

Cluster Decay Half-lives of 5d Transition Metal Nuclei using the Coulomb and Proximity Potential Model

K. E. Abd El Mageed¹, L. I. Abou Salem¹, K.A.Gado² Asmaa G. Shalaby^{1,3}

¹*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Benha university, 13518 Egypt.*

²*Higher Institute of Engineering (BHIE), Bilbes, Sharqia, Egypt.*

³*World Laboratory for Cosmology and Particle Physics (WLCAPP), Cairo, Egypt.*

Abstract

We have applied the Coulomb and Proximity potential model (CPPM), to calculate the half lives for various clusters decay of the selected even-even isotopes of the chosen nuclei. These nuclei are (Hf, W, Os, Pt and Hg) in 5d transition metal region in the periodic table with atomic number $72 \leq Z \leq 80$. Furthermore, half-lives are calculated using the universal formula (UNIV) for cluster decay. The calculated half-lives of alpha decay for the chosen isotopes are in good agreement with the experimental data especially with CPPM results. The alpha and cluster decays are more probable from the parents in the heavier mass number ($A=168-180$) than from the parents in the lighter mass number ($A=156-166$).

Keywords:- Proximity potential, universal function, half-life time of decay process, Q -Value

PACS :- 21.10.Dr, 21.10.Ft, 21.10.Hw

I. Introduction

The exotic decay or cluster radioactivity is the radioactive decay at which the nuclei emitting particle heavier than α -particle. This cold process is intermediate between α -decay and spontaneous fission. Sandulescu et al. [1] was first predicted this phenomenon on the basis of quantum mechanical fragmentation theory (QMFT). Spontaneous decay of nuclei by emission of clusters heavier than α particle is experimentally established. The emitted ^{14}C , $^{24, 25, 26}\text{Ne}$, $^{28, 30}\text{Mg}$, $^{32, 34}\text{Si}$ clusters from heavy nuclei were observed and the half-lives measured [2].

The cluster decay half-lives can be determined theoretically by the one dimensional Wentzel-Kramers Brillouin (WKB) approximation [2] in which the nuclear potential has a significant role. There are many models to calculate the nuclear potential such as the double folding model (DFM) [3, 4] and liquid drop model [5]. In addition, the proximity potential model has been used to study the cluster radioactivity [6–8]. The importance of this model is that, it provides information about the radioactivity of different nuclei [9]. The Coulomb and Proximity Potential model (CPPM) [10] have been used to study alpha and cluster radioactivity in various mass regions of the nuclear chart.

Emails

1- karima.abdelmagid@fsc.bu.edu.eg

2- asmaa.shalaby@fsc.bu.edu.eg

The CPPM is used to study the cluster radioactivity and half-life times for various proton rich parents with ($Z = 56-64$) and ($N = 56-72$), decaying to doubly magic such as ^{100}Sn [10]. This model has been used also to study the cold valleys in the radioactive decay of $^{248-254}\text{Cf}$ isotopes and calculated alpha decay half-lives [11]. The cold valleys are the minima in the driving potential ($V-Q$) plots against the mass number of the emitted cluster A_2 .

We studied the partitions of 4d transition metal nuclei using the core- cluster model in a previous work [12]. In the present work, we attempt to calculate the cluster-decay half-lives of parent nuclei using the coulomb and proximity potential model. We selected the 5d transition metal region in the periodic table in the framework of CPPM. The chosen even-even nuclei for this study are (Hf, W, Os, Pt and Hg) with atomic number $72 \leq Z \leq 80$.

This paper is organized as follows: the details of the Coulomb and proximity potential model and universal formula are discussed in section II. The results and discussion are represented in section III. Finally, the conclusions from this work is represented.

II. Theoretical Model

II.a. The Coulomb and Proximity Potential model (CPPM)

The interaction potential barrier in CPPM is the sum of Coulomb, proximity and centrifugal potentials for the touching configuration and for the separated fragments. Shi and Swiatecki [13] explained the overlap region by a simple power law interpolation. The implication of the proximity potential decreases the height of the potential barrier, which makes the model calculations closely agree with the experimental data.

The total interacting potential barrier for a parent nucleus exhibiting exotic decay is given by

$$V = \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{r} + V_p(z) + \frac{\hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1)}{2\mu r}, \quad \text{for } z > 0 \quad (1)$$

Where: Z_1 and Z_2 are the atomic numbers of the daughter and emitted cluster, z is the distance between the nearby surfaces between daughter and cluster. The distance between fragment centers r , ℓ is the angular momentum, and μ is the reduced mass. The proximity potential $V_p(z)$ is represented by Blocki et al. [6],

$$V_p(z) = 4\pi\gamma b \left[\frac{C_1 C_2}{C_1 + C_2} \right] \phi\left(\frac{z}{b}\right) \quad (2)$$

with the width of the nuclear surface $b \approx 1$, and γ is the nuclear surface tension coefficient [14] which is given by

$$\gamma = 0.9517 \left\{ 1 - 1.7826 (N - Z)^2 \right\} / A^2 \quad \text{MeV/fm}^2 \quad (3)$$

Where, N, Z and A are the neutron, proton and mass number of the parent respectively. The central radii C_i (i refers to the daughter and/or cluster) related to sharp radii R_i as:

$$C_i = R_i - \left(\frac{b^2}{R_i} \right) \quad (4)$$

To calculate R_i , we can use the semi-empirical formula in terms of mass number A_i [6] as following,

$$R_i = 1.28A_i^{1/3} + 0.8A_i^{-1/3} - 0.76 \quad (5)$$

The universal proximity potential [15] ϕ is given as

$$\phi(\varepsilon) = -4.41 e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{0.7176}} \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \geq 1.9475 \quad (6)$$

$$\phi(\varepsilon) = -1.7817 + 0.927\varepsilon + 0.0169\varepsilon^2 - 0.05148\varepsilon^3 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1.9475 \quad (7)$$

