

THE UNIFIED TRANSFORM METHOD FOR THE SASA-SATSUMA EQUATION ON THE INTERVAL

JIAN XU*, QIAOZHEN ZHU, AND ENGUI FAN

ABSTRACT. We present a Riemann-Hilbert problem formalism for the initial-boundary value problem for the Sasa-Satsuma(SS) equation on the finite interval. Assume that the solution exists, we show that this solution can be expressed in terms of the solution of a 3×3 Riemann-Hilbert problem. The relevant jump matrices are explicitly given in terms of the three matrix-value spectral functions $s(k)$, $S(k)$ and $S_L(k)$, which in turn are defined in terms of the initial values, boundary values at $x = 0$ and boundary values at $x = L$, respectively. However, for a well-posed problem, only part of the boundary values can be prescribed, the remaining boundary data cannot be independently specified, but are determined by the so-called global relation. Here, we analyze the global relation to characterize the unknown boundary values in terms of the given initial and boundary data.

1. INTRODUCTION

Integrable nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs) can be written as the compatibility condition of two linear eigenvalue equations, which are called a Lax pair [1]. They can be analyzed by means of the Inverse Scattering Transform (IST) formalism which was discovered in 1967 by Gardner, Greene, Kruskal, Miura [2]. Until the 1990s the IST methodology was pursued almost entirely for pure initial value problems. However, in many laboratory and field situations, the wave

Date: September 18, 2021.

Key words and phrases. Sasa-Satsuma equation, Initial-boundary value problem, Unified transform method, Riemann-Hilbert problem.

motion is initiated by what corresponds to the imposition of boundary conditions rather than initial conditions. This naturally leads to the formulation of an initial-boundary value (IBV) problem instead of a pure initial value problem. However, the presence of a boundary presents new challenges.

In 1997, Fokas announced a new unified approach for the analysis of IBV problems for linear and nonlinear integrable PDEs [3, 4](see also [5]). The Fokas method provides a generalization of the IST formalism from initial value to IBV problems. Just like the IST on the line, the Fokas method yields an expression for the solution of an IBV problem in terms of the solution of a Riemann-Hilbert problem. We know the IST method is usually based on analyzing the x -part of the Lax pairs to get the scattering data. The t -part of the Lax pairs is only used to determine the time evolution of the scattering data. However, the Fokas method is based on the *simultaneous* spectral analysis of both x -part and t -part of the Lax pair. Hence, we need all the boundary value data to formulate a Riemann-Hilbert problem. But for a well-posed problem, only part of the boundary values can be prescribed, the remaining boundary data cannot be independently specified, but are determined by the so-called global relation, which is an algebraic relation coupling the relevant spectral functions. Thus, we need analyze this global relation to characterize the unknown boundary value data before we formulate a Riemann-Hilbert problem. This is usually the major difficulty of the Fokas method.

Over the last two decades, the Fokas method was successfully used to analyze boundary value problems for several of the most important integrable equations with 2×2 Lax pairs, such as the Korteweg-de Vries [6], the nonlinear Schrödinger [7], and the sine-Gordon [8] equations, and so on. However, in [9], the Fokas methodology was further developed to include the case of equations with 3×3 Lax pairs. Since the work of [9], the IBV problems were considered on the half-line. It

is a natural problem to extend the Fokas methodology to the case of IBV problems on an finite interval.

In a previous paper [10], we successfully extended the methodology to the case of two-component nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the interval. In this paper, we try to analyze the IBV problem for the Sasa-Satsuma equation on the finite interval. We use the idea of choosing the integral contours to determine the appropriate functions to formulate a Riemann-Hilbert problem in [10]. However, the most major difficulty and differences from the two-component nonlinear Schrödinger equation case is to analyze the global relation to express the unknown boundary value data in terms of the initial value data and the prescribed boundary data in the Sasa-Satsuma equation case. It is not suitable for Sasa-Satsuma equation to do the analysis in spectral domain as [10]. However, there is another method to analyze the global relation. Here, we analyse the global relation by using it, i.e. a Gelfand-Levitan-Marchenko (GLM) representation to derive an expression to characterize the unknown boundary values. Since the order of the derivative is higher than the two-component nonlinear Schrödinger equation case, the analysis of the global relation of the Sasa-Satsuma equation will be more complicate.

Organization of the paper: In section 2 we state the main equation considered in this paper, and perform the spectral analysis of the associated Lax pair. Then, we can formulate the main Riemann-Hilbert problem. It is shown that the solution of the IBV problem for the Sasa-Satsuma equation on the finite interval can be recovered by the solution of this Riemann-Hilbert problem in section 3. The most important result, which expresses the unknown boundary value data in terms of the initial value and prescribed boundary value data by analysing the global relation, is obtained in section 4.

2. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

2.1. Sasa-Satsuma equation. It is well known that the nonlinear Schrödinger(NLS) equation

$$iq_T + \frac{1}{2}q_{XX} + |q|^2q = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

describes slowly varying wave envelopes in dispersive media and arises in various physical systems such as water waves, plasma physics, solid-state physics and nonlinear optics. One of the most successful among them is the description of optical solitons in fibers. However, by the advancement of experomental accuracy, several phenomena which can not be explained by equation (2.1) have been observed. In order to understand such phenomena, Kodama and Hasegawa [11] proposed a higer-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iq_T + \frac{1}{2}q_{XX} + |q|^2q + i\varepsilon\{\beta_1q_{XXX} + \beta_2|q|^2q_X + \beta_3q(|q|^2)_X\} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

In general, equation (2.2) may not be completely integrable. However, if some restrictions are imposed on the real parameters β_1, β_2 and β_3 , it becomes integrable, then we can apply the IST to solve its initial value problems. Until now, the following four cases besides the NLS equation itself are konwn to be integrable:

- the Chen-Lee-Liu-type derivative NLS equation ($\beta_1 : \beta_2 : \beta_3=0:1:1$),
- the Kaup-Newell-type derivative NLS equation ($\beta_1 : \beta_2 : \beta_3=0:1:0$),
- the Hirota equation($\beta_1 : \beta_2 : \beta_3=1:6:0$),
- the Sasa-Satsuma equation($\beta_1 : \beta_2 : \beta_3=1:6:3$).

$$iq_T + \frac{1}{2}q_{XX} + |q|^2q + i\varepsilon(q_{XXX} + 6|q|^2q_X + 3q(|q|^2)_X) = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

Let us consider the last case, i.e. $\beta_1 : \beta_2 : \beta_3=1:6:3$. According to [12] we introduce variable transformations,

$$u(x, t) = q(X, T) \exp\left\{\frac{-i}{6\varepsilon}\left(X - \frac{T}{18\varepsilon}\right)\right\}, \quad t = T, \quad x = X - \frac{T}{12\varepsilon}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then equation (2.3) is reduce to a complex modified KdV-type equation

$$u_t + \varepsilon\{u_{xxx} + 6|u|^2u_x + 3u(|u|^2)_x\} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

In the following , we consider the equation (2.5) instead of the equation (2.3).

The initial value problem for the Sasa-Satsuma equation (2.5) on the whole line has been obtained in [12] by the IST method. And, the IBV problem on the half-line domain was considered in [13]. However, in what follows, we consider the IBV problem for the equation (2.5) on the interval $\Omega = \{(x, t)|0 \leq x \leq L, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, where L is a positive finite constant, T is a given finite time, in this paper, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Initial value:} & \quad u_0(x) = u(x, 0), \\ \text{Dirichlet Boundary value:} & \quad g_0(t) = u(0, t), \quad f_0(t) = u(L, t), \\ \text{First Neumann Boundary value:} & \quad g_1(t) = u_x(0, t), \quad f_1(t) = u_x(L, t), \\ \text{Second Neumann Boundary value:} & \quad g_2(t) = u_{xx}(0, t), \quad f_2(t) = u_{xx}(L, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

2.2. Lax pair. The Lax pair of equation (2.5) is (see, [12]),

$$\Psi_x = U\Psi, \quad \Psi_t = V\Psi, \quad \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 & \Psi_2 & \Psi_3 \end{pmatrix}^T. \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$U = -ik\Lambda + V_1, \quad V = -4i\varepsilon k^3\Lambda + V_2. \quad (2.8)$$

here

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{u} \\ -\bar{u} & -u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, V_2 = 4k^2V_2^{(2)} + 2ikV_2^{(1)} + V_2^{(0)}. \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$V_2^{(2)} = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{u} \\ -\bar{u} & -u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_2^{(1)} = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} |u|^2 & u^2 & u_x \\ \bar{u}^2 & |u|^2 & \bar{u}_x \\ \bar{u}_x & u_x & -2|u|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.10a)$$

$$V_2^{(0)} = -4|u|^2\varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{u} \\ -\bar{u} & -u & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u_{xx} \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{u}_{xx} \\ -\bar{u}_{xx} & -u_{xx} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon(u\bar{u}_x - u_x\bar{u}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.10b)$$

In the following, we let $\varepsilon = 1$ for the convenient of the analysis.

