arXiv:1601.06870v1 [physics.optics] 26 Jan 2016

Generation of intense circularly polarized attosecond light bursts from relativistic laser plasmas

Guangjin Ma^{1,*}, Wei Yu¹, M. Y. Yu^{2,3}, Baifei Shen¹ and Laszlo Veisz⁴

 ¹State Key Labratory of High Field Laser Physics, Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China
 ²Institute of Fusion Theory and Simulation, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China ³Institute for Theoretical Physics I, Ruhr University, Bochum, D-44780 Germany

⁴Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, D-85748 Garching, Germany

*guangjin@siom.ac.cn

Abstract: We have investigated the polarization of attosecond light bursts generated by nanobunches of electrons from relativistic few-cycle laser pulse interaction with the surface of overdense plasmas. Particle-in-cell simulation shows that the polarization state of the generated attosecond burst depends on the incident-pulse polarization, duration, carrier envelope phase, as well as the plasma scale length. Through laser and plasma parameter control, without compromise of generation efficiency, a linearly polarized laser pulse with azimuth $\theta^i = 10^\circ$ can generate an elliptically polarized attosecond burst with azimuth $|\theta^r_{atto}| \approx 61^\circ$ and ellipticity $\sigma^r_{atto} \approx 0.27$; while an elliptically polarized laser pulse with $\sigma^i \approx 0.36$ can generate an almost circularly polarized attosecond burst with $\sigma^r_{atto} \approx 0.95$. The results propose a new way to a table-top circularly polarized XUV source as a probe with attosecond scale time resolution for many advanced applications.

© 2018 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (260.5430) Polarization; (190.4160) Multiharmonic generation; (320.7120) Ultrafast phenomena; (350.5400) Plasmas.

References and links

- U. Teubner and P. Gibbon, "High-order harmonics from laser-irradiated plasma surfaces," Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 445–479 (2009).
- R. L. Carman, D. W. Forslund, and J. M. Kindel, "Visible harmonic emission as a way of measuring profile steepening," Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 29–32 (1981).
- R. L. Carman, C. K. Rhodes, and R. F. Benjamin, "Observation of harmonics in the visible and ultraviolet created in co₂-laser-produced plasmas," Phys. Rev. A 24, 2649–2663 (1981).
- N. H. Burnett, H. A. Baldis, M. C. Richardson, and G. D. Enright, "Harmonic generation in co2 laser target interaction," Applied Physics Letters 31 (1977).
- P. A. Norreys, M. Zepf, S. Moustaizis, A. P. Fews, J. Zhang, P. Lee, M. Bakarezos, C. N. Danson, A. Dyson, P. Gibbon, P. Loukakos, D. Neely, F. N. Walsh, J. S. Wark, and A. E. Dangor, "Efficient extreme uv harmonics generated from picosecond laser pulse interactions with solid targets," Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1832–1835 (1996).
- A. Tarasevitch, K. Lobov, C. Wünsche, and D. von der Linde, "Transition to the relativistic regime in high order harmonic generation," Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 103902 (2007).
- S. Kahaly, S. Monchocé, H. Vincenti, T. Dzelzainis, B. Dromey, M. Zepf, P. Martin, and F. Quéré, "Direct observation of density-gradient effects in harmonic generation from plasma mirrors," Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 175001 (2013).
- P. Heissler, R. Hörlein, J. M. Mikhailova, L. Waldecker, P. Tzallas, A. Buck, K. Schmid, C. M. S. Sears, F. Krausz, L. Veisz, M. Zepf, and G. D. Tsakiris, "Few-cycle driven relativistically oscillating plasma mirrors: A source of intense isolated attosecond pulses," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 235003 (2012).

