

Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations with datum in Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces

D. Q. Khai, N. M. Tri

Institute of Mathematics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology
18 Hoang Quoc Viet, 10307 Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam

Abstract: In this note, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, we introduce and study Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the family spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the critical invariant spaces for the Navier-Stokes equations correspond to the value $s = \frac{d}{p} - 1$. When the initial datum belongs to the critical spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d \geq 2, 1 \leq p < \infty$, and $1 \leq r < \infty$, we establish the existence of local mild solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in spaces $L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with arbitrary initial value, and existence of global mild solutions in spaces $L^\infty([0, \infty); \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ when the norm of the initial value in the Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is small enough, where \tilde{p} may take some suitable values.

§1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the Navier-Stokes equations (NSE) in d dimensions in special setting of a viscous, homogeneous, incompressible fluid which fills the entire space and is not submitted to external forces. Thus, the equations we consider are the system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) - \nabla p, \\ \operatorname{div}(u) = 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

which is a condensed writing for

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq k \leq d, & \partial_t u_k = \Delta u_k - \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_l (u_l u_k) - \partial_k p, \\ \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_l u_l = 0, \\ 1 \leq k \leq d, & u_k(0, x) = u_{0k}. \end{cases}$$

¹2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35Q30; Secondary 76D05, 76N10.

²*Keywords*: Navier-Stokes equations, existence and uniqueness of local and global mild solutions, critical Sobolev spaces.

³*e-mail address*: triminh@math.ac.vn

The unknown quantities are the velocity $u(t, x) = (u_1(t, x), \dots, u_d(t, x))$ of the fluid element at time t and position x and the pressure $p(t, x)$.

A translation invariant Banach space of tempered distributions \mathcal{E} is called a critical space for NSE if its norm is invariant under the action of the scaling $f(\cdot) \rightarrow \lambda f(\lambda \cdot)$. One can take, for example, $\mathcal{E} = L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or the smaller space $\mathcal{E} = \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact, one has the chain of critical spaces given by the continuous imbedding

$$\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^d(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)_{(p<\infty)} \hookrightarrow BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (1)$$

It is remarkable feature that the NSE are well-posed in the sense of Hadamard (existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence on data) when the initial datum is divergence-free and belongs to the critical function spaces (except $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$) listed in (1) (see [4] for $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see [23] for $BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and the recent ill-posedness result [3] for $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$). In the 1960s, mild solutions were first constructed by Kato and Fujita ([17], [18]) that are continuous in time and take values in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, ($s \geq \frac{d}{2} - 1$), say $u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$. In 1992, a modern treatment for mild solutions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, ($s \geq \frac{d}{2} - 1$) was given by Chemin [8]. In 1995, using the simplified version of the bilinear operator, Cannone proved the existence for mild solutions in $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, ($s \geq \frac{d}{2} - 1$), see [4]. Results on the existence of mild solutions with value in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, ($p > d$) were established in the papers of Fabes, Jones and Rivière [9] and of Giga [10]. Concerning the initial datum in the space L^∞ , the existence of a mild solution was obtained by Cannone and Meyer in ([4], [7]). Moreover, in ([4], [7]), they also obtained theorems on the existence of mild solutions with value in Morrey-Campanato space $M_2^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, ($p > d$) and Sobolev space $H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, ($p < d, \frac{1}{p} - \frac{s}{d} < \frac{1}{d}$), and in general in the case of a so-called well-suited space \mathcal{W} for NSE. The NSE in the Morrey-Campanato spaces were also treated by Kato [21] and Taylor [27]. In 1981, Weissler [29] gave the first existence result of mild solutions in the half space $L^3(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$. Then Giga and Miyakawa [11] generalized the result to $L^3(\Omega)$, where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 . Finally, in 1984, Kato [20] obtained, by means of a purely analytical tool (involving only Hölder and Young inequalities and without using any estimate of fractional powers of the Stokes operator), an existence theorem in the whole space $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In ([4], [5], [6]), Cannone showed how to simplify Kato's proof. The idea is to take advantage of the structure of the bilinear operator in its scalar form. In particular, the divergence ∇ and heat $e^{t\Delta}$ operators can be treated as a single convolution operator. In 1994, Kato and Ponce [22] showed that the NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, ($d \leq p < \infty$). Recently, the authors of this article have

considered NSE in mixed-norm Sobolev-Lorentz spaces, see [13]. In [15], we showed that NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with non-positive-regular indexes ($p \geq d, \frac{d}{p} - 1 \leq s \leq 0$). In [14], we showed that the bilinear operator

$$B(u, v)(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) d\tau \quad (2)$$

is bicontinuous in $L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with super-critical and non-negative-regular indexes ($0 \leq s < d, p > 1$, and $\frac{s}{d} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{s+1}{d}$), and we established the inequality

$$\|B(u, v)\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_p^s)} \leq C_{s,p,d} T^{\frac{1}{2}(1+s-\frac{d}{p})} \|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_p^s)} \|v\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_p^s)}.$$

In this case existence and uniqueness theorems of local mild solutions can therefore be easily deduced. In [16] we prove that NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to the Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with ($1 < p \leq d$). In this paper, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, we first recall the notion of the Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, introduced and investigated in [12]; then we introduce and study Sobolev-Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. After that we show that the Navier-Stokes equations are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to the critical Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d \geq 2, 1 \leq p < \infty$, and $1 \leq r < \infty$. The spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are more general than the spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular, $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$.

In 1997, Le Jan and Sznitman [26] considered a very simple space convenient to the study of NSE, which is the space E of tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ so that $\hat{f}(\xi)$ is a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^d and $\sup_\xi |\xi|^{d-1} |\hat{f}(\xi)| < \infty$, with $\hat{\cdot}$ standing for the Fourier transform. This space

may be defined as a Besov space based on the spaces PM of pseudomeasures (PM is the space of the image of the Fourier transforms of essentially bounded functions: $PM = \mathcal{FL}^\infty$). More precisely, $E = \dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. They showed that the bilinear operator B is bicontinuous in $L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1, \infty})$ for all $0 < T \leq \infty$. Therefore they can easily deduce the existence of global mild solutions in spaces $L^\infty([0, \infty); \dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1, \infty})$ when norm of the initial value in the spaces $\dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is small enough. From Definitions 1 and 2 in Section 2, we have

$$PM = \mathcal{L}^1, \dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

In 2011, Lei and Lin [25] showed that NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to the spaces $\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which is defined by

$$f \in \mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ if and only if } \|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}f\|_{\mathcal{X}} < \infty, \text{ where } \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \|\hat{f}\|_{L^1}.$$

They established the existence of global mild solutions in the space $L^\infty([0, \infty); \mathcal{X}^{-1})$ when norm of the initial value in the spaces $\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is small enough. From Definitions 1 and 2 in Section 2, we see that

$$\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Thus, the spaces $\dot{B}_{PM}^{d-1, \infty}$ and \mathcal{X}^{-1} , studied in [26] and [25], are particular cases of the critical Sobolev-Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$ with $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$, respectively. Note that estimates in the Lorentz spaces were also studied in [1], [19] (see also the references therein). Very recently, ill-posedness of NSE in critical Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{\infty, q}^{-1}$ was investigated in [28].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce and investigate the Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces and some auxiliary lemmas. In Section 3 we present the main results of the paper. Due to some technical difficulties we will consider three cases $1 < p \leq d, d \leq q < \infty$, and $p = 1$ separately. In subsection 3.1 we treat the case $1 < p \leq d$. In subsection 3.2 we consider the case $d \leq q < \infty$. Finally, in subsection 3.3 we study the case $p = 1$. In the sequence, for a space of functions defined on \mathbb{R}^d , say $E(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we will abbreviate it as E . Throughout the paper, we sometimes use the notation $A \lesssim B$ as an equivalent to $A \leq CB$ with a uniform constant C . The notation $A \simeq B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

§2. SOBOLEV-FOURIER-LORENTZ SPACES

Definition 1. (Fourier-Lebesgue spaces). (See [12].)