2.3. The closed one-form. Suppose that $u(x, t)$ is sufficiently smooth function of (x, t) in the finite interval domain $\Omega = \{0 < x < L, 0 < t < T\}$. Introducing a new eigenfunction $\mu(x, t, k)$ by

$$\Psi(x, t, k) = \mu(x, t, k)e^{-i\Lambda kx - 4i\Lambda k^3 t} \quad (2.11)$$

then we find the Lax pair equations

$$\begin{cases} \mu_x + [ik\Lambda, \mu] = V_1\mu, \\ \mu_t + [4ik^3\Lambda, \mu] = V_2\mu. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Letting \hat{A} denotes the operators which acts on a 3×3 matrix X by $\hat{A}X = [A, X]$, the equations in (2.12) can be written in differential form as

$$d(e^{(ikx+4ik^3t)\hat{A}}\mu) = W, \quad (2.13)$$

where $W(x, t, k)$ is the closed one-form defined by

$$W = e^{(ikx+4ik^3t)\hat{A}}(V_1 dx + V_2 dt)\mu. \quad (2.14)$$

2.4. The μ_j 's definition. We define four eigenfunctions $\{\mu_j\}_1^4$ of (2.12) by the Volterra integral equations

$$\mu_j(x, t, k) = \mathbb{I} + \int_{\gamma_j} e^{(-ikx-4ik^3t)\hat{A}} W_j(x', t', k). \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (2.15)$$

where \mathbb{I} denotes the 3×3 identity matrix, W_j is given by (2.14) with μ replaced with μ_j , and the contours $\{\gamma_j\}_1^4$ are showed in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. The four contours $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ and γ_4 in the (x, t) -domain.

The first, second and third column of the matrix equation (2.15) involves the exponentials

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu_j]_1: & e^{2ik(x-x')+8ik^3(t-t')}, \\ [\mu_j]_2: & e^{2ik(x-x')+8ik^3(t-t')}, \\ [\mu_j]_3: & e^{-2ik(x-x')-8ik^3(t-t')}, e^{-2ik(x-x')-8ik^3(t-t')}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

And we have the following inequalities on the contours:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1: & x - x' \geq 0, t - t' \leq 0, & \gamma_2: & x - x' \geq 0, t - t' \geq 0, \\ \gamma_3: & x - x' \leq 0, t - t' \geq 0, & \gamma_4: & x - x' \leq 0, t - t' \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

So, these inequalities imply that the functions $\{\mu_j\}_1^4$ are bounded and analytic for $k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that k belongs to

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1: & (D_2, D_2, D_3); & \mu_2: & (D_1, D_1, D_4); \\ \mu_3: & (D_3, D_3, D_2); & \mu_4: & (D_4, D_4, D_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where $\{D_n\}_1^4$ denote four open, pairwise disjoint subsets of the complex k -plane showed in Figure 2. And the sets $\{D_n\}_1^4$ has the following




FIGURE 2. The sets D_n , $n = 1, \dots, 4$, which decompose the complex k -plane.

properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= \{k \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Re} l_1 = \operatorname{Re} l_2 > \operatorname{Re} l_3, \operatorname{Re} z_1 = \operatorname{Re} z_2 > \operatorname{Re} z_3\}, \\
D_2 &= \{k \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Re} l_1 = \operatorname{Re} l_2 > \operatorname{Re} l_3, \operatorname{Re} z_1 = \operatorname{Re} z_2 < \operatorname{Re} z_3\}, \\
D_3 &= \{k \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Re} l_1 = \operatorname{Re} l_2 < \operatorname{Re} l_3, \operatorname{Re} z_1 = \operatorname{Re} z_2 > \operatorname{Re} z_3\}, \\
D_4 &= \{k \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Re} l_1 = \operatorname{Re} l_2 < \operatorname{Re} l_3, \operatorname{Re} z_1 = \operatorname{Re} z_2 < \operatorname{Re} z_3\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $l_i(k)$ and $z_i(k)$ are the diagonal entries of matrices $-ik\Lambda$ and $-4ik^3\Lambda$, respectively.

2.5. The M_n 's definition. For each $n = 1, \dots, 4$, define a solution $M_n(x, t, k)$ of (2.12) by the following system of integral equations:

$$(M_n)_{ij}(x, t, k) = \delta_{ij} + \int_{\gamma_{ij}^n} (e^{(-ikx - 4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}} W_n(x', t', k))_{ij}, \quad k \in D_n, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.19)$$

where W_n is given by (2.14) with μ replaced with M_n , and the contours γ_{ij}^n , $n = 1, \dots, 4$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ are defined by

$$\gamma_{ij}^n = \begin{cases} \gamma_1 & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} l_i(k) < \operatorname{Re} l_j(k) \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} z_i(k) \geq \operatorname{Re} z_j(k), \\ \gamma_2 & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} l_i(k) < \operatorname{Re} l_j(k) \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} z_i(k) < \operatorname{Re} z_j(k), \\ \gamma_3 & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} l_i(k) \geq \operatorname{Re} l_j(k) \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} z_i(k) \leq \operatorname{Re} z_j(k), \\ \gamma_4 & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} l_i(k) \geq \operatorname{Re} l_j(k) \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} z_i(k) \geq \operatorname{Re} z_j(k). \end{cases} \quad \text{for } k \in D_n. \quad (2.20)$$

Here, we make a distinction between the contours γ_3 and γ_4 as follows,

$$\gamma_{ij}^n = \begin{cases} \gamma_3, & \text{if } \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\operatorname{Re} l_i(k) - \operatorname{Re} l_j(k)) (\operatorname{Re} z_i(k) - \operatorname{Re} z_j(k)) < 0, \\ \gamma_4, & \text{if } \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\operatorname{Re} l_i(k) - \operatorname{Re} l_j(k)) (\operatorname{Re} z_i(k) - \operatorname{Re} z_j(k)) > 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

The rule chosen in the produce is if $l_m = l_n$, m may not equals n , we just choose the subscript is smaller one.

According to the definition of the γ^n , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_4 & \gamma_4 & \gamma_4 \\ \gamma_4 & \gamma_4 & \gamma_4 \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_4 \end{pmatrix} & \gamma^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 \\ \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_1 & \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \gamma^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 & \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 & \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 & \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix} & \gamma^4 &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_4 & \gamma_4 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_4 & \gamma_4 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_4 & \gamma_4 & \gamma_4 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

The following proposition ascertains that the M_n 's defined in this way have the properties required for the formulation of a Riemann-Hilbert problem.

Proposition 2.1. *For each $n = 1, \dots, 4$, the function $M_n(x, t, k)$ is well-defined by equation (2.19) for $k \in \bar{D}_n$ and $(x, t) \in \Omega$. For any fixed point (x, t) , M_n is bounded and analytic as a function of $k \in D_n$ away from a possible discrete set of singularities $\{k_j\}$ at which the Fredholm determinant vanishes. Moreover, M_n admits a bounded and continuous extension to \bar{D}_n and*

$$M_n(x, t, k) = \mathbb{I} + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right), \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad k \in D_n. \quad (2.23)$$

Proof. The boundedness and analyticity properties are established in appendix B in [9]. And substituting the expansion

$$M = M_0 + \frac{M^{(1)}}{k} + \frac{M^{(2)}}{k^2} + \dots, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

into the Lax pair (2.12) and comparing the terms of the same order of k yield the equation (2.23). \square

2.6. The jump matrices. We define spectral functions $S_n(k)$, $n = 1, \dots, 4$, and

$$S_n(k) = M_n(0, 0, k), \quad k \in D_n, \quad n = 1, \dots, 4. \quad (2.24)$$

Let M denote the sectionally analytic function on the complex k -plane which equals M_n for $k \in D_n$. Then M satisfies the jump conditions

$$M_n = M_m J_{m,n}, \quad k \in \bar{D}_n \cap \bar{D}_m, \quad n, m = 1, \dots, 4, \quad n \neq m, \quad (2.25)$$

where the jump matrices $J_{m,n}(x, t, k)$ are defined by

$$J_{m,n} = e^{(-ikx - 4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}}(S_m^{-1}S_n). \quad (2.26)$$

2.7. The adjugated eigenfunctions. We will also need the analyticity and boundedness properties of the minors of the matrices $\{\mu_j(x, t, k)\}_1^3$.

We recall that the cofactor matrix X^A of a 3×3 matrix X is defined by

$$X^A = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11}(X) & -m_{12}(X) & m_{13}(X) \\ -m_{21}(X) & m_{22}(X) & -m_{23}(X) \\ m_{31}(X) & -m_{32}(X) & m_{33}(X) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $m_{ij}(X)$ denote the (ij) -th minor of X .

