

Positive Operator Valued Measures and Feller Markov Kernels

R. Beneduci^a

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria
and*

*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare gruppo c. Cosenza,
via P. Bucci cubo 30-B, 87036 Arcavacata di Rende (Cosenza) Italy*

^a*roberto.beneduci@unical.it*

Abstract

A Positive Operator Valued Measure (POVM) is a map $F : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_s^+(\mathcal{H})$ from the Borel σ -algebra of a topological space X to the space of positive self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We assume X to be Hausdorff, locally compact and second countable and prove that a POVM F is commutative if and only if it is the smearing of a spectral measure E by means of a Feller Markov kernel. Moreover, we prove that the smearing can be realized by means of a strong Feller Markov kernel if and only if F is uniformly continuous. Finally, we prove that a POVM which is norm bounded by a finite measure ν admits a strong Feller Markov kernel.

That provides a characterization of the smearing which connects a commutative POVM F to a spectral measure E and is relevant both from the mathematical and the physical viewpoint since smearings of spectral measures form a large and very relevant subclass of POVMs: they are paradigmatic for the modeling of certain standard forms of noise in quantum measurements, they provide optimal approximators as marginals in joint measurements of incompatible observables [21], they are important for a range of quantum information processing protocols, where classical post-processing plays a role [30].

The mathematical and physical relevance of the results is discussed and particular emphasis is given to the connections between the Markov kernel and the imprecision of the measurement process.

Keywords: Positive Operator Valued Measures, Feller Markov kernels, C^* -algebras, von Neumann algebras, Quantum observables, Quantum Measurement

1. Introduction

A Positive operator Valued measure (or POVM) is a map $F : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_s^+(\mathcal{H})$ from the Borel σ -algebra of a topological space X to the space of positive self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . In the present paper we assume X to be Hausdorff, locally compact and second countable. If $F(\Delta)$ is a projection operator for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, F is called Projection Valued measure (or PVM). If $X = \mathbb{R}$ we have real POVMs (or semispectral measures) and real PVMs (or spectral measures) respectively. Therefore, the set of PVMs is a subset of the set of POVMs and the set of spectral measures is a subset of the set of semispectral measures. Moreover, spectral measures are in one-to-one correspondence with self-adjoint operators (spectral theorem) and are used in standard quantum mechanics to represent quantum observables. It was pointed out [1, 20, 23, 32, 39, 40] that POVMs are more suitable than spectral measures in representing quantum observables. The quantum observables described by POVMs are called generalized observables or unsharp observables and play a key role in quantum information theory, quantum optics, quantum estimation theory [20, 28, 32, 41] and in the phase space formulation of quantum mechanics [39, 41, 26, 23, 14, 15]. It is then natural to ask what are the relationships between POVMs and spectral measures. A clear answer can be given in the commutative case [1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 31, 33]. Indeed [6, 33], a POVM F is commutative if and only if there exist a bounded self-adjoint operator A and a Markov kernel (transition probability) $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\cdot) : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$F(\Delta) = \int_{\sigma(A)} \mu_{\Delta}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda}$$

where, E is the spectral measure corresponding to A . In other words, F is a smearing of the spectral measure E corresponding to A .

Smearings of spectral measures form a large and very relevant subclass of POVMs and are paradigmatic for the modeling of certain standard forms of noise in measurements. They also provide optimal approximators as marginals in joint measurements of incompatible observables (for example, for position and momentum) as shown by Busch, Lahti, Werner in Ref. [21]. Moreover, they are important for a range of quantum information processing protocols, where classical post-processing plays a role [30]. Another relevant application of commutative POVMs is the smearing of incompatible observables in order to get compatible observables (see [22, 16]). All that explains the relevance of commutative POVMs

both from the mathematical and the physical viewpoint. As a notable example we analyze (section 6.1) the unsharp position and momentum observables which are the marginals of a joint position momentum observable (see [40, 20, 21]).

Although, it is well known that F can be interpreted as the smearing of E , no characterization of the smearing (the Markov kernel) is known. In the present paper such a characterization is given and its mathematical and physical implications are analyzed. That also provides a stronger characterization of commutative POVMs by means of Feller Markov kernels.