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as the space $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, ($\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$), equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} denote the Fourier transform and its inverse.

Definition 2. (Sobolev-Fourier-Lebesgue spaces).

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Sobolev-Fourier-Lebesgue spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as the space $\dot{\Lambda}^{-s}\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^s} := \|\dot{\Lambda}^s u\|_{\mathcal{L}^p}.$$

where $\dot{\Lambda} = \sqrt{-\Delta}$ is the homogeneous Calderon pseudo-differential operator defined as

$$\widehat{\dot{\Lambda}g}(\xi) = |\xi|\hat{g}(\xi).$$

Definition 3. (Lorentz spaces). (See [2].)

For $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, the Lorentz space $L^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as follows. A measurable function $f \in L^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t))^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty \text{ when } 1 \leq r < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) < \infty \text{ when } r = \infty,$$

where $f^*(t) = \inf \{ \tau : \mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |f(x)| > \tau\}) \leq t \}$, with \mathcal{M}^d being the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^d .

Definition 4. (Fourier-Lorentz spaces).

For $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, the Fourier-Lorentz spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as the space $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(L^{p',r}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, ($\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$), equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^{p',r}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Definition 5. (Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces).

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq r, p \leq \infty$, the Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as the space $\dot{\Lambda}^{-s}\mathcal{L}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s} := \|\dot{\Lambda}^s u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}.$$

Theorem 1. (Holder's inequality in Fourier-Lorentz spaces).

Let $1 < r, q, \tilde{q} < \infty$ and $1 \leq h, \tilde{h}, \hat{h} \leq +\infty$ satisfy the relations

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} \text{ and } \frac{1}{h} = \frac{1}{\tilde{h}} + \frac{1}{\hat{h}}.$$

Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{L}^{q,\tilde{h}}$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}$. Then $uv \in \mathcal{L}^{r,h}$ and we have the inequality

$$\|uv\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,h}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\tilde{h}}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}}. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let r', q' , and \tilde{q}' be such that

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1, \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1, \text{ and } \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'} = 1.$$

It is easily checked that the following conditions are satisfied

$$1 < r', q', \tilde{q}' < +\infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{r'} + 1 = \frac{1}{q'} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'}$$

We have

$$\|uv\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,h}} = \|\widehat{uv}\|_{L^{r',h}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \|\hat{u} * \hat{v}\|_{L^{r',h}}. \quad (4)$$

Applying Proposition 2.4 (c) in ([24], p. 20), we have

$$\|\hat{u} * \hat{v}\|_{L^{r',h}} \lesssim \|\hat{u}\|_{L^{q',\tilde{h}}} \|\hat{v}\|_{L^{\tilde{q}',\hat{h}}} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\tilde{h}}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}}. \quad (5)$$

Now, the estimate (3) follows from the equality (4) and the inequality (5). \square

Theorem 2. (*Young's inequality for convolution in Fourier-Lorentz spaces*).
Let $1 < r, q, \tilde{q} < \infty$, and $1 \leq h, \tilde{h}, \hat{h} \leq \infty$ satisfy the relations

$$\frac{1}{r} + 1 = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} \text{ and } \frac{1}{h} = \frac{1}{\tilde{h}} + \frac{1}{\hat{h}}.$$

Suppose that $u \in L^{q,\tilde{h}}$ and $v \in L^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}$. Then $u * v \in L^{r,h}$ and the following inequality holds

$$\|u * v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,h}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\tilde{h}}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Let r', q' , and \tilde{q}' be such that

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1, \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1, \text{ and } \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'} = 1.$$

By definition

$$\|u * v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,h}} = \|\widehat{u * v}\|_{L^{r',h}} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \|\hat{u} \hat{v}\|_{L^{r',h}}. \quad (7)$$

We can check that the following conditions are satisfied

$$1 < r', q', \tilde{q}' < +\infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{q'} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'}$$

Applying Proposition 2.3 (c) in ([24], p. 19), we have

$$\|\hat{u} \hat{v}\|_{L^{r',h}} \lesssim \|\hat{u}\|_{L^{q',\tilde{h}}} \|\hat{v}\|_{L^{\tilde{q}',\hat{h}}} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\tilde{h}}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},\hat{h}}}. \quad (8)$$

Now, the estimate (6) follows from the equality (7) and the inequality (8). \square

Theorem 3. (*Sobolev inequality for Sobolev-Fourier-Lorentz spaces*).

Let $1 < q \leq \tilde{q} < \infty$, $s, \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{R}$, $s - \frac{d}{q} = \tilde{s} - \frac{d}{\tilde{q}}$, and $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Then

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},r}}^{\tilde{s}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}}^s}, \forall u \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}}^s. \quad (9)$$

Proof. We have

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},r}}^{\tilde{s}}} = \|\dot{\Lambda}^{\tilde{s}-s}\dot{\Lambda}^s u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{q},r}} = \||\xi|^{\tilde{s}-s}\widehat{\dot{\Lambda}^s u}(\xi)\|_{L^{\tilde{q}',r}}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}'} = 1.$$

Note that

$$|\xi|^{-r} \in L^{\frac{d}{r},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for all } r \text{ satisfying } 0 < r \leq d.$$

Applying Proposition 2.3 (c) in ([24], p. 19), we have

$$\||\xi|^{\tilde{s}-s}\widehat{\dot{\Lambda}^s u}(\xi)\|_{L^{\tilde{q}',r}} \lesssim \||\xi|^{\tilde{s}-s}\|_{L^{\frac{d}{\tilde{s}-s},\infty}} \cdot \|\widehat{\dot{\Lambda}^s u}(\xi)\|_{L^{q',r}} \simeq \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}}^s}. \quad (11)$$

The estimate (9) follows from the equality (10) and the inequality (11). \square

Lemma 1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $1 \leq r \leq \tilde{r} \leq \infty$.

(a) We have the following imbedding maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{p,1} &\hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p,r} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p,\tilde{r}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}, \\ \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}}^s &\hookrightarrow \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\tilde{r}}}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}}^s. \end{aligned}$$

(b) $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^s = \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,p'}}^s$ (equality of the norm), where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Proof. It is easily deduced from the properties of the standard Lorentz spaces. \square

Lemma 2. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$. We have

(a) If $1 < q \leq 2$ then $\dot{H}_q^s \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^q}^s$.

(b) If $2 \leq q < \infty$ then $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^q}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_q^s$.

