

Superunitary representations of Heisenberg Supergroups*

Axel de Goursac[†], Jean-Philippe Michel

October 2, 2018

*IRMP, Université Catholique de Louvain,
Chemin du Cyclotron, 2, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
e-mail: Axelmg@melix.net, jpmichel82@gmail.com*

Abstract

Numerous Lie supergroups do not admit superunitary representations except the trivial one, e.g., Heisenberg and orthosymplectic supergroups in mixed signature. To avoid this situation, we introduce in this paper a broader definition of superunitary representation, relying on a new definition of Hilbert superspace. The latter is inspired by the notion of Krein space and was developed initially for noncommutative supergeometry.

For Heisenberg supergroups, this new approach yields a smooth generalization, whatever the signature, of the unitary representation theory of the classical Heisenberg group. First, we obtain Schrödinger-like representations by quantizing generic coadjoint orbits. They satisfy the new definition of irreducible superunitary representations and serve as ground to the main result of this paper: a generalized Stone-von Neumann theorem. Then, we obtain the superunitary dual and build a group Fourier transformation, satisfying Parseval theorem. We eventually show that metaplectic representations, which extend Schrödinger-like representations to metaplectic supergroups, also fit into this definition of superunitary representations.

MSC classes: 22E27, 58C50, 43A32

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 2 | Preliminaries | 6 |
| 2.1 | Superspaces and supermanifolds | 6 |
| 2.2 | Lie supergroups and super Harish-Chandra pairs | 8 |
| 2.3 | Heisenberg supergroups | 9 |
| 3 | Theory of Hilbert superspaces | 11 |
| 3.1 | Definition of Hilbert superspaces | 11 |
| 3.2 | Properties of Hilbert superspaces | 12 |
| 3.3 | Useful examples | 17 |

*Work supported by the Belgian Interuniversity Attraction Pole (IAP) within the framework “Dynamics, Geometry and Statistical Physics” (DYGEST).

[†]Corresponding author, phone: +32 10473164

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 4 | Superunitary representations | 19 |
| 4.1 | SUR of Lie groups | 19 |
| 4.2 | SUR of super Harish-Chandra pairs | 21 |
| 4.3 | Strong SUR of super Harish-Chandra pairs | 23 |
| 4.4 | SUR and strong SUR of Lie supergroups | 26 |
| 4.5 | Examples | 30 |
| 5 | Schrödinger representations of the Heisenberg supergroups | 32 |
| 5.1 | Construction as an induced representation in the even case | 32 |
| 5.2 | Definition in the odd case | 36 |
| 5.3 | Algebraic properties | 37 |
| 5.4 | Analytic properties | 39 |
| 6 | Stone-von Neumann theorem | 42 |
| 6.1 | Preliminaries | 42 |
| 6.2 | Proof of the theorem in the even case | 44 |
| 6.3 | Proof of the theorem in the odd case | 48 |
| 7 | Applications | 50 |
| 7.1 | Strong superunitary dual | 50 |
| 7.2 | Fourier transformation | 52 |
| 7.3 | Metaplectic representation | 54 |
| A | Graded BCH series | 56 |

1 Introduction

After the appearance of supersymmetry in field theories [1, 2], Lie superalgebras quickly become a classical topic in algebraic representation theory. They offer a rich playground generalizing Lie algebras, with important results and many applications.

Superunitary representations are at the core of supersymmetric field theories [3]. They were first investigated from a purely algebraic perspective, as star-representations [4] or ε -unitary representations [5] of Lie superalgebras on a graded \mathbb{C} -vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$. Contrary to unitary representations of Lie groups, the preserved structure is not a positive definite hermitian form (or scalar product), which is not adapted to super-commutation relations [6], but rather a non-degenerate sesquilinear form (or inner product) $\langle -, - \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is superhermitian. That is $\overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = (-1)^{|x||y|} \langle y, x \rangle$ if $x, y \in V_0 \cup V_1$ are of degree $|x|, |y| \in \{0, 1\}$.

To develop a full theory of superunitary representations, including analytic aspects, one needs a topology on the representation space. But none is canonically associated with a superhermitian inner product. A first way to proceed is to start with a graded Hilbert space and define a compatible superhermitian inner product afterwards. This yields to the following definition of Hilbert superspace, which is the most common one [7] among numerous other possibilities [8]. It is coined as standard in this paper.

Definition 1.1 A **standard Hilbert superspace** is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1) = \{0\}$, where $(-, -)$ denotes the positive definite hermitian scalar

product. A *superhermitian* inner product is then uniquely defined on \mathcal{H} by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, y \rangle &:= (x, y) && \text{if } x, y \in \mathcal{H}_0 \\ \langle x, y \rangle &:= i(x, y) && \text{if } x, y \in \mathcal{H}_1, \\ \langle x, y \rangle &:= 0 && \text{if } x \in \mathcal{H}_0, y \in \mathcal{H}_1.\end{aligned}\quad \blacklozenge$$

Up to our knowledge, the above definition first appeared in [9] and served as ground for all the mathematics literature on superunitary representations, see e.g. [9, 10, 11]. An algebraic version of this definition, where \mathcal{H} is a pre-Hilbert space, was considered before in representation theory [12], following physics conventions.

The odd part of Lie supergroups is intrinsically of infinitesimal nature. This is best encoded in the description of Lie supergroups as super Harish-Chandra pairs, that is pairs $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ where G_0 is a Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0 \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ is a Lie superalgebra carrying an adjoint-like action of G_0 , with $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0 = \text{Lie}(G_0)$. As a consequence, representations of Lie supergroups involve in general unbounded operators. More precisely, a definition of superunitary representations should come along with a choice of domain for the operators representing $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$. In the seminal paper [10], this domain was chosen as the space of smooth vectors of the underlying G_0 -representation. The definition reads as follows.

Definition 1.2 ([10]) Let $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be a super Harish-Chandra pair. A **standard superunitary representation** (standard SUR) of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a triple $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ such that

- \mathcal{H} is a standard Hilbert superspace;
- $\pi_0 : G_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation of the Lie group G_0 on \mathcal{H} ;
- $\pi_* : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$ is a \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra morphism such that $\pi_* = d\pi_0$ on $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\forall g \in G_0, \forall X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1, \quad & \pi_*(X)^\dagger = -\pi_*(X), \\ & \pi_*(\text{Ad}_g(X)) = \pi_0(g)\pi_*(X)\pi_0(g)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{H}^∞ is the space of smooth vectors of the representation π_0 , $\text{Ad} : G_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the adjoint-like action of G_0 on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and \dagger denotes the adjoint operation w.r.t. the superhermitian inner product of \mathcal{H} . \blacklozenge

Due to the very definition of standard Hilbert superspace, the choice of domain in the above definition proves to be inessential. As a result, the category of standard SUR's is well-behaved under tensor products and restrictions to sub-pairs [10]. In addition, fundamental results, like Schur Lemma and Mackey induction [10], smoothly extend to standard SUR's. However, there is a list of Lie supergroups [13] for which no non-trivial standard SUR exists:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{SL}(m|n, \mathbb{R}) \text{ for } m > 2 \text{ or } n > 2; \quad & \text{SU}(p, q|r, s) \text{ for } p, q, r, s > 0; \quad \text{OSp}(k, \ell|2m) \text{ for } k, \ell, m > 0; \\ & \text{SpO}(2m|k, \ell) \text{ for } m, k, \ell > 0; \quad \text{H}_{2m|k, \ell} \text{ for } k, \ell > 0, \dots\end{aligned}\quad (1.1)$$

We are particularly interested here in the Heisenberg supergroup $\text{H}_{2m|k, \ell}$. It can be described as the super Harish-Chandra pair $(\text{H}_{2m}, (\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k, \ell})_{\mathbb{R}})$ where H_{2m} is the classical Heisenberg group of dimension $2m + 1$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k, \ell})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra with basis $(p_i, q_i, e_\alpha, f_\beta, Z)$ and non-trivial commutation relations

$$[p_i, q_j] = \delta_{ij}Z, \quad [e_\alpha, e_{\alpha'}] = \delta_{\alpha\alpha'}Z, \quad [f_\beta, f_{\beta'}] = -\delta_{\beta\beta'}Z,$$

where $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, $\alpha, \alpha' = 1, \dots, k$ and $\beta, \beta' = 1, \dots, \ell$. If $k\ell = 0$, the standard superunitary representation theory of $\text{H}_{2m|k, \ell}$ is a smooth extension of the unitary representation theory of

H_{2m} [11, 14], e.g. the standard superunitary dual of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ essentially coincides with the unitary dual of H_{2m} . On the contrary, if $k\ell \neq 0$, the standard superunitary theory of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ is empty, there is no standard SUR except the trivial one [11].

In this paper, we introduce a new definition for Hilbert superspaces, generalizing the one of standard Hilbert superspaces. They are endowed with an algebraic structure, namely a superhermitian inner product, and a compatible Hilbert topology.

Before digging into details, we would like to comment on how topology might be induced from algebra. In the usual non-graded operator algebras setting, topology is fully determined by algebra, e.g.,

- in Hilbert space, the Hilbert topology is canonically determined by the positive definite hermitian scalar product $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$;
- in C*-algebra, the C*-norm is determined by the algebraic notion of spectral radius ρ : $\|a\| = \sqrt{\rho(a^*a)}$;
- in von Neumann algebra, the strong (or weak) topology is determined (at least on bounded subsets) by bicommutant's properties.

This ideal algebraico-topological framework breaks down in some cases, e.g. for Lorentzian non-commutative geometry. There, one needs an extra ingredient to build the topology out of the algebraic structure. This is the case of **Krein spaces**, defined as pseudo-hermitian inner product spaces $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ endowed with an extra involutive $\langle -, - \rangle$ -isometric automorphism J of \mathcal{H} such that $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, J- \rangle)$ is a Hilbert space. The topology associated to the positive definite scalar product $\langle -, J(-) \rangle$ is actually independent of the choice of J and thus canonical [15].

Noncommutative Supergeometry [16, 17, 18] provides a new framework for graded operator algebras, dealing with Hilbert superspaces (as defined below), C*-superalgebras and von Neumann superalgebras. Their natural superalgebraic structures induce topology, in a similar fashion as for Krein spaces. Building on [16], we introduce the following definition of Hilbert superspace.

Definition 1.3 A **Hilbert superspace** of parity $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a complex \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ endowed with a homogeneous superhermitian inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ of degree σ , such that there exists a fundamental symmetry J , i.e., an endomorphism J of \mathcal{H} of degree σ satisfying, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$,

- $J^2(x) = (-1)^{(\sigma+1)|x|}x$ and $\langle J(x), J(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$,
- $(x, y)_J := \langle x, J(y) \rangle$ is a positive definite hermitian scalar product on \mathcal{H} for which \mathcal{H} is complete. \blacklozenge

Then, Hilbert superspaces admit a canonical Hilbert topology, given by any of the scalar product $(-, -)_J$. Particular cases of Hilbert superspaces include Krein spaces, for which $\mathcal{H}_1 = 0$, and standard Hilbert superspaces, for which $J = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -i\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$. An important example of non-standard Hilbert superspace is the superspace of square integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, whose fundamental symmetry J is a Hodge-like operator (see Example 3.21 below).

Superunitary representations (SUR) of Lie supergroups can be defined on Hilbert superspaces. Several Lie supergroups in the list (1.1) then enjoy SUR's even if they do not admit standard SUR's. In particular, we build in this paper non-trivial SUR's for the supergroups $\text{SpO}(2m|k, \ell)$ and $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ for any integers m, k, ℓ .

More precisely, a SUR of a super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a triple $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$, where \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert superspace, $\pi_0 : G_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a continuous representation such that

$$\forall g \in G_0, v, w \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \langle \pi_0(g)v, \pi_0(g)w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle,$$

and π_* satisfies the same axioms as in Definition 1.2. This generalization of standard SUR's comes along with many difficulties. First, it includes all the Krein-unitary representations of Lie groups, as defined in [19], which are wild. For instance, it is not known if Schur's Lemma holds or not for these representations. Second, the choice of domain for the operators $\pi_*(X)$, with $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, is now a crucial part of the definition. For instance, the restriction of a SUR to a sub-pair $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$ might not exist because an operator $\pi_*(X)$, with $X \in \mathfrak{h}_1$, admits no extension to the space of smooth vectors over H_0 . We fully solve the first problem and partially solve the second one by introducing a more restrictive generalization of standard SUR, called **strong SUR**. Namely, a strong SUR is a SUR such that the map π_0 is unitarizable and the operators $\pi_*(X)$, with $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, admit a domain extension to the space of smooth vectors of $D(G_0)$, the connected Lie subgroup of G_0 with Lie algebra $[(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1, (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1]$.

For Heisenberg supergroups $H_{2m|k,\ell}$, with arbitrary integers k, ℓ , strong SUR's provide the right framework for a smooth generalization of unitary representation theory of the classical Heisenberg group H_{2m} and its harmonic analysis applications. In particular, Kirillov Orbit Method allows us to construct the graded version of the Schrödinger representation, which is a strong SUR for any (k, ℓ) , and to prove the Stone-von Neumann theorem in this general setting. The full description of the superunitary dual follows as well as the group Fourier transformation on $H_{2m|k,\ell}$. Building on [12], we also define a SUR of the metaplectic supergroup, which is defined as a finite covering of the orthosymplectic supergroup $\mathrm{SpO}(2m|k, \ell)$, extending the classical metaplectic representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(2m)$, and whose representation operators are intertwiners of the graded Schrödinger representation.

Let us now detail the content of this paper.

In section 2, after providing basics on supergeometry, we recall the framework of Lie supergroups and Harish-Chandra pairs, as well as the equivalence between these two notions. The Heisenberg supergroup is presented as an example.

In section 3, we prove the basic analytic properties of Hilbert superspaces, introduced in Definition 1.3. In particular, we classify them in terms of a generalized notion of signature. Fundamental examples of Hilbert superspaces, given by functional spaces, are presented.

We introduce in section 4 the notions of SUR and strong SUR, for both equivalent settings: Harish-Chandra super pairs and Lie supergroups. We investigate their basic properties and illustrate the general theory with many examples.

In section 5, we derive the expression of the Schrödinger representation for the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ in *any signature* (k, ℓ) by performing Kirillov Orbit Method and we prove that it is an irreducible strong SUR. We then show its relation with the classical Schrödinger representation of H_{2m} and the spinor representation of $\mathrm{Spin}(k, \ell)$.

Next, we prove in section 6 the main result of this paper: the Stone-von Neumann theorem. It states that any strong SUR of the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ with character $\hbar \neq 0$ can be decomposed as the tensor product of the Schrödinger representation with the trivial representation on some Hilbert superspace.

Eventually, we give in section 7 the classification of the strong superunitary dual of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ and some applications to harmonic analysis. We construct the Fourier transformation between functions on $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ and operators on the Hilbert superspace of the Schrödinger representation and we prove the Parseval-Plancherel identity. Moreover, we build the metaplectic-type representation naturally appearing in this setting and we show that it is a SUR.

Notations

Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ denotes the set of non-negative integers, $\mathbb{N}^\times = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ the set of positive ones and $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank many people for useful discussions and comments, including Pierre Bieliavsky, Victor Gayral and Gijs Tuynman.

2 Preliminaries

We recall here some basic notions of supergeometry following the concrete approach, as fully exposed in the book [20] and summed up in the appendix of [21] (see also [22, 23, 24]). This approach is equivalent to the sheaf-theoretic one [25, 7], but differs in the presentation. It makes an explicit use of a fixed supercommutative algebra \mathcal{A} , which serves as a replacement for the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers. Such an algebra appears in the sheaf-theoretic approach as soon as one uses the functor of points.

For the rest of the paper, we choose a unital \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded real algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ which satisfies the three following properties:

- supercommutativity, i.e., $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$ of degree $|a|, |b| \in \{0, 1\}$;
- $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the set of all nilpotent elements of \mathcal{A} ;
- for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^\times$, there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}_1$ such that $a_1 \cdots a_n \neq 0$.

For instance, we can choose $\mathcal{A} = \bigwedge V$, the Grassmann algebra of a real infinite-dimensional vector space V . The quotient map $\mathbb{B} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with kernel $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$, is called the body map. The unit of \mathcal{A} defines a section of \mathbb{B} via $r \mapsto r \cdot 1$ so that $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and

$$\forall a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad a = \mathbb{B}a + \mathcal{N}(a),$$

where $\mathbb{B}a := \mathbb{B}(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{N}(a) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The complexified algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{A} \oplus i\mathcal{A}$ is endowed with the complex conjugation:

$$\forall a \in \mathcal{A}, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \overline{a \otimes \lambda} = a \otimes \bar{\lambda}.$$

For all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$, it satisfies: $\overline{ab} = \bar{a}\bar{b} = (-1)^{|a||b|} \bar{b}\bar{a}$.

In the sequel, graded will always stand for \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, elements of degree 0 and 1 are called even and odd respectively, and collectively referred as homogeneous elements.

2.1 Superspaces and supermanifolds

A **graded \mathcal{A} -module** is an \mathcal{A} -module E with decomposition $E = E_0 \oplus E_1$ and such that

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \mathcal{A}_\alpha E_\beta \subseteq E_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

A graded submodule of E is an \mathcal{A} -submodule F such that $F = (F \cap E_0) \oplus (F \cap E_1)$. A \mathcal{A} -linear map $f : E \rightarrow F$ between two graded \mathcal{A} -modules is of degree $|f| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ if $f(E_\alpha) \subseteq F_{\alpha+|f|}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. The space of nilpotent vectors of E is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_E := \{x \in E \mid \exists a \in \mathcal{A}, a \neq 0 \ \& \ ax = 0\}.$$

It permits to extend the body map as the quotient map $\mathbb{B} : E \rightarrow E/\mathcal{N}_E =: \mathbb{B}E$. If the graded \mathcal{A} -module E is free, then $\mathbb{B}E = \mathbb{B}E_0 \oplus \mathbb{B}E_1$ is a graded \mathbb{R} -vector space, i.e., $\mathbb{B}E_0$ and $\mathbb{B}E_1$ are \mathbb{R} -vector spaces.

A **graded \mathcal{A} -vector space** is a free graded \mathcal{A} -module E together with a decomposition $E = R_E \oplus \mathcal{N}_E$ such that $\mathcal{A} \cdot R_E = E$. Then we have $R_E \simeq \mathbb{B}E$ as graded \mathbb{R} -vector spaces and $E \simeq \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}E$ as graded \mathcal{A} -modules, in particular,

$$E_0 \simeq (\mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathbb{B}E_0) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{B}E_1) \quad \text{and} \quad E_1 \simeq (\mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathbb{B}E_1) \oplus (\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{B}E_0). \quad (2.1)$$

The graded dimension of E , given by $\dim(E) = \dim(\mathbb{B}E_0) | \dim(\mathbb{B}E_1)$, is a complete invariant. Hence, a graded \mathcal{A} -vector space of dimension $m|n$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^{m|n} = (\mathcal{A}^{m|n})_0 \oplus (\mathcal{A}^{m|n})_1$, with $(\mathcal{A}^{m|n})_0 = (\mathcal{A}_0)^m \times (\mathcal{A}_1)^n$ and $(\mathcal{A}^{m|n})_1 = (\mathcal{A}_0)^n \times (\mathcal{A}_1)^m$. The associated graded \mathbb{R} -vector space is $R_{\mathcal{A}^{m|n}} = \mathbb{R}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$. Morphisms between graded \mathcal{A} -vector spaces E and F are even \mathcal{A} -linear maps $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ which are **body-compatible**, i.e. $\phi(R_E) \subseteq R_F$. They are completely determined from the corresponding \mathbb{R} -linear maps between $\mathbb{B}E \simeq R_E$ and $\mathbb{B}F \simeq R_F$. The categories of graded \mathcal{A} -vector spaces and of graded \mathbb{R} -vector spaces are equivalent via the inverse isomorphisms \mathbb{B} and $\mathcal{A} \otimes -$.

The **superspace** of dimension $m|n$ is the even part of the graded \mathcal{A} -vector space $\mathcal{A}^{m|n}$,

$$\mathbb{R}^{m|n} := (\mathcal{A}^{m|n})_0 = (\mathcal{A}_0)^m \times (\mathcal{A}_1)^n.$$

The body map $\mathbb{B} : \mathbb{R}^{m|n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ defines the so-called DeWitt topology, as the coarsest topology on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ such that \mathbb{B} is continuous.

Each element $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m|0} = (\mathcal{A}_0)^m$ decomposes as $x = \mathbb{B}x + \mathcal{N}(x)$ with $\mathbb{B}x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathcal{N}(x) \in (\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{A}_0)^m$. Mimicking Taylor expansions, one can extend any smooth function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C})$ into a function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^{m|0} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{m|0}, \quad \tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^m} \frac{\mathcal{N}(x)^\nu}{\nu!} \partial^\nu f(\mathbb{B}x). \quad (2.2)$$

Here standard index notation is used, i.e., if $x = (x^1, \dots, x^m) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|0}$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$, we have $\nu! := \nu_1! \cdots \nu_m!$, $\partial^\nu := \partial_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \partial_m^{\nu_m}$ and $\mathcal{N}(x)^\nu := \mathcal{N}(x^1)^{\nu_1} \cdots \mathcal{N}(x^m)^{\nu_m}$. Moreover, the sum is finite since the elements $\mathcal{N}(x^1), \dots, \mathcal{N}(x^m)$ are nilpotent.

The space of **smooth functions** $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ is the space of maps $f : \mathbb{R}^{m|n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$\forall (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n}, \quad f(x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n} \widetilde{f}_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha, \quad (2.3)$$

where each \widetilde{f}_α is obtained from a function $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C})$ via Equation (2.2). We use again standard index notation, i.e., if $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{0|n}$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, we have $\xi^\alpha := \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \xi_n^{\alpha_n}$. By convention, $\xi_1^0 = \cdots = \xi_n^0 = 1$. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree of a function is determined by the rules $|f_\alpha| = 0$ and $|\xi^\alpha| = |\alpha| \bmod 2$, with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, the splitting of a function in even and odd parts corresponds to the splitting of the target space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$. As a result, the space of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded \mathbb{C} -algebra such that

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.4)$$

The definition of a smooth function on an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ is a straightforward adaptation. Note that a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ is automatically continuous for the DeWitt topology. The definition of smooth functions readily extends from $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -valued functions to functions with values in arbitrary superspaces.

Remark 2.1 The morphisms between two finite dimensional \mathcal{A} -vector spaces E and F are in bijection with the smooth \mathbb{R} -linear maps between the superspaces E_0 and F_0 . \blacklozenge

On the superspace $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, the **Berezin integration** of a smooth function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$, decomposed as in Equation (2.3), is defined as the Lebesgue integration on \mathbb{R}^m of its top component in the ξ -variables. Namely, if $d\xi = d\xi^n \cdots d\xi^1$, we have

$$\int dx d\xi f(x, \xi) := \int dx f_{1_n}(x), \quad (2.5)$$

where $1_n = (1, \dots, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ and, abusing notation, x stands for $\mathbb{B}x$ in the right hand side. Therefore, the Berezin integral of f is well-defined as soon as the Lebesgue integral of $f_{1_n} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C})$ is. Note that Berezin integration over ξ -variables corresponds to a multiple derivation, $\int d\xi^n \cdots d\xi^1 := \partial_{\xi^n} \cdots \partial_{\xi^1}$ in this precise order.

For $p \geq 1$, we define the **Lebesgue superspace** $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ as the completion of the subspace

$$\{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \mid \forall \alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n, f_\alpha \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)\},$$

with respect to the norm $\|f\| := \sum_\alpha (\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |f_\alpha|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. This is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Banach space. The identification (2.4) extends as $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \simeq L^p(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \wedge \mathbb{R}^n$.

We introduce briefly the notion of **supermanifold**. First, a proto-supermanifold of dimension $m|n$ is a topological space M endowed with an atlas of charts valued in open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ (for DeWitt topology) with smooth transition functions. The body map on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ allows to define a canonical projection $M \rightarrow \mathbb{B}M$ on a topological space $\mathbb{B}M$, called the body of M . If $\mathbb{B}M$ is Hausdorff and second-countable, then the induced atlas turns $\mathbb{B}M$ into a smooth manifold of dimension m and M is simply called a supermanifold. Of course, a superspace is a supermanifold. The inclusions $\mathbb{R}^m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m|0} = \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathbb{R}^m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ translate into canonical smooth embeddings $\mathbb{B}M \subseteq M^{\text{w.o.d.}} \subseteq M$, where $M^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ is the sub-supermanifold of M obtained from $\mathbb{B}M$ by extension of scalars from \mathbb{R} to \mathcal{A}_0 . Here, w.o.d. stands for "without odd dimensions". Note that $M^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ is of dimension $m|0$ and $\mathbb{B}M^{\text{w.o.d.}} = \mathbb{B}M$.

2.2 Lie supergroups and super Harish-Chandra pairs

A **Lie superalgebra** is a graded \mathcal{A} -vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ endowed with a body-compatible \mathcal{A} -bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ which is even, i.e. $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, and satisfies the two following properties

- skew-symmetry: $\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cup \mathfrak{g}_1, [X, Y] = -(-1)^{|X||Y|}[Y, X]$;
- Jacobi identity: $\forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cup \mathfrak{g}_1, [X, [Y, Z]] = [[X, Y], Z] + (-1)^{|X||Y|}[Y, [X, Z]]$.

Note that \mathcal{A} -bilinearity means, in particular, that $[aX, bY] = (-1)^{|X||b|}ab[X, Y]$ for all homogeneous elements $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. As the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is body-compatible, it induces a bilinear operation on the body space $\mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}$. The later defines a \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}$. The equivalence of categories between \mathcal{A} -vector spaces and \mathbb{R} -vector spaces specializes straightforwardly to the Lie setting with inverse isomorphisms given again by \mathbb{B} and $\mathcal{A} \otimes -$.

A **Lie supergroup** is a supermanifold G endowed with a group structure for which the multiplication is a smooth map. If G is a Lie supergroup then $\mathbb{B}G$ turns into a Lie group and $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ into a Lie supergroup. Moreover, there is a canonically associated Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$, and an exponential map $e : U \rightarrow G$, with $U \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0$ an open neighborhood of 0. As a consequence, one can prove that G trivializes over $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$.

Proposition 2.2 ([20], **Proposition VI.1.7**) *Let G be a Lie supergroup, $\mathbb{B}g$ the body of its Lie superalgebra, and $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} := \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{B}g_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0$. Then, the following map*

$$G^{\text{w.o.d.}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \rightarrow G, \quad (g, X) \mapsto g e^X$$

is a global diffeomorphism satisfying $\mathbb{B}(g e^X) = \mathbb{B}g$.

A **super Harish-Chandra pair** $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is the data of a Lie group G_0 and a compatible \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Namely, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a G_0 -module, its even part $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$ is the Lie algebra of G_0 and the differential of the action $G_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ coincides with the adjoint action of $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

There is an equivalence of categories between Lie supergroups G and super Harish-Chandra pairs [24, 21] (see also [26, 7]). In the sequel, we use that a Lie supergroup G induces a super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ given by $G_0 := \mathbb{B}G$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{B}g$ (with $g = \text{Lie}(G)$), and where the action of G_0 on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the body of the adjoint action of G on g . For the inverse construction of a Lie supergroup out of a super Harish-Chandra pair, we refer to Remark A.5 in Appendix.

2.3 Heisenberg supergroups

Let E be a graded \mathcal{A} -vector space of dimension $m'|n'$. A **symplectic form** on E is an even body-compatible \mathcal{A} -bilinear map $\omega : E \times E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which is

- skewsymmetric: $\forall X, Y \in E, \omega(X, Y) = -(-1)^{|X||Y|}\omega(Y, X)$,
- non-degenerate: $(\forall Y \in E, \omega(X, Y) = 0) \Rightarrow X = 0$.

As a consequence, $m' = 2m$ is even, ω induces a symplectic form on $\mathbb{B}E_0$ and a quadratic form of given signature (k, ℓ) on $\mathbb{B}E_1$, with $k + \ell = n'$. The triple $(2m|k, \ell)$ is a complete invariant of the symplectic space (E, ω) . In the following, we will always use the normal form of (E, ω) described below. Let $\varepsilon \in \{-1, +1\}$ and $r, s' \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\varepsilon s' = k - \ell \quad \& \quad 2r + s' = k + \ell, \quad (2.6)$$

i.e., $\varepsilon = 1$ and $(k, \ell) = (r + s', r)$, or, $\varepsilon = -1$ and $(k, \ell) = (r, r + s')$. Then, setting $W = \mathcal{A}^{m|r}$ and $V = \mathcal{A}^{0|s'}$, we have

$$E \simeq (W \oplus W^*) \oplus V \quad \& \quad E_0 \simeq \mathbb{R}^{m|r} \oplus (\mathbb{R}^{m|r})^* \oplus \mathbb{R}^{0|s'}, \quad (2.7)$$

where W^* stands for the dual of W as \mathcal{A} -module. Under the above isomorphism, the symplectic form ω restricts as the canonical symplectic form on $W \oplus W^*$ and as a definite quadratic form on V , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \forall q_1, q_2 \in W, \forall p_1, p_2 \in W^*, \quad \omega((q_1, p_1), (q_2, p_2)) &= q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1, \\ \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in V, \quad \omega(\xi_1, \xi_2) &= \varepsilon \xi_1 \xi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $q_1 p_2$ and $q_2 p_1$ are the scalars obtained by the duality pairing between W and W^* and $\xi_1 \xi_2$ is the scalar obtained by the canonical scalar product of two elements in $\mathcal{A}^{s'}$.