In order to outline some of the problems we deal with, it is helpful to consider the unsharp position observable in the interval $[0, 1]$. It can be represented as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, Q^f(\Delta) \psi \rangle &:= \int_{[0,1]} \mu_\Delta(\lambda) d\langle \psi, Q_\lambda \psi \rangle, \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \psi \in L^2([0, 1]), \quad (1) \\ \mu_\Delta(\lambda) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_\Delta(\lambda - y) f(y) dy, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

where, f is a positive, bounded, Borel function such that $f(y) = 0$, $y \notin [0, 1]$, and $\int_{[0,1]} f(y) dy = 1$, while Q_λ is the spectral measure corresponding to the position operator

$$\begin{aligned} Q : L^2([0, 1]) &\rightarrow L^2([0, 1]) \\ (Q\psi)(x) &:= x\psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $x \in [0, 1]$. We recall that $\langle \psi, Q(\Delta) \psi \rangle$ is interpreted as the probability that a perfectly accurate measurement (sharp measurement) of the position gives a result in Δ . Then, a possible interpretation of equation (1) is that Q^f is a randomization of Q . Indeed [39], the outcomes of the measurement of the position of a particle depend on the measurement imprecision¹ so that, if the sharp value of the outcome of the measurement of Q is λ then the apparatus produces with probability $\mu_\Delta(\lambda)$ a reading in Δ .

It is worth noting that (see example 5.7 in section 5) the Markov kernel

$$\mu_\Delta(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_\Delta(\lambda - y) f(y) dy, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

in equation (1) above is such that the function $\lambda \mapsto \mu_\Delta(\lambda)$ is continuous for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. The continuity of μ_Δ means that if two sharp values λ and λ' are very

¹There are other possible interpretations of the randomization. For example, it could be due to the existence of a no-detection probability depending on hidden variables [25].

close to each other then, the corresponding random diffusions are very similar, i.e., the probability to get a result in Δ if the sharp value is λ is very close to the probability to get a result in Δ if the sharp value is λ' . That is quite common in important physical applications and seems to be reasonable from the physical viewpoint. It is then natural to look for general conditions which ensure the continuity of $\lambda \mapsto \mu_\Delta(\lambda)$. That is one of the aims of the present work. What we prove is that, in general, the continuity does not hold for all the Borel sets Δ but only for a ring of subsets which generates the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$. (Anyway, that is sufficient to prove the weak convergence of $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\lambda)$ to $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\lambda')$.) We also prove that the continuity for each Borel set is equivalent to the uniform continuity of F which in its turn is equivalent to require that the smearing in equation (1) can be realized by a strong Feller Markov kernel.

It is our opinion that, in the real case, the continuity of μ_Δ over a ring \mathcal{R} which generates the Borel σ -algebra of the reals could be helpful in dealing with problems connected to the characterization of functions of the kind

$$G_f(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mu_{dt}(\lambda).$$

A similar (but less general) problem arises in Ref. [11] where the relationships between Naimark extension theorem and the characterization of commutative POVMs as smearing of spectral measures are analyzed. That is a second motivation for the analysis of the continuity properties of μ_Δ .

The results outlined above are a consequence of the two main theorems of the present work.

The first is a characterization of the smearing which connects a commutative POVM to a real PVM. In particular, we show (see theorems 4.3) that a POVM is commutative if and only if there exist a spectral measure E and a Feller Markov kernel $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\cdot) : \Gamma \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\Gamma \subset \sigma(A)$, $E(\Gamma) = \mathbf{1}$, such that

$$F(\Delta) = \int_{\Gamma} \mu_\Delta(\lambda) dE_\lambda \quad (2)$$

and $\mu_\Delta(\cdot)$ is continuous for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}$ where, $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a ring which generates the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and A is the self-adjoint operator corresponding to E . Therefore, F is commutative if and only if there exists a Feller Markov kernel μ such that equation (2) is satisfied. See section 4 for the definition of Feller Markov kernel. That provides a new and stronger characterization of commutative POVMs.

We also prove that the family of functions $\{\mu_\Delta\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)}$ separates the points of $\sigma(A)$ up to a null set (see theorems 3.1, and 4.3). In other words, the probability measures $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\lambda)$ and $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\lambda')$ which represent the randomizations corresponding to the sharp values λ and λ' , $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, are different.