Proof. It is deduced from Theorem 1.2.1 ([2], p. 6). \square

Lemma 3. Assume that $1 \leq r, p \leq \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then the two quantities

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k} \text{ and } \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}$$

are equivalent.

Proof. First, we prove that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|i^k \xi^\alpha \hat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^{p',r}} = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \left\| \frac{\xi^\alpha}{|\xi|^k} |\xi|^k \hat{u}(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \| |\xi|^k \hat{u}(\xi) \|_{L^{p',r}} \lesssim \| \widehat{\Delta^k u}(\xi) \|_{L^{p',r}} = \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove that

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}.$$

It is easy to see that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$|\xi|^k \leq d^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} |\xi^\alpha|.$$

This gives the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k} &= \| |\xi|^k \hat{u}(\xi) \|_{L^{p',r}} \leq d^{\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} |\xi^\alpha| \hat{u}(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} \\ &\leq d^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \| |\xi^\alpha| \hat{u}(\xi) \|_{L^{p',r}} = d^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$, and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that*

$$0 \leq k \leq d-1, \quad \frac{k}{d} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{2d}, \quad \text{and } 1 \leq r \leq \infty.$$

Then the following inequality holds

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}}^k} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k} \|v\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k}, \quad \forall u, v \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{p} - \frac{k}{d}.$$

Proof. First, we estimate $\|\partial^\alpha(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}}$, where

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d, \quad |\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = k.$$

By the general Leibniz rule, we have

$$\partial^\alpha(uv) = \sum_{\gamma+\beta=\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} (\partial^\gamma u)(\partial^\beta v).$$

Set

$$\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k - |\gamma|}{d}, \quad \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k - |\beta|}{d}.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{2}{p} - \frac{2k}{d} + \frac{|\gamma| + |\beta|}{d} = \frac{2}{p} - \frac{k}{d} = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Therefore applying Theorems 1, 3, and Lemma 1 (a) in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\partial^\gamma u)(\partial^\beta v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}} &\lesssim \|\partial^\gamma u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q_1,r}} \|\partial^\beta v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q_2,\infty}} \lesssim \|\partial^\gamma u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{k-|\gamma|}} \|\partial^\beta v\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}}^{k-|\beta|}} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial^\gamma u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{k-|\gamma|}} \|\partial^\beta v\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{k-|\beta|}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k} \|v\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha| = k$, we have

$$\|\partial^\alpha(uv)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k} \|v\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k}.$$

Applying Lemma 3, we have

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{q,r}}^k} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k} \|v\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k}, \quad \forall u, v \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^k. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5. *Assume that $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s$ then $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in L^\infty([0, \infty); \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s)$ and*

$$\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty); \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s)} \leq \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s}.$$

Proof. For $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s} &= \|e^{t\Delta}\dot{\Lambda}^s u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}} = \|e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^s \hat{u}_0\|_{L^{p',r}} \leq \\ &\|\widehat{|\xi|^s \hat{u}_0}\|_{L^{p',r}} = \|\widehat{\dot{\Lambda}^s u_0}(\xi)\|_{L^{p',r}} = \|\dot{\Lambda}^s u_0(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}} = \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^s}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us recall the following result on solutions of a quadratic equation in Banach spaces (Theorem 22.4, [24], p. 227).

Theorem 4. *Let E be a Banach space, and $B : E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous bilinear form such that there exists $\eta > 0$ so that*

$$\|B(x, y)\| \leq \eta \|x\| \|y\|,$$

for all x and y in E . Then for any fixed $y \in E$ such that $\|y\| \leq \frac{1}{4\eta}$, the equation $x = y - B(x, x)$ has a unique solution $\bar{x} \in E$ satisfying $\|\bar{x}\| \leq \frac{1}{2\eta}$.

§3. MAIN RESULTS

For $T > 0$, we say that u is a mild solution of NSE on $[0, T]$ corresponding to a divergence-free initial data u_0 when u satisfies the integral equation

$$u = e^{t\Delta}u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla\cdot(u(\tau) \otimes u(\tau))d\tau.$$

Above we have used the following notation: For a tensor $F = (F_{ij})$ we define the vector $\nabla\cdot F$ by $(\nabla\cdot F)_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j F_{ij}$ and for vectors u and v , we define their tensor product $(u \otimes v)_{ij} = u_i v_j$. The operator \mathbb{P} is the Leray projection onto the divergence-free fields

$$(\mathbb{P}f)_j = f_j + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} R_j R_k f_k,$$

where R_j is the Riesz transforms defined as

$$R_j = \frac{\partial_j}{\Lambda}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \widehat{R_j g}(\xi) = \frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|} \hat{g}(\xi).$$

The heat kernel $e^{t\Delta}$ is defined as

$$e^{t\Delta}u(x) = ((4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-|\cdot|^2/4t} * u)(x).$$

If X is a normed space and $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d)$, $u_i \in X$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, then we write

$$u \in X, \|u\|_X = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \|u_i\|_X^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In this main section we investigate mild solutions to NSE when the initial datum belongs to critical spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. We consider three cases $1 < p \leq d$, $d \leq q < \infty$, and $p = 1$ separately.

3.1. Solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with the initial value in the critical spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 < p \leq d$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$.

We define an auxiliary space $\mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^{\tilde{p}}$ which is made up by the functions $u(t, x)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^{\tilde{p}}} := \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(t, x) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},r}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1}} < \infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(t, x) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, r}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1}} = 0, \quad (12)$$

with

$$1 < p \leq \tilde{p} < \infty, \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{d} < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}}, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, T > 0,$$

and

$$\alpha = \alpha(p, \tilde{p}) = d \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} \right).$$

In the case $\tilde{p} = p$, it is also convenient to define the space $\mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^p$ as the natural space $L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1})$ with the additional condition that its elements $u(t, x)$ satisfy

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\| u(t, x) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Lemma 6. *Let $1 \leq r \leq \tilde{r} \leq \infty$. Then we have the following imbedding*

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,1,T}^{\tilde{p}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^{\tilde{p}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,\tilde{r},T}^{\tilde{p}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}.$$

Proof. It is easily deduced from Lemma 1 (a) and the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^{\tilde{p}}$. \square

Lemma 7. *Suppose that $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 < p \leq d$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$, then $e^{t\Delta} u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{p,1,\infty}^{\tilde{p}}$ with $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{d} < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} < \frac{1}{p}$.*

Proof. Before proving this lemma, we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *Suppose that $u_0 \in L^{q,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|1_{B_n^c} u_0\|_{L^{q,r}} = 0$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < n\}$, $B_n^c = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_n$, and $1_{B_n^c}$ is the indicator function of the set B_n^c on \mathbb{R}^d : $1_{B_n^c}(x) = 1$ for $x \in B_n^c$ and $1_{B_n^c}(x) = 0$ otherwise.*

Proof. With $\delta > 0$ being fixed, we have

$$\{x : |1_{B_n^c} u_0(x)| > \delta\} \supseteq \{x : |1_{B_{n+1}^c} u_0(x)| > \delta\}, \quad (14)$$

and

$$\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \{x : |1_{B_n^c} u_0(x)| > \delta\} = \emptyset. \quad (15)$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |1_{B_0^c} u_0(x)| > \delta\}) = \mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |u_0(x)| > \delta\}).$$

We prove that

$$\mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |u_0(x)| > \delta\}) < \infty, \quad (16)$$

assuming on the contrary

$$\mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |u_0(x)| > \delta\}) = \infty.$$

Set

$$u_0^*(t) = \inf \{ \tau : \mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |u_0(x)| > \tau\}) \leq t \}.$$

We have $u_0^*(t) \geq \delta$ for all $t > 0$, from the definition of the Lorentz space, we get

$$\|u_0\|_{L^{q,r}} = \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{q}} u_0^*(t))^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{q}} \delta)^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = \delta \left(\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{r}{q}-1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = \infty,$$

a contradiction.

