

Optimal Portfolio Liquidation and Dynamic Mean-variance Criterion

Jia-Wen Gu* Mogens Steffensen †

November 2, 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the optimal portfolio liquidation problem under the dynamic mean-variance criterion and derive time-consistent solutions in three important models. We give adapted optimal strategies under a reconsidered mean-variance subject at any point in time. We get explicit trading strategies in the basic model and when random pricing signals are incorporated. When we consider stochastic liquidity and volatility, we construct a generalized HJB equation under general assumptions for the parameters. We obtain an explicit solution in stochastic volatility model with a given structure supported by empirical studies.

1 Introduction

As quantitative trading is generally used by financial institutions and hedge funds, the transactions are usually large in size and may involve the purchase and sale of hundreds of thousands of shares and other securities. However, quantitative trading is also commonly used by individual investors. A fundamental part of agency algorithmic trading in equities and other asset classes is trade scheduling. Given a trade target, that is, a number of shares that must be bought or sold before a fixed time horizon, trade scheduling means planning how many shares will be bought or sold by each time instant between the beginning of trading and the horizon. This is done so as to optimize some measure of execution quality, usually measured as the final average execution price relative to some benchmark price. Almgren and Chriss (2000) consider the execution of portfolio transactions with the aim of minimizing a combination of volatility risk and transaction costs arising from

*Department of Mathematical Science, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. (email: kaman.jwgu@gmail.com).

†Department of Mathematical Science, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. (email: mogens@math.ku.dk).

permanent and temporary market impact. Kharroubi and Huy en Pham(2010) study the optimal portfolio liquidation problem over a finite horizon in a limit order book with bid-ask spread and temporary market price impact penalizing speedy execution trades, respectively. Almgren (2012) considers the problem of mean-variance optimal agency execution strategies, when the market liquidity and volatility vary randomly in time. He constructs an HJB equation relying on "small impact approximation" under specific assumptions for the stochastic process satisfied by these parameters.

The mean-variance analysis of Markowitz (1952) has long been recognized as the cornerstone of modern portfolio theory. Attention is regained by relating dynamic mean-variance optimization formalistically to quadratic utility in Korn and Trautmann (1995), Korn (1997) and Zhou and Li (2000). The same problem is categorized as mean-variance optimization with pre-commitment (See Christiansen and Steffensen (2013) for detailed illustrations). Recently, attention has been regained by Basak and Chabakauri (2010) who challenge the pre-commitment (to the time 0-expected value as the target of the quadratic utility) assumed by Zhou and Li (2000). They solve the problem for the so-called sophisticated investor who updates his non-linear mean-variance objective and takes future updates, time-consistently, into account. In this paper, the dynamic mean-variance criterion is applied to the optimal trading problem.

In this paper, we consider the quantitative trading problem under the dynamic mean-variance criterion and derive time-consistent solutions in three important models. Our paper contributes to the quantitative trading literature in various aspects. Firstly, we solve the dynamic mean-variance quantitative trading problems and derive time-consistent solutions. We give optimal strategies under a reconsidered mean-variance subject at any point in time. Previous literature seems only give precommitment and deterministic control solutions. Almgren (2012) gives the trading strategy in the basic model where it is fixed rather than adaptive.

Secondly, we determine the explicit solutions when random pricing signals are incorporated. A random pricing signal, gathering the information of the index data, trading volume and public and private market events, can be regarded as the indicator of the stock movements. Various methods have been proposed to study the pricing signal in the literature. Introduction to the literature is deferred to Section 3. In this paper, the trading strategy is derived when the random pricing signal is assumed to be a diffusion process.

Thirdly, we consider the trading strategy in the case of stochastic volatility and liquidity impact. We allow the liquidity and volatility to vary randomly in time and the determined trading strategy is adapted to the market state. We give the generalized HJB equations in the stochastic volatility and liquidity impact models while early study reply on a "small impact approximation" (Almgren (2012)). We also get an explicit solution in

a stochastic volatility model with a given structure supported by empirical study.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we give the trading strategy in the basic model which is adopted from Section 1 of Almgren (2012). In Section 3, optimal strategy is presented when random pricing signals are incorporated. In Section 4, we consider stochastic volatility and liquidity model. Conclusions are given in Section 5.