The second theorem is a characterization of the POVMs which admit a strong Feller Markov kernel, i.e., a Markov kernel μ such that the function $\lambda \mapsto \mu_\Delta(\lambda)$ is continuous for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. In particular, we prove (see theorem 5.6) that a POVM F admits a strong Feller Markov kernel if and only if it is uniformly continuous. As an example, we develop the details for the unsharp position observable defined in equation (1) above. Finally, we prove (see section 6) that a POVM F which is norm bounded by a regular finite measure ν is uniformly continuous (theorem 6.2). We give some examples of POVMs that are norm bounded by regular measures (see example 6.4) and analyze the unsharp position observable which is obtained as the marginal of a phase space observable (see section 6.1).

2. Some preliminaries about POVMs

In what follows, we denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the Borel σ -algebra of a topological space X , by $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ the null and the identity operators, by $\mathcal{L}_s(\mathcal{H})$ the space of all bounded self-adjoint linear operators acting in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, by $\mathcal{L}_s^+(\mathcal{H})$ the subspace of all positive, bounded self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{H} , by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{L}_s^+(\mathcal{H})$ the subspace of all projection operators on \mathcal{H} . We use the symbol $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$ to denote the algebra of continuous functions on Λ .

Definition 2.1. A Positive Operator Valued measure (for short, POVM) is a map $F : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_s^+(\mathcal{H})$ such that:

$$F\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(\Delta_n).$$

where, $\{\Delta_n\}$ is a countable family of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and the series converges in the weak operator topology. It is said to be normalized if

$$F(X) = \mathbf{1}$$

Definition 2.2. A POVM is said to be commutative if

$$[F(\Delta_1), F(\Delta_2)] = \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X). \quad (3)$$

Definition 2.3. A POVM is said to be orthogonal if

$$F(\Delta_1)F(\Delta_2) = \mathbf{0} \text{ if } \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset. \quad (4)$$

Definition 2.4. A Projection Valued measure (for short, PVM) is an orthogonal, normalized POVM.

It is simple to see that for a PVM E , we have $E(\Delta) = E(\Delta)^2$, for any $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then, $E(\Delta)$ is a projection operator for every $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, and the PVM is a map $E : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$.

In quantum mechanics, non-orthogonal normalized POVMs are also called **generalised** or **unsharp** observables and PVMs **standard** or **sharp** observables.

Definition 2.5. The spectrum $\sigma(F)$ of a POVM F is the set of points $x \in X$ such that $F(\Delta) \neq \mathbf{0}$, for any open set Δ containing x .

The spectrum $\sigma(F)$ of a POVM F is a closed set since its complement $X - \sigma(F)$ is the union of all the open sets $\Delta \subset X$ such that $F(\Delta) = \mathbf{0}$.

A spectral measure is a real PVM, i.e., a PVM E such that $\sigma(E) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.6. The von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}^W(F)$ generated by the POVM F is the von Neumann algebra generated by the set $\{F(\Delta)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)}$.

In the following we use the symbols $w - \lim$ and $u - \lim$ to denote the limit in the weak operator topology and the limit in the uniform operator topology respectively.

Definition 2.7. A POVM is regular if for every $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\Delta) &= GLB\{F(G) : \Delta \subset G, G \in \mathcal{B}(X), G \text{ open}\} \\ F(\Delta) &= LUB\{F(C) : C \subset \Delta, C \in \mathcal{B}(X), C \text{ compact}\} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.8. A POVM defined on a Hausdorff locally compact, second countable space X is regular.

Proof. Since X is metrizable and σ -compact, the ring of Borel sets coincides with the ring of Baire sets and the thesis comes from the fact that each Baire POVM is regular [18].