From (14), (15), and (16), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |1_{B_n^c} u_0(x)| > \delta\}) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Set

$$u_n^*(t) = \inf \{ \tau : \mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |1_{B_n^c} u_0(x)| > \tau\}) \leq t \}.$$

We have

$$u_n^*(t) \geq u_{n+1}^*(t). \quad (18)$$

Fixed $t > 0$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, from (17) it follows that there exist $n_0 = n_0(t, \epsilon)$ is large enough such that

$$\mathcal{M}^d(\{x : |1_{B_n^c} u_0(x)| > \epsilon\}) \leq t, \forall n \geq n_0.$$

From this we deduce that

$$u_n^*(t) \leq \epsilon, \forall n \geq n_0,$$

therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n^*(t) = 0. \quad (19)$$

From (18) and (19), we apply Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|1_{B_n^c} u_0\|_{L^{q,r}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{q}} u_n^*(t))^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = 0. \quad \square$$

Now we return to prove Lemma 7. We prove that

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} \lesssim \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}}. \quad (20)$$

Let p' and \tilde{p}' be such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}'} = 1.$$

We have

$$\left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} = \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}}. \quad (21)$$

Applying Holder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces (see Proposition 2.3 (c) in [24], p. 19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} &\lesssim \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{p-\tilde{p}},1}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} = \\ t^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\tilde{p}})} \left\| e^{-|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{p-\tilde{p}},1}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} &\lesssim t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} \\ &= t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The estimate (20) follows from the equality (21) and the estimate (22).

We claim now that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} = 0. \quad (23)$$

From the equality (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} &\leq t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} \\ &\quad + t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$. Applying Holder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces and using Lemma 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} &\leq C t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{p-\tilde{p}},1}} \left\| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} = \\ C \left\| e^{-|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{p-\tilde{p}},1}} \left\| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} &\leq C' \left\| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

for large enough n . Fixed one of such n and applying Holder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} &\leq C t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| 1_{B_n} e^{-t|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{p-\tilde{p}},1}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} \\ &\leq C t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| 1_{B_n} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{p-\tilde{p}},1}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} \leq C''(n) t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} \\ &= C''(n) t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for small enough $t = t(n) > 0$. From estimates (24) and (25), we have,

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1}} \leq C' \left\| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} + C''(n) t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},r}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1}} < \epsilon. \quad \square$$

In the following lemmas a particular attention will be devoted to the study of the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ defined by (2).

In the following lemmas, denote by $[x]$ the integer part of x and by $\{x\}$ the fraction part of x .

Lemma 9. *Let $1 < p \leq d$. Then for all \tilde{p} be such that*

$$\frac{1}{2p} + \frac{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}{2d} < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} < \min \left\{ \frac{[\frac{d}{p}]}{d}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}{2d} \right\}, \quad (26)$$

the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{[\frac{d}{p}]}{\tilde{p}}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}} \times \mathcal{K}_{\frac{[\frac{d}{p}]}{\tilde{p}}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{p,1,T}^p$ and the following inequality holds

$$\|B(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{K}_{p,1,T}^p} \leq C \left\| u \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{[\frac{d}{p}]}{\tilde{p}}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}} \left\| v \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{[\frac{d}{p}]}{\tilde{p}}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}}, \quad (27)$$

where C is a positive constant and independent of T .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1}} &\leq \int_0^t \left\| e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1}} d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right)_j^\wedge(\xi) \\ &= \left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}\}} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot \dot{\Lambda}^{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]-1} (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right)_j^\wedge(\xi) \\ &= |\xi|^{\{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}\}} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} \sum_{l,k=1}^d \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) (i\xi_l) \left(\dot{\Lambda}^{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]-1} (u_l(\tau) v_k(\tau)) \right)_j^\wedge(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right)_j \\ &= \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{\{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}\}+d+1}{2}}} \sum_{l,k=1}^d K_{l,k,j} \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \right) * \left(\dot{\Lambda}^{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]-1} (u_l(\tau) v_k(\tau)) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where

$$\widehat{K_{l,k,j}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} |\xi|^{\{\frac{d}{p}\}} e^{-|\xi|^2} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) (i\xi_l). \quad (30)$$

Setting the tensor $K(x) = \{K_{l,k,j}(x)\}$, we can rewrite the equality (29) in the tensor form

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{\{\frac{d}{p}\}+d+1}{2}}} K \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \right) * \left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1} (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 2 for convolution in the Fourier-Lorentz spaces, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}} \lesssim \\ & \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{\{\frac{d}{p}\}+d+1}{2}}} \left\| K \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1} (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\infty}}, \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{\tilde{p}} - \frac{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}{d} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r} = 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}{d}. \quad (32)$$

Note that from the inequality (26), we can check that r and q satisfy the relations

$$1 < r, q < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} + 1 = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

Applying Lemma 4, we have

$$\left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1} (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\infty}} \lesssim \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}}. \quad (33)$$

From the equalities (30) and (32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| K \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} = (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left\| \hat{K}(\sqrt{t-\tau}(\cdot)) \right\|_{L^{r',1}} = \\ & (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{2r'}} \left\| \hat{K} \right\|_{L^{r',1}} = (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2r'}} \left\| \hat{K} \right\|_{L^{r',1}} \simeq (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}{d} \right)}. \quad (34) \end{aligned}$$

From the estimates (31), (33), and (34), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}} \lesssim (t-\tau)^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - \frac{d}{p} - 1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}} \\ &= (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha\left(\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{p}], \tilde{p}}\right) = \left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}},$$

this gives the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p, 1}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}} d\tau \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}} d\tau \\ & = \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \\ & \simeq \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Let us now check the validity of the condition (13) for the bilinear term $B(u, v)(t)$. Indeed, from (35)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^p, 1}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]-1}} = 0.$$

The estimate (27) is deduced from the inequality (35). \square

Lemma 10. *Let $1 < p \leq d$. Then for all \tilde{p} be such that*

$$\frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{d} < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} < \min\left\{\frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right]}{d}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - 1}{2d}\right\}, \quad (36)$$

the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right], \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}} \times \mathcal{K}_{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right], \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right], 1, T}^{\tilde{p}}$ and the following inequality holds

$$\left\| B(u, v) \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right], 1, T}^{\tilde{p}}} \leq C \left\| u \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right], \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}} \left\| v \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\left[\frac{d}{p}\right], \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}}, \quad (37)$$

where C is a positive constant and independent of T .