With $\varepsilon = z/b$. Using one – dimensional WKB approximation, the barrier penetrability P is given as

$$P = \exp\left(-\frac{2b}{\hbar} \int_a^b \sqrt{2\mu(V-Q)} dz \right) \quad (8)$$

In eq.(8) the reduced mass $\mu = mA_1A_2/A$, m is the nucleon mass and A_1, A_2 are the mass numbers of daughter and emitted cluster respectively. The turning points “a” and “b” are determined from $V(a) = V(b) = Q$. The Q -value is given by

$$Q = M(A, Z) - M(A_1, Z_1) - M(A_2, Z_2) \quad (9)$$

Where: $M(A, Z)$, $M(A_1, Z_1)$ and $M(A_2, Z_2)$ are the atomic masses of the parent, daughter and emitted cluster respectively. The spontaneous cluster decay process occurs when the Q -value is positive. The Q -values for all the cluster-decay are determined using the experimental mass table [16]. The half-life time of the cluster decay is given by,

$$T_{1/2} = \left(\frac{\ln 2}{\lambda} \right) = \left(\frac{\ln 2}{\nu P} \right) \quad (10)$$

Where, λ is the decay constant, ν is the assault frequency written as $\nu = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} = \frac{2E_V}{h}$,

and E_V is the empirical zero point vibration energy which is given by [17],

$$E_v = Q \left(0.056 + 0.039 \exp \left[\frac{(4 - A_2)}{2.5} \right] \right), A_2 \geq 4 \quad (11)$$

II.b The universal Formula (UINV)

The half-life for the cluster decay is also evaluated by Poenaru et al, [18], in terms of a universal formula.

$$\log_{10} T_{1/2}(S) = -\log_{10} P - \log_{10} S + [\log_{10}(\ell n 2) - \log_{10} v] \quad (12)$$

Where: P is the penetrability of an external Coulomb barrier and S is the preformation probability of the cluster at the nuclear surface which depends only on the mass number of the emitted cluster A_2 , and v is a constant. The logarithm of the penetrability of an external Coulomb barrier P is given as

$$-\log_{10} P = 0.22873(\mu_A Z_1 Z_2 R_b)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times (\arccos \sqrt{r} - \sqrt{r(1-r)}) \quad (13)$$

Where: $\mu_A = A_1 A_2 / A$, $r = R_a / R_b$ where R_a and R_b are the turning points. The first turning point R_a is the separation distance at the touching configuration, and can be expressed as, $R_a = R_t = R_1 + R_2$, Where $R_t = 1.2249 (A_1^{1/3} + A_2^{1/3})$, and the second turning point defined as $R_b = \frac{e^2 Z_1 Z_2}{Q}$.

The logarithm of the preformation factor S is given as [18]

$$\log_{10} S = -0.598(A_2 - 1) \quad (14)$$

The last two terms in the parentheses in equation (12) is denoted the additive constant C_{ee} . In the case of even-even nucleus, C_{ee} is given by,

$$C_{ee} = [-\log_{10} v + \log_{10}(\ell n 2)] = -22.16917 \quad (15)$$

III. Results and Discussion

In the present work we have applied the coulomb and proximity potential model (CPPM) to the cluster-decay of the 5d transition metal region in the periodic table. The selected nuclei (Hf, W, Os, Pt and Hg) have the atomic number in the range $72 \leq Z \leq 80$. This study beginning with the selection of the probable cluster from the selected isotopes through the cold valley plotting, in which $(V - Q)$ plotted versus A_2 . The driving potential $(V - Q)$ is calculated for a specific parent for all possible cluster –daughter combinations. The driving potential is defined as the difference between the interaction potential V and the Q-value of the reaction.

Figure (1a-1e) presents the plots of the driving potential versus the mass number of the cluster A_2 for the chosen nuclei; this relation is called cold valley plots. From these figures one can notice that, the minima of the driving potential which represent the most probable decay are due to the shell closure of one or both the cluster and daughter. So that, the most probable clusters for the decay process from all the selected nuclei are ${}^4\text{He}$, ${}^8\text{Be}$, ${}^{12}\text{C}$, ${}^{16}\text{O}$, ${}^{20,22}\text{Ne}$, ${}^{24,26}\text{Mg}$, ${}^{32}\text{S}$, ${}^{30,32}\text{Si}$ and ${}^{38}\text{Ar}$. In addition to that, other deeper minima (valleys) can be found for the same parent nuclei, if one increases the mass number of the cluster.




Figure (1-a) The driving potential ($V-Q$) as a function of the mass number of the emitted cluster A_2 , for ${}^{158-162}\text{Hf}$ isotopes.




Figure (1-b) The driving potential ($V-Q$) as a function of the mass number of the emitted cluster A_2 , for $^{158-166}\text{W}$ isotopes.




Figure (1-c) The driving potential ($V-Q$) as a function of the mass number of the emitted cluster A_2 , for $^{162-170}\text{Os}$ isotopes.




Figure (1-d) The driving potential ($V-Q$) as a function of the mass number of the emitted cluster A_2 , for $^{166-174}\text{Pt}$ isotopes.




Figure (1-e) The driving potential ($V-Q$) as a function of the mass number of the emitted A_2 , for $^{172-180}\text{Hg}$ isotopes.

