EXPLICIT COPRODUCT FORMULA FOR QUANTUM GROUP OF THE TYPE G_2

V.K. KHARCHENKO, C. VAY

ABSTRACT. We find a coproduct formula in the explicit form for PBW-generators of the two-parameter quantum group $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ where \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra of type G_2 . The similar formulas for quantizations of simple Lie algebras of infinite series are already known.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper, we establish an explicit coproduct formula for the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, where \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra of type G_2 . The explicit formulas related to the Weyl basis of simple Lie algebras of infinite series appear in [1, Lemma 6.5], [5, Lemma 3.5], [6, Theorem 4.3] and [7].

The Weyl basis of \mathfrak{g} of the type G_2 consists of the polynomials

$$(1.1) x_1, x_2, [x_1, x_2], [[x_1, x_2], x_2], [[[x_1, x_2], x_2], x_2], x_2], x_1], [[[[x_1, x_2], x_2], x_2], x_1],$$

that correspond to the positive roots

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2,$$

see [10, Chapter VI, §4] or [4, Chapter IV, §3, XVII]. These polynomials define some elements of $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ if the Lie operation is replaced with the skew brackets. The coproduct of all of them, except the last one, may be found by the general formula proved in Proposition 2.7:

$$\Delta([x_1 x_2^n]) = [x_1 x_2^n] \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k^{(n)} g_1 g_2^{n-k} x_2^k \otimes [x_1 x_2^{n-k}],$$

where

$$\alpha_k^{(n)} = {n \brack k}_{p_{22}} \cdot \prod_{s=n-k}^{n-1} (1 - p_{12} p_{21} p_{22}^s),$$

and p_{ij} are the quatization parameters that define the commutation rules between the group-like elements and the generators: $x_i g_j = p_{ij} g_j x_i$. This formula takes more elegant form if we consider proportional elements

$$\{x^n\} = \frac{x^n}{[n]_q!}, \quad \{xy^n\} = \frac{[\dots [[x, y], y], \dots, y]}{[n]_q! \cdot \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 - p_{12}p_{21}p_{22}^s)}$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16W30, 16W35; Secondary 17B37.

Key words and phrases. Quaum group, Coproduct, PBW-basis.

The authors was supported by PAPIIT IN 112913, UNAM, and PACIVE CONS-18, FES-C UNAM, México. This work was carried out in part during the visit of the second author to UNAM. He would like to thank the first author and Viqui Lara Sagahon for their worm hospitality and support.

For these elements, the coproduct obeys the following form:

$$\Delta(\{x_2^n\}) = \sum_{k=0}^n g_2^{n-k} \{x_2^k\} \otimes \{x_2^{n-k}\},$$
$$\Delta(\{x_1x_2^n\}) = \{x_1x_2^n\} \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n g_1g_2^{n-k} \{x_2^k\} \otimes \{x_1x_2^{n-k}\}.$$

Clearly, the above formulas make sense only if $[k]_q \neq 0$, $p_{12}p_{21} \neq q^{1-k}$, $q = p_{22}$ for all $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ because otherwise the elements $\{x_2^n\}$ and $\{x_1x_2^n\}$ are undefined. A similar formula is valid for the elements with opposite alignment of brackets

$$\Delta(\{x_2^n x_1\}) = \{x_2^n x_1\} \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n g_2^k \{x_2^{n-k} x_1\} \otimes \{x_2^k\},\$$

where by definition

$$\{x_2^n x_1\} = \frac{[x_2, [x_2, \dots [x_2, x_1] \dots]]}{[n]_q! \cdot \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 - p_{12} p_{21} p_{22}^s)}$$

The coproduct of the remaining in (1.1) element has no elegant form. Nevertheless, if we replace it with

$$\{x_1x_2^3x_1\} \stackrel{df}{=} p_{21}^2 \frac{q^3 + q^2}{1 - q^3} \{x_1x_2\} \{x_1x_2^2\} + p_{21}\frac{[4]_q - 2}{1 - q^3} \{x_1x_2^2\} \{x_1x_2\} + \{x_1x_2^3\}x_1,$$

then the ordered set

$$x_2 < \{x_1 x_2^3\} < \{x_1 x_2^2\} < \{x_1 x_2^3 x_1\} < \{x_1 x_2\} < x_1$$

forms a set of PBW generators of the algebra $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ over G (Prorosition 4.1), and a harmonic formula is valid (Theorem 4.2):

$$\Delta(\{x_1x_2^3x_1\}) = \{x_1x_2^3x_1\} \otimes 1 + g_1^2g_2^3 \otimes \{x_1x_2^3x_1\} + \sum_{k=0}^3 g_1g_2^k \{x_2^{3-k}x_1\} \otimes \{x_1x_2^k\}.$$

Of course, the set of PBW generators for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the union of that sets for positive and negative quantum Borel subalgebras. By this reason we consider only the positive quantum Borel subalgebra $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$.

2. Coproduct of Serre Polynomials

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a set of quantum variables; that is, associated with each x_i are an element g_i of a fixed Abelian group G and a character $\chi^i : G \to \mathbf{k}^*$. For every word w in X let g_w or $\operatorname{gr}(w)$ denote an element of G that appears from wby replacing each x_i with g_i . In the same way χ^w denotes a character that appears from w by replacing each x_i with χ^i .