- P. Heissler, R. Hörlein, M. Stafe, J. Mikhailova, Y. Nomura, D. Herrmann, R. Tautz, S. Rykovanov, I. Földes, K. Varj, F. Tavella, A. Marcinkevicius, F. Krausz, L. Veisz, and G. Tsakiris, "Toward single attosecond pulses using harmonic emission from solid-density plasmas," Applied Physics B 101, 511–521 (2010).
- B. Dromey, D. Adams, R. Hörlein, Y. Nomura, S. G. Rykovanov, D. C. Carroll, P. S. Foster, S. Kar, K. Markey, P. McKenna, D. Neely, M. Geissler, G. D. Tsakiris, and M. Zepf, "Diffraction-limited performance and focusing of high harmonics from relativistic plasmas," Nat Phys 5, 146–152 (2009).
- B. Dromey, S. Kar, C. Bellei, D. C. Carroll, R. J. Clarke, J. S. Green, S. Kneip, K. Markey, S. R. Nagel, P. T. Simpson, L. Willingale, P. McKenna, D. Neely, Z. Najmudin, K. Krushelnick, P. A. Norreys, and M. Zepf, "Bright multi-kev harmonic generation from relativistically oscillating plasma surfaces," Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 085001 (2007).
- Y. Nomura, R. Hörlein, P. Tzallas, B. Dromey, S. Rykovanov, Z. Major, J. Osterhoff, S. Karsch, L. Veisz, M. Zepf, D. Charalambidis, F. Krausz, and G. D. Tsakiris, "Attosecond phase locking of harmonics emitted from laserproduced plasmas," Nat Phys 5, 124–128 (2009).
- 13. F. Krausz and M. Ivanov, "Attosecond physics," Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 163-234 (2009).
- S.-Y. Xu, M. Neupane, C. Liu, D. Zhang, A. Richardella, L. A. Wray, N. Alidoust, M. Leandersson, T. Balasubramanian, J. Sánchez-Barriga, O. Rader, G. Landolt, B. Slomski, J. H. Dil, J. Osterwalder, T.-R. Chang, H.-T. Jeng, H. Lin, A. Bansil, N. Samarth, and M. Z. Hasan, "Hedgehog spin texture and berrys phase tuning in a magnetic topological insulator," nature phys. 8, 616–622 (2012).
- I. Gierz, M. Lindroos, H. Hchst, C. R. Ast, and K. Kern, "Graphene sublattice symmetry and isospin determined by circular dichroism in angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy," Nano Letters 12, 3900–3904 (2012). PMID: 22784029.
- G. Schütz, M. Knülle, and H. Ebert, "Magnetic circular x-ray dichroism and its relation to local moments," Physica Scripta 1993, 302 (1993).
- T. Fan, P. Grychtol, R. Knut, C. Hernández-García, D. D. Hickstein, D. Zusin, C. Gentry, F. J. Dollar, C. A. Mancuso, C. W. Hogle, O. Kfir, D. Legut, K. Carva, J. L. Ellis, K. M. Dorney, C. Chen, O. G. Shpyrko, E. E. Fullerton, O. Cohen, P. M. Oppeneer, D. B. Miloševi, A. Becker, A. A. Jaroń-Becker, T. Popmintchev, M. M. Murnane, and H. C. Kapteyn, "Bright circularly polarized soft x-ray high harmonics for x-ray magnetic circular dichroism," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112, 14206–14211 (2015).
- 18. Y. Liu, G. Bian, T. Miller, and T.-C. Chiang, "Visualizing electronic chirality and berry phases in graphene systems using photoemission with circularly polarized light," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 166803 (2011).
- J. Schmidt, A. Guggenmos, M. Hofstetter, S. H. Chew, and U. Kleineberg, "Generation of circularly polarized high harmonic radiation using a transmission multilayer quarter waveplate," Opt. Express 23, 33564–33578 (2015).
- O. Kfir, P. Grychtol, E. Turgut, R. Knut, D. Zusin, D. Popmintchev, T. Popmintchev, H. Nembach, J. M. Shaw, A. Fleischer, H. Kapteyn, M. Murnane, and O. Cohen 9, 99 (2015).
- S. G. Rykovanov, M. Geissler, J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, and G. D. Tsakiris, "Intense single attosecond pulses from surface harmonics using the polarization gating technique," New Journal of Physics 10, 025025 (2008).
- T. Baeva, S. Gordienko, and A. Pukhov, "Relativistic plasma control for single attosecond x-ray burst generation," Phys. Rev. E 74, 065401 (2006).
- K. Gál and S. Varró, "Polarization properties of high harmonics generated on solid surfaces," Optics Communications 198, 419 – 431 (2001).
- G. Veres, J. S. Bakos, I. B. Földes, K. Gál, Z. Juhász, G. Kocsis, and S. Szatmári, "Polarization of harmonics generated by ultrashort krf-laser pulses on solid surfaces," EPL (Europhysics Letters) 48, 390 (1999).
- R. Lichters, J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, and A. Pukhov, "Short-pulse laser harmonics from oscillating plasma surfaces driven at relativistic intensity," Physics of Plasmas 3 (1996).
- P. Gibbon, "Harmonic generation by femtosecond laser-solid interaction: A coherent "water-window" light source?" Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 50–53 (1996).
- L. A. Gizzi, D. Giulietti, A. Giulietti, P. Audebert, S. Bastiani, J. P. Geindre, and A. Mysyrowicz, "Simultaneous measurements of hard x rays and second-harmonic emission in fs laser-target interactions," Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2278–2281 (1996).
- M. Yeung, B. Dromey, S. Cousens, T. Dzelzainis, D. Kiefer, J. Schreiber, J. H. Bin, W. Ma, C. Kreuzer, J. Meyerter-Vehn, M. J. V. Streeter, P. S. Foster, S. Rykovanov, and M. Zepf, "Dependence of laser-driven coherent synchrotron emission efficiency on pulse ellipticity and implications for polarization gating," Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 123902 (2014).
- E. Rácz, I. Földes, G. Kocsis, G. Veres, K. Eidmann, and S. Szatmári, "On the effect of surface rippling on the generation of harmonics in laser plasmas," Applied Physics B 82, 13–18 (2006).
- D. von der Linde, H. Schulz, T. Engers, and H. Schuler, "Second harmonic generation in plasmas produced by intense femtosecond laser pulses," Quantum Electronics, IEEE Journal of 28, 2388–2397 (1992).
- T. Baeva, S. Gordienko, and A. Pukhov, "Theory of high-order harmonic generation in relativistic laser interaction with overdense plasma," Phys. Rev. E 74, 046404 (2006).
- F. Quéré, C. Thaury, P. Monot, S. Dobosz, P. Martin, J. Geindre, and P. Audebert, "Coherent wake emission of high-order harmonics from overdense plasmas," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 125004 (2006).

- D. an der Brügge and A. Pukhov, "Enhanced relativistic harmonics by electron nanobunching," Physics of Plasmas (1994-present) 17, 033110 (2010).
- J. M. Mikhailova, M. V. Fedorov, N. Karpowicz, P. Gibbon, V. T. Platonenko, A. M. Zheltikov, and F. Krausz, "Isolated attosecond pulses from laser-driven synchrotron radiation," Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 245005 (2012).
- G. Ma, W. Dallari, A. Borot, F. Krausz, W. Yu, G. D. Tsakiris, and L. Veisz, "Intense isolated attosecond pulse generation from relativistic laser plasmas using few-cycle laser pulses," Physics of Plasmas 22, 033105 (2015).
- 36. R. M. A. Azzam, and N. M. Bashara, Ellipsometry and polarized light, (North-Holland Pub. Co., 1977).
- A. Bourdier, "Oblique incidence of a strong electromagnetic wave on a cold inhomogeneous electron plasma. relativistic effects," Physics of Fluids (1958-1988) 26, 1804–1807 (1983).
- A. Tarasevitch, J. Wieczorek, R. Kohn, U. Bovensiepen, and D. von der Linde, "Two-beam high-order harmonics from solids: Coupling mechanisms," Phys. Rev. E 82, 056410 (2010).
- V. A. Buts, and A. N. Lebedev, and V. I. Kurieko, *The theory of coherent radiation by intense electron beams*, (Springer, 2006).
- A. P. Tarasevitch, R. Kohn, and D. von der Linde, "Towards intense attosecond pulses: using two beams for high order harmonic generation from solid targets," Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 42, 134006 (2009).
- L. Ji, B. Shen, X. Zhang, M. Wen, C. Xia, W. Wang, J. Xu, Y. Yu, M. Yu, and Z. Xu, "Ultra-intense single attosecond pulse generated from circularly polarized laser interacting with overdense plasma," Physics of Plasmas 18, 083104 (2011).