The **Heisenberg Lie superalgebra** $\mathfrak{h}(E, \omega)$ is defined as the \mathcal{A} -module $E \oplus \mathcal{A}Z$, where Z is an even generator, endowed with the Lie bracket

$$[X + aZ, X' + a'Z] = \omega(X, X')Z, \quad (2.9)$$

for any $X, X' \in E$ and $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$. Its center is $Z(\mathfrak{h}(E, \omega)) = \mathcal{A}Z$. The **Heisenberg Lie supergroup** $\mathbf{H}(E_0, \omega)$ is obtained by exponentiation of the even part of $\mathfrak{h}(E, \omega)$. Namely, $\mathbf{H}(E_0, \omega)$ is the supermanifold $E_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$ endowed with the group law

$$(\mathbf{x}, t)(\mathbf{x}', t') = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}', t + t' + \frac{1}{2}\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')), \quad (2.10)$$

for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in E_0$ and $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$. Its neutral element is $(0, 0)$ and the inverse is given by $(\mathbf{x}, t)^{-1} = (-\mathbf{x}, -t)$. Its center is $Z(\mathbf{H}(E, \omega)) = \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$. The Heisenberg Lie superalgebra and supergroup are uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) by the dimension $2m|n'$ of E and the signature (k, ℓ) of the quadratic form induced by ω on $\mathbb{B}E_1$. In the following, we use their canonical versions

$$\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k, \ell} := \mathfrak{h}(E, \omega) \quad \& \quad \mathbf{H}_{2m|k, \ell} := \mathbf{H}(E_0, \omega), \quad (2.11)$$

where (E, ω) and (E_0, ω) are in their normal form, as defined by (2.7) and (2.8).

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k, \ell}^*$ be the dual of the Heisenberg Lie superalgebra. The symplectic form ω induces a linear isomorphism, $\flat: \mathfrak{h}_{2m|k, \ell} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{2m|k, \ell}^*$, given by:

$$\forall X, X' \in E, \quad \forall a, a' \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \flat(X + aZ)(X' + a'Z) = \omega(X, X') + aa'.$$

Then, one can compute the adjoint and coadjoint actions of the Heisenberg Lie supergroup:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall (\mathbf{x}, t) \in E_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{1|0}, \quad \text{Ad}_{(\mathbf{x}, t)}(X + aZ) &= X + (a + \omega(\mathbf{x}, X))Z, \\ \text{Ad}_{(\mathbf{x}, t)}^* \flat(X + aZ) &= \flat(X - a\mathbf{x} + aZ). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

The coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_\mu = \{\text{Ad}_g^* \mu, g \in \mathbf{H}_{2m|k, \ell}\}$ passing through $\mu = \flat(X + aZ)$ corresponds to the affine space $a^\flat Z + E_0$, provided $a \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $X \in E_0$. If $a = 0$, then the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_μ is a single point.

The super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ corresponding to the Heisenberg Lie supergroup $\mathbf{H}_{2m|k, \ell}$ is defined as follows. The associated Lie group $G_0 := \mathbb{B}\mathbf{H}_{2m|k, \ell}$ is the classical Heisenberg group \mathbf{H}_{2m} , i.e., the manifold $\mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the group law

$$\forall (x, t), (x', t') \in \mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, t)(x', t') = (x + x', t + t' + \frac{1}{2}\omega_0(x, x')),$$

with ω_0 the canonical symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2m} . The \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k, \ell}$ is the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded real vector space with components $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0 = \mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \mathbb{R}Z$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1 = \mathbb{R}^{k+\ell}$, endowed with the Lie bracket

$$\forall X, X' \in \mathbb{R}^{2m} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k+\ell}, \quad \forall a, a' \in \mathbb{R}, \quad [X + aZ, X' + a'Z] = \omega(X, X')Z, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\omega = \omega_0 \oplus \omega_1$ and ω_1 is the canonical quadratic form of signature (k, ℓ) on $\mathbb{R}^{k+\ell}$. The action of G_0 on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the adjoint action

$$\text{Ad}_{(x, t)}(X + aZ) = X + (a + \omega(x, X))Z,$$

with $(x, t) \in G_0$, and $X + aZ \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. A graded basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by (c_i, e_α, Z) , where $(c_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2m}$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^{2m} with degree 0, $(e_\alpha)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq k+\ell}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{k+\ell}$ with degree 1, and $\mathbb{R}Z$ is the center subalgebra (with degree 0). The non-zero commutators read then as

$$[c_i, c_j] = \omega_{ij}Z, \quad [e_\alpha, e_\beta] = \omega_{\alpha\beta}Z, \quad [c_i, e_\alpha] = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

where ω_{ij} and $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ are the components of ω in the basis. Explicitly, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, we have $\omega_{ij} = \delta_{j, m+i} - \delta_{j, i-m}$, and for all $\beta = 1, \dots, k + \ell$, we have $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ if $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$ and $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ if $k < \alpha \leq k + \ell$.

3 Theory of Hilbert superspaces

Building on [16], we introduce a new definition of Hilbert superspaces, which generalizes the standard one [9, 7, 10, 11]. Their basic properties are derived by using the theory of Krein spaces [15].

3.1 Definition of Hilbert superspaces

A **Hermitian superspace** is a complex \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ endowed with a superhermitian inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$, i.e., a non-degenerate sesquilinear¹ map $\langle -, - \rangle : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies:

$$\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}_0 \cup \mathcal{H}_1, \quad \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = (-1)^{|x||y|} \langle y, x \rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

If the inner product is a homogeneous map of degree $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, then \mathcal{H} is called a Hermitian superspace of **parity** $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$. If $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ the subspaces \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 are orthogonal, i.e. $\langle \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1 \rangle = 0$, and by Equation (3.1) we get $\langle x, x \rangle \in i^{|x|}\mathbb{R}$ if $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is homogeneous. If $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) = 1$, the subspaces \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 are isotropic, i.e. $\langle \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_0 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_1 \rangle = 0$, and by Equation (3.1) we get $\langle x, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Via the formula

$$\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (x, y)_J := \langle x, J(y) \rangle,$$

a linear isomorphism J of \mathcal{H} gives rise to a non-degenerate sesquilinear map $(-, -)_J : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 3.1 Consider a Hermitian superspace $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ of given parity.

- A **fundamental decomposition** is an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{[1]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[i]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[-1]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[-i]}$$

such that: $\forall k \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}_{[i^k]} \setminus 0$, $\langle x, x \rangle \in i^k \mathbb{R}_+^*$.

- A **fundamental symmetry** is an endomorphism J of \mathcal{H} such that $J^4 = \mathbb{1}$, $\langle J(x), J(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, and $(-, -)_J$ defines a scalar product on \mathcal{H} (i.e. $(-, -)_J$ is a positive definite hermitian map). \blacklozenge

A fundamental decomposition allows one to define a fundamental symmetry by setting

$$J := P_{[1]} - iP_{[i]} - P_{[-1]} + iP_{[-i]},$$

where $P_{[i^k]}$ is the orthogonal projector on the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{[i^k]}$, with $k = 0, \dots, 3$. Conversely, a fundamental symmetry J defines a fundamental decomposition given by $\mathcal{H}_{[i^k]} = \text{Ker}(J - i^{-k}\mathbb{1})$. Note that $(-, -)_J$ provides a scalar product on $\mathcal{H}_{[i^k]}$ equal to $i^{-k}\langle -, - \rangle$.

Definition 3.2 A Hermitian superspace $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ of given parity is said to be a **Hilbert superspace** if one of the two equivalent conditions hold:

- there exists a fundamental decomposition such that each component $\mathcal{H}_{[i^k]}$ is intrinsically complete, i.e. complete for the topology defined by $i^{-k}\langle -, - \rangle$;
- there exists a fundamental symmetry J such that $(\mathcal{H}, (-, -)_J)$ is a Hilbert space. \blacklozenge

¹By convention, sesquilinear means left-antilinear and right-linear.

The above definition of Hilbert superspace is slightly more general than the one provided in [16], where a fundamental symmetry J is fixed as part of the structure. This freedom in the choice of J will be important in the following sections.

A **standard Hilbert superspace** is a Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H} of parity 0 which admits the canonical fundamental decomposition $\mathcal{H}_{[1]} = \mathcal{H}_0$, $\mathcal{H}_{[i]} = \mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_{[-1]} = \mathcal{H}_{[-i]} = 0$. It admits a unique fundamental symmetry given by $J(x) = (-i)^{|x|}x$ on homogeneous elements $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, both $(\mathcal{H}_0, \langle -, - \rangle)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_1, i\langle -, - \rangle)$ are Hilbert spaces. The notion of standard Hilbert superspace coincides with the usual definition of Hilbert superspace, as given in [9, 7, 10, 11]. In other words, the Definition 3.2 of Hilbert superspace is a generalization of the definition introduced in the above references.

The classical notion underlying Definition 3.2 is the concept of Krein space [15]. In our terms, a Krein space is a purely even Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H} of parity 0, i.e., $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0$. We have the following obvious proposition.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ be a complex \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space.*

- *$(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is a Hilbert superspace of parity 0 if and only if $(\mathcal{H}_0, \langle -, - \rangle)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_1, i\langle -, - \rangle)$ are Krein spaces. For any fundamental decomposition of \mathcal{H} , we have $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_{[1]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[-1]}$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_{[i]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[-i]}$. Fundamental symmetries of \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 are related by the equality $J = J_0 \oplus (-iJ_1)$, in obvious notations.*
- *$(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is a Hilbert superspace of parity 1 if and only if $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is a Krein space admitting \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 as isotropic subspaces. For any fundamental decomposition of \mathcal{H} , we have $\mathcal{H}_{[i]} = \mathcal{H}_{[-i]} = 0$.*

3.2 Properties of Hilbert superspaces

A number of important results on Hilbert superspaces can be obtained as direct consequence of the theory of Krein spaces, by using that a Hilbert superspace is a Krein space or the direct sum of two Krein spaces (see Proposition 3.3). When this is the case, we do not provide a proof and simply refer to the corresponding result for Krein spaces.

We start with topological properties.

Theorem 3.4 ([15], **Theorem V.1.1**) *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert superspace. The scalar products $(-, -)_J$, with J a fundamental symmetry of \mathcal{H} , all define the same topology.*

As a consequence, while a Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H} has no canonical scalar product, it admits a canonical Hilbert topology. In particular, all fundamental decompositions of \mathcal{H} have intrinsically complete components and all fundamental symmetries J yield unitarily equivalent Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}, (-, -)_J)$.

The following lemma states that the superhermitian inner product of a Hilbert superspace is globally continuous for its Hilbert topology.

Lemma 3.5 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hermitian superspace of given parity. For any fundamental symmetry J , we have*

$$\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}, \quad |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\|_J \|y\|_J,$$

where $\|x\|_J := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_J}$.

PROOF Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Using the fundamental decomposition of \mathcal{H} associated to J , we have $x = \sum_{k=0}^3 x_k$ where $x_k \in \mathcal{H}_{[i^k]}$. This yields

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| = \left| \sum_k \langle x_k, y_k \rangle \right| \leq \sum_k |\langle x_k, y_k \rangle|.$$

Since $|\langle x_k, y_k \rangle| = |i^{-k} \langle x_k, y_k \rangle| = |(x_k, y_k)_J|$, we can apply the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality for $(-, -)_J$ to obtain:

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \sum_k \|x_k\|_J \|y_k\|_J.$$

Using the inequality $(\|x_k\|_J \|y_l\|_J - \|x_l\|_J \|y_k\|_J)^2 \geq 0$, with $k, l = 0, \dots, 3$, we deduce that

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \left(\sum_{k,l} \|x_k\|_J^2 \|y_l\|_J^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|x\|_J \|y\|_J. \quad \blacksquare$$

We pursue with the study of linear operators. Let $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \langle -, - \rangle_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \langle -, - \rangle_2)$ be two Hilbert superspaces. A **bounded operator** between $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ is a linear operator $T : \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ which is continuous w.r.t. their Hilbert topologies. The degree of operators defines a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading on the space of bounded operators $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$ and on the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$.

Proposition 3.6 ([16]) *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$ be of degree $|T| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. There exists a unique operator $T^\dagger \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \mathcal{H}^{(1)})$ such that:*

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \forall y \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \quad \langle T^\dagger(x), y \rangle_1 = (-1)^{|T||x|} \langle x, T(y) \rangle_2.$$

The operator T^\dagger is called the **superadjoint** operator of T .

Definition 3.7 ([16]) A **superunitary operator** between $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ is a homogeneous operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$ of degree 0 satisfying:

$$\Phi^\dagger \Phi = \Phi \Phi^\dagger = \mathbb{1}. \quad \blacklozenge$$

The set of superunitary operators is denoted by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$. In particular, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ is a group. Any superunitary operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$ is in particular a linear bijection of degree 0 and an isometry, that is

$$\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle_2 = \langle x, y \rangle_1, \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$. The converse also holds.

Proposition 3.8 *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ be a linear bijection of degree 0. The operator Φ is superunitary if and only if this is an isometry.*

PROOF Let $\Phi : \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ be a linear bijection of degree 0. First, assume that $\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle_2 = \langle x, y \rangle_1$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$. If J_1 is a fundamental symmetry of $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$, then $\Phi \circ J_1 \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is a fundamental symmetry of $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$. This implies that Φ and Φ^{-1} are continuous. Then, one easily proves that $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$. The converse implication is straightforward. \blacksquare

On a Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H} , the **parity operator** $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0, \forall x_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1, \quad \mathbb{P}(x_0 + x_1) = x_0 - x_1. \quad (3.3)$$

This operator is of degree 0, involutive and satisfies $\mathbb{P}^\dagger = (-1)^\sigma \mathbb{P}$ if \mathcal{H} is of parity σ .

Proposition 3.9 *Let J be a fundamental symmetry of \mathcal{H} . Then, J is an operator of degree σ , unitary w.r.t. the scalar product $(-, -)_J$ and satisfies $J^2 = \mathbb{P}^{(\sigma+1)}$, $J^\dagger = J\mathbb{P}$. Moreover, J is superunitary if $\sigma = 0$ and J provides a linear isomorphism between \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 if $\sigma = 1$.*

PROOF All stated properties are obvious, we only prove that $J^2 = \mathbb{P}^{(\sigma+1)}$. Consider the fundamental decomposition associated to J , so that $\mathcal{H}_{[i^k]}$ is the eigenspace of J with eigenvalue i^{-k} . Then, J^2 is equal to $\mathbb{1}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{[1]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[-1]}$ and to $-\mathbb{1}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{[i]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[-i]}$. The result follows then from Proposition 3.3. ■

Two Hilbert superspaces $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ are superunitarily equivalent, i.e. isomorphic, if there exists an operator $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$. Our next goal is to provide a complete invariant for isomorphism classes of Hilbert superspaces. Recall that, up to unitary equivalence, a Hilbert space is uniquely determined by its dimension, defined as the cardinal of a Hilbertian basis.

Proposition 3.10 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert superspace. The dimensions (as Hilbert spaces) of the components of a fundamental decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{[1]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[i]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[-1]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[-i]}$ do not depend on the choice of this decomposition.*

The proof follows easily from 3.2. We define the **signature** of \mathcal{H} as $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{H}) = (\dim(\mathcal{H}_{[1]}), \dim(\mathcal{H}_{[i]}), \dim(\mathcal{H}_{[-1]}), \dim(\mathcal{H}_{[-i]}))$.

Theorem 3.11 ([15], Theorem V.1.4) *Two Hilbert superspaces are superunitarily equivalent if and only if their parities and their signature coincide.*

According to Proposition 3.3 and the theory of Krein spaces, there exist Hilbert superspaces of parity 0 and of arbitrary signature. For instance, there exists four inequivalent classes of Hilbert superspaces of total dimension 1, characterized by the value $\langle e, e \rangle \in \{1, i, -1, -i\}$ for a normalized generator e . As proved below, there does not exist Hilbert superspaces of parity 1 with arbitrary signature. Indeed, they are uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) by their total dimensions.

Proposition 3.12 *The signature of a Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H} of parity 1 is given by $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{H}) = (d, 0, d, 0)$, where $d = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{[1]}) = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{[-1]})$. Moreover, the parity operator \mathbb{P} gives an isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_{[1]}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{[-1]}$.*

PROOF Consider a fundamental symmetry J and the corresponding fundamental decomposition, given by the eigenspaces of J . According to Proposition 3.3, we have $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{[i]}) = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{[-i]}) = 0$. By Proposition 3.9, we know that $J^2 = \mathbb{1}$ and $J\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_1$. We deduce that $\mathcal{H}_{[1]} = \text{Ker}(\mathbb{1} - J) = \text{Im}(\mathbb{1} + J) = (\mathbb{1} + J)\mathcal{H}_0$, and similarly $\mathcal{H}_{[-1]} = (1 - J)\mathcal{H}_0$. Therefore, \mathbb{P} gives an isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_{[1]}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{[-1]}$. ■

Finally, we provide ways of constructing new Hilbert superspaces out of old ones. Given a Hilbert superspace $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$, a Hilbert sub-superspace \mathcal{F} is a closed subspace of \mathcal{H} which is graded, i.e. $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}_1$, and such that $(\mathcal{F}, \langle -, - \rangle|_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}})$ is a Hilbert superspace. It is characterized below using the orthogonal of \mathcal{F} w.r.t. the inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$, defined by $\mathcal{F}^\perp := \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid \forall y \in \mathcal{F}, \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}$.

Theorem 3.13 ([15], Theorem V.3.4) *A graded subspace $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert sub-superspace if and only if $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}^\perp = \mathcal{H}$.*

Corollary 3.14 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert superspace and $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an orthogonal projector, i.e. $P^2 = P = P^\dagger$. Then $\text{Im}(P)$ is a Hilbert sub-superspace of \mathcal{H} .*

If \mathcal{F} is a Hilbert sub-superspace of \mathcal{H} , the natural embedding is a bounded isometry. All bounded isometries arise in this way.

Proposition 3.15 *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ be a bounded isometry. The operator Φ satisfies $\text{Im}\Phi \oplus (\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp = \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$. Both $\text{Im}\Phi$ and $(\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp$ are Hilbert sub-superspaces of $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ and $\text{Im}\Phi$ is superunitarily equivalent to $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$.*

PROOF Assume $\Phi : \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ is a bounded isometry. By a direct adaptation of [15, Theorem VI.3.8], the operator Φ satisfies $\text{Im}\Phi + (\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp = \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$. If $u \in \text{Im}\Phi \cap (\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp$, then we have $u = \Phi(x)$ and, for all $y \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$, $0 = \langle u, \Phi(y) \rangle_2 = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle_2 = \langle x, y \rangle_1$. Hence, $x = 0$, $u = 0$ and we deduce that $\text{Im}\Phi \oplus (\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp = \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$. Thanks to Theorem 3.13, this implies that both $\text{Im}\Phi$ and $(\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp$ are Hilbert sub-superspaces of $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$. Since Φ is an isometry, this is a linear bijection from $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ to $\text{Im}\Phi$. By Proposition 3.8, both are superunitarily equivalent. \blacksquare

The direct sum of two Hilbert superspaces is easily obtained.

Proposition 3.16 ([16]) *Let $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \langle -, - \rangle_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \langle -, - \rangle_2)$ be two Hilbert superspaces of same parity σ . The direct sum $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ endowed with the superhermitian inner product*

$$\forall x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \forall x_2, y_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \quad \langle x_1 \oplus x_2, y_1 \oplus y_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle_1 + \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_2,$$

is a Hilbert superspace of parity σ . Moreover, the direct sum $J = J^{(1)} \oplus J^{(2)}$ of any two fundamental symmetries of $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ gives a fundamental symmetry of \mathcal{H} . The component-wise sum of two fundamental decompositions of $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ gives a fundamental decomposition of \mathcal{H} .

Up to our knowledge, the construction of the **tensor product** has not been developed in the Krein setting. We provide it for Hilbert superspaces. Let $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \langle -, - \rangle_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \langle -, - \rangle_2)$ be two Hilbert superspaces of parity $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. There is a canonical superhermitian inner product of parity $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$:

$$\forall x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \forall x_2, y_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \quad \langle x_1 \otimes x_2, y_1 \otimes y_2 \rangle := (-1)^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 + |x_2| |y_1|} \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle_1 \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_2. \quad (3.4)$$

For any two fundamental symmetries J_1 and J_2 on $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$, we get a scalar product on $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$:

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2, y_1 \otimes y_2)_J := (x_1, y_1)_{J_1} (x_2, y_2)_{J_2}, \quad (3.5)$$

which satisfies $(-, -)_J = \langle -, J- \rangle$, where J is the operator

$$J(x_1 \otimes x_2) := (-1)^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 + (\sigma_1 + |x_1|) |x_2|} J_1(x_1) \otimes J_2(x_2). \quad (3.6)$$

Proposition 3.17 *In same notations as above, we have:*

- all scalar products $(-, -)_J$ as in Equation (3.5) induce the same pre-Hilbert topology on $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$;
- the inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ extends continuously to the completed tensor product $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$;
- $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is a Hilbert superspace of parity $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$, and the operators J as in Equation (3.6) are fundamental symmetries for it.

PROOF Let $J_1, J_{1'}$ be two fundamental symmetries of $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $J_2, J_{2'}$ two of $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$. Denote by $(-, -)_{J_{12}}, (-, -)_{J_{1'2}}, (-, -)_{J_{12'}}$ and $(-, -)_{J_{1'2'}}$ the four corresponding scalar products on $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$. Accordingly, we write $\| \cdot \|_{J_{12}},$ etc, for the corresponding norms. We shall prove that they are all equivalent norms. Any $x \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ admits a decomposition as follows

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^k x_j^{(1)} \otimes x_j^{(2)},$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(x_j^{(1)})$ is a family of elements in $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $(x_j^{(2)})$ is a family of orthonormal elements in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}^{(2)}, (-, -)_{J_2})$. Then a direct computation shows that

$$\|x\|_{J_{12}}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j^{(1)}\|_{J_1}^2 \cdot \|x_j^{(2)}\|_{J_2}^2.$$

By Theorem 3.4, there exists $c > 0$ such that $\|\cdot\|_{J_1} \leq c\|\cdot\|_{J_{1'}}$. Therefore, we get

$$\|x\|_{J_{12}} \leq c\|x\|_{J_{1'2}}.$$

Repeating the same argument, we obtain that all the four above norms are equivalent. As a consequence, $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ inherits of a canonical pre-Hilbert topology and admits a completion $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$.

By Lemma 3.5, $\langle -, - \rangle$ is globally continuous on $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$. As J is unitary w.r.t. the scalar product $(-, -)_J$, this is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$. Therefore, $\langle -, - \rangle$ and J extend to the completion $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ and turn it into a Hilbert superspace. \blacksquare

The two Hilbert superspaces $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ are isomorphic via the superunitary operator given by

$$\forall x_1 \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \forall x_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \quad x_1 \otimes x_2 \mapsto (-1)^{|x_1|(|x_2|+\sigma_2)} x_2 \otimes x_1.$$

We describe the fundamental decomposition of $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ below, using the operator $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{1} \oplus i\mathbb{1}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{(j)} = \mathcal{H}_0^{(j)} \oplus \mathcal{H}_1^{(j)}$, with $j = 1, 2$. Note that $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{1} \oplus -\mathbb{1}$ is the parity operator.

Proposition 3.18 *The Hilbert superspace $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ inherits of the following fundamental decomposition, where $k, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and sums are taken modulo 4,*

- if $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{[i^k]} = \bigoplus_{k_1 - (-1)^k k_2 = k} \mathcal{H}_{[i^{k_1}]^{(1)}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{[i^{k_2}]^{(2)}};$$

- if $\sigma_1 = 1$ and $\sigma_2 = 0$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{[i^k]} = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{k_1 - k_2 = k} \mathbb{A}^{k_1} \mathcal{H}_{[1]^{(1)}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{[i^{k_2}]^{(2)}}, & \text{if } k \in \{0, 2\}, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \in \{1, 3\}; \end{cases}$$

- if $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1$, denoting by $\overline{\text{span}}$ the completed linear span of a subset in \mathcal{H} ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{[i^k]} = \overline{\text{span}}\{x \otimes \mathbb{A}^k y + (-1)^k \mathbb{P}x \otimes \mathbb{P}\mathbb{A}^k y \mid x \in \mathcal{H}_{[1]^{(1)}}, y \in \mathcal{H}_{[1]^{(2)}}\}.$$

PROOF For each of the three values of (σ_1, σ_2) , the equations above define subspaces $\mathcal{H}_{[i^k]}$. In the three cases, direct computations using Equation (3.4) show that $\langle x, x \rangle \in i^k \mathbb{R}_+^\times$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}_{[i^k]} \setminus 0$. It remains to prove that $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_{[i^k]}$. We proceed by a case analysis on the values of (σ_1, σ_2) .

If $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$, the result follows from the fundamental decompositions of $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$.

If $\sigma_1 = 1$ and $\sigma_2 = 0$, we obtain the announced decomposition of \mathcal{H} thanks to the fundamental decomposition of $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ and to the decompositions

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1)} = \mathcal{H}_{[1]^{(1)}} \oplus \mathbb{A}^2 \mathcal{H}_{[1]^{(1)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{(2)} = \mathbb{A} \mathcal{H}_{[1]^{(2)}} \oplus \mathbb{A}^3 \mathcal{H}_{[1]^{(2)}}.$$

The latter are consequences of the proof of Proposition 3.12 and of the equality $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{P}$.

The last case, $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1$, is more involved. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}_{[1]}^{(1)}$, $y \in \mathcal{H}_{[1]}^{(2)}$. By the proof of Proposition 3.12, for $j = 1, 2$ we have $\mathcal{H}_{[1]}^{(j)} = (1 + J_j)\mathcal{H}_0^{(j)}$, i.e., $x = x_0 + J_1x_0$ and $y = y_0 + J_2y_0$ with $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^{(1)}$, $y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^{(2)}$. Hence, the generators of the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{[i^k]}$ (as defined in the proposition statement) read as

$$(1 + (-1)^k)(x_0 \otimes y_0 + i^k J_1 x_0 \otimes J_2 y_0) + (1 - (-1)^k)(J_1 x_0 \otimes y_0 + i^k x_0 \otimes J_2 y_0).$$

This means that

$$\mathcal{H}_{[\pm 1]} = (\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \pm J_1 \otimes J_2) \left(\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{[\pm i]} = (J_1 \otimes \mathbb{1} \pm i\mathbb{1} \otimes J_2) \left(\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)} \right).$$

The equalities $J_j \mathcal{H}_0^{(j)} = \mathcal{H}_1^{(j)}$ for $j = 1, 2$ imply then the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{[1]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[-1]} &= \mathcal{H}_0^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_0^{(2)} \oplus \mathcal{H}_1^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_1^{(2)} = \mathcal{H}_0, \\ \mathcal{H}_{[i]} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{[-i]} &= \mathcal{H}_1^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_0^{(2)} \oplus \mathcal{H}_0^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_1^{(2)} = \mathcal{H}_1. \end{aligned}$$

The decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_{[i^k]}$ follows. ■

The signature of a tensor product can be easily obtained from the above proposition. In particular, if both $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ are of parity 1, then the four components of \mathcal{H} have the same dimension, equal to the one of $\mathcal{H}_{[1]}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{[1]}^{(2)}$.

The dual \mathcal{H}^* of a Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H} is defined as the space of all continuous linear maps $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. As proved below, \mathcal{H}^* is canonically a Hilbert superspace and there is a canonical Riesz anti-isomorphism between \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}^* .

Proposition 3.19 *The map $x \in \mathcal{H} \mapsto {}^b x \in \mathcal{H}^*$, defined by ${}^b x(y) := \langle x, y \rangle$, is a homogeneous antilinear bijection. Moreover, endowing \mathcal{H}^* with the superhermitian inner product*

$$\langle {}^b x, {}^b y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^*} := \langle x, y \rangle,$$

the space \mathcal{H}^ becomes a Hilbert superspace anti-isomorphic to \mathcal{H} .*

PROOF Let J be a fundamental symmetry of \mathcal{H} . By Lemma 3.5, the antilinear form ${}^b x$ is continuous so that the map $R : x \mapsto {}^b x$ is valued in \mathcal{H}^* . Clearly, R is antilinear, even and injective. By the Riesz theorem, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^*$, there exists $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\varphi(y) = (x, y)_J = \langle J^\dagger x, y \rangle$. Therefore, $\phi = {}^b(J^\dagger x)$ and R is bijective.

We denote by σ the parity of \mathcal{H} . The inner product $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^*}$ defined on \mathcal{H}^* is of same degree that the one on \mathcal{H} and non-degenerate. The following computation shows that it is also superhermitian: for any $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ with $|x| + |y| = \sigma$, we have $(-1)^{|{}^b x||{}^b y|} = (-1)^{(\sigma+|x|)(\sigma+|y|)} = (-1)^{|x||y|} (-1)^{\sigma(\sigma+|x|+|y|)} = (-1)^{|x||y|}$. Finally, any fundamental symmetry J of \mathcal{H} induces a fundamental symmetry of \mathcal{H}^* given by $\tilde{J} = R \circ J \circ R^{-1}$. Therefore, \mathcal{H}^* is a Hilbert superspace anti-isomorphic to \mathcal{H} via the superunitary operator R . ■

3.3 Useful examples

We present three classes of examples of Hilbert superspaces. They are based on finite dimensional graded spaces and functional spaces of square integrable functions on real and complex superspaces.