Let $G\langle X \rangle$ denote the skew group algebra generated by G and $\mathbf{k}\langle X \rangle$ with the commutation rules $x_i g = \chi^i(g)gx_i$, or equivalently $wg = \chi^w(g)gw$, where w is an arbitrary word in X. If u, v are homogeneous polynomials in each $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, then the skew brackets are defined by the formula

$$[u,v] = uv - \chi^u(g_v)vu.$$

We use the notation $\chi^u(g_v) = p_{uv} = p(u, v)$. The form p(-, -) is bimultiplicative:

(2.2)
$$p(u,vt) = p(u,v)p(u,t), \quad p(ut,v) = p(u,v)p(t,v).$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

Coproduct formula

In particular p(-,-) is completely defined by n^2 parameters $p_{ij} = \chi^i(g_j)$.

The algebra $G\langle X \rangle$ has a Hopf algebra structure given by the comultiplications on the generators:

$$\Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + g_i \otimes x_i, \ 1 \le i \le n, \ \Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \ g \in G.$$

The so-called q-Serre (noncommutative) polynomials,

$$[\dots[x_i,\underbrace{x_j],x_j],\dots,x_j}_m \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} [x_i x_j^m], \quad 1 \le i \ne j \le n,$$

and

$$[\underbrace{x_j, [x_j, \dots [x_j], x_i] \dots]}_{m} \stackrel{df}{=} [x_j^m x_i], \quad 1 \le i \ne j \le n,$$

are important as the defining relations of the quantizations $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$. To find the

coproduct of that polynomials, we recall the notations of the q-combinatoric. If q is a fixed parameter, then $[n]_q = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1}$ and $[n]_q! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_q$. The Gauss polynomials are defined as q-binomial coefficients,

(2.3)
$${n \brack k}_{q} = \frac{[n]_{q}!}{[k]_{q}! \cdot [n-k]_{q}!},$$

that satisfy two q-Pascal identities

(2.4)
$$\begin{bmatrix} n+1\\k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} n\\k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q + q^k \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n\\k \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n+1\\k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} n\\k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \cdot q^{n-k+1} + \begin{bmatrix} n\\k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

If x and y are variables subject to the relation yx = qxy, then q-Neuton binomial formula is valid

(2.5)
$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \brack k}_q x^{n-k} y^k.$$

For example, if we put $x = g_2 \otimes x_2$, $y = x_2 \otimes 1$, then

$$yx = x_2g_2 \otimes x_2 = p_{22}g_2x_2 \otimes x_2 = qxy, \quad q = p_{22},$$

and the q-Neuton binomial formula implies

(2.6)
$$\Delta(x_2^n) = (x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \brack k}_q g_2^{n-k} x_2^k \otimes x_2^{n-k}.$$

Proposition 2.1. The following explicit coproduct formula is valid:

(2.7)
$$\Delta([x_1 x_2^n]) = [x_1 x_2^n] \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k^{(n)} g_1 g_2^{n-k} x_2^k \otimes [x_1 x_2^{n-k}],$$

where

(2.8)
$$\alpha_k^{(n)} = {n \brack k}_{p_{22}} \cdot \prod_{s=n-k}^{n-1} (1 - p_{12} p_{21} p_{22}^s).$$

Proof. We shall use induction on n. If n = 0, then the equality reduces to $\Delta(x_1) = x_1 \otimes 1 + g_1 \otimes x_1$, whereas $\alpha_0^{(0)} = 1$. Moreover, it is clear that $\alpha_0^{(n)} = 1$ for all n. We have.

(2.9)
$$\Delta([x_1x_2^n]) \cdot (x_2 \otimes 1) = [x_1x_2^n]x_2 \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k^{(n)} g_1 g_2^{n-k} x_2^{k+1} \otimes [x_1x_2^{n-k}],$$

$$(2.10) \ \Delta([x_1x_2^n]) \cdot (g_2 \otimes x_2) = [x_1x_2^n] \ g_2 \otimes x_2 + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k^{(n)} g_1 g_2^{n-k} \ x_2^k \ g_2 \otimes [x_1x_2^{n-k}] x_2,$$

$$(2.11) \quad (x_2 \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta([x_1 x_2^n]) = x_2[x_1 x_2^n] \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k^{(n)} x_2 g_1 g_2^{n-k} x_2^k \otimes [x_1 x_2^{n-k}],$$

$$(2.12) \ (g_2 \otimes x_2) \cdot \Delta([x_1 x_2^n]) = g_2[x_1 x_2^n] \otimes x_2 + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k^{(n)} g_1 g_2^{n-k+1} x_2^k \otimes x_2[x_1 x_2^{n-k}].$$