The values of logarithm of the half-life time, $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$, for the chosen clusters are calculated using the Coulomb proximity potential (CPPM), and the universal formula (UNIV) from eq.(10) and eq.(12) respectively. The selected isotopes of even-even nuclei are $^{156-162}\text{Hf}$, $^{158-166}\text{W}$, $^{162-170}\text{Os}$, $^{166-174}\text{Pt}$, and $^{172-180}\text{Hg}$. The calculated values of $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$ and the available experimental ones [16] for the clusters are listed in table (1). It is obvious that, the calculated values using CPPM are in agreement with the experimental values of α -decay more than the UNIV formula. We conclude that, this deviation is due to the difference in potential forms CPPM, and UNIV. Also it is clear that, the Q-value of the clusters have $N = Z$, is greater than the Q-value of the clusters have $N \neq Z$. On the other hand, the values of $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$, for $N = Z$ are smaller than that of $N \neq Z$. This can be observed clearly, for example in the clusters (^{20}Ne , ^{22}Ne , ^{24}Mg , ^{26}Mg) emerged from the decay of ^{156}Hf and ^{158}Hf . In comparison for other isotopes this property can be extracted.

The calculated values of $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$ using CPPM plotted as a function of the mass number of the parents for all the clusters presented in figures (2a-2e). From figure (2a) the values of $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$ is plotted against the mass number of the isotopes of Hf with different clusters. As the atomic number is fixed $Z = 72$ for $^{156-162}\text{Hf}_{72}$, the plot ($\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$ vs. A) is equivalent to ($\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$ vs. N), in which N is the number of neutrons. From this figure, it is clear that, the lower line is for ^8Be cluster while the upper one for ^{34}S . Therefore, the $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$ increases with increasing the mass number of the clusters. This means that, the life time of the decay process from the parent nuclei is longer as the mass number of the clusters is larger. Figures (2b-2e) represent the same plotting mentioned above in the other elements (W, Os, Pt, and Hg).




Figure (2-a) :- The values of $\log_{10}T_{1/2}$ versus the mass number (A) of parent isotopes $^{156-162}\text{Hf}$ for different clusters.

Table (1). :- The values of $\text{Log}_{10}(T_{1/2})$ for different isotopes calculated by CPPM and UNIV, in comparison with the available experimental data.

Parent Nuclei	Cluster Nuclei	Daughter Nuclei	Q-Value (MeV) [16]	$\text{Log}_{10}(T_{1/2})$		
				The Present Work		Exp.[16]
				CPPM	UNIV	
¹⁵⁶Hf	⁴ He	¹⁵² Yb	6.0285	-1.62	-1.61	-1.64
	⁸ Be	¹⁴⁸ Er	8.6700	21.31	31.70	-
	¹² C	¹⁴⁴ Dy	18.7030	28.32	28.62	-
	¹⁶ O	¹⁴⁰ Gd	28.6520	36.62	30.32	-
	²⁰ Ne	¹³⁶ Sm	35.9860	46.83	37.84	-
	²² Ne	¹³⁴ Sm	31.5330	54.37	52.36	-
	²⁴ Mg	¹³² Nd	47.4920	52.12	35.56	-
	²⁶ Mg	¹³⁰ Nd	44.9440	56.52	42.78	-
	²⁸ Si	¹²⁸ Ce	59.1590	56.79	33.54	-
	³⁰ Si	¹²⁶ Ce	57.3860	59.99	38.18	-
	³² Si	¹²⁴ Ce	51.1260	67.03	51.70	-
	³² S	¹²⁴ Ba	67.238	63.16	36.43	-
	³⁴ S	¹²² Ba	66.6730	65.25	38.93	-
¹⁵⁸Hf	⁴ He	¹⁵⁴ Yb	5.4047	0.45	0.92	0.45
	⁸ Be	¹⁵⁰ Er	10.7871	18.61	20.82	-
	¹² C	¹⁴⁶ Dy	20.4524	28.33	23.26	-
	¹⁶ O	¹⁴² Gd	29.5940	38.39	27.96	-
	²⁰ Ne	¹³⁸ Sm	36.4372	49.67	36.69	-
	²² Ne	¹³⁶ Sm	32.7331	56.51	48.78	-
	²⁴ Mg	¹³⁴ Nd	47.4775	55.74	35.43	-
	²⁶ Mg	¹³² Nd	45.5378	59.78	41.41	-
	²⁸ Si	¹³⁰ Ce	58.8132	60.93	33.9	-
	³⁰ Si	¹²⁸ Ce	57.8644	63.66	37.23	-
	³² Si	¹²⁶ Ce	52.7957	69.85	48.18	-
	³² S	¹²⁶ Ba	66.5829	67.85	37.19	-
	³⁴ S	¹²⁴ Ba	66.9190	69.41	38.39	-
¹⁶⁰Hf	⁴ He	¹⁵⁶ Yb	4.9023	1.12	3.34	1.13
	⁸ Be	¹⁵² Er	9.6210	20.17	26.27	-
	¹² C	¹⁴⁸ Dy	21.9219	25.63	19.33	-
	¹⁶ O	¹⁴⁴ Gd	30.5652	36.24	25.71	-
	²⁰ Ne	¹⁴⁰ Sm	36.5666	48.16	36.31	-
	²² Ne	¹³⁸ Sm	33.5912	53.99	46.30	-
	²⁴ Mg	¹³⁶ Nd	47.2016	54.47	35.84	-
	²⁶ Mg	¹³⁴ Nd	45.9297	57.86	40.53	-
	²⁸ Si	¹³² Ce	58.0325	59.92	34.94	-
	³⁰ Si	¹³⁰ Ce	57.9246	61.98	37.02	-
	³² Si	¹²⁸ Ce	53.6803	67.33	38.66	-
	³² S	¹²⁸ Ba	65.4632	66.94	38.64	-
	³⁴ S	¹²⁶ Ba	66.6703	67.85	38.66	-