Example 3.20 ([15], page 100) Any finite-dimensional complex \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded space \mathcal{H} endowed with a homogeneous superhermitian non-degenerate inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ is a Hilbert superspace. \blacklozenge

Example 3.21 ([16]) We consider the superspace $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ of smooth functions with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$. Its elements read as $\varphi(x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n} \widetilde{\varphi}_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha$ with $\varphi_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ (see (2.3)). Berezin integration (2.5) induces the following superhermitian inner product on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int dx d\xi \overline{\varphi(x, \xi)} \psi(x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n} \varepsilon(\alpha) \int dx \overline{\varphi_\alpha(x)} \psi_{\bar{\alpha}}(x), \quad (3.7)$$

where $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1) - \alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ and $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \pm 1$ is determined by the relation $\xi^\alpha \xi^{\bar{\alpha}} = \varepsilon(\alpha) \xi^1 \cdots \xi^n$. The operator J , defined as:

$$J(\varphi_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha) \varphi_\alpha(x) \xi^{\bar{\alpha}},$$

is a fundamental symmetry. The completion of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$, w.r.t. the scalar product $\langle -, J- \rangle$ is a Hilbert superspace of parity $n \bmod 2$, which coincides with the Lebesgue superspace $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$ introduced after (2.5).

If $m = 0$, the above construction provides a Hilbert superspace structure on $\mathcal{H} := \bigwedge \mathbb{C}^n$. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading is the standard one, $\mathcal{H}_0 = \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^{2k} \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 = \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^{2k+1} \mathbb{C}^n$, and J coincides with the Hodge dual. If n is odd, \mathcal{H} is of parity 1 and signature $(2^{n-1}, 0, 2^{n-1}, 0)$. If $n \geq 2$ is even, \mathcal{H} is of parity 0 and the four eigenspaces of J are of same dimensions. They correspond to spaces of differential forms over a point which are even or odd, self-dual or anti self-dual. Hence, \mathcal{H} is of signature $(2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2})$. If $n = 0$, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$ is of parity 0 and signature $(1, 0, 0, 0)$. \blacklozenge

Example 3.22 Consider coordinates $(q, p, \xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m|2n}$, with $q, p \in \mathbb{R}^{m|0}$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{0|n}$. The complex superspace $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$ admits holomorphic coordinates (z, ζ) given by $z = q + ip$ and $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$. The canonical Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$ reads as $\omega = dpdq + \frac{1}{2} (d\xi^2 + d\eta^2) = \frac{dzd\bar{z}}{2i} + \frac{d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}}{4}$. It derives from a Kähler potential: $\omega = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} (|z|^2 + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\zeta} \zeta)$. By definition, holomorphic functions are smooth functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2m|2n})$ such that $\partial_{\bar{z}} \varphi = 0 = \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \varphi$. Hence, the algebra of holomorphic functions satisfies $Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|n}) = \bigwedge \mathbb{C}^n$ and $Hol(\mathbb{C}^{m|n}) \simeq Hol(\mathbb{C}^m) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{C}^n$.

The **Segal-Bargmann** (or Bargmann-Fock) **superspace** is

$$\mathcal{H} := \{ \varphi \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^{m|n}) \mid |\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle| < +\infty \},$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the superhermitian inner product given by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \frac{(2i)^n}{\pi^m} \int dz d\bar{z} d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} \overline{\varphi(z, \zeta)} \psi(z, \zeta) e^{-(|z|^2 + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\zeta} \zeta)},$$

with $d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} = d\zeta^n d\bar{\zeta}^n \cdots d\zeta^1 d\bar{\zeta}^1$, i.e. $\int d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} (\bar{\zeta}^1 \zeta^1 \cdots \bar{\zeta}^n \zeta^n) = 1$ (see (2.5) and below).

The Hermitian superspace $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is a standard Hilbert superspace, as justified below. Expanding holomorphic functions as $\varphi(z, \zeta) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n} \widetilde{\varphi}_\alpha(z) \zeta^\alpha$, with $\varphi_\alpha \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^m)$, we get that

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi^m} \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n} (-1)^{\frac{|\alpha|(|\alpha|-1)}{2}} (2i)^{|\alpha|} \int dz d\bar{z} \overline{\varphi_\alpha(z)} \psi_\alpha(z) e^{-|z|^2},$$

where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the length of the multi-index α . Setting

$$J\varphi(z, \zeta) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n} (-1)^{\frac{|\alpha|(|\alpha|-1)}{2}} (-i)^{|\alpha|} \varphi_\alpha(z) \zeta^\alpha,$$

we obtain a scalar product $(-, -)_J$ on \mathcal{H} . Moreover, the operator J satisfies $J\varphi = \varphi$ if φ is even and $J\varphi = i\varphi$ if φ is odd. Therefore, the expressions $\sqrt{|\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle|}$ and $\sqrt{\langle \varphi, J\varphi \rangle}$ define equivalent norms and \mathcal{H} is complete w.r.t. the scalar product $(-, -)_J$. We conclude that $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is a Hilbert superspace, with fundamental symmetry J . Since J is equal to $\mathbb{1}$ on even functions and $i\mathbb{1}$ on odd functions, $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is a standard Hilbert superspace.

If $m = 0$, the above construction turns $\mathcal{H} = \bigwedge \mathbb{C}^n$ into a Hilbert superspace of parity 0 and signature $(2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, 0, 0)$, whose \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading is the standard one. The normalization has been chosen such that $Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|n})$ can be embedded isometrically into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|2n})$, via the map $\varphi(\zeta) \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{i}{4}\zeta\bar{\zeta}}\varphi(\zeta)$, with $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$. However, $Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|n})$ is not preserved by the Hodge dual in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|2n})$.

In the sequel, we will have to deal with a slightly modified inner product on $Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|n})$, namely

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = (2i)^n \int d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} \overline{\varphi(\zeta)} \psi(\zeta) e^{-\frac{i\hbar\varepsilon}{2}\zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then, each summand of the inner product is modified by the factor $(\hbar\varepsilon)^{n-|\alpha|}$ and, depending on the sign of $\hbar\varepsilon$, there are two Hilbert superspaces $Hol_\pm(\mathbb{C}^{0|n})$. Obviously, $Hol_+(\mathbb{C}^{0|n})$ (i.e. $\hbar\varepsilon > 0$) is the same Hilbert superspace as above whereas $Hol_-(\mathbb{C}^{0|n})$ has signature $(2^{n-1}, 0, 0, 2^{n-1})$ if n is even and $(0, 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, 0)$ if n is odd. \blacklozenge

4 Superunitary representations

In this section, we introduce the notion of superunitary representation on Hilbert superspaces (SUR for short). We provide independently a definition of SUR for super Harish-Chandra pairs and for Lie supergroups and prove that they are equivalent. Our definition of SUR generalizes the one in [10, 11].

4.1 SUR of Lie groups

A **superunitary representation** (SUR) of a Lie group G_0 on a Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H} (see Definition 3.2) is defined as a group morphism $\pi_0 : G_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ such that, for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$, the maps $\pi_0^v : g \mapsto \pi_0(g)v$ are continuous on G_0 . Since superunitary operators on \mathcal{H} are bounded for the Hilbert topology of \mathcal{H} , SUR's fall into the class of strongly continuous representations, whose theory is well-developed [27]. In particular, if \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space, then SUR's (\mathcal{H}, π_0) are unitary representations (see e.g. [27]), while if \mathcal{H} is a Krein space they are Krein-unitary representations as defined in [19].

Consider $(\mathcal{H}^{(i)}, \pi_0^{(i)})$ with $i = 1, 2$, two SUR's of the Lie group G_0 . **Intertwiners**, or intertwining operators, between these two SUR's are bounded operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$ which intertwine the representation morphisms:

$$\forall g \in G_0, \quad T \circ \pi_0^{(1)}(g) = \pi_0^{(2)}(g) \circ T. \quad (4.1)$$

The morphism space $\mathbf{Hom}(\pi_0^{(1)}, \pi_0^{(2)})$ between two SUR's is the space of even intertwiners. Two SUR's are said to be equivalent if they are related by a superunitary intertwiner $T \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$ (see Definition 3.7). A SUR is **unitarizable** if it is equivalent to a unitary representation.

Remark 4.1 A superunitary representation (\mathcal{H}, π_0) of a Lie group G_0 is unitarizable if and only if there exists a fundamental symmetry J of \mathcal{H} which is preserved by the representation operators, i.e., $\pi_0(g) \circ J = J \circ \pi_0(g)$ for all $g \in G_0$. The representation is then unitary w.r.t. the scalar product $(-, -)_J$. This is automatically the case if \mathcal{H} is a standard Hilbert superspace. \blacklozenge

Example 4.2 Finite dimensional irreducible representations of non-compact simple Lie groups are never unitarizable (except the trivial representation), however there might be SUR's. For instance, if k, l are positive integers, this is the case of the standard representation of the pseudo-unitary group $SU(k, l)$ on \mathbb{C}^{k+l} and of the representation of the spin groups $\text{Spin}(k, l)$ on the complex spinor module, see Section 7.3. \blacklozenge

As mentioned above, the theory of strongly continuous representations applies to SUR's. Results in [27, pages 252-253] particularize as follows. For any SUR (\mathcal{H}, π_0) of G_0 , the space of **smooth vectors**,

$$\mathcal{H}^\infty := \{v \in \mathcal{H} \mid \pi_0^v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G_0, \mathcal{H})\},$$

is dense in \mathcal{H} and stable under the action of the operators $\pi_0(g)$ for all $g \in G_0$. Examples of smooth vectors are given by

$$\pi_0(f)v := \int_{G_0} dg f(g)\pi_0(g)v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty,$$

where $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G_0)$ has compact support. If $X \in \text{Lie}(G_0)$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$, then the function $t \mapsto \pi_0(e^{tX})v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ is smooth in a neighborhood of zero and its derivative, $d\pi_0(X)v := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\pi_0(e^{tX})v)$, defines a Lie algebra morphism $d\pi_0 : \text{Lie}(G_0) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$. It extends canonically to the universal enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\text{Lie}(G_0))$ as an algebra morphism. Both $d\pi_0$ and its extension to $\mathfrak{U}(\text{Lie}(G_0))$ are referred to as the **infinitesimal representation** associated to (\mathcal{H}, π_0) . The relative topology induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{H}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(G_0, \mathcal{H})$ turns \mathcal{H}^∞ into a Fréchet space. Once a Hilbert norm $\| - \|$ is chosen on \mathcal{H} , the seminorms of \mathcal{H}^∞ read as $\|d\pi_0(X)(-)\|$, for X running over a basis of $\mathfrak{U}(\text{Lie}(G_0))$.

If H_0 is a Lie subgroup of G_0 , then a SUR of G_0 defines a SUR of H_0 by restriction. Its space of smooth vectors $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ is a Fréchet space which satisfies $\mathcal{H}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and both inclusions are strict in general. If H_0 is the trivial group we have $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty = \mathcal{H}$ as topological spaces. The density property of \mathcal{H}^∞ in \mathcal{H} can be generalized as follows.

Proposition 4.3 *Let (\mathcal{H}, π_0) be a unitarizable SUR of G_0 and $H_0 \trianglelefteq G_0$ a normal subgroup. Then, \mathcal{H}^∞ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$.*

PROOF We need a lemma.

Lemma 4.4 *Let (\mathcal{H}, π_0) be a unitarizable SUR of G_0 and $H_0 \trianglelefteq G_0$ a normal subgroup. For all $v \in \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ and $g \in G_0$, we have $\pi_0(g)v \in \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$. Moreover, π_0 restricts as a continuous map $\pi_0 : G_0 \times \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ for the Fréchet topology of $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$.*

PROOF Since π_0 is unitarizable, the topology on \mathcal{H} is given by a Hilbert norm which is preserved by all the representation operators $\pi_0(g)$ with $g \in G_0$. This norm is denoted by $\| - \|$.

Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ and $g \in G_0$. By definition of $d\pi_0$, we have

$$d\pi_0(X)\pi_0(g)v = \pi_0(g)d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_g(X))v,$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{U}(\text{Lie}(H_0))$. Since π_0 is unitarizable and $\text{Ad}_g(X) \in \mathfrak{U}(\text{Lie}(H_0))$ (recall that H_0 is normal), we have $\|\pi_0(g)d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_g(X))v\| < +\infty$. Hence, the element $\pi_0(g)v \in \mathcal{H}$ has finite seminorms, $\|d\pi_0(X)\pi_0(g)v\| < +\infty$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{U}(\text{Lie}(H_0))$, and we have $\pi_0(g)v \in \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$.

Consider $v \in \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$, $g \in G_0$ and a sequence (g_j) in G_0 converging to g . As π_0 is unitarizable, for all $X \in \mathfrak{U}(\text{Lie}(H_0))$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|d\pi_0(X)\pi_0(g_j)v - d\pi_0(X)\pi_0(g)v\| \\ & \leq \|\pi_0(g_j)d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_{g_j}X)v - \pi_0(g)d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_gX)v\| \\ & \leq \|\pi_0(g_j)(d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_{g_j}X)v - d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_gX)v)\| + \|(\pi_0(g_j) - \pi_0(g))d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_gX)v\| \\ & \leq \|d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_{g_j}X)v - d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_gX)v\| + \|(\pi_0(g_j) - \pi_0(g))d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_gX)v\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since π_0 is strongly continuous, we have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(\pi_0(g_j) - \pi_0(g))d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_g X)v\| = 0$. We also have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_{g_j} X)v - d\pi_0(\text{Ad}_g X)v\| = 0$, as a consequence of $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}_{g_j} X = \text{Ad}_g X$ and of the continuity of the linear map $\text{Lie}(H_0) \ni Y \mapsto d\pi_0(Y)v \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, the map

$$G_0 \ni g \mapsto \pi_0(g)v \in \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty,$$

is continuous. Since $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ is a Fréchet space, this implies the joint continuity of the map $\pi_0 : G_0 \times \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ (see [27, page 219]). \blacksquare

We are now ready to prove the proposition. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ and choose a decreasing sequence of compact subsets $(K_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of G_0 converging to $\{e_{G_0}\}$. Then, there exists a sequence of smooth positive functions (f_j) such that $\text{Supp}(f_j) \subseteq K_j$ and $\int_{G_0} f_j = 1$. Applying Proposition 4.1.1.2. in [27] to the strongly continuous representation $\pi_0 : G_0 \times \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$, we get that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi_0(f_j)v = v$ in the topology of $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$. Since $(\pi_0(f_j)v) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$, this proves that \mathcal{H}^∞ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$. \blacksquare

4.2 SUR of super Harish-Chandra pairs

For a super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$, representations by bounded operators are too restrictive [10]. Instead, one should fix a common domain of definition for operators representing the odd elements of the \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. A natural choice is the space of smooth vectors \mathcal{H}^∞ for the underlying superunitary representation of the Lie group G_0 . Recall that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0 \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0 = \text{Lie}(G_0)$.

Definition 4.5 Let $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be a super Harish-Chandra pair. A **superunitary representation (SUR)** of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a triple $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ such that

- \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert superspace;
- $\pi_0 : G_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a superunitary representation of the Lie group G_0 on \mathcal{H} ;
- $\pi_* : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$ is a \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra morphism such that $\pi_* = d\pi_0$ on $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \forall g \in G_0, \forall X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1, \quad \pi_*(X)^\dagger &= -\pi_*(X), \\ \pi_*(\text{Ad}_g(X)) &= \pi_0(g)\pi_*(X)\pi_0(g)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where \mathcal{H}^∞ is the space of smooth vectors of the representation π_0 and $\text{Ad} : G_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the defining action of the pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$. \blacklozenge

Since $\pi_* = d\pi_0$ on $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$, the display (4.2) holds for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. If G_0 is connected, the second line of the display is redundant, this is a consequence of the fact that π_* is a \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra morphism. If \mathcal{H} is a standard Hilbert superspace, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{[-1]} = \mathcal{H}_{[-i]} = 0$, the above definition reduces exactly to the definition of a superunitary representation in [10]. Note that π_0 is not (yet) asked to be unitarizable.

Remark 4.6 Given a SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$, consider the operators $\pi_*(X)$ with $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The condition $\pi_*(X)^\dagger = -\pi_*(X)$ allows to prove easily that $\pi_*(X)$ is closable. Since $\pi_*(X)$ is defined on the whole Fréchet space \mathcal{H}^∞ , it is continuous w.r.t. the Fréchet topology of \mathcal{H}^∞ , by the closed graph theorem for Fréchet spaces. As a consequence of this result, the operators $\pi_*(X)$ are bounded if $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{H}$. \blacklozenge

Consider $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)}, \pi_*^{(j)})$ with $j = 1, 2$, two SUR's of the super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Clearly, an intertwiner T of the underlying G_0 -representations (see Equation (4.1)) preserves the spaces of smooth vectors, $T((\mathcal{H}^{(1)})^\infty) \subseteq (\mathcal{H}^{(2)})^\infty$. The operator T is an intertwiner of the full SUR's of the super Harish-Chandra pair if it also satisfies

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}, \forall v \in (\mathcal{H}^{(1)})^\infty, \quad (T \circ \pi_*^{(1)}(X))v = (\pi_*^{(2)}(X) \circ T)v. \quad (4.3)$$

Morphisms between two SUR's are the even intertwiners. If there exists a superunitary intertwiner, $T \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$, then $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \pi_0^{(1)}, \pi_*^{(1)})$ and $(\mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \pi_0^{(2)}, \pi_*^{(2)})$ are said to be isomorphic or equivalent. As usual, to be isomorphic is an equivalence relation and one can speak of **equivalence classes of SUR's**. If $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$, SUR's of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ coincide with SUR's of the Lie group G_0 , as well as their intertwiners.

Given a SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$, a closed subspace $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{H}$ is said (π_0, π_*) -invariant if $\pi_0(g)\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ for all $g \in G_0$ and $\pi_*(X)(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}^\infty) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}^\infty$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. If \mathcal{F} is in addition a Hilbert sub-superspace of \mathcal{H} , then $(\mathcal{F}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is a SUR called a sub-representation of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$.

Definition 4.7 Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ be a SUR of a super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$. It is called **indecomposable** if it admits no proper sub-representation and **(graded-)irreducible** if there exists no proper closed (π_0, π_*) -invariant (graded) subspace $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{H}$. \blacklozenge

The orthogonal of a sub-representation is clearly a sub-representation. Hence, a SUR can always be decomposed as a direct sum of indecomposable SUR's. A graded-irreducible SUR is always indecomposable but the converse is not always true, see Example 4.22 below. There exist graded-irreducible SUR's which are not irreducible, see Example 4.23 below.

If $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)}, \pi_*^{(j)})$ with $j = 1, 2$ are two SUR's of the super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$, one can form their **direct sum** by considering the triple

$$(\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \pi_0^{(1)} \oplus \pi_0^{(2)}, \pi_*^{(1)} \oplus \pi_*^{(2)}).$$

This is straightforwardly a SUR of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ since $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(2)})^\infty = (\mathcal{H}^{(1)})^\infty \oplus (\mathcal{H}^{(2)})^\infty$.

Let $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)}, \pi_*^{(j)})$ with $j = 1, 2$ be two SUR's of the super Harish-Chandra pairs $(G_0^{(j)}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(j)})$. We want to construct their **tensor product**. First, we set $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ by using the Hilbert tensor product (see Proposition 3.17), and $\pi_0(g_1, g_2) := \pi_0^{(1)}(g_1) \otimes \pi_0^{(2)}(g_2)$, for $g_1 \in G_0^{(1)}$ and $g_2 \in G_0^{(2)}$. The pair (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is a SUR of the Lie group $G_0 := G_0^{(1)} \times G_0^{(2)}$. Then, the natural definition of the representation π_* would be

$$\pi_*(X_1, X_2)(v_1 \otimes v_2) := (\pi_*^{(1)}(X_1)v_1) \otimes v_2 + v_1 \otimes (\pi_*^{(2)}(X_2)v_2).$$

The operators $\pi_*(X_1, X_2)$ are well-defined on the algebraic tensor product $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)})^\infty \otimes (\mathcal{H}^{(2)})^\infty$, which is included in \mathcal{H}^∞ . But in general, they are not defined on the whole of \mathcal{H}^∞ and do not stabilize it. However, if both conditions are satisfied, the resulting representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is a SUR of $(G_0^{(1)} \times G_0^{(2)}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(2)})$.

One is often interested in the tensor product of two SUR's of the same Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$, viewed as a representation of the original pair. However, restriction of a SUR to a sub-pair is a delicate notion, discussed below. In particular, even if the tensor product exists as a SUR of $(G_0 \times G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$, its restriction to the diagonal sub-pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ might not be a SUR, see Example 4.25.

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ be a SUR of a super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Consider a sub-pair $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$, i.e., H_0 is a subgroup of G_0 , $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a \mathbb{R} -Lie sub-superalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the action $H_0 \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the restriction of the defining action $G_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Recall that the spaces of smooth vectors on G_0 and H_0 satisfy then $\mathcal{H}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$. The SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ admits a **restriction** to $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$ if there exists a map $\pi_*^H : \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty)$ such that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*^H)$ is a SUR of $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, \forall v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, \quad \pi_*^H(X)v = \pi_*(X)v. \quad (4.4)$$

If $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty = \mathcal{H}^\infty$, then the restriction always exists and is unique. The general case is more involved. For $X \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$, one can set $\pi_*^H(X) := d(\pi_0|_{H_0})(X)$ which is a continuous operator on $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$. However, if $X \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$, there is no natural way to define $\pi_*^H(X)$ on $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ in general. Thus, restriction to a sub-pair might not exist, see Example 4.25. We provide below conditions for a restriction to exist and be unique when π_0 is unitarizable.

Proposition 4.8 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ be a SUR of a super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$, with π_0 unitarizable, and $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be a sub-pair with H_0 a normal subgroup of G_0 . The SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ admits a restriction to $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$ if and only if the operators $\pi_*(X)$ are continuous w.r.t. the Fréchet topology of $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ for all $X \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$. If it exists, the restriction is unique and given by $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*^H)$ with $\pi_*^H(X)$ the continuous extension of $\pi_*(X)$ to $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$.*

PROOF Assume that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ admits a restriction to $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$, denoted by $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*^H)$. By Remark 4.6, for all $X \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$, the operators $\pi_*^H(X) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty)$ are continuous w.r.t. the Fréchet topology of $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$. Hence, by Equation (4.4), the same holds for the operators $\pi_*(X)$.

Conversely, assume that the operators $\pi_*(X) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$ are continuous w.r.t. the Fréchet topology of $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ for all $X \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$. By Lemma 4.4, for all $X \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$, the operator $\pi_*(X) = d\pi_0(X)$ can be extended as a continuous endomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$, denoted by $\pi_*^H(X)$. We obtain a map $\pi_*^H : \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty)$ such that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*^H)$ is a restriction of the SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$.

Assume that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ admits two restrictions to $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$, denoted by $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, (\pi_*^H)^{(j)})$, with $j = 1, 2$. For all $X \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$, the Equation (4.4) yields the operator equality $(\pi_*^H)^{(1)}(X) = (\pi_*^H)^{(2)}(X)$ on \mathcal{H}^∞ . Since \mathcal{H}^∞ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$ (see Proposition 4.3) and the two operators are continuous, we conclude that $(\pi_*^H)^{(1)}(X) = (\pi_*^H)^{(2)}(X)$ on the whole of $\mathcal{H}_{H_0}^\infty$. This means that the two restrictions coincide. \blacksquare

4.3 Strong SUR of super Harish-Chandra pairs

Now, we introduce a stronger notion of representation for a super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$. It relies on the **odd derived pair** $(D(G_0), D(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}))$, where $D(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}) := [(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1, (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1] \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ and $D(G_0)$ is the connected Lie subgroup of G_0 with Lie algebra $[(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1, (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1]$. Therefore, the \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra $D(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying $(D(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}))_1 = (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ and the Lie group $D(G_0)$ is a normal subgroup of G_0 .

Definition 4.9 A **strong superunitary representation** (strong SUR) of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ such that

- (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is unitarizable;
- $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ admits a restriction to $(D(G_0), D(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}))$. \blacklozenge

By Proposition 4.8, the restriction to $(D(G_0), D(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}))$ is unique. Moreover, the analog of Remark 4.6 for strong SUR rephrases as follows.

Proposition 4.10 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ be a strong SUR of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$. Then, for any $X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$, the operator $\pi_*(X)$ is continuous w.r.t. the Fréchet topology of $\mathcal{H}_{D(G_0)}^\infty$. If in addition $\mathcal{H}_{D(G_0)}^\infty = \mathcal{H}$, then $\pi_*(X)$ extends uniquely as a bounded operator on \mathcal{H} .*

A SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ might fail to be a strong SUR because π_0 is not unitarizable, see Example 4.2, or because there exists $X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ such that $\pi_*(X) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$ is not continuous w.r.t. to the Fréchet topology of $\mathcal{H}_{D(G_0)}^\infty$, see Example 4.25. The case where \mathcal{H} is a standard Hilbert superspace is remarkable in this respect.

Proposition 4.11 *Let \mathcal{H} be a standard Hilbert superspace. Then, any SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ of any super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a strong SUR.*

PROOF This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2 in [10]. ■

The next Lemma provides an alternative description for strong SUR's.

Lemma 4.12 *Let $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be a super Harish-Chandra pair and (\mathcal{H}, π_0) a unitarizable SUR of G_0 . Assume there exists a map $\rho : (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_{D(G_0)}^\infty)$ such that*

- (i) $\forall X, Y \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1, v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, [\rho(X), \rho(Y)]v = d\pi_0([X, Y])v$;
- (ii) $\forall X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1, \rho(X)^\dagger = -\rho(X)$;
- (iii) $\forall g \in G_0, X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1, \pi_0(g)\rho(X)\pi_0(g^{-1}) = \rho(\text{Ad}_g X)$.

Then, there exists a unique map π_* such that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is a strong SUR and $\pi_*(X)v = \rho(X)v$ for all $X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Conversely, if $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is a strong SUR with $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*^{D(G_0)})$ its restriction to the odd derived pair, then $\rho := (\pi_*^{D(G_0)})|_{(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1}$ satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii).

PROOF We first prove the uniqueness of π_* . If $X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$, by definition of a SUR, we should have $\pi_*(X) := d\pi_0(X) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$. If $X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$, by hypothesis, we should have $\pi_*(X)v := \rho(X)v$ for all $v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$.

We prove now that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is indeed a strong SUR if π_* is defined as above. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ and $X \in V$. Consider the map

$$g \in G_0 \mapsto \pi_0(g)\rho(X)v. \quad (4.5)$$

By hypothesis (iii), we have $\pi_0(g)\rho(X)v = \rho(\text{Ad}_g X)\pi_0(g)v$. The map $g \mapsto \pi_0(g)v$ is smooth because v is a smooth vector, the adjoint action $g \mapsto \text{Ad}_g X$ is smooth and the map ρ is linear. Hence, the map in (4.5) is smooth. This means that $\pi_*(X)v := \rho(X)v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Therefore, π_* defines a map $\pi_* : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$. The hypothesis (i) and (iii) ensure that π_* is a \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra morphism. Then, it is direct to check that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is a SUR. By (ii) and Remark 4.6, for all $X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$, the operators $\pi_*(X)$ are continuous with respect to the Fréchet topology of $\mathcal{H}_{D(G_0)}^\infty$. By applying Proposition 4.8, we deduce that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is a strong SUR of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$.

The converse statement is obvious. ■

Morphisms of strong SUR's are simply morphisms of the underlying SUR's. The notion of equivalence is the same for SUR's and for strong SUR's. Note that, if there exists a superunitary intertwiner between a SUR $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \pi_0^{(1)}, \pi_*^{(1)})$ and a strong SUR $(\mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \pi_0^{(2)}, \pi_*^{(2)})$, then the SUR $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \pi_0^{(1)}, \pi_*^{(1)})$ is a strong SUR. In other words, the category of strong SUR's is a strictly full subcategory of the category of SUR's. By Proposition 4.11 and Theorem 3.11, the category of SUR's on standard Hilbert superspaces, introduced in [10], is a strictly full subcategory of the category of strong SUR's.

A strong SUR is indecomposable, graded-irreducible or irreducible if and only if the underlying SUR does.

Schur's Lemma states that the intertwiners of an irreducible representation are the scalar multiples of identity. As is well-known, it holds for finite dimensional representations and for unitary representations of Lie groups. It also holds for strong SUR's of super Harish-Chandra pairs on standard Hilbert superspaces [10]. However, up to our knowledge, no analog of Schur's Lemma is known for Krein-unitary representations, and a fortiori for SUR's. We restrict our attention to strong SUR's $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ of a super Harish-Chandra pair $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$, where \mathcal{H} is a general Hilbert superspace. Then, by definition, the G_0 -representation (\mathcal{H}, π_0) admits a unitarization. Hence, it can be decomposed into a direct integral of unitary irreducible G_0 -representations. In the following, we assume that the involved measure is discrete, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}_i, \quad (4.6)$$

where I is a given set (of arbitrary cardinality) and we have: $(\mathcal{H}_i)_{i \in I}$ is a sequence of Hilbert spaces carrying inequivalent unitary irreducible representations of G_0 and $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$ is a sequence of Hilbert spaces carrying the trivial representation of G_0 .

Proposition 4.13 (Schur's Lemma) *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ be a strong SUR which decomposes as in (4.6) and T a homogeneous intertwiner of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$. Assume there exists $i \in I$ such that $\dim \mathcal{F}_i < \infty$. If $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is irreducible then T is a scalar multiple of the identity operator. If $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is graded-irreducible then T is a scalar multiple of the identity operator $\mathbb{1}$ or of a fixed involutive odd operator A .*

PROOF Assume that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an intertwiner of the irreducible SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$. By Corollary 4.3.1.3 in [27], T maps irreducible unitary G_0 -representations to equivalent ones. As the spaces \mathcal{H}_i carry inequivalent G_0 -representations for different $i \in I$, we have $T(\mathcal{H}_i \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}_i) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_i \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}_i$, for all $i \in I$. Hence, T admits a block-diagonal decomposition $T = \bigoplus_{i \in I} T_i$ with $T_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}_i)$. Applying classical Schur's Lemma to the unitary representations $\mathcal{H}_i \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}_i$ of G_0 , we get that $T_i = \mathbb{1} \otimes t_i$ with $t_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_i)$.