 $[x_1x_2^n]g_2 = p_{12}p_{22}^n g_2[x_1x_2^n], \ x_2^k g_2 = p_{22}^k g_2 x_2^k, \ x_2 g_1 g_2^{n-k} x_2^k = p_{21}p_{22}^{n-k} g_1 g_2^{n-k+1} x_2^{k+1}.$ Using all that relations, we develop the coproduct of

$$[x_1 x_2^{n+1}] = [x_1 x_2^n] x_2 - p_{12} p_{22}^n x_2 [x_1 x_2^n]$$

taking into account that $\Delta(x_2) = x_2 \otimes 1 + g_2 \otimes x_2$. The sums of (2.9) and (2.11) provide the tensors

$$[x_1 x_2^{n+1}] \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k^{(n)} (1 - p_{12} p_{21} p_{22}^{2n-k}) g_1 g_2^{n-k} x_2^{k+1} \otimes [x_1 x_2^{n-k}],$$

whereas the sums of (2.10) and (2.12) produce the following ones:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k^{(n)} p_{22}^k g_1 g_2^{n-k+1} x_2^k \otimes [x_1 x_2^{n-k+1}].$$

The first term of (2.10) cancels with the first term of (2.12). Finally, we arrive to the formula (2.7) with $n \leftarrow n+1$ and coefficients

(2.13)
$$\alpha_k^{(n+1)} = \alpha_{k-1}^{(n)} \left(1 - p_{12}p_{21}p_{22}^{2n-k+1}\right) + \alpha_k^{(n)} p_{22}^k, \quad k \ge 1, \quad \alpha_0^{(n+1)} = 1.$$

To prove the coproduct formula (2.7), it remains to check that values (2.8) satisfy the above recurrence relations.

To this end, we shall check the equality of the following two polynomials in commutative variables λ,q :

(2.14)
$$\binom{n+1}{k}_q \cdot (1-\lambda q^n) = \binom{n}{k-1}_q \cdot (1-\lambda q^{2n-k+1}) + \binom{n}{k}_q \cdot (1-\lambda q^{n-k}) \cdot q^k.$$

If $\lambda = 0$, then the equality reduces to the first q-Pascal identity (2.4). Let us compare the coefficients at λ ,

$${\binom{n+1}{k}}_q \cdot q^n = {\binom{n}{k-1}}_q \cdot q^{2n-k+1} + {\binom{n}{k}}_q \cdot q^{n-k} \cdot q^k.$$

This equality differs from the second q-Pascal identity (2.4) just by a common factor q^n . Hence, the equality (2.14) is valid.

If we multiply both sides of (2.14) by $\prod_{s=n-k+1}^{n-1}(1-\lambda q^s)$ and next replace the variables $q \leftarrow p_{22}, \lambda \leftarrow p_{12}p_{21}$, then we obtain precisely (2.13) for values (2.8).

One may illiminate all coeficients in these coproduct formulas replasing the elements by some scalar multiples of them. Let us put

(2.15)
$$\{x^n\} = \frac{x^n}{[n]_q!}, \quad \{xy^n\} = \frac{[xy^n]}{[n]_q! \cdot \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 - p_{12}p_{21}p_{22}^s)}$$

Then the coproduct formulas obey more elegant form:

(2.16)
$$\Delta(\{x_2^n\}) = \sum_{k=0}^n g_2^{n-k} \{x_2^k\} \otimes \{x_2^{n-k}\},$$

(2.17)
$$\Delta(\{x_1x_2^n\}) = \{x_1x_2^n\} \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n g_1g_2^{n-k} \{x_2^k\} \otimes \{x_1x_2^{n-k}\}.$$

Of course, the above formulas make sense only if $[k]_q \neq 0$, $p_{12}p_{21} \neq q^{1-k}$, $q = p_{22}$ for all $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ because otherwise the elements $\{x_2^n\}$ and $\{x_1x_2^n\}$ are undefined.

In perfect analogy one may develop a coproduct formula for the elements

$$[\underbrace{x_2, [x_2, \dots [x_2], x_1] \dots]]}_n \stackrel{df}{=} [x_2^n x_1]:$$

(2.18)
$$\Delta([x_2^n x_1]) = [x_2^n x_1] \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k^{(n)} g_2^k [x_2^{n-k} x_1] \otimes x_2^k$$

If we define

$$\{x_2^n x_1\} = \frac{[x_2^n x_1]}{[n]_q! \cdot \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 - p_{12} p_{21} p_{22}^s)};$$

then all coefficients desappear:

(2.19)
$$\Delta(\{x_2^n x_1\}) = \{x_2^n x_1\} \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=0}^n g_2^k \{x_2^{n-k} x_1\} \otimes \{x_2^k\}.$$

Below we show an alternative way how to develop these coproduct formulas using the shuffle representation.

3. Shuffle representation

The tensor space T(W) of the linear space W spand by the set of quantum variables $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ has a structure of a braided Hopf algebra Sh(W) with a braiding $\tau(u \otimes v) = p(v, u)^{-1}v \otimes u$. We shall denote the tensors $z_1 \otimes z_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes z_m$, $z_i \in X$ considered as elements of Sh(W) by $(z_1z_2\cdots z_n)$ and call them comonomials. By definition the product on T(W) is the so called *shuffle product*:

(3.1)
$$(u)(v) = \sum_{\substack{u=u_1\cdots u_\ell, v=v_1\cdots v_\ell\\1\le \ell\le \max\{\deg(u), \deg(v)\}}} (\prod_{i< j} p_{v_i u_j}^{-1}) (u_1v_1\cdots u_\ell v_\ell),$$

whereas the coproduct $\Delta^b: Sh(W) \to Sh(W) \underline{\otimes} Sh(W)$ is defined by