Proof. First, arguing as in Lemma 9, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{\Lambda}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) * \left(\dot{\Lambda}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1} (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau))\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{K_{l,k,j}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-|\xi|^2} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) (i\xi_l). \quad (38)$$

Applying Theorem 2 for the convolution in the Fourier-Lorentz spaces, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \left\| K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1} (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\infty}}, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{\tilde{p}} - \frac{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}{d} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r} = 1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}{d}. \quad (40)$$

Note that from the inequality (36), we can check that r and q satisfy the relations

$$1 < r, q < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} + 1 = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

Applying Lemma 4, we have

$$\left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1} (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{q,\infty}} \lesssim \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}}. \quad (41)$$

From the equalities (38) and (40), we obtain

$$\left\| K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} = (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2r}} \|\hat{K}\|_{L^{r',1}} \simeq (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}{d}\right)}. \quad (42)$$

From the estimates (39), (41), and (42), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \\ & \lesssim (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2} \left(\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}}\right) - 1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}} \\ & = (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{p} \rfloor - 1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha\left(\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{p}], \tilde{p}}\right) = \left[\frac{d}{p}\right] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}},$$

this gives the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} d\tau \\ & = \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \\ & \simeq t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Now we check the validity of condition (12) for the bilinear term $B(u, v)(t)$. From (43) we infer that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} = 0.$$

Finally, the estimate (37) can be deduced from the inequality (43). \square

Theorem 5. *Let $1 < p \leq d$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then for all \tilde{p} be such that*

$$\frac{1}{2p} + \frac{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}{2d} < \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} < \min\left\{\frac{[\frac{d}{p}]}{d}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{[\frac{d}{p}] - 1}{2d}\right\},$$

there exists a positive constant $\delta_{p,\tilde{p},d}$ such that for all $T > 0$ and for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}([\frac{d}{p}] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}})} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}} \leq \delta_{p,\tilde{p},d}, \quad (44)$$

NSE has a unique mild solution $u \in \mathcal{K}_{[\frac{d}{p}],1,T}^{\tilde{p}} \cap L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1})$.

In particular, the inequality (44) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough, and there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{p,\tilde{p},d}$ such that we can take $T = \infty$ whenever $\left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} \leq \sigma_{p,\tilde{p},d}$.

Proof. From Lemmas 6 and 10, the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}} \times \mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, 1, T}^{\tilde{p}}$ and we have the inequality

$$\left\| B(u, v) \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}} \leq \left\| B(u, v) \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, 1, T}^{\tilde{p}}} \leq C_{p, \tilde{p}, d} \left\| u \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}} \left\| v \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}},$$

where $C_{p, \tilde{p}, d}$ is positive constant independent of T . From Theorem 4 and the above inequality, we deduce that for any $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p, r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}$ such that

$$\left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}} = \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}([\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}})} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}] - 1}} \leq \frac{1}{4C_{p, \tilde{p}, d}},$$

the Navier-Stokes equations has a solution u on the interval $(0, T)$ so that

$$u \in \mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}. \quad (45)$$

From Lemmas 6 and 9, and (45), we have

$$B(u, u) \in \mathcal{K}_{p, 1, T}^p \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{p, r, T}^p \subseteq L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p, r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}).$$

From Lemma 5, we also have $e^{t\Delta} u_0 \in L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p, r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}})$. Therefore

$$u = e^{t\Delta} u_0 - B(u, u) \in L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p, r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}).$$

For all $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p, r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}$, applying Theorem 3, we deduce that

$$u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{d/[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, r}^{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}] - 1}. \quad (46)$$

From (46), applying Lemma 7, we get $e^{t\Delta} u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}$. From the definition

of $\mathcal{K}_{p, r, T}^{\tilde{p}}$, we deduce that the left-hand side of the inequality (44) converges to 0 when T tends to 0. Therefore the inequality (44) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p, r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough. Applying Lemmas 7 and 10, we conclude that $u \in \mathcal{K}_{\frac{d}{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}]}, 1, T}^{\tilde{p}}$.

Next, applying Theorem 5.4 ([24], p. 45), we deduce that the two quantities $\left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\frac{d-1}{\tilde{p}}}}$ and $\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}([\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}] - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}})} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{[\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}] - 1}}$ are equivalent, then there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{p, \tilde{p}, d}$ such that $T = \infty$ and (44) holds whenever $\left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}^{\frac{d-1}{\tilde{p}}}} \leq \sigma_{p, \tilde{p}, d}$. \square

Remark 1. From Theorem 3 and the proof of Lemma 7, and Theorem 5.4 ([24], p. 45), we have the following imbedding maps

$$\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{d/[\frac{d}{p}],r}}^{[\frac{d}{p}]-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

On the other hand, a function in $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be arbitrarily large in the $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm but small in the $\dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}-1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm.

3.2. Solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with the initial value in the critical spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$.

Lemma 11. *Suppose that $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}$ with $d \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,\infty}^{\tilde{p}}$ for all $\tilde{p} > p$.*

Proof. We prove that

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}},$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha(d, \tilde{p}) = 1 - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}}.$$

Let p' and \tilde{p}' be such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1, \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}'} = 1.$$

We have

$$\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} = \|e^{-t|\xi|^2}\hat{u}_0(\xi)\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} = \|e^{-t|\xi|^2}|\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}}|\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_0(\xi)\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}}.$$

Applying Holder's inequality in the Lorentz spaces to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2}|\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}}|\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} &= \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2}|\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{\tilde{p}\tilde{p}'}{p},1}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} \\ &= t^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{d}{p})} \left\| e^{-|\xi|^2}|\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{\tilde{p}\tilde{p}'}{p},1}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} \\ &\simeq t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1}\hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} = t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore this gives the desired result

$$\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}}.$$

We claim now that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} = 0.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$. Applying Lemma 8 and from the above proof we deduce that

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}} \leq t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} + t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} 1_{B_n} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\tilde{p}',1}} \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} & C_1 \left\| e^{-|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{p-\tilde{p}},1}} \left\| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} \\ & + C_2 t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| 1_{B_n} |\xi|^{1-\frac{d}{p}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p\tilde{p}}{p-\tilde{p}},1}} \left\| |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',\infty}} \\ & \leq C_3 \left\| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{p',r}} + C_4(n) t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for large enough n and small enough $t = t(n) > 0$. \square

Lemma 12. *Let*

$$p \geq d \text{ and } d < \tilde{p} < 2p. \quad (47)$$

Then the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}} \times \mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{p,1,T}^p$, and we have the inequality

$$\|B(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{K}_{p,1,T}^p} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}}, \quad (48)$$

where C is a positive constant and independent of T .

Proof. First, arguing as in Lemma 9, we derive

$$\dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) = \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+1)}} K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) * (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)),$$

where the tensor $K(x) = \{K_{l,k,j}(x)\}$ is given by the formula

$$\widehat{K_{l,k,j}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} |\xi|^{\frac{d}{p}-1} e^{-|\xi|^2} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) (i\xi_l). \quad (49)$$

Applying Theorem 2 for the convolution in the Fourier-Lorentz spaces, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \dot{\Lambda}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+1)}} \left\| K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} \|u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{2},\infty}}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r} = 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{\tilde{p}}. \quad (51)$$

Note that from the inequality (47), we can check that $1 < r < \infty$. Applying Theorem 1, we have

$$\|u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{2}, \infty}} \lesssim \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}. \quad (52)$$

From the equalities (49) and (51) it follows that

$$\left\| K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r,1}} = (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2r}} \|\hat{K}\|_{L^{r',1}} \simeq (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}(1+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{\tilde{p}})}. \quad (53)$$

From the estimates (50), (52), and (53), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} &\lesssim (t-\tau)^{-\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}} \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \\ &= (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha(d, \tilde{p}) = 1 - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}}.$$

This gives the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} &\lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} d\tau \\ &= \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \\ &\simeq \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

From (54) it follows the validity of (13) since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,1}}^{\frac{d}{p}-1}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} = 0.$$

The estimate (48) can be deduced from the inequality (54). \square

Lemma 13. *Let $\tilde{p} > d$, then the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{d, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}} \times \mathcal{K}_{d, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{d, 1, T}^{\tilde{p}}$, and we have the inequality*

$$\|B(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{K}_{d, 1, T}^{\tilde{p}}} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_{d, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}_{d, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}}}, \quad (55)$$

where C is a positive constant and independent of T .

Proof. First, arguing as in Lemma 9, we derive

$$e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) = \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) * (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)),$$

where the tensor $K(x) = \{K_{l, k, j}(x)\}$ is given by the formula

$$\widehat{K_{l, k, j}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-|\xi|^2} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) (i\xi_l). \quad (56)$$

Applying Theorem 2 for the convolution in the Fourier-Lorentz spaces, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, 1}} \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \left\| K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r, 1}} \|u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r} = 1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}}. \quad (58)$$

Applying Theorem 1, we have

$$\|u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \lesssim \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}. \quad (59)$$

From the equalities (56) and (58) it follows that

$$\left\| K\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r, 1}} = (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2r}} \|\hat{K}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{r', 1}} \simeq (t-\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\tilde{p}})}. \quad (60)$$

From the estimates (57), (59), and (60), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u(\tau) \otimes v(\tau)) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, 1}} \lesssim (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{\tilde{p}}+1)} \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \\ & = (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha(d, \tilde{p}) = 1 - \frac{d}{\tilde{p}}.$$

This gives the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B(u, v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, 1}} \lesssim \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} d\tau \\ & = \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \\ & \simeq t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}}. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

From (61) it follows the validity (12) since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|B(u, v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, 1}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p}, \infty}} = 0.$$

Finally, the estimate (55) can be deduced from the inequality (61). \square

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 13.

Lemma 14. *Let $d < \tilde{p}_1 < \infty$ and $d \leq \tilde{p}_2 < \infty$ be such that one of the following conditions is satisfied*

$$d < \tilde{p}_1 < 2d, d \leq \tilde{p}_2 < \frac{d\tilde{p}_1}{2d - \tilde{p}_1},$$

or

$$\tilde{p}_1 = 2d, d \leq \tilde{p}_2 < \infty,$$

or

$$2d < \tilde{p}_1 < \infty, \frac{\tilde{p}_1}{2} < \tilde{p}_2 < \infty.$$

Then the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{d, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}_1} \times \mathcal{K}_{d, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}_1}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{d, 1, T}^{\tilde{p}_2}$, and we have the inequality

$$\|B(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{K}_{d, 1, T}^{\tilde{p}_2}} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_{d, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}_1}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{K}_{d, \infty, T}^{\tilde{p}_1}},$$

where C is a positive constant and independent of T .

Theorem 6. *Let $p \geq d$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then for any \tilde{p} such that*

$$\tilde{p} > p, \quad (62)$$

there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\tilde{p},d}$ such that for all $T > 0$ and for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{d}{\tilde{p}})} \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}} \leq \delta_{\tilde{p},d}, \quad (63)$$

NSE has a unique mild solution $u \in \bigcap_{q>p} \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q \cap L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}})$.

In particular, the inequality (63) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $T(u_0)$ is small enough, and there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{\tilde{p},d}$ such that we can take $T = \infty$ whenever $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}} \leq \sigma_{\tilde{p},d}$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 13 and Theorem 4, we deduce that there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\tilde{p},d}$ such that for all $T > 0$ and for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying the inequality (63) then NSE has a unique mild solution $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^{\tilde{p}}$. Next, we prove that $u \in \bigcap_{q>p} \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$.

Consider two cases $d < \tilde{p} < 2d$ and $2d \leq \tilde{p} < \infty$ separately.

First, we consider the case $d < \tilde{p} < 2d$. We consider two possibilities $\tilde{p} > \frac{4d}{3}$ and $\tilde{p} \leq \frac{4d}{3}$. In the case $\tilde{p} > \frac{4d}{3}$, we apply Lemmas 11 and 14 to obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all q satisfying $p < q < \tilde{p}_1$ where $\tilde{p}_1 = \frac{d\tilde{p}}{2d-\tilde{p}} > 2d$. Thus, $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^{2d}$. Applying again Lemmas 11 and 14, we deduce that $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all $q > p$. In the case $\tilde{p} \leq \frac{4d}{3}$, we set up the following series of numbers $\{\tilde{p}_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq N}$ by inductive. Set $\tilde{p}_0 = \tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{p}_1 = \frac{d\tilde{p}_0}{2d-\tilde{p}_0}$. We have $\tilde{p}_1 > \tilde{p}_0$. If $\tilde{p}_1 > \frac{4d}{3}$ then set $N = 1$ and stop here. In the case $\tilde{p}_1 \leq \frac{4d}{3}$ set $\tilde{p}_2 = \frac{d\tilde{p}_1}{2d-\tilde{p}_1}$. We have $\tilde{p}_2 > \tilde{p}_1$. If $\tilde{p}_2 > \frac{4d}{3}$ then set $N = 2$ and stop here. In the case $\tilde{p}_2 \leq \frac{4d}{3}$, set $\tilde{p}_3 = \frac{d\tilde{p}_2}{2d-\tilde{p}_2}$. We have $\tilde{p}_3 > \tilde{p}_2$, and so on, there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $\tilde{p}_k \leq \frac{4d}{3}$, $\tilde{p}_{k+1} = \frac{d\tilde{p}_k}{2d-\tilde{p}_k} > \frac{4d}{3}$. We set $N = k + 1$ and stop here, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_0 = \tilde{p}, \tilde{p}_i &= \frac{d\tilde{p}_{i-1}}{2d-\tilde{p}_{i-1}}, \tilde{p}_i > \tilde{p}_{i-1} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N, \\ 2d &\geq \tilde{p}_N > \frac{4d}{3} \geq \tilde{p}_{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^{\tilde{p}_0}$, applying Lemmas 11 and 14 to obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all q satisfying $p < q < \tilde{p}_1$. Then applying again Lemmas 11 and 14 to

obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all q satisfying $p < q < \tilde{p}_2$, and so on, finishing we have $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all q satisfying $p < q < \tilde{p}_N$. Therefore $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all q satisfying $\frac{4d}{3} < q < \tilde{p}_N$. From the proof of the case $\tilde{p} > \frac{4d}{3}$, we have $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all $q > p$.

Next, we consider the case $2d \leq \tilde{p} < \infty$. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\frac{\tilde{p}}{2^{i-1}} \geq \max\{2d, p\} > \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i}.$$

From $\tilde{p} \geq \max\{2d, p\}$, we have $i \geq 1$. Applying the Lemmas 11 and 14 to obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all $q > \frac{\tilde{p}}{2}$. Applying again Lemmas 11 and 14 to obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all $q > \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^2}$, and so on, finishing we have $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all $q > \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^{i-1}}$. Applying again Lemmas 11 and 14 to obtained $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all $q > \max\{p, \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i}\}$. If $p \geq \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i}$ then we have $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all $q > p$. If $p < \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i}$ then $2d > \frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i}$. Thus $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all q satisfying $\frac{\tilde{p}}{2^i} < q < 2d$. Therefore, from the proof of the case $d < \tilde{p} < 2d$, we have $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d,1,T}^q$ for all $q > p$.

The fact that $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}})$ can be deduced from Lemmas 5 and 12. Applying Lemma 11, we get $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{d,\infty,T}^{\tilde{p}}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{p,r,T}^{\tilde{p}}$, we deduce that the left-hand side of the inequality (63) converges to 0 when T tends to 0. Therefore the inequality (63) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough.

Next, applying Theorem 5.4 ([24], p. 45), we deduce that the two quantities $\left\|u_0\right\|_{\dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}}$ and $\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{d}{\tilde{p}})}\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}$ are equivalent, then there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{\tilde{p},d}$ such that $T = \infty$ and (63) holds whenever $\left\|u_0\right\|_{\dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}} \leq \sigma_{\tilde{p},d}$. \square

Remark 2. From the proof of Lemma 11 and Theorem 5.4 ([24], p. 45), we have the following imbedding maps

$$\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},1}}^{\frac{d-1}{\tilde{p}}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\frac{d-1}{\tilde{p}}}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

On the other hand, a function in $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be arbitrarily large in the $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{p,r}}^{\frac{d-1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm but small in the $\dot{B}_{\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{p},\infty}}^{\frac{d-1}{\tilde{p}}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm.

3.3. Solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with initial value in the critical spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq r < \infty$.

We define an auxiliary space $\mathcal{K}_{s,r,T}$ which is made up by the functions $u(t, x)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s,r,T}} := \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(t, x)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^s} < \infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(t, x)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^s} = 0, \quad (64)$$

with

$$d - 1 \leq s < d, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, T > 0,$$

and

$$\alpha = \alpha(s) = s + 1 - d.$$

In the case $s = d - 1$, it is also convenient to define the space $\mathcal{K}_{d-1,r,T}$ as the natural space $L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^{d-1})$ with the additional condition that its elements $u(t, x)$ satisfy

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(t, x)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^{d-1}} = 0. \quad (65)$$

Lemma 15. *Let $1 \leq r \leq \tilde{r} \leq \infty$. Then we have the following imbedding*

$$\mathcal{K}_{s,1,T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{s,r,T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{s,\tilde{r},T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{s,\infty,T}.$$

Proof. It is deduced from Lemma 1 (a) and the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{s,r,T}$. \square

Lemma 16. *Suppose that $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq r < \infty$, then $e^{t\Delta}u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{s,r,\infty}$ with $d - 1 < s < d$.*

Proof. We prove that

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^s} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^{d-1}} \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq \infty. \quad (66)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^s} &= \|e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^s \hat{u}_0(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^\infty, r} = \| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \|_{L_\xi^\infty, r} \\ &\leq t^{-\frac{s+1-d}{2}} \| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-|\xi|^2} \|_{L^\infty} \| |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \|_{L^\infty, r} \simeq t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^{d-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

We claim now that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,r}}^s} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq r < \infty.$$

From the inequality (67), we have

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^s} \leq t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty, r}} + t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty, r}}.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, applying Lemma 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty, r}} &\leq \left\| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \left\| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty, r}} \\ &= C \left\| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty, r}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

for large enough n . Fixed one of such n , we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} 1_{B_n} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty, r}} \\ &\leq t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| 1_{B_n} |\xi|^{s+1-d} e^{-t|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \left\| |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty, r}} \\ &\leq t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| 1_{B_n} |\xi|^{s+1-d} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \left\| |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty, r}} = t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} n^{s+1-d} \left\| |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty, r}} \\ &= t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} n^{s+1-d} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

for small enough $t = t(n) > 0$. From the estimates (68) and (69), we have,

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^s} \leq C \left\| 1_{B_n^c} |\xi|^{d-1} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \right\|_{L^{\infty, r}} + t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} n^{s+1-d} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1}} < \epsilon. \quad \square$$

Lemma 17. *Let $d - 1 < s < d$. Then the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{s, \infty, T} \times \mathcal{K}_{s, \infty, T}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{s, 1, T}$ and we have the inequality*

$$\left\| B(u, v) \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s, 1, T}} \leq C \left\| u \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s, \infty, T}} \left\| v \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s, \infty, T}}, \quad (70)$$

where C is a positive constant and independent of T .

Proof. Using the Fourier transform we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(B(u, v)_j(t))(\xi) &= \\ &\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} \sum_{l, k=1}^d \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) (i\xi_l) (\widehat{u_l(\tau)} * \widehat{v_k(\tau)})(\xi) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left| |\xi|^s \mathcal{F}(B(u, v)(t))(\xi) \right| \lesssim \int_0^t |\xi|^s e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} |\xi| (|\widehat{u(\tau)}| * |\widehat{v(\tau)}|)(\xi) d\tau.$$

We have

$$|\xi|^s |\widehat{u(\tau)}(\xi)| \leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^s |\widehat{u(\tau)}(\xi)| = \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s} \quad \text{and} \quad |\xi|^s |\widehat{v(\tau)}(\xi)| \leq \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s},$$

therefore

$$|\widehat{u(\tau)}(\xi)| \leq \frac{\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s}}{|\xi|^s}, \quad |\widehat{v(\tau)}(\xi)| \leq \frac{\|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s}}{|\xi|^s}.$$

A standard argument shows that

$$\frac{1}{|\xi|^s} * \frac{1}{|\xi|^s} = \frac{C}{|\xi|^{2s-d}}.$$

From the above estimates and Lemma 1 (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (|\widehat{u(\tau)}| * |\widehat{v(\tau)}|)(\xi) &\leq \frac{\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s}}{|\xi|^s} * \frac{\|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s}}{|\xi|^s} \simeq \\ &\frac{\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s}}{|\xi|^{2s-d}} = \frac{\|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s}}{|\xi|^{2s-d}}, \end{aligned}$$

this gives the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t |\xi|^s e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} |\xi| (|\widehat{u(\tau)}| * |\widehat{v(\tau)}|)(\xi) d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t |\xi|^{d+1-s} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| |\xi|^s \mathcal{F}(B(u, v)(t))(\xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \\ &\int_0^t \left\| |\xi|^{d+1-s} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{s-d-1}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^{d+1-s} e^{-|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} d\tau \\ &= \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \\ &\simeq t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|v(\eta)\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}}^s}. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Let us now check the validity of the condition (64) for the bilinear term $B(u, v)(t)$. Indeed, from (71)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, 1}^s} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| |\xi|^s \mathcal{F}(B(u, v)(t))(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty, 1}} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} = 0.$$

The estimate (70) is deduced from the inequality (71). \square

Lemma 18. *Let $d - 1 < s < d$. Then the bilinear operator $B(u, v)(t)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{K}_{s, \infty, T} \times \mathcal{K}_{s, \infty, T}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{d-1, 1, T}$ and we have the inequality*

$$\left\| B(u, v) \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{d-1, 1, T}} \leq C \left\| u \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s, \infty, T}} \left\| v \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s, \infty, T}}, \quad (72)$$

where C is a positive constant and independent of T .

Proof. First, arguing as in Lemma 17, we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| |\xi|^{d-1} \mathcal{F}(B(u, v)(t))(\xi) \right| \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t |\xi|^{d-1} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} |\xi| (|\widehat{u(\tau)}| * |\widehat{v(\tau)}|)(\xi) d\tau \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t |\xi|^{2d-2s} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

this gives the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| |\xi|^{d-1} \mathcal{F}(B(u, v)(t))(\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty, 1}} \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t \left\| |\xi|^{2d-2s} e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty, 1}} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} d\tau \\ & = \int_0^t (t-s)^{s-d} \left\| |\xi|^{2d-2s} e^{-|\xi|^2} \right\|_{L^{\infty, 1}} \left\| u(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} \left\| v(\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} d\tau \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} d\tau \\ & = \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \\ & \simeq \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} \sup_{0 < \eta < t} \eta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(\eta) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s}. \quad (73) \end{aligned}$$

From (73) it follows (65) since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\| B(u, v)(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, 1}^{d-1}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\| |\xi|^{d-1} \mathcal{F}(B(u, v)(t))(\xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} = 0,$$

whenever

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| u(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\| v(t) \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} = 0.$$

The estimate (72) can be deduced from the inequality (73). \square

Theorem 7. *Let $d-1 < s < d$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant $\delta_{s,d}$ such that for all $T > 0$ and for all $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-d)} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s} \leq \delta_{s,d}, \quad (74)$$

NSE has a unique mild solution $u \in \mathcal{K}_{s,r,T} \cap L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1})$.

In particular, the inequality (74) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough, and there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{s,d}$ such that we can take $T = \infty$ whenever $\left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^{d-1}} \leq \sigma_{s,d}$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 7 is similar to that of Theorem 5. Applying Lemma 17 and Theorem 4, we deduce that there exists a positive constant $\delta_{s,d}$ such that for any $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$ such that

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-d)} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^s} = \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-d)} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s} \leq \delta_{s,d},$$

the Navier-Stokes equations has a solution $u \in \mathcal{K}_{s, \infty, T}$. Applying Lemmas 5 and 18 we deduce that $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1})$. Applying Lemma 16, we get $e^{t\Delta} u_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{s,r,T}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{s,r,T}$, we deduce that the left-hand side of the inequality (74) converges to 0 when T tends to 0. Therefore the inequality (74) holds for arbitrary $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $T(u_0)$ is small enough.

Next, from the inequality (66) with $r = \infty$, we deduce that

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s+1-d)} \left\| e^{t\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^s} \lesssim \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^{d-1}},$$

then there exists a positive constant $\sigma_{s,d}$ such that $T = \infty$ and (74) holds whenever $\left\| u_0 \right\|_{\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^{d-1}} \leq \sigma_{s,d}$. \square

Remark 3. The case $r = \infty$ was studied by Le Jan and Sznitman in [26]. They showed that NSE are well-posed when the initial datum belongs to the space $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^{d-1}$. For $1 \leq r < \infty$ we have the following imbedding map

$$\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, \infty}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

However, note that for $1 \leq r < \infty$ a function in $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be arbitrarily large in the $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm but small in the $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm. Theorem 7 shows the existence of global mild solutions in the spaces $L^\infty([0, \infty); \dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1, r}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (with $1 \leq r < \infty$) when the norm of the initial value in the spaces $\dot{H}_{\mathcal{L}^1}^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is small enough.

Acknowledgments. This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.02-2014.50.

References

- [1] C. Ahn and Y. Cho, *Lorentz space extension of Strichartz estimates*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **133** (2005), no. 12, 3497-3503.
- [2] J. Bergh and J. Lofstrom, *Interpolation Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1976, 264 p.
- [3] J. Bourgain and N. Pavlović, *Ill-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space in 3D*, J. Funct. Anal., **255** (9) (2008), 2233-2247.
- [4] M. Cannone, *Ondelettes, Paraproducts et Navier-Stokes*, Diderot Editeur, Paris, 1995, 191 p.
- [5] M. Cannone, *A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **13** (3) (1997), 515-541.
- [6] M. Cannone and F. Planchon, *On the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations with an external force*, Adv. in Diff. Eq., **4** (5) (1999), 697-730.
- [7] M. Cannone and Y. Meyer, *Littlewood-Paley decomposition and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Meth. and Appl. of Anal., **2** (1995), 307-319.
- [8] J. M. Chemin, *Remarques sur l'existence globale pour le système de Navier-Stokes incompressible*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **23** (1992), 20-28.
- [9] E. Fabes, B. Jones and N. Riviere, *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in L^p* , Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **45** (1972), 222-240.
- [10] Y. Giga, *Solutions of semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system*, J. Differ. Eq., **62** (1986), 186-212.
- [11] Y. Giga and T. Miyakawa, *Solutions in L^r of the Navier-Stokes initial value problem*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **89** (1985), 267-281.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *Linear partial differential operators*, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1976
- [13] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, *Solutions in mixed-norm Sobolev-Lorentz spaces to the initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **417** (2014), 819-833

- [14] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in Sobolev-Lorentz spaces*. (in preparation)
- [15] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with datum in the Sobolev spaces*. (in preparation)
- [16] D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, *On the initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with the initial datum in critical Sobolev and Besov spaces*. To appear in the Journal of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo.
- [17] T. Kato and H. Fujita, *On the non-stationary Navier-Stokes system*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **32** (1962), 243-260.
- [18] H. Fujita and T. Kato, *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **16** (1964), 269-315.
- [19] H. Hajaiej, X. Yu and Z. Zhai, *Fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Hardy inequalities under Lorentz norms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 396 (2012), no. 2, 569-577.
- [20] T. Kato, *Strong L^p solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^m with applications to weak solutions*, Math. Zeit., **187** (1984), 471-480.
- [21] T. Kato, *Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in Morrey spaces*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Math., **22** (1992), 127-155.
- [22] T. Kato and G. Ponce, *The Navier-Stokes equations with weak initial data*, Int. Math. Res. Notes, **10** (1994), 435-444.
- [23] H. Koch and D. Tataru, *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Adv. Math., **157** (1) (2001), 22-35.
- [24] P. G. Lemarie-Rieusset, *Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 431, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [25] Zh. Lei and F. H. Lin, *Global mild solutions of Navier-Stokes equations*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, **64** (2011), 1297-1304.
- [26] Y. Le Jan and A. S. Sznitman, *Cascades aléatoires et équations de Navier-Stokes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 324 Série I (1997), 823-826.

- [27] M. E. Taylor, *Analysis on Morrey spaces and applications to Navier-Stokes equations and other evolution equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **17** (1992), 1407-1456.
- [28] B. Wang, *Ill-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations in critical Besov spaces $B_{\infty,q}^{-1}$* , Adv. Math., 268 (2015), 350-372.
- [29] F. B. Weissler, *The Navier-Stokes initial value problem in L^p* , Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **74** (1981), 219-230.