It follows from (2.12) that the adjugated eigenfunction μ^A satisfies the Lax pair

$$\begin{cases} \mu_x^A - [ik\Lambda, \mu^A] = -V_1^T \mu^A, \\ \mu_t^A - [4ik^3\Lambda, \mu^A] = -V_2^T \mu^A. \end{cases} \quad (2.27)$$

where V^T denote the transform of a matrix V . Thus, the eigenfunctions $\{\mu_j^A\}_1^4$ are solutions of the integral equations

$$\mu_j^A(x, t, k) = \mathbb{I} - \int_{\gamma_j} e^{ik(x-x') + 4ik^3(t-t')\hat{\Lambda}}(V_1^T dx + V_2^T)\mu^A, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (2.28)$$

Then we can get the following analyticity and boundedness properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1^A &: (D_3, D_3, D_2); & \mu_2^A &: (D_4, D_4, D_1); \\ \mu_3^A &: (D_2, D_2, D_3); & \mu_4^A &: (D_1, D_1, D_4). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

2.8. The $J_{m,n}$'s computation. Let us define the 3×3 -matrix value spectral functions $s(k)$, $S(k)$ and $S_L(k)$ by

$$\mu_3(x, t, k) = \mu_2(x, t, k)e^{(-ikx - 4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}}s(k), \quad (2.30a)$$

$$\mu_1(x, t, k) = \mu_2(x, t, k)e^{(-ikx - 4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}}S(k), \quad (2.30b)$$

$$\mu_4(x, t, k) = \mu_3(x, t, k)e^{(-ik(x-L)-4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}} S_L(k) \quad (2.30c)$$

Thus,

$$s(k) = \mu_3(0, 0, k), \quad (2.31a)$$

$$S(k) = \mu_1(0, 0, k) = e^{4ik^3T\hat{\Lambda}} \mu_2^{-1}(0, T, k), \quad (2.31b)$$

$$S_L(k) = \mu_4(L, 0, k) = e^{4ik^3T\hat{\Lambda}} \mu_3^{-1}(L, T, k). \quad (2.31c)$$

And we deduce from the properties of μ_j and μ_j^A that $\{s(k), S(k), S_L(k)\}$ and $\{s^A(k), S^A(k), S_L^A(k)\}$ have the following boundedness properties:

$$\begin{aligned} s(k) : & (D_3 \cup D_4, D_3 \cup D_4, D_1 \cup D_2), & S(k) : & (D_2 \cup D_4, D_2 \cup D_4, D_1 \cup D_3), \\ S_L(k) : & (D_2 \cup D_4, D_2 \cup D_3, D_1 \cup D_3) & s^A(k) : & (D_1 \cup D_2, D_1 \cup D_2, D_3 \cup D_4), \\ S^A(k) : & (D_1 \cup D_3, D_1 \cup D_3, D_2 \cup D_4), & S_L^A(k) : & (D_1 \cup D_3, D_1 \cup D_3, D_2 \cup D_4). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we notice that

$$M_n(x, t, k) = \mu_2(x, t, k)e^{(-ikx-4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}} S_n(k), \quad k \in D_n. \quad (2.32)$$

Proposition 2.2. *The S_n can be expressed in terms of the entries of $s(k), S(k)$ and $S_L(k)$ as follows:*

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_{22}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{33}} & \frac{m_{21}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{33}} & \mathcal{A}_{13} \\ \frac{m_{12}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{33}} & \frac{m_{11}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{33}} & \mathcal{A}_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{A}_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_{22}(s)m_{33}(S)-m_{32}(s)m_{23}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} & \frac{m_{21}(s)m_{33}(S)-m_{31}(s)m_{23}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} & s_{13} \\ \frac{m_{12}(s)m_{33}(S)-m_{32}(s)m_{13}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} & \frac{m_{11}(s)m_{33}(S)-m_{31}(s)m_{13}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} & s_{23} \\ \frac{m_{12}(s)m_{23}(S)-m_{22}(s)m_{13}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} & \frac{m_{11}(s)m_{23}(S)-m_{21}(s)m_{13}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} & s_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.33a)$$

$$S_3 = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & \frac{S_{13}}{(S^T s^A)_{33}} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} & \frac{S_{23}}{(S^T s^A)_{33}} \\ s_{31} & s_{32} & \frac{S_{33}}{(S^T s^A)_{33}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{11} & \mathcal{A}_{12} & 0 \\ \mathcal{A}_{21} & \mathcal{A}_{22} & 0 \\ \mathcal{A}_{31} & \mathcal{A}_{32} & \frac{1}{m_{33}(\mathcal{A})} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.33b)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3 = s(k)e^{ikL\hat{\Lambda}} S_L(k)$.

Proof. Firstly, we define $R_n(k), T_n(k)$ and $Q_n(k)$ as follows:

$$R_n(k) = e^{4ik^3T\hat{\Lambda}} M_n(0, T, k), \quad (2.34a)$$

$$T_n(k) = e^{ikL\hat{\Lambda}} M_n(L, 0, k), \quad (2.34b)$$

$$Q_n(k) = e^{(ikL+4ik^3T)\hat{\Lambda}} M_n(L, T, k). \quad (2.34c)$$

Then, we have the following relations:

$$\begin{cases} M_n(x, t, k) = \mu_1(x, t, k)e^{(-ikx-4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}}R_n(k), \\ M_n(x, t, k) = \mu_2(x, t, k)e^{(-ikx-4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}}S_n(k), \\ M_n(x, t, k) = \mu_3(x, t, k)e^{(-ikx-4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}}T_n(k), \\ M_n(x, t, k) = \mu_3(x, t, k)e^{(-ikx-4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}}Q_n(k) \end{cases} \quad (2.35)$$

The relations (2.35) imply that

$$s(k) = S_n(k)T_n^{-1}(k), \quad S(k) = S_n(k)R_n^{-1}(k), \quad \mathcal{A}(k) = S_n(k)Q_n^{-1}(k). \quad (2.36)$$

These equations constitute a matrix factorization problem which, given $\{s(k), S(k), S_L(k)\}$ can be solved for the $\{R_n, S_n, T_n, Q_n\}$. Indeed, the integral equations (2.19) together with the definitions of $\{R_n, S_n, T_n, Q_n\}$ imply that

$$\begin{cases} (R_n(k))_{ij} = 0 & \text{if } \gamma_{ij}^n = \gamma_1; & (S_n(k))_{ij} = 0 & \text{if } \gamma_{ij}^n = \gamma_2; \\ (T_n(k))_{ij} = \delta_{ij} & \text{if } \gamma_{ij}^n = \gamma_3; & (Q_n(k))_{ij} = \delta_{ij} & \text{if } \gamma_{ij}^n = \gamma_4. \end{cases} \quad (2.37)$$

It follows that (2.36) are 27 scalar equations for 27 unknowns. By computing the explicit solution of this algebraic system, we arrive at (2.33). \square

2.9. The global relation. The spectral functions $S(k), S_L(k)$ and $s(k)$ are not independent but satisfy an important relation. Indeed, it follows from (2.30) that

$$\mu_1(x, t, k)e^{(-ikx-4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}}\{S^{-1}(k)s(k)e^{ikL\hat{\Lambda}}S_L(k)\} = \mu_4(x, t, k). \quad (2.38)$$

Since $\mu_1(0, T, k) = \mathbb{I}$, evaluation at $(0, T)$ yields the following global relation:

$$S^{-1}(k)s(k)e^{ikL\hat{\Lambda}}S_L(k) = e^{4ik^3T\hat{\Lambda}}c(T, k), \quad (2.39)$$

where $c(T, k) = \mu_4(0, T, k)$.

2.10. **The residue conditions.** Since μ_2 is an entire function, it follows from (2.32) that M can only have singularities at the points where the S'_n s have singularities. We infer from the explicit formulas (2.33) that the possible singularities of M are as follows:

- $[M]_1$ could have poles in D_1 at the zeros of $\mathcal{A}_{33}(k)$;
- $[M]_1$ could have poles in D_2 at the zeros of $(s^T S^A)_{33}(k)$;
- $[M]_2$ could have poles in D_1 at the zeros of $\mathcal{A}_{33}(k)$;
- $[M]_2$ could have poles in D_2 at the zeros of $(s^T S^A)_{33}(k)$;
- $[M]_3$ could have poles in D_3 at the zeros of $(S^T s^A)_{33}(k)$;
- $[M]_3$ could have poles in D_4 at the zeros of $m_{33}(\mathcal{A})(k)$;

We denote the above possible zeros by $\{k_j\}_1^N$ and assume they satisfy the following assumption.

Assumption 2.3. *We assume that*

- $\mathcal{A}_{33}(k)$ has n_0 possible simple zeros in D_1 denoted by $\{k_j\}_1^{n_0}$;
- $(s^T S^A)_{33}(k)$ has $n_1 - n_0$ possible simple zeros in D_2 denoted by $\{k_j\}_{n_0+1}^{n_1}$;
- $(S^T s^A)_{33}(k)$ has $n_2 - n_1$ possible simple zeros in D_3 denoted by $\{k_j\}_{n_1+1}^{n_2}$;
- $m_{33}(\mathcal{A})(k)$ has $N - n_2$ possible simple zeros in D_4 denoted by $\{k_j\}_{n_2+1}^N$;

and that none of these zeros coincide. Moreover, we assume that none of these functions have zeros on the boundaries of the D_n 's.