1. Introduction

Compared to the high-order harmonic generation (HHG) from noble gases, HHG from plasma surfaces [1] does not subject to the limitation of maximum applied laser intensity and can thus use the state-of-the-art terawatt and petawatt laser technology. Since the availability of powerful lasers with intensity-wavelength squared > 10^{16} Wcm⁻² μ m², much work [2–9] has been done with different laser technologies. Currently, measurements with controlled laser and plasma parameters [7] on HHG has found remarkable spatial features [10], high brightness [11], as well as attosecond (as) duration [12], making it potentially useful to pump-probe experiments [13].

On the other hand, circularly polarized light in the extreme ultra violet (XUV) and soft xray regions has proven to be very useful for applications including the direct measurement of quantum phases in graphene and topological insulators [14, 15], the XUV magnetic circular dichroism spectroscopy [16, 17], as well as the reconstruction of band structure and modal phases in solids [18]. Currently, such radiation is mainly available at the large scale synchrotron sources and the time resolution is far below the sub-laser cycle time scale, limiting its wide availability and time resolving power. Although XUV optics in general can be used to convert XUV polarization [19]; its bandwidth is typically narrow and transmission efficiency is not high. There is strong motivation to directly realize a table-top circularly polarized attosecond XUV source by using laser. Some recent breakthroughs [20] has been made in HHG from noble gases. An attosecond XUV source by HHG from plasma surfaces has the potential to further improve attosecond burst energy. It is timely for us to investigate the polarization of harmonics generated from plasma surfaces and to expore how to efficiently generate a circularly polarized attosecond light burst in the XUV region.

Polarization of the harmonics is one of the basic properties regularily investigated in HHG from plasma surfaces. Many authors have considered the dependence of the harmonic intensity and polarization state on the incident laser pulse [5, 9, 21–30]. Three mechanisms of harmonic generation, namely relativistic oscillating mirror (ROM) [25, 31], coherent wake emission (CWE) [32], and coherent synchrotron emission (CSE) [33, 34], have been identified. With ROM, the high-order harmonics are purely doppler upshifted reflections from the oscillating plasma surface and their polarization more or less follows that of the incident laser. With CWE, because of linear mode conversion, only the p-polarized component of the laser field is effective, so that the resulting harmonics are also p-polarized and their intensity is proportional to the cosine of the polarization angle [9]. There exists still not much investigation on the polarization.

tion of the harmonics from the CSE mechanism. Yeung et al. [28] experimentally investigated the polarization dependence of relativistic laser-driven high-harmonic emission from thin foils in the CSE context for the normal incidence in transmission geometry. The resulting polarization of the harmonics was found to be similar to that of the ROM harmonics.

In this paper we investigate the polarization of CSE harmonics for the oblique incidence in reflection geometry. The dependence of the polarization state of the attosecond light bursts on that of the driver laser pulses is investigated for the first time to the best of our knowledge. Based on this study, we propose a new way to generate attosecond burst with circular polarization or at least with elliptical polariation and a high degree of ellipticity. The structure of the paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2, we summarize the general representation of light polarization state. In Sec. 3, we discuss properties of attosecond light bursts in a typical interaction scenario. In Sec. 4, we analyze the energy coupling process revealing the underlying physics as proposed in our previous work [35]. Sec. 5 is to the study of the parametric dependence. At last, our work is concluded and its applicability is discussed in Sec. 6.

2. Representation of light polarization

In a right-handed coordinate system, a generally elliptically polarized light pulse propagating along *x*-axis is described by [36] $\mathbf{E}_{\perp}(t) = \operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{E}(t)\exp[j\phi(t)]\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\rho}\} = \operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{E}_{y}(t)\exp[j\phi_{y}(t)]\hat{\mathbf{y}}\} + \operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{E}_{z}(t)\exp[j\phi_{z}(t)]\hat{\mathbf{z}}\}$. Here \tilde{E} and ϕ are the pulse amplitude and the absolute phase. $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\rho} = (\cos\theta\cos\varepsilon - j\sin\theta\sin\varepsilon)\hat{\mathbf{y}} + (\sin\theta\cos\varepsilon + j\cos\theta\sin\varepsilon)\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is the polarization vector with θ the azimuth angle limited to the range $-\pi/2 \leq \theta < \pi/2$, and ε the ellipticity angle to the range $-\pi/4 \leq \varepsilon \leq \pi/4$. For light pulse propagating in positive (negative) *x*-direction, $\varepsilon > 0$ corresponds to right-hand (left-hand), while $\varepsilon < 0$ corresponds to left-hand (right-hand) elliptical polarization, when looking against its propagation direction.

A Stokes vetor $\mathbf{S} = [S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3]$ is often used to describe light polarization state,

$$S_0 = \langle \tilde{E}_y^2(t) \rangle + \langle \tilde{E}_z^2(t) \rangle$$
 (1a)

$$S_1 = \langle \tilde{E}_{\nu}^2(t) \rangle - \langle \tilde{E}_{\tau}^2(t) \rangle$$
 (1b)

$$S_2 = \langle \tilde{E}_y(t)\tilde{E}_z(t)\cos[\phi_z(t) - \phi_y(t)] \rangle$$
(1c)

$$S_3 = \langle \tilde{E}_{v}(t)\tilde{E}_{z}(t)\sin[\phi_{z}(t) - \phi_{v}(t)] \rangle$$
(1d)

where $\langle v \rangle$ signifies the time average of $v: \langle v \rangle = (1/T) \int_0^T v dt$, with T an interval of time long enough to make the time-average integral independent of T itself. The azimuth θ and ellipticity angle ε are calculable from these Stokes parameters,

$$\theta = (1/2)\arctan(S_2/S_1) \tag{2a}$$

$$\varepsilon = (1/2) \arcsin[S_3/(S_1^2 + S_1^2 + S_3^2)^{1/2}]$$
(2b)

The ellipticity of the polarization ellipse σ is related to ε through,

$$\sigma = \tan \varepsilon \tag{2c}$$

It is limited to the range $-1 \le \sigma \le 1$.

