

# Some studies on : quantum equivalents of non-commutative operators via commuting eigenvalue relation: PT-symmetry

Biswanath Rath

*Department of Physics, Maharaja Sriram Chandra Bhanj Deo University, Takatpur, Baripada -757003, Odisha, India. e.mail:biswanathrath10@gmail.com*

## Abstract :

Any matrix "B" satisfying the non-commuting relation  $[A, B] \neq 0$  with "A", can be used via  $B^{-1}AB$  to reproduce eigenvalues of "A". This universality relation is also equally valid for any matrix in any branch of physical or social science and also any operator involving co-ordinate( $x$ ) or momentum( $p$ ). Pictorially this is represented in the following fig.

Many interesting models including logarithmic potential have been considered.

**PACS no-11.30Pb, 03.65.Ge**

**Key words:** spectral invariance, PT-symmetry, matrix model, operator, broken PT-symmetry, Un-broken PT-symmetry.




FIG.1. Pictorial view

## I. Introduction

It is commonly known as any two matrices having the same dimension and non-singular in nature are basically non-commutative in nature[1],

$$AB \neq BA \quad (1)$$

Now we consider an explicit form of A or B as

### Non-commutativity of matrices[1]

For example we consider two matrices as A

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 20 \\ 15 & 18 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

having eigenvalues  $\lambda_{1,2} = 39.1706; 3.8294$  and B

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 10 \\ 13 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Here we do not pay importance to eigenvalues of B ( whether real or complex) .  
However, B must be non-singular in nature. Then it is easy to show that .

$$AB \neq BA \quad (4)$$

For a pictorial representation we consider

$$\frac{AB}{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 46 & 35 \\ 35.4 & 24 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\frac{BA}{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 35 & 34 \\ 40 & 35 \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

Pictorially this is represented as in Fig-1.




FIG.1.Non-commutativity nature

As stated above, we have the freedom to change  $B$  as  $B_k$ , where  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

. Below we consider a few different cases as

### Hermiticity

Here we consider  $B_1$  as a Hermitian operator as

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 10 + 3i \\ 10 - 3i & 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

The corresponding, transformed matrix is

$$B_1^{-1}AB_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8444 + 18.6667i & -10.5556 + 16.2667i \\ 31.5556 - 30.4000i & 43.8444 - 18.6667i \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

whose eigenvalues are the same as  $A$ .

### PT-symmetry[2]

Here the model matrix satisfies the condition  $[B_2, PT] = 0$ .

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \pm 2i & 10 \\ 10 & 8 \mp 2i \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

.Here  $P$  stands for the parity operator having the nature  $PxP^{-1} = -x$ ;  $PpP^{-1} = -p$ . Similarly  $T$  stands for the time reversal operator having the behaviour:  $TxT^{-1} =$

$x$ ;  $TpT^{-1} = -p$  and  $Ti = -i$ [2].. The model operators, which have been studied for long time by different authors[2-5]

$$B_2^{-1}AB_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -9.3750 + 21.8750i & -8.1250 + 24.3750i \\ 51.8750 - 10.6250i & 52.3750 - 21.8750i \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

Here also , the eigenvalues are the same as A

### **Arbitrary non-singular matrix**

Here the the matrix considered is very arbitrary in nature.For example

$$B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \pm 2i & 10 + i \\ 10 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$B_3^{-1}AB_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -9.3750 + 21.8750i & -8.1250 + 24.3750i \\ 51.8750- & 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

In all the cases, we find that eigenvalues remain the same as A.

## **2. Universality of eigenvalue invariance (via commutative relation)**

Let us confine to eigenvalues of  $A = H$ , using the relation

$$B_k = h_k H h_k^{-1} \quad (13)$$

Here the relation to be read as eigenvalues of LHS = eigenvalues of RHS. Mathematically for eigenvalue determination, it is basically a commutative relation (even though,non-commutative in general).

Below we confine our analysis on complex PT-symmetry operators in matrix as well as operator forms as given below..

### **3. Spectral invariance in PT-symmetry operator**

Let us confine our attention to  $A = H^{PT} = H$  as a PT-symmetry operator in matrix model.

Here, we consider the Hamiltonian(H) as (2x2) matrix model as

#### **First model**

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{3}i & 4 \\ 4 & 1 - \sqrt{3}i \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

The above model has energy levels  $\lambda_1 = 4.6056$  and  $\lambda_2 = -2.6056$ . Let us choose different forms of  $B_k$  as

$$h_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 + 4i \\ 3 - 4i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

The corresponding  $B_1$  becomes

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 3.2097i & -1.9107 + 4.4330i \\ -1.9107 - 4.4330i & 1 + 3.2097i \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

### Second model

Here we consider  $h_2$  as

$$h_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 + 4i \\ 3 - 4i & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

The corresponding  $B_2$  becomes

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 5.4395i & -3.4149 + 5.5612i \\ -3.4149 - 5.5612i & 1 + 5.4395i \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

### Third model

Here we consider  $h_3$  as

$$h_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 + 4i \\ 3 - 4i & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

The corresponding  $B_3$  becomes

$$B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 9.6806i & -6.5981 + 7.9486i \\ -6.5981 - 7.9486i & 1 + 9.6806i \end{bmatrix} \quad (20)$$

#### Fourth model

Here we consider  $h_4$  as

$$h_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 + 3i \\ 1 - 3i & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

The corresponding  $h_4$  becomes

$$B_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 22.1127i & -16.3806 + 15.2855i \\ -16.3806 - 15.2855i & 1 - 22.1127i \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

In all the cases, eigenvalues of  $B_k$  remain the same as  $H$ . Pictorially, we have




FIG.2.Equi-eigenvalue model operators

#### 4a. Operator model involving $x$ and $p$ .

Here we consider different operators as follows.

**Hermitian :**  $h_1 + p^2 + x^2$

Let us consider a simple harmonic oscillator as  $h_1$  i.e

$$h_1 = p^2 + x^2 \quad (23)$$

whose energy levels are real and equispaced.

$$E_n^1 = (2n + 1) \quad (24)$$

Now consider another hermitian operator as

$$h_2 = p^2 + x^4 \quad (25)$$

having real spectra [3]. As stated above  $h_k$  can also have broken spectra. Accordingly, we consider a broken spectra  $h_4$  as

$$h_3 = p^2 + ix \quad (26)$$


whose spectra is complex see fig-3.

Similarly, we also consider another broken PT-symmetry operator as[5]


$$h_4 = p^2 + \frac{i}{x} \quad (27)$$

Now use the flowing PT symmetry operator as





**FIG3:** Spectra of  $H = p^2 + ix$



**FIG.2.**Spectra of  $H = p^2 + \frac{i}{x}$

The corresponding  $B$  operator is

$$B_k = h_k H_2 h_k^{-1} \quad (28)$$

Let us consider  $H_2$  as [4,5]

$$H_2 = p^2 + ix^3 \quad (29)$$

The energy levels are tabulated in table-1.

Table-1: First five even energy levels of  $B_k$

$B_1$	$B_2$	$B_3$
1.156 267	1.156 267	1.156 267
7.562 273	7.562 273	7.562 267
15.291 553	15.191 553	15.291 553
23.766 740	23.766 740	23.766 740
32.789 082	32.766 082	32.789 082
$B_4$	Previous[7]	Previous[4]
1.156 267	1.156 2	1.156 267
7.562 273	7.562 1	7.562 267
15.291 553	15.291 6	15.291 553
23.766 740		23.766 740

#### 4b. Inverted quartic operator model[5-7] involving $x$ and $p$ .

$$H_3 = p^2 - x^4$$

The corresponding  $B$  operator is

$$B_{k=1,2,3,4} = h_{1,2,3,4} H_3 h_{1,2,3,4}^{-1} \quad (30)$$

Table-2: First five even energy levels of  $B_k$

$B_1$	$B_2$	$B_3$
1.477 149 7	1.477 149 7	1.477 149 7
11.802 433 5	11.802 433 5	11.802 433 5
25.791 792 3	25.791 792 3	25.791 792 3
42.093 807 7	42.093 807 7	42.093 807 7
60.184 331 2	60.184 331 2	60.184 331 2
$B_4$	exact[5,6]	Previous[4]
1.477 149 7	1.477 149 7	1.477 149 7
11.802 433 5	11.802 433 5	11.802 433 5
25.791 792 3	25.791 792 3	25.791 792 4
42.093 807 7	42.093 807 7	42.093 814 5
60.184 331 2	60.184 331 2	60.185 767 6

**4c. Logarithmic model quartic PT-symmetry[8] involving  $x$  and  $p$ .**

$$H_4 = p^2 + x^4 \log(ix)$$

The corresponding  $B$  operator is

$$B_{k=1,2,3,4} = h_{1,2,3,4} H_4 h_{1,2,3,4}^{-1} \quad (31)$$

Here, we present results in table3.

Table-3: Energy levels of  $B_k$

Quantum no	$B_1$	$B_2$
0	1.249 08	1.249 08
3	13.738 27	13.738 27
6	31.665 82	31.665 82
9	52.993 79	52.993 79
12	76.976 08	76.976 08
$B_3$	$B_4$	Previous[6]
0	1.249 08	1.249 08
3	13.738 27	13.738 27
6	31.665 82	31.665 82
9	52.993 79	52.993 79
12	76.976 08	76.976 08

## 5.Method of calculation

Here we solve the eigenvalue relation[9-11]

$$H|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle \quad (32)$$

where

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum A_m |m\rangle \quad (33)$$

where  $|m\rangle$  satisfies the eigenvalue relation[8]

$$[p^2 + x^2]|m\rangle = (2m + 1)|m\rangle \quad (34)$$

## 6.Conclusion

Here, we have focussed attention on PT-symmetry model both matrix and operator involving  $(x, p)$  and presented a unique spectral of Hamiltonian under the transformation. In fact, " intertwining" operators  $B_k; h_k; H$ . It should be borne in mind that the operators  $h_k$  be non-singular. The eigenvalues of  $h_k$  are not an important criteria on selection of  $B_k$ . It can have broken spectra also. To justify this, we have considered two potentials  $V_1 = ix$  and  $V_2 = \frac{i}{x}$ . Lastly one can have many more operators like this, in which no specific conditions to be imposed on  $h_k$ .

### Conflict of interest:

Author declares there are no conflicts of interest.

**DATA Requirement : No additional data of any kind is required.**

## References

- [1] E.Kreyszig," Advanced Engineering Mathematics" John Wiley and Sons.Inc,Eighth Edition,India,(2011).
- [2] C.M.Bender, D.C.Brody and H.F.Jones,Complex extension of quantum mechanics,Phys.Rev.Lett.**92**,270401, (2002) ; Erratum **94**,119902, (2004).

- [3] B.Rath and H.Mavromatis,"Energy-level calculation through modified Hill determinant approach" Ind.J.Phys.**73(4)B**,641-649.(1999).
- [4] C.M.Bender,Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians,Rep.Prog.Phys.Phys.**70**,947-1014, (2007).
- [5] H.F.Jones and J.Mateo, Equivalent Hermitian Hamiltonian for the non-Hermitian  $-x^4$  potential, Phys.Rev.**D73**,085002 (2006).
- [6] A.Nanayakkara and T.Mathanaranjan,Equivalent Hermitian Hamiltonians for the non-hermitian Hamiltonians, Phys.Rev.**A86**,022106 (2012).
- [7] C.M.Bender and S.Boettcher,Real spectra in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians having PT-symmetry; Phys.Rev.Lett.**80(24)**,5243-5246,(1998).
- [8] C.Bender,A.Felski,S.P.Klevansky and S.Sarkar,J.Phys, PT-symmetry and renormalisation in quantum field theory, Conference Series,**2038**,012004 (2012).
- [9] B.Rath,A.Nanayakkara,P.Mallick and P.K.Samal,SUSY Quantum Mechanics for PT symmetric systems, Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.A Phys.Sci,**88(4)**,633,(2015).
- [10] B.Rath and M.K.A.Kaabar,Math. and Comp.Sci **2(1)**,11(2021); method on generation of finite levels in Real and Complex systems, B.Rath and P.Mohapatra, Remarks on Geound state eigenvalue of the anharmonic potential  $x^4 + \lambda x^6$  by high accuracy analytic functions Pablo Martin, Daniel-Diaz-Almeida and Fernando Mass [Results. Physys **18**, (2020), 103291],Results.in.Phys.**25**,104197 (2021).
- [11] B.Rath( unpublished).