Continue

Parent Nuclei	Cluster Nuclei	Daughter Nuclei	Q -Value (MeV) [16]	$\text{Log}_{10}(T_{1/2})$		Exp.[16]
				The Present Work		
				CPPM	UNIV	
^{162}Hf	^4He	^{158}Yb	4.4162	1.58	6.05	1.59
	^8Be	^{154}Er	8.4942	21.45	32.57	-
	^{12}C	^{150}Dy	20.1404	26.14	23.94	-
	^{16}O	^{146}Gd	31.6535	33.34	23.25	-
	^{20}Ne	^{142}Sm	36.8595	45.61	35.48	-
	^{22}Ne	^{140}Sm	34.3116	50.74	44.28	-
	^{24}Mg	^{138}Nd	46.7828	52.34	36.40	-
	^{26}Mg	^{136}Nd	46.2448	55.00	39.70	-
	^{28}Si	^{134}Ce	57.1566	57.9	36.16	-
	^{30}Si	^{132}Ce	57.7349	59.40	37.10	-
	^{32}Si	^{130}Ce	54.3315	63.92	44.93	-
	^{32}S	^{130}Ba	64.1082	65.03	40.55	-
	^{34}S	^{132}Ba	66.6703	64.95	38.39	-
^{158}W	^4He	^{154}Hf	6.6126	-2.42	-2.84	-2.90
	^8Be	^{150}Yb	10.001	18.61	26.46	-
	^{12}C	^{146}Er	20.627	26.27	25.09	-
	^{16}O	^{142}Dy	31.162	34.66	27.17	-
	^{20}Ne	^{138}Gd	39.004	44.72	34.49	-
	^{22}Ne	^{136}Gd	33.420	52.92	50.73	-
	^{24}Mg	^{134}Sm	51.615	49.80	31.80	-
	^{26}Mg	^{132}Sm	47.599	55.13	41.15	-
	^{28}Si	^{130}Nd	64.394	54.33	29.53	-
	^{30}Si	^{128}Nd	61.052	58.42	36.10	-
	^{32}Si	^{126}Nd	53.376	66.24	51.50	-
	^{32}S	^{126}Ce	73.141	60.63	32.29	-
	^{34}S	^{124}Ce	71.153	63.54	36.53	-
^{160}W	^4He	^{156}Hf	6.0655	-1.06	3.55	-1.00
	^8Be	^{152}Yb	12.002	16.02	6.44	-
	^{12}C	^{148}Er	22.102	25.82	7.15	-
	^{16}O	^{144}Dy	31.93	35.46	8.05	-
	^{20}Ne	^{140}Gd	39.447	46.14	9.39	-
	^{22}Ne	^{138}Gd	34.305	53.94	10.77	-
	^{24}Mg	^{136}Sm	51.368	51.98	9.54	-
	^{26}Mg	^{134}Sm	48.214	56.74	10.13	-
	^{28}Si	^{132}Nd	63.542	57.05	30.52	-
	^{30}Si	^{130}Nd	61.652	60.27	9.92	-
	^{32}Si	^{128}Nd	55.015	67.30	11.12	-
	^{32}S	^{128}Ce	72.173	63.6	33.35	-
	^{34}S	^{126}Ce	71.375	65.85	10.32	-

Continue

Parent Nuclei	Cluster Nuclei	Daughter Nuclei	Q -Value (MeV) [16]	$\text{Log}_{10}(T_{1/2})$		
				The Present Work		Exp.[16]
				CPM	UNIV	
^{162}W	^4He	^{158}Hf	5.6773	0.13	0.63	0.13
	^8Be	^{154}Yb	10.9901	18.68	21.72	-
	^{12}C	^{150}Er	23.8309	25.13	16.80	-
	^{16}O	^{146}Dy	33.2916	35.62	22.53	-
	^{20}Ne	^{142}Gd	40.0011	47.34	32.19	-
	^{22}Ne	^{140}Gd	35.8067	54.15	44.17	-
	^{24}Mg	^{138}Sm	51.431	53.82	31.78	-
	^{26}Mg	^{136}Sm	49.0251	58.05	38.13	-
	^{28}Si	^{134}Nd	63.1389	59.37	30.91	-
	^{30}Si	^{132}Nd	61.8584	62.26	34.54	-
	^{32}Si	^{130}Nd	56.6736	68.20	44.91	-
	^{32}S	^{130}Ce	71.4381	66.33	34.14	-
	^{34}S	^{128}Ce	71.4653	68.10	35.73	-
	^{164}W	^4He	^{160}Hf	5.2785	0.83	2.40
^8Be		^{156}Yb	10.0889	20.26	25.81	-
^{12}C		^{152}Er	22.2661	26.57	20.41	-
^{16}O		^{148}Dy	34.3623	34.42	20.38	-
^{20}Ne		^{144}Gd	40.5736	46.53	30.94	-
^{22}Ne		^{142}Gd	36.7564	52.80	41.72	-
^{24}Mg		^{140}Sm	51.1616	53.71	32.07	-
^{26}Mg		^{138}Sm	49.4844	57.33	37.13	-
^{28}Si		^{136}Nd	62.4642	59.5	31.69	-
^{30}Si		^{134}Nd	61.8515	61.93	34.38	-
^{32}Si		^{132}Nd	57.2756	67.35	43.67	-
^{32}S		^{132}Ce	70.2586	66.77	35.55	-
^{34}S		^{130}Ce	71.1267	67.99	36.01	-
^{166}W		^4He	^{162}Hf	4.8560	1.27	4.53
	^8Be	^{158}Yb	9.1804	21.32	30.56	-
	^{12}C	^{154}Er	20.7168	27.28	24.44	-
	^{16}O	^{150}Dy	32.1583	35.10	24.57	-
	^{20}Ne	^{146}Gd	41.2393	44.44	29.54	-
	^{22}Ne	^{144}Gd	37.8961	50.00	38.94	-
	^{24}Mg	^{142}Sm	51.0320	52.13	32.13	-
	^{26}Mg	^{140}Sm	49.7823	55.33	36.44	-
	^{28}Si	^{138}Nd	61.623	58.28	32.74	-
	^{30}Si	^{136}Nd	61.7441	60.15	34.37	-
	^{32}Si	^{134}Nd	57.836	64.95	42.52	-