(3.2)
$$\Delta^{b}((u)) = \sum_{u=u_{1}u_{2}} (u_{1}) \otimes (u_{2})$$

The formula of the shuffle product is easier when one of the comonomials has the length one:

(3.3)
$$(w)(x_i) = \sum_{uv=w} p(x_i, v)^{-1} \cdot (ux_iv), \quad (x_i)(w) = \sum_{uv=w} p(u, x_i)^{-1} \cdot (ux_iv).$$

From this equality, we deduce that

(3.4)
$$[(w), (x_i)] = \sum_{uv=w} (p(x_i, v)^{-1} - p(v, x_i)) \cdot (ux_i v),$$

and

(3.5)
$$[(x_i), (w)] = \sum_{uv=w} (p(u, x_i)^{-1} - p(x_i, u)) \cdot (ux_i v).$$

The free algebra $\mathbf{k}\langle X \rangle$ considered as a subalgebra of $G\langle X \rangle$ becomes a braided Hopf algebra if we define a braided coproduct Δ^b as follows:

(3.6)
$$\Delta^{b}(u) = \sum_{(u)} u^{(1)} \operatorname{gr}(u^{(2)})^{-1} \underline{\otimes} u^{(2)}, \text{ where } \Delta(u) = \sum_{(u)} u^{(1)} \otimes u^{(2)}.$$

The map $\Omega : x_i \to (x_i)$ defines a homomorphism of the braided Hopf algebra $\mathbf{k}\langle X \rangle$ into the braided Hopf algebra Sh(W). If p_{ij} are algebraically independent parameters, then Ω is an isomorphism. Otherwise the kernel of Ω is the largest Hopf ideal in $\mathbf{k}\langle X \rangle^{(2)}$, where $\mathbf{k}\langle X \rangle^{(2)}$ is the ideal of $\mathbf{k}\langle X \rangle$, generated by $x_i x_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The image of Ω is the so-called Nichols algebra of the braided space W. See details in P. Schauenberg [11], M. Rosso [9], M. Takeuchi [12], D. Flores de Chela and J.A. Green [3], N. Andruskiewitsch, H.-J. Schneider [1].

The homomorphism Ω is extremely useful for calculating the coproduct due to (3.6) and (3.2). In this way, we may find alternative proof of the coproduct formulas (2.17) and (2.19).

Lemma 3.1. If $[n]_q! \neq 0$, then

$$\Omega(\{x_2^n\}) = q^{\frac{n(1-n)}{2}}(x_2^n), \quad q = p_{22}.$$

Otherwise $\Omega(x_2^n) = 0.$

Proof. By induction on n we may prove the explicit formula

(3.7)
$$\Omega(x_2^n) = [n]_{q^{-1}}!(x_2^n)$$

Indeed, if n = 1, then there is nothing to prove. Using (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x_2^{n+1}) &= [n]_{q^{-1}}!(x_2^n)(x_2) = [n]_{q^{-1}}!(1+q^{-1}+\dots+q^{-n})(x_2^{n+1}) = [n+1]_{q^{-1}}!(x_2^{n+1}). \\ \text{Because } [k]_{q^{-1}} &= q^{-(k-1)} \cdot [k]_q, \text{ and } -1-2-\dots-n = \frac{(n+1)(1-(n+1))}{2}, \text{ the lemma is proven.} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. If $[k]_q \neq 0$, $p_{12}p_{21} \neq q^{1-k}$, $q = p_{22}$, $1 \le k \le n$, then

$$\Omega(\{x_1x_2^n\}) = p_{21}^{-n} q^{\frac{n(1-n)}{2}}(x_2^n x_1),$$

and

$$\Omega(\{x_2^n x_1\}) = p_{12}^{-n} q^{\frac{n(1-n)}{2}}(x_1 x_2^n).$$

 $Otherwise \ \Omega([x_1x_2^n]) = \Omega([x_2^nx_1]) = 0.$

Proof. By induction on n we shall prove the explicit formulas

(3.8)
$$\Omega([x_1x_2^n]) = [n]_{q^{-1}}! \cdot p_{21}^{-n} \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 - p_{12}p_{21}q^s) \cdot (x_2^n x_1),$$

and

(3.9)
$$\Omega([x_2^n x_1]) = [n]_{q^{-1}}! \cdot p_{12}^{-n} \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 - p_{12} p_{21} q^s) \cdot (x_1 x_2^n).$$

 $\mathbf{6}$

If n = 0, the equalities are evident. Using (3.4), we have

$$[(x_2^n x_1), (x_2)] = \sum_{uv = x_2^n x_1} (p(x_2, v)^{-1} - p(v, x_2)) \cdot (ux_2v)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^n (p_{21}^{-1} q^{-k} - p_{12}q^k) \cdot (x_2^{n+1}x_1) = (p_{21}^{-1}[n]_{q^{-1}} - p_{12}[n]_q) \cdot (x_2^{n+1}x_1)$$
$$= (1 - p_{12}p_{21}q^n)p_{21}^{-1}[n]_{q^{-1}} \cdot (x_2^{n+1}x_1),$$

which completes the induction step. Similarly, using (3.5), we have

$$[(x_2), (x_1 x_2^n)] = \sum_{uv = x_1 x_2^n} (p(u, x_2)^{-1} - p(x_2, u)) \cdot (ux_2 v)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^n (p_{12}^{-1} q^{-k} - p_{21} q^k) \cdot (x_1 x_2^{n+1}) = (p_{12}^{-1} [n]_{q^{-1}} - p_{21} [n]_q) \cdot (x_1 x_2^{n+1})$$
$$= (1 - p_{12} p_{21} q^n) p_{12}^{-1} [n]_{q^{-1}} \cdot (x_1 x_2^{n+1}),$$

which proves the second equality. Since $[n]_{q^{-1}}! = q^{\frac{n(1-n)}{2}} \cdot [n]_q!$, the lemma is proven.