We determine the residue conditions at these zeros in the following:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\{M_n\}_1^4$ be the eigenfunctions defined by (2.19) and assume that the set $\{k_j\}_1^N$ of singularities are as the above assumption. Then the following residue conditions hold:*

$$\text{Res}_{k=k_j}[M]_1 = \frac{m_{12}(\mathcal{A})(k_j)}{\mathcal{A}_{33}(k_j)\mathcal{A}_{23}(k_j)} e^{\theta_{31}(k_j)} [M(k_j)]_3, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n_0, k_j \in D_1 \quad (2.40a)$$

$$Res_{k=k_j}[M]_2 = \frac{m_{12}(\mathcal{A})(k_j)}{\mathcal{A}_{33}(k_j)\mathcal{A}_{13}(k_j)} e^{\theta_{32}(k_j)} [M(k_j)]_3, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n_0, k_j \in D_1 \quad (2.40b)$$

$$Res_{k=k_j}[M]_1 = \frac{m_{12}(s)(k_j)m_{33}(S)(k_j) - m_{32}(s)(k_j)m_{13}(S)(k_j)}{(s^T S A)_{33}(k_j)s_{23}(k_j)} e^{\theta_{31}(k_j)} [M(k_j)]_3 \\ n_0 + 1 \leq j \leq n_1, k_j \in D_2, \quad (2.40c)$$

$$Res_{k=k_j}[M]_2 = \frac{m_{21}(s)(k_j)m_{33}(S)(k_j) - m_{31}(s)(k_j)m_{23}(S)(k_j)}{(s^T S A)_{33}(k_j)s_{13}(k_j)} e^{\theta_{32}(k_j)} [M(k_j)]_3 \\ n_0 + 1 \leq j \leq n_1, k_j \in D_2, \quad (2.40d)$$

$$Res_{k=k_j}[M]_3 = \frac{S_{13}(k_j)s_{32}(k_j) - S_{33}(k_j)s_{12}(k_j)}{(S^T s^A)_{33}(k_j)m_{23}(s)(k_j)} e^{\theta_{13}(k_j)} [M(k_j)]_1 \\ + \frac{S_{33}(k_j)s_{11}(k_j) - S_{13}(k_j)s_{31}(k_j)}{(S^T s^A)_{33}(k_j)m_{23}(s)(k_j)} e^{\theta_{23}(k_j)} [M(k_j)]_2, \quad n_1 + 1 \leq j \leq n_2, k_j \in D_3, \quad (2.40e)$$

$$Res_{k=k_j}[M]_3 = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{12}(k_j)}{\hat{m}_{33}(\mathcal{A})(k_j)m_{23}(\mathcal{A})(k_j)} e^{\theta_{13}(k_j)} [M(k_j)]_1 - \frac{\mathcal{A}_{11}(k_j)}{\hat{m}_{33}(\mathcal{A})(k_j)m_{23}(\mathcal{A})(k_j)} e^{\theta_{23}(k_j)} [M(k_j)]_2 \\ n_2 + 1 \leq j \leq N, k_j \in D_4. \quad (2.40f)$$

where $\hat{f} = \frac{df}{dk}$, and θ_{ij} is defined by

$$\theta_{ij}(x, t, k) = (l_i - l_j)x + (z_i - z_j)t, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.41)$$

that implies that

$$\theta_{ij} = 0, i, j = 1, 2; \quad \theta_{13} = \theta_{23} = -\theta_{32} = -\theta_{31} = -2ikx - 8ik^3t.$$

Proof. We will prove (2.40a), (2.40c), (2.40e), (2.40f), the other conditions follow by similar arguments. Equation (2.32) implies the relation

$$M_1 = \mu_2 e^{(-ikx - 4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}} S_1, \quad (2.42a)$$

$$M_2 = \mu_2 e^{(-ikx - 4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}} S_2. \quad (2.42b)$$

$$M_3 = \mu_2 e^{(-ikx - 4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}} S_3, \quad (2.42c)$$

$$M_4 = \mu_2 e^{(-ikx - 4ik^3t)\hat{\Lambda}} S_4, \quad (2.42d)$$

In view of the expressions for S_1 and S_2 given in (2.33), the three columns of (2.42a) read:

$$[M_1]_1 = [\mu_2]_1 \frac{m_{22}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{33}} + [\mu_2]_2 e^{\theta_{21}} \frac{m_{12}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{33}}, \quad (2.43a)$$

$$[M_1]_2 = [\mu_2]_1 e^{\theta_{12}} \frac{m_{21}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{33}} + [\mu_2]_2 \frac{m_{11}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{33}}, \quad (2.43b)$$

$$[M_1]_3 = [\mu_2]_1 e^{\theta_{13}} \mathcal{A}_{13} + [\mu_2]_2 e^{\theta_{23}} \mathcal{A}_{23} + [\mu_2]_3 \mathcal{A}_{33}. \quad (2.43c)$$

while the three columns of (2.42b) read:

$$\begin{aligned} [M_2]_1 &= [\mu_2]_1 \frac{m_{22}(s)m_{33}(S) - m_{32}(s)m_{23}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} \\ &\quad + [\mu_2]_2 \frac{m_{12}(s)m_{33}(S) - m_{32}(s)m_{13}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} e^{\theta_{21}} \\ &\quad + [\mu_2]_3 \frac{m_{12}(s)m_{23}(S) - m_{22}(s)m_{13}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} e^{\theta_{31}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.44a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [M_2]_2 &= [\mu_2]_1 \frac{m_{21}(s)m_{33}(S) - m_{31}(s)m_{23}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} e^{\theta_{12}} \\ &\quad + [\mu_2]_2 \frac{m_{11}(s)m_{33}(S) - m_{31}(s)m_{13}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} \\ &\quad + [\mu_2]_3 \frac{m_{11}(s)m_{23}(S) - m_{21}(s)m_{13}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33}} e^{\theta_{32}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.44b)$$

$$[M_2]_3 = [\mu_2]_1 s_{13} e^{\theta_{13}} + [\mu_2]_2 s_{23} e^{\theta_{23}} + [\mu_2]_3 s_{33}. \quad (2.44c)$$

and the three columns of (2.42c) read:

$$[M_3]_1 = [\mu_2]_1 s_{11} + [\mu_2]_2 s_{21} e^{\theta_{21}} + [\mu_2]_3 s_{31} e^{\theta_{31}}, \quad (2.45a)$$

$$[M_3]_2 = [\mu_2]_1 s_{12} e^{\theta_{12}} + [\mu_2]_2 s_{22} + [\mu_2]_3 s_{32} e^{\theta_{32}}, \quad (2.45b)$$

$$[M_3]_3 = [\mu_2]_1 \frac{S_{13}}{(S^T S^A)_{33}} e^{\theta_{13}} + [\mu_2]_2 \frac{S_{23}}{(S^T S^A)_{33}} e^{\theta_{23}} + [\mu_2]_3 \frac{S_{33}}{(S^T S^A)_{33}}. \quad (2.45c)$$

the three columns of (2.42d) read:

$$[M_4]_1 = [\mu_2]_1 \mathcal{A}_{11} + [\mu_2]_2 \mathcal{A}_{21} e^{\theta_{21}} + [\mu_2]_3 \mathcal{A}_{31} e^{\theta_{31}}, \quad (2.46a)$$

$$[M_4]_2 = [\mu_2]_1 \mathcal{A}_{12} e^{\theta_{12}} + [\mu_2]_2 \mathcal{A}_{22} + [\mu_2]_3 \mathcal{A}_{32} e^{\theta_{32}}, \quad (2.46b)$$

$$[M_4]_3 = [\mu_2]_3 \frac{1}{m_{33}(\mathcal{A})}. \quad (2.46c)$$

We first suppose that $k_j \in D_1$ is a simple zero of $s_{33}(k)$. Solving (2.43c) for $[\mu_2]_2$ and substituting the result in to (2.43a), we find

$$[M_1]_1 = \frac{m_{12}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{33} \mathcal{A}_{23}} e^{\theta_{31}} [M_1]_3 + \frac{m_{32}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{23}} [\mu_2]_2 - \frac{m_{12}(\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{A}_{23}} e^{\theta_{31}} [\mu_2]_3.$$

Taking the residue of this equation at k_j , we find the condition (2.40a) in the case when $k_j \in D_1$. Similarly, Solving (2.44c) for $[\mu_2]_2$ and substituting the result in to (2.44a), we find

$$[M_2]_1 = \frac{m_{12}(s)m_{33}(S) - m_{32}(s)m_{13}(S)}{(s^T S^A)_{33} s_{23}} e^{\theta_{31}} [M_1]_3 - \frac{m_{32}(s)}{s_{23}} [\mu_2]_1 - \frac{m_{12}(s)}{s_{23}} e^{\theta_{31}} [\mu_2]_3.$$

Taking the residue of this equation at k_j , we find the condition (2.40c) in the case when $k_j \in D_2$.