An alternative description of light polarization is through a complex parameter χ , defined as: $\chi = (\tilde{E}_z/\tilde{E}_y) \exp j[\phi_z - \phi_y]$, it is connected to θ and ε through,

$$\chi = \frac{\tan \theta + j \tan \varepsilon}{1 - j \tan \theta \tan \varepsilon}$$
(3)

For linearly polarized light $\varepsilon = 0$, $\theta = \arctan \chi$ exists.



Fig. 1. A plane wave laser pulse obliquely incident onto a plane plasma target in the lab system. Blue axes, the *p*- and the *s*- polarization axes. Cyan two-ended arrows, polarization direction or major axis of polarization ellipse. See text for symbol meanings.

3. Properties of attosecond light bursts in a typical interaction scenario

As in FIG. 1, we consider a plane wave laser pulse incident obliquely onto a plane plasma layer at incidence angle α . The plasma layer has initially only one dimensional density distribution along its surface normal. The physics is Lorentz transformed into one boosted frame by using the Boudier's technique [37] where the problem is 1D. The boosted frame is chosen such that *x*-axis points from the laser to the plasma, perpendicular to the plasma surface and the *y*-axis lies in the plane parallel to the target surface. It has a velocity of $\mathbf{v}_0 = \hat{\mathbf{y}}c \sin \alpha$ with respect to the Lab-frame, where *c* is the vacuum light velocity. Thus, the electrons and ions initially at rest in the lab frame have the same drift velocity $-\hat{\mathbf{y}}c \sin \alpha$ in the boosted frame. θ and ε are invariants under the Lorentz boost. In this paper, unless otherwise stated, all the variables are from the boosted frame.

The simulation is performed using the 1D PIC code LPIC++ [25]. The incident laser pulse at x = 0 is assumed to have a Gaussian temporal profile with the normalized electric field given by $\mathbf{E}^i_{\perp}(t) = \operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{E}^i_{\perp}(t)\exp[j\phi^i(t)]\hat{\mathbf{e}}^i_o\}$, where $\tilde{E}^i_{\perp}(t) = E_{\mathrm{L}}\exp\left[-2\ln 2(t/\bar{\tau}_{\mathrm{L}})^2\right]$ and $\phi^i(t) = E_{\mathrm{L}}\exp\left[-2\ln 2(t/\bar{\tau}_{\mathrm{L}})^2\right]$ $2\pi t + \varphi_{\text{CEP}}$. E_{L} is the peak laser field normalized by $mc\omega_{\text{L}}/e$; m, ω_{L} and e, the electron rest mass, laser fundamental frequency and electron charge respectively. $\bar{\tau}_L$ is the intensity fullwidth-half-maximum (FWHM) laser pulse duration normalized to laser period TL. Throughout this paper we assume $E_{\rm L} \approx 10$, which corresponds to a laser intensity of $2 \times 10^{20} \text{ W/cm}^2$ for a laser central wavelength $\lambda_{\rm L}$ of 800 nm. The density profile of the interacting plasma has an exponential interface layer in the front with scale length of L. It rises from $0.2n_c$ up to a maximum of 90 n_c and then it is followed by a $2\lambda_L$ thick constant density distribution, where n_e and n_i are electron and ion fluid densities normalized by critical density n_c at ω_L . A simulation box with a total length of $12\lambda_L$ is aligned on the x axis from x = 0 to $x = 12\lambda_L$. The $2\lambda_L$ thick plasma with flat top density is located between $x = 9\lambda_L$ and $x = 11\lambda_L$. The laser pulse is incident onto the plasma layer at an angle of $\alpha = 45^{\circ}$. The simulations are performed for moving ions with charge number Z = 10 and ion to electron mass ratio $m_i/m_e = 50000$. The resolution is $\Delta x = 10^{-3} \lambda_L$ and $\Delta t = 7.07 \times 10^{-4} T_L$, with 900 particles per cell for both electrons and ions at the highest density $90n_c$.



Fig. 2. (a) Incident electric field $\mathbf{E}_{\perp}^{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\rho}^{i}$ (blue solid curve). (b) Reflected electric field components. (c) A zoom in of the strongest burst shown in (b). (d) SPDs for field components of the strongest burst. Red and green solid curves are respectively for the *p*- and the *s*-polarization. The one-cycle long unit chebyshev window (magenta dashed line) used to select the strongest burst is sketched in (b) and zoomed in (c); its real amplitude is 1. Black short-dashed curves mark the incident field envelope in (a). Black dashed lines mark the cycle for the strongest attosecond burst emission in (a) and (b). Red and green dashed lines indicate the -8/3 and -4/3 roll-off scalings. Laser and plasma parameters are $E_{\rm L} = 10$, $\theta^{i} = 10^{\circ}$, $\sigma_{\rm CEP} = 210^{\circ}$, $\tau_{\rm FWHM} = 5$ fs and $L = 0.43 \lambda_{\rm L}$.

