A)) : y \in \mathbb{S}\} > 0$. Hence,

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in A \mid \tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in \mathbb{D})}{\tau_\infty(U^{y^*}(A))} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{m} |\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in A \mid \tilde{Z}_{u,y} \in \mathbb{D}) - \tau_\infty(U^{y^*}(A))|,$$

and by Lemma 3.9 we have uniform convergence. \square

4. LIGHT RAY REFLECTIONS

In our model, the reflection points of a light ray form a Markov chain with the state space being the tube

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = 1\}.$$

To simplify notation, we shift the coordinate system of our model so that the light source is located in the hyperplane $\{x : x_1 = 0\}$, and the end of the tube is located at $\{x : x_1 = s\}$, with $s > 0$.

We will now provide a formal description of our model. Let Σ be a random vector uniformly distributed on the $(d-1)$ -dimensional sphere \mathbb{S} . Let $(\Theta^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}, (\Phi_1^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}, \dots, (\Phi_{d-2}^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}$ be sequences such that:

- Each of these sequences is i.i.d.;
- $\Theta^{(k)}$ has the density $\frac{1}{2} \cos \theta$ on $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ for $k \geq 1$;
- $\Phi_j^{(k)}$ is distributed as $U[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $j = 1, \dots, d-2$;
- All random variables $\Sigma, (\Theta^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}, (\Phi_1^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}, \dots, (\Phi_{d-2}^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}$ are jointly independent.

We define a Markov chain $\{S_k, k \geq 0\}$ by setting $S_0 = (0, \Sigma)$, and for $k \geq 1$,

$$(4.1) \quad R_k = \frac{2 \cos \Theta^{(k)}}{1 - \left(\sin \Theta^{(k)} \cos \Phi_{d-2}^{(k)} \dots \cos \Phi_1^{(k)} \right)^2},$$

$$S_k = (S_k^{x_1}, S_k^{x_2, \dots, d}), \text{ where } S_k^{x_1} \in \mathbb{R}, S_k^{x_2, \dots, d} \in \mathbb{S},$$

$$(4.2) \quad S_k^{x_1} = S_{k-1}^{x_1} + R_k \sin \Theta^{(k)} \cos \Phi_1^{(k)} \dots \cos \Phi_{d-2}^{(k)},$$

$$S_k^{x_2, \dots, d} = U^{S_{k-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}} (G_{2, \dots, d}(\Theta^{(k)}, \Phi_1^{(k)}, \dots, \Phi_{d-2}^{(k)})),$$

where:

- $U^{x_2, \dots, d}$ is a unitary operator that maps $(0, \dots, 0, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ to $x_2, \dots, d \in \mathbb{S}$;
- $G_{2, \dots, d}(\Theta, \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{d-2})$ represents the last $(d-1)$ coordinates of (3.3) where R is given by (3.4).

Lemma 4.1. (a) $(S_k^{x_1})$ is a symmetric random walk.

(b) The uniform distribution on \mathbb{S} is an invariant distribution for the Markov chain $S_k^{x_2, \dots, d}$.

(c) For every random time T measurable with respect to the σ -field $\sigma(S_k^{x_1} : k \geq 0)$, $S_T^{x_2, \dots, d}$ is uniformly distributed on \mathbb{S} and independent of $\sigma(S_k^{x_1} : k \geq 0)$.

Proof. Part (a) follows from the definition of the model. Parts (b) and (c) follow from the fact that the initial position S_0 is governed by a random variable Σ , independent of all other random variables used in the construction. \square

From now on, we will use N_s, O_s and U_s to denote random variables defined in (2.1), but relative to the random walk $S_k^{x_1}$. For future reference we record a formula for the position of the exit point (s, Y_s) of the light ray from the tube.

Lemma 4.2. The light ray exits the tube at a point (s, Y_s) where

$$(4.3) \quad Y_s = S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d} + \frac{s - S_{N_s-1}^{x_1}}{S_{N_s}^{x_1} - S_{N_s-1}^{x_1}} (S_{N_s}^{x_2, \dots, d} - S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}).$$

Proof. Note that (s, Y_s) lies on the line segment $[S_{N_s-1}, S_{N_s}]$. \square

5. THE TAIL OF THE STEP IN x_1 DIRECTION

We will study the distribution of the step $X_k = S_k^{x_1} - S_{k-1}^{x_1}$ of the random walk $S_k^{x_1}$. To simplify notation, we will suppress k in the notation, for example, we will write X instead of X_k . In view of (4.1) and (4.2), we may represent X as

$$(5.1) \quad X = \frac{2 \cos \Theta \sin \Theta \cos \Phi_{d-2} \dots \cos \Phi_1}{1 - \sin^2 \Theta \cos^2 \Phi_{d-2} \dots \cos^2 \Phi_1},$$

where, as usual, Θ has density (3.1), $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{d-2}$ are $U(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and they are all independent.