2 The Basic Model

In this section, we consider the basic model adopted from Almgren (2012). In the model, the price of a stock is governed by the SDE,

$$dS(t) = \sigma(t)dW(t), \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma(t)$ is the time-dependent volatility of the stock and $W(t)$ is a standard Brownian motion. The price actually received on each trade is

$$\tilde{S}(t) = S(t) + \eta(t)v(t),$$

where $\eta(t)$ is the coefficient of temporary market impact, also time-varying and v is the rate of buying. The volatility and impact functions $\sigma(t)$ and $\eta(t)$ are assumed to be continuous functions of t to account for trading seasonality. The trader begins at time $t = 0$ with a purchase target of x shares, which must be completed by time $t = T$. The number of shares yet to be purchased at time t is the trajectory $X(t)$, with $X(0) = x$ and $X(T) = 0$. Hence

$$v(t) = -\frac{dX(t)}{dt}. \quad (2)$$

The cost of trading, is the total dollars paid to purchase x shares subtracting the initial market value:

$$C = \int_0^T \tilde{S}(t)v(t)dt - xS(0).$$

By integration by parts, we rewrite

$$C = \int_0^T \eta(t)v^2(t)dt + \int_0^T \sigma(t)X(t)dW(t). \quad (3)$$

We determine the optimal trajectory by the dynamic mean-variance criterion

$$\min_{v(s):t \leq s \leq T} E_t(C) + \mu Var_t(C).$$

This is newly proposed by Basak and Chabakuri (2010), who come up with the dynamic mean-variance criterion challenging the pre-commitment mean-variance assumed

by Korn (1997) and Zhou and Li (2000). Basak and Chabakuri (2010) use this criterion in asset allocation problem for the so-called sophisticated investor who updates his nonlinear mean-variance objective and takes future updates, time-consistently, into account.

To address the optimal trading problem, we define a process Y by

$$\begin{aligned} Y(0) &= 0, \\ dY(t) &= \eta(t)v^2(t)dt + \sigma(t)X(t)dW(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

such that $C = Y(T)$. Our objective becomes

$$\min_{v(s):t \leq s \leq T} E_t(Y(T)) + \mu \text{Var}_t(Y(T)).$$

By (4), we know

$$\begin{aligned} & E_t(Y(T)) + \mu \text{Var}_t(Y(T)) \\ &= Y(t) + E_t\left(\int_t^T \sigma(s)X(s)dW(s) + \int_t^T \eta(s)v^2(s)ds\right) \\ & \quad + \mu \text{Var}_t\left(\int_t^T \sigma(s)X(s)dW(s) + \int_t^T \eta(s)v^2(s)ds\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Suppose that we are given an optimal trading strategy $v^*(s), t \leq s \leq T$, and the corresponding value of Y^* . The value function is defined as

$$J(t) = J(S(t), X(t), Y(t), t) = E_t(Y^*(T)) + \mu \text{Var}_t(Y^*(T)). \quad (6)$$

Noting that by the law of total variance,

$$\text{Var}_t(Y^*(T)) = E_t(\text{Var}_{t+\tau}(Y^*(T))) + \text{Var}_t(E_{t+\tau}(Y^*(T))). \quad (7)$$

Plugging (7) into (6), we obtain

$$J(t) = \min_{v(s):t \leq s \leq t+\tau} E_t(J(t+\tau)) + \mu \text{Var}_t(E_{t+\tau}(Y^*(T))). \quad (8)$$

From (4), we know

$$E_t(Y^*(T)) = Y(t) + f(t), \quad (9)$$

where

$$f(t) = E_t\left(\int_t^T \eta(v^*(s))^2 ds\right). \quad (10)$$

Besides, plugging (4) into (6) we also know

$$J(t) = Y(t) + C(t), \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(t) &= C(S(t), X(t), t) \\ &= E_t\left(\int_t^T \eta(s)(v^*(s))^2 ds + \sigma(s)X^*(s)dW(s)\right) \\ & \quad + \mu \text{Var}_t\left(\int_t^T \eta(s)(v^*(s))^2 ds + \sigma(s)X^*(s)dW(s)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

does not depend on $Y(t)$.

Proposition 1 *The HJB equation concerning the optimal trading problem is given by*

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}C_{ss}\sigma^2 + C_t + \min_v\{\eta v^2 - C_x v\} + \mu\sigma^2(x + f_s)^2 \quad (13)$$

where the minimum is clearly $v^* = \frac{C_x}{2\eta}$ and f satisfies

$$0 = \eta(v^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2}f_{ss}\sigma^2 - f_x v^* + f_t. \quad (14)$$

Proof: Combining (8), (9) and (11), we obtain

$$0 = \min_v E_t(dY(t) + dC(t)) + \mu Var_t(dY(t) + df(t)).$$

Using the Itô's lemma, (2), (4) and after inserting $X(t) = x, S(t) = s$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \min_v\{\eta v^2 dt + \frac{1}{2}C_{ss}\sigma^2 dt - C_x v dt + C_t dt + \mu Var_t(\sigma x dW + \sigma f_s dW)\} \\ &= \min_v\{\eta v^2 dt + \frac{1}{2}C_{ss}\sigma^2 dt - C_x v dt + C_t dt + \mu\sigma^2(x + f_s)^2 dt\}, \end{aligned}$$

hence (13) follows and the optimal strategy is given by $v^* = \frac{C_x}{2\eta}$. Together with (10), (14) follows. \square

Consequently, the two PDEs for C and f can be derived as follows

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}C_{ss}\sigma^2 + C_t - \frac{C_x^2}{4\eta} + \mu\sigma^2(x + f_s)^2, \quad (15)$$

$$0 = \frac{C_x^2}{4\eta} + \frac{1}{2}f_{ss}\sigma^2 - \frac{C_x f_x}{2\eta} + f_t. \quad (16)$$

From (5), we see that the optimal strategy $v^*(s)$ does not depend on $Y(t)$ and $S(t)$ for $s \geq t$. Hence, $v^*(s)$ depends only on $X(s)$ and s . Combining (2), v^* is a deterministic control and X is a deterministic process. Therefore, (15) reduced to

$$0 = \mu\sigma^2 x^2 + C_t - \frac{C_x^2}{4\eta}. \quad (17)$$

The initial data for the PDE (17) is a local asymptotic condition. Considering (12), near expiration T , the terms with dW become negligible, then we must liquidate on a linear trajectory $v = x/(T - t)$ and hence the function C has local behavior

$$C \sim \frac{\eta(t)x^2}{T - t} + O(T - t), \quad T - t \rightarrow 0.$$

We look for a candidate solution to HJB in the form $C = x^2 L(t)$. Plugging into the HJB, we see that L should satisfy the ODE:

$$0 = \mu\sigma(t)^2 + L'(t) - \frac{L^2(t)}{\eta(t)}$$

and

$$L \sim \frac{\eta(t)}{T-t} + O(T-t), \quad T-t \rightarrow 0.$$

The optimal strategy is given by

$$v^*(t, X(t)) = \frac{1}{\eta(t)} X(t) L(t).$$

If we restrict η and θ to be constant,

$$L(t) = \sqrt{\mu\eta\sigma^2} \coth \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu\sigma^2}{\eta}} (T-t) \right).$$

The optimal strategy can be expressed as

$$v^*(t, X(t)) = X(t) \sqrt{\frac{\mu\sigma^2}{\eta}} \coth \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu\sigma^2}{\eta}} (T-t) \right).$$

This is the same strategy as the one obtained by Almgren (2012). However, it is important to realize that the problem formulations are different. Whereas Almgren (2012) finds the best deterministic strategy for a classical mean-variance problem, we find the best stochastic strategy for a time-consistent formulation of the mean-variance problem. Since the best strategy is deterministic, time-consistency does not distinguish the two problems and the two strategies coincide. However, when we proceed and add randomness from signals, volatility, and liquidity, this coincidence is lost, since our strategies become adapted and reflect specifically the time-consistency of the problem formulation.

3 Random Pricing Signals

We consider the trading problem of mean-variance optimal agency execution strategies, when a random pricing signal is included. A random pricing signal, gathering the information of index data, trading volume and public and private market events, can be regarded as the indicator of the stock movement. Various research work have considered pricing signals for the support and prediction of limit and market order placement strategies of traders. Interested readers are advised to refer to Milgrom and Stokey (1982) and Suominen (2001).

The model with random pricing signals enhances the trading quantity for two reasons. First, the incorporation of pricing signals relates stock returns to market returns. One can identify the pricing signals by investigating statistical and normal relationships between an asset's returns and market factors. Some notable examples of understanding the relationships between stock returns and market returns include the CAMP model by Sharpe (1964), the common risk factor model by Fama and French (1993), the Extended

four-factor model by Carhart (1997) and the GARCH model by Lamoureux and Lastrapes (1990). Second, the model relies on the belief that extreme price movements are caused by temporary liquidity shortage and manipulation and would be followed by a price reversal, which is consistent with the market behavior. In our model, the price reversal is described by a reverting process with rate $\theta(t)$.

Another example of the incorporation of random pricing signals is pairs trading. The strategy monitors performance of two historically correlated securities, e.g. Coca-Cola (KO) and Pepsi (PEP). When the correlation between the two securities temporarily weakens, i.e. one stock moves up while the other moves down, the pairs trade would be to short the outperforming stock and to long the underperforming one, betting that the "spread" between the two would eventually converge (See Mudchanatongsuk et al. (2008)). One can identify the pricing signals by investigating the average stock movements of the pair of stocks and finding the optimal trading strategy under the mean-variance criterion by our approach.

To be more specific, the price of a stock is governed by SDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} dS(t) &= \theta(t)(\alpha(t) - S(t))dt + \sigma_1(t)dW_1(t), \\ d\alpha(t) &= \sigma_2(t)dW_2(t), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is a random pricing signal, $\theta(t)$ the rate by which the shock dissipates and the variable reverts towards the signal, $\sigma_1(t)$ is the volatility of the stock and $W_1(t)$ and $W_2(t)$ are independent standard Brownian motions. We assume $\theta(t)$, $\eta(t)$, $\sigma_1(t)$ and $\sigma_2(t)$ are continuously time-varying to account for trading seasonality.

The cost of trading, is the total dollars paid to purchase X shares subtracting the initial market value:

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \int_0^T \tilde{S}(t)v(t)dt - xS(0) \\ &= \int_0^T \theta(t)X(t)(\alpha(t) - S(t))dt + \int_0^T \eta(t)v^2(t)dt + \int_0^T \sigma_1(t)X(t)dW_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

We determine the optimal trajectory by the dynamic mean-variance criterion

$$\min_{v(s):t \leq s \leq T} E_t(C) + \mu Var_t(C).$$

We now follow the recipe presented in the previous section and define a process Y as

$$\begin{aligned} Y(0) &= 0, \\ dY(t) &= \eta(t)v^2(t)dt + \theta(t)X(t)(\alpha(t) - S(t))dt + \sigma_1(t)X(t)dW_1(t), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

such that $Y(T) = C$. Our objective becomes

$$\min_{v(s):t \leq s \leq T} E_t(Y(T)) + \mu Var_t(Y(T)).$$

Suppose that we are given an optimal trading strategy $v^*(s), t \leq s \leq T$, and the corresponding value of Y^* . The value function is defined as

$$J(t) = J(S(t), \alpha(t), X(t), Y(t), t) = E_t(Y^*(T)) + \mu \text{Var}_t(Y^*(T)). \quad (20)$$

By the law of total variance, we obtain

$$J(t) = \min_{v(s): t \leq s \leq t+\tau} E_t(J(t+\tau)) + \mu \text{Var}_t(E_{t+\tau}(Y^*(T))). \quad (21)$$

From (19), we know

$$E_t(Y^*(T)) = Y(t) + f(t), \quad (22)$$

where

$$f(t) = E_t \left(\int_t^T \theta(s)(\alpha(s) - S(s))X^*(s)ds + \eta(s)(v^*(s))^2 ds \right). \quad (23)$$

Besides, plugging (19) into (20) we also know

$$J(t) = Y(t) + C(t), \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(t) &= C(S(t), \alpha(t), X(t), t) \\ &= E_t \left(\int_t^T \theta(s)(\alpha(s) - S(s))X^*(s)ds + \eta(s)(v^*(s))^2 ds + \sigma_1(s)X^*(s)dW_1(s) \right) \\ &\quad + \mu \text{Var}_t \left(\int_t^T \theta(s)(\alpha(s) - S(s))X^*(s)ds + \eta(s)(v^*(s))^2 ds + \sigma_1(s)X^*(s)dW_1(s) \right), \end{aligned}$$

does not depend on $Y(t)$. To proceed, we reduce the dimension of the HJB by defining a new variable:

$$\beta(t) = S(t) - \alpha(t),$$

the difference between the stock price and the observable signal. We can easily see that function $f(t)$ and $C(t)$ depend only on $\beta(t), X(t), t$ and

$$dY(t) = -\theta(t)\beta(t)X(t)dt + \eta(t)v^2(t)dt + \sigma_1(t)X(t)dW_1(t), \quad (25)$$

$$d\beta(t) = -\theta(t)\beta(t)dt + \sigma_1(t)dW_1(t) - \sigma_2(t)dW_2(t). \quad (26)$$

Proposition 2 *The HJB equation concerning the optimal trading problem with random signal is given by*

$$0 = -\theta x \beta - C_\beta \theta \beta + \frac{1}{2} C_{\beta\beta} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + C_t + \min_v \{ \eta v^2 - C_x v \} + \mu \sigma_1^2 (x + f_\beta)^2 + \mu \sigma_2^2 f_\beta^2, \quad (27)$$

where the minimum is clearly $v^* = \frac{C_x}{2\eta}$ and f satisfies

$$0 = -\theta x \beta + \eta (v^*)^2 - f_\beta \theta \beta + \frac{1}{2} f_{\beta\beta} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) - f_x v^* + f_t. \quad (28)$$

Proof: Combining (21), (22) and (24), we obtain

$$0 = \min_v E_t(dY(t) + dC(t)) + \mu Var_t(dY(t) + df(t)).$$

Using the Itô's lemma, (2), (25), (26) and after inserting $X(t) = x, \beta(t) = \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \min_v \{ -\theta x \beta dt + \eta v^2 dt - C_\beta \theta \beta dt + \frac{1}{2} C_{\beta\beta} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) dt - C_x v dt + C_t dt + \\ &\quad \mu Var_t(\sigma_1 x dW_1 + \sigma_1 f_\beta dW_1 - \sigma_2 f_\beta dW_2) \} \\ &= \min_v \{ -\theta x \beta dt + \eta v^2 dt - C_\beta \theta \beta dt + \frac{1}{2} C_{\beta\beta} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) dt - C_x v dt + C_t dt + \\ &\quad \mu \sigma_1^2 (x + f_\beta)^2 dt + \mu \sigma_2^2 f_\beta^2 dt \}, \end{aligned}$$

hence (27) follows and the optimal strategy is given by $v^* = \frac{C_x}{2\eta}$. Together with (23), (28) follows. \square

Consequently, the two PDEs for C and f can be derived as follows

$$0 = -\theta x \beta - C_\beta \theta \beta + \frac{1}{2} C_{\beta\beta} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + C_t - \frac{C_x^2}{4\eta} + \mu \sigma_1^2 (x + f_\beta)^2 + \mu \sigma_2^2 f_\beta^2. \quad (29)$$

$$0 = -\theta x \beta + \frac{C_x^2}{4\eta} - f_\beta \theta \beta + \frac{1}{2} f_{\beta\beta} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) - \frac{C_x f_x}{2\eta} + f_t. \quad (30)$$

Similar to the local asymptotic condition in the basic model, near expiration, one must liquidate on a linear trajectory. Therefore,

$$C \sim \frac{\eta(t)x^2}{T-t} + O(T-t), f \sim \frac{\eta(t)x^2}{T-t} + O(T-t), T-t \rightarrow 0.$$

We look for a candidate solution to PDEs in the form

$$\begin{aligned} C &= x^2 D(t) + \beta^2 E(t) + x \beta F(t) + x G(t) + \beta H(t) + I(t), \\ f &= x^2 L(t) + \beta^2 M(t) + x \beta N(t) + x O(t) + \beta P(t) + Q(t). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

We plug (31) into (29) (30) and obtain a system of ODEs.

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{dD}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\eta} D^2 + \mu \sigma_1^2 (1+N)^2 + \mu \sigma_2^2 N^2, & D &\sim \frac{\eta}{T-t}, t \rightarrow T, \\ -\frac{dE}{dt} &= -2\theta E - \frac{1}{4\eta} F^2 + 4\mu (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) M^2, & E(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dF}{dt} &= -\theta - \theta F - \frac{1}{\eta} DF + 4\mu \sigma_1^2 (1+N)M + 4\mu \sigma_2^2 MN, & F(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dG}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\eta} DG + 2\mu (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) NP, & G(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dH}{dt} &= -\theta H - \frac{1}{2\eta} FG + 4\mu (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) MP, & H(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dI}{dt} &= (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) E - \frac{1}{4\eta} G^2 + \mu (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) P^2, & I(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dL}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\eta} D^2 - \frac{2}{\eta} DL, & L &\sim \frac{\eta}{T-t}, t \rightarrow T, \\ -\frac{dM}{dt} &= \frac{1}{4\eta} F^2 - 2\theta M - \frac{1}{2\eta} FN, & M(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dN}{dt} &= -\theta + \frac{1}{\eta} DF - \theta N - \frac{1}{\eta} (DN + FL), & N(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dO}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\eta} (DG - DO - GL), & O(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dP}{dt} &= -\theta P + \frac{1}{2\eta} (FG - FO - GN), & P(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dQ}{dt} &= \frac{1}{4\eta} G^2 - (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) M - \frac{1}{2\eta} GO, & Q(T) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

From (32), one can find that solutions to G, O, P are trivial, i.e., $G = O = P = 0$. Hence the optimal strategy becomes

$$v^* = \frac{1}{2\eta}(2Dx + F\beta),$$

which only evolves D and F . Therefore, (32) can be reduced to (33), which gives the optimal strategy.