The reflected laser field is written as $\mathbf{E}_{\perp}^{r}(t) = E_{y}^{r}(t)\mathbf{\hat{y}} + E_{z}^{r}(t)\mathbf{\hat{z}} = \operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{E}_{\perp}^{r}(t)\exp[j\phi^{r}(t)]\mathbf{e}_{\rho}^{r}(t)\}$. If the incident laser pulse is *p*-polarized $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\rho}^{i} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ ($\theta^{i} = 0, \epsilon^{i} = 0, \sigma^{i} = 0$ and $\chi^{i} = 0$); the reflected electric field has no s-polarization component. We consider here an incident pulse with $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\rho}^{i} = \cos 10^{\circ} \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \sin 10^{\circ} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ ($\theta^{i} = 10^{\circ}, \varepsilon^{i} = 0, \sigma^{i} = 0$ and $\chi^{i} = 0.18$) as in FIG. 2 (a), its s-component is 18 percent of its p-component in amplitude and 3 percent in intensity. We note that the two components of the reflected field shown in FIG. 2 (b) are naturally attosecond spikes even without filtering. The s-component spikes have larger values than their p-component counterparts although the s-portion in the incident field is small. These spikes occur at the same time in the p and s components, forming a train of attosecond bursts (attotrain) $\mathbf{E}_{+}^{r}(t_{p})$. As an alternative, a spectral band containing the 10th to 50th laser harmonics (H10-H50) is used to synthesize a filtered field $\mathbf{E}_{\perp \text{fitr}}^{r}(t)$. The corresponding attotrain is $\mathbf{E}_{\perp \text{fitr}}^{r}(t_{p})$. Here t_{p} is defined at the peaks of these bursts. Field components of the strongest burst $\mathbf{E}'_{\perp}(t_p = t_m)$ are shown in FIG. 2 (c). We see $t_m \approx 17.4$. Before the evaluation of the polarization state with Eq. 1 to 3, electric field of this burst is gated with a one-cycle long chebyshev window. If the gated field without filtering is evaluated, the polarization state is $\theta^r(t_p = t_m) \approx -36.4^\circ$, $\varepsilon^r(t_p = t_m) \approx 17.0^\circ$, $\sigma^r(t_p = t_m) \approx 0.30$ and $\chi^r(t_p = t_m) \approx -0.64 + 0.45j$. If the filtered field from H10-H50 is evaluated, the polarization state changes to be $\theta_{atto}^r(t_p = t_m) \approx -61.0^\circ$, $\varepsilon_{atto}^r(t_p = t_m) \approx 15.1^\circ$, $\sigma_{\text{atto}}^r(t_p = t_m) \approx 0.27$, and $\chi_{\text{atto}}^r(t_p = t_m) \approx -1.35 + 0.93j$. The corresponding polarization ellipse is approaching linear polarization and its major axis quite off the original 10° for the incident laser pulse $(|\theta_{atto}^r(t_p = t_m)| \gg |\theta^i|)$. The gated field from each polarization is fourier transformed into the corresponding spectral power density (SPD) and shown in FIG. 2 (d). It's apparent that the spectral power law roll-off scaling of the s-polarized harmonics ($\sim -4/3$) is much shallower than that of the p-polarized harmonics (> -8/3) and the SPD values for both polarizations at 10*th* harmonic order are comparable. In addition, we find out that the overall *s*-polarized radiation energy is around 7 times that from the incident pulse indicating a strong energy transfer from *p*- to *s*-polarization in the emission process.

4. Energy coupling analysis

The characteristics of the reflected field in the previous section can be well explained by the CSE model [33], in which highly dense electron nanobunches are formed and copropagate with the laser wavefront. The stored energy in these nanobunches couple efficiently to the radiative electromagnetic modes and thus generates harmonics that are phase synchronized and synthesize into single electromagnetic bursts with attosecond duration. The entire emission process happens on the sub-cycle and sub-wavelength scales of the laser.

In the CSE context, a single particle picture [33,34] is appropriate. The equations governing electrons are $\mathbf{p}_{\perp} = \mathbf{a} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \tan \alpha$, $d_t(\hat{\mathbf{x}} p_x) = -\omega_{\mathrm{L}} E_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} - (\omega_{\mathrm{L}}/\gamma) \mathbf{p}_{\perp} \times \mathbf{B}_{\perp}$ and $d_t \gamma = -(\omega_{\mathrm{L}}/\gamma) p_x E_x - (\omega_{\mathrm{L}}/\gamma) \mathbf{p}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\perp}$ where \mathbf{E}_{\perp} , \mathbf{B}_{\perp} and E_x are electromagnetic and electrostatic fields normalized by $mc\omega_{\mathrm{L}}/e$. \mathbf{a} is the vector potential normalized by mc^2/e and is related to \mathbf{E}_{\perp} and \mathbf{B}_{\perp} through $\mathbf{E}_{\perp} = -\omega_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}\partial_t \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\perp} = c\omega_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \partial_x \mathbf{a}$ using Coulomb gauge, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} and p_x are the transverse and x-component electron fluid momenta normalized by mc, and γ is the relativistic factor, $\mathbf{E}_{\perp}(x,t)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\perp}(x,t)$ can be obtained from the simulation. They are separable into the forward (positive x) and backward (negative x) propagating fields using the spectral method, $\mathbf{E}_{\perp} = \mathbf{E}_{\perp}^f + \mathbf{E}_{\perp}^b$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\perp} = \mathbf{B}_{\perp}^f + \mathbf{B}_{\perp}^b$. Correspondingly, $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^f + \mathbf{a}^b$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\perp} = \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^f + \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^b - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \tan \alpha$, where \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^f and \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^b are the quiver momenta due to the forward and backward propagating fields. Moreover, $\mathbf{E}_{\perp}^f(x,t)$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\perp}^b(x,t)$ evolve inside plasma during the emission and are thus different from $\mathbf{E}_{\perp}^i(x,t)$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\perp}^r(x,t)$ which are assumed to freely propagate in infinite space without damping.

The force responsible for the emission of the reflected field in the equation for $d_t(\hat{\mathbf{x}}p_x)$ can be written as $\mathbf{f}^b = \mathbf{f}^b_{elec} + \mathbf{f}^b_{pond}$ with $\mathbf{f}^b_{elec} = (\omega_L/\gamma) \tan \alpha \hat{\mathbf{y}} \times \mathbf{B}^b_{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{f}^b_{pond} = -(\omega_L/\gamma)(\mathbf{p}^f_{\perp} + \mathbf{p}^b_{\perp}) \times \mathbf{B}^b_{\perp}$. Using the approximation $\mathbf{E}^b_{\perp} \approx \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbf{B}^b_{\perp}$ and $p_x/\gamma \approx -1$, we have $d_t\gamma \approx -(\omega_L/\gamma)p_x E_x - (\omega_L/\gamma)\mathbf{p}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{E}^f_{\perp} + \mathbf{f}^b_{elec} \cdot (p_x/\gamma)\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{f}^b_{pond} \cdot (p_x/\gamma)\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ with the third and fourth terms the rate of energy exchange from backward radiation to electrons by the direct electric force and the ponderomotive force respectively. The latter is well-known for its role in secular energy exchange between the electrons and the laser light. When the ponderomotive force is in the direction of electron motion, the electrons lose energy from the laser field and light is absorbed and when it is in the opposite direction, the electrons lose energy to the laser field and the light is amplified.