Proposition 5.1. *We have for $x > 0$,*

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbb{P}(X > x) = \int_{\frac{x}{\sqrt{4+x^2}}}^1 \mathbb{P}\left(\cos \Phi_{d-2} \dots \cos \Phi_1 > \frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv}\right) dv.$$

In the 3-dimensional case we have for $x > 0$,

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbb{P}(X > x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\frac{x}{\sqrt{4+x^2}}}^1 \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv}\right) dv.$$

Proof. Let $V = \sin \Theta$, $F_k = \cos \Phi_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, d-2$ and $\mathbf{F} = F_1 \dots F_{d-2}$. We obtain from the representation given in (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X > x) &= \mathbb{P}(X > x, V > 0) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(xV^2\mathbf{F}^2 + 2V\sqrt{1-V^2}\mathbf{F} - x > 0, V > 0\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{F} > \frac{\sqrt{1-V^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-V^2}}{xV}, V > 0\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{F} > \frac{\sqrt{1-V^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-V^2}}{xV} \wedge 1, V > 0\right). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality $\frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv} \leq 1$ holds for $v \in (0, 1)$ and fixed $x > 0$ if and only if $v \geq \frac{x}{\sqrt{4+x^2}}$. Recall that V has the uniform distribution $U(-1, 1)$. Hence, we get

$$\mathbb{P}(X > x) = \int_{\frac{x}{\sqrt{4+x^2}}}^1 \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{F} > \frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv}\right) dv,$$

completing the proof of (5.2). In the 3-dimensional case we have $\mathbf{F} = F_1 = \cos \Phi_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X > x) &= \int_{\frac{x}{\sqrt{4+x^2}}}^1 \mathbb{P}\left(\Phi_1 \in \left[-\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv}\right), \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv}\right)\right]\right) dv \\ &= \int_{\frac{x}{\sqrt{4+x^2}}}^1 \frac{2}{\pi} \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv}\right) dv. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.2. *If the dimension of the space is $d \geq 3$ then we have*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^d \mathbb{P}(X > x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{(d-1)!!} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{(d-2)/2} & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{2}{(d-1)!!} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{(d-3)/2} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The following formula is a reformulation of Proposition 2.6,

$$(5.4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} (1-x)^{-d/2} \mathbb{P}(\cos \Phi_d \dots \cos \Phi_1 > x) = c_d := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d!!} \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{d/2} & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} d!!} \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{(d+1)/2} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We will show that

$$(5.5) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{v \in \left[\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+4}}, 1\right]} \left| 1 - \frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv} \right| = 0.$$

If

$$A(v, x) = \frac{2x\sqrt{1+v}}{x + \sqrt{1-v^2} + \sqrt{1-v^2+x^2}},$$

$$B(v, x) = \frac{x}{v(\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} + \sqrt{1-v^2})},$$

then

$$(5.6) \quad 1 - \frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv} = \frac{\sqrt{1-v^2}}{x} A(v, x) + (v-1)B(v, x).$$

It is not hard to show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{v \in \left[\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+4}}, 1\right]} |A(v, x) - \sqrt{2}| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{v \in \left[\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+4}}, 1\right]} |B(v, x) - 1| = 0.$$

Formula (5.5) follows from this and (5.6).

It follows from (5.2), (5.4) and (5.5) that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(5.7) \quad \mathbb{P}(X > x) \sim c_{d-2} \int_{\frac{x}{\sqrt{4+x^2}}}^1 \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv}\right)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} dv.$$

Substitutions $\frac{1}{v^2} = 1 + \frac{w}{x^2}$ and $\varepsilon = 1/x^2$ yield

$$(5.8) \quad \int_{\frac{x}{\sqrt{4+x^2}}}^1 \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv}\right)^k dv$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^4 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon)w} + \varepsilon\sqrt{w}\right)^k (1 + \varepsilon w)^{-3/2} dw.$$

Let $f_w(\varepsilon) := -\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon)w} + \varepsilon\sqrt{w}$ and note that $f_w(0) = 1$ and $f'_w(0) = \sqrt{w} - \frac{w}{2}$. We use the Dominated Convergence Theorem to obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^4 \left(\frac{f_w(\varepsilon) - f_w(0)}{\varepsilon}\right)^k (1 + \varepsilon w)^{-3/2} dw = \int_0^4 f'_w(0) dw.$$