Continue

Parent Nuclei	Cluster Nuclei	Daughter Nuclei	Q-Value (MeV) [16]	Log ₁₀ (T _{1/2})		
				The Present Work		Exp.[16]
				CPPM	UNIV	
	³² S	¹³⁴ Ce	68.9603	65.69		-
	³⁴ S	¹³² Ce	70.5145	66.42	37.18	-
					36.67	
¹⁶² Os	⁴ He	¹⁵⁸ W	6.7673	-2.20	-2.59	-2.67
	⁸ Be	¹⁵⁴ Hf	13.288	14.09	14.89	-
	¹² C	¹⁵⁰ Yb	24.135	23.73	18.16	-
	¹⁶ O	¹⁴⁶ Er	34.556	33.23	22.58	-
	²⁰ Ne	¹⁴² Dy	42.659	43.58	30.21	-
	²² Ne	¹⁴⁰ Dy	36.352	51.90	46.42	-
	²⁴ Mg	¹³⁸ Gd	55.088	49.57	29.31	-
	²⁶ Mg	¹³⁶ Gd	50.802	54.91	38.50	-
	²⁸ Si	¹³⁴ Sm	68.366	54.4	27.42	-
	³⁰ Si	¹³² Sm	65.009	58.40	33.62	-
	³² Si	¹³⁰ Sm	57.081	66.05	48.39	-
	³² S	¹³⁰ Nd	78.109	60.57	29.58	-
	³⁴ S	¹²⁸ Nd	75.743	63.65	34.03	-
¹⁶⁴ Os	⁴ He	¹⁶⁰ W	6.4794	-1.12	-1.62	-1.67
	⁸ Be	¹⁵⁸ Hf	12.453	16.53	17.73	-
	¹² C	¹⁵² Yb	25.848	23.63	14.44	-
	¹⁶ O	¹⁴⁸ Er	35.743	34.13	20.32	-
	²⁰ Ne	¹⁴⁴ Dy	43.139	45.47	29.24	-
	²⁴ Mg	¹⁴⁰ Gd	55.243	51.99	28.97	-
	²⁶ Mg	¹³⁸ Gd	51.399	57.14	37.34	-
	²⁸ Si	¹³⁶ Sm	67.831	57.47	27.93	-
	³⁰ Si	¹³⁴ Sm	65.336	61.05	33.04	-
	³² S	¹³² Nd	76.969	64.24	30.75	-
	³⁴ S	¹³⁰ Nd	76.055	66.56	33.5	-
¹⁶⁶ Os	⁴ He	¹⁶² W	6.1386	-0.94	-0.39	-0.70
	⁸ Be	¹⁶⁰ Hf	11.724	16.99	20.44	-
	¹² C	¹⁵⁴ Yb	24.4953	24.06	17.19	-
	¹⁶ O	¹⁵⁰ Er	37.1315	32.01	17.8	-
	²⁰ Ne	¹⁴⁶ Dy	44.1601	43.43	27.31	-
	²⁴ Mg	¹⁴² Gd	55.4563	50.49	28.49	-
	²⁶ Mg	¹⁴⁰ Gd	52.56	54.79	35.17	-
	²⁸ Si	¹³⁸ Sm	67.5538	64.59	36.4	-
	³⁰ Si	¹³⁶ Sm	65.8071	59.24	32.21	-
	³² S	¹³⁴ Nd	76.2252	63.12	31.47	-
	³⁴ S	¹³² Nd	75.9207	65.05	33.49	-

Continue

Parent Nuclei	Cluster Nuclei	Daughter Nuclei	Q -Value (MeV) [16]	$\text{Log}_{10}(T_{1/2})$		Exp.[16]
				The Present Work		
				CPPM	UNIV	
^{168}Os	^4He	^{64}W	5.8161	0.34	0.87	
	^8Be	^{160}Hf	11.0027	19.64	23.37	0.32
	^{12}C	^{156}Yb	23.2716	26.95	19.88	-
	^{16}O	^{152}Er	35.244	35.49	20.93	-
	^{20}Ne	^{148}Dy	44.9083	45.19	25.85	-
	^{22}Ne	^{146}Dy	40.5927	51.46	36.30	-
	^{24}Mg	^{144}Gd	55.7062	52.96	27.92	-
	^{26}Mg	^{142}Gd	53.1872	57.11	33.90	-
	^{28}Si	^{140}Sm	66.9618	59.47	28.71	-
	^{30}Si	^{138}Sm	65.9438	62.16	31.79	-
	^{32}Si	^{136}Sm	60.9017	67.76	41.21	-
	^{32}S	^{136}Nd	75.2279	66.93	32.53	-
	^{34}S	^{134}Nd	75.5912	72.59	40.03	-
						-
^{170}Os	^4He	^{166}W	5.5368	0.86	2.06	0.86
	^8Be	^{162}Hf	10.3009	20.98	26.58	-
	^{12}C	^{158}Yb	22.0838	28.23	22.77	-
	^{16}O	^{154}Er	33.4155	46.61	24.32	-
	^{20}Ne	^{150}Dy	42.4249	47.18	30.08	-
	^{22}Ne	^{148}Dy	41.9515	50.17	33.42	-
	^{24}Mg	^{146}Gd	56.0927	52.65	27.20	-
	^{26}Mg	^{144}Gd	54.0476	56.41	32.34	-
	^{28}Si	^{142}Sm	66.553	59.71	29.07	-
	^{30}Si	^{140}Sm	65.9625	62.12	31.60	-
	^{32}Si	^{138}Sm	61.649	67.17	39.83	-
	^{32}S	^{138}Nd	74.1074	67.63	33.78	-
	^{34}S	^{136}Nd	75.2045	68.77	33.98	-