Consider $i \in I$ such that $\dim \mathcal{F}_i < \infty$. Then, the linear operator t_i admits at least one complex eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The eigenspace

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda := \{v \in \mathcal{H} \mid Tv = \lambda v\}$$

is then non-zero. As T is a continuous homogeneous operator, \mathcal{H}_λ is a closed subspace of \mathcal{H} . Since T intertwines the $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -action, the space \mathcal{H}_λ is (π_0, π_*) -stable. Therefore, $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ being irreducible, we conclude that $\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \mathcal{H}$ and $T = \lambda \mathbb{1}$.

If $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is graded-irreducible, we can formulate the same arguments for an intertwiner T of degree 0. Then, \mathcal{H}_λ is a closed graded subspace of \mathcal{H} , which is (π_0, π_*) -stable. So, $\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \mathcal{H}$ and $T = \lambda \mathbb{1}$. The composition of two odd intertwiners T, T' is an even intertwiner, so that T and T' differ by a scalar multiple. Hence, every odd intertwiner is a scalar multiple of a fixed involutive odd operator A . ■

A direct sum of strong SUR's is automatically a strong SUR. As for the tensor product of strong SUR's, it might not be a strong SUR and even not a SUR. The restriction of a strong SUR of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to a sub-pair $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$ exists and is unique if $D(G_0) \subseteq H_0 \subseteq G_0$ and it is a strong SUR. However, restrictions to general sub-pairs might not exist.

Sufficient conditions for a tensor product to be a strong SUR are stated in the next proposition.

Proposition 4.14 Let $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)}, \pi_*^{(j)})$ be two strong SUR's of $(G_0^{(j)}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(j)})$, for $j = 1, 2$. Set $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ and $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}) := (G_0^{(1)} \times G_0^{(2)}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(1)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(2)})$. If the equalities $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)})_{D(G_0^{(j)})}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}^{(j)}$ hold for $j = 1, 2$, then there exists a unique strong SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ on \mathcal{H} such that

- for all $(g_1, g_2) \in G_0$ and $v_1 \otimes v_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$, we have

$$\pi_0(g_1, g_2) v_1 \otimes v_2 = \pi_0^{(1)}(g_1) v_1 \otimes \pi_0^{(2)}(g_2) v_2; \quad (4.7)$$

- for all $(X_1, X_2) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $v_1 \otimes v_2 \in (\mathcal{H}^{(1)})^{\infty} \otimes (\mathcal{H}^{(2)})^{\infty}$, we have

$$\pi_*(X_1, X_2) v_1 \otimes v_2 = \pi_*^{(1)}(X_1) v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_1 \otimes \pi_*^{(2)}(X_2) v_2. \quad (4.8)$$

The strong SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is called the tensor product representation.

PROOF Since $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)})$ are unitarizable for $j = 1, 2$, there exists a unique map $\pi_0 : G_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ satisfying (4.7). Clearly, (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is a unitarizable SUR of G_0 .

As $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)})_{D(G_0^{(j)})}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}^{(j)}$, the operators $\pi_*^{(j)}(X_j)$ are bounded on $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$ for all $X_j \in \text{Lie}(D(G_0^{(j)}))$, with $j = 1, 2$. In view of Formula (4.8), if $X \in \text{Lie}(D(G_0))$, the operator $\pi_*(X)$ is then bounded on the dense subspace $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)})^{\infty} \otimes (\mathcal{H}^{(2)})^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. This implies that $\pi_*(X) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{D(G_0)}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}$. By Proposition 4.10, for any $X_j \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(j)})_1$ the operators $\pi_*^{(j)}(X_j)$ extend as bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$. Therefore, so does $\pi_*(X)$ if $X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ and we get a linear map $\rho : (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which satisfies $\rho(X)v = \pi_*(X)v$ for all $X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$. As $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)}, \pi_*^{(j)})$ are SUR's, for $j = 1, 2$, the map ρ satisfies the three hypotheses of Lemma 4.12 on $(\mathcal{H}^{(1)})^{\infty} \otimes (\mathcal{H}^{(2)})^{\infty}$. Since the latter space is dense in \mathcal{H} , Lemma 4.12 applies and we conclude that there exists a unique strong SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ satisfying Equations (4.7)-(4.8). ■

Sufficient conditions to have restrictions of a strong SUR to any sub-pair are stated in the next proposition.

Proposition 4.15 If $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is a strong SUR of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{D(G_0)}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}$, then it admits a restriction to any sub-pair of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$.

PROOF Consider $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$ a sub-pair of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$. The SUR (\mathcal{H}, π_0) of G_0 automatically restricts as a SUR of H_0 and we have $\mathcal{H}_{D(G_0)}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{D(H_0)}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. As $\mathcal{H}_{D(G_0)}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}$, we deduce that $\mathcal{H}_{D(H_0)}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}$. By Proposition 4.10, if $X \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ the operator $\pi_*(X)$ extends as a bounded operator on \mathcal{H} , denoted by $\rho(X)$. Hence, we get a linear map $\rho : (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. One easily checks that Lemma 4.12 applies. As a result, we get a restriction of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ to $(H_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}})$ which is a strong SUR. ■

4.4 SUR and strong SUR of Lie supergroups

In this section, we provide a definition of (strong) SUR for Lie supergroups and show that it agrees with the one for super Harish-Chandra pairs via the equivalence between both structures.

As a Lie supergroup is a patching of (even part of) graded \mathcal{A} -vector spaces, representations of G should be valued in graded \mathcal{A} -vector spaces (see section 2). Given a Hilbert superspace $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$, the superhermitian inner product is extended by \mathcal{A} -bilinearity to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$:

$$\langle av, bw \rangle = (-1)^{|a|\sigma + |b|(\sigma + |v|)} \bar{a}b \langle v, w \rangle, \quad (4.9)$$

for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$ and σ is the parity of \mathcal{H} . This means that the degree σ of the inner product is carried by its left bracket, as for an inner product defined by an integral (see Examples 3.21 and 3.22). If \mathcal{F} is a dense subspace of $(\mathcal{H}, \langle -, - \rangle)$, we will consider the group of superunitary operators $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{F})$, which consists of even invertible \mathcal{A} -linear maps $B : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\forall v, w \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \langle Bv, Bw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle.$$

Note that no continuity assumptions are made on the maps B .

Definition 4.16 A **superunitary representation** (SUR) of a Lie supergroup G is a triple $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ such that

- \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert superspace;
- $\pi_0 : \mathbb{B}G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a superunitary representation of $\mathbb{B}G$ on \mathcal{H} ;
- $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is a group morphism such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall v \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}, \quad \pi^v : g \mapsto \pi(g)v \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\infty}), \\ \forall g \in G, \quad \pi(\mathbb{B}g)v = \pi_0(\mathbb{B}g)v, \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where \mathcal{H}^{∞} is the space of smooth vectors of the representation π_0 . ◆

A **morphism** between two SUR's $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)}, \pi^{(j)})$, with $j = 1, 2$, of a Lie supergroup G is a morphism of the underlying $\mathbb{B}G$ -representations $T \in \text{Hom}(\pi_0^{(1)}, \pi_0^{(2)})$ such that

$$\forall g \in G, \forall v \in \mathcal{A} \otimes (\mathcal{H}^{(1)})^{\infty}, \quad (\mathbb{1} \otimes T) \circ \pi^{(1)}(g)v = \pi^{(2)}(g) \circ (\mathbb{1} \otimes T)v,$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the identity operator on \mathcal{A} .

Let G be a Lie supergroup and H be a Lie sub-supergroup. A SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ of G admits a **restriction** to H if there exists a map $\pi^H : H \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{B}H}^{\infty})$ such that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi^H)$ is a SUR of H and

$$\forall h \in H, \forall v \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\infty}, \quad \pi^H(h)v = \pi(h)v.$$

Here, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{B}H}^{\infty}$ denotes the space of smooth vectors on $\mathbb{B}H \leq \mathbb{B}G$.

Let G be a Lie supergroup. The **odd derived supergroup** $D(G) \leq G$ is the connected Lie sub-supergroup of G with Lie superalgebra $D(\mathfrak{g}) := [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1] \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \leq \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$.

Definition 4.17 A **strong superunitary representation** (strong SUR) of a Lie supergroup G is a SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ such that

- (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is unitarizable;
- $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ admits a restriction to $D(G)$. ◆

A morphism between two strong SUR's of a Lie supergroup G is a morphism of the underlying SUR's.

Recall that a Lie supergroup G , of dimension $m|n$, induces a Lie group $\mathbb{B}G$, of dimension m , and a Lie supergroup $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$, of dimension $m|0$, obtained from $\mathbb{B}G$ by \mathcal{A}_0 -scalar extension. Under the equivalence between Lie supergroups and super Harish-Chandra pairs, G corresponds to $(\mathbb{B}G, \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g})$, $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ corresponds to $(\mathbb{B}G, \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and the odd derived Lie sub-supergroup $D(G)$ corresponds to the odd derived sub-pair $(D(\mathbb{B}G), D(\mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}))$. Recall that $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{B}G) = \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}_0$, $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{w.o.d.}}) = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}_0$, $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}_1$.

Theorem 4.18 *Let G be a Lie supergroup and $(\mathbb{B}G, \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g})$ the corresponding super Harish-Chandra pair.*

(i) *The categories of SUR's of G and $(\mathbb{B}G, \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g})$ are equivalent.*

(ii) *The categories of strong SUR's of G and $(\mathbb{B}G, \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g})$ are equivalent.*

PROOF We need lemmas.

Lemma 4.19 *The SUR's of $\mathbb{B}G$ and $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ are in 1 : 1 correspondence via the map $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0) \mapsto (\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \widetilde{\pi}_0)$, where $\widetilde{\pi}_0$ is given by*

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, \forall g \in G^{\text{w.o.d.}}, \quad \widetilde{\pi}_0(g)v := \widetilde{\pi}_0^v(g), \quad (4.11)$$

with $\widetilde{\pi}_0^v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G^{\text{w.o.d.}}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^\infty)$ the smooth extension of the map $\pi_0^v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{B}G, \mathcal{H}^\infty)$, see (2.2).

PROOF If $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is a SUR of $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ then (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is obviously a SUR of $\mathbb{B}G$. Conversely, assume that (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is a SUR of $\mathbb{B}G$. Let $\widetilde{\pi}_0$ be defined by Equation (4.11). For all $g, g' \in \mathbb{B}G$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$, we have

$$\widetilde{\pi}_0(g)(v+w) = \widetilde{\pi}_0(g)v + \widetilde{\pi}_0(g)w, \quad \widetilde{\pi}_0(g)(\widetilde{\pi}_0(g')v) = \widetilde{\pi}_0(gg')v \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \widetilde{\pi}_0(g)v, \widetilde{\pi}_0(g)w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle.$$

By definition, two smooth functions in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(G^{\text{w.o.d.}}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^\infty)$ that coincide on $\mathbb{B}G$ are equal. Hence, the above equalities hold for any $g, g' \in G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ and $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \widetilde{\pi}_0)$ is then a SUR of $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$. Moreover, if $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is a SUR of $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$, then, by definition, $\pi(-)v$ must be a smooth extension of $\pi_0(-)v$. This means that $\pi = \widetilde{\pi}_0$. Therefore, the correspondence between SUR's of $\mathbb{B}G$ and $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ is one-to-one. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.20 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ be a SUR of G . There exists a unique map $d\pi : \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$ whose \mathcal{A} -linear extension to \mathfrak{g} satisfies*

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_0, \forall v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, \quad d\pi(X)v := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \pi(e^{tX})v.$$

The map $d\pi$ is a \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra morphism which satisfies Equation (4.2).

PROOF Consider $v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Since the exponential map $X \mapsto e^X$ is smooth in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, the map $X \mapsto d\pi(X)v$ is smooth on \mathfrak{g}_0 . Routine arguments, similar as for strongly continuous representations of Lie groups, show that $d\pi : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^\infty)$ is a Lie superalgebra morphism which satisfies Equation (4.2). By Remark 2.1, $d\pi$ is the \mathcal{A} -linear extension of a map $\mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$ which shares the same properties. Namely, this map is a \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra morphism satisfying Equation (4.2). \blacksquare

We are ready to prove the theorem. We first show (i).

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ be a SUR of G . By Lemma 4.20, π induces a linear map $d\pi : \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$ such that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, d\pi)$ is a SUR of $(\mathbb{B}G, \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g})$.

Conversely, let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ be a SUR of $(\mathbb{B}G, \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g})$. Applying Lemma 4.19, one gets a SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \widetilde{\pi}_0)$ of $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$. Extending π_* by \mathcal{A} -linearity, each element $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}_1$ defines a nilpotent operator $\pi_*(X)$, satisfying $\pi_*(X)^\dagger = -\pi_*(X)$. As a result, exponentiation gives a well-defined operator $\exp(\pi_*(X)) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^\infty)$. According to Proposition 2.2, for each $g \in G$ there exists a unique couple $(g_0, X) \in G^{\text{w.o.d.}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ such that $g = g_0 e^X$. We define the map $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{H}^\infty \otimes \mathcal{A})$ by

$$\pi(g) := \widetilde{\pi}_0(g_0) \exp(\pi_*(X)). \quad (4.12)$$

Consider $g' \in G$ and $(g'_0, X') \in G^{\text{w.o.d.}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ such that $g' = g'_0 e^{X'}$. We use successively: Equation (4.12), the extension to $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ of the second line in Equation (4.2), Proposition A.1, the property $\exp(d\widetilde{\pi}_0(X)) = \widetilde{\pi}_0(e^X)$ if $X \in \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$ and Equation (A.2), to get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(g)\pi(g') &= \widetilde{\pi}_0(g_0) \exp(\pi_*(X)) \widetilde{\pi}_0(g'_0) \exp(\pi_*(X')), \\ &= \widetilde{\pi}_0(g_0) \widetilde{\pi}_0(g'_0) \exp(\pi_*(\text{Ad}_{(g'_0)^{-1}} X)) \exp(\pi_*(X')), \\ &= \widetilde{\pi}_0(g_0 g'_0) \exp(\pi_*(\text{BCH}_0(\text{Ad}_{(g'_0)^{-1}} X, X'))) \exp(\pi_*(\text{BCH}_1(\text{Ad}_{(g'_0)^{-1}} X, X'))), \\ &= \widetilde{\pi}_0\left(g_0 g'_0 e^{\text{BCH}_0(\text{Ad}_{(g'_0)^{-1}} X, X')}\right) \exp(\pi_*(\text{BCH}_1(\text{Ad}_{(g'_0)^{-1}} X, X'))), \\ &= \pi(gg'). \end{aligned}$$

This means that π is a group morphism. Then, one can trivially check that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is a SUR of G .

We prove now that the two constructions are inverse of each other. Start with a SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ of a super Harish-Chandra pair and let π be given by Equation (4.12), so that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is a SUR of the corresponding Lie supergroup. By definition of SUR's of Lie supergroups and of super Harish-Chandra pairs, on $\mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}_0$, we have $d\pi = d\pi_0 = \pi_*$. On $\mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}_1$, $d\pi$ is also equal to π_* . Indeed, by definition of π , for all $X \in \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}_1$, we have

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, \quad d\pi(X)v = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \pi(e^{tX})v = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \exp(\pi_*(tX))v = \pi_*(X)v.$$

Conversely, consider a SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ of a Lie supergroup and let $\pi_* := d\pi$, so that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is a SUR of the corresponding super Harish-Chandra pair. Then, the map defined by Equation (4.12) coincides with π on $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$. It coincides with π on all of G because $\exp(d\pi(X)) = \pi(e^X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$.

It remains to check that, under the above correspondence of representations, morphisms of SUR's go to morphisms of SUR's. Let $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)}, \pi_*^{(j)})$ with $j = 1, 2$ be two SUR's of a super Harish-Chandra pair and let $\pi^{(j)}$ be given by Equation (4.12), so that $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)}, \pi^{(j)})$ are SUR's of the corresponding Lie supergroups. Consider an even bounded operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)})$. Then, one can easily prove the following equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \forall g \in G^{\text{w.o.d.}}, \quad T \circ \pi_0^{(1)}(\mathbb{B}g) = \pi_0^{(2)}(\mathbb{B}g) \circ T &\Leftrightarrow T \circ \widetilde{\pi}_0^{(1)}(g) = \widetilde{\pi}_0^{(2)}(g) \circ T, \\ \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}_1, \quad T \circ \pi_*^{(1)}(\mathbb{B}X) = \pi_*^{(2)}(\mathbb{B}X) \circ T &\Leftrightarrow T \circ \exp(\pi_*^{(1)}(X)) = \exp(\pi_*^{(2)}(X)) \circ T. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Equation (4.12), we deduce that T is a morphism between the two SUR's $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)}, \pi_*^{(j)})$, with $j = 1, 2$, if and only if T is a morphism between the two SUR's $(\mathcal{H}^{(j)}, \pi_0^{(j)}, \pi^{(j)})$. Therefore (i) is proved.

Strong SUR's are SUR's such that the underlying Lie group representation is unitarizable and the restriction to the odd derived Lie sub-supergroup/super Harish-Chandra sub-pair is a SUR. Hence, (ii) follows from (i). \blacksquare

In the following, we freely use the equivalence of categories between Lie supergroups and Harish-Chandra super-pairs, and between their (strong) SUR's. They are denoted by $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ or $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ depending on the context and we freely refer to the other map π_* or π respectively. Along the equivalence of categories stated in Theorem 4.18, one can transport from super Harish-Chandra pairs to Lie supergroups the definitions of irreducible, graded-irreducible and indecomposable (strong) SUR as well as direct sum or tensor product of (strong) SUR's. This is obvious and left to the reader.

4.5 Examples

We illustrate definitions and properties above on examples. The illustrated notions are explicitly mentioned right before each example.

In the next three examples we use the Abelian supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, whose super Harish-Chandra pair is $(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^n)$. Its odd derived supergroup is $\mathbb{R}^{0|n}$, with super Harish-Chandra pair $(0, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

The necessity of \mathcal{A} -scalar extension for the map π in Definition 4.16 of SUR is illustrated on the left regular representation of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, with $n = 0$.

Example 4.21 The Hilbert superspace $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m|0})$ is isomorphic to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ (see Example 3.21). Each element $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ corresponds to an element $\tilde{\varphi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m|0})$. If φ is a smooth function, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is given by Equation (2.2). The superspace \mathcal{H} carries a SUR of the Abelian Lie group \mathbb{R}^m , given by

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (\pi_0(y)\tilde{\varphi}) := \widetilde{\tau_y \varphi},$$

where $\tau_y \varphi : x \mapsto \varphi(x - y)$. The space of smooth vectors \mathcal{H}^∞ is then the space of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^{m|0}$ whose all derivatives are square integrable. The left regular representation of $\mathbb{R}^{m|0}$ is the triple $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ where π is given by

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{m|0}, \quad (\pi(y)\tilde{\varphi}) := \tau_y \tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^m} \frac{\mathcal{N}(y)^\nu}{\nu!} (\widetilde{\partial^\nu \tau_{\mathbb{B}y} \varphi}).$$

Therefore, $\pi(y)\tilde{\varphi}$ is not in \mathcal{H}^∞ but in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}^\infty$. One easily checks that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is a strong SUR of $\mathbb{R}^{m|0}$. The corresponding infinitesimal representation of \mathbb{R}^m reads as $\pi_*(e_i) = -\partial_{x^i}$, where (e_i) is the Cartesian basis of \mathbb{R}^m and (x^i) its Cartesian coordinate system. \blacklozenge

An indecomposable but reducible strong SUR is given by the left regular representation of the Abelian Lie supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, with $m = 0$.

Example 4.22 Functions in the Hilbert superspace $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|n}) \simeq \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}$ read as $\varphi : \xi \mapsto \varphi(\xi) = \sum_\alpha \varphi_\alpha \xi^\alpha$ in multi-index notation, with $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n) \in \mathbb{R}^{0|n}$. We set

$$\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{0|n}, \quad (\pi(\eta)\varphi)(\xi) := \varphi(\xi - \eta).$$

One easily checks that $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{1}, \pi)$ is a strong SUR of $\mathbb{R}^{0|n}$. In the Cartesian basis (e_i) of \mathbb{R}^n , the infinitesimal representation is given by $\pi_*(e_i) = -\partial_{\xi^i}$. Therefore, constant functions pertain to all (π_0, π_*) -invariant subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|n})$. In particular, the representation is indecomposable. As the 1-dimensional space of constant functions is (π_0, π_*) -invariant and of degree 0, the representation is not graded-irreducible if $n \geq 2$. \blacklozenge

There are also graded-irreducible strong SUR that admit proper closed invariant subspaces (but they are not graded). Such SUR are not irreducible.

Example 4.23 Functions in the Hilbert superspace $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|1}) \simeq \bigwedge \mathbb{C}$ read as $\varphi : \xi \mapsto \varphi(\xi) = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 \xi$, with $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{0|1}$. We set

$$\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{0|1}, \quad (\pi(\eta)\varphi)(\xi) := (1 - \eta\xi)\varphi(\xi - \eta).$$

One easily checks that $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{1}, \pi)$ is a strong SUR of $\mathbb{R}^{0|1}$, whose infinitesimal representation is given by $\pi_*(t) = -t(\xi + \partial_\xi)$. Therefore, the space $\{\varphi_0(1 + \xi) \mid \varphi_0 \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is the only proper (π_0, π_*) -invariant subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|1})$. As it is non-graded, the representation is graded-irreducible but not irreducible. \blacklozenge

The next three examples concern the Heisenberg Lie supergroup $H_{0|1,0}$, whose super Harish-Chandra pair is $(\mathbb{R}, (\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,0})_{\mathbb{R}})$. It is also known as the 1|1-dimensional one-parameter Lie supergroup and its odd derived supergroup is itself. We start with the description of the left regular representation, which is a strong SUR.

Example 4.24 The left regular representation of $H_{0|1,0}$ on itself is the strong SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ with $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1|1})$,

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \pi_0(y)\varphi(x, \xi) := \varphi(x - y, \xi), \quad (4.13)$$

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}^\infty, \forall (y, \eta) \in H_{0|1,0}, \quad \pi(y, \eta)\varphi(x, \xi) := \varphi(x - y - \frac{1}{2}\eta\xi, \xi - \eta). \quad (4.14)$$

Here, the space of smooth vectors \mathcal{H}^∞ of π_0 is the space of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ whose all derivatives are in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1|1})$. The associated infinitesimal representation is given by $\pi_*(t, \tau) = -t\partial_x - \tau(\partial_\xi - \frac{1}{2}\xi\partial_x)$, for all $(t, \tau) \in (\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,0})_{\mathbb{R}}$. \blacklozenge

There exists strong SUR's which do not admit restriction to a Lie sub-supergroup. In particular, we show below that the tensor product of the left regular representation of $H_{0|1,0}$ with itself is not a SUR for the diagonal embedding of $H_{0|1,0}$ into $H_{0|1,0} \times H_{0|1,0}$.

Example 4.25 The tensor product of the left regular representation of $H_{0|1,0}$ with itself turns out to be a strong SUR of $H_{0|1,0} \times H_{0|1,0}$. Its restriction to the diagonal embedding of $H_{0|1,0}$ reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \pi_0(y)\varphi(x_1, x_2; \xi_1, \xi_2) &:= \varphi(x_1 - y, x_2 - y; \xi_1, \xi_2), \\ \forall (t, \tau) \in (\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,0})_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \pi_*(t, \tau) &:= -t(\partial_{x_1} + \partial_{x_2}) - \tau(\partial_{\xi_1} + \partial_{\xi_2}) - \frac{1}{2}\tau(\xi_1\partial_{x_1} + \xi_2\partial_{x_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Positing $x_+ = (x_1 + x_2)/2$, $x_- = (x_1 - x_2)/2$, $\xi_+ = (\xi_1 + \xi_2)/2$, and $\xi_- = (\xi_1 - \xi_2)/2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \pi_0(y)\varphi(x_+, x_-; \xi_1, \xi_2) &:= \varphi(x_+ - y, x_-; \xi_+, \xi_-), \\ \forall (t, \tau) \in (\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,0})_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \pi_*(t, \tau) &:= -t\partial_{x_+} - \tau(\partial_{\xi_+} - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_+\partial_{x_+} + \xi_-\partial_{x_-})). \end{aligned}$$

This does not define a SUR of $H_{0|1,0}$. Indeed, the space of smooth vectors on $H_{0|1,0}$ is the space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2|2}$ whose all derivatives along ∂_{x_+} are square-integrable, while the unbounded operators $\pi_*(t, \tau)$ are not well defined on this space in general as they involved the derivatives ∂_{x_-} . \blacklozenge

There are examples of representations of $H_{0|1,0}$ which are SUR or not depending on the considered Hilbert superspace structure.

Example 4.26 Let (\mathcal{H}, π_0) be the SUR of the group \mathbb{R} on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1|1})$ given by $\pi_0(t) = \exp(i\hbar t)\mathbb{1}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Its space of smooth vectors is $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{H}$. We set $\mathcal{D} := \{\varphi_0 + \xi\varphi'_1 \mid \varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})\}$, with $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ the Sobolev space of square integrable functions with square integrable derivative. We define the map $\pi_* : (\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,0})_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{D})$ by setting

$$\pi_*(t, \tau)\varphi := t(i\hbar\varphi) + \tau(-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\varphi_1 + \xi\varphi'_0),$$

for all $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \xi\varphi'_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $(t, \tau) \in (\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,0})_{\mathbb{R}}$. The domain of $\pi_*(t, \tau)$ is equal to \mathcal{D} and cannot be extended to \mathcal{H} , so that $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ does not define a SUR of $H_{0|1,0}$ in any way. Nevertheless, \mathcal{D} is a Hilbert superspace for the inner product

$$\langle \varphi_0 + \xi\varphi'_1, \psi_0 + \xi\psi'_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} i(\varphi_0\psi_1 - \varphi_1\psi_0 + \varphi'_0\psi'_1 - \varphi'_1\psi'_0),$$

and one easily checks that $(\mathcal{D}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ is a strong SUR of $H_{0|1,0}$. \blacklozenge

5 Schrödinger representations of the Heisenberg supergroups

5.1 Construction as an induced representation in the even case

In this section, we construct an induced strong SUR of the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ via the orbit method of Kirillov, in the case $k + \ell$ is even.

First, we build the smooth induced representation of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ which is associated with the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_{μ_0} , passing through the even linear form $\mu_0 = \hbar^b Z$ with $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ (see (2.12)). By Equations (2.11) and (2.7), we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell} = (W \oplus W^*) \oplus V \oplus \mathcal{A}Z, \quad (5.1)$$

where $W = \mathcal{A}^{m|r}$ and $V = \mathcal{A}^{0|s'}$, with $r, s' \in \mathbb{N}$ as in (2.6). As $k + \ell$ is even, $s' = 2s$ is also even. A complex structure on V , given by $I \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $I^2 = -\mathbb{1}$, provides an eigenspace decomposition $V \otimes \mathbb{C} = V_I \oplus \overline{V_I}$ with $V_I = (\mathbb{1} + iI)(V \otimes \mathbb{C})$. We define a complex polarization \mathfrak{b} subordinate to μ_0 by setting

$$\mathfrak{b} := W^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \oplus \overline{V_I} \oplus \mathcal{A}CZ, \quad (5.2)$$

i.e., \mathfrak{b} is a maximal complex Lie sub-superalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \leq (\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ such that $\mu_0([X, Y]) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{b}$. The real part of the polarization is given by $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell} = W^* \oplus \mathcal{A}Z$ and exponentiates as the Abelian Lie supergroup $B = (W^*)_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$. The latter admits a unitary character $\chi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ determined by μ_0 :

$$\forall p \in (W^*)_0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^{1|0}, \quad \chi(p, t) := e^{i\mu_0(p+tZ)} = e^{iht}.$$

This permits to define the space of B -equivariant functions on $G := H_{2m|k,\ell}$,

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^B := \{\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G) \mid \forall g \in G, \forall b \in B, \hat{\varphi}(gb) = \chi(b^{-1})\hat{\varphi}(g)\}.$$

The left regular G -action, given by $(\lambda(g)\hat{\varphi})(g') = \hat{\varphi}(g^{-1}g')$ for all $g, g' \in G$, does not preserve the space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^B$ itself, but it extends as a \mathcal{A} -linear G -action on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^B$. This representation can be restricted to the subspace of \mathcal{A} -valued \mathfrak{b} -equivariant functions, that is the tensor product of \mathcal{A} with the space

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^{B,\mathfrak{b}} := \{\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^B \mid \forall X \in \mathfrak{b}_0, \hat{X}\hat{\varphi} + i\mu_0(X)\hat{\varphi} = 0\}.$$

Here, \hat{X} denotes the left invariant vector field which acts as $(\hat{X}\hat{\varphi})(g) = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_0 \hat{\varphi}(g e^{tX})$, for all $g \in G$. As the functions $\hat{\varphi}$ are B -equivariant, it is equivalent to impose the \mathfrak{b} -equivariance condition only for $X \in (\overline{V_I})_0$. The resulting G -representation on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^{B,\mathfrak{b}}$ is independent of the choice of polarization \mathfrak{b} and called the μ_0 -**induced representation**. It admits the following explicit description.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $m, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k + \ell$ even. Set $\mu_0 = \hbar^b Z \in (\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell})^*$ and ε, r, s' as in (2.6), so that $s = s'/2 \in \mathbb{N}$. The μ_0 -induced representation is equivalent to the $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ -representation on $\mathcal{A} \otimes (\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|r}) \otimes \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{0|s}))$ given by $U : g \mapsto U(g)$ with*

$$(U(g)\varphi)(q_0, \zeta_0) := e^{ih\left(t + (\frac{1}{2}q - q_0)p + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\frac{1}{2}\zeta - \zeta_0)\bar{\zeta}\right)} \varphi(q_0 - q, \zeta_0 - \zeta). \quad (5.3)$$

Here, $\varphi \in \mathcal{A} \otimes (\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|r}) \otimes \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{0|s}))$, $(q_0, \zeta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|r} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{0|s}$ and $g \in H_{2m|k,\ell}$ decomposes as

$$g = (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m|k+\ell} \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (q, p, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|r} \times (\mathbb{R}^{m|r})^* \times \mathbb{R}^{0|2s}, \quad \zeta = \xi + iI\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{0|s}, \quad (5.4)$$

with $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{0|s} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{0|s}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{0|s}$ is identified with $(\mathbb{1} + iI)(\mathbb{R}^{0|2s})$.