For the emission of the strongest attosecond burst, the interaction process is best presented in a coordinate system moving with the wave front of the reflected field ($\tilde{\eta} = x + ct, \tilde{t} = t$). We define the normalized force for *p*-polarization: $f_p^b = f_p^{\text{lin}} + f_p^{\text{cro}} + f_p^{\text{sqr}}$ with $f_p^{\text{lin}} = \tan \alpha \times B_z^b/E_{yL}^2$, $f_p^{\text{cro}} = -p_y^f \times B_z^b/E_{yL}^2$ and $f_p^{\text{sqr}} = -p_y^b \times B_z^b/E_{yL}^2$; for *s*-polarization $f_s^b = f_s^{\text{cro}} + f_s^{\text{sqr}}$ with $f_s^{\text{cro}} = p_z^f \times B_y^b/E_{zL}^2$ and $f_s^{\text{sqr}} = p_z^b \times B_y^b/E_{zL}^2$. At a time moment when the growth rate of the harmonic energy for each polarization component within H10-H50 is around maximum, the electron nanobunch is moving at close to the light velocity $cp_x/\gamma \sim -c$. In FIG. 3 (e) and (f), we have compared these forces with the instantaneous electron density distribution. f_p^{lin} has negligible magnitude compared to all other terms confirming that the pure density coupling [38] is negligible. f_p^{cro} is pointing in positive-*x* direction, while f_p^{sqr} is pointing in negative-*x* direction. Their effects cancel each other resulting in a low gain. However, both f_s^{cro} and f_s^{sqr} are pointing in positive *x*-direction. Normalized terms of f_p^b are all weaker than those of f_s^b . This point favors the rotation of the major axis of pollarization ellipse towards the *s*polarization direction. The direction of f_s^{sqr} pointing in the opposite direction of electron motion



Fig. 3. Emission of the strongest attosecond burst. (a) $E_y^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t} = 0.5T_L)$. (b) $E_z^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t} = 0.5T_L)$. (c) $W_p^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t})$. (d) $W_s^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t})$. (e) $f_p^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_1)$ and $n_e(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_1)$ (blue solid curve). (f) $f_p^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_2)$ and $n_e(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_2)$ (blue solid curve). Red, green and cyan lines in (e) are the forces f_p^{cro} , f_p^{sqr} and f_p^{lin} . Red and green lines in (f) are the forces f_s^{cro} and f_s^{sqr} . Magenta short dashed-lines in (c) and (d) are $\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_1$ and $\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_2$, when the H10-H50 harmonic energy growth rate is maximum for each polarization. $n_e(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t})$ is shown in (c) and (d) as contours. The dark gray, gray and light gray level curves correpond to the density of $250n_c$, $60n_c$ and $30n_c$ respectively. The origins of $\tilde{\eta}$ and \tilde{t} axes have been changed for appropriate presentation. Laser and plasma parameters are the same as in FIG. 2.

suggests a positive feedback to the emission process, which also happens in high gain regime of FELs. This also indicates a high coherence factor [39] for the electron bunch in emitting the *s*-polarized light.

Corresponding to f_p^b and f_s^b , we define the normalized energy exchange rate for *p*- and *s*polarized backward radiation as $W_p^b = -p_y E_y^b / E_{yL}^2$ and $W_s^b = -p_z E_z^b / E_{zL}^2$. The evolution of W_p^b and W_s^b for the emission of the strongest attosecond burst are compared in FIG. 3 (c) and (d). Their negative values mean that the energy exchanges from electrons to radiation field. At a fixed $\tilde{\eta}$, $W_p^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t})$ and $W_s^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t})$ are integrable along \tilde{t} to give the total energy accumulation at that $\tilde{\eta}$. Due to energy exchanges, the magnitudes of backward radiation fields $E_y^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t} = 0)$ and $E_z^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t} = 0)$ grow from trivial values to a state at the end of radiation process as shown in FIG. 3 (a) and (b). After $\tilde{t} = 0.5T_L$, the interaction is over and the shapes of E_y^b and E_z^b don't change anymore. They are observed at simulation box end as $E_v^r(t)$ and $E_z^r(t)$. We see that W_p^b is dispersed and weak while W_s^b is localized and strong. Besides, energetic high density electrons overlapped with W_s^b much better than with W_p^b . This signifies that energy extraction from electrons to *s*-polarization field favors shorter spike and higher conversion efficiency. We also see that the width of $E_z^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t} = 0.5T_L)$ is narrower than $E_y^b(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t} = 0.5T_L)$, in agreement with the strong and shallow spectrum for E_z^r shown in FIG. 2 (d). Detailed investigation shows that it is the strong nonsymmetric depletion of \mathbf{E}_{\perp}^i to \mathbf{E}_{\perp}^f by the cloud electrons from the previous cycle before \mathbf{E}_{\perp}^f sees the bunch and by the bunch during the emission process which makes $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\rho}^f(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{t}) \neq \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\rho}^i$ and E_y^f very different from E_z^f in shape. Here $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\rho}^f$ is the polarization vector of \mathbf{E}_{\perp}^f . This resulted in a higher gain for the *s*-polarized light which makes $|\chi^r| \gg |\chi^i|$, and the rotation of the major axis of the polarization ellipse of an attosecond burst towards the *s*-polarization direction.

Our analysis here can be compared with that of Tarasevitch *et al.* [40]. They proposed a twobeam HHG concept and considered the energy exchange between the two superposed beams at different laser frequencies. And they used an externally driven oscillating mirror model [40] to explain the much shallower spectral shape for the reflected weak probe beam and later they identified two different energy coupling mechanisms for the two beam HHG: [38] "pure density" and "density-velocity" coupling, with the pure density coupling prevalent in the CWE dominated regime. The existent models discuss harmonic emission due to the ROM or the CWE mechanism. Here we consider CSE harmonic emission; the role of ponderomotive force in the energy coupling process is emphasized.