This implies

(5.9)

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{-k} \int_0^4 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon)w + \varepsilon\sqrt{w}}\right)^k (1 + \varepsilon w)^{-3/2} dw = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^4 \left(\sqrt{w} - \frac{w}{2}\right)^k dw.$$

The substitution $y = \sqrt{w}/2$ leads to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^4 \left(\sqrt{w} - \frac{w}{2}\right)^k dw = \int_0^1 (2y - 2y^2)^k 4y dy = 2^{k+2} \int_0^1 y^{k+1} (1 - y)^k dy.$$

This and the identity $\frac{\Gamma(q)\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p+q)} = \int_0^1 z^{q-1} (1 - z)^{p-1} dz$ imply that for $k > -1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^4 \left(\sqrt{w} - \frac{w}{2}\right)^k dw = 2^{k+2} \frac{\Gamma(k+2)\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(2k+3)}.$$

We use this formula, (5.8) and (5.9) to see that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^d \int_{\frac{x}{\sqrt{4+x^2}}}^1 \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{1-v^2+x^2} - \sqrt{1-v^2}}{xv}\right)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} dv = \frac{2^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)^2 d}{\Gamma(d+1)}.$$

This and (5.7) imply that $\mathbb{P}(X > x) \sim \frac{2^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)^2 d}{\Gamma(d+1)} c_{d-2} x^{-d}$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$. The theorem follows from an application of the formulas $\Gamma(d/2) = 2^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} (d-2)!! \sqrt{\pi}$ for odd d and $\Gamma(d/2) = 2^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} (d-2)!!$ for even d . \square

Theorem 5.2 says that $x \mapsto P(X > x)$ is a regularly varying function with the index $-d$. This implies the following results.

Corollary 5.3. *For all $k = 0, \dots, d-1$, the k -th moment of X exists and is finite. However, the d -th moment of $|X|$ is infinite.*

Corollary 5.4. *If $Z_1 = S_{N_0}^{x_1}$ then $x \mapsto \mathbb{P}(Z_1 > x)$ is a regularly varying function of degree $-(d-1)$. Hence, for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, d-2$, the k -th moment of Z_1 is finite but the $(d-1)$ -st moment is not.*

Proof. See [Don80], which builds on results of [Ver77]. \square

Corollary 5.5. *The random walk $(S_k^{x_1})$ is neighborhood recurrent. The light ray will eventually exit the tube almost surely.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.3, X has a finite expectation. Since X is symmetric, $\mathbb{E}X = 0$. Neighborhood recurrence of $(S_k^{x_1})$ can now be proved as in [BT16, Lemma 4.2.], using the Chung-Fuchs Theorem. Neighborhood recurrence of $(S_k^{x_1})$ implies that the process will eventually take a value larger than s , a.s. In other words, the light ray will exit the tube. \square

Recall the definition of U_s and O_s from (2.1). In dimension $d \geq 3$ we have $\mathbb{E}[X_1^2] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[Z_1] < \infty$. Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.6 in [BT16] were concerned with the case $d = 3$ but their proofs used only the finiteness of $\mathbb{E}[Z_1]$ and not any other consequences of the assumption that $d = 3$. Hence, the results and their proofs apply in the case of our current model, i.e., they hold true for all $d \geq 3$. We state the two results without proofs.




FIGURE 5. Illustration of the equality (5.11).

Proposition 5.6. For $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$(5.10) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{U_s}{U_s + O_s} \leq t \right) = \Lambda(t) := \frac{\mathbb{E}[(t(U_0 + O_0) - U_0)^+]}{\mathbb{E}[O_0]}.$$

Lemma 5.7. The function $\Lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in (5.10) has the following properties.

- (a) Λ is a continuous, increasing and convex function.
- (b) $\frac{\mathbb{E}(X\mathbf{1}_{(X>0)})}{\mathbb{E}[O_0]} t \leq \Lambda(t) \leq t$.
- (c) $\Lambda(0) = 0$, $\Lambda(1) = 1$, $\Lambda'(0) = \mathbb{E}(X\mathbf{1}_{(X>0)})/\mathbb{E}[O_0]$ and $\Lambda'(1) = \mathbb{E}[O_0 + U_0]/\mathbb{E}O_0$.