Continue

Parent Nuclei	Cluster Nuclei	Daughter Nuclei	Q-Value (MeV) [16]	Log ₁₀ (T _{1/2})		Exp.[16]
				The Present Work		
				CPPM	UNIV	
¹⁶⁶Pt	⁴ He	¹⁶² Os	7.2858	-2.48	-3.49	-3.50
	⁸ Be	¹⁵⁸ W	13.961	14.07	14.25	-
	¹² C	¹⁵⁴ Hf	27.941	21.5	12.19	-
	¹⁶ O	¹⁵⁰ Yb	38.583	31.57	17.67	-
	²⁰ Ne	¹⁴⁶ Er	46.572	42.45	26.1	-
	²⁴ Mg	¹⁴² Dy	59.262	48.96	26.13	-
	²⁶ Mg	¹⁴⁰ Dy	54.253	54.59	35.74	-
	²⁸ Si	¹³⁸ Gd	72.358	54.5	25.46	-
	³⁰ Si	¹³⁶ Gd	68.731	58.58	31.72	-
	³² S	¹³⁴ Sm	82.6	60.84	27.63	-
	³⁴ S	¹³² Sm	80.219	63.89	31.93	-
	³⁶ Ar	¹³⁰ Nd	92.036	66.66	29.85	-
	¹⁶⁸Pt	⁴ He	¹⁶⁴ Os	6.9896	-2.1	-2.59
⁸ Be		¹⁶⁰ W	13.377	14.81	16.07	-
¹² C		¹⁵⁶ Hf	26.809	22.4	14.23	-
¹⁶ O		¹⁵² Yb	40	30.52	15.33	-
²⁰ Ne		¹⁴⁸ Er	47.463	41.76	24.54	-
²⁴ Mg		¹⁴⁴ Dy	59.446	48.8	25.72	-
²⁶ Mg		¹⁴² Dy	55.276	53.8	33.9	-
²⁸ Si		¹⁴⁰ Gd	72.217	54.56	25.45	-
³⁰ Si		¹³⁸ Gd	69.032	58.37	31.15	-
³² S		¹³⁶ Sm	81.768	61.28	28.37	-
³⁴ S		¹³⁴ Sm	80.25	63.86	31.71	-
³⁶ Ar		¹³² Nd	90.599	67.43	31.17	-
¹⁷⁰Pt		⁴ He	¹⁶⁶ Os	6.7073	-1.71	-1.68
	⁸ Be	¹⁶² W	12.7541	15.67	18.16	-
	¹² C	¹⁵⁸ Hf	25.798	23.25	16.17	-
	¹⁶ O	¹⁵⁴ Yb	38.3646	31.64	17.78	-
	²⁰ Ne	¹⁵⁰ Er	48.5687	40.95	22.7	-
	²⁴ Mg	¹⁴⁶ Dy	60.1839	48.3	24.57	-
	²⁶ Mg	¹⁴⁴ Dy	56.4801	52.9	31.84	-
	²⁸ Si	¹⁴² Gd	72.1478	54.57	25.36	-
	³⁰ Si	¹⁴⁰ Gd	69.9107	57.8	29.83	-
	³² S	¹³⁸ Sm	81.2088	61.58	28.81	-
	³⁴ S	¹³⁶ Sm	80.438	63.75	31.3	-
	³⁶ Ar	¹³⁴ Nd	89.5734	67.99	32.09	-

Continue

Parent Nuclei	Cluster Nuclei	Daughter Nuclei	Q -Value (MeV) [16]	$\text{Log}_{10}(T_{1/2})$			
				The Present Work		Exp.[16]	
				CPPM	UNIV		
^{172}Pt	^4He	^{168}Os	6.4645	-1.03	-0.85	-1.00	
	^8Be	^{164}W	12.1887	17.14	20.2	-	
	^{12}C	^{160}Hf	24.8338	24.89	18.16	-	
	^{16}O	^{156}Yb	36.898	33.65	20.14	-	
	^{20}Ne	^{152}Er	46.4384	43.53	25.9	-	
	^{24}Mg	^{148}Dy	60.6893	49.18	23.75	-	
	^{26}Mg	^{146}Dy	57.672	53.34	29.87	-	
	^{28}Si	^{144}Gd	72.1548	55.89	25.19	-	
	^{30}Si	^{142}Gd	70.295	58.95	29.16	-	
	^{32}S	^{140}Sm	80.374	63.52	29.59	-	
	^{34}S	^{138}Sm	80.332	65.32	31.24	-	
	^{36}Ar	^{136}Nd	88.3333	70.29	33.28	-	
	^{174}Pt	^4He	^{170}Os	6.1831	-0.09	0.19	-0.05
		^8Be	^{166}W	11.628	19.01	22.39	-
^{12}C		^{162}Hf	23.8506	27.02	20.33	-	
^{16}O		^{158}Yb	35.4288	36.23	22.68	-	
^{20}Ne		^{154}Er	44.3284	46.81	29.35	-	
^{24}Mg		^{150}Dy	57.9246	52.8	27.38	-	
^{26}Mg		^{148}Dy	58.7493	54.47	28.14	-	
^{28}Si		^{146}Gd	72.2599	57.81	24.9	-	
^{30}Si		^{144}Gd	70.874	60.65	28.26	-	
^{32}S		^{142}Sm	79.6837	66.13	30.22	-	
^{34}S		^{140}Sm	80.0692	67.73	31.37	-	
^{36}Ar		^{138}Nd	86.9314	73.5	34.7	-	