5. Parametric dependence

Among the many possible combinations of θ^i and ε^i for the incident laser pulse, we concentrate on two special cases: 1) when $\varepsilon^i = 0$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^i_{\rho} = \cos \theta^i \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \sin \theta^i \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, the pulse is linearly polarized with azimuth θ^i . 2) when $\theta^i = 0$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^i_{\rho} = \cos \varepsilon^i \hat{\mathbf{y}} + j \sin \varepsilon^i \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, the pulse is elliptically polarized with major axis along y-axis and ellipticity $\sigma^i = \tan \varepsilon^i$. The filtered field $\mathbf{E}^r_{\perp \text{ftrr}}$ from spectral band H10-H50 is used to evaluate the polarization state of a single attosecond burst or an attotrain. The polarization state for the strongest attosecond burst is described by θ^r_{atto} , $\varepsilon^r_{\text{atto}}$, σ^r_{atto} and χ^r_{atto} . The polarization state for the whole attotrain is described by θ^r_{train} , $\varepsilon^r_{\text{train}}$, σ^r_{train} and χ^r_{train} . And we define the XUV conversion efficiencies as $\eta_p = \int (\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \mathbf{E}^r_{\perp \text{ftr}})^2 dt / \int |\mathbf{E}^i_{\perp}|^2 dt$ for the *p*polarized, $\eta_s = \int (\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{E}^r_{\perp \text{fttr}})^2 dt / \int |\mathbf{E}^i_{\perp}|^2 dt$ for the *s*-polarized, and $\eta_t = \eta_p + \eta_s$ for the total.

When the azimuth $\bar{\theta}^i$ of a linearly polarized laser pulse is varied, we have made a series of PIC simulations and have got $|\chi_{atto}^r|$ and $|\chi_{train}^r|$, as shown in FIG. 4 (a); as well as σ_{atto}^r and σ_{train}^r , as shown in FIG. 4 (b). The variation of $|\chi_{atto}^r|$ or $|\chi_{train}^r|$ is much faster than the variation of $|\chi^i|$. (When $\sigma \sim 0$, the fast change of $|\chi|$ indicates a fast rotation of θ .) When $|\chi^i|$ linearly increases up to $|\chi^i| \approx 0.18$ ($|\theta^i| = 10^\circ$), $|\chi_{atto}^r|$ and $|\chi_{train}^r|$ almost linearly increase up to $|\chi_{atto}^r| \approx 1.64$ and $|\chi_{train}^r| \approx 1.68$ accordingly. At $\theta^i > 10^\circ$, there exists a large θ^i range where $|\chi_{atto}^{atto}|$ is much higher than $|\chi_{train}^r|$. Although the incident laser pulse is linearly polarized, the attosecond bursts generally show certain degree of ellipticity, however they still approach linear polarization for small θ^i s. The XUV conversion efficiencies are shown in FIG. 4 (c). We see that all the curves are symmetric about $\theta^i = 0$. When the incident pulse is purely *p*-polarized $\theta^i = 0$, $|\chi_{atto}^r| = |\chi_{train}^r| \equiv 0$. When it is rotated off *p*-polarization, the *p*-polarized harmonic energy decrease monotonically while the *s*-polarized one increases first and then decreases again. For $|\theta^i| > 45^\circ$, η_p , η_s and η_t are orders of magnitude lower than $\eta_p(\theta^i = 0)$ and thus not of interest. There exists an optimum θ^i for the incident laser pulse $|\theta_{opt}^i| \approx 10^\circ$ where both η_s and η_t are maximized. Although θ_{opt}^i is not large, η_s around θ_{opt}^i holds a much larger portion than η_p in the overall efficiency η_t .

When $\theta^i = 0$ and the ellipticity of the incident laser pulse $\sigma^i = \tan \varepsilon^i$ is varied, the PIC sim-



Fig. 4. (a) θ^i dependence of (a) $|\chi|$ and (b) σ for the strongest attosecond burst (blue solid curve) and for the attotrain (red dotted line) synthesized from H10-H50. Black dashed curve, χ^i in (a) and σ^i in (b) of the incident laser pulse as a reference. (c) θ^i dependence of XUV conversion efficiency for H10-H50. Blue dashed, red dotted and black solid curves in (c) correspond to the *p*-polarized η_p , the *s*-polarized η_s and the overall η_t harmonic conversion efficiencies. The scan resolution for θ^i is 1°. Other laser and plasma parameters are $E_L = 10$, $\varphi_{CEP} = 210^\circ$, $\tau_{FWHM} = 5$ fs and $L = 0.43\lambda_L$.

ulation results for $|\chi_{atto}^r|$ and $|\chi_{train}^r|$ are shown in FIG. 5 (a); while σ_{atto}^r and σ_{train}^r are shown in FIG. 5 (b). The variation of $|\chi_{atto}^r|$ and $|\chi_{train}^r|$ is still faster than the variation of $|\chi^i|$. The variation of $|\chi^r|$. tion of $|\sigma_{atto}^r|$ or $|\sigma_{train}^r|$ is much faster than the variation of $|\sigma^i|$. When $|\sigma^i|$ linearly increases up to $|\sigma^i| \approx 0.25$ ($|\varepsilon^i| = 14^\circ$), $|\sigma^r_{atto}|$ and $|\sigma^r_{train}|$ almost linearly increase up to $|\sigma^r_{atto}| = |\sigma^r_{train}| \approx 0.81$ $|\varepsilon_{atto}^r = |\varepsilon_{train}^r| \approx 39^\circ)$ accordingly. When the incident pulse has an ellipticity of $|\overline{\sigma}^i| \approx 0.36$ $(|\varepsilon^i| \approx 20^\circ)$, the ellipticity of the strongest attosecond burst is even as high as $\sigma_{\text{atto}}^r \approx 0.95$ $(|\varepsilon_{atto}^r \approx 43.6^\circ|)$. The temporal structures as well as the SPDs for this burst is shown in FIG. 6 (a) and (b). For elliptically polarized light with small ellipticity, the generated attosecond burst is almost circularly polarized. The XUV conversion efficiencies are shown in FIG. 5 (c). We see that all the curves are symmetric about $\sigma^i = 0$. When the incident pulse is purely p-polarized $\sigma^i = 0, |\sigma^r_{\text{atto}}| = |\sigma^r_{\text{train}}| \equiv 0$. When it is rotated off *p*-polarization, the *p*-polarized harmonic energy decrease monotonically while the *s*-polarized one increases first and then decreases again. There exists a σ^i range where the overall efficiency η_t almost does not change. We note that, for the case where the generated attosecond burst is circularly polarized, the XUV conversion efficiency is comparable to that of a p-polarized driver ($\theta^i = \varepsilon^i = 0$). Although in principle, a normally incident circularly polarized laser can also generate a circularly polarized attosecond burst [41]. However, the requirements on the driving laser pulse is demanding and the XUV conversion efficiency is much lower than the corresponding oblique incidence case.