In order to prove (2.40e), we solve (2.45a) and (2.45b) for $[\mu_2]_1$ and $[\mu_2]_3$, then substituting the result into (2.45c), we find

$$[M_3]_3 = \frac{S_{13}s_{32} - S_{33}s_{12}}{(S^T s^A)_{33}m_{23}(s)} e^{\theta_{13}} [M_3]_1 + \frac{S_{33}s_{11} - S_{13}s_{31}}{(S^T s^A)_{33}m_{23}(s)} e^{\theta_{23}} [M_3]_2 + \frac{1}{m_{23}(s)} [\mu_2]_3.$$

Taking the residue of this equation at k_j , we find the condition (2.40e) in the case when $k_j \in D_3$. Similarly, solving (2.46a) and (2.46b) for $[\mu_2]_1$ and $[\mu_2]_3$, then substituting the result into (2.46c), we find

$$[M_4]_3 = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{12}}{m_{33}(\mathcal{A})m_{23}(\mathcal{A})} e^{\theta_{13}} [M_4]_1 - \frac{\mathcal{A}_{11}}{m_{33}(\mathcal{A})m_{23}(\mathcal{A})} e^{\theta_{13}} [M_4]_2 - \frac{1}{m_{23}(\mathcal{A})} e^{\theta_{23}} [\mu_2]_2.$$

Taking the residue of this equation at k_j , we find the condition (2.40f) in the case when $k_j \in D_4$. \square

3. THE RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEM

The sectionally analytic function $M(x, t, k)$ defined in section 2 satisfies a Riemann-Hilbert problem which can be formulated in terms of the initial and boundary values of $u(x, t)$. By solving this Riemann-Hilbert problem, the solution of (2.5) (then (2.3)) can be recovered for all values of x, t .

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $u(x, t)$ is a solution of (2.5) in the interval domain Ω with sufficient smoothness. Then $u(x, t)$ can be reconstructed from the initial value $\{u_0(x)\}$ and boundary values $\{g_0(t), g_1(t), g_2(t)\}, \{f_0(t), f_1(t), f_2(t)\}$ defined as (2.6).*

Use the initial and boundary data to define the jump matrices $J_{m,n}(x, t, k)$ as well as the spectral $s(k)$ and $S(k)$ by equation (2.30). Assume that the possible zeros $\{k_j\}_1^N$ of the functions $\mathcal{A}_{33}(k), (s^T s^A)_{33}(k), (S^T s^A)_{33}(k)$ and $m_{33}(\mathcal{A})(k)$ are as in assumption 2.3.

Then the solution $\{u(x, t)\}$ is given by

$$u(x, t) = 2i \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (kM(x, t, k))_{13}. \quad (3.1)$$

where $M(x, t, k)$ satisfies the following 3×3 matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem:

- M is sectionally meromorphic on the Riemann k -sphere with jumps across the contours $\bar{D}_n \cap \bar{D}_m$, $n, m = 1, \dots, 4$, see Figure 2.
- Across the contours $\bar{D}_n \cap \bar{D}_m$, M satisfies the jump condition

$$M_n(x, t, k) = M_m(x, t, k) J_{m,n}(x, t, k), \quad k \in \bar{D}_n \cap \bar{D}_m, n, m = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (3.2)$$

where the jump matrices $J_{m,n}(x, t, k)$ are defined as (2.26).

- $M(x, t, k) = \mathbb{I} + O(\frac{1}{k})$, $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- The residue condition of M is showed in Proposition 2.4.

Proof. It only remains to prove (3.1) and this equation follows from the large k asymptotics of the eigenfunctions. \square

4. THE SOLUTION OF THE GLOBAL RELATION

A major difficulty of initial-boundary value problems is that some of the boundary values are unknown for a well-posed problem. All boundary values are needed for the definition of $S(k)$, $S_L(k)$, and hence for the formulation of the Riemann-Hilbert problem. In this section, our main result, theorem 4.2, expresses the spectral function $S(k)$, $S_L(k)$ in terms of the prescribed boundary data and the initial data via the solution of a system of nonlinear integral equations.

We define functions $\{\Phi_{ij}(t, k)\}_{i,j=1}^3$ and $\{\phi_{ij}(t, k)\}_{i,j=1}^3$ by:

$$\mu_2(0, t, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{11}(t, k) & \Phi_{12}(t, k) & \Phi_{13}(t, k) \\ \Phi_{21}(t, k) & \Phi_{22}(t, k) & \Phi_{23}(t, k) \\ \Phi_{31}(t, k) & \Phi_{32}(t, k) & \Phi_{33}(t, k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_3(L, t, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11}(t, k) & \phi_{12}(t, k) & \phi_{13}(t, k) \\ \phi_{21}(t, k) & \phi_{22}(t, k) & \phi_{23}(t, k) \\ \phi_{31}(t, k) & \phi_{32}(t, k) & \phi_{33}(t, k) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

We will first derive Gelfand-Levitan-Marchenko (GLM) representations for the eigenfunctions Φ_{ij} and ϕ_{ij} , then consider the solution of the global relation, which leads to expressions for the unknown boundary values from the known ones in terms of the GLM representations.

4.1. The GLM representation.

Theorem 4.1. *The eigenfunctions Φ_{ij} and ϕ_{ij} admit the following GLM representations,*

$$\Phi_{ij}(t, k) = \delta_{ij} + \int_{-t}^t \left(\left[\left(\tilde{L} - \frac{1}{2}V_2^{(2)}\Lambda\tilde{M} + \frac{1}{4}V_{2x}^{(2)}N \right) + ik \left(\tilde{M} + \frac{1}{2}V_2^{(2)}\Lambda N \right) + k^2N \right] e^{4ik^3(t-s)\Lambda} \right)_{ij} ds \quad (4.2)$$

$$\phi_{ij}(t, k) = \delta_{ij} + \int_{-t}^t \left(\left[\left(\tilde{\mathcal{L}} - \frac{1}{2}V_2^{(2)}\Lambda\tilde{\mathcal{M}} + \frac{1}{4}V_{2x}^{(2)}\mathcal{N} \right) + ik \left(\tilde{\mathcal{M}} + \frac{1}{2}V_2^{(2)}\Lambda\mathcal{N} \right) + k^2\mathcal{N} \right] e^{4ik^3(t-s)\Lambda} \right)_{ij} ds \quad (4.3)$$

where $\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}$ and the 3×3 matrices $\tilde{L}(t, s)$, $\tilde{M}(t, s)$ and

$N(t, s)$ satisfy the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} N(t, -t) + \Lambda N(t, -t)\Lambda = 0, \\ \tilde{M}(t, -t) + \Lambda\tilde{M}(t, -t)\Lambda = 0, \\ \tilde{L}(t, -t) + \Lambda\tilde{L}(t, -t)\Lambda = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.4a)$$

$$\begin{cases} N(t, t) - \Lambda N(t, t)\Lambda = 4V_2^{(2)}, \\ \tilde{M}(t, t) - \Lambda\tilde{M}(t, t)\Lambda = 2\Lambda V_{2x}^{(2)}, \\ \tilde{L}(t, t) - \Lambda\tilde{L}(t, t)\Lambda = 2(V_2^{(2)})^3 - V_{2xx}^{(2)}. \end{cases} \quad (4.4b)$$

and an ODE systems

$$\begin{cases} N_t + \Lambda N_s \Lambda = \left[(V_2^{(2)})^3 - V_{2xx}^{(2)} \right] N - 2\Lambda V_{2x}^{(2)} \tilde{M} + 4V_2^{(2)} \tilde{L}, \\ \tilde{M}_t + \Lambda \tilde{M}_s \Lambda = \left[(V_2^{(2)})^3 - V_{2xx}^{(2)} \right] \tilde{M} + \mathbf{A} \Lambda N + 2\Lambda V_{2x}^{(2)} \tilde{L}, \\ \tilde{L}_t + \Lambda \tilde{L}_s \Lambda = \left[2(V_2^{(2)})^3 - V_{2xx}^{(2)} \right] \tilde{L} + \mathbf{B} \Lambda \tilde{M} + \mathbf{D} N \end{cases} \quad (4.5a)$$

where the matrices \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{D} are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \frac{3}{2}(V_2^{(2)})^4 - \frac{1}{2}(V_2^{(2)}V_{2xx}^{(2)} + V_{2xx}^{(2)}V_2^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{2}(V_{2x}^{(2)})^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[(V_2^{(2)})^2V_{2x}^{(2)} + V_{2x}^{(2)}(V_2^{(2)})^2 - V_2^{(2)}V_{2x}^{(2)}V_2^{(2)}] - \frac{1}{2}\dot{V}_2^{(2)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5ba)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{2}(V_2^{(2)}V_{2xx}^{(2)} + V_{2xx}^{(2)}V_2^{(2)}) - \frac{1}{2}(V_{2x}^{(2)})^2 - \frac{3}{2}(V_2^{(2)})^4 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{V}_2^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}[V_{2x}^{(2)}(V_2^{(2)})^2 - V_2^{(2)}V_{2x}^{(2)}V_2^{(2)}] \quad (4.5bb)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} &= -\frac{1}{4}\dot{V}_{2x}^{(2)} + \frac{3}{4}(V_2^{(2)})^5 + \frac{1}{4}V_{2x}^{(2)}V_2^{(2)}V_{2x}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{4}((V_2^{(2)})^2V_{2xx}^{(2)} + V_2^{(2)}V_{2xx}^{(2)}V_2^{(2)}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}V_2^{(2)}\dot{V}_2^{(2)} + \frac{1}{4}(V_{2x}^{(2)}V_{2xx}^{(2)} - V_{2xx}^{(2)}V_{2x}^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{4}((V_2^{(2)})^3V_{2x}^{(2)} - V_{2x}^{(2)}(V_2^{(2)})^3) \end{aligned} \quad (4.5bc)$$

Analogously, the functions $\{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(t, s), \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(t, s), \mathcal{N}(t, s)\}$ satisfy the similar system of equations with $\{g_0(t), g_1(t), g_2(t)\}$ replaced by $\{f_0(t), f_1(t), f_2(t)\}$.