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{dD}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\eta}D^2 + \mu\sigma_1^2(1+N)^2 + \mu\sigma_2^2N^2, & D &\sim \frac{\eta}{T-t}, t \rightarrow T, \\ -\frac{dF}{dt} &= -\theta - \theta F - \frac{1}{\eta}DF + 4\mu\sigma_1^2(1+N)M + 4\mu\sigma_2^2MN, & F(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dL}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\eta}D^2 - \frac{2}{\eta}DL, & L &\sim \frac{\eta}{T-t}, t \rightarrow T, \\ -\frac{dM}{dt} &= \frac{1}{4\eta}F^2 - 2\theta M - \frac{1}{2\eta}FN, & M(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dN}{dt} &= -\theta + \frac{1}{\eta}DF - \theta N - \frac{1}{\eta}(DN + FL), & N(T) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

To summarize, the optimal strategy is given by

$$v^*(t, X(t), \beta(t)) = \frac{1}{2\eta(t)}(2D(t)X(t) + F(t)\beta(t)).$$

Example 1 *If we set $\theta = 0$, then this model reduces to be the basic one. From (32), one can find solutions to F, M, N are trivial if $\theta = 0$, i.e., $F = M = N = 0$. Consequently,*

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{dD}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\eta}D^2 + \mu\sigma_1^2, & D &\sim \frac{\eta}{T-t}, t \rightarrow T, \\ -\frac{dL}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\eta}D^2 - \frac{2}{\eta}DL, & L &\sim \frac{\eta}{T-t}, t \rightarrow T. \end{aligned}$$

If we restrict σ_1 and η to be constant, we obtain,

$$D(t) = \sqrt{\mu\eta\sigma_1^2} \coth \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu\sigma_1^2}{\eta}}(T-t) \right),$$

and

$$v^*(t, X(t)) = X(t) \sqrt{\frac{\mu\sigma_1^2}{\eta}} \coth \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu\sigma_1^2}{\eta}}(T-t) \right).$$

4 Stochastic Liquidity and Volatility

In this section, we consider the liquidity impact $\eta(t)$ and $\sigma(t)$ in the basic model to be dependent on the trading position $X(t)$ and an independent variable $\xi(t)$ representing the “market state”, i.e.,

$$d\xi(t) = a_\xi(t)dt + b_\xi(t)dB(t),$$

where a_ξ and b_ξ are known function of t and B is a Brownian motion independent of W . A derivation corresponding to the previous sections leads to the value function

$$J(t) = J(\xi(t), X(t), t) = E_t(Y^*(T)) + \mu \text{Var}_t(Y^*(T)) = Y(t) + C(t),$$

where

$$C(t) = C(\xi(t), X(t), t).$$

We also have

$$E_t(Y^*(T)) = Y(t) + f(t),$$

where

$$f(t) = f(\xi(t), X(t), t) = E_t \left[\int_t^T \eta(t)(v^*(t))^2 dt \right]. \quad (34)$$

Proposition 3 *The HJB equation concerning the optimal trading problem is given by*

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} C_{\xi\xi} b_\xi^2 + C_\xi a_\xi + C_t + \min_v \{ \eta v^2 - C_x v \} + \mu \sigma^2 x^2 + \mu b_\xi f_\xi^2, \quad (35)$$

where the minimum is clearly $v^* = \frac{C_x}{2\eta}$ and f satisfies

$$0 = \eta (v^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_{\xi\xi} b_\xi^2 + f_\xi a_\xi - f_x v^* + f_t. \quad (36)$$

Proof: As usual we have

$$0 = \min_v E_t(dY(t) + dC(t)) + \mu \text{Var}_t(dY(t) + df(t)).$$

Using the Itô's lemma and after inserting $X(t) = x, \xi(t) = \xi$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \min_v \{ \eta v^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} C_{\xi\xi} b_\xi^2 dt + C_\xi a_\xi dt - C_x v dt + C_t dt + \mu \text{Var}_t(\sigma x dW + \sigma f_\xi b_\xi dB) \} \\ &= \min_v \{ \eta v^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} C_{\xi\xi} b_\xi^2 dt + C_\xi a_\xi dt - C_x v dt + C_t dt + \mu \sigma^2 x^2 dt + \mu f_\xi^2 b_\xi^2 \}, \end{aligned}$$

hence (35) follows and the optimal strategy is given by $v^* = \frac{C_x}{2\eta}$. Together with (34), (36) follows. \square