The coproduct formula (2.19) follows from the proven lemmas in the following way.

$$\begin{split} (\Omega \otimes \Omega) \Delta^{b}(\{x_{2}^{n}x_{1}\}) &= \Delta^{b}(\Omega(\{x_{2}^{n}x_{1}\})) = p_{12}^{-n}q^{\frac{n(1-n)}{2}}\Delta^{b}((x_{1}x_{2}^{n})) \\ &= p_{12}^{-n}q^{\frac{n(1-n)}{2}} \left(\underline{1}\underline{\otimes}(x_{1}x_{2}^{n}) + \sum_{k=0}^{n}(x_{1}x_{2}^{n-k})\underline{\otimes}(x_{2}^{k}) \right) \\ &= \underline{1}\underline{\otimes}\Omega\{x_{2}^{n}x_{1}\} + p_{12}^{-n}q^{\frac{n(1-n)}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}p_{12}^{n-k}q^{\frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2}}q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}\Omega\{x_{2}^{n-k}x_{1}\}\underline{\otimes}\Omega\{x_{2}^{k}\} = \\ &= (\Omega \otimes \Omega) \left(\underline{1}\underline{\otimes}\{x_{2}^{n}x_{1}\} + \sum_{k=0}^{n}p_{12}^{-k}q^{k(k-n)}\{x_{2}^{n-k}x_{1}\}\underline{\otimes}\{x_{2}^{k}\} \right). \end{split}$$

Considering that p_{ij} are algebraically independent parameters, we have

$$\Delta^{b}(\{x_{2}^{n}x_{1}\}) = 1 \underline{\otimes} \{x_{2}^{n}x_{1}\} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{12}^{-k} \cdot q^{k(k-n)} \cdot \{x_{2}^{n-k}x_{1}\} \underline{\otimes} \{x_{2}^{k}\}.$$

Due to (3.6), we may write $u^{(1)} = u_b^{(1)} \operatorname{gr}(u^{(2)})$ and $u^{(2)} = u_b^{(2)}$. We have $\operatorname{gr}(\{x_2^k\}) = g_2^k$, and $\{x_2^{n-k}x_1\}g_2^k = p_{12}^k \cdot q^{k(n-k)}g_2^k\{x_2^{n-k}x_1\}$. Hence the component $u^{(1)} \otimes u^{(2)}$ is precisely $g_2^k\{x_2^{n-k}x_1\} \otimes \{x_2^k\}$. Of course, if the proven formula is valid for free parameters, it remans valid for arbitrary parameters provided that $\{x_2^k\}$ and $\{x_2^{n-k}x_1\}$, $0 \le k \le n$ are defined.

Lemma 3.3. If $p_{11} = q^3$, $p_{22} = q$, $p_{12}p_{21} = q^{-3} \neq 1$, then in the shuffle algebra the following decomposition is valid:

$$(x_1x_2^3x_1) = p_{12}\frac{q^2+q}{1-q^3} \cdot (x_2x_1)(x_2^2x_1) + q^2p_{12}^2\frac{[4]_q-2}{1-q^3} \cdot (x_2^2x_1)(x_2x_1) + q^3p_{12}^3 \cdot (x_2^3x_1)(x_1)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} (x_2x_1)(\underline{x_2x_2x_1}) &= \\ (x_2x_1\underline{x_2x_2x_1}) + p_{21}^{-1}(x_2\underline{x_2}x_1\underline{x_2x_1}) + p_{21}^{-2}(x_2\underline{x_2x_2}x_1\underline{x_1}) + p_{21}^{-2}p_{11}^{-1}(x_2\underline{x_2x_2x_1}x_1) \\ &+ p_{21}^{-1}p_{22}^{-1}(\underline{x_2x_2x_1}\underline{x_2x_1}) + p_{21}^{-2}p_{22}^{-1}(\underline{x_2x_2}\underline{x_2x_1}\underline{x_1}) + p_{21}^{-1}p_{11}^{-1}p_{22}^{-1}(\underline{x_2}x_2\underline{x_2x_1}x_1) \\ &+ p_{21}^{-2}p_{22}^{-2}(\underline{x_2x_2}x_2\underline{x_1x_1}) + p_{21}^{-2}p_{11}^{-1}p_{22}^{-2}(\underline{x_2x_2}\underline{x_2x_1}\underline{x_1}) + p_{21}^{-2}p_{11}^{-1}p_{22}^{-1}(\underline{x_2}\underline{x_2x_1}\underline{x_1}) \\ &+ p_{21}^{-2}p_{11}^{-1}p_{22}^{-2}p_{12}^{-1}(\underline{x_2x_2x_1}\underline{x_2x_1}) \\ &= (x_2x_1x_2^2x_1) + p_{21}^{-1}(1 + p_{22}^{-1} + p_{22}^{-2})(x_2^2x_1x_2x_1) \\ &+ p_{21}^{-2}(1 + p_{22}^{-1} + p_{22}^{-2})(1 + p_{11}^{-1})(x_2^3x_1^2). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{x_2x_2x_1})(x_2x_1) &= \\ (\underline{x_2x_2x_1})(x_2x_1) + p_{21}^{-1}(\underline{x_2x_2x_2x_2x_1x_1}) + p_{21}^{-1}p_{22}^{-1}(\underline{x_2x_2x_2x_1x_1}) + p_{21}^{-1}p_{22}^{-2}(x_2\underline{x_2x_2x_1x_1}) \\ + p_{21}^{-1}p_{11}^{-1}(\underline{x_2x_2x_2x_1x_1}) + p_{21}^{-1}p_{22}^{-1}p_{11}^{-1}(\underline{x_2x_2x_2x_1x_1}) + p_{21}^{-1}p_{22}^{-2}p_{11}^{-1}(x_2\underline{x_2x_2x_1x_1}) \\ + p_{21}^{-1}p_{22}^{-1}p_{11}^{-1}p_{12}^{-1}(\underline{x_2x_2x_1x_2x_1}) + p_{21}^{-1}p_{22}^{-2}p_{11}^{-1}p_{12}^{-1}(x_2\underline{x_2x_1x_2x_1}) \\ + p_{21}^{-1}p_{22}^{-1}p_{11}^{-1}p_{12}^{-2}(x_2x_1\underline{x_2x_2x_1}) \\ + p_{21}^{-1}p_{22}^{-1}p_{11}^{-1}p_{12}^{-2}(x_2x_1\underline{x_2x_2x_1}) \\ = p_{12}^{-1}p_{22}^{-2}(x_2x_1x_2x_1) + (1 + p_{22}^{-1} + p_{22}^{-2})(x_2^2x_1x_2x_1) \\ + p_{21}^{-1}(1 + p_{21}^{-1} + p_{22}^{-2})(x_1 + p_{11}^{-1})(x_2^3x_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

$$(x_2x_2x_2x_1)(x_1) = p_{11}p_{12}(x_2x_1x_2x_1) + p_{11}p_{12}(x_2x_1x_2x_1) + (1 + p_{11}^{-1})(x_2^3x_1^2) + p_{11}^{-1}p_{12}^{-3}(x_1x_2^3x_1).$$

Taking into account relations $p_{11} = q^3$, $p_{22} = q$, $p_{21} = q^{-3}p_{12}^{-1}$, $1 + p_{22}^{-1} + p_{22}^{-2} = q^{-2}[3]_q$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x_2x_1)(x_2^2x_1) &= (x_2x_1x_2^2x_1) + q[3]_q p_{12}(x_2^2x_1x_2x_1) + (q^3 + 1)q[3]_q p_{12}^2(x_2^3x_1^2) \\ (x_2^2x_1)(x_2x_1) &= q^{-2}p_{12}^{-1}(x_2x_1x_2^2x_1) + q^{-2}[3]_q(x_2^2x_1x_2x_1) + (q^3 + 1)q^{-2}[3]_q p_{12}(x_2^3x_1^2) \\ (x_2^3x_1)(x_1) - q^{-3}p_{12}^{-3}(x_1x_2^3x_1) &= \\ &= q^{-3}p_{12}^{-2}(x_2x_1x_2^2x_1) + q^{-3}p_{12}^{-1}(x_2^2x_1x_2x_1) + (q^3 + 1)q^{-3}(x_2^3x_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

The determinant of the 3×3 matrix of the right-hand side coefficients is zero because the last two columns are proportional with respect to the factor $(q^3+1)p_{12}$. Therefore, the shuffles from the left-hand side are linearly dependent. In which case the minors

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & q[3]_q p_{12} \\ q^{-2} p_{12}^{-1} & q^{-2}[3]_q \end{vmatrix} = (q^{-2} - q^{-1})[3]_q; \quad \begin{vmatrix} q^{-2} p_{12}^{-1} & q^{-2}[3]_q \\ q^{-3} p_{12}^{-2} & q^{-3} p_{12}^{-1} \end{vmatrix} = -q^{-4}(1+q)p_{12}^{-2}$$

are nonzero; that is, the first two shuffles are linearly independent as well as the second one and the last one are. Thus, the last left-hand side shuffle is a linear combination of the first and second ones. Of course, it is easy to find the explicit values of the coefficients α and β resolving the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \alpha &+ q^{-2} p_{12}^{-1} \beta &= q^{-3} p_{12}^{-2} \\ q[3]_q p_{12} \alpha &+ q^{-2} [3]_q \beta &= q^{-3} p_{12}^{-1}, \\ \alpha &= -q^{-2} p_{12}^{-2} \frac{q+1}{1-q^3}; \quad \beta = q^{-1} p_{12}^{-1} \frac{2-[4]_q}{1-q^3}. \end{cases}$$

8

To find the coefficients of the required decomposition, it remains to multiply α and β by $-q^3 p_{12}^3$.