PROOF We proceed in two steps and use same notations as above the proposition. First we analyze the G -action on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^B$ and then on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^{B,b}$.

Let $C := W_0 \oplus V_0$. The group multiplication on $G \times G$ restricts as a global diffeomorphism $C \times B \rightarrow G$. The latter induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^B, \quad \tilde{\varphi} \mapsto \hat{\varphi}, \quad (5.5)$$

where $\hat{\varphi}(cb) = \chi(b^{-1})\tilde{\varphi}(c)$ for all $c \in C$, $b \in B$. This permits to transfer the left regular action on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^{B,b}$ into an action \tilde{U} on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(C)$. Taking $c_0 = (q_0, \xi_0) \in W_0 \oplus V_0$ and $cb = g = (q, p, \xi, t) \in W_0 \oplus (W^*)_0 \oplus V_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$, we have the equality $\lambda(cb)\hat{\varphi}(c_0) = \chi(c_0^{-1}cbc^{-1}c_0)\hat{\varphi}(c^{-1}c_0)$. This yields

$$\left(\tilde{U}(g)\tilde{\varphi}\right)(q_0, \xi_0) = e^{i\hbar\left(t + (\frac{1}{2}q - q_0)p + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\xi\xi_0\right)} \tilde{\varphi}(q_0 - q, \xi_0 - \xi).$$

By definition of μ_0 , we have $\mu_0(X) = 0$ if $X \in \overline{V_I}$. Via the map (5.5), the subspace $\mathcal{C}^\infty(G)^{B,b}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}^\infty(C)^{V_I}$, defined as the subspace of functions $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(C)$ such that $\hat{X}\tilde{\varphi} = 0$ for all $X \in (V_I)_0$. At the point $(q, \xi) \in C \subseteq G$, one can compute that $\hat{X} = X + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\omega(\zeta, X)$, where X is identified with a constant vector field on C and $\zeta = \xi + iI\xi$. Hence, we end up with an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(W_0) \otimes \text{Hol}((V_I)_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(C)^{V_I}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \tilde{\varphi},$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(q, \xi) = e^{\frac{i\varepsilon\hbar}{4}\zeta\bar{\zeta}}\varphi(q, \zeta)$. Now, recall that $W_0 = \mathbb{R}^{m|r}$, $V = \mathbb{R}^{0|2s}$ and choose $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as complex structure on V . A direct computation shows that the action \tilde{U} on $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces the action U on φ , as given by (5.3). \blacksquare

Using Proposition 5.1, we define now a SUR of the classical Heisenberg group $\mathbb{B}H_{2m|k,\ell} = H_{2m}$. Decomposing an element $g \in H_{2m}$ as $g = (q, p, t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \oplus (\mathbb{R}^m)^* \oplus \mathbb{R}$, the operator $U_0(g) := U(g)$ defined in Equation (5.3) becomes

$$(U_0(g)\varphi)(q_0, \zeta_0) = e^{i\hbar\left(t + (\frac{1}{2}q - q_0)p\right)} \varphi(q_0 - q, \zeta_0). \quad (5.6)$$

This is a well-defined operator on any function φ in the Hilbert superspace

$$\mathcal{H}_S := L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m|r}) \otimes \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{0|s}). \quad (5.7)$$

According to Examples 3.21 and 3.22 and to Proposition 3.17, \mathcal{H}_S is of same parity as r and its inner product takes the following form

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = (2i)^s \int dq d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} \overline{\varphi(q, \zeta)} \psi(q, \zeta) e^{\frac{i\hbar\varepsilon}{2}\zeta\bar{\zeta}}. \quad (5.8)$$

Clearly, $U_0(g)$ is a superunitary operator and the map $U_0 : H_{2m} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ defines a SUR of H_{2m} . We determine its space of smooth vectors. Recall that any smooth superfunction $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ is determined by its components $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}$ in the decomposition (2.3) along odd variables. These are smooth functions over \mathbb{R}^m .

Definition 5.2 The **Schwartz space** $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ is the Fréchet space of smooth superfunctions $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ whose components $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n}$ are Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R}^m . Its topology is provided by the seminorms

$$\|\varphi\|_{\nu, \nu'} := \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} |x^\nu \partial^{\nu'} \varphi_\alpha(x)|,$$

indexed by multi-indices $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{N}^m$. \blacklozenge

Proposition 5.3 *The space \mathcal{H}_S^∞ of smooth vectors of the SUR (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0) of H_{2m} is the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r+s}) \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r}) \otimes \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{0|s})$.*

PROOF In view of the action (5.6) of H_{2m} , the smooth vectors are the functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_S$ whose components $\varphi_\alpha \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ are smooth vectors for the usual Schrödinger representation of H_{2m} , i.e. Schwartz functions (see e.g. [28]). ■

We go back to the full Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$. One easily shows that the operator $U(g)$, defined by Equation (5.3), preserves $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$ and is superunitary. Hence, we get a group morphism $U : H_{2m|k,\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_A(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_S^\infty)$. Note that the odd derived supergroup satisfies $D(H_{2m|k,\ell}) \simeq H_{0|k,\ell}$, so that its body is the center group $Z(H_{2m|k,\ell}) = \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$.

Theorem 5.4 *Let $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $m, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k + \ell$ even. Set ε, r, s' as in (2.6). The Equations (5.7), (5.6) and (5.3) define a strong SUR (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) of the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$, called the **Schrödinger representation** with parameter \hbar .*

PROOF We already know that (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0) is a SUR of H_{2m} , U is a group morphism $U : H_{2m|k,\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_A(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_S^\infty)$ and by definition $U(g)\varphi = U_0(g)\varphi$ if $g \in H_{2m}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$. Moreover, by Equation (5.3), the function $g \mapsto U(g)\varphi$ is smooth on all of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ if $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$. Hence, the triple (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) is a SUR of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$.

The center $Z(H_{2m|k,\ell}) = \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$ acts by $t \mapsto e^{iht}\mathbb{1}$. Therefore, the space of smooth vectors on the center is \mathcal{H}_S itself. Using Equation (5.3), we deduce that the operator $U(g)$ is well-defined on all of \mathcal{H}_S if $g \in D(H_{2m|k,\ell}) \simeq H_{0|p,q}$. Hence, the map U restricts as a group morphism $U^{D(H)} : D(H_{2m|k,\ell}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_A(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_S)$. Using again Equation (5.3), one proves easily that $g \mapsto U^{D(H)}(g)\varphi$ is a smooth function on $D(H_{2m|k,\ell})$ if $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_S$. As a result, the triple (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) is a strong SUR of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$. ■

By Lemma 4.20, the Schrödinger representation generates an infinitesimal representation $U_* := dU : \mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_S^\infty)$. In terms of the decomposition (5.1), we have $\mathcal{H}_S^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(W_0) \otimes \text{Hol}((V_I)_0)$ and

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty, \quad U_*(X)\varphi = \begin{cases} X\varphi, & \text{if } X \in W \otimes \mathbb{C} \oplus V_I, \\ i\hbar\omega(X, -)\varphi, & \text{if } X \in W^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \oplus \overline{V_I}, \\ i\hbar\varphi, & \text{if } X = Z, \end{cases}$$

where X acts as a constant vector field in the first line and $\omega(X, -) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(W_0) \otimes \text{Hol}((V_I)_0)$. More precisely, we have $\omega(X, -) : (q_0, \zeta_0) \mapsto \omega(X, (q_0, 0, \zeta_0, 0))$, with $(q_0, 0, \zeta_0, 0) \in (W \oplus W^*) \oplus (V_I \oplus \overline{V_I})$ and ω the \mathbb{C} -linear extension of the defining symplectic form of $\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell}$.

The following result will be useful.

Proposition 5.5 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ be a strong SUR of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ such that $\pi_0(0, t) = e^{iht}\mathbb{1}$ for all $(0, t) \in Z(H_{2m|k,\ell})$. Then, we have*

- the operators $\pi_*(X)$ are bounded on \mathcal{H} for all $X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell})_1$,
- $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ restricts to any Lie sub-supergroup of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ as a strong SUR,
- the associated supergroup representation $\pi : H_{2m|k,\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_A(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ satisfies

$$\pi(\mathbf{x}, t) = e^{iht} \widetilde{\pi}_0(x^{ev}) \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}} (x^{od})^\gamma \pi_\gamma,$$

where $\mathbf{x} = x^{ev} + x^{od}$, with $x^{ev} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m|0}$ and $x^{od} \in \mathbb{R}^{0|k+\ell}$, $\widetilde{\pi}_0(x^{ev})$ is defined in Lemma 4.19 and for all $\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}$ we have $\pi_\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\pi_\gamma(\mathcal{H}^\infty) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty$.

PROOF The body of the odd derived supergroup $D(\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell})$ is the center of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$. By hypothesis, the space of smooth vectors on $Z(\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell})$ is the whole space \mathcal{H} . Therefore, Propositions 4.10 and 4.15 apply. We deduce that $\pi_*(X) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell})_1$ and $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi_*)$ restricts to any Lie sub-supergroup of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$ as a strong SUR. By Equation (4.12), the couple (π_0, π_*) defines a supergroup representation given by

$$\pi(\mathbf{x}, t) = e^{iht} \widetilde{\pi}_0(x^{ev}) \exp(\pi_*(x^{od})).$$

Choosing a basis (e_i) of $\mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell})_1 \cong \mathbb{R}^{k+\ell}$, we get a decomposition of $x^{od} \in \mathbb{R}^{0|k+\ell}$ as $x^{od} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+\ell} (x^{od})^i e_i$, with $(x^{od})^i \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Since $((x^{od})^i \pi_*(e_i))_i$ is a family of bounded, nilpotent and commutative operators, the exponential series admits a finite expansion:

$$\exp(\pi_*(x^{od})) = \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}} (x^{od})^\gamma \pi_\gamma,$$

where, for all $\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}$, $\pi_\gamma = \pm \pi_*(e_1)^{\gamma_1} \dots \pi_*(e_{k+\ell})^{\gamma_{k+\ell}}$ are bounded operators which satisfy $\pi_\gamma(\mathcal{H}^\infty) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty$, just as the operators $\pi_*(e_i)$. This concludes the proof. \blacksquare

We write explicitly the Schrödinger representation for $(k, \ell) = (1, 1)$ and $(2, 0)$ or $(0, 2)$.

Example 5.6 If $(k, \ell) = (1, 1)$, then we have the decomposition $\mathbb{H}_{0|1,1} = W_0 \oplus (W^*)_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$, with $W_0 \simeq (W^*)_0 \simeq \mathbb{R}^{0|1}$. The Hilbert superspace is $\mathcal{H}_S := L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|1})$ of parity 1 and its elements φ can be decomposed as $\varphi(q_0) := \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 q_0$, with $q_0 \in W_0$. The inner product has the form

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \overline{\varphi_0} \psi_1 + \overline{\varphi_1} \psi_0,$$

and a fundamental symmetry is provided by $J\varphi(q_0) = \varphi_1 + \varphi_0 q_0$. The Schrödinger representation reads as $U(q, p, t) = e^{iht}(\mathbb{1} + qU_{10} + pU_{01} + qpU_{11})$, for $q \in W_0$, $p \in (W^*)_0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$, with

$$U_{10} := -\partial_{q_0} = -U_{10}^\dagger, \quad U_{01} := i\hbar q_0, \quad U_{11} := \frac{i\hbar}{2}(1 - 2q_0 \partial_{q_0}).$$

The infinitesimal representation satisfies $U_*(q, p, t) = qU_{10} + pU_{01} + i\hbar t \mathbb{1}$. \blacklozenge

Example 5.7 If $(k, \ell) = (2, 0)$ or $(0, 2)$, then we have the decomposition $\mathbb{H}_{0|k,\ell} = V \oplus \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$, with $V \simeq \mathbb{R}^{0|2}$. The Hilbert superspace is $\mathcal{H}_S := Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|1})$ and its elements can be decomposed as $\varphi(\zeta_0) := \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 \zeta_0$, where $\zeta_0 = \xi_0 + iI\xi_0 \in V_I \simeq \mathbb{C}^{0|1}$. The inner product has the form

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \varepsilon \hbar \overline{\varphi_0} \psi_0 + 2i \overline{\varphi_1} \psi_1,$$

where $\varepsilon = 1$ if $(k, \ell) = (2, 0)$ and $\varepsilon = -1$ if $(k, \ell) = (0, 2)$. A fundamental symmetry is provided by $J\varphi(\zeta_0) = \varepsilon \varphi_0 - i\varphi_1 \zeta_0$. Moreover, \mathcal{H}_S is a Hilbert superspace of parity 0, with $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{H}_S) = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ if $\hbar\varepsilon > 0$ and $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{H}_S) = (0, 1, 1, 0)$ if $\hbar\varepsilon < 0$. The representation reads as $U(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}, t) = e^{iht}(\mathbb{1} + \zeta U_{10} + \bar{\zeta} U_{01} + \zeta \bar{\zeta} U_{11})$, with

$$U_{10} := -\partial_{\zeta_0} = -U_{01}^\dagger, \quad U_{01} := \frac{i\hbar\varepsilon}{2} \zeta_0, \quad U_{11} := \frac{i\hbar\varepsilon}{4}(1 - 2\zeta_0 \partial_{\zeta_0}).$$

The infinitesimal representation satisfies $U_*(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}, t) = \zeta U_{10} + \bar{\zeta} U_{01} + i\hbar t \mathbb{1}$. \blacklozenge

5.2 Definition in the odd case

In this section, we consider the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ with the odd dimension $k + \ell$ which is odd. The notations of Equation (5.1) for the decomposition of the Heisenberg Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell}$ should be adapted as follows

$$\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell} = (W \oplus W^*) \oplus V \oplus V' \oplus \mathcal{AZ},$$

with $W = \mathcal{A}^{m|r}$, $V = \mathcal{A}^{0|2s}$ and $V' = \mathcal{A}^{0|1}$, where the integers $r, s' = 2s + 1$ and ε are as in (2.6).

Performing the Orbit Method of Kirillov with respect to the same complex polarization \mathfrak{b} (see (5.2)), we obtain a superunitary representation map

$$U(g)\varphi(q_0, \zeta_0, \tau_0) = e^{i\hbar\left(t + (\frac{1}{2}q - q_0)p + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\frac{1}{2}\zeta - \zeta_0)\bar{\zeta} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\tau\tau_0\right)}\varphi(q_0 - q, \zeta_0 - \zeta, \tau_0 - \tau), \quad (5.9)$$

where $g = (q, p, \xi, \tau, t) \in W_0 \oplus (W^*)_0 \oplus V \oplus V' \oplus \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$ and $\zeta = \xi + iI\xi \in V_I$ as in Proposition 5.1. The extra variable τ can be considered either as a real or as a complex variable. Accordingly, the Hilbert superspace of this representation is either $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m|r+1}) \otimes Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|s})$, of parity $r + 1$, or $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m|r}) \otimes Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|s+1})$, of parity r . In each case the obtained representation is a strong SUR. If τ is real and $\varepsilon = 1$ (resp. $\varepsilon = -1$), this is the restriction of the Schrödinger representation of the supergroup $H_{2m|k+1,\ell}$ (resp. $H_{2m|k,\ell+1}$) to $H_{2m|k,\ell}$. If τ is complex and $\varepsilon = 1$ (resp. $\varepsilon = -1$), this is the restriction of the Schrödinger representation of the supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell+1}$ (resp. $H_{2m|k+1,\ell}$) to $H_{2m|k,\ell}$. Accordingly, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 5.8 Let $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $m, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k + \ell$ odd.

- The **Schrödinger representation** of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ with parameter \hbar and parity 0 is the restriction to $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ of the Schrödinger representation with same parameter of $H_{2m|k,\ell+1}$ if $\max(k, \ell + 1)$ is even and of $H_{2m|k+1,\ell}$ otherwise.
- The **Schrödinger representation** of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ with parameter \hbar and parity 1 is the restriction to $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ of the Schrödinger representation with same parameter of $H_{2m|k+1,\ell}$ if $\max(k, \ell + 1)$ is odd and of $H_{2m|k,\ell+1}$ otherwise. \blacklozenge

We write explicitly the Schrödinger representation for $(k, \ell) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$.

Example 5.9 If $(k, \ell) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$, then we have the decomposition $H_{0|k,\ell} = V' \oplus \mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ with $V' = \mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ or -1 respectively. The elements of the representation superspace \mathcal{H}_S decompose as $\varphi(\tau_0) := \varphi_0 + \varphi_1\tau_0$ and the representation map reads as $U(\tau, t) = e^{iht}(\mathbb{1} + \tau U_1)$, with

$$U_1 := -\partial_{\tau_0} + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\varepsilon\tau_0.$$

It is superunitary with respect to two inner products of opposite parity σ . If $\sigma = 0$, the inner product has the form

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \varepsilon\hbar\overline{\varphi_0}\psi_0 + 2i\overline{\varphi_1}\psi_1,$$

so that $\mathcal{H}_S = Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|1})$ is of parity 0. If $\sigma = 1$, the inner product has the form

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \overline{\varphi_0}\psi_1 + \overline{\varphi_1}\psi_0,$$

so that $\mathcal{H}_S = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|1})$ is of parity 1. In both parity, \mathcal{H}_S admits exactly two (U_0, U) -invariant subspaces given by $\{(1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{-i\hbar\varepsilon}{2}}\tau_0)\varphi_0 \mid \varphi_0 \in \mathbb{C}\}$. They are not graded. Hence, in both parity the Schrödinger representation (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) is graded-irreducible but not irreducible. \blacklozenge

5.3 Algebraic properties

In this section, we show that the Schrödinger representation space \mathcal{H}_S of the Heisenberg supergroup $G = H_{0|k,\ell}$, with $k+\ell = 2n$, carries a natural action of the complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\ell(2n)$ and identifies with its spinor module. Then, we prove that the Schrödinger representations of any Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ are irreducible if $k+\ell$ is even and graded-irreducible if $k+\ell$ is odd.

The infinitesimal Schrödinger representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{h}_{0|k,\ell}$, with parameter $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and parity $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, induces algebra morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} U_* &: \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}_S), \\ U_* \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}} &: \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}_S), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathfrak{U} stands for the universal enveloping algebra.

Proposition 5.10 *Let $k+\ell \in 2\mathbb{N}$. The algebra morphisms U_* and $U_* \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are surjective, and induce the following algebra isomorphisms*

$$\forall \hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times, \quad \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})/\langle Z^2 + \hbar^2 1_{\mathfrak{U}} \rangle \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}_S) \simeq \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C})/\langle Z - i\hbar 1_{\mathfrak{U}} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{C}\ell(k+\ell),$$

where $Z \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is the generator of the center of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $1_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is the unit of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C})$.

PROOF The isomorphism $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})/\langle Z^2 + \hbar^2 1_{\mathfrak{U}} \rangle \simeq \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C})/\langle Z - i\hbar 1_{\mathfrak{U}} \rangle$ is obtained by mapping Z to $i\hbar 1_{\mathfrak{U}}$. The two other isomorphisms are obtained via the map $U_* \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ as follows. Since $U_*(Z) = i\hbar \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_S}$, the kernel of $U_* \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains the ideal $\langle Z - i\hbar \mathbb{1} \rangle$. By the Heisenberg commutation relation (2.13), the Clifford relations

$$U_*(X)U_*(Y) + U_*(Y)U_*(X) = i\hbar\omega(X, Y)$$

hold for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C}/\langle Z \rangle$. By universal property of $\mathbb{C}\ell(k+\ell)$, the image of $U_* \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\ell(k+\ell)$. This means there exists a surjection from $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C})/\langle Z - i\hbar 1_{\mathfrak{U}} \rangle$ to $\mathbb{C}\ell(k+\ell)$ and an injection from $\mathbb{C}\ell(k+\ell)$ to $\text{End}(\mathcal{H}_S)$. The result follows then by dimension counting. \blacksquare

We still assume that $k+\ell = 2n$ is even. As in (2.6), we consider $\varepsilon \in \{-1, +1\}$ and $(r, s) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $\varepsilon = 1$ and $(k, \ell) = (r+2s, r)$, or, $\varepsilon = -1$ and $(k, \ell) = (r, r+2s)$. In both cases, the Schrödinger representation space of $H_{0|k,\ell}$ is $\mathcal{H}_S = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|r}) \otimes \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{0|s})$, endowed with the inner product (5.8) which depends on ε and the parameter $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. As a consequence of Proposition 5.10, the Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H}_S satisfies $\text{End}(\mathcal{H}_S) \simeq \mathbb{C}\ell(2n)$, i.e. \mathcal{H}_S is the spinor module of $\mathbb{C}\ell(2n)$. There are four classes of isomorphisms of such Hilbert superspaces \mathcal{H}_S , depending on the signs of $k\ell$ and $\varepsilon\hbar$:

- if $k\ell = 0$ and $\hbar\varepsilon > 0$, then $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{H}_S) = (2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, 0, 0)$;
- if $k\ell = 0$ and $\hbar\varepsilon < 0$, then $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{H}_S) = (2^{n-1}, 0, 0, 2^{n-1})$ if n is even and $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{H}_S) = (0, 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, 0)$ if n is odd;
- if $k\ell > 0$ and k odd, then \mathcal{H}_S is of parity 1;
- if $k\ell > 0$ and k even, then $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{H}_S) = (2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2})$.

Remark 5.11 The superhermitian product on \mathcal{H}_S is symmetric on $(\mathcal{H}_S)_0$ and antisymmetric on $(\mathcal{H}_S)_1$. Therefore, this inner product is in general not a bilinear invariant of the spinor representation (as classified in [29]), since it is neither symmetric nor antisymmetric. \blacklozenge

Recall that the center of the Heisenberg supergroup is $Z(\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}) = \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$. Consider the antidiagonal subgroup

$$K = \{(t, -t) \in Z(\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}) \times Z(\mathbb{H}_{2m'|k',\ell'}) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}^{1|0}\}. \quad (5.10)$$

Then, we have the group isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell} \times \mathbb{H}_{2m'|k',\ell'})/K \simeq \mathbb{H}_{2(m+m')|k+k',\ell+\ell'}.$$

Therefore, if a strong SUR of the direct product $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell} \times \mathbb{H}_{2m'|k',\ell'}$ has kernel K , it descends to a strong SUR of $\mathbb{H}_{2(m+m')|k+k',\ell+\ell'}$.

Proposition 5.12 *The tensor product of the Schrödinger representations of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{2m'|k',\ell'}$, with same parameter $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and parities σ and σ' respectively, is a strong SUR. It descends as a strong SUR of $\mathbb{H}_{2(m+m')|k+k',\ell+\ell'}$, isomorphic to its Schrödinger representation with same parameter \hbar and parity $\sigma + \sigma'$.*

PROOF According to Proposition 4.14, the tensor product of any two Schrödinger representations of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{2m'|k',\ell'}$ is a strong SUR of the direct product $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell} \times \mathbb{H}_{2m'|k',\ell'}$. If both Schrödinger representations have the same parameter \hbar , the centers of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{2m'|k',\ell'}$ act in the same way and the representation descends to the quotient group $\mathbb{H}_{2(m+m')|k+k',\ell+\ell'}$. A direct computation shows that the tensor product representation is indeed the Schrödinger representation for the latter supergroup, with parameter \hbar and parity $\sigma + \sigma'$. ■

As a particular case of the above proposition, the Schrödinger representations of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$ are tensor products of Schrödinger representations of \mathbb{H}_{2m} and $\mathbb{H}_{0|k,\ell}$. The first one is the classical Schrödinger representation of \mathbb{H}_{2m} on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, see e.g. [30]. By Proposition 5.10, if $k + \ell$ is even, the second one is the restriction to $\mathfrak{h}_{0|k,\ell}$ of the classical spinor representation of $\mathbb{C}\ell(k + \ell)$. The spinor module is denoted by $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ in the following.

Theorem 5.13 *Let $k + \ell \in 2\mathbb{N}$. The Schrödinger representation of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$ with parameter $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ is irreducible.*

PROOF Let (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) be the Schrödinger representation of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$. Consider $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_S \setminus 0$ and \mathcal{F} the smallest closed subspace of \mathcal{H}_S containing φ and stable under U_0 and U_* . By definition of \mathcal{H}_S , we have $\varphi = \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} \otimes s^{\alpha}$ with $\varphi_{\alpha} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$ and $(s^{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ a basis of $S_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Proposition 5.10, stability under U_* means that \mathcal{F} is stable under $\text{End}(S_{\mathbb{C}})$. Hence, for all index α and $s \in S_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have $\varphi_{\alpha} \otimes s \in \mathcal{F}$. The representation U_0 , restricted to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \langle s \rangle$, coincides with the Schrödinger representation of \mathbb{H}_{2m} , which is irreducible. Considering α such that $\varphi_{\alpha} \neq 0$, we obtain that $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \langle s \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ for all $s \in S_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}_S$ and the result follows. ■

Corollary 5.14 *Let $k + \ell \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$. The Schrödinger representation of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$ with parameter $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and parity $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ is graded-irreducible.*

PROOF We assume that $k \neq 0$. According to Example 5.9, Schrödinger representations of $\mathbb{H}_{0|1,0}$ of both parity are graded-irreducible. Moreover, by Theorem 5.13, the Schrödinger representation of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k-1,\ell}$ is irreducible. Hence, its tensor product with any of the two Schrödinger representations of $\mathbb{H}_{0|1,0}$ is a graded-irreducible strong SUR of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k-1,\ell} \times \mathbb{H}_{0|1,0}$. By Proposition 5.12, both descend as the Schrödinger representations of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$, of parity 0 and 1, which are then graded-irreducible.

If $k = 0$, Schrödinger representations of $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$ are obtained as tensor products of Schrödinger representations of $\mathbb{H}_{0|0,1}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell-1}$ and the conclusion is the same. ■

5.4 Analytic properties

We focus now on analytic properties of the Schrödinger representation (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) of the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ with $k + \ell$ even.

Using the manifold decomposition $H_{2m|k,\ell} = E_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$, with $E_0 = \mathbb{R}^{2m|k+\ell}$, we set $U(\mathbf{x}) := U(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in E_0$. According to the group law (2.10), we have

$$\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in E_0, \quad U(\mathbf{x})U(\mathbf{x}') = e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')}U(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}'). \quad (5.11)$$

Hence, $\mathbf{x} \mapsto U(\mathbf{x})$ defines a projective representation of the Abelian Lie supergroup E_0 .

We recall notation in (5.4). Set r, s', ε as in (2.6) and $s = \frac{s'}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$. Each element $\mathbf{x} \in E_0$ can be decomposed as $\mathbf{x} = (q, p, \xi)$ with $q = (q^1, \dots, q^{m+r}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|r}$, $p = (p^1, \dots, p^{m+r}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|r}$ and $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^{2s}) \in \mathbb{R}^{0|2s}$. Further, $\zeta = (\zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^s) \in \mathbb{C}^{0|s}$ is defined by $\zeta^\alpha = \xi^\alpha + i\xi^{\alpha+s}$ for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$. Then, the invariant measure on E_0 is chosen as

$$d\mathbf{x} := (-1)^{\frac{s(s-1)}{2}} dq dp d\xi = (2i)^s dq dp d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}, \quad (5.12)$$

where $dq dp := dq^{m+r} \dots dq^1 dp^{m+r} \dots dp^1$, $d\xi := d\xi^{2s} \dots d\xi^1$ and $d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} := d\zeta^s d\bar{\zeta}^s \dots d\zeta^1 d\bar{\zeta}^1$. The corresponding Berezin integration, defined in (2.5), reads as

$$\int d\mathbf{x} f(\mathbf{x}) := (2i)^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} dq^m dp^m \dots dq^1 dp^1 \left(\partial_{q^{m+r}} \dots \partial_{q^{m+1}} \partial_{p^{m+r}} \dots \partial_{p^{m+1}} \partial_{\zeta^s} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}^s} \dots \partial_{\zeta^1} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}^1} f(\mathbf{x}) \right)$$

with $f \in L^1(E_0)$. The next Lemma is obtained by direct computations.

Lemma 5.15 *Let $\kappa_{\hbar} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\hbar}\right)^m (i\hbar)^r (\varepsilon\hbar)^s (-1)^{\frac{r(r+1)}{2}}$. The following identities hold*

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r}), \quad \int dq dp e^{i\hbar qp} \varphi(q) = \frac{\kappa_{\hbar}}{(\varepsilon\hbar)^s} \varphi(0), \quad (5.13)$$

$$\forall \varphi \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|s}), \quad (2i)^s \int d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} e^{\frac{i\hbar\varepsilon}{2}\zeta\bar{\zeta}} \varphi(\zeta) = (\hbar\varepsilon)^s \varphi(0), \quad (5.14)$$

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(E_0), \quad \int d\mathbf{x} dy e^{i\hbar\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} f(\mathbf{x}) = \kappa_{\hbar}^2 f(0). \quad (5.15)$$

For $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_S = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m|r}) \otimes Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|s})$, with φ or ψ in $\mathcal{H}_S^\infty = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r}) \otimes Hol(\mathbb{C}^{0|s})$, the associated **Wigner function** on E_0 is defined as

$$V(\varphi, \psi)(\mathbf{x}) := \langle \varphi, U(\mathbf{x})\psi \rangle, \quad (5.16)$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the inner product of \mathcal{H}_S defined in (5.8). We derive several results about Wigner functions. The next Lemma concerns their regularity.

Lemma 5.16 *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$. Then, the Wigner function $V(\varphi, \psi)$ is in $L^1(E_0) \cap L^2(E_0)$.*

PROOF According to (2.3), any function $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$ can be decomposed along odd variables:

$$\varphi(q, \zeta) := \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{r+s}} \widetilde{\varphi}_\alpha(q^{ev}) \Upsilon^\alpha,$$

where $q^{ev} = (q^1, \dots, q^m)$ denote the even variables of q and $\Upsilon := (q^{m+1}, \dots, q^{m+r}, \zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^s)$ the odd variables of (q, ζ) . That is $\Upsilon^\alpha = (q_{m+1})^{\alpha_1} \dots (q_{m+r})^{\alpha_r} (\zeta_1)^{\alpha_{r+1}} \dots (\zeta_s)^{\alpha_{r+s}}$. Each $\mathbf{x} \in E_0$ decomposes as $\mathbf{x} = x^{ev} + x^{od}$, with $x^{ev} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m|0}$ and $x^{od} \in \mathbb{R}^{0|k+\ell}$. By Proposition 5.5, we have

$$U(\mathbf{x}) = \widetilde{U}_0(x^{ev}) \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2(r+s)}} (x^{od})^\gamma U_\gamma,$$

where U_γ is an operator preserving \mathcal{H}_S^∞ . If $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$, the superfunction $U_\gamma\psi$ is then smooth and decomposes along odd variables as $U_\gamma\psi(q, \zeta) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2(r+s)}} \widetilde{(U_\gamma\psi)_\alpha}(q^{ev}) \Upsilon^\alpha$. After integration of the odd variables Υ , the Wigner function reads then as

$$V(\varphi, \psi)(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\alpha, \gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2(r+s)}} \varepsilon(\alpha) (x^{od})^\gamma (V_0(\varphi_\alpha, \widetilde{(U_\gamma\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}}})) (x^{ev}),$$

where $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \pm 1$, $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1) - \alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2(r+s)}$ and

$$V_0(\varphi_\alpha, (U_\gamma\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}})(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} d\mathbb{B}q \overline{\varphi_\alpha}(q^{ev}) (U_0(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{x}) (U_\gamma\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}})(q^{ev})$$

is the classical Wigner function over \mathbb{R}^{2m} . Since $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$, the components φ_α and $(U_\gamma\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}}$ are in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|0}) \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. According to [31, chap 11], we have then $V_0(\varphi_\alpha, (U_\gamma\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$ for all possible indices $\alpha, \gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2(r+s)}$. Therefore, the Wigner function $V(\varphi, \psi)$ is in $L^1(E_0)$.

Let J be the fundamental symmetry of \mathcal{H}_S , obtained from the ones in Examples 3.21 and 3.22 via the tensor product rule (3.6). After integration of the odd variables x^{od} , we get

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \overline{V(\varphi, \psi)(\mathbf{x})} J V(\varphi, \psi)(\mathbf{x}) \leq \sum_{\alpha, \gamma, \alpha', \gamma'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} dx |V_0(\varphi_\alpha, (U_\gamma\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}})(x)| |V_0(\varphi_{\alpha'}, (U_{\gamma'}\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}'}) (x)|.$$

The functions $\varphi_\alpha, (U_\gamma\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}}, \varphi_{\alpha'}, (U_{\gamma'}\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}'}$ are in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for all $\alpha, \alpha', \gamma, \gamma' \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2(r+s)}$. Hence, according to [31, chap 11], the Wigner functions $V_0(\varphi_\alpha, (U_\gamma\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}})$ and $V_0(\varphi_{\alpha'}, (U_{\gamma'}\psi)_{\bar{\alpha}'})$ are in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$. Therefore, the left hand side of the display is bounded and $V(\varphi, \psi)$ is in $L^2(E_0)$. ■

The next result is of crucial importance for the proof of the Stone-Von Neumann Theorem.

Proposition 5.17 (Resolution of the identity) *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r})$. For any $\varphi', \psi' \in \mathcal{H}_S$, we have the following identities:*

$$\int d\mathbf{x} V(\varphi, \varphi')(-\mathbf{x}) V(\psi', \psi)(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{x} \langle U(\mathbf{x})\varphi, \varphi' \rangle \langle \psi', U(\mathbf{x})\psi \rangle = \kappa_\hbar \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \langle \psi', \mathbb{P}\varphi' \rangle, \quad (5.17)$$

where $\mathbb{P}\varphi' := (-1)^{|\varphi'|} \varphi'$ is the parity operator.

Note that the first equality in (5.17) results directly from the definition of Wigner functions while the second one is commonly named a resolution of identity.

PROOF We show below the resolution of the identity for $\varphi', \psi' \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$. Since the inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ is continuous, see Lemma 3.5, it suffices to prove (5.17) for $\varphi', \psi' \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$. The extension to $\varphi', \psi' \in \mathcal{H}_S$ follows then by a density argument.

Assume $\varphi, \psi, \varphi', \psi' \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$. By Lemma 5.16, the Wigner functions $V(\varphi, \varphi')$ and $V(\psi', \psi)$ are in $L^2(E_0)$. The left hand side of the identity (5.17) is then well-defined. Due to the definitions (5.3), (5.8) and (5.12), it reads as

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= (2i)^{3s} (-1)^{r(|\varphi|+|\varphi'|)} \int dq dp d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} dq_0 d\zeta_0 d\bar{\zeta}_0 dq_1 d\zeta_1 d\bar{\zeta}_1 \\ & e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2} (2(q_0 - q_1)p - \varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}\bar{\zeta} - \bar{\zeta}_0)\zeta + \varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}\zeta - \zeta_1)\bar{\zeta} + \varepsilon\zeta_0\bar{\zeta}_0 + \varepsilon\zeta_1\bar{\zeta}_1)} \overline{\varphi(q_0 - q)} \varphi'(q_0, \zeta_0) \overline{\psi'(q_1, \zeta_1)} \psi(q_1 - q, \zeta_1 - \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

We perform the change of variables $(q_1, p) \mapsto (q_1 + q_0, p)$ and integrate over the variables (q_1, p) . By Equation (5.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \frac{\kappa_\hbar}{(\varepsilon\hbar)^s} (2i)^{3s} (-1)^{r(|\varphi|+|\varphi'|)} (-1)^r \int dq d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} dq_0 d\zeta_0 d\bar{\zeta}_0 d\zeta_1 d\bar{\zeta}_1 \\ & e^{\frac{i\hbar\varepsilon}{2} ((\zeta - \zeta_1)(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{\zeta}_0) + (\zeta_0 - \zeta_1)\bar{\zeta}_0 + \zeta_1\bar{\zeta}_1)} \overline{\varphi(q_0 - q)} \varphi'(q_0, \zeta_0) \overline{\psi'(q_0, \zeta_1)} \psi(q_0 - q, \zeta_1 - \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

By Equation (5.14), the change of variables $(\zeta_0, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \mapsto (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1, -\zeta + \zeta_1, -\bar{\zeta} + \bar{\zeta}_0)$ and integration over $(\zeta_0, \bar{\zeta}_0)$ give

$$LHS = \kappa_h (2i)^{2s} (-1)^{r(|\varphi|+|\varphi'|)} (-1)^r \int dq d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} dq_0 d\zeta_1 d\bar{\zeta}_1 e^{\frac{i\hbar\varepsilon}{2}(\zeta\bar{\zeta}+\zeta_1\bar{\zeta}_1)} \overline{\varphi(q_0 - q)} \varphi'(q_0, \zeta_1) \overline{\psi'(q_0, \zeta_1)} \psi(q_0 - q, \zeta).$$

Then, the change of variables $(q, q_0) \mapsto (q_0 - q, q_1)$ yields

$$LHS = \kappa_h (2i)^{2s} (-1)^{r(|\varphi|+|\varphi'|)} \int dq d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} dq_1 d\zeta_1 d\bar{\zeta}_1 \left(e^{\frac{i\hbar\varepsilon}{2}(\zeta\bar{\zeta}+\zeta_1\bar{\zeta}_1)} \overline{\varphi(q)} \varphi'(q_1, \zeta_1) \overline{\psi'(q_1, \zeta_1)} \psi(q, \zeta) \right).$$

By definition (5.8) of the inner product, this means

$$LHS = \kappa_h (-1)^{r(|\varphi|+|\varphi'|)} (-1)^{r|\varphi|} (-1)^{|\psi|(r+|\varphi|+|\psi'|)} (-1)^{|\varphi'|\|\psi'\|} \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \langle \psi', \varphi' \rangle.$$

Since $\langle \varphi', \psi' \rangle$ is zero unless the degrees satisfy $|\varphi'| + |\psi'| = r$, we obtain the announced resolution of identity. \blacksquare

Using the parity operator \mathbb{P} , introduced in Equation (3.3), we define the operator \mathbb{M} , which acts on $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_S$ by

$$(\mathbb{M}\varphi)(q, \zeta) := \mathbb{P}\varphi(-q, -\zeta). \quad (5.18)$$

If we split $q \in \mathbb{R}^{m|r}$ as $q = q^{ev} + q^{od}$ with $q^{ev} \in \mathbb{R}^{m|0}$ and $q^{od} \in \mathbb{R}^{0|r}$, we have $(\mathbb{M}\varphi)(q, \zeta) = \varphi(-q^{ev}, q^{od}, \zeta)$ and $(\mathbb{P}\varphi)(q, \zeta) = \varphi(q^{ev}, -q^{od}, -\zeta)$.

Lemma 5.18 *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_S$ with φ or ψ in \mathcal{H}_S^∞ . The Wigner function satisfies the following property:*

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in E_0, \quad V(\varphi, \psi)(-\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^{r+|\varphi|+|\psi|} V(\mathbb{M}\varphi, \mathbb{M}\psi)(\mathbf{x}).$$

PROOF Let $\mathbf{x} = (q, p, \xi) \in E_0$ and $\zeta = \xi + iI\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{0|s}$. By Equations (5.16), (5.8) and (5.3), we have

$$V(\varphi, \psi)(-\mathbf{x}) = (2i)^s \int dq_0 d\zeta_0 d\bar{\zeta}_0 e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}(\zeta_0\bar{\zeta}_0 + (q+2q_0)p + (\frac{1}{2}\zeta + \zeta_0)\bar{\zeta})} \overline{\varphi(q_0, \zeta_0)} \psi(q_0 + q, \zeta_0 + \zeta).$$

The result follows from the change of variables $(q_0, \zeta_0, \bar{\zeta}_0) \mapsto (-q_0, -\zeta_0, -\bar{\zeta}_0)$. \blacksquare

The **twisted convolution** on E_0 is defined as

$$(f^{(1)} * f^{(2)})(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{E_0} d\mathbf{y} f^{(1)}(\mathbf{y}) f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})}, \quad (5.19)$$

for any smooth functions with compact support $f^{(1)}, f^{(2)} \in \mathcal{D}(E_0)$ (see [30] for the non-graded case).

Lemma 5.19 *The twisted convolution extends uniquely to $L^1(E_0)$ and turns it into a Banach algebra.*

PROOF Let $f^{(1)}, f^{(2)} \in \mathcal{D}(E_0)$ and recall that $E_0 = \mathbb{R}^{2m|k+\ell}$. Using the decomposition (2.3) of functions along odd variables, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\beta \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}} \widetilde{f_\beta^{(1)}}(x^{ev}) (x^{od})^\beta, & f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}} \widetilde{f_\gamma^{(2)}}(x^{ev}) (x^{od})^\gamma, \\ f^{(1)} * f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}} (f^{(1)} * f^{(2)})_\alpha(x^{ev}) (x^{od})^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in E_0$ is decomposed as $\mathbf{x} = x^{ev} + x^{od}$ with $x^{ev} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m|0}$ and $x^{od} \in \mathbb{R}^{0|k+\ell}$. In view of (5.19), for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}$, there exist positive constants $c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} dx |(f^{(1)} * f^{(2)})_{\alpha}(x)| &\leq \sum_{\beta,\gamma} c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4m}} dx dy |f_{\beta}^{(1)}(y)| |f_{\gamma}^{(2)}(x-y)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta,\gamma} c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4m}} dx dy |f_{\beta}^{(1)}(y)| |f_{\gamma}^{(2)}(x)| = \sum_{\beta,\gamma} c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \|f_{\beta}^{(1)}\|_{L^1} \|f_{\gamma}^{(2)}\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

This exactly means that $f^{(1)} * f^{(2)}$ is in $L^1(E_0)$ (see Equation (2.5) and below). \blacksquare

On Wigner functions, the twisted convolution can be explicitly computed in some cases.

Lemma 5.20 *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r})$. For any $\varphi', \psi' \in \mathcal{H}_S$, we have*

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in E_0, \quad \left(V(\varphi', \psi) * V(\varphi, \psi') \right) (\mathbf{x}) = \kappa_{\hbar} \langle \varphi', \psi' \rangle V(\varphi, \mathbb{P}\psi) (\mathbf{x}).$$

PROOF By definition, the left hand side of the above display is equal to

$$LHS = \int d\mathbf{y} V(\varphi', \psi) (\mathbf{y}) V(\varphi, \psi') (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})}.$$

Using the definition of Wigner functions, Equation (5.11) and $\omega(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = -\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, we get

$$V(\varphi, \psi') (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})} = \langle \varphi, U(\mathbf{x})U(-\mathbf{y})\psi' \rangle = V(U(-\mathbf{x})\varphi, \psi') (-\mathbf{y}).$$

The resolution of the identity (5.17) yields then $LHS = \kappa_{\hbar} \langle \varphi', \psi' \rangle \langle U(-\mathbf{x})\varphi, \mathbb{P}\psi \rangle$ and the result follows. \blacksquare

6 Stone-von Neumann theorem

From now on, \hbar is a fixed non-zero real. The aim of this section is to prove the theorem below.

Theorem 6.1 (extended Stone-von Neumann) *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert superspace of parity $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ be a strong SUR of the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ such that central elements act by $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht} \mathbb{1}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the Schrödinger representation (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$, with parameter \hbar , and parity σ if $k + \ell$ is odd. Then, $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is equivalent to the tensor product of (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) with the trivial representation on a Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H}_R .*

The next section deals with the notion of integrated representation. This and the results obtained in Section 5.4 are the foreground for the proof of the theorem in the case $k + \ell$ even, which is performed in Section 6.2. Eventually, in Section 6.3, we reduce the case $k + \ell$ odd to the case $k + \ell$ even, which concludes the proof.

6.1 Preliminaries

We refer to elements in the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ as couples $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in E_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$, with $E_0 = \mathbb{R}^{2m|k+\ell}$ and we suppose that $k + \ell$ is even. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ be a strong SUR of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht} \mathbb{1}$, with $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$. We set $\pi(\mathbf{x}) := \pi(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in E_0$.

According to the group law (2.10) of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$, this defines a projective representation of the Abelian supergroup E_0 such that

$$\pi(\mathbf{x})\pi(\mathbf{x}') = e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}')} \pi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}'). \quad (6.1)$$

The **integrated representation** $\pi : \mathcal{D}(E_0) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)$ is the map defined by the following expression,

$$\pi(f) := \int_{E_0} d\mathbf{x} f(\mathbf{x})\pi(\mathbf{x}).$$

Lemma 6.2 *The integrated representation extends uniquely as a Banach algebra morphism $\pi : L^1(E_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

PROOF The decomposition along the odd variables of $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ reads as (see Proposition 5.5): $\pi(\mathbf{x}) = \pi(x^{ev}) \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}} (x^{od})^\alpha \pi_\alpha$. After integration of the odd variables x^{od} , this yields to

$$\pi(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}} \varepsilon(\alpha) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} dx f_\alpha(x) \pi_0(x) \right) \pi_{\bar{\alpha}},$$

where $\bar{\alpha} = (1, \dots, 1) - \alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}$ and $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \pm 1$ is defined in Example 3.21. Since $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is a strong SUR, there exists a fundamental symmetry J such that (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is unitary w.r.t. the scalar product $(-, -)_J$. Denoting by $\| - \|$ the operator norm associated to $(-, -)_J$, we have $\|\pi_0(x)\| = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ and then

$$\|\pi(f)\| \leq \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} dx |f_\alpha(x)| \|\pi_{\bar{\alpha}}\| \leq C \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{k+\ell}} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^1},$$

where $C = \sup_\alpha \|\pi_{\bar{\alpha}}\|$ is a finite number by Proposition 5.5. This shows both that $\pi(f)$ is a well-defined bounded operator and that π is a continuous map.

By definition, for any $f_1, f_2 \in L^1(E_0)$, we have

$$\pi(f_1 * f_2) = \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} f_1(\mathbf{y}) f_2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x})} \pi(\mathbf{x}).$$

The change of variables $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ and Equation (6.1) lead then to $\pi(f_1 * f_2) = \pi(f_1)\pi(f_2)$. ■

According to Equation (6.1), the following equalities hold

$$\pi(f)\pi(\mathbf{y}) = \pi\left(e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\cdot,\mathbf{y})} f(\cdot - \mathbf{y})\right), \quad \pi(\mathbf{y})\pi(f) = \pi\left(e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\cdot,\mathbf{y})} f(\cdot - \mathbf{y})\right). \quad (6.2)$$

Following [30], we obtain

Lemma 6.3 *The representation π is faithful on $L^1(E_0)$.*

PROOF Let $f \in L^1(E_0)$ such that $\pi(f) = 0$. We have to prove that $f = 0$ almost everywhere. Denoting by $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ the inner product on \mathcal{H} and using Equation (6.2), we have, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, $\mathbf{y} \in E_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \pi(\mathbf{y})\pi(f)\pi(-\mathbf{y})u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \\ &= \langle \pi(e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\cdot,\mathbf{y})} e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\cdot,-\mathbf{y})} f)u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \\ &= \int d\mathbf{x} e^{-i\hbar\omega(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})} \langle f(\mathbf{x})\pi(\mathbf{x})u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the Fourier inversion theorem, $\langle f(\mathbf{x})\pi(\mathbf{x})u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ almost everywhere. Since this is true for any $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, we conclude that $f = 0$ almost everywhere. ■

6.2 Proof of the theorem in the even case

In this section, we prove Theorem 6.1 under the assumption that the odd dimension $k + \ell$ of the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ is even. The strategy of our proof is adapted from the one in the non-graded case given in [30]. Namely, we start by choosing special states $\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}$, so that their Wigner function $V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}})$ defines a projector $\mathfrak{P} = \pi(V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}))$ via the integrated representation. Contrary to the non-graded case, the projector \mathfrak{P} may not be orthogonal. Therefore, the image $\mathcal{H}_R = \text{Im}(\mathfrak{P})$ may not inherit a Hilbert superspace structure from \mathcal{H} . We construct one in (6.5), from the integrated representation of another Wigner function. Finally, we define an operator $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_S \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ in Equation (6.6) and prove in Lemmas 6.6-6.8 that Φ is a superunitary intertwiner between the representation maps $U \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and π .

In the whole section, the inner products are denoted by $\langle -, - \rangle$ on \mathcal{H}_S and $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ on \mathcal{H} . Recall that $\mathcal{H}_S^\infty = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r}) \otimes \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{0|s})$. Let $\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$ be two functions such that

$$\mathbb{M}\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}} = \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \quad \mathbb{M}\psi_{\mathfrak{P}} = \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \quad |\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}| = r \bmod 2, \quad |\psi_{\mathfrak{P}}| = 0, \quad \kappa_{\hbar}\langle \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \rangle = 1, \quad (6.3)$$

where \mathbb{M} is the operator defined in (5.18) and $\kappa_{\hbar} \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined in Lemma 5.15. For instance, we can choose any non-zero function $\psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r})$ of degree 0 and take $\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}} = bJ\psi_{\mathfrak{P}}$ with J a fundamental symmetry of \mathcal{H}_S and $b \in \mathbb{C}$ defined by $b := (\kappa_{\hbar}\langle J\psi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \rangle)^{-1}$.

Lemma 6.4 *The operator $\mathfrak{P} := \pi(V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}})) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a bounded projector satisfying*

$$\forall \mathbf{y} \in E_0, \quad \mathfrak{P}\pi(\mathbf{y})\mathfrak{P} = \kappa_{\hbar}V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}})(\mathbf{y})\mathfrak{P}.$$

Moreover, the superadjoint operator of \mathfrak{P} is given by $\mathfrak{P}^\dagger = \pi(V(\psi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}))$.

PROOF By Lemma 5.16 the Wigner function $V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}})$ is in $L^1(E_0)$, and by Lemma 6.2 its integrated representation $\mathfrak{P} = \pi(V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}))$ is a bounded operator.

By Equation (6.2), we have

$$\mathfrak{P}\pi(\mathbf{y})\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}\pi\left(e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\omega(\cdot, \mathbf{y})}V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}})(\cdot - \mathbf{y})\right) = \pi(V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}))\pi(V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, U(-\mathbf{y})\psi_{\mathfrak{P}})).$$

Using successively Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 5.20, we get

$$\mathfrak{P}\pi(\mathbf{y})\mathfrak{P} = \kappa_{\hbar}V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}})(-\mathbf{y})\pi(V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \mathbb{P}\psi_{\mathfrak{P}})),$$

and, by Lemma 5.18 and Equation (6.3), we obtain that $\mathfrak{P}\pi(\mathbf{y})\mathfrak{P} = \kappa_{\hbar}V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}})(\mathbf{y})\mathfrak{P}$. Taking $\mathbf{y} = 0$, this implies that $\mathfrak{P}^2 = \mathfrak{P}$ is a projector.

For any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r})$, we have $V(\varphi, \psi)(-\mathbf{x}) = \overline{\langle \varphi, U(-\mathbf{x})\psi \rangle} = (-1)^{|\varphi||\psi|}V(\psi, \varphi)(\mathbf{x})$. As $\pi(\mathbf{x})^\dagger = \pi(-\mathbf{x})$, we deduce that

$$\pi(V(\varphi, \psi))^\dagger = (-1)^{|\varphi||\psi|}\pi(V(\psi, \varphi)). \quad (6.4)$$

Taking $\varphi = \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $\psi = \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}$, the expression of \mathfrak{P}^\dagger follows. ■

Since \mathfrak{P} is an operator of degree 0, the space $\mathcal{H}_R := \text{Im}(\mathfrak{P})$ inherits of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading from \mathcal{H} . Thanks to Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 5.16, the operator $\mathfrak{V} = \kappa_{\hbar}\pi(V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}))$ is bounded on \mathcal{H} . It allows us to introduce the following inner product on \mathcal{H}_R ,

$$\forall v, v' \in \mathcal{H}_R, \quad \langle v, v' \rangle_R := (-1)^{r\sigma}\langle \mathfrak{V}v, v' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (6.5)$$

where σ is the parity of \mathcal{H} . Note that, extending $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ by \mathcal{A} -bilinearity as in Equation (4.9), the inner product reads also as $\langle v, v' \rangle_R = \kappa_{\hbar} \int d\mathbf{x} \langle \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, U(\mathbf{x})\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}} \rangle \langle v, \pi(\mathbf{x})v' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Lemma 6.5 *The superspace \mathcal{H}_R endowed with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_R$ is a Hilbert superspace.*

PROOF We split the proof into two cases, according to the parity of \mathcal{H}_S , i.e. the parity of r .

If r is even, we can choose an element $\psi_{\mathfrak{P}} = \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of degree 0, invariant under the operator \mathbb{M} and such that $\kappa_{\hbar} \langle \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}} \rangle = 1$. Then, we have $\langle v, v' \rangle_R = \langle \mathfrak{P}v, v' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle v, v' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathfrak{P}^\dagger = \mathfrak{P}$. Hence, \mathcal{H}_R is a Hilbert sub-superspace of \mathcal{H} by Corollary 3.14.

If r is odd, the function $\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is of degree 1 and the function $\psi_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is of degree 0. As a consequence, we have $\langle \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}} \rangle = \langle \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \rangle = 0$. Then, both operators \mathfrak{V} and $\mathfrak{V}' := -\frac{1}{\kappa_{\hbar}} \pi(V(\psi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}))$ are odd. Using Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 5.20, we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}^\dagger = \mathfrak{P}^\dagger\mathfrak{P} = 0, \quad \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{V}' = \mathfrak{P}^\dagger, \quad \mathfrak{V}'\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{P}, \quad \mathfrak{V}^2 = (\mathfrak{V}')^2 = 0,$$

and Equation (6.4) yields to

$$\mathfrak{V}^\dagger = \mathfrak{V}, \quad (\mathfrak{V}')^\dagger = -\mathfrak{V}'.$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathfrak{V}(\text{Im}(\mathfrak{P})) \subseteq \text{Im}(\mathfrak{P}^\dagger), \quad \mathfrak{V}(\text{Im}(\mathfrak{P}^\dagger)) = 0, \quad \mathfrak{V}'(\text{Im}(\mathfrak{P})) = 0, \quad \mathfrak{V}'(\text{Im}(\mathfrak{P}^\dagger)) \subseteq \text{Im}(\mathfrak{P}).$$

As $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}^\dagger = \mathfrak{P}^\dagger\mathfrak{P} = 0$, we deduce that $\mathfrak{P} + \mathfrak{P}^\dagger$ is an orthogonal projector. By Corollary 3.14, this means that $\mathcal{H}_P := \text{Im}(\mathfrak{P}) \oplus \text{Im}(\mathfrak{P}^\dagger)$ is a Hilbert sub-superspace of \mathcal{H} . Note that, as $\mathfrak{P}^\dagger\mathfrak{P} = 0$, $\mathcal{H}_R = \text{Im}\mathfrak{P}$ is an isotropic subspace of \mathcal{H}_P for the inner product of \mathcal{H} .

We define a degree zero operator Ψ as follows

$$\Psi : \mathcal{H}_R \rightarrow \text{Span}(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_P, \quad v \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes v + (-1)^\sigma \overline{\kappa_{\hbar}} \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes \mathfrak{V}v),$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the parity of \mathcal{H} . The operator Ψ is injective and satisfies

$$\forall v, v' \in \mathcal{H}_R, \quad \langle \Psi(v), \Psi(v') \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle v, v' \rangle_R.$$

Therefore, to prove that $(\mathcal{H}_R, \langle -, - \rangle_R)$ is a Hilbert superspace, it suffices to prove that $\Psi(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is a Hilbert sub-superspace of $\text{Span}(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_P$.

Consider $w \in \Psi(\mathcal{H}_R) \cap \Psi(\mathcal{H}_R)^\perp$. As $w \in \Psi(\mathcal{H}_R)$, there exists $v \in \mathcal{H}_R$ such that $w = \psi(v)$. The relation $w \in \Psi(\mathcal{H}_R)^\perp$ yields then $\langle \mathfrak{V}v, v' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ for all $v' \in \mathcal{H}_R = \text{Im}\mathfrak{P}$. By the equality $\mathfrak{V}^\dagger\mathfrak{P}^\dagger = \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{P}^\dagger = 0$, we have that $\langle \mathfrak{V}v, v'' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ for all $v'' \in \text{Im}\mathfrak{P}^\dagger$. Hence, $\mathfrak{V}v$ is orthogonal to all elements in the Hilbert superspace $\mathcal{H}_P = \text{Im}(\mathfrak{P}) \oplus \text{Im}(\mathfrak{P}^\dagger)$, that is $\mathfrak{V}v = 0$. As a consequence, we get that $v = \mathfrak{P}v = \mathfrak{V}'\mathfrak{V}v = 0$ and then $w = \psi(v) = 0$. This proves that $\Psi(\mathcal{H}_R) \cap \Psi(\mathcal{H}_R)^\perp = 0$.

For any $u_0, u_1 \in \mathcal{H}_P$ we set

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\mathfrak{P}u_0 + (-1)^\sigma \mathfrak{V}'u_1), \quad w_0 = u_0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}v, \quad w_1 = \mathfrak{P}u_1 - (-1)^\sigma \mathfrak{V}w_0,$$

so that $v \in \mathcal{H}_R$ and $w_0, w_1 \in \mathcal{H}_P$. Direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes w_0 + \overline{\kappa_{\hbar}} \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes w_1 \in \Psi(\mathcal{H}_R)^\perp, \\ \psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes u_0 + \overline{\kappa_{\hbar}} \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes u_1 &= w + \Psi(v). \end{aligned}$$

Together with $\Psi(\mathcal{H}_R) \cap \Psi(\mathcal{H}_R)^\perp = 0$, this shows that $\text{Span}(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_P = \Psi(\mathcal{H}_R)^\perp \oplus \Psi(\mathcal{H}_R)$. By Theorem 3.13, $\Psi(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is then a Hilbert sub-superspace and the conclusion follows. \blacksquare

We introduce a homogeneous linear map of degree 0 defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathcal{H}_S \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_R &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \\ \varphi \otimes v &\mapsto (-1)^{|\varphi|} \int d\mathbf{x} \langle U(\mathbf{x})\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \varphi \rangle \pi(\mathbf{x})v. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

In the remaining of the section, we prove that this operator is a superunitary intertwiner between the representations π and $U \otimes \mathbb{1}$.

Lemma 6.6 *The operator Φ is defined and bounded on the whole Hilbert superspace $\mathcal{H}_S \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_R$.*

PROOF Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_R$. By Lemma 5.16, the function $f_\varphi : \mathbf{x} \mapsto \langle U(\mathbf{x})\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \varphi \rangle = V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \varphi)(-\mathbf{x})$ is in $L^1(E_0)$. The operator $\pi(f_\varphi)$ is then bounded by Lemma 6.2. Hence, $\Phi(\varphi \otimes v) = \pi(f_\varphi)(v)$ pertains to \mathcal{H} and Φ is a well-defined operator on the algebraic tensor product of \mathcal{H}_S^∞ and \mathcal{H}_R .

Since $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is a strong SUR, there exists a fundamental symmetry J such that (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is unitary w.r.t. the scalar product $(-, -)_J$. For all $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$ and $v, v' \in \mathcal{H}_R$, the operator Φ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi(\varphi \otimes v), \Phi(\varphi' \otimes v'))_J \\ &= (-1)^{|\varphi|+|\varphi'|+r(\sigma+|v|)+\sigma|\varphi|+|\varphi'|\|v|} \int dx dy \overline{\langle U(\mathbf{x})\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \varphi \rangle} \langle U(\mathbf{y})\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \varphi' \rangle \langle \pi(\mathbf{x})v, J\pi(\mathbf{y})v' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The operators $U(\mathbf{x})$ and $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ can be decomposed along odd variables (see Proposition 5.5): $U(\mathbf{x}) = U_0(x^{ev}) \sum_\gamma (x^{od})^\gamma U_\gamma$ and $\pi(\mathbf{y}) = \pi_0(y^{ev}) \sum_\gamma (y^{od})^\gamma \pi_\gamma$. Setting $x := \mathbb{B}\mathbf{x}$ and $y := \mathbb{B}\mathbf{y}$ and integrating over the odd variables x^{od} and y^{od} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi(\varphi \otimes v), \Phi(\varphi' \otimes v'))_J = \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \gamma, \alpha', \gamma'} \varepsilon_{\alpha, \gamma, \alpha', \gamma'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4m}} dx dy \overline{(U_0(x)(U_\gamma \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}})_\alpha, \varphi_{\bar{\alpha}})} (U_0(y)(U_{\gamma'} \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}})_{\alpha'}, \varphi'_{\bar{\alpha}'}) \langle \pi_0(x)\pi_{\bar{\gamma}}v, J\pi_0(y)\pi_{\bar{\gamma}'}v' \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\alpha, \gamma, \alpha', \gamma'} = \pm 1$. Using successively the change of variables $y \mapsto y + x$ and the resolution of the identity in the variable x (see Proposition 5.17 in the case $r = s = 0$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi(\varphi \otimes v), \Phi(\varphi' \otimes v'))_J = \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \gamma, \alpha', \gamma'} \varepsilon_{\alpha, \gamma, \alpha', \gamma'} \int dx dy (\varphi_{\bar{\alpha}}, U_0(x)(U_\gamma \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}})_\alpha) (U_0(x)U_0(y)(U_{\gamma'} \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}})_{\alpha'}, \varphi'_{\bar{\alpha}'}) (\pi_{\bar{\gamma}}v, \pi_0(y)\pi_{\bar{\gamma}'}v')_J \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \gamma, \alpha', \gamma'} \kappa_{\bar{h}} \varepsilon_{\alpha, \gamma, \alpha', \gamma'} \int dy (\varphi_{\bar{\alpha}}, \mathbb{P}\varphi'_{\bar{\alpha}'}) (U_0(y)(U_{\gamma'} \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}})_{\alpha'}, (U_\gamma \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}})_\alpha) (\pi_{\bar{\gamma}}v, \pi_0(y)\pi_{\bar{\gamma}'}v')_J. \end{aligned}$$

Since the operators U_γ stabilize the space of smooth vectors \mathcal{H}_S^∞ , Lemma 5.16 ensures that $\int dy |(U_0(y)(U_{\gamma'} \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}})_{\alpha'}, (U_\gamma \varphi_{\mathfrak{P}})_\alpha)| < \infty$. Moreover, the operators $\pi_0(y)$ being $(-, -)_J$ -unitary, we have $|(\pi_{\bar{\gamma}}v, \pi_0(y)\pi_{\bar{\gamma}'}v')_J| \leq \|\pi_{\bar{\gamma}}v\|_J \|\pi_{\bar{\gamma}'}v'\|_J$. The operators π_γ being bounded, we finally obtain that

$$|(\Phi(\varphi \otimes v), \Phi(\varphi' \otimes v'))_J| \leq C \|\varphi\|_S \|\varphi'\|_S \|v\|_J \|v'\|_J,$$

where C is a constant and $\|-\|_S$ is a norm on \mathcal{H}_S , defined by a choice of fundamental symmetry. This means that Φ is continuous and can be extended to the Hilbert tensor product $\mathcal{H}_S \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_R$. ■

Lemma 6.7 *The operator Φ intertwines the representations $U \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and π .*

PROOF Let $g = (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{H}_{2m|k, \ell}$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_S^\infty$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((U(g) \otimes \mathbb{1})(\varphi \otimes v)) &= (-1)^{|\varphi|} \int d\mathbf{y} e^{iht} \langle U(\mathbf{y})\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, U(\mathbf{x})\varphi \rangle \pi(\mathbf{y})v \\ &= (-1)^{|\varphi|} \int d\mathbf{y} e^{iht} e^{\frac{ih}{2}\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} \langle U(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \varphi \rangle \pi(\mathbf{y})v = \pi(g)\Phi(\varphi \otimes v), \end{aligned}$$

by performing the change of variables $\mathbf{y} \mapsto \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}$. Hence, Φ intertwines $U \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and π . ■

By Proposition 3.17, $\mathcal{H}_S \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_R$ is a Hilbert superspace whose inner product is given by

$$\forall \varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{H}_S, v, v' \in \mathcal{H}_R, \quad \langle \varphi \otimes v, \varphi' \otimes v' \rangle = (-1)^{r(\sigma+r)+|v||\varphi'|} \langle \varphi, \varphi' \rangle \langle v, v' \rangle_R, \quad (6.7)$$

where r is the parity of \mathcal{H}_S and σ the parity of \mathcal{H} .

Lemma 6.8 *The operator $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_S \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is superunitary.*

PROOF The proof is in two steps: **1.** Φ is an isometry, **2.** Φ is surjective.

1. Consider $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{H}_S$ and $v, v' \in \mathcal{H}_R$ four homogeneous elements. The definition of Φ leads to

$$\langle \Phi(\varphi \otimes v), \Phi(\varphi' \otimes v') \rangle = (-1)^{(|\varphi|+|\varphi'|)(\sigma+1)+|v||\varphi'|} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{x}' \overline{\langle U(\mathbf{x})\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \varphi \rangle} \langle U(\mathbf{x}')\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \varphi' \rangle \langle \pi(\mathbf{x})v, \pi(\mathbf{x}')v' \rangle.$$

The change of variables $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ and the resolution of the identity in the variable \mathbf{x} yield successively

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Phi(\varphi \otimes v), \Phi(\varphi' \otimes v') \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{(|\varphi|+|\varphi'|)(\sigma+1)+|v||\varphi'|+r|\varphi|} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \langle \varphi, U(\mathbf{x})\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle \langle U(\mathbf{x})U(\mathbf{y})\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \varphi' \rangle \langle v, \pi(\mathbf{y})v' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \\ &= (-1)^{(|\varphi|+|\varphi'|)(\sigma+1)+|v||\varphi'|+r|\varphi|+|\varphi||\varphi'|} \kappa_{\hbar} \int d\mathbf{y} \langle U(\mathbf{y})\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle \langle \varphi, \mathbb{P}\varphi' \rangle \langle v, \pi(\mathbf{y})v' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.18 and the equality $\mathbb{M}\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}} = \varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}$, we have

$$\langle U(\mathbf{y})\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle = V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{F}})(-\mathbf{y}) = (-1)^r V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{F}})(\mathbf{y}) = (-1)^r \langle \varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, U(\mathbf{y})\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle.$$

Considering Equation (6.7) and the definition of $\langle -, - \rangle_R$, see below Equation (6.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(\varphi \otimes v), \Phi(\varphi' \otimes v') \rangle &= (-1)^{(|\varphi|+|\varphi'|)(\sigma+1)+|v||\varphi'|+r|\varphi|+|\varphi||\varphi'|} (-1)^r \langle \varphi, \mathbb{P}\varphi' \rangle \langle v, v' \rangle_R, \\ &= (-1)^{(|\varphi|+|\varphi'|+r)\sigma+|\varphi|(1+r+|\varphi'|)} \langle \varphi \otimes v, \varphi' \otimes v' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\varphi| + |\varphi'| = r$, then we obtain that $\langle \Phi(\varphi \otimes v), \Phi(\varphi' \otimes v') \rangle = \langle \varphi \otimes v, \varphi' \otimes v' \rangle$. Otherwise, we have $|\varphi| + |\varphi'| = r + 1$ and both inner products are zero. Hence, Φ is an isometry.

2. By Proposition 3.15, the continuous isometry Φ satisfies $\text{Im}\Phi \oplus (\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp = \mathcal{H}$ and $\text{Im}\Phi, (\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp$ are Hilbert superspaces. The source space of Φ is stable under the representation $U \otimes \mathbb{1}$. Hence, by Lemma 6.7, the image $\text{Im}\Phi$ is stable under the representation π . As π is a superunitary representation, $(\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp$ is also stable under π . In particular, if $f \in L^1(E_0)$, the operator $\pi(f)$ restricts to $(\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp$. Taking $f = V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{F}})$, we conclude that $\mathfrak{P}((\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp) \subseteq (\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp$. Using successively Equations (6.6), (5.16), Lemma 5.18 and Equation (6.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\psi_{\mathfrak{F}} \otimes v) &= \int d\mathbf{x} \langle U(\mathbf{x})\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle \pi(\mathbf{x})v = \int d\mathbf{x} V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{F}})(-\mathbf{x})\pi(\mathbf{x})v \\ &= \pi(V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{F}}))v = v, \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{H}_R = \text{Im}\mathfrak{P}$. Thus, $\text{Im}\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \text{Im}\Phi$ and $\mathfrak{P}(\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp \subseteq \text{Im}\Phi \cap (\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp = \mathbf{0}$. That is $\mathfrak{P} = \pi(V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{F}})) = 0$ on $(\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp$. Hence, either $(\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp = \mathbf{0}$ or, by Lemma 6.3, $V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{F}}) = 0$ almost everywhere. By Proposition 5.17, we obtain that

$$\int d\mathbf{x} V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{F}})(-\mathbf{x}) V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{F}})(\mathbf{x}) = \kappa_{\hbar} \langle \varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle \langle \varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathbb{P}\psi_{\mathfrak{F}} \rangle = \frac{1}{\kappa_{\hbar}} \neq 0. \quad (6.9)$$

Therefore, $V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{F}})$ does not vanish almost everywhere and we have $(\text{Im}\Phi)^\perp = \mathbf{0}$. This means that Φ is surjective. \blacksquare

Lemmas 6.5-6.8 show that Φ is a superunitary operator between the Hilbert superspaces $\mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_R$ and \mathcal{H} , which intertwines the unitary representations $U \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and π . This proves the Theorem 6.1 under the assumption $k + \ell$ even.

6.3 Proof of the theorem in the odd case

In this section, we prove Theorem 6.1 under the assumption that the odd dimension $k + \ell$ of the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ is odd. All the way through, \hbar denotes a non zero real number. We need three preliminary Lemmas. The first one relies on the proof of Theorem 6.1 for $k + \ell$ even.

Lemma 6.9 *Let $k + \ell$ be even and $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ be a strong SUR of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ such that central elements act by $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht} \mathbb{1}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H}_R (see Lemma 6.5 and paragraph above) and the superunitary intertwiner $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_S \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ (see (6.6)). If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $[\pi(\mathbf{x}, t), A] = 0$ for all $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in H_{2m|k,\ell}$, then there exists $A_R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ such that $\Phi^{-1} \circ A \circ \Phi = \mathbb{1} \otimes A_R$.*

PROOF Since $\mathfrak{P} = \pi(V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}}))$ and $V(\varphi_{\mathfrak{P}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{P}})$ is of degree 0, the hypothesis implies that $[A, \mathfrak{P}] = 0$. In particular, if $w \in \mathcal{H}_R$ then $Aw \in \mathcal{H}_R$, and the operator $A_R := A|_{\mathcal{H}_R}$ is in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. By Equation (6.8), we have

$$\Phi^{-1}(Aw) = \psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes Aw = \psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes A_R w.$$

As Φ^{-1} is an intertwining operator, the following equalities hold for all $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in H_{2m|k,\ell}$ and all $w \in \mathcal{H}_R$,

$$\Phi^{-1}(\pi(\mathbf{x}, t)w) = U(\mathbf{x}, t)\psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes w \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^{-1}(A\pi(\mathbf{x}, t)w) = U(\mathbf{x}, t)\psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes A_R w.$$

Since Φ is an intertwining operator, Equation (6.8) and the previous equality yield

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1} \circ A \circ \Phi (U(\mathbf{x}, t)\psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes w) &= \Phi^{-1}(A\pi(\mathbf{x}, t)w), \\ &= (\mathbb{1} \otimes A_R) (U(\mathbf{x}, t)\psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.13, the Schrödinger representation is irreducible, i.e., $\text{span}\{U(\mathbf{x}, t)\psi_{\mathfrak{P}} \mid (\mathbf{x}, t) \in H_{2m|k-1,\ell}\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H}_S . Therefore, A being bounded, we deduce that $\Phi^{-1} \circ A \circ \Phi = \mathbb{1} \otimes A_R$. ■

Lemma 6.10 *Let \mathbb{P} be the parity operator on a Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H}_R of parity $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(\mathcal{H}_R, \pi_0^R, \pi^R)$ be a strong SUR of $H_{0|1,0}$ such that central elements act by $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht} \mathbb{1}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We have two cases:*

- if $\sigma = 0$, then $(\mathcal{H}_R, \pi_0^R, \pi^R)$ extends into a strong SUR of $H_{0|2,0}$;
- if $\sigma = 1$, then $(\mathcal{H}_R, \pi_0^R, \pi^R)$ extends into a strong SUR of $H_{0|1,1}$.

PROOF By Theorem 4.18, $(\mathcal{H}_R, \pi_0^R, \pi^R)$ corresponds to a strong SUR $(\mathcal{H}_R, \pi_0^R, \pi_*^R)$ of the super Harish-Chandra pair $(\mathbb{R}, (\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,0})_{\mathbb{R}})$ associated to $H_{0|1,0}$. Denote by (e_1, e_2, Z) a basis of $(\mathfrak{h}_{0|2,0})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and by (e_1, e_{-1}, Z) a basis of $(\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,1})_{\mathbb{R}}$, so that (e_1, Z) is a basis of $(\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,0})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the non-vanishing commutators are $[e_1, e_1] = Z$, $[e_2, e_2] = Z$ and $[e_{-1}, e_{-1}] = -Z$. Then, extend π_*^R by \mathbb{R} -linearity to $(\mathfrak{h}_{0|2,0})_{\mathbb{R}}$ by setting $\pi_*^R(e_2) = [\frac{i}{2}\mathbb{P}, \pi_*^R(e_1)]$ and extend it to $(\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,1})_{\mathbb{R}}$ by setting $\pi_*^R(e_{-1}) = [\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}, \pi_*^R(e_1)]$.

As $\pi_*^R(e_1)$ is an odd operator, it admits a block decomposition along the decomposition $\mathcal{H}_R = (\mathcal{H}_R)_0 \oplus (\mathcal{H}_R)_1$, namely $\pi_*^R(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The block decomposition of the parity operator is $\mathbb{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, so that

$$\pi_*^R(e_2) = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ -B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_*^R(e_{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ -B & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A direct consequence is

$$[\pi_*^R(e_1), \pi_*^R(e_2)] = 0 = [\pi_*^R(e_1), \pi_*^R(e_{-1})].$$

Since $[\pi_*^R(e_1), \pi_*^R(e_1)] = \pi_*^R(Z) = i\hbar\mathbb{1}$, we have $2 \begin{pmatrix} AB & 0 \\ 0 & BA \end{pmatrix} = i\hbar\mathbb{1}$ and then

$$[\pi_*^R(e_2), \pi_*^R(e_2)] = i\hbar\mathbb{1} \quad \text{and} \quad [\pi_*^R(e_{-1}), \pi_*^R(e_{-1})] = -i\hbar\mathbb{1}.$$

This means that the extensions of π_*^R to $(\mathfrak{h}_{0|2,0})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and to $(\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,1})_{\mathbb{R}}$ are Lie superalgebra morphisms. Moreover, using the equalities $\pi_*^R(e_1)^\dagger = -\pi_*^R(e_1)$ and $\mathbb{P}^\dagger = (-1)^\sigma \mathbb{P}$, we get

$$\pi_*^R(e_2)^\dagger = [\pi_*^R(e_1)^\dagger, (\frac{i}{2}\mathbb{P})^\dagger] = -(-1)^\sigma \pi_*^R(e_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_*^R(e_{-1})^\dagger = [\pi_*^R(e_1)^\dagger, (\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P})^\dagger] = (-1)^\sigma \pi_*^R(e_2).$$

Hence, the extensions of π_*^R yield strong SUR's of the super Harish-Chandra pairs $(\mathbb{R}, (\mathfrak{h}_{0|2,0})_{\mathbb{R}})$ if $\sigma = 0$ and $(\mathbb{R}, (\mathfrak{h}_{0|1,1})_{\mathbb{R}})$ if $\sigma = 1$. Using again the equivalence stated in Theorem 4.18, these become strong SUR's of $H_{0|2,0}$ and $H_{0|1,1}$ respectively. \blacksquare

Lemma 6.11 *Let $k + \ell$ be odd, \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert superspace of parity $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ be a strong SUR of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ such that central elements act by $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht}\mathbb{1}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We have two cases:*

- if $\sigma = \ell \pmod{2}$, then $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ extends into a strong SUR of $H_{2m|k+1,\ell}$
- if $\sigma = \ell + 1 \pmod{2}$, then $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ extends into a strong SUR of $H_{2m|k,\ell+1}$.

PROOF For this proof, we assume that $k > 0$ for simplicity of presentation. The remaining case ($k = 0$ and $\ell > 0$) is similar and left to the reader.

According to Proposition 5.5, the restrictions of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ to the subgroup $H_{2m|k-1,\ell} \leq H_{2m|k,\ell}$ and to its centralizer $H_{0|1,0} \leq H_{2m|k,\ell}$ are strong SUR's. The central elements also act by $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht}\mathbb{1}$ for both sub-representations. According to the previous section, there exists a Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H}_R (see Lemma 6.5 and paragraph above) and a superunitary operator $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_S \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ (see (6.6)) which intertwines the representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$, restricted to $H_{2m|k-1,\ell}$, and the tensor product of the Schrödinger representation (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) of $H_{2m|k-1,\ell}$ with the trivial representation on \mathcal{H}_R .

Since $[\pi(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi(\mathbf{y}, t')] = 0$ for all $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in H_{2m|k-1,\ell}$ and $(\mathbf{y}, t') \in H_{0|1,0}$, Lemma 6.9 applies to the operators $\pi(\mathbf{y}, t')$. As a result, there exists a strong SUR $(\mathcal{H}_R, \pi_0^R, \pi^R)$ of $H_{0|1,0}$ such that $\Phi^{-1} \circ \pi(\mathbf{y}, t') \circ \Phi = \mathbb{1} \otimes \pi^R(\mathbf{y}, t')$ for all $(\mathbf{y}, t') \in H_{0|1,0}$. Of course, we have $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht}\mathbb{1}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

According to Lemma 6.10, the strong SUR $(\mathcal{H}_R, \pi_0^R, \pi^R)$ of $H_{0|1,0}$ extends as a strong SUR of $H_{0|2,0}$ (if $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_R) = 0$) or $H_{0|1,1}$ (if $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_R) = 1$). Then, the map

$$\hat{\pi} : (\mathbf{x}, t) \times (\mathbf{y}, t') \mapsto \Phi \circ (U(\mathbf{x}, t) \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \pi^R(\mathbf{y}, t')) \circ \Phi^{-1},$$

defines a strong SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \hat{\pi})$ on \mathcal{H} of the direct product $H_{2m|k-1,\ell} \times H_{0|2,0}$ (if $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_R) = 0$) or $H_{2m|k-1,\ell} \times H_{0|1,1}$ (if $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_R) = 1$). Since the center of both factors acts in the same way, $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \hat{\pi})$ descends as a strong SUR of $H_{2m|k+1,\ell}$ (if $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_R) = 0$) or $H_{2m|k,\ell+1}$ (if $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_R) = 1$). By definition of Φ^{-1} and π^R , the restriction of that strong SUR to $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ is $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$. As the parity of \mathcal{H}_S is given by $k - 1 \pmod{2} = \ell \pmod{2}$, the represented supergroup is $H_{2m|k+1,\ell}$ if $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) = \ell \pmod{2}$ and $H_{2m|k,\ell+1}$ if $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) = \ell + 1 \pmod{2}$. \blacksquare

Consider a strong SUR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$, with $k + \ell$ odd and \mathcal{H} of parity $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$, such that central elements act by $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht} \mathbb{1}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 6.11, $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ admits an extension as a strong SUR of $H_{2m|k+1,\ell}$ if $\sigma = \ell \pmod 2$ or of $H_{2m|k,\ell+1}$ if $\sigma = \ell + 1 \pmod 2$. Denote by (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) the Schrödinger representation with parameter $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ of the extended supergroup $H_{2m|k+1,\ell}$ or $H_{2m|k,\ell+1}$. As the odd dimension of both supergroups is even, Theorem 6.1 applies to the extension of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$. Hence, there exists a Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H}_R and a superunitary intertwiner $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ between the strong SUR's $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_R, U_0 \otimes \mathbb{1}, U \otimes \mathbb{1})$ of the extended supergroup $(H_{2m|k+1,\ell}$ or $H_{2m|k,\ell+1})$. The operator Φ intertwines automatically the restrictions of both strong SUR's to $H_{2m|k,\ell}$. According to Definition 5.8, the restriction of (\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U) to $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ is the Schrödinger representation of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ with parameter \hbar and parity σ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 6.1.

7 Applications

We provide now several applications of the Stone-von Neumann Theorem 6.1.

7.1 Strong superunitary dual

Recall that two strong SUR's of the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ are equivalent if and only if there exists a superunitary intertwiner between them.

Definition 7.1 The **strong superunitary dual** of the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ is the set of equivalence classes of graded-irreducible strong SUR's of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$. \blacklozenge

In the following, we will prove that every graded-irreducible strong SUR (strong SUIR) of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ is built from the following ones:

- the trivial representations $(\mathbb{C}_j, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$, where $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and \mathbb{C}_j is the Hilbert superspace of dimension 1 such that a normalized vector $e_j \in \mathbb{C}_j$ satisfies $\langle e_j, e_j \rangle = i^j$;
- the representations $(\mathbb{C}_j, \pi_0^{a,b}, \pi^{a,b})$, where $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are such that

$$\pi_0^{a,b}(\mathbb{B}q, \mathbb{B}p, t) = e^{i(a\mathbb{B}q + b\mathbb{B}p)} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^{a,b}(q, p, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}, t) = e^{i(aq^{ev} + bp^{ev})},$$

for all $(q, p, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}, t) \in H_{2m|k,\ell}$ (see notations of (5.18));

- the Schrödinger representations with parameter $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, and parity $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ if $k + \ell$ is odd, denoted by $(\mathcal{H}_S^{(\sigma)}, U_0^\hbar, U^\hbar)$.

Lemma 7.2 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ be a strong SUIR of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$. There exists $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the elements $(0, t)$ in the center of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ act by $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht} \mathbb{1}$.*

PROOF By definition of a strong SUR, the representation (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is unitarizable. Namely, there exists a fundamental symmetry J turning $(\mathcal{H}, (-, -)_J)$ into a Hilbert space and such that the operators $\pi_0(x, t)$ are J -unitary (i.e. unitary w.r.t. $(-, -)_J$) for all (x, t) in the body of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$. The operators $i\pi_*(X)$, with $X \in (\mathbb{B}\mathfrak{h}_{2m|k,\ell})_0$, are then J -selfadjoint operators. In particular, denoting by Z the infinitesimal generator of the center, with $e^Z = (0, 1)$, the operator $i\pi_*(Z)$ is a J -selfadjoint operator of degree 0.

Assume that the spectrum of $i\pi_*(Z)$ is not a singleton. Then, its spectral measure splits into two non-trivial parts, which produce two J -orthogonal projectors P_1, P_2 of degree 0. Since Z is in the center, the operators P_1 and P_2 commute with all the representing operators $\pi(\mathbf{x}, t)$, with $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in H_{2m|k,\ell}$. Hence, $P_1\mathcal{H}$ and $P_2\mathcal{H}$ are proper closed (π_0, π) -invariant graded subspaces of \mathcal{H} . It is a contradiction with the graded-irreducibility of π . Therefore, the spectrum of $i\pi_*(Z)$ is a singleton, that we denote by $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht} \mathbb{1}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. \blacksquare

Theorem 7.3 *Up to equivalence, the strong SUIR's of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ are given by*

- (i) *the strong SUIR's $(\mathbb{C}_j, \pi_0^{a,b}, \pi^{a,b})$ with $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$;*
- (ii) *the strong SUIR's $(\mathcal{H}_S^{(\sigma)} \otimes \mathbb{C}_j, U_0^h \otimes \mathbb{1}, U^h \otimes \mathbb{1})$, with $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, as well as $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ if $k + \ell$ is odd.*

Moreover, the above strong SUIR's are all inequivalent if $k + \ell$ is even. If $k + \ell$ is odd, the only isomorphic ones are those of type (ii) with same parameters $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, and whose parameters $j, j' \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ satisfy $i^{j'+j-1} = (-1)^\ell \text{sign}(\hbar)$.

PROOF I. We start by proving that any strong SUIR of the Heisenberg supergroup $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ is of the type (i) or (ii). Consider a strong SUIR $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$. By Lemma 7.2, there exists $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the elements $(0, t)$ in the center of $H_{2m|k,\ell}$ act by $\pi(0, t) = e^{iht} \mathbb{1}$.

Assume that $\hbar \neq 0$. Then, the Stone-von Neumann Theorem 6.1 applies. As a result, $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is equivalent to the tensor product $(\mathcal{H}_S^{(\sigma)} \otimes \mathcal{H}_R, U_0^h \otimes \mathbb{1}, U^h \otimes \mathbb{1})$, with $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ if $k + \ell$ is odd. Since $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is graded-irreducible, $(\mathcal{H}_R, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$ is also graded-irreducible. This implies that \mathcal{H}_R is one-dimensional, equal to \mathbb{C}_j for some $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Assume that $\hbar = 0$, i.e., the center acts trivially. Then, $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ descends as a strong SUIR of the Abelian supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{2m|k+\ell}$. The infinitesimal representation induces a representation π_* of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R}^{2m|k+\ell}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R}^{2m|0}) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R}^{0|k+\ell})$, where $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R}^{0|k+\ell}) \simeq \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{k+\ell}$ is a Grassmann algebra. Consider an element $X \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R}^{0|k+\ell})$ of maximal (tensor) degree j such that

$$\pi_*(X) \neq 0, \quad \pi_*\left(\bigwedge^{j+1} \mathbb{R}^{k+\ell}\right) = 0.$$

Then, $\pi_*(X)\mathcal{H}$ is a closed (π_0, π) -invariant graded subspace of \mathcal{H} . Since $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_0, \pi)$ is graded-irreducible and $\pi_*(X) \neq 0$, this implies that $\pi_*(X)\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$. Hence, $\pi_*(X^2) = \pi_*(X)^2 \neq 0$. As X^2 is of degree $2j$, this is possible only if $j = 0$. In other words, $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R}^{0|k+\ell})$ acts trivially on \mathcal{H} and (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is then an irreducible representation of \mathbb{R}^{2m} . As (\mathcal{H}, π_0) is unitarizable, the space \mathcal{H} is of dimension 1, equal to \mathbb{C}_j for some $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and $\pi_0 = \pi_0^{a,b}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ (see e.g [30]).

II. Now, we prove that any two strong SUIR's of type (i) or (ii) are inequivalent, except in the stated case.

If two strong SUIR's are equivalent, the action of the central elements is the same. Hence, strong SUIR's of type (i) and (ii) are inequivalent and two strong SUIR's of type (ii) are equivalent only if they have same parameter \hbar . Moreover, equivalent strong SUIR's have isometric Hilbert superspaces. Hence, two strong SUIR's of type (i) are isometric only if they have same parameter j and, if $k + \ell$ is odd, two strong SUIR's of type (ii) are isometric only if they have same parameter σ . Clearly, two equivalent strong SUIR's restrict as equivalent unitarizable representations of the body group. Hence, $(\mathbb{C}_j, \pi_0^{a,b})$ and $(\mathbb{C}_{j'}, \pi_0^{a',b'})$ are equivalent only if $a = a'$ and $b = b'$ (see e.g [30]).

Consider two equivalent strong SUIR's of type (ii), $(\mathcal{H}_S^{(\sigma)} \otimes \mathbb{C}_j, U_0^h \otimes \mathbb{1}, U^h \otimes \mathbb{1})$ and $(\mathcal{H}_S^{(\sigma')} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{j'}, U_0^h \otimes \mathbb{1}, U^h \otimes \mathbb{1})$, intertwined by

$$\Psi : \mathcal{H}_S^{(\sigma)} \otimes \mathbb{C}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_S^{(\sigma')} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{j'}.$$

It only remains to determine which are the possible values of $j, j' \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} = \mathbb{Z}_4$. We define the maps $\Phi_{j,j'} : \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathbb{C}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathbb{C}_{j'}$, given by $\Phi_{j,j'}(\varphi \otimes e_j) := \varphi \otimes e_{j'}$. These maps are invertible, and satisfy

$$(\Phi_{j,j'})^\dagger \Phi_{j,j'} = (-1)^{(j+\sigma)(j'-j)} i^{j'-j} \mathbb{1}.$$

There exists an operator T such that $\Psi = \Phi_{j,j'} \circ (T \otimes \mathbb{1})$, with $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S^{(\sigma)})$. It satisfies $|T| = j' - j \pmod 2$ and $TU^h(g) = U^h(g)T$ for any $g \in \mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$.