Proof. We just prove the GLM representations (4.2) of the eigenfunctions Φ_{ij} , the GLM representations (4.3) is similar. We substitute the following equation

$$\mu(t, k) = \mathbb{I} + \int_{-t}^t (L(t, s) + ikM(t, s) + k^2N(t, s)) e^{4ik^3(t-s)\Lambda} ds \quad (4.3)$$

into the t -part of the Lax pair (2.12), then, we can find the matrices $L(t, s)$, $M(t, s)$ and $N(t, s)$ are satisfied

$$\begin{cases} N(t, -t) + \Lambda N(t, -t)\Lambda = 0, \\ M(t, -t) + \Lambda M(t, -t)\Lambda + V_2^{(2)}N(t, -t)\Lambda = 0, \\ L(t, -t) + \Lambda L(t, -t)\Lambda - V_2^{(2)}M(t, -t)\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}V_2^{(1)}N(t, -t)\Lambda = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.4a)$$

$$\begin{cases} N(t, t) - \Lambda N(t, t)\Lambda = 4V_2^{(2)}, \\ M(t, t) - \Lambda M(t, t)\Lambda = 2\Lambda V_2^{(1)} + V_2^{(2)}N(t, t)\Lambda, \\ L(t, t) - \Lambda L(t, t)\Lambda = V_2^{(0)} - V_2^{(2)}M(t, t)\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}V_2^{(1)}N(t, t)\Lambda. \end{cases} \quad (4.4b)$$

$$\begin{cases} N_t(t, s) + \Lambda N_s(t, s)\Lambda = 4V_2^{(2)}L(t, s) - 2V_2^{(1)}M(t, s) + V_2^{(0)}N(t, s), \\ M_t(t, s) + \Lambda M_s(t, s)\Lambda = 2V_2^{(1)}L(t, s) + V_2^{(0)}M(t, s) - V_2^{(2)}N_s(t, s)\Lambda, \\ L_t(t, s) + \Lambda L_s(t, s)\Lambda = V_2^{(0)}L(t, s) + V_2^{(2)}M_s(t, s)\Lambda + \frac{1}{2}V_2^{(1)}N_s(t, s)\Lambda, \end{cases} \quad (4.4c)$$

If we introducing the following transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} M(t, s) &= \tilde{M}(t, s) + \frac{1}{2}V_2^{(2)}\Lambda N(t, s), \\ L(t, s) &= \tilde{L}(t, s) - \frac{1}{2}V_2^{(2)}\Lambda \tilde{M}(t, s) + \frac{1}{4}V_2^{(2)}N(t, s). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

We can get the initial conditions (4.4) and the ODE systems (4.5). \square

4.2. The Analysis of the global relation. To avoid routine technical complications, we will continue our analysis of the global relation in the case $s(k) = \mathbb{I}$ corresponding to the zero initial conditions $u_0(x) = u(x, 0) = 0$. In this case, the global relation (2.39) takes the form

$$\Phi(t, k) e^{ikL\hat{\Lambda}} \left(\overline{\phi(t, \bar{k})} \right)^T = c(t, k) \quad (4.6)$$

The (1, 3)th term of (4.6) is

$$\Phi_{11}(t, k)\overline{\phi_{31}(t, \bar{k})}e^{2ikL} + \Phi_{12}(t, k)\overline{\phi_{32}(t, \bar{k})}e^{2ikL} + \Phi_{13}(t, k)\overline{\phi_{33}(t, \bar{k})} = c_{13}(t, k), \quad k \in D_1 \cup D_3, \quad (4.7)$$

where $c_{13}(t, k)$ has the following properties which are important for the analysis of the global relation

$$\begin{aligned} c_{13}(t, k) &= O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right), & k \in D_1, \\ e^{-2ikL}c_{13}(t, k) &= O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right), & k \in D_3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

In the following, the given boundary conditions are $g_0(t)$, $f_0(t)$ and $f_1(t)$, and we are looking for expressions for $g_1(t)$, $g_2(t)$ and $f_2(t)$.

Let us give some notation. Define $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi}{3}}$ and suppose that $\Pi(t, k)$ is a scalar function.

- Let $\hat{\Pi}(t, k)$ and denotes the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Pi}(t, k) &= \Pi(t, k) + \omega\Pi(t, \omega k) + \omega^2\Pi(t, \omega^2 k), \\ \hat{\Pi}(t, \bar{k}) &= \bar{\Pi}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega\bar{\Pi}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega^2\bar{\Pi}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

- Let $\tilde{\Pi}(t, k)$ denotes the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Pi}(t, k) &= \Pi(t, k) + \omega^2\Pi(t, \omega k) + \omega\Pi(t, \omega^2 k), \\ \tilde{\Pi}(t, \bar{k}) &= \bar{\Pi}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega^2\bar{\Pi}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega\bar{\Pi}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Consider the IBV problem for the equation (2.5) on the interval. Given boundary conditions $g_0(t)$, $f_0(t)$ and $f_1(t)$, and we have the expressions for $g_1(t)$, $g_2(t)$ and $f_2(t)$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t) &= \frac{g_0(t)}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} \hat{\Phi}_{33}(t, k) dk + \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} (-ik)[\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_3 \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_1(t, k) \\ \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} dk \\ &+ \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} (-ik)[\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_3 \begin{pmatrix} G_2(t, k) \\ G_2(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} G_2(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} e^{8ik^3(t-t')} dk \end{aligned} \quad (4.11a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(t) &= -2|g_0(t)|^2 g_0(t) - \frac{2ig_0(t)}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} \tilde{\Phi}_{33}(t, k) dk + \frac{g_1(t)}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} \hat{\Phi}_{33}(t, k) dk \\
&+ \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} (k^2) [\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_2 \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_1(t, k) \\ \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 k L} \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} dk \\
&+ \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} (k^2) [\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_2 \begin{pmatrix} G_2(t, k) \\ G_2(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 k L} G_2(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} e^{8ik^3(t-t')} dk
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2(t) &= -2|f_0(t)|^2 f_0(t) - \frac{2i}{\pi} \left[f_0(t) \int_{\partial D^0} k \tilde{\phi}_{11}(t, \bar{k}) dk + \bar{f}_0(t) \int_{\partial D^0} k \tilde{\phi}_{21}(t, \bar{k}) dk \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} \left[f_1(t) \hat{\phi}_{11}(t, \bar{k}) dk + \bar{f}_1(t) \hat{\phi}_{21}(t, \bar{k}) dk \right] \\
&+ \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} (k^2) [\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_1 \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_1(t, k) \\ \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 k L} \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} dk \\
&+ \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} (k^2) [\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_1 \begin{pmatrix} G_2(t, k) \\ G_2(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 k L} G_2(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} e^{8ik^3(t-t')} dk
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11c}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_1(t, k) &= e^{2ikL} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \tilde{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) - \frac{1}{4k^2} \left[f_1(t) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} \left(f_0(t) \hat{\phi}_{11}(t, \bar{k}) + \bar{f}_0(t) \hat{\phi}_{21}(t, \bar{k}) \right) dk \right] \right\} \\
&- e^{2ikL} \left[\frac{1}{3} \hat{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) + \frac{f_0(t)}{2ik} \right] - \left[\frac{1}{3} \hat{\Phi}_{13}(t, k) - \frac{g_0(t)}{2ik} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11d}$$

$$G_2(t, k) = [(\Phi_{11}(t, k) - 1) \bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) + \Phi_{12}(t, k) \bar{\phi}_{32}(t, \bar{k})] - \Phi_{13}(t, k) [\bar{\phi}_{33}(t, \bar{k}) - 1], \tag{4.11e}$$

and $[\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_j, j = 1, 2, 3$, denotes the j -th row of the inverse matrix of the $\Gamma(k)$, which is defined as (4.15).

Proof. Substitute the GLM representations of Φ_{ij} and ϕ_{ij} into (4.7) and rewrite the resulting equation in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{2ikL} \int_{-t}^t \overline{\mathcal{L}_{31}}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds + \int_{-t}^t L_{13}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds + ik \int_{-t}^t M_{13}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds \\
&= G_1(t, k) + G_2(t, k) + c_1 \mathfrak{I}(t, k)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$G_1(t, k) = e^{2ikL} \left\{ ik \int_{-t}^t \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{31}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds - k^2 \int_{-t}^t \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{31}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds \right\} - k^2 \int_{-t}^t N_{13}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds \quad (4.13a)$$

$$G_2(t, k) = -e^{2ikL} \left\{ \int_{-t}^t [L_{11}(t, s) + ikM_{11}(t, s) + k^2N_{11}(t, s)] e^{4ik^3(t-s)} ds \right\} \times \left\{ \int_{-t}^t [\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{31}(t, s) - ik\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{31}(t, s) + k^2\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{31}(t, s)] e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds \right\} - e^{2ikL} \left\{ \int_{-t}^t [L_{12}(t, s) + ikM_{12}(t, s) + k^2N_{12}(t, s)] e^{4ik^3(t-s)} ds \right\} \times \left\{ \int_{-t}^t [\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{32}(t, s) - ik\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{32}(t, s) + k^2\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{32}(t, s)] e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds \right\} - \left\{ \int_{-t}^t [L_{13}(t, s) + ikM_{13}(t, s) + k^2N_{13}(t, s)] e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds \right\} \times \left\{ \int_{-t}^t [\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{33}(t, s) - ik\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{33}(t, s) + k^2\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{33}(t, s)] e^{4ik^3(t-s)} ds \right\} \quad (4.13b)$$

Let $D = \{k \mid 0 < \arg k < \frac{\pi}{3}\}$. Consider (4.12) in D as well as replacing k by ωk and by $\omega^2 k$ in (4.12), we obtain three equations, which are valid for $k \in D$. These equations can be written in the vector form as follows:

$$\Gamma(k)U(t, k) = H_1(t, k) + H_2(t, k) + H_c(t, k), \quad k \in D, \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\Gamma(k) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2ikL} & 1 & 1 \\ e^{2i\omega kL} & 1 & \omega \\ 1 & e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} & \omega^2 e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_j(t, k) = \begin{pmatrix} G_j(t, k) \\ G_j(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} G_j(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ U(t, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{-t}^t \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{31}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds \\ \int_{-t}^t L_{13}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds \\ ik \int_{-t}^t M_{13}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_c(t, k) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{13}(t, k) \\ c_{13}(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} c_{13}(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.15)$$

Notice that $\det \Gamma(k) \rightarrow \omega - 1 \neq 0$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty, k \in \bar{D}$.

Multiply (4.14) by $\begin{pmatrix} k^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -ik \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1}(k) e^{8ik^3(t-t')}$, $0 < t' < t$, and

integrate along the contour ∂D^0 , which is the boundary of D deformed to pass above the zeros of the $\det \Gamma(k)$. Then (4.8) implies that the terms containing H_c vanishes, by Jordan's lemma.

In order to evaluate the other terms we will use the following identities (see, e.g. [14] or [15]):

$$\int_{-t}^t f(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds = 2 \int_0^t f(t, 2\tau - t) e^{8ik^3(\tau-t)} d\tau \quad (4.16)$$

where $f(t, s)$ is an arbitrary function such that the integral is well-defined, and

$$\int_{\partial D^0} k^2 \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) e^{8ik^3(t-t')} d\tau dk = \frac{\pi}{12} \alpha(t'), \quad (4.17a)$$

$$\int_{\partial D^0} k^m \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) e^{8ik^3(t-t')} d\tau dk = \int_{\partial D^0} k^m \left(\int_0^{t'} \alpha(\tau) e^{8ik^3(t-t')} d\tau - \frac{1}{8ik^3} \alpha(t') \right) dk \quad (4.17b)$$

where $m = 3, 4$ and $\alpha(\tau)$ is a smooth function for $0 < \tau < t$. Then the integration by parts together with Jordan's lemma show that one can pass to the limit as $t' \rightarrow t$ in the right-hand side of (4.17b).

Applying (4.17b) to the integral term containing H_1 one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial D^0} \begin{pmatrix} k^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -ik \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1}(k) H_1(t, k) e^{8ik^3(t-t')} dk \\ &= \int_{\partial D^0} \begin{pmatrix} k^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -ik \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1}(k) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_1(t, t', k) \\ \tilde{G}_1(t, t', \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 k L} \tilde{G}_1(t, t', \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} dk \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_1(t, t', k) = & 2e^{2ikL} \left\{ ik \int_0^{t'} \left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{31}(t, 2\tau - t) - \frac{1}{2} [f_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{11}(t, 2\tau - t) + \bar{f}_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{21}(t, 2\tau - t)] \right] e^{8ik^3(\tau-t')} d\tau \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{8k^2} \left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{31}(t, 2t' - t) - \frac{1}{2} [f_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{11}(t, 2t' - t) + \bar{f}_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{21}(t, 2t' - t)] \right] \left. \right\} \\ & - 2e^{2ikL} \left\{ k^2 \int_0^{t'} \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{31}(t, 2\tau - t) e^{8ik^3(\tau-t')} d\tau - \frac{1}{8ik} \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{31}(t, 2t' - t) \right\} \\ & - 2 \left\{ k^2 \int_0^{t'} N_{13}(t, 2\tau - t) e^{8ik^3(\tau-t')} d\tau - \frac{1}{8ik} N_{13}(t, 2t' - t) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Applying (4.17a) to the integral in the left-hand side of (4.14) and using the equations (4.5) we arrive at the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{c} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{31}(t, 2t' - t) + \frac{1}{2} [f_0(t)\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{11}(t, 2t' - t) + \bar{f}_0(t)\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{21}(t, 2t' - t)] - \frac{1}{4} [f_1(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{11}(t, 2t' - t) + \bar{f}_1(t)\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{21}(t, 2t' - t)] \\ \tilde{L}_{13}(t, 2t' - t) + \frac{1}{2}g_0(t)\tilde{M}_{33}(t, 2t' - t) + \frac{1}{4}g_1(t)N_{33}(t, 2t' - t) \\ \tilde{M}_{13}(t, 2t' - t) - \frac{1}{2}g_0(t)N_{33}(t, 2t' - t) \end{array} \right) \\
&= \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} \begin{pmatrix} k^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -ik \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1}(k) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_1(t, t', k) \\ \tilde{G}_1(t, t', \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} \tilde{G}_1(t, t', \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} dk \\
&+ \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} \begin{pmatrix} k^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -ik \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1}(k) \begin{pmatrix} G_2(t, k) \\ G_2(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} G_2(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} e^{8ik^3(t-t')} dk
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Evaluating this equation at $t' = t$ and using the initial conditions (4.4) we find the following equations for $g_1(t)$, $g_2(t)$ and $f_2(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2}g_0(t)N_{33}(t, t) + \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} (-ik)[\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_3 \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_1(t, k) \\ \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} dk \\
&+ \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} (-ik)[\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_3 \begin{pmatrix} G_2(t, k) \\ G_2(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} G_2(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} e^{8ik^3(t-t')} dk
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(t) &= -4|g_0(t)|^2 g_0(t) + g_0(t)\tilde{M}_{33}(t, t) + \frac{1}{2}g_1(t)N_{33}(t, t) \\
&+ \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} (k^2)[\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_2 \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_1(t, k) \\ \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} dk \\
&+ \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} (k^2)[\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_2 \begin{pmatrix} G_2(t, k) \\ G_2(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 kL} G_2(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} e^{8ik^3(t-t')} dk
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2(t) &= -4|f_0(t)|^2 f_0(t) - \left[f_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}(t, t) + \bar{f}_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{21}(t, t) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[f_1(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{11}(t, t) + \bar{f}_1(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{21}(t, t) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0}(k^2) [\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_1 \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_1(t, k) \\ \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 k L} \tilde{G}_1(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} dk \\
&\quad + \frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0}(k^2) [\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_1 \begin{pmatrix} G_2(t, k) \\ G_2(t, \omega k) \\ e^{-2i\omega^2 k L} G_2(t, \omega^2 k) \end{pmatrix} e^{8ik^3(t-t')} dk
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21c}$$

where $[\Gamma^{-1}(k)]_j, j = 1, 2, 3$, denotes the j -th row of $\Gamma^{-1}(k)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_1(t, k) &= 2e^{2ikL} \left\{ ik \int_0^t \left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{31}(t, 2\tau - t) - \frac{1}{2} [f_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{11}(t, 2\tau - t) + \bar{f}_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{21}(t, 2\tau - t)] \right] e^{8ik^3(\tau-t)} d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{8k^2} [f_1(t) - \frac{1}{2} [f_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{11}(t, t) + \bar{f}_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{21}(t, t)]] \right\} \\
&\quad - 2e^{2ikL} \left\{ k^2 \int_0^t \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{31}(t, 2\tau - t) e^{8ik^3(\tau-t)} d\tau + \frac{1}{4ik} f_0(t) \right\} \\
&\quad - 2 \left\{ k^2 \int_0^t N_{13}(t, 2\tau - t) e^{8ik^3(\tau-t)} d\tau - \frac{1}{4ik} g_0(t) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

The functions $\tilde{G}_1(t, k), G_2(t, k), N_{33}(t, t), \tilde{M}_{33}(t, t), \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}(t, t), \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{21}(t, t)$, and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{11}(t, t), \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{21}(t, t)$ involved in (4.21) can be expressed in terms of $\Phi_{ij}(t, k)$ and $\phi_{ij}(t, k)$.