For $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, let $D_t(y_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : \|y - (1-t)y_0\| \leq t\}$. Note that $D_t(y_0)$ is an $(d-1)$ -dimensional ball with measure $\frac{\pi^{(d-1)/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}t^{d-1}$. The definition of $D_t(y_0)$, (5.10) and

$$(5.11) \quad \left\{ \frac{U_s}{U_s + O_s} \leq t \right\} = \{Y_s \in D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d})\}$$

yield

$$(5.12) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d})) = \Lambda(t).$$

See Figure 5 for the illustration of the equality (5.11).

Proposition 5.8. Let $\lambda(A)$ denote the Lebesgue measure on $\partial_r C$.

(a)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}))}{\lambda(D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}))} = \infty.$$

(b)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in \partial_r C \setminus D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}))}{\lambda(\partial_r C \setminus D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}))} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{(d-1)\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \mathbb{E}[O_0 + U_0]/\mathbb{E}[O_0].$$

Proof. (a) We have $\lambda(D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d})) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}t^{d-1}$ so part (a) follows from Lemma 5.7 (b) and (5.12).

(b) By (5.12),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in \partial_r C \setminus D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}))}{\lambda(\partial_r C \setminus D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}))} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1 - \Lambda(t)}{\lambda_{d-1}(\mathbb{D})(1 - t^{d-1})}$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+t+\dots+t^{d-2})} \frac{\Lambda(1) - \Lambda(t)}{1-t} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{(d-1)\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \Lambda'(1).$$

Part (b) now follows from Lemma 5.7 (c). \square

Proposition 5.9. *For $r \in (0, 1)$,*

$$(5.13) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in B_r(0)) \leq \Lambda\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right) - \Lambda\left(\frac{1-r}{2}\right),$$

where Λ is given by (5.10).

Proof. Since $B_r(0) \subset D_{(1+r)/2}(y) \setminus D_{(1-r)/2}(y)$ for any $y \in \mathbb{S}$, we obtain (5.13) by applying (5.12). \square

Proposition 5.10. *For $t \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$(5.14) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}) \mid S_{N_s-1}^{x_1} \leq s(1-\varepsilon)) = t^d.$$

Proof. The formula follows from (5.11) and Theorem 2.3. \square

6. LIGHT RAY EXIT DISTRIBUTION

In this section we discuss the asymptotic properties of the distribution of Y_s , the light ray exit point, for large s .

The following formula follows from Lemma 4.2 and the construction given in Section 4,

$$Y_s = U^{S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}}((0, \dots, 0, -1)) + U^{S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}}\left(\frac{s - S_{N_s-1}^{x_1}}{S_{N_s}^{x_1} - S_{N_s-1}^{x_1}} \left(G_{2, \dots, d}(\Theta^{(N_s)}, \Phi_1^{(N_s)}, \dots, \Phi_{d-2}^{(N_s)}) - (0, \dots, 0, -1)\right)\right).$$

Denote

$$(6.1) \quad Y_s^0 = (0, \dots, 0, -1) + \frac{s - S_{N_s-1}^{x_1}}{S_{N_s}^{x_1} - S_{N_s-1}^{x_1}} \left(G_{2, \dots, d}(\Theta^{(N_s)}, \Phi_1^{(N_s)}, \dots, \Phi_{d-2}^{(N_s)}) - (0, \dots, 0, -1)\right).$$

Note, that $Y_s = U^{S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}}(Y_s^0)$ and recall the definition of τ_∞ from (3.15).

Theorem 6.1. *The distribution of $\mathbb{P}(Y_s^0 \in \cdot \mid S_{N_s-1}^{x_1} \leq s - \beta(s))$ converges weakly to τ_∞ for every deterministic function β such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \beta(s) = \infty$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\lambda_{d-1}(A) = 0$ if and only if $\tau_\infty(A) = 0$, for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$. Assume that $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ is such that $\lambda_{d-1}(\partial A) = 0$. We have

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y_s^0 \in A, S_{N_s-1}^{x_1} \leq s - \beta(s)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s^0 \in A, S_{k-1}^{x_1} \leq s - \beta(s), N_s = k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s^0 \in A, S_k^{x_1} > s, S_{k-1}^{x_1} \leq s - \beta(s), S_{k-2}^{x_1} \leq s, \dots, S_1^{x_1} \leq s). \end{aligned}$$

Recall from (3.5) that the ray started at $(-u, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$ whose direction is governed by angles $\Theta^k, \Phi_1^k, \dots, \Phi_{d-2}^k$ intersects the plane at

$$\tilde{Z}_u^k = \left(\frac{u \sin \Phi_1^{(k)}}{\cos \Phi_1^{(k)}}, \dots, \frac{u \sin \Phi_{d-2}^{(k)}}{\cos \Phi_1^{(k)} \dots \cos \Phi_{d-2}^{(k)}}, \frac{u \cot \Theta^{(k)}}{\cos \Phi_1^{(k)} \dots \cos \Phi_{d-2}^{(k)}} - 1 \right).$$

It follows from (6.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(Y_s^0 \in A, S_{N_s-1} \leq s - \beta(s)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{s-S_{k-1}^{x_1}}^k \in A, S_{k-1}^{x_1} \leq s - \beta(s), S_{k-2}^{x_1} \leq s, \dots, S_1^{x_1} \leq s) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\beta(s)}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u^k \in A) \mathbb{P}(s - S_{k-1}^{x_1} \in du, S_{k-2}^{x_1} \leq s, \dots, S_1^{x_1} \leq s) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\beta(s)}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u^k \in A | \tilde{Z}_u^k \in \mathbb{D}) \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u^k \in \mathbb{D}) \mathbb{P}(s - S_{k-1}^{x_1} \in du, S_{k-2}^{x_1} \leq s, \dots, S_1^{x_1} \leq s) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\beta(s)}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u^k \in A | \tilde{Z}_u^k \in \mathbb{D}) \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u^k \in \mathbb{D}) \mathbb{P}(s - S_{k-1}^{x_1} \in du, S_{k-2}^{x_1} \leq s, \dots, S_1^{x_1} \leq s). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.5 we have for $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(Y_s^0 \in A, S_{N_s-1} \leq s - \beta(s)) \\ & \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\beta(s)}^{\infty} \tau_{\infty}(A) \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_u^k \in \mathbb{D}) \mathbb{P}(s - S_{k-1}^{x_1} \in du, S_{k-2}^{x_1} \leq s, \dots, S_1^{x_1} \leq s) \\ & = \tau_{\infty}(A) \mathbb{P}(S_{N_s-1} \leq s - \beta(s)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 6.2. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$,

$$(6.3) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in U^{S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}}(A) \mid S_{N_s-1}^{x_1} \leq s - \beta(s)) = \tau_{\infty}(A).$$

Proof. The result holds since $Y_s = U^{S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}}(Y_s^0)$ and $U^{S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}}$ is an invertible linear operator. □

Remark 6.3. Proposition 5.10 can be derived from Corollary 6.2. Since $D_t(S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}) = U^{S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, d}} D_t((0, 0, \dots, 0, -1))$, formula (5.14) follows from Proposition 3.6 (b).

Theorem 6.4. The distribution of $\mathbb{P}(Y_s \in \cdot \mid S_{N_s-1}^{x_1} \leq s - \beta(s))$ converges weakly to the uniform distribution on \mathbb{D} for every deterministic function β such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \beta(s) = \infty$.

The theorem is illustrated in Figures 1 and 6.

Proof. Recall that $\lambda_{d-1}(A) = 0$ if and only if $\tau_{\infty}(A) = 0$, for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$. Assume that $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ is such that $\lambda_{d-1}(\partial A) = 0$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_s \in A, S_{N_s-1} \leq s - \beta(s))$$




FIGURE 6. Simulations illustrating Theorem 6.4. Y_s was simulated 10,000 times for $d = 3$ and $s = 50$. The images in the left column are showing the empirical distribution of Y_s in the unit disk and the empirical cumulative distribution function of $|Y_s|$. The images in the right column are analogous except that they represent $|Y_s|$ conditioned on $\{s - S_{N_s} \geq 3\}$. The red curves in the lower images are graphs of the function $y = x^2$, i.e., the theoretical asymptotic cumulative distribution function in the conditional case, when $s \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in A, S_{k-1} \leq s - \beta(s), N_s = k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in A, S_k > s, S_{k-1} \leq s - \beta(s), S_{k-2} \leq s, \dots, S_1 \leq s).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall from (3.16) that a light ray started at $(-u, y_1, \dots, y_{d-2}, y_{d-1})$, where y_k 's satisfy $y_1^2 + \dots + y_{d-2}^2 + y_{d-1}^2 = 1$, and whose direction is governed by angles $\Theta^k, \Phi_1^k, \dots, \Phi_{d-2}^k$ intersects the plane $\{x : x_1 = 0\}$ at $(0, \tilde{Z}_{u,y}^k)$. This and our construction of the process

yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in A, S_{N_s-1} \leq s - \beta(s)) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Z_{s-S_{k-1}^{x_1}, S_{k-1}^{x_2 \dots d}}^k \in A, S_{k-1}^{x_1} \leq s - \beta(s), S_{k-2}^{x_1} \leq s, \dots, S_1^{x_1} \leq s) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{\beta(s)}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Z_{u,y}^k \in A) \mathbb{P}(S_{k-1}^{x_2 \dots d} \in dy, s - S_{k-1}^{x_1} \in du, S_{k-2} \leq s, \dots, S_1 \leq s).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.1 (c) for $T = k - 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in A, S_{N_s-1} \leq s - \beta(s)) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{\beta(s)}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Z_{u,y}^k \in A \mid Z_{u,y}^k \in \mathbb{D}) \mathbb{P}(Z_{u,y}^k \in \mathbb{D}) \mathbb{P}(S_{k-1}^{x_2 \dots d} \in dy) \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{P}(s - S_{k-1}^{x_1} \in du, S_{k-2} \leq s, \dots, S_1 \leq s).
\end{aligned}$$

Let Σ be a random vector uniformly distributed on \mathbb{S} . By Proposition 3.10 and (3.18), for $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(Y_s \in A, S_{N_s-1} \leq s - \beta(s)) \\
&\sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{\beta(s)}^{\infty} \tau_{\infty}(U^{y^*}(A)) \mathbb{P}(Z_u^k \in \mathbb{D}) \mathbb{P}(\Sigma \in dy) \mathbb{P}(s - S_{k-1}^{x_1} \in du, S_{k-2} \leq s, \dots, S_1 \leq s) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \tau_{\infty}(U^{y^*}(A)) \mathbb{P}(\Sigma \in dy) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\beta(s)}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Z_u^k \in \mathbb{D}) \mathbb{P}(s - S_{k-1}^{x_1} \in du, S_{k-2} \leq s, \dots, S_1 \leq s) \\
&= \lambda_{d-1}(A) / \lambda_{d-1}(\mathbb{D}) \mathbb{P}(S_{N_s-1} \leq s - \beta(s)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

7. BRIGHTNESS SINGULARITY

It was shown in [BT16, Thm. 5.10] that there is an apparent brightness singularity at the center of the tube opening when the dimension is $d = 3$. We will now generalize that result to all dimensions $d \geq 3$.

Let $\mathbf{v}_s = (S_{N_s} - S_{N_s-1}) / |S_{N_s} - S_{N_s-1}|$ be the unit vector representing the direction of the light ray at the exit time. Let $\mathbf{B}(r) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = 1, x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 \leq r^2, x > 0\}$ denote a ball on the unit sphere and recall that $B_r(0) = \{(x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{D} : x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 \leq r^2\}$.

Theorem 7.1. *For any $0 < r_1 < r_2 < 1$,*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^{d-2}}{\delta^{d-1}} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_s \in \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_2}{s}\right) \setminus \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_1}{s}\right), Y_s \in B_{\delta}(0)\right) = \frac{\pi^{-\frac{d-3}{2}}}{4\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})\mathbb{E}X_1^2} \left(\frac{r_2^{d-2} - r_1^{d-2}}{d-2}\right).$$

For the proof we will need a modified version of Lemma 5.11 from [BT16]. Let $M_s(A)$ be the number of $k \leq N_s - 1$ such that $S_k^{x_1} - s \in A$, that is

$$M_s(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}(S_k^{x_1} - s \in A, \max\{S_1^{x_1}, \dots, S_k^{x_k}\} \leq 0).$$

We define $\mathbb{M}_s(A) = \mathbb{E}(M_s(A))$. It is clear that $\mathbb{M}_s(A)$ is a non-negative measure.

Lemma 7.2. *For any $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \infty$,*

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \mathbb{M}_s(-sa_2, -sa_1) = \frac{1}{2\mathbb{E}X_1^2} (a_2^2 - a_1^2).$$

Moreover, for every continuous function f and a compact subset K of $(-\infty, 0)$ we have

$$(7.2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \int_K f(u) \mathbb{M}_s(s du) = -\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}X_1^2} \int_K f(u) u du.$$

Proof. The formula (7.1) can be proved just like Lemma 5.11 in [BT16]. For (7.2) first note that there exist $A < B < 0$ such that $K \subset (A, B)$. If we restrict the measures to the interval $[A, B]$ then we can apply [Fol99, Prop. 7.19] to show that $\frac{1}{s^2} \mathbb{M}_s(s du)$ converges vaguely to $-\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}X_1^2} u du$. This proves (7.2). \square