If $k + \ell$ is even, then T is a homogeneous intertwiner of the Schrödinger representation. The latter is irreducible by Theorem 5.13, so that Schur's Lemma (Proposition 4.13) applies. Therefore, we have $T = \lambda \mathbb{1}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The superunitarity of $\Psi = \lambda \Phi_{j,j'}$ implies that $j = j'$. Hence, all listed SUIR's are inequivalent if $k + \ell$ is even.

If $k + \ell$ is odd, then T is an intertwiner of degree $j' - j$ of the Schrödinger representation, which is graded-irreducible by Corollary 5.14. By Proposition 4.13, either $T = \lambda \mathbb{1}$ if $j' - j$ is even or $T = \lambda A$ for some fixed odd operator A if $j' - j$ is odd. In the first case, the superunitarity of $\Psi = \lambda \Phi_{j,j'}$ implies that $j = j'$. In the remaining case, the operator A can be defined from the extension of the Schrödinger representation to the supergroups $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k+1,\ell}$ or $\mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell+1}$ (see Lemma 6.11), as the representing operator of the extra dimension of those supergroups. It satisfies

$$A^2 = (-1)^{\sigma+\ell} \frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathbb{1}, \quad A^\dagger = -A \quad \text{and} \quad AU^h(g) = U^h(g)A,$$

for all $g \in \mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$. Then, the operator $\lambda \Phi_{j,j'} \circ (A \otimes \mathbb{1})$ is a superunitary intertwiner if and only if the following operator is the identity:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \Phi_{j,j'} \circ A)^\dagger (\lambda \Phi_{j,j'} \circ A) &= -|\lambda|^2 A \circ \Phi_{j,j'}^\dagger \circ \Phi_{j,j'} \circ A \\ &= (-1)^{\sigma+j+1} i^{j'-j} |\lambda|^2 A \circ A \\ &= (-1)^{\ell+j+1} i^{j'-j+1} |\lambda|^2 \frac{\hbar}{2} \mathbb{1}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $|\lambda| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{|\hbar|}}$ and $i^{j'+j-1} = (-1)^\ell \text{sign}(\hbar)$. Hence, the only non-trivial superunitary intertwiner occurs for $k + \ell$ odd and relates representations with same parameters \hbar and σ , whose parameters j, j' satisfy $i^{j'+j-1} = (-1)^\ell \text{sign}(\hbar)$. \blacksquare

7.2 Fourier transformation

In this section, we construct the group Fourier transformation and exhibit the Plancherel measure of the Heisenberg supergroup $G = \mathbb{H}_{2m|k,\ell}$, with $k + \ell$ even. This extends the previous work [14] where ℓ is assumed to be 0. Other works on the Fourier transformation over supermanifolds can be found in [32, 33, 34].

The Schrödinger representation of G with parameter \hbar is denoted here by $(\mathcal{H}_S^\hbar, U_0^\hbar, U^\hbar)$.

Definition 7.4 The **group Fourier transformation** of a function $f \in L^1(G)$ is the following operator family, indexed by $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$,

$$\hat{f}(\hbar) := \int_G dg f(g) U^{-\hbar}(g) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S^{-\hbar}). \quad \blacklozenge$$

Due to the unimodularity of G , the group Fourier transformation obviously satisfies $\hat{\hat{f}}(\hbar) = (\hat{f}(\hbar))^\dagger$ for all $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. Following [16], we define the supertrace of rank one operators by

$$\text{Str}(|\psi\rangle\langle\varphi|) := \langle\varphi, \mathbb{P}\psi\rangle.$$

The resolution of the identity (Proposition 5.17) permits to extend the supertrace to other operators.

Definition 7.5 Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\hbar)$ with trace class, and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r})$ with $\langle\varphi, \psi\rangle \neq 0$. We define the **supertrace** of T as

$$\text{Str}(T) := \frac{1}{\kappa_\hbar \langle\varphi, \psi\rangle} \int d\mathbf{x} \langle U^\hbar(\mathbf{x})\varphi, TU^\hbar(\mathbf{x})\psi\rangle. \quad \blacklozenge$$

The above definition does not depend on the choice of φ, ψ . The group Fourier transformation admits an inversion formula, as given below.

Theorem 7.6 (Inversion formula) *Let μ be the measure on \mathbb{R} given by $d\mu(\hbar) := \frac{|\hbar|}{2\pi\kappa_{-\hbar}}d\hbar$. For any $f \in L^1(G)$ and $g \in G$, we have*

$$f(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu(\hbar) \operatorname{Str}(\hat{f}(\hbar)U^{-\hbar}(g^{-1})).$$

The above measure μ is called the Plancherel measure of G .

PROOF We need a Lemma.

Lemma 7.7 *For any $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ and $g = (q, p, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}, t) \in G$, we have*

$$\operatorname{Str}(U^{\hbar}(g)) = \kappa_{\hbar} e^{iht} \delta(p) \delta(q) \delta(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}),$$

with the following Dirac distributions $\delta(q) = \delta(\mathbb{B}q)q_{m+1} \cdots q_{m+r}$, $\delta(p) = \delta(\mathbb{B}p)p_{m+1} \cdots p_{m+r}$, and $\delta(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{(2i)^s} \bar{\zeta}_1 \zeta_1 \cdots \bar{\zeta}_s \zeta_s$.

PROOF By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Str}(U^{\hbar}(g_1)) &= (2i)^{2s} \int dq dp d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} dq_0 d\zeta_0 d\bar{\zeta}_0 \overline{\varphi(q_0 - q)} \psi(q_0 - q - q_1, \zeta_0 - \zeta - \zeta_1) \\ &\quad e^{ih(t_1 + (\frac{1}{2}q_1 - q_0)p_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}\zeta_1 - \zeta_0)\bar{\zeta}_1 + q_1 p + \frac{1}{2}(\zeta + \zeta_1 - \zeta_0)\bar{\zeta} + \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_0 - \zeta)\bar{\zeta}_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identities (5.13) on the variable p and (5.14) on the variable $\bar{\zeta}_0$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Str}(U^{\hbar}(g_1)) = (2i)^s \kappa_{\hbar} \int dq d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} dq_0 \delta(q_1) \overline{\varphi(q)} \psi(q, -\zeta_1) e^{ih(t_1 - q_0 p_1 + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_1 \bar{\zeta} + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}\zeta_1 - \zeta)\bar{\zeta}_1)}.$$

The result follows then from the use of Equations (5.13)-(5.14). ■

By definition of the group Fourier transformation, the right hand side of the inversion formula reads as

$$RHS = \int d\mu(\hbar) \operatorname{Str} \left(\int dg' f(g') U^{-\hbar}(g') U^{-\hbar}(g^{-1}) \right) = \int d\mu(\hbar) \operatorname{Str} \left(\int dg' f(g'g) U^{-\hbar}(g') \right).$$

If we choose a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{-\hbar}$ of degree r in the definition of the supertrace, we can commute the supertrace with the integration over g' and with the function f . Then, we use Lemma 7.7 to get

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= \int d\mu(\hbar) dg' f(g'g) \kappa_{-\hbar} e^{-iht'} \delta(p') \delta(q') \delta(\zeta', \bar{\zeta}') \\ &= \int d\mu(\hbar) dt' f((0, t').g) \kappa_{-\hbar} e^{-iht'} = f(g), \end{aligned}$$

due to the definition of the Plancherel measure μ . ■

Corollary 7.8 *We have the Parseval-Plancherel formula for the superhermitian inner product on G :*

$$\int dg \overline{f_1(g)} f_2(g) = \int d\mu(\hbar) \operatorname{Str}(\hat{f}_1(\hbar)^\dagger \hat{f}_2(\hbar)),$$

for any $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(G)$.

PROOF Indeed, we have

$$\hat{f}_1(\hbar)^\dagger \hat{f}_2(\hbar) = \int dg dg' \overline{f(g)} f(gg') U^{-\hbar}(g').$$

Using Lemma 7.7, we get

$$\text{Str}(\hat{f}_1(\hbar)^\dagger \hat{f}_2(\hbar)) = \int dg dt' \overline{f(g)} f(g \cdot (0, t')) \kappa_{-\hbar} e^{-iht'}.$$

The result follows from integration of the \hbar -variable with respect to the Plancherel measure. ■

The regular representation $(L^2(G), L_0, L)$ of the Heisenberg supergroup G is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall g_0 \in \mathbb{B}G = \mathbb{H}_{2m}, \quad g' \in G, \quad f \in L^2(G), \quad L_0(g_0)(f(g')) &:= f((g_0)^{-1}g'), \\ \forall g, g' \in G, \quad f \in (L^2(G))^\infty, \quad L(g)(f(g')) &:= f((g)^{-1}g'), \end{aligned}$$

with $(L^2(G))^\infty$ the space of smooth functions on G , whose all derivatives are square integrable.

Corollary 7.9 *The regular representation $(L^2(G), L_0, L)$ can be decomposed via the group Fourier transformation:*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times, \quad g \in \mathbb{B}G, \quad f \in L^2(G), \quad \widehat{L_0(g)}f(\hbar) &= U_0^{-\hbar}(g) \circ \hat{f}(\hbar), \\ \forall \hbar \in \mathbb{R}^\times, \quad g \in G, \quad f \in (L^2(G))^\infty, \quad \widehat{L(g)}f(\hbar) &= U^{-\hbar}(g) \circ \hat{f}(\hbar). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF It is a direct consequence of the inversion formula, with a change of variable. ■

7.3 Metaplectic representation

Let (E, ω) be a symplectic superspace of dimension $2m|k + \ell$. We use the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg supergroup $\mathbb{H}(E_0, \omega)$ and previous works [5] to build the metaplectic representation as a SUR of the metaplectic supergroup. For simplicity, we assume that the signature is of the form $(k, \ell) = (r + 2s, r)$. The other cases admit a similar treatment and are left to the reader.

We start with algebraic preliminaries, following [5]. The orthosymplectic \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra is defined as

$$\mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}} := \{A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B}E) \mid \forall X, Y \in \mathbb{B}E, \omega(AX, Y) + (-1)^{|A||X|} \omega(X, AY) = 0\}.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} := (\mathfrak{h}(E, \omega))_{\mathbb{R}}$ the Heisenberg \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra. The canonical Lie superbracket on the quotient algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})/\langle Z - \mathbb{1} \rangle$ induces a \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra structure on the space of quadratic elements,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 := \text{span}\{XY + (-1)^{|X||Y|}YX \mid X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})/\langle Z - \mathbb{1} \rangle,$$

and a linear action of \mathcal{L}_2 on the space of degree 1 elements, $\mathbb{B}E \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})/\langle Z - \mathbb{1} \rangle$.

Proposition 7.10 ([5], **Theorem 3.5**) *The linear action of \mathcal{L}_2 on $\mathbb{B}E$ defines an isomorphism of \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebras between \mathcal{L}_2 and $\mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

In the sequel, we do not distinguish between \mathcal{L}_2 and $\mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Consider the basis (c_i, e_α, Z) of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$, with $(c_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2m}$ a basis of \mathbb{R}^{2m} with degree 0 and $(e_\alpha)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq 2(r+s)}$ a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2(r+s)}$ with degree 1 (see Equation (2.14)). It induces the following basis of $\mathcal{L}_2 \simeq \mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}}$:

$$m_{ij} := c_i c_j + c_j c_i, \quad s_{\alpha\beta} := e_\alpha e_\beta - e_\beta e_\alpha, \quad t_{i\alpha} = c_i e_\alpha + e_\alpha c_i,$$

where $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2(r+s)$. The Schrödinger representation $(\mathcal{H}_S, U_0, U_*)$ with parameter \hbar induces a superalgebra morphism, $U_* : \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})/\langle Z - i\hbar \mathbb{1} \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S^\infty)$. We define the map $\mu_* : \mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S^\infty)$ by setting $\mu_* := \frac{1}{i\hbar}(U_*)|_{\mathcal{L}_2}$. In the above basis we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_*(m_{ij}) &:= \frac{1}{i\hbar}(U_*(c_i)U_*(c_j) + U_*(c_j)U_*(c_i)) = -\frac{2i}{\hbar}U_*(c_i)U_*(c_j) - \omega_{ij}, \\ \mu_*(s_{\alpha\beta}) &:= \frac{1}{i\hbar}(U_*(e_\alpha)U_*(e_\beta) - U_*(e_\beta)U_*(e_\alpha)) = -\frac{2i}{\hbar}U_*(e_\alpha)U_*(e_\beta) - \omega_{\alpha\beta}, \\ \mu_*(t_{i\alpha}) &:= \frac{1}{i\hbar}(U_*(c_i)U_*(e_\alpha) + U_*(e_\alpha)U_*(c_i)) = -\frac{2i}{\hbar}U_*(c_i)U_*(e_\alpha) = -\frac{2i}{\hbar}U_*(e_\alpha)U_*(c_i). \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

Proposition 7.11 ([5], Proposition 4.6) *The map $\mu_* : \mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S^\infty)$ is a \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra morphism satisfying $\mu_*(X)^\dagger = -\mu_*(X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Let $\mathrm{GL}(E_0)$ be the supergroup of invertible \mathcal{A} -linear transformations of E_0 . The orthosymplectic supergroup $\mathrm{SpO}(E_0, \omega)$ is defined as the sub-supergroup of $\mathrm{GL}(E_0)$ preserving the symplectic form ω ,

$$\mathrm{SpO}(E_0, \omega) := \{M \in \mathrm{GL}(E_0) \mid \forall X, Y \in E_0, \omega(MX, MY) = \omega(X, Y)\}.$$

As soon as both k and ℓ are non-zero, $\mathrm{SpO}(E_0, \omega)$ is not connected and we denote by $\mathrm{SpO}^0(E_0, \omega)$ its connected component at the identity. The super Harish-Chandra pair of $\mathrm{SpO}^0(E_0, \omega)$ is

$$(\mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SO}^0(k, \ell), \mathfrak{spo}(2m|k, \ell)_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

where the adjoint action Ad is given by

$$\forall M \in \mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SO}^0(k, \ell), A \in \mathfrak{spo}(2m|k, \ell)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \mathrm{Ad}_M A = MAM^{-1},$$

the product being taken in the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B}E)$. We denote by $\mathrm{Mp}(2m, \mathbb{R})$ the metaplectic group, $\mathrm{Spin}^0(k, \ell)$ the connected component at the identity of the spin group, and

$$\rho : \mathrm{Mp}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Spin}^0(k, \ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SO}^0(k, \ell)$$

the canonical $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -covering.

Definition 7.12 The **metaplectic supergroup** $\mathrm{Mp}(E_0, \omega)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -covering of the orthosymplectic supergroup $\mathrm{SpO}^0(E_0, \omega)$. Its associated super Harish-Chandra pair is $(\mathrm{Mp}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Spin}^0(k, \ell), \widetilde{\mathfrak{spo}}(2m|k, \ell)_{\mathbb{R}})$, where the adjoint action $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_M A = \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(M)} A,$$

for all $M \in \mathrm{Mp}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Spin}(k, \ell)$ and $A \in \mathfrak{spo}(2m|k, \ell)_{\mathbb{R}}$. \blacklozenge

If $k = \ell = 0$, then $\mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathfrak{sp}(2m, \mathbb{R})$ and the representation μ_* is known to integrate as a unitary representation of $\mathrm{Mp}(2m, \mathbb{R})$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, called the metaplectic representation [30]. If $m = 0$, then $\mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathfrak{o}(k, \ell)$. According to Section 5.3, the representation U_* defines an algebra isomorphism

$$\mathrm{End}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|r}) \otimes \mathrm{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{0|s})) \simeq \mathbb{C}\ell(2n).$$

Since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(k, \ell)$ exponentiates in the Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\ell(2n)$ as the spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(k, \ell)$, the representation μ_* integrates as a SUR of $\mathrm{Spin}(k, \ell)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|r}) \otimes \mathrm{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{0|s})$, which is the spinor representation. Let $\mu_0 : \mathrm{Mp}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Spin}^0(k, \ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ be the tensor product of the metaplectic representation of $\mathrm{Mp}(2m, \mathbb{R})$ with the spin representation of $\mathrm{Spin}^0(k, \ell)$.

Theorem 7.13 *The triple $(\mathcal{H}_S, \mu_0, \mu_*)$ is a SUR of the metaplectic supergroup $\text{Mp}(E_0, \omega)$ on the Hilbert superspace \mathcal{H}_S . It is called the **metaplectic representation**.*

PROOF Let us check the axioms of Definition 4.5. By definition, μ_0 is a SUR of the Lie group $\text{Mp}(2m, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Spin}^0(k, \ell)$ and we have $\mu_* = d\mu_0$ on the even part of $\mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}}$. By Proposition 7.11, the map $\mu_* : \mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S^{\infty})$ is a \mathbb{R} -Lie superalgebra morphism satisfying $\mu_*(X)^{\dagger} = -\mu_*(X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since $\text{Mp}(2m) \times \text{Spin}^0(k, \ell)$ is connected, the condition (4.2) is automatically satisfied.

To conclude the proof, it suffices to show that the space $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}$ of smooth vectors of the representation μ_0 is equal to \mathcal{H}_S^{∞} . On one side, by definition of μ_0 , we have $\mathcal{H}_S^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}$. On the other side, the restriction of $d\mu_0$ to $\mathfrak{sp}(2m, \mathbb{R})$ acts by operators of type $\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \otimes \mathbb{1}$, $x_i \partial_{x_j} \otimes \mathbb{1}$, and $x_i x_j \otimes \mathbb{1}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes (L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|r}) \otimes \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{0|s}))$. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}$ is included in all the domains of powers of the operator $(1 + x^2 - \partial_x^2) \otimes \mathbb{1}$, that is $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes (L^2(\mathbb{R}^{0|r}) \otimes \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^{0|s}))$ (see [28, page 141]). Since $\mathcal{H}_S^{\infty} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{m|r+s})$ (see Proposition 5.3), the result follows. ■

The $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -covering introduced above extend to the supergroups, $\rho : \text{Mp}(E_0, \omega) \rightarrow \text{SpO}^0(E_0, \omega)$. If $\mathbf{x} \in E_0$ and $M \in \text{Mp}(E_0, \omega)$, we denote by $\rho(M)\mathbf{x}$ the result of the natural action $\text{SpO}^0(E_0, \omega) \times E_0 \rightarrow E_0$ on the pair $(\rho(M), \mathbf{x})$.

Proposition 7.14 *The metaplectic and the Schrödinger representations satisfy:*

$$\forall M \in \text{Mp}(E_0, \omega), g \in \text{H}(E_0, \omega), \quad U(\rho(M)g) = \mu(M)U(g)\mu(M)^{-1},$$

where, using the decomposition $g = (\mathbf{x}, t) \in E_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$, we have $\rho(M)g = (\rho(M)\mathbf{x}, t)$.

PROOF Since the body of the metaplectic supergroup is connected, it is enough to check the relation at the infinitesimal level on $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}_S^{\infty}$, that is

$$\forall A \in \mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}}, \forall X \in \mathbb{B}E, \quad U_*(AX) = [\mu_*(A), U_*(X)],$$

where $AX \in \mathbb{B}E$ is the result of the standard action of $\mathfrak{spo}(E, \omega)_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathbb{B}E$. Identifying X and A as elements of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})/\langle Z - i\hbar \mathbb{1} \rangle$ of order 1 and 2, we obtain $AX = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[A, X]$ where the commutator is taken in the algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})/\langle Z - i\hbar \mathbb{1} \rangle$. Since U_* is an algebra morphism, we get that

$$U_*(AX) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}U_*([A, X]) = [\frac{1}{i\hbar}U_*(A), U_*(X)].$$

The result follows from the definition of μ_* . ■

A Graded BCH series

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie superalgebra over the algebra \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$ the ideal of nilpotent elements in \mathcal{A} . For any $X \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}$, the exponential of X is given by the sum

$$\exp(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^k}{k!}.$$

Since X has nilpotent coefficients, the sum is finite and $\exp(X)$ is a formal polynomial over \mathcal{A} . We set $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} := \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} := (\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{A}_0) \otimes (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})_0$. These are subspaces of $(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathbb{B}\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ is stable under the bracket.

A Lie polynomial is a polynomial where multiplication of variables is given by Lie bracket.

Proposition A.1 *There exists Lie polynomials $\text{BCH}_0 : \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \times \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{(0)}$ and $\text{BCH}_1 : \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \times \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ such that*

$$\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}, \quad \exp(X) \exp(Y) = \exp(\text{BCH}_0(X, Y)) \exp(\text{BCH}_1(X, Y)). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

PROOF Clearly, there exists unique polynomials BCH_0 of even degree and BCH_1 of odd degree such that Equation (A.1) is fulfilled. It remains to prove that they are Lie polynomials. Taking $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\exp(X) \exp(Y)) \exp(Z) &= \exp(\text{BCH}_0(X, Y)) \exp(\text{BCH}_1(X, Y)) \exp(Z) \\ &= \exp(\text{BCH}_0(X, Y)) \exp(\text{BCH}_0(\text{BCH}_1(X, Y), Z)) \exp(\text{BCH}_1(\text{BCH}_1(X, Y), Z)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(X) (\exp(Y) \exp(Z)) &= \exp(X) \exp(\text{BCH}_0(Y, Z)) \exp(\text{BCH}_1(Y, Z)) \\ &= \exp(\text{Ad}_{\exp(X)} \text{BCH}_0(Y, Z)) \exp(X) \exp(\text{BCH}_1(Y, Z)) \\ &= \exp(\text{Ad}_{\exp(X)} \text{BCH}_0(Y, Z)) \exp(\text{BCH}_0(X, \text{BCH}_0(Y, Z))) \\ &\quad \times \exp(\text{BCH}_1(X, \text{BCH}_1(Y, Z))). \end{aligned}$$

Products of polynomials of even degree is again of even degree, thus the equality of the two above terms yields

$$\text{BCH}_1(\text{BCH}_1(X, Y), Z) = \text{BCH}_1(X, \text{BCH}_1(Y, Z)).$$

By decomposing the polynomial BCH_1 in terms of its degrees, we get $\text{BCH}_1(X, Y) = \sum_k F_{2k+1}(X, Y)$, and then

$$\sum_i F_{2i+1} \left(\sum_j F_{2j+1}(X, Y), Z \right) = \sum_i F_{2i+1} \left(X, \sum_j F_{2j+1}(Y, Z) \right).$$

Since $F_1(X, Y) = X + Y$ and $F_{2i+1}(\lambda X, \mu Y) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, the proof in [35] that the standard BCH series is a Lie series applies. As a result, each F_{2i+1} is a Lie polynomial and BCH_1 is also one. Using that the standard BCH series is a Lie polynomial on $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$, the equality $\exp(\text{BCH}(X, Y)) = \exp(\text{BCH}_0(X, Y)) \exp(\text{BCH}_1(X, Y))$ implies that BCH_0 is also a Lie polynomial. ■

Example A.2 The first terms of BCH_1 are

$$\text{BCH}_1(tX, tY) = t(X + Y) + \frac{t^3}{6} (2[X, [X, Y]] + [[X, Y], Y]) + O(t^5),$$

with $t \in \mathbb{R}$. ◆

Remark A.3 By Ado theorem, any Lie supergroup is locally a matrix supergroups. For matrix supergroups, the exponential map defined from the flow of left invariant vector field coincides with the exponential series computed in the algebra of supermatrices (see [20, Paragraph VI.3.10]). Hence, for any Lie supergroup with Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} , the exponential map satisfies

$$e^X e^Y = e^{\text{BCH}_0(X, Y)} e^{\text{BCH}_1(X, Y)},$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ in a suitable neighborhood of zero. ◆

Remark A.4 Let G be a Lie supergroup. According to Proposition 2.2, it is diffeomorphic to $G^{\text{w.o.d.}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ via $(g_0, X) \mapsto g_0 e^X$. By pull-back to $G^{\text{w.o.d.}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$, the group law of G reads as

$$(g_0, X)(g'_0, X') = (g_0 g'_0 e^{\text{BCH}_0(\text{Ad}_{(g'_0)^{-1}} X, X')}, \text{BCH}_1(\text{Ad}_{(g'_0)^{-1}} X, X')), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for all $g_0, g'_0 \in G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ and $X, X' \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$. ◆

Remark A.5 Let $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be a super Harish-Chandra pair and $G^{\text{w.o.d.}}$ the Lie supergroup obtained from G_0 by \mathcal{A}_0 -scalar extension. Then, the supermanifold $G^{\text{w.o.d.}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ endowed with the product (A.2) is a Lie supergroup. This provides a construction of the Lie supergroup associated to a super Harish-Chandra pair. ◆

References

- [1] A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, “Factorizable dual model of pions,” *Nucl. Phys. B.* **31** (1971) 86–112.
- [2] J. Wess and B. Zumino, “Supergauge transformations in four dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B70** (1974) 39–50.
- [3] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, “Unitary representations of super-gauge symmetries,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **80** (1974) 499–505.
- [4] M. Scheunert, W. Nahm, and V. Ritteberg, “Graded lie algebras: Generalization of hermitian representations,” *J. Math. Phys.* **18** (1977) 146–154.
- [5] K. Nishiyama, “Oscillator Representations for Orthosymplectic Algebras,” *J. Algebra* **129** (1990) 231–262.
- [6] S. Sternberg and J. A. Wolf, “Hermitian Lie algebras and metaplectic representations I,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **238** (1978) 1–43.
- [7] P. Deligne and J. W. Morgan, *Notes on supersymmetry (after Joseph Bernstein)*. Quantum Fields and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians, pp. 41–98, 1999.
- [8] O. Rudolph, “Super Hilbert Spaces,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **214** (2000) 449–467.
- [9] A. El Gradechi and L. M. Nieto, “Supercoherent States, Super Kähler Geometry and Geometric Quantization,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **175** (1996) 521–564.
- [10] C. Carmeli, G. Cassinelli, A. Toigo, and V. S. Varadarajan, “Unitary Representations of Super Lie Groups and Applications to the Classification and Multiplet Structure of Super Particles,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **263** (2006) 217–258.
- [11] H. Salmasian, “Unitary Representations of Nilpotent Super Lie Groups,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **297** (2010) 189–227.
- [12] H. Furutsu and T. Hirai, “Representations of Lie superalgebras I Extensions of representations of the even part,” *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **28** (1988) 695–749.
- [13] K.-H. Neeb and H. Salmasian, “Lie Supergroups, Unitary Representations, and Invariant Cones,” in *S. Ferrara et al. (eds) Supersymmetry in Mathematics and Physics, Springer, Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **2027** (2011) 195–239.
- [14] A. Alldridge, J. Hilgert, and M. Laubinger, “Harmonic Analysis on Heisenberg-Clifford Lie Supergroups,” *J. London Math. Soc.* **87** (2013) 561–585.
- [15] J. Bogner, *Indefinite inner product spaces*. Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [16] P. Bieliavsky, A. de Goursac, and G. Tuynman, “Deformation quantization for Heisenberg supergroup,” *J. Funct. Anal.* **263** (2012) 549–603, [arXiv:1011.2370 \[math.QA\]](#).
- [17] A. de Goursac, “Fréchet Quantum Supergroups,” *Pacif. J. Math.* **273** (2015) 169–195, [arXiv:1105.2420 \[math.QA\]](#).
- [18] A. de Goursac, T. Masson, and J.-C. Wallet, “Noncommutative ε -graded connections,” *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **6** (2012) 343–387, [arXiv:0811.3567 \[math-ph\]](#).

- [19] M. A. Naimark and R. S. Ismagilov, “Representations of Groups and Algebras in Spaces with Indefinite Metric,” *Mathematical Analysis* (1968) 73–105.
- [20] G. M. Tuynman, *Supermanifolds and Supergroups*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2005.
- [21] G. M. Tuynman, “Integrating Infinitesimal (Super) Actions,” *J. Lie Theory* **26** (2016) 297–358.
- [22] B. DeWitt, *Supermanifolds*. Cambridge UP, 1984.
- [23] F. Berezin and D. Leites, “Supermanifolds,” *Soviet Maths Doklady* **16** (1976) 1218–1222.
- [24] A. Rogers, *Supermanifolds, Theory and Applications*. World Scientific Publishing, 2007.
- [25] B. Kostant, *Graded manifolds, graded Lie theory and prequantization*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 570 (Springer), 1977.
- [26] J.-L. Koszul, “Graded manifolds and graded Lie algebras,” *Proceedings of the international meeting on geometry and physics (Florence, 1982)* (1982) 7184.
- [27] G. Warner, *Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie Groups I*. Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [28] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical Physics, Vol 1: Functional Analysis*. Academic Press Inc., 1980.
- [29] D. V. Alekseevsky and V. Cortes, “Classification of N -(Super)-Extended Poincaré Algebras and Bilinear Invariants of the Spinor Representation of $Spin(p, q)$,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **183** (1997) 477–510.
- [30] G. B. Folland, *Harmonic analysis in phase space*. Princeton University Press, 1989.
- [31] K. Groechenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*. Birkhauser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [32] S. Rempel and T. Schmitt, “Pseudodifferential operators and the index theorem on supermanifolds,” *Math. Nachr.* **111** (1983) 153–175.
- [33] F. Brackx, N. De Schepper, and F. Sommen, “The Clifford-Fourier transform,” *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **11** (2005) 669681.
- [34] H. De Bie, “Fourier transform and related integral transforms in superspace,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **345** (2008) 147–164.
- [35] M. Eichler, “A new proof of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula,” *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **20** (1968) 23–25.