It is known that the carrier envelope phase (CEP), the driver pulse duration, and the plasma scale length can all affect the efficiency of harmonic generation [35] In FIG. 7, we compare



Fig. 5. (a) σ^i dependence of (a) $|\chi|$ and (b) σ for the strongest attosecond burst (blue solid curve) and for the attotrain (red dotted line) synthesized from H10-H50. Black dashed curve, χ^i in (a) and σ^i in (b) of the incident laser pulse as a reference. (c) σ^i dependence of XUV conversion efficiency for H10-H50. Blue dashed, red dotted and black solid curves in (c) correspond to the *p*-polarized η_p , the *s*-polarized η_s and the overall η_t harmonic conversion efficiencies. ε^i is scanned at a resolution of 1° in the range $-45^\circ \le \varepsilon^i \le 45^\circ$ to give $\sigma^i = \tan \varepsilon^i$. Other laser and plasma parameters are $E_L = 10$, $\varphi_{CEP} = 210^\circ$, $\tau_{FWHM} = 5$ fs and $L = 0.43\lambda_L$.

the effects of these parameters for the laser field amplitudes $E_{yL} = 10$ and $E_{zL} = 1$. As in (a), for $\tau_{FWHM} = 5$ fs, at the scale length $L_{opt} \approx 0.36\lambda_L$, $|\chi_{train}^r|$ is as large as $|\chi_{train}^r| \approx 0.62$, compared with the small χ^i of the incident pulse $\chi^i = 0.1$. The *L* dependence of $|\chi_{train}^r|$ is almost unaffected by φ_{CEP} but is affected by τ_{FWHM} . For $\tau_{FWHM} = 25$ fs, $|\chi_{train}^r|$ decreases to $|\chi_{train}^r| \approx 0.32$ at optimum scale length. FIG. 7 (b) shows the energy amplification ratio Γ for the *s*-polarized electromagnetic field. Here Γ is defined as $\Gamma = \int (E_z^r)^2 dt / \int (E_z^i)^2 dt$. An energy amplification as high as $\Gamma \approx 8.7$ has been found for 5 fs driver pulse at optimum scale length for both CEPs. For a longer driver pulse where $\tau_{FWHM} = 25$ fs, the CSE is not the dominated HHG process [35]; it experiences a weaker amplification $\Gamma \approx 3.3$ at optimum. This energy amplification is a clear evidence of energy exchange from the streaming electrons to the *s*component of the reflected laser field. The calculation is repeated for $E_{yL} = 10$ and $E_{zL} = 0.1$. In this case all the curves shown almost retain their shapes, although the $|\chi_{train}^r|$ values in (a) decrease.

6. discussions and conclusions

To conclude, we have investigated the polarization properties of attosecond light bursts as well as its parametric dependence, for the CSE harmonic generation in the "oblique incidence in reflection" geometry. A detailed energy coupling analysis is done to reveal the underlying physics: the effect of ponderomotive force on electron nanobunches determine the polariza-



Fig. 6. Time and spectrum structures of the strongest attosecond burst. (a) red solid, green solid and black short dashed lines are for $E_{y,\text{atto}}^r$, $E_{z,\text{atto}}^r$ and $[(E_{y,\text{atto}}^r)^2 + (E_{z,\text{atto}}^r)^2]^{1/2}$ respectively. Spectral range H10-H50 is used to synthesize the burst. (b) red and green solid lines are SPDs for *p*- and *s*-polarized light correspondingly. Red and green dashed lines are the -8/3 and -4/3 power law roll-off scalings. Laser and plasma parameters are $E_{\text{L}} = 10$, $\varphi_{\text{CEP}} = 210^\circ$, $\tau_{\text{FWHM}} = 5$ fs, $L = 0.43\lambda_{\text{L}}$ and $\varepsilon^i = 20^\circ$.



Fig. 7. *L* dependence of (a) $|\chi|$ for the attotrain synthesized from H10-H50, and of (b) the ratio Γ for *s*-polarized light for the whole spectral range. Red solid curve, $\varphi_{\text{CEP}} = 135^{\circ}$ and $\tau_{\text{FWHM}} = 5$ fs; green short-dotted curve, $\varphi_{\text{CEP}} = 210^{\circ}$ and $\tau_{\text{FWHM}} = 5$ fs; blue short-doshed curve, $\varphi_{\text{CEP}} = 0^{\circ}$ and $\tau_{\text{FWHM}} = 25$ fs. The scan resolution for *L* is $0.01\lambda_{\text{L}}$. Laser amplitudes are fixed at $E_{y\text{L}} = 10$ and $E_{z\text{L}} = 1$ with polarization state $\theta^i = 5.7^{\circ}$ and $\varepsilon^i = 0$. Black dash-dotted line in (a) $|\chi_{\text{train}}^r| = 0.1$, in (b) $\Gamma = 1$.

tion state of the generated attosecond light bursts.

Our results help understanding the characteristics of laser-plasma based attosecond light sources, especially for diagnosing and optimizing the interaction parameters for polarization controlled isolated ultrabright attosecond light bursts.

Based on the results, we propose that, if the laser and plasma parameters (pulse duration, carrier envelope phase, plasma scale length) are controlled, through the manipulation of the polarization state of the driver laser pulse, we can generate an intense circularly polarized attosecond light burst from plasma surfaces which would benefit to many advanced applications.

Due to the computation complexity, the analysis done in this work is limited to situation convertible into 1D problems. However, the underlying physics is so enlightening that we believe, although detailed dependencies are changable due to multi-dimensional effects in real experiments, we can still expect the same conclusion.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11304331, 11174303, 11374262), the National Basic Research Program of China (2013CBA01504), the Fundamental Research Funds for Central Universities, the Munich Center for Advanced Photonics(MAP), DFG Project Transregio TR18, and the Association EURATOM Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik.