

\mathbb{Z}_N graded discrete Lax pairs and Yang-Baxter maps

Allan P. Fordy* and Pavlos Xenitidis†

May 31, 2021

Abstract

We recently introduced a class of \mathbb{Z}_N graded discrete Lax pairs and studied the associated discrete integrable systems (lattice equations). In this paper we introduce the corresponding Yang-Baxter maps. Many well known examples belong to this scheme for $N = 2$, so, for $N \geq 3$, our systems may be regarded as generalisations of these.

In particular, for each N we introduce a generalisation of the map H_{III}^B in the classification of scalar Yang-Baxter maps [5]. For $N = 3$ this is equivalent to the Yang-Baxter map associated with the discrete modified Boussinesq equation. For $N \geq 5$ (and odd) we introduce a new family of Yang-Baxter maps, which have no lower dimensional analogue. We also present multi-component versions of the Yang-Baxter maps F_{IV} and F_V (given in the classification of [2]).

Keywords: Discrete integrable system, Lax pair, symmetry, Yang-Baxter map.

1 Introduction

The term “Yang-Baxter map” was introduced by Veselov [9] as an abbreviation for Drinfeld’s notion of “set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation”. The basic ingredient is a map $R : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$, where X is some algebraic variety. For the case $X = \mathbb{CP}^1$, these were partially classified in [2, 5]. In [7] a symmetry approach was introduced to relate Yang-Baxter equations with 3D consistent equations on quad-graphs, which had been classified in [1]. Starting with any symmetry of an integrable equation on a quad-graph, the authors introduce invariant functions, which are then used to define a map. The Yang-Baxter relation was shown to be a *consequence* of 3D consistency. Multicomponent Yang-Baxter maps are not yet classified, but several are known (see, for example, [8, 7, 6, 3]).

We recently introduced a class of \mathbb{Z}_N graded discrete Lax pairs and studied the associated discrete integrable systems [4]. Many well known examples belong to that scheme for $N = 2$, so, for $N \geq 3$, our systems may be regarded as generalisations of these. As mentioned above, the quad systems for $N = 2$ can be related to Yang-Baxter maps by the symmetry procedure of [7]. In this paper we construct generalisations of these, associated with our generalised lattice equations.

In Section 2 we present the basic background theory of Yang-Baxter maps and their relationship to lattice equations on a quadrilateral lattice. In Section 3, we introduce the \mathbb{Z}_N -graded Lax pairs of [4] and derive the reduction to Yang-Baxter maps. We show that all such maps are equivalent to ones with “level structure” $(0, \delta; 0, \delta)$. These are studied in more detail in Section

*School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK. E-mail: a.p.fordy@leeds.ac.uk

†School of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NF, UK. E-mail: p.xenitidis@kent.ac.uk

4, where some new families of Yang-Baxter maps are presented. In Section 5 we present a multicomponent generalisation of the Yang-Baxter maps F_{IV} and F_V (given in the classification of [2])

2 Basic Definitions

Let X be an algebraic variety. A *parametric Yang-Baxter map* $R(a, b)$, depending upon parameters (a, b) , is a map

$$R(a, b) : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X,$$

satisfying:

$$R_{23}(a_2, a_3) \circ R_{13}(a_1, a_3) \circ R_{12}(a_1, a_2) = R_{12}(a_1, a_2) \circ R_{13}(a_1, a_3) \circ R_{23}(a_2, a_3), \quad (2.1)$$

where $R_{ij}(a_i, a_j)$ is the map that acts as $R(a, b)$ on the i and j factor of $X \times X \times X$, and identically on the other.

Definition 2.1 (Reversibility) *Let P be the involution given by $P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; a, b) = (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}; b, a)$. If $P \circ R(a, b)$ is also an involution, then the map $R(a, b)$ is said to be reversible. An alternative way of writing this is that the map $P \circ R(a, b) \circ P$ is the inverse of $R(a, b)$.*

Lax pairs were defined for Yang-Baxter maps in [9, 8]. A matrix $L(\mathbf{x}, a)$, with $\mathbf{x} \in X$, depending upon the YB parameter a and the spectral parameter λ is used to define the equation:

$$L(\mathbf{x}', a)L(\mathbf{y}', b) = L(\mathbf{y}, b)L(\mathbf{x}, a). \quad (2.2)$$

It was shown in [9] that if L satisfies this, then the map $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}')$ satisfies the parametric Yang-Baxter equation (2.1) and is *reversible*.

Definition 2.2 (The Companion Map) *The companion map $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}') \mapsto (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y})$ is obtained by solving equation (2.2) for the variables $(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y})$.*

2.1 Reductions from a Lattice Equation

Suppose we have a square lattice with vertices labelled (m, n) . At each vertex we have functions

$$\mathbf{u}_{m,n} = \left(u_{m,n}^{(0)}, \dots, u_{m,n}^{(N-1)} \right), \quad \mathbf{v}_{m,n} = \left(v_{m,n}^{(0)}, \dots, v_{m,n}^{(N-1)} \right),$$

and vector function $\Psi_{m,n}$, satisfying

$$\Psi_{m+1,n} = L(\mathbf{u}_{m,n}, a) \Psi_{m,n}, \quad \Psi_{m,n+1} = L(\mathbf{v}_{m,n}, b) \Psi_{m,n}, \quad (2.3)$$

with compatibility conditions

$$L(\mathbf{u}_{m,n+1}, a)L(\mathbf{v}_{m,n}, b) = L(\mathbf{v}_{m+1,n}, b)L(\mathbf{u}_{m,n}, a). \quad (2.4)$$

If we now consider the reduction

$$\mathbf{u}_{m,n} = \mathbf{x}_p, \quad \mathbf{v}_{m,n} = \mathbf{y}_{p+1}, \quad \text{where } p = n - m, \quad (2.5)$$

then (2.4) reduces to (2.2), with $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_p$, $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x}_{p+1}$, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_p$, $\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{y}_{p+1}$, with the map $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}')$ being Yang-Baxter.

Remark 2.3 *Notice that this does not rely on any underlying Lie point symmetry of the lattice equation. It is just a “travelling wave” solution of the lattice equation.*

3 \mathbb{Z}_N -Graded Lax Pairs

We now consider the specific discrete Lax pairs, which we introduced in [4]. Consider a pair of matrix equations of the form

$$\Psi_{m+1,n} = L_{m,n} \Psi_{m,n} \equiv \left(U_{m,n} + \lambda \Omega^{\ell_1} \right) \Psi_{m,n}, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\Psi_{m,n+1} = M_{m,n} \Psi_{m,n} \equiv \left(V_{m,n} + \lambda \Omega^{\ell_2} \right) \Psi_{m,n}, \quad (3.1b)$$

where

$$U_{m,n} = \text{diag} \left(u_{m,n}^{(0)}, \dots, u_{m,n}^{(N-1)} \right) \Omega^{k_1}, \quad V_{m,n} = \text{diag} \left(v_{m,n}^{(0)}, \dots, v_{m,n}^{(N-1)} \right) \Omega^{k_2}, \quad (3.1c)$$

and

$$(\Omega)_{i,j} = \delta_{j-i,1} + \delta_{i-j,N-1}.$$

The matrix Ω defines a grading and the four matrices of (3.1) are said to be of respective levels k_i, ℓ_i , with $\ell_i \neq k_i$ (for each i). The Lax pair is characterised by the quadruple $(k_1, \ell_1; k_2, \ell_2)$, which we refer to as *the level structure* of system, and for consistency, we require

$$k_1 + \ell_2 \equiv k_2 + \ell_1 \pmod{N}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since matrices U, V and Ω are independent of λ , the compatibility condition of (3.1),

$$L_{m,n+1} M_{m,n} = M_{m+1,n} L_{m,n}, \quad (3.3)$$

splits into the system

$$U_{m,n+1} V_{m,n} = V_{m+1,n} U_{m,n}, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$U_{m,n+1} \Omega^{\ell_2} - \Omega^{\ell_2} U_{m,n} = V_{m+1,n} \Omega^{\ell_1} - \Omega^{\ell_1} V_{m,n}, \quad (3.4b)$$

which can be written explicitly as

$$u_{m,n+1}^{(i)} v_{m,n}^{(i+k_1)} = v_{m+1,n}^{(i)} u_{m,n}^{(i+k_2)}, \quad (3.5a)$$

$$u_{m,n+1}^{(i)} - u_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_2)} = v_{m+1,n}^{(i)} - v_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_1)}, \quad (3.5b)$$

or, in a solved form, as

$$u_{m,n+1}^{(i)} = \frac{u_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_2)} - v_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_1)}}{u_{m,n}^{(i+k_2)} - v_{m,n}^{(i+k_1)}} u_{m,n}^{(i+k_2)}, \quad v_{m+1,n}^{(i)} = \frac{u_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_2)} - v_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_1)}}{u_{m,n}^{(i+k_2)} - v_{m,n}^{(i+k_1)}} v_{m,n}^{(i+k_1)}, \quad (3.6)$$

assuming that $u_{m,n}^{(i)} \neq v_{m,n}^{(j)}$ for all i, j . In all the above formulae, i, j are taken \pmod{N} .

It is easily seen that the quantities

$$a = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} u_{m,n}^{(i)}, \quad b = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} v_{m,n}^{(i)} \quad \text{satisfy} \quad \Delta_n(a) = \Delta_m(b) = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\Delta_m = \mathcal{S}_m - 1, \quad \Delta_n = \mathcal{S}_n - 1, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{S}_m f_{m,n} = f_{m+1,n}, \quad \mathcal{S}_n f_{m,n} = f_{m,n+1}.$$

3.1 Reduction to Yang-Baxter Maps

We can now employ the reduction (2.5), using (3.7) to replace the components $x_p^{(N-1)}, y_p^{(N-1)}$. This introduces parameters a, b into the Lax matrices. If we define

$$X_p = \text{diag} \left(x_p^{(0)}, \dots, x_p^{(N-1)} \right), \quad Y_p = \text{diag} \left(y_p^{(0)}, \dots, y_p^{(N-1)} \right), \quad (3.8)$$

then the compatibility condition (3.3) takes the form

$$(X_{p+1}\Omega^{k_1} + \lambda\Omega^{\ell_1})(Y_{p+1}\Omega^{k_2} + \lambda\Omega^{\ell_2}) = (Y_p\Omega^{k_2} + \lambda\Omega^{\ell_2})(X_p\Omega^{k_1} + \lambda\Omega^{\ell_1}), \quad (3.9)$$

and equations (3.5) take the form

$$x_{p+1}^{(i)} y_{p+1}^{(i+k_1)} = y_p^{(i)} x_p^{(i+k_2)}, \quad x_{p+1}^{(i)} + y_{p+1}^{(i+\ell_1)} = y_p^{(i)} + x_p^{(i+\ell_2)}. \quad (3.10)$$

We can write (3.9) as

$$(X_{p+1} + \lambda\Omega^\delta)(\Omega^{k_1} Y_{p+1} \Omega^{-k_1} + \lambda\Omega^\delta) = (Y_p + \lambda\Omega^\delta)(\Omega^{k_2} X_p \Omega^{-k_2} + \lambda\Omega^\delta), \quad (3.11)$$

where $0 < \delta \leq N-1$, with $\delta \equiv \ell_i - k_i \pmod{N}$. This allows us to reduce the general case with level structure $(k_1, \ell_1; k_2, \ell_2)$ to that with level structure $(0, \delta; 0, \delta)$. First, note that formula (3.11) can be written

$$(\bar{X}_{p+1} + \lambda\Omega^\delta)(\bar{Y}_{p+1} + \lambda\Omega^\delta) = (\bar{Y}_p + \lambda\Omega^\delta)(\bar{X}_p + \lambda\Omega^\delta), \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\bar{X}_p = \text{diag} \left(\bar{x}_p^{(0)}, \dots, \bar{x}_p^{(N-1)} \right), \quad \bar{Y}_p = \text{diag} \left(\bar{y}_p^{(0)}, \dots, \bar{y}_p^{(N-1)} \right).$$

Comparing (3.12) and (3.11), we see that

$$\bar{x}_{p+1}^{(i)} = x_{p+1}^{(i)}, \quad \bar{y}_{p+1}^{(i)} = y_{p+1}^{(i+k_1)}, \quad \bar{x}_p^{(i)} = x_p^{(i+k_2)}, \quad \bar{y}_p^{(i)} = y_p^{(i)},$$

all taken \pmod{N} . We see from (3.12) that the components $(\bar{x}_p^{(i)}, \bar{y}_p^{(i)})$ satisfy

$$\bar{x}_{p+1}^{(i)} \bar{y}_{p+1}^{(i)} = \bar{y}_p^{(i)} \bar{x}_p^{(i)}, \quad \bar{x}_{p+1}^{(i)} + \bar{y}_{p+1}^{(i+\delta)} = \bar{y}_p^{(i)} + \bar{x}_p^{(i+\delta)},$$

which are just (3.10) with $(k_i, \ell_i) = (0, \delta)$.

4 The Yang-Baxter Map Corresponding to the Case $(0, \delta; 0, \delta)$

In this section we consider the Lax equations with level structure $(0, \delta; 0, \delta)$, with $0 < \delta \leq N-1$. The resulting equations are *quadrirational*, with both the Yang-Baxter and companion maps being *birational*. We find that the Yang-Baxter maps corresponding to δ and $N-\delta$ are inverses to each other and that the companion map is periodic, with period N .

4.1 The Equations and Maps

With Lax matrices

$$L(\mathbf{x}, a) = X_p + \lambda\Omega^\delta, \quad L(\mathbf{y}, b) = Y_p + \lambda\Omega^\delta, \quad (4.1)$$

where X_p and Y_p are defined by (3.8), with

$$x_p^{(N-1)} = \frac{a}{\prod_{i=0}^{N-2} x_p^{(i)}}, \quad y_p^{(N-1)} = \frac{b}{\prod_{i=0}^{N-2} y_p^{(i)}}, \quad (4.2)$$

the Lax equation (2.2) implies

$$x_{p+1}^{(i)} y_{p+1}^{(i)} = y_p^{(i)} x_p^{(i)}, \quad x_{p+1}^{(i)} + y_{p+1}^{(i+\delta)} = y_p^{(i)} + x_p^{(i+\delta)}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N-1. \quad (4.3)$$

Only the formulae with $0 \leq i \leq N-2$ are independent, but the full set is useful when discussing first integrals.

Remark 4.1 (Level structure $(\delta, 0; \delta, 0)$ vs $(0, \delta; 0, \delta)$) *Under the point transformation*

$$x_{p+1}^{(i)} = \tilde{x}_p^{(i+\delta)}, \quad x_p^{(i)} = \tilde{x}_{p+1}^{(i)}, \quad y_{p+1}^{(i)} = \tilde{y}_p^{(i)}, \quad y_p^{(i)} = \tilde{y}_{p+1}^{(i+\delta)},$$

equations (4.3) take the form

$$\tilde{x}_{p+1}^{(i)} \tilde{y}_{p+1}^{(i+\delta)} = \tilde{y}_p^{(i)} \tilde{x}_p^{(i+\delta)}, \quad \tilde{x}_{p+1}^{(i)} + \tilde{y}_{p+1}^{(i)} = \tilde{y}_p^{(i)} + \tilde{x}_p^{(i)}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N-1,$$

which are just the equations for level structure $(\delta, 0; \delta, 0)$, so these structures are equivalent.

4.1.1 The Yang-Baxter map $R^{(\delta)}(a, b)$

Here we solve (4.3) for $(x_{p+1}^{(i)}, y_{p+1}^{(i)})$ as functions of $(x_p^{(i)}, y_p^{(i)})$ (with $0 \leq i \leq N-2$ and $x_p^{(N-1)}, y_p^{(N-1)}$ replaced by (4.2)). We write this map as $R^{(\delta)}(a, b)$, but when no ambiguity can arise, we suppress the parametric dependence by writing the map as $R^{(\delta)}$.

Notice that by shifting $i \mapsto i + N - \delta \equiv i - \delta \pmod{N}$, the second part of equation (4.3) takes the form

$$x_{p+1}^{(i-\delta)} + y_{p+1}^{(i)} = y_p^{(i-\delta)} + x_p^{(i)},$$

which means that the Yang-Baxter map $R^{(-\delta)}(a, b)$ is just the inverse of $R^{(\delta)}(a, b)$.

Remark 4.2 (Non-Coprime Case) *When δ is a divisor of N , the map decouples into lower dimensional ones.*

This Yang-Baxter map has the following N first integrals:

$$x_p^{(i)} y_p^{(i)} = c_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N-2, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (x_p^{(i+\delta)} + y_p^{(i)}) = c_{N-1}, \quad (4.4)$$

where, in the latter, $x_p^{(N-1)}$ and $y_p^{(N-1)}$ are replaced by (4.2). The last of these integrals is obtained by summing the additive equations of (4.3).

4.1.2 The Companion Map $\varphi^{(\delta)}$

Here we solve (4.3) for $(x_{p+1}^{(i)}, y_{p+1}^{(i)})$ as functions of $(x_p^{(i)}, y_{p+1}^{(i)})$ (with $0 \leq i \leq N-2$ and $x_p^{(N-1)}, y_p^{(N-1)}$ replaced by (4.2)). Since p is no longer the evolution parameter, we relabel our variables as:

$$(x_p^{(i)}, y_{p+1}^{(i)}) = (x_q^{(i)}, y_q^{(i)}), \quad (x_{p+1}^{(i)}, y_p^{(i)}) = (x_{q+1}^{(i)}, y_{q+1}^{(i)}).$$

Remark 4.3 (A second travelling wave reduction) *This labelling follows directly from the travelling wave reduction*

$$\mathbf{u}_{m,n} = \mathbf{x}_q, \quad \mathbf{v}_{m,n} = \mathbf{y}_q, \quad \text{where } q = n + m$$

We can re-arrange the quadratic formulae in (4.3) (with this new labelling) to obtain $N - 1$ first integrals:

$$\frac{x_q^{(i)}}{y_q^{(i)}} = c_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N - 2. \quad (4.5)$$

We can also re-arrange the linear formulae of (4.3) to obtain

$$x_{q+1}^{(i)} - y_{q+1}^{(i)} = x_q^{(i+\delta)} - y_q^{(i+\delta)}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N - 1.$$

If we define

$$f(x, y) = x - y, \quad (4.6)$$

then

$$f(x_{q+1}^{(i)}, y_{q+1}^{(i)}) = f(x_q^{(i+\delta)}, y_q^{(i+\delta)}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N - 1. \quad (4.7)$$

We may use

$$\left(\frac{x_q^{(0)}}{y_q^{(0)}}, \dots, \frac{x_q^{(N-2)}}{y_q^{(N-2)}}, f(x_q^{(0)}, y_q^{(0)}), \dots, f(x_q^{(N-2)}, y_q^{(N-2)}) \right)$$

as coordinates and, in these coordinates, the map $\varphi^{(\delta)}$ just shifts the coordinates $f(x_q^{(i)}, y_q^{(i)})$ by δ , whilst leaving the coordinates $\frac{x_q^{(i)}}{y_q^{(i)}}$ fixed. With $(N, \delta) = 1$ (see Remark 4.2) this means that the map $\varphi^{(\delta)}$ is periodic with period N . Furthermore, we have that $\varphi^{(\delta)} = \varphi^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi^{(1)}$ (the δ -fold composition of $\varphi^{(1)}$). This statement is, of course, independent of coordinates.

Remark 4.4 (($2N - 2$) first integrals) *Any cyclically symmetric function of $f(x_q^{(i)}, y_q^{(i)})$ is a first integral of the companion map, so it possesses $(2N - 2)$ first integrals. The common level set is then finite, corresponding to the periodicity of the map.*

4.2 Examples

We can build hierarchies of Yang-Baxter maps for each δ .

4.2.1 The Case $N = 2$

Here we only have the case $\delta = 1$, which leads to (with $x^{(0)} = x$, $y^{(0)} = y$, $x^{(1)} = a/x$, $y^{(1)} = b/y$)

$$x_{p+1} = y_p \left(\frac{a + xy}{b + xy} \right), \quad y_{p+1} = x_p \left(\frac{b + xy}{a + xy} \right),$$

which (up to a relabelling of parameters) is just the map H_{III}^B in the classification of scalar Yang-Baxter maps [5].

The existence of the two invariant functions (4.4) implies (the well known fact) that this map is an involution.

Remark 4.5 (The case $\delta = 1$) *The system corresponding to $\delta = 1$ exists for all $N \geq 2$, which can therefore be considered as a multi-component generalisation of the scalar Yang-Baxter map H_{III}^B .*

4.2.2 The Case $N = 3$

Here we have $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = 2$, but since $N - 1 = 2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, the map $R^{(2)}$ is just the inverse of $R^{(1)}$. In this case $R^{(1)}$ takes the form:

$$x_{p+1}^{(i)} = y_p^{(i)} \frac{A^{(i)}}{A^{(i+1)}}, \quad y_{p+1}^{(i)} = x_p^{(i)} \frac{A^{(i+1)}}{A^{(i)}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 1, \quad (4.8)$$

with upper indices taken $(\text{mod}2)$ and where

$$A^{(0)} = a(x_p^{(1)} + y_p^{(0)}) + x_p^{(0)} x_p^{(1)} y_p^{(0)} y_p^{(1)}, \quad A^{(1)} = A^{(0)} + (b - a)x_p^{(1)}, \quad A^{(2)} = A^{(1)} + (b - a)y_p^{(0)}.$$

Remark 4.6 (Discrete Modified Boussinesq Equation) *This is equivalent to the Yang-Baxter map derived in [7], associated with the discrete modified Boussinesq equation (see equation (67a-b) of [7]). They are related by a simple point transformation:*

$$x^{(0)} \mapsto \frac{c_0}{x^1}, \quad x^{(1)} \mapsto c_0 x^2, \quad y^{(0)} \mapsto \frac{c_0 \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 y^1}, \quad y^{(1)} \mapsto \frac{\alpha_1^2 y^2}{c_0^3}, \quad \text{where } c_0^4 = \frac{\alpha_1^3}{\alpha_2}.$$

4.2.3 The Case $N = 5$

Here $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = 2$ give genuinely different maps.

The map $R^{(1)}$ takes the form:

$$x_{p+1}^{(i)} = y_p^{(i)} \frac{A^{(i)}}{A^{(i+1)}}, \quad y_{p+1}^{(i)} = x_p^{(i)} \frac{A^{(i+1)}}{A^{(i)}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 3, \quad (4.9)$$

with upper indices taken $(\text{mod}4)$ and where

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(0)} &= a(x_p^{(1)} x_p^{(2)} x_p^{(3)} + y_p^{(0)} x_p^{(2)} x_p^{(3)} + y_p^{(0)} y_p^{(1)} x_p^{(3)} + y_p^{(0)} y_p^{(1)} y_p^{(2)}) + \prod_{i=0}^3 x_p^{(i)} y_p^{(i)}, \\ A^{(1)} &= A^{(0)} + (b - a)x_p^{(1)} x_p^{(2)} x_p^{(3)}, \quad A^{(2)} = A^{(1)} + (b - a)y_p^{(0)} x_p^{(2)} x_p^{(3)}, \\ A^{(3)} &= A^{(2)} + (b - a)y_p^{(0)} y_p^{(1)} x_p^{(3)}, \quad A^{(4)} = A^{(3)} + (b - a)y_p^{(0)} y_p^{(1)} y_p^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The map $R^{(2)}$ takes the form:

$$x_{p+1}^{(0)} = y_p^{(0)} \frac{A^{(2)}}{A^{(3)}}, \quad x_{p+1}^{(1)} = y_p^{(1)} \frac{A^{(0)}}{A^{(1)}}, \quad x_{p+1}^{(2)} = y_p^{(2)} \frac{A^{(3)}}{A^{(4)}}, \quad x_{p+1}^{(3)} = y_p^{(3)} \frac{A^{(1)}}{A^{(2)}}, \quad (4.10)$$

and $y_{p+1}^{(i)} = \frac{x_p^{(i)} y_p^{(i)}}{x_{p+1}^{(i)}}$, with upper indices taken $(\text{mod}4)$ and where

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(0)} &= a(x_p^{(0)} x_p^{(2)} x_p^{(3)} + x_p^{(0)} x_p^{(2)} y_p^{(1)} + x_p^{(2)} y_p^{(1)} y_p^{(3)} + y_p^{(0)} y_p^{(1)} y_p^{(3)}) + \prod_{i=0}^3 x_p^{(i)} y_p^{(i)}, \\ A^{(1)} &= A^{(0)} + (b - a)x_p^{(0)} x_p^{(2)} x_p^{(3)}, \quad A^{(2)} = A^{(1)} + (b - a)x_p^{(0)} x_p^{(2)} y_p^{(1)}, \\ A^{(3)} &= A^{(2)} + (b - a)x_p^{(2)} y_p^{(1)} y_p^{(3)}, \quad A^{(4)} = A^{(3)} + (b - a)y_p^{(0)} y_p^{(1)} y_p^{(3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.7 *Each δ introduces a new family of Yang-Baxter maps. The case $\delta = 3$ exists for all $N \geq 7$ and not a multiple of 3.*

4.3 The Quotient Potential Case and Symmetries

In [4] we introduced two potential forms of our equations (3.5). Here we briefly mention the “quotient potential”, leaving the “additive potential” to Section 5.

Equations (3.5a) hold identically if we set

$$u_{m,n}^{(i)} = \alpha \frac{\phi_{m+1,n}^{(i)}}{\phi_{m,n}^{(i+k_1)}}, \quad v_{m,n}^{(i)} = \beta \frac{\phi_{m,n+1}^{(i)}}{\phi_{m,n}^{(i+k_2)}}, \quad (4.11)$$

where $a = \alpha^N$, $b = \beta^N$. Equations (3.5b) then take the form

$$\alpha \left(\frac{\phi_{m+1,n+1}^{(i)}}{\phi_{m,n+1}^{(i+k_1)}} - \frac{\phi_{m+1,n}^{(i+\ell_2)}}{\phi_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_2+k_1)}} \right) = \beta \left(\frac{\phi_{m+1,n+1}^{(i)}}{\phi_{m+1,n}^{(i+k_2)}} - \frac{\phi_{m,n+1}^{(i+\ell_1)}}{\phi_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_1+k_2)}} \right), \quad (4.12)$$

where indices are taken (mod N).

These equations have a weighted scaling symmetry, whose invariants are given exactly by the formulae (4.11), leading us back to equations (3.5) and therefore to our previous Yang-Baxter maps.

5 The Additive Potential

Equations (3.5b) hold identically if we set

$$u_{m,n}^{(i)} = \chi_{m+1,n}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_1)}, \quad v_{m,n}^{(i)} = \chi_{m,n+1}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_2)}. \quad (5.1)$$

Equations (3.5a) then take the form

$$\frac{\left(\chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n+1}^{(i+\ell_1)} \right)}{\left(\chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(i)} - \chi_{m+1,n}^{(i+\ell_2)} \right)} = \frac{\left(\chi_{m+1,n}^{(i+k_2)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(i+k_2+\ell_1)} \right)}{\left(\chi_{m,n+1}^{(i+k_1)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(i+k_1+\ell_2)} \right)}, \quad (5.2)$$

and the first integrals (3.7) take the form

$$\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(\chi_{m+1,n}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_1)} \right) = a, \quad \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(\chi_{m,n+1}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(i+\ell_2)} \right) = b. \quad (5.3)$$

Remark 5.1 (Reduction) *It is not always possible to use these first integrals to explicitly reduce (5.2) to a system with $N - 1$ components (eliminating $\chi_{m,n}^{(N-1)}$), and even when this is possible the spectral problem (3.1) cannot be written in terms of the reduced variables.*

In [4] we showed that it is possible to explicitly reduce the system with $(k_i, \ell_i) = (0, 1)$, which takes the form

$$\frac{\left(\chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n+1}^{(i+1)} \right)}{\left(\chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(i)} - \chi_{m+1,n}^{(i+1)} \right)} = \frac{\left(\chi_{m+1,n}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(i+1)} \right)}{\left(\chi_{m,n+1}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(i+1)} \right)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 3, \quad (5.4a)$$

$$\chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(N-2)} = \chi_{m,n}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\chi_{m+1,n}^{(N-2)} - \chi_{m,n+1}^{(N-2)}} \left(\frac{a}{X} - \frac{b}{Y} \right), \quad (5.4b)$$

where $X = \prod_{j=0}^{N-3} (\chi_{m+1,n}^{(j)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(j+1)})$ and $Y = \prod_{j=0}^{N-3} (\chi_{m,n+1}^{(j)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(j+1)})$.

Remark 5.2 *This is a direct generalisation of equation H1 in the ABS classification [1].*

It is easy to see that the system (5.4) has the following pair of symmetry generators:

$$\mathbf{X}_t = \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \omega^{m+n+i} \partial_{\chi_{m,n}^{(i)}}, \quad (5.5a)$$

$$\mathbf{X}_s = \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \omega^{m+n+i} \chi_{m,n}^{(i)} \partial_{\chi_{m,n}^{(i)}}, \quad \omega \neq 1, \quad (5.5b)$$

where $\omega^N = 1$. It is therefore possible to write equations (5.4) in terms of the invariants of these symmetries. We can then reduce this form of the lattice equations to Yang-Baxter maps.

5.1 The Invariants of \mathbf{X}_t

It is straightforward to write a suitable “basis” for the invariants of \mathbf{X}_t . The formulae are more symmetric if we write “too many” invariants, which then satisfy some additional identities. We therefore define $4(N-1)$ invariants, satisfying $(N-1)$ identities. Furthermore, we make the reduction (2.5), so that we derive a map. Following [7], we denote these invariants by

$$x^{(i)} \equiv x_p^{(i)}, \quad y^{(i)} \equiv y_p^{(i)}, \quad u^{(i)} \equiv x_{p+1}^{(i)}, \quad v^{(i)} \equiv y_{p+1}^{(i)}, \quad \text{where } p = n - m, \quad (5.6)$$

corresponding to specific edges of the lattice square, as shown in Figure 1 and noting that the shifts $m \mapsto m-1$ and $n \mapsto n+1$ both correspond to $p \mapsto p+1$.




Figure 1: Invariants defined on edges

The $4(N-1)$ invariants:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(i)} &= \chi_{m+1,n}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(i+1)}, & i = 0, \dots, N-3, & \quad x^{(N-2)} = \chi_{m+1,n}^{(N-2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \chi_{m,n}^{(j)}, \\ y^{(i)} &= \chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(i)} - \chi_{m+1,n}^{(i+1)}, & i = 0, \dots, N-3, & \quad y^{(N-2)} = \chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(N-2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \chi_{m+1,n}^{(j)}, \\ u^{(i)} &= \chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n+1}^{(i+1)}, & i = 0, \dots, N-3, & \quad u^{(N-2)} = \chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(N-2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \chi_{m,n+1}^{(j)}, \\ v^{(i)} &= \chi_{m,n+1}^{(i)} - \chi_{m,n}^{(i+1)}, & i = 0, \dots, N-3, & \quad v^{(N-2)} = \chi_{m,n+1}^{(N-2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \chi_{m,n}^{(j)}, \end{aligned}$$

satisfy $(N - 1)$ identities:

$$x^{(i+1)} + y^{(i)} = u^{(i)} + v^{(i+1)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 3, \quad (5.7a)$$

$$y^{(N-2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} v^{(j)} = u^{(N-2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} x^{(j)}, \quad (5.7b)$$

and equations (5.4) take the form

$$u^{(i)}v^{(i)} = x^{(i)}y^{(i)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 3, \quad (5.7c)$$

$$u^{(N-2)} = \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} v^{(j)} + \frac{1}{x^{(N-2)} - v^{(N-2)}} \left(\frac{a}{\prod_{j=0}^{N-3} x^{(j)}} - \frac{b}{\prod_{j=0}^{N-3} v^{(j)}} \right). \quad (5.7d)$$

The Yang-Baxter map corresponds to the solution of equations (5.7) for $(u^{(i)}, v^{(i)})$. We do not have an explicit form of the solution in general, but for any given value of N , this can be found.

Remark 5.3 (The Case $N = 2$) We already remarked that for $N = 2$ the lattice equation is just $H1$ in the ABS classification [1]. Using the symmetry \mathbf{X}_t , with $\omega = -1$ leads to the Yang-Baxter map

$$u = y + \frac{a - b}{x - y}, \quad v = x + \frac{a - b}{x - y},$$

which is just F_V of the ABS classification of quadrirational maps [2] (the Adler map). Clearly, we may consider this whole family of maps as multi-component generalisations of F_V .

Example 5.4 (The Case $N = 3$) In this case, we find

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(0)} &= y^{(0)} + \frac{(a - b)y^{(0)}}{b - x^{(0)}y^{(0)}(x^{(0)} + x^{(1)} - y^{(1)})}, \\ u^{(1)} &= y^{(1)} + \frac{(b - a)y^{(0)}}{b - x^{(0)}y^{(0)}(x^{(0)} + x^{(1)} - y^{(1)})} + \frac{(b - a)x^{(0)}}{a - x^{(0)}y^{(0)}(x^{(0)} + x^{(1)} - y^{(1)})}, \\ v^{(0)} &= x^{(0)} + \frac{(b - a)x^{(0)}}{a - x^{(0)}y^{(0)}(x^{(0)} + x^{(1)} - y^{(1)})}, \\ v^{(1)} &= x^{(1)} + \frac{(b - a)y^{(0)}}{b - x^{(0)}y^{(0)}(x^{(0)} + x^{(1)} - y^{(1)})}. \end{aligned}$$

5.2 The Invariants of \mathbf{X}_s

Again we denote invariants as in (5.6) and Figure 1. The $4(N - 1)$ invariants:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(i)} &= \frac{\chi_{m+1,n}^{(i)}}{\chi_{m,n}^{(i+1)}}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 3, & x^{(N-2)} &= \chi_{m+1,n}^{(N-2)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} \chi_{m,n}^{(j)}, \\ y^{(i)} &= \frac{\chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(i)}}{\chi_{m+1,n}^{(i+1)}}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 3, & y^{(N-2)} &= \chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(N-2)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} \chi_{m+1,n}^{(j)}, \\ u^{(i)} &= \frac{\chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(i)}}{\chi_{m,n+1}^{(i+1)}}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 3, & u^{(N-2)} &= \chi_{m+1,n+1}^{(N-2)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} \chi_{m,n+1}^{(j)}, \\ v^{(i)} &= \frac{\chi_{m,n+1}^{(i)}}{\chi_{m,n}^{(i+1)}}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 3, & v^{(N-2)} &= \chi_{m,n+1}^{(N-2)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} \chi_{m,n}^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

satisfy $(N - 1)$ identities:

$$u^{(i)}v^{(i+1)} = x^{(i+1)}y^{(i)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 3, \quad (5.8a)$$

$$u^{(N-2)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} x^{(j)} = y^{(N-2)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} v^{(j)}, \quad (5.8b)$$

and equations (5.4) take the form

$$u^{(i)}v^{(i+1)} = \frac{(v^{(i)} - 1)v^{(i+1)} - (x^{(i)} - 1)x^{(i+1)}}{v^{(i)} - x^{(i)}}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 3, \quad (5.8c)$$

$$u^{(N-2)} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{x^{(N-2)} - v^{(N-2)}} \left(\frac{a}{X} - \frac{b}{Y} \right) \right) \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} v^{(j)}, \quad (5.8d)$$

where $X = \prod_{j=0}^{N-3} (x^{(j)} - 1)$, $Y = \prod_{j=0}^{N-3} (v^{(j)} - 1)$.

Remark 5.5 (The Case $N = 2$) *Again, since the lattice equation is just H1 in the ABS classification [1], the symmetry \mathbf{X}_s , with $\omega = -1$, leads to the Yang-Baxter map*

$$u = y \left(1 + \frac{a - b}{x - y} \right), \quad v = x \left(1 + \frac{a - b}{x - y} \right),$$

which is just F_{IV} of the ABS classification of quadrirational maps [2]. Clearly, we may consider this whole family of maps as multi-component generalisations of F_{IV} .

Example 5.6 (The Case $N = 3$) In this case, we first define

$$P_a = ax^{(0)} - (x^{(0)} - 1)(y^{(0)} - 1)(x^{(0)}x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}), \quad P_b = bx^{(0)} - (x^{(0)} - 1)(y^{(0)} - 1)(x^{(0)}x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}).$$

We then have the map

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(0)} &= y^{(0)} \left(1 - \frac{(a - b)x^{(0)}(y^{(0)} - 1)}{(y^{(0)} - 1)P_a - y^{(0)}P_b} \right), \\ u^{(1)} &= y^{(1)} \left(1 - (a - b) \left(\frac{(x^{(0)} - 1)y^{(0)}}{P_a} + \frac{(y^{(0)} - 1)}{P_b} \right) \right), \\ v^{(0)} &= x^{(0)} \left(1 - \frac{(a - b)(x^{(0)} - 1)}{P_a} \right), \\ v^{(1)} &= x^{(1)} \left(1 - \frac{(a - b)(y^{(0)} - 1)x^{(0)}}{P_b} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

PX acknowledges support from the EPSRC grant *Structure of partial difference equations with continuous symmetries and conservation laws*, EP/I038675/1, and an *Academic Development Fellowship* from the University of Leeds.

References

- [1] V.E. Adler, A.I. Bobenko, and Yu.B. Suris. Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. the consistency approach. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 233:513543, 2003.
- [2] V.E. Adler, A.I. Bobenko, and Yu.B. Suris. Geometry of Yang-Baxter maps: pencils of conics and quadrirational mappings. *Commun. Anal. Geom.*, 12:967–1007, 2004.
- [3] A. Doliwa. Non-commutative rational Yang-Baxter maps. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 104:299309, 2014.
- [4] A.P. Fordy and P. Xenitidis. \mathbb{Z}_N graded discrete Lax pairs and discrete integrable systems. 2014. preprint arXiv:1411.6059 [nlin.SI].
- [5] V.G. Papageorgiou, Yu.B. Suris, A.G. Tongas, and A.P. Veselov. On quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps. *SIGMA*, 6:003 (9 pages), 2010.
- [6] V.G. Papageorgiou and A.G. Tongas. Yang-Baxter maps associated to elliptic curves. 2009. preprint arXiv:0906.3258 [math.QA].
- [7] V.G. Papageorgiou, A.G. Tongas, and A.P. Veselov. Yang-Baxter maps and symmetries of integrable equations on quadgraphs. *J Math Phys*, 47:083502, 2006.
- [8] Yu. B. Suris and A.P. Veselov. Lax matrices for Yang-Baxter maps. *J. Nonlin. Math. Phys*, 10:223–30, 2003.
- [9] A.P. Veselov. Yang-Baxter maps and integrable dynamics. *Phys.Lett.A*, 314:214–221, 2003.