4. Coproduct formula

By definition the quantum Borel algebra $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ related to the simple Lie algebra of type G_2 is a homomorphic image of $G\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ subject to quantum Serre relations

$$[x_1 x_2^4] = 0, \quad [x_1^2 x_2] = 0$$

provided that the parameters of quantization satisfy

$$p_{11} = p_{12}^{-1} p_{21}^{-1} = p_{22}^3 \neq 1, \quad p_{22} \neq -1.$$

Of course, this is a two-parameter family of Hopf algebras. As above, we put $q = p_{22}$. Coproduct formulas (2.7) with n = 4 and (2.18) with n = 2 imply that the defining relations are skew-primitive polynomials in $G\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$. Therefore $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ keeps the Hopf algebra structure.

The subalgebra A of $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by x_1, x_2 has a structure of braided Hopf algebra if we define the braided coproduct by the same relation (3.6). Equation (3.8) with n = 4 and equation (3.9) with n = 2 show that $\Omega([x_1x_2^4]) = 0$ and $\Omega([x_1^2x_2]) = 0$. Therefore the homomorphism Ω induces a homomorphism of braided Hopf algebras

$$\bar{\Omega}: A \to Sh(W).$$

It is well-known that if q is not a root of unity, then this is an isomorphism. We define a new element of A as follows:

$$\{x_1x_2^3x_1\} \stackrel{df}{=} p_{21}^2 \frac{q^3 + q^2}{1 - q^3} \{x_1x_2\} \{x_1x_2^2\} + p_{21}\frac{[4]_q - 2}{1 - q^3} \{x_1x_2^2\} \{x_1x_2\} + \{x_1x_2^3\}x_1.$$

Proposition 4.1. The ordered set

$$x_2 < \{x_1 x_2^3\} < \{x_1 x_2^2\} < \{x_1 x_2^3 x_1\} < \{x_1 x_2\} < x_1$$

forms a set of PBW generators of the algebra $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ over G.

Proof. In [8] and independently in [2], it is shown that the ordered set

$$x_2 < [x_1 x_2^3] < [x_1 x_2^2] < [[x_1 x_2], [x_1 x_2^2]] < [x_1 x_2] < x_1$$

forms a set of PBW generators of the algebra $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ over G. Of course, this implies that

$$x_2 < \{x_1 x_2^3\} < \{x_1 x_2^2\} < [\{x_1 x_2\}, \{x_1 x_2^2\}] < \{x_1 x_2\} < x_1$$

is also a set of PBW generators. By definition the element $\{x_1x_2^3x_1\}$ has the form

$$\{x_1x_2^3x_1\} = \alpha\{x_1x_2\}\{x_1x_2^2\} + \beta\{x_1x_2^2\}\{x_1x_2\} + \{x_1x_2^3\}x_1,$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$. Using evident formula uv = [u, v] + p(u, v)vu, we obtain the decomposition of $\{x_1x_2^3x_1\}$ in the above PBW basis:

$$\alpha[\{x_1x_2\}, \{x_1x_2^2\}] + \gamma\{x_1x_2^2\}\{x_1x_2\} + \{x_1x_2^3\}x_1.$$

In this decomposition $[\{x_1x_2\}, \{x_1x_2^2\}]$ is the leading therm. Since $\alpha \neq 0$, it follows that in the set of PBW generators we may replace $[\{x_1x_2\}, \{x_1x_2^2\}]$ with $\{x_1x_2^3x_1\}$.

Theorem 4.2. The following coproduct formula is valid

$$\Delta(\{x_1x_2^3x_1\}) = \{x_1x_2^3x_1\} \otimes 1 + g_1^2g_2^3 \otimes \{x_1x_2^3x_1\} + \sum_{k=0}^3 g_1g_2^k \{x_2^{3-k}x_1\} \otimes \{x_1x_2^k\}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\bar{\Omega}(\{x_1x_2\}) = p_{21}^{-1}(x_2x_1), \ \bar{\Omega}(\{x_1x_2^2\}) = p_{21}^{-2}q^{-1}(x_2^2x_1), \ \bar{\Omega}(\{x_1x_2^3\}) = p_{21}^{-3}q^{-3}(x_2^3x_1)$$

Using these equalities and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\bar{\Omega}(\{x_1x_2^3x_1\}) = p_{21}^2 \frac{q^3 + q^2}{1 - q^3} \cdot p_{21}^{-1} \cdot p_{21}^{-2} q^{-1}(x_2x_1)(x_2^2x_1)$$

$$+p_{21}\frac{[4]_q-2}{1-q^3}\cdot p_{21}^{-1}\cdot p_{21}^{-2}q^{-1}(x_2^2x_1)(x_2x_1)+p_{21}^{-3}q^{-3}(x_2^3x_1)(x_1)=q^3(x_1x_2^3x_1)$$

because $p_{21}^{-1}q^{-1} = q^3 \cdot p_{12}, \ p_{21}^{-2}q^{-1} = q^3 \cdot q^2 p_{12}^2$, and $p_{21}^{-3}q^{-3} = q^3 \cdot q^3 p_{12}^3$. We have,

$$(\Omega \underline{\otimes} \Omega) \Delta^{o}(\{x_{1}x_{2}^{3}x_{1}\}) = \Delta^{o}(\Omega(\{x_{1}x_{2}^{3}x_{1}\})) = \Delta^{o}(q^{3}(x_{1}x_{2}^{3}x_{1}))$$
$$= q^{3}(x_{1}x_{2}^{3}x_{1})\underline{\otimes} 1 + 1\underline{\otimes} q^{3}(x_{1}x_{2}^{3}x_{1}) + \sum_{k=0}^{3} q^{3}(x_{1}x_{2}^{3-k}) \otimes (x_{2}^{k}x_{1}).$$