Lemma 7.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^{d-2}}{\delta^{d-1}} \mathbb{P}(S_{N_s-1}^{x_1} \in (s(1-\alpha_2) + \beta_2, s(1-\alpha_1) + \beta_1), Y_s \in B_\delta(0)) \\ &= \frac{\pi^{-\frac{d-3}{2}}}{4\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})\mathbb{E}X_1^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_1^{-d+2} - \alpha_2^{-d+2}}{d-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall the definition of $\tilde{Z}_{u,y}$ from (3.16). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(S_{N_s-1}^{x_1} - s \in (-\alpha_2 s + \beta_2, -\alpha_1 s + \beta_1), Y_s \in B_\delta(0)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{Z}_{s-S_{k-1}^{x_1}, S_{k-1}^{x_2}, \dots, d} \in B_\delta(0), S_{k-1}^{x_1} - s \in (-\alpha_2 s + \beta_2, -\alpha_1 s + \beta_1), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \max\{S_1^{x_1}, \dots, S_{k-1}^{x_1}\} < 0\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using a similar approach as in the proof of Theorem 6.4 and the fact that $B_\delta(0)$ is an invariant set for orthogonal operators, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(S_{N_s-1}^{x_1} - s \in (-\alpha_2 s + \beta_2, -\alpha_1 s + \beta_1), Y_s \in B_\delta(0)) \\ &= \int_{-\alpha_2 + \beta_2/s}^{-\alpha_1 + \beta_1/s} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{-h} \in B_\delta(0)) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_{k-1}^{x_1} - s \in dh, \max\{S_1^{x_1}, \dots, S_{k-1}^{x_1}\} < 0) \\ &= \int_{-\alpha_2 + \beta_2/s}^{-\alpha_1 + \beta_1/s} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{-su} \in B_\delta(0)) \mathbb{M}_s(s du). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.4,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{Z}_{-su} \in B_\delta(0))}{\lambda^{d-1}(B_\delta(0))} = f_{s(-u)}(0, \dots, 0).$$

Hence, using the fact that $\lambda^{d-1}(B_\delta(0)) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}\delta^{d-1}$ and Proposition 3.4, we have for $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^{d-2}}{\delta^{d-1}} \mathbb{P}(S_{N_s-1}^{x_1} - s \in (-s/r_1 + \alpha, -s/r_2 + \beta), Y_s \in B_\delta(0)) \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} s^{d-2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} \int_{-\alpha_2 + \beta_2/s}^{-\alpha_1 + \beta_1/s} f_{s(-u)}(0, \dots, 0) \mathbb{M}_s(s \, du) \\ &\sim \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} s^{d-2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} \int_{-\alpha_2 + \beta_2/s}^{-\alpha_1 + \beta_1/s} \frac{1}{4(s(-u))^d \pi^{d-2}} \mathbb{M}_s(s \, du) \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} \int_{-\alpha_2 + \beta_2/s}^{-\alpha_1 + \beta_1/s} \frac{1}{4(-u)^d s^2 \pi^{d-2}} \mathbb{M}_s(s \, du). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\alpha_2 + \beta_2/s}^{-\alpha_1 + \beta_1/s} \frac{1}{4(-u)^d} \frac{\mathbb{M}_s(s \, du)}{s^2} &= \int_{-\alpha_2}^{-\alpha_1} \frac{1}{4(-u)^d} \cdot \frac{-u}{\mathbb{E}X_1^2} \, du \\ &= \frac{1}{4\mathbb{E}X_1^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_1^{-d+2} - \alpha_2^{-d+2}}{d-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from the last two displayed formulas. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.1. It is elementary to check that if $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d) = (y_1, y_{2,\dots,d}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|y\| \leq 1$, $0 < \delta < 1$, and $x \leq s$ then the following conditions are equivalent,

(7.3)

$$\frac{(s, \delta y_{2,\dots,d}) - (x, 0, \dots, 0, -1)}{\|(s, \delta y_{2,\dots,d}) - (x, 0, \dots, 0, -1)\|} \in \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_2}{s}\right) \setminus \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_1}{s}\right),$$

(7.4)

$$x \in \left[s - \|\delta y_{2,\dots,d} - (0, \dots, 0, -1)\| \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{r_2^2} - 1}, s - \|\delta y_{2,\dots,d} - (0, \dots, 0, -1)\| \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{r_1^2} - 1} \right].$$

By Lemma 4.1 the random vector $S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, x_d}$ is uniformly distributed on \mathbb{S} and is independent of the process $(S_k^{x_1})$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (7.5) \quad & \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_s \in \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_2}{s}\right) \setminus \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_1}{s}\right), Y_s \in B_\delta(0)\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_s \in \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_2}{s}\right) \setminus \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_1}{s}\right), Y_s \in B_\delta(0) \mid S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, x_d} = (0, \dots, 0, -1)\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{(s, Y_s) - (S_{N_s-1}^{x_1}, 0, \dots, -1)}{\|(s - S_{N_s-1}^{x_1}, Y_s - (0, \dots, 0, -1))\|} \in \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_2}{s}\right) \setminus \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_1}{s}\right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. Y_s \in B_\delta(0) \mid S_{N_s-1}^{x_2, \dots, x_d} = (0, \dots, 0, -1)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We use the substitution $x = S_{N_s-1}$ and $y_{2,\dots,d} = Y_s/\delta$ in (7.3)-(7.4) to see that