Continue

Parent Nuclei	Cluster Nuclei	Daughter Nuclei	Q-Value (MeV) [16]	Log ₁₀ (T _{1/2})		
				The Present Work		Exp.[16]
				CPPM	UNIV	
¹⁷² Hg	⁴ He	¹⁶⁸ Pt	7.5237	-2.39	-3.53	-3.60
	⁸ Be	¹⁶⁴ Os	14.422	14.29	14.21	-
	¹² C	¹⁶⁰ W	28.267	22.3	13.09	-
	¹⁶ O	¹⁵⁶ Hf	41.495	30.9	14.98	-
	²⁰ Ne	¹⁵² Yb	52.253	40.31	19.84	-
	²⁴ Mg	¹⁴⁸ Er	64.303	47.91	22.01	-
	²⁸ Si	¹⁴⁴ Dy	76.953	54.29	22.82	-
	³⁰ Si	¹⁴² Dy	73.444	58.19	28.51	-
	³² S	¹⁴⁰ Gd	86.688	61.34	26.06	-
	³⁴ S	¹³⁸ Gd	84.479	64.27	30	-
	³⁶ Ar	¹³⁶ Sm	95.933	67.68	28.93	-
	³⁸ Ar	¹³⁴ Sm	94.981	69.76	31.37	-
	⁴⁰ Ar	¹³² Sm	89.009	74.6	39.59	-
	¹⁷⁴ Hg	⁴ He	¹⁷⁰ Pt	7.2332	-2.03	-2.67
⁸ Be		¹⁶⁶ Os	13.8487	15	15.94	-
¹² C		¹⁶² W	27.3539	23.02	14.73	-
¹⁶ O		¹⁵⁸ Hf	40.1931	31.76	16.82	-
²⁰ Ne		¹⁵⁴ Yb	50.3276	41.53	22.42	-
²⁴ Mg		¹⁵⁰ Er	65.1184	47.4	20.87	-
²⁸ Si		¹⁴⁶ Dy	76.953	54.26	22.65	-
³⁰ Si		¹⁴⁴ Dy	74.3566	57.63	27.24	-
³² S		¹⁴² Gd	86.3286	61.51	26.26	-
³⁴ S		¹⁴⁰ Gd	85.0675	63.94	29.16	-
³⁶ Ar		¹³⁸ Sm	95.0829	68.12	29.59	-
³⁸ Ar		¹³⁶ Sm	94.8793	69.82	31.27	-
⁴⁰ Ar		¹³⁴ Sm	89.769	74.18	38.47	-
¹⁷⁶ Hg		⁴ He	¹⁷² Pt	6.8993	-1.58	-1.61
	⁸ Be	¹⁶⁸ Os	13.2719	15.76	17.81	-
	¹² C	¹⁶⁴ W	26.4546	23.76	16.44	-
	¹⁶ O	¹⁶⁰ Hf	38.895	32.65	18.78	-
	²⁰ Ne	¹⁵⁶ Yb	48.5271	42.72	25.01	-
	²⁴ Mg	¹⁵² Er	62.6542	48.82	23.76	-
	²⁸ Si	¹⁴⁸ Dy	77.5727	53.9	21.82	-
	³⁰ Si	¹⁴⁶ Dy	75.2146	57.11	26.06	-
	³² S	¹⁴⁴ Gd	86.0018	61.67	26.42	-
	³⁴ S	¹⁴² Gd	85.1179	63.9	28.92	-
	³⁶ Ar	¹⁴⁰ Sm	93.9142	68.73	30.61	-
	³⁸ Ar	¹³⁸ Sm	94.4393	70.06	31.54	-
	⁴⁰ Ar	¹³⁶ Sm	90.0775	74.01	37.91	-

Continue

Parent Nuclei	Cluster Nuclei	Daughter Nuclei	Q -Value (MeV) [16]	$\text{Log}_{10}(T_{1/2})$		
				The Present Work		Exp.[16]
				CPPM	UNIV	
^{178}Hg	^4He	^{174}Pt	6.5773	-0.57	-0.5	-0.57
	^8Be	^{170}Os	12.6685	17.66	19.92	-
	^{12}C	^{166}W	25.5719	25.81	18.22	-
	^{16}O	^{162}Hf	37.5898	35.15	20.88	-
	^{20}Ne	^{158}Yb	46.7359	45.83	27.77	-
	^{24}Mg	^{154}Er	60.2222	52.36	26.85	-
	^{28}Si	^{150}Dy	74.486	57.79	25.08	-
	^{30}Si	^{148}Dy	75.9699	58.92	25.02	-
	^{32}S	^{146}Gd	85.7848	64.18	26.47	-
	^{34}S	^{144}Gd	85.3749	66.22	28.45	-
	^{36}Ar	^{142}Sm	92.9019	71.9	31.49	-
	^{38}Ar	^{140}Sm	93.8545	73.04	31.96	-
	^{40}Ar	^{138}Sm	90.2214	76.71	37.54	-
	^{180}Hg	^4He	^{176}Pt	6.2584	0.39	0.68
^8Be		^{172}Os	12.0516	19.49	22.26	-
^{12}C		^{168}W	24.6425	27.74	20.23	-
^{16}O		^{164}Hf	36.3049	37.43	23.09	-
^{20}Ne		^{160}Yb	44.9565	48.71	30.72	-
^{24}Mg		^{156}Er	57.8936	55.58	30.04	-
^{28}Si		^{152}Dy	71.3604	61.45	28.68	-
^{30}Si		^{150}Dy	73.492	62.21	27.77	-
^{32}S		^{148}Gd	82.0345	68.26	30.47	-
^{34}S		^{146}Gd	85.7669	67.98	27.84	-
^{36}Ar		^{144}Sm	91.9466	74.56	32.33	-
^{38}Ar		^{142}Sm	93.4511	75.43	32.2	-
^{40}Ar		^{140}Sm	90.2455	78.93	37.31	-




Figure (2-b) :- The values of $\log_{10} T_{1/2}$ versus the atomic mass number (A) of parent isotopes $^{158-166}\text{W}$ for different clusters.