Indeed, $G_2(t, k)$ can be written as follows:

$$G_2(t, k) = [(\Phi_{11}(t, k) - 1) \bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) + \Phi_{12}(t, k) \bar{\phi}_{32}(t, \bar{k})] - \Phi_{13}(t, k) [\bar{\phi}_{33}(t, \bar{k}) - 1], \tag{4.23}$$

and we recall that

$$\Phi_{33}(t, k) = 1 + \int_{-t}^t [L_{33}(t, s) + ikM_{33}(t, s) + k^2 N_{33}(t, s)] e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds, \tag{4.24}$$

and then we have

$$3k^2 \int_{-t}^t N_{33}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds = \Phi_{33}(t, k) + \omega \Phi_{33}(t, \omega k) + \omega^2 \Phi_{33}(t, \omega^2 k), \tag{4.25a}$$

$$3ik \int_{-t}^t M_{33}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds = \Phi_{33}(t, k) + \omega^2 \Phi_{33}(t, \omega k) + \omega \Phi_{33}(t, \omega^2 k). \tag{4.25b}$$

Integrating along the contour ∂D^0 and applying (4.16) and (4.17a) to the above equations we arrive at the equations

$$N_{33}(t, t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} [\Phi_{33}(t, k) + \omega \Phi_{33}(t, \omega k) + \omega^2 \Phi_{33}(t, \omega^2 k)] dk, \quad (4.26a)$$

$$\tilde{M}_{33}(t, t) = 2|g_0(t)|^2 - \frac{2i}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} [\Phi_{33}(t, k) + \omega^2 \Phi_{33}(t, \omega k) + \omega \Phi_{33}(t, \omega^2 k)] dk. \quad (4.26b)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\bar{N}_{11}(t, t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} [\bar{\phi}_{11}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega \bar{\phi}_{11}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega^2 \bar{\phi}_{11}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k})] dk, \quad (4.27a)$$

$$\bar{N}_{21}(t, t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} [\bar{\phi}_{21}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega \bar{\phi}_{21}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega^2 \bar{\phi}_{21}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k})] dk. \quad (4.27b)$$

$$\bar{\tilde{M}}_{11}(t, t) = -|f_0(t)|^2 + \frac{2i}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} k [\bar{\phi}_{11}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega^2 \bar{\phi}_{11}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega \bar{\phi}_{11}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k})] dk, \quad (4.28a)$$

$$\bar{\tilde{M}}_{21}(t, t) = -f_0^2(t) + \frac{2i}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} k [\bar{\phi}_{21}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega^2 \bar{\phi}_{21}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega \bar{\phi}_{21}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k})] dk. \quad (4.28b)$$

From the equation

$$\bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) = \int_{-t}^t [\mathcal{L}_{31}(t, s) - ik\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{31}(t, s) + k^2\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{31}(t, s)] e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds, \quad (4.29)$$

we have

$$3k^2 \int_{-t}^t \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{31}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds = \bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega \bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega^2 \bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k}), \quad (4.30a)$$

$$-3ik \int_{-t}^t \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{31}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds = \bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega^2 \bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega \bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k}), \quad (4.30b)$$

and these imply

$$k^2 \int_0^t \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{31}(t, 2\tau-t) e^{8ik^3(\tau-t)} d\tau = \frac{1}{6} [\bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega \bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega^2 \bar{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k})], \quad (4.31a)$$

$$ik \int_0^t \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{31}(t, 2\tau-t) e^{8ik^3(\tau-t)} d\tau = -\frac{1}{6} \left[\overline{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega^2 \overline{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega \overline{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k}) \right]. \quad (4.31b)$$

Notice that

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{31}(t, s) = \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}_{31}(t, s) - \frac{1}{2} [f_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{11}(t, s) + \bar{f}_0(t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{21}(t, s)]. \quad (4.32)$$

From

$$\Phi_{13}(t, k) = \int_{-t}^t [L_{13}(t, s) + ikM_{13}(t, s) + k^2N_{13}(t, k)] e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds, \quad (4.33)$$

we have

$$3k^2 \int_{-t}^t N_{13}(t, s) e^{4ik^3(s-t)} ds = \Phi_{13}(t, k) + \omega \Phi_{13}(t, \omega k) + \omega^2 \Phi_{13}(t, \omega^2 k), \quad (4.34)$$

it implies that

$$k^2 \int_0^t N_{13}(t, 2\tau-t) e^{8ik^3(\tau-t)} d\tau = \frac{1}{6} [\Phi_{13}(t, k) + \omega \Phi_{13}(t, \omega k) + \omega^2 \Phi_{13}(t, \omega^2 k)]. \quad (4.35)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_1(t, k) = & e^{2ikL} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \left[\overline{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega^2 \overline{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega \overline{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k}) \right] \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{4k^2} \left[f_1(t) - \frac{1}{\pi} f_0(t) \int_{\partial D^0} \left[\overline{\phi}_{11}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega \overline{\phi}_{11}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega^2 \overline{\phi}_{11}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k}) \right] dk \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \bar{f}_0(t) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial D^0} \left[\overline{\phi}_{21}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega \overline{\phi}_{21}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega^2 \overline{\phi}_{21}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k}) \right] dk \right] \right\} \\ & - e^{2ikL} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left[\overline{\phi}_{31}(t, \bar{k}) + \omega \overline{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega k}) + \omega^2 \overline{\phi}_{31}(t, \overline{\omega^2 k}) \right] + \frac{1}{2ik} f_0(t) \right\} \\ & - \left\{ \frac{1}{3} [\Phi_{13}(t, k) + \omega \Phi_{13}(t, \omega k) + \omega^2 \Phi_{13}(t, \omega^2 k)] - \frac{1}{2ik} g_0(t) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Noticing that our notations 4.2 and using (4.26), (4.27), (4.28), (4.36) and (4.23) in (4.21) we obtain the equations for $g_1(t)$, $g_2(t)$ and $f_2(t)$ in terms of $\Phi_{ij}(t, k)$ and $\phi_{ij}(t, k)$ as (4.21). These equations, together with (4.2) and the similar equation (4.3) constitute a system of nonlinear ODEs for Φ_{ij} and ϕ_{ij} .

□

Acknowledgements This work of Xu was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under project NO.11501365, Shanghai Sailing Program supported by Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality under Grant NO.15YF1408100, Shanghai youth teacher assistance program NO.ZZslg15056 and the Hujiang Foundation of China (B14005). Fan was support by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No.10971031; 11271079; 11075055).

REFERENCES

- [1] P.D. Lax, Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 21(1968) 467-490.
- [2] C.S. Gardner, J.M. Greene, M.D. Kruskal, R.M. Miura, *Methods for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**(1967), 1095-1097.
- [3] A. S. Fokas, *A unified transform method for solving linear and certain nonlinear PDEs*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **453**(1997), 1411-1443.
- [4] A. S. Fokas, *Integrable nonlinear evolution equations on the half-line*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **230**(2002), 1-39.
- [5] A.S. Fokas, *A Unified Approach to Boundary Value Problems*, in: CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, 2008.
- [6] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, An initial-boundary value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Math. Comput. Simul.* 37(1994), 293-321.
- [7] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, L.Y. Sung, The nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the half-line. *Nonlinearity* 18(2005), 1771-1822.
- [8] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, An initial-boundary value problem for the sine-Gordon equation. *Theor.Math. Physics* 92(1992), 388-403.
- [9] J. Lenells, *Initial-boundary value problems for integrable evolution equations with 3×3 Lax pairs*, *Physica D* **241**(2012) 857-875.
- [10] J. Xu, E. Fan, Initial-boundary value problem for integrable nonlinear evolution equations with 3×3 Lax pairs on the interval, to appear in *Stud. Appl. Math.*
- [11] Y. Kodama and A. Hasegawa, Nonlinear pulse propagation in a monomode dielectric guide, *Quantum Electronics, IEEE Journal of*, **23**(1987), 510-524.
- [12] N. Sasa, J. Satsuma, *New-type of soliton solutions for a higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **60** (1991) 409-417.

- [13] J. Xu, E. Fan, The unified transform method for the Sasa-Satsuma equation on the half-line, Proc. R. Soc. A. 469(2013) 20130068.
- [14] A. Boutet de Monvel, A. S. Fokas and D. Shepelsky, *Integrable nonlinear evolution equations on a finite interval*, Commun. Math. Phys. **263**(2006), 133-172.
- [15] J. Lenells and A. S. Fokas, *The unified method: III. Nonlinearizable problem on the interval*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**(2012) 195203;

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SHANGHAI FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHANGHAI 200093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: correspondence author: jianxu@usst.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS FOR NONLINEAR SCIENCE, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: qiaozhenzhu13@fudan.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS FOR NONLINEAR SCIENCE, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: faneg@fudan.edu.cn