Consequently, the two PDEs for C and f can be derived as follows

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} C_{\xi\xi} b_\xi^2 + C_\xi a_\xi + C_t - \frac{C_x^2}{4\eta} + \mu \sigma^2 x^2 + \mu f_\xi^2 b_\xi^2, \quad (37)$$

$$0 = \frac{C_x^2}{4\eta} + \frac{1}{2} f_{\xi\xi} b_\xi^2 + f_\xi a_\xi - \frac{C_x f_x}{2\eta} + f_t. \quad (38)$$

Finding an explicit solution to the system of PDEs is difficult but we can still find one under some assumptions.

Example 2 Here we provide an example to capture stochastic volatility and time-varying liquidity impact, where we assume $\sigma(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\xi(t)}{X(t)/(T-t)}}$. Blais and Protter (2010) examine the structure of the supply curve using tick data. They find that for highly liquid stocks, the supply curve is effectively linear, with a slope that varies with time. Their empirical analysis also indicates the slope has a small variance. This supports we use a time-varying liquidity impact $\eta(t)$. Empirical investigations (Jones, Kaul and Lipson (1994)) reveal a significantly positive relation between trade size, volume of transactions and stock volatility. If from time t to T , liquidity of the stock is mainly provided by the trader. There is a positive relation between the volatility and speed of liquidity. The average speed of liquidity is fixed from 0 to T , i.e., x/T . So there is a negative relation between the volatility at time t and the average speed of liquidity from t to T , i.e., $X(t)/(T-t)$. This supports our assumption $\sigma(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\xi(t)}{X(t)/(T-t)}}$.

We look for a solution of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} C &= x^2 D(t) + \xi^2 E(t) + x\xi F(t) + xG(t) + \xi H(t) + I(t), \\ f &= x^2 L(t) + \xi^2 M(t) + x\xi N(t) + xO(t) + \xi P(t) + Q(t), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

with

$$C \sim \frac{\eta(t)x^2}{T-t} + O(T-t), f \sim \frac{\eta(t)x^2}{T-t} + O(T-t), T-t \rightarrow 0.$$


Consequently, we obtain a system of ODEs.

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{dD}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\eta}D^2 + \mu b_\xi^2 N^2, & D &\sim \frac{\eta}{T-t}, t \rightarrow T, \\ -\frac{dE}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{4\eta}F^2 + 4\mu b_\xi^2 M^2, & E(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dF}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\eta}DF + 4\mu b_\xi^2 MN + \mu(T-t), & F(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dG}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\eta}DG + 2\mu b_\xi^2 NP + a_\xi F, & G(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dH}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{2\eta}FG + 4\mu b_\xi^2 MP + 2a_\xi E, & H(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dI}{dt} &= b_\xi^2 E - \frac{1}{4\eta}G^2 + \mu b_\xi^2 P^2 + a_\xi H, & I(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dL}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\eta}D^2 - \frac{2}{\eta}DL, & L &\sim \frac{\eta}{T-t}, t \rightarrow T, \\ -\frac{dM}{dt} &= \frac{1}{4\eta}F^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta}FN, & M(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dN}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\eta}DF - \frac{1}{\eta}(DN + FL), & N(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dO}{dt} &= \frac{1}{\eta}(DG - DO - GL) + a_\xi N, & O(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dP}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2\eta}(FG - FO - GN) + 2a_\xi M, & P(T) &= 0, \\ -\frac{dQ}{dt} &= \frac{1}{4\eta}G^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta}GO + a_\xi P + b_\xi^2 M, & Q(T) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$


The optimal strategy becomes

$$v^*(t, X(t), \xi(t)) = \frac{1}{2\eta(t)}(2D(t)X(t) + F(t)\xi(t) + G(t)).$$

Figure 1: Trade Strategy in the Basic Model with various values for volatility and liquidity Impact



(a)



(b)

5 Numerical Illustrations


In this section, we give numerical examples of our three models for quantitative trading with dynamic mean variance criterion. Our trading target is to buying 100 shares of stock ($x = 100$) within a week (5 working days, i.e. $T = 5$) and we set parameter $\mu = 1$.

Figure 1 gives the trade strategy in the basic model with various constant values for volatility and liquidity impact.