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} A_s^\delta &:= \left\{ \frac{(s, Y_s) - (S_{N_s-1}, 0, \dots, -1)}{\|(s - S_{N_s-1}^{x_1}, Y_s - (0, \dots, 0, -1))\|} \in \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_2}{s}\right) \setminus \mathbf{B}\left(\frac{r_1}{s}\right), Y_s \in B_\delta(0) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ S_{N_s-2} \in \left[s - \|Y_s - (0, \dots, 0, -1)\| \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{r_2^2} - 1}, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. s - \|Y_s - (0, \dots, 0, -1)\| \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{r_1^2} - 1} \right], Y_s \in B_\delta(0) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $Y_s \in B_\delta(0)$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$ then $\|Y_s - (0, \dots, 0, -1)\| \rightarrow 1$. Hence, for large s and small δ ,

$$s - \frac{s}{r_j} - 2 \leq s - \|Y_s - (0, \dots, 0, 1)\| \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{r_j^2} - 1} \leq s - \frac{s}{r_j} + 2.$$

Let

$$A_s^{\delta\pm} = \left(S_{N_s-1} \in \left[s \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_1} \right) \mp 2, s \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_2} \right) \pm 2 \right], Y_s \in B_\delta(0) \right),$$

and note that $A_s^{\delta+} \supset A_s^\delta \supset A_s^{\delta-}$ for large s and small δ . By Lemma 7.3

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^{d-2}}{\delta^{d-1}} \mathbb{P}(A_s^{\delta+}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^{d-2}}{\delta^{d-1}} \mathbb{P}(A_s^{\delta-}) = \frac{\pi^{-\frac{d-3}{2}}}{4\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})\mathbb{E}X_1^2} \left(\frac{r_2^{d-2} - r_1^{d-2}}{d-2} \right).$$

Combining this with (7.5)-(7.6) proves the theorem. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Sara Billey for very helpful advice. The second author is grateful to Microsoft Corporation for the allowance on Azure where the simulation illustrated in Figure 6 was performed. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for many suggestions for improvement.

REFERENCES

- [ABS13] Omer Angel, Krzysztof Burdzy, and Scott Sheffield. Deterministic approximations of random reflectors. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(12):6367–6383, 2013.
- [AG80] Barry C. Arnold and Richard A. Groeneveld. Some properties of the arcsine distribution. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 75(369):173–175, 1980.
- [BGT87] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels. *Regular variation*, volume 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [BT16] Krzysztof Burdzy and Tvrtko Tadić. Can one make a laser out of cardboard? 2016. to appear in *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, Arxiv:1507.00961.
- [CPSV09] Francis Comets, Serguei Popov, Gunter M. Schütz, and Marina Vachkovskaia. Billiards in a general domain with random reflections. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 191(3):497–537, 2009.
- [CPSV10a] Francis Comets, Serguei Popov, Gunter M. Schütz, and Marina Vachkovskaia. Knudsen gas in a finite random tube: transport diffusion and first passage properties. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 140(5):948–984, 2010.
- [CPSV10b] Francis Comets, Serguei Popov, Gunter M. Schütz, and Marina Vachkovskaia. Quenched invariance principle for the Knudsen stochastic billiard in a random tube. *Ann. Probab.*, 38(3):1019–1061, 2010.

- [Don80] R. A. Doney. Moments of ladder heights in random walks. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 17(1):248–252, 1980.
- [Eva01] Steven N. Evans. Stochastic billiards on general tables. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 11(2):419–437, 2001.
- [Fol99] Gerald B. Folland. *Real analysis*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1999. Modern techniques and their applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [Ver77] N. Veraverbeke. Asymptotic behaviour of Wiener-Hopf factors of a random walk. *Stochastic Processes Appl.*, 5(1):27–37, 1977.

KB: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195, USA

E-mail address: burdzy@math.washington.edu

TT: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, BIJENIČKA CESTA 30, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA AND MICROSOFT CORPORATION (CITY CENTER PLAZA BELLEVUE), ONE MICROSOFT WAY, REDMOND, WA 98052

E-mail address: tvrtko@math.hr