Lemma 3.2 implies

$$(x_1 x_2^{3-k}) = p_{12}^{3-k} q^{\frac{(3-k)(3-k-1)}{2}} \bar{\Omega}(\{x_2^{3-k} x_1\}), \quad (x_2^k x_1) = p_{21}^k q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \bar{\Omega}(\{x_1 x_2^k\}).$$

By this reason, the tensor under the sum equals

$$p_{12}^{3-k}p_{21}^kq^{6-3k+k^2}\cdot\bar{\Omega}\underline{\otimes}\bar{\Omega}(\{x_2^{3-k}x_1\}\underline{\otimes}\{x_1x_2^k\}).$$

If q is a free parameter, then $\overline{\Omega}$ is an isomorphism, and in A, we have

$$\Delta^{b}(\{x_{1}x_{2}^{3}x_{1}\}) = \{x_{1}x_{2}^{3}x_{1}\} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \{x_{1}x_{2}^{3}x_{1}\} + \sum_{k=0}^{3} \tau_{k}g_{1}g_{2}^{k}\{x_{2}^{3-k}x_{1}\} \otimes \{x_{1}x_{2}^{k}\},$$

where $\tau_k = p_{12}^{3-k} p_{21}^k q^{6-3k+k^2}$. Due to (3.6), we may write $u^{(1)} = u_b^{(1)} \operatorname{gr}(u^{(2)})$ and $u^{(2)} = u_b^{(2)}$. Since $\operatorname{gr}(\{x_1 x_2^k\}) = g_1 g_2^k$, and

$$\{x_2^{3-k}x_1\}g_1g_2^k = \mu_k \cdot g_1g_2^k\{x_2^{3-k}x_1\}$$

with $\mu_k = p_{11} p_{12}^k p_{21}^{3-k} p_{22}^{(3-k)k}$, it follows that the component $u^{(1)} \otimes u^{(2)}$ equals $\tau_k \mu_k g_1 g_2^k \{x_2^{3-k} x_1\} \otimes \{x_1 x_2^k\}$. It remains to check that $\tau_k \mu_k = 1$:

$$p_{12}^{3-k}p_{21}^{k}q^{6-3k+k^{2}} \cdot q^{3}p_{12}^{k}p_{21}^{3-k}q^{(3-k)k} = q^{9} \cdot (p_{12}p_{21})^{3-k}(p_{21}p_{12})^{k} = q^{9}q^{-3(3-k)}q^{-3k} = 1$$

Coproduct formula

References

- N. Andruskiewitsch, H.-J. Schneider, Pointed Hopf algebras, in: S. Montgomery, H.-J. Schneider (Eds.) New Directions in Hopf Algebras, MSRI Publications, 43(2002), 1–68.
- [2] I. Angiono, Nichols algebras with standard braiding, Alg. and Number Theory 3 Vol.1 (2009), 35–106.
- [3] D. Flores de Chela, J.A. Green, On quantum symmetric algebra, Algebra and Representation Theory, 4, N1(2001), 55–76.
- [4] N. Jacobson, Lie Algebras, Interscience Publishers, New York London, 1962.
- [5] V.K. Kharchenko, A. A. Alvarez, On the combinatorial rank of Hopf algebras, Contemporary Mathematics, v. 376(2005), 299–308.
- [6] V.K. Kharchenko, Right coideal subalgebras of U⁺_q(so_{2n+1}), Journal of European Mathematical Society, 13(2011), 1677–1735.
- [7] V.K. Kharchenko, Explicit coproduct formula for quantum groups of infinite series, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 208(2015), arXiv: 1411.0747.
- [8] B. Pogorelsky, Right coideal subalgebras of the quantum Borel subalgebra of type G_2 , Journal of Algebra, 322(2009), 2335-2354.
- [9] M. Rosso, Quantum groups and quantum Shuffles, Invent. Math. v.113, N2(1998), 399-416.
- [10] J.-P. Serre, Algêbres de Lie semi-simples complexes, New York—Amsterdam, Benjamin, 1966.
- [11] P. Schauenberg, A characterization of the Borel-like subalgebras of quantum enveloping algebras, Comm. Algebra, v. 24, N9(1996), 2811–2823.
- [12] M. Takeuchi, Survey of braided Hopf algebras, in: New Trends in Hopf Algebra Theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 267, AMS, Providence RI, 2000, pp. 301-324.

UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, FACULTAD DE ESTUDIOS SUPERIORES CUAU-TITLÁN, PRIMERO DE MAYO S/N, CAMPO 1, CIT, CUAUTITLAN IZCALLI, EDSTADO DE MÉXICO, 54768, MEXICO.

FAMAF-CIEM (CONICET), UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, MEDINA ALLEGRE S/N, CUIDAD UNIVERSITARIA, 5000 CÓRDOBA, REPÚBLICA ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: vlad@unam.mx; vay@famaf.unc.edu.ar