Figure (2-c) :- The values of $\log_{10} T_{1/2}$ versus the mass number (A) of parent isotopes $^{162-170}\text{Os}$ for different clusters.




Figure (2d) :- The values of $\log_{10}T_{1/2}$ versus the mass number (A) of parent isotopes $^{166-174}\text{Pt}$ for different clusters.




Figure (2e) :- The values of $\log_{10}T_{1/2}$ versus the mass number (A) of parent isotopes $^{172-180}\text{Hg}$ for different clusters

The calculated values of $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$ for alpha decay using CPPM for the chosen isotopes are plotted versus the mass number of the parent as shown in figure (3). This figure shows the calculated values compared with the experimental data [16]. It is obvious that, the isotopes of heavier atomic mass ($A= 168 - 180$) are more prone to alpha decay than the lighter ones ($A= 156 - 166$). Moreover, the daughters nuclei for the alpha-decay of the $^{156-162}\text{Hf}$ are Yb isotopes with atomic number $Z = 70$ and neutron number $N = 82, 84, 86$ and 88 which implies the magic or near magic number of these neutron shells. The value of $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$, is low for the ^{156}Hf isotope due to $N = 82$ closure in ^{152}Yb daughter. Also, the daughter nuclei for alpha –decay of the $^{158-166}\text{W}$ parent are the Hf isotopes with $Z=72$ and $N= 84, 86, 88, 90$ and 92 which near the magic nature of these neutron shells. The $^{162-170}\text{Os}$ parents decay by alpha with W daughters with $Z = 74$ and $N= 88 - 94$. It is clear that alpha-decay half- lives have the lowest values for $^{166-174}\text{Pt}$ and $^{172-180}\text{Hg}$ isotopes. This can be explained as, the values of $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$ decreasing due to the atomic and mass number increasing. Finally, figure (3) shows the calculated values of $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$ are in less agreement with the experimental results [16] especially with increasing the atomic mass number of the parent nuclei.




Figure (3) :- The values of $\log_{10}T_{1/2}$ versus the mass number (A) of isotopes of the parent nuclei ($^{156-162}\text{Hf}$, $^{158-166}\text{W}$, $^{162-170}\text{Os}$, $^{166-174}\text{Pt}$, and $^{172-180}\text{Hg}$) compared with the corresponding experimental values for α -decay [16].

Conclusion

The cold valley ($V-Q$) plots for the $^{156-162}\text{Hf}$, $^{158-166}\text{W}$, $^{162-170}\text{Os}$, $^{166-174}\text{Pt}$ and $^{172-180}\text{Hg}$ isotopes are analyzed to determine the possible clusters emitted from these isotopes. From the cold valley plots, we noticed that the selection of the most probable clusters are depending on the shell closure for one of the emitted fragments or both of them. The half-lives of clusters decay are calculated using CPPM and UNIV and listed in table (1). The calculated values of $\log_{10}(T_{1/2})$ by CPPM are in agreement with the experimental values of alpha-decay more than the calculated values by the UNIV formula. The Q -value of the clusters have $N = Z$ greater than of the clusters have $N \neq Z$. From this study we conclude that, the isotopes with the greater mass number are exposed to disintegrate through alpha than the isotopes with smaller mass number. Hence, the half-life time of alpha decay decreases as the mass number of the parent increases.

References

- [1] A. Sandulescu, D. N. Poenaru and W. Greiner, Sov. J. Part. Nucl. **II**, 528 (1980).
- [2] L Zheng, G. L. Zhang, J.C. Yang and W.W. Qu, Nucl. Phys. **A915**, 70 (2013).
- [3] D. N. Basu, Phys. Lett. **B566**, 90 (2003).
- [4] Z. Z. Ren, C. Xu, Z. J. Wang, Phys. Rev. **C70**, 034304 (2004).
- [5] G. Royer, R. Moustabchir, Nucl. Phys. **A683**, 182 (2001).
- [6] J. Blocki, J. Randrup, W. J. Swiatecki, C. F. Tsang, Ann. Phys. (NY) **105**, 427 (1977).
- [7] W. D. Myers, W. J. Swiatecki, Phys. Rev. **C62**, 044610 (2000).
- [8] P. Möller, J. R. Nix, Nucl. Phys. **A361**, 117 (1981).
- [9] K. P. Santhosh, Antony Joseph, Pramana J. Phys. **58**, 611 (2002).
- [10] K. P. Santhosh, Sabina Sahadevan, Nucl. Phys. **A847**, 42 (2010).
- [11] R. K. Biju, Sabina Sahadevan, K. P. Santhosh, Antony Joseph, Pramana J. Phys. **70**, 427 (2008).
- [12] K. E. Abd El Mageed and A. G. Shalaby, Chin. J. Phys. **53**, 040301 (2015),
nucl-th/1312.2471.
- [13] Y.J. Shi, W.J. Swiatecki, Nucl. Phys. **A438**, 450 (1985).
- [14] K. P. Santhosh, Sabina Sahadevan, B. Priyanka, M. S. Unnikrishnan, Nucl. Phys. **A882**, 49 (2012).
- [15] J. Blocki, W. J. Swiatecki, Ann. Phys. (NY) **132**, 53 (1981).
- [16] National Nuclear Data Center (NNDC) in Brookhaven National Laboratory,
<http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/>
- [17] D. N. Poenaru, M. Ivascu, A. Sandulescu, W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. **C32**, 572 (1985).
- [18] D. N. Poenaru, R. A. Gherghescu, W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. **C83**, 014601 (2011).