In Figure 2, we present the trading strategy on four simulated paths of stock price and pricing signals. For simplicity we assume that the time-varying parameters to be constant and we summarize the values for various parameters as $S_0 = \$100$, $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0.5$, $\eta = 0.1$. Figures (2a), (2c), (2e) gives the trading speed in the case $\alpha_0 = \$102$, $\theta = 0.2$, Figures (2b), (2d), (2f) gives that in the case $\alpha_0 = \$98$, $\theta = 0.2$, Figures (3a), (3c), (3e) gives that in the case $\alpha_0 = \$102$, $\theta = 0.05$ while Figures (3b), (3d), (3f) gives that in the case $\alpha_0 = \$102$, $\theta = 0.05$. When $\theta = 0$, the model with random signal degenerates to be the basic one.

Figure 3 shows the trading strategy in the stochastic volatility model (example 1). For simplicity, we assume a_ξ and b_ξ are constants and we summarize the values of the parameters are as follows $a_\xi = 0$, $b_\xi = 0.1$, $\eta = 0.1$, $\xi_0 = 1$, $S_0 = \$100$.


Figure 2: Trade Strategy with Random Pricing Signals(I)




(a)




(b)




(c)



(d)




(e)




(f)


Figure 3: Trade Strategy with Random Pricing Signals(II)




(a)




(b)




(c)



(d)




(e)




(f)

Figure 4: Trade Strategy in Stochastic Volatility Model



(a)



(b)

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we consider the quantitative trading problem under the dynamic mean-variance criterion and derive time-consistent solutions in three important models. We give a optimal strategy under a reconsidered mean-variance subject at any point in time. We also get an explicit trading strategy when random pricing signals are incorporated. When consider stochastic liquidity and volatility, we give the exact HJB equations. We obtain an explicit solution in stochastic volatility model with a given structure supported by empirical study.

References

- [1] R. Almgren, (2012), *Optimal trading with stochastic liquidity and volatility*, SIAM J. Financial Math., 3, 163-181.
- [2] R. Almgren and N. Chriss, (2000), *Optimal execution of portfolio transactions*, J. Risk, 3, 5-39.
- [3] S. Basak and G. Chabakauri, (2010), *Dynamic mean-variance asset allocation*, Rev. Finance, 23, 2970-3016.
- [4] M. Blais and P. Protter, (2010), *An Analysis of the Supply Curve for Liquidity Risk through Book Data*, Int. J. Theor. Appl. Finance, 13, 821-838.
- [5] M. Carhart, (1997), *On persistence in mutual fund performance*, J. Finance, 52, 57-82.
- [6] M. Christiansen and M. Steffensen, (2013), *Deterministic mean-variance-optimal consumption and investment*, Stochastics: An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes, 85(4), 620-636.
- [7] E. Fama and K. French, (1993), *Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds*, J. Financial Economics, 33, 3-56.
- [8] I. Kharroubi and H. Pham (2010), *Optimal Portfolio Liquidation with Execution Cost and Risk*, SIAM J. Financial Math., 1, 897-931.
- [9] R. Korn, *Some applications of L^2 -hedging with a non-negative wealth process*, Appl. Math. Financ. 4(1) (1997), 64-79.
- [10] R. Korn and S. Trautmann, (1995), *Continuous-time portfolio optimization under terminal wealth constraints*, Z. Oper. Res., 42(1), 69-92.

- [11] C. M. Jones, G. Kaul and M. L. Lipson (1994), *Transactions, volume, and volatility*, Rev. Financ. Stud., 7, 631-651.
- [12] C.G. Lamoureux and W.D. Lastrapes, (1997), *Heteroskedasticity in stock return data: volume versus GARCH effects* , J. Finance, 45, 221-229.
- [13] H.M. Markowitz, (1952), *Portfolio selection*, J. Financ., 7(1), 77-91.
- [14] P. Milgrom and N. Stokey, (1982), *Information, Trade and Common Knowledge*, J. Economic Theory, 26, 17-27.
- [15] S. Mudchanatongsuk, J.A., Primbs, W. Wong, (2008) Optimal pairs trading: A stochastic control approach, American Control Conference, 1035-1039.
- [16] W. Sharpe, (1964), *Capital asset prices: a theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk*, J. Finance, 3, 425-442.
- [17] M. Suominen, (2001), *Trading volume and information revelation in stock markets*, J. Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 36, 545-565.
- [18] X. Zhou and D. Li, (2000), *Continuous-time mean-variance portfolio selection: A stochastic LQ framework*, Appl. Math. Optim., 42, 19-33.