□

In what follows, we use the term “measurable” for the Borel measurable functions. For any vector $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ the map

$$\langle F(\cdot)\psi, \psi \rangle : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \Delta \mapsto \langle F(\Delta)\psi, \psi \rangle,$$

is a measure. In the following we will use the symbol $d\langle F_\lambda \psi, \psi \rangle$ to mean integration with respect to the measure $\langle F(\cdot)\psi, \psi \rangle$. We shall say that a measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is almost everywhere (a.e.) one-to-one with respect to a POVM F if it is one-to-one on a subset $N \subset X$ such that $X - N$ is a null set with respect to F . We shall say that a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded with respect to a POVM F , if it is equal to a bounded function g a.e. with respect to F , that is, if $f = g$ a.e. with respect to the measure $\langle F(\cdot)\psi, \psi \rangle$, $\forall \psi \in \mathcal{H}$. For any real, bounded and measurable function f and for any POVM F , there is a unique [18] bounded self-adjoint operator $B \in \mathcal{L}_s(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\langle B\psi, \psi \rangle = \int_X f(\lambda) d\langle F_\lambda \psi, \psi \rangle, \quad \text{for each } \psi \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (5)$$

If equation (5) is satisfied, we write $B = \int f(\lambda) dF_\lambda$ or $B = \int f(\lambda) F(d\lambda)$ equivalently. In the case of a function f which is not bounded with respect to F , integration can still be defined but in general it gives a symmetric operator and not a self-adjoint operator (see Ref. [38] for the details).

By the spectral theorem, there is a one-to-one correspondence between real PVMs E and self-adjoint operators B , the correspondence being given by

$$B = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda dE_\lambda^B.$$

Notice that the spectrum of $\sigma(E^B)$ of E^B coincides with the spectrum $\sigma(B)$ of B . Moreover, in this case a functional calculus can be developed. Indeed, if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable real-valued function, we can define the self-adjoint operator

$$f(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda) dE_\lambda^B \quad (6)$$

where, E^B is the PVM corresponding to B . If f is bounded, then $f(B)$ is bounded. Equation (6) cannot be extended to the case of non-orthogonal POVMs.

In the following we do not distinguish between real PVMs and the corresponding self-adjoint operators.

Let Λ be a subset of \mathbb{R} and $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$ the corresponding Borel σ -algebra.

Definition 2.9. A real Markov kernel is a map $\mu : \Lambda \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that,

1. $\mu_\Delta(\cdot)$ is a measurable function for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$,
2. $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\lambda)$ is a probability measure for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Definition 2.10. Let ν be a measure on Λ . A map $\mu : \Lambda \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a weak Markov kernel with respect to ν if:

1. $\mu_\Delta(\cdot)$ is a measurable function for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$,
2. for every $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $0 \leq \mu_\Delta(\lambda) \leq 1$, $\nu - a.e.$,
3. $\mu_X(\lambda) = 1$, $\mu_\emptyset(\lambda) = 0$, $\nu - a.e.$,
4. for any sequence $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j = \emptyset$,

$$\sum_i \mu_{(\Delta_i)}(\lambda) = \mu_{(\cup_i \Delta_i)}(\lambda), \quad \nu - a.e.$$

Definition 2.11. The map $\mu : \Lambda \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a weak Markov kernel with respect to a PVM $E : \mathcal{B}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ if it is a weak Markov kernel with respect to each measure $\nu^\Psi(\cdot) := \langle E(\cdot) \Psi, \Psi \rangle$, $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$.

In the following, by a weak Markov kernel μ we mean a weak Markov kernel with respect to a PVM E . Moreover the function $\lambda \mapsto \mu_\Delta(\lambda)$ will be denoted indifferently by μ_Δ or $\mu_\Delta(\cdot)$.

Definition 2.12. A POVM $F : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_s^+(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a smearing of a POVM $E : \mathcal{B}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_s^+(\mathcal{H})$ if there exists a weak Markov kernel $\mu : \Lambda \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that,

$$F(\Delta) = \int_\Lambda \mu_\Delta(\lambda) dE_\lambda, \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

Example 2.13. In the standard formulation of quantum mechanics, the operator

$$\begin{aligned} Q : \mathcal{D}(Q) &\rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}), \\ (Q\Psi)(x) &:= x\Psi(x), \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\mathcal{D}(Q) = \{\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 |\Psi(x)|^2 dx < \infty\}$, is used to represent the position observable. A more realistic description of the position

observable of a quantum particle is given by a smearing of Q as, for example, the optimal position POVM

$$F^Q(\Delta) = \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\Delta} e^{-\frac{(\lambda-y)^2}{2l^2}} dy \right) dE_{\lambda}^Q = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{\Delta}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda}^Q$$

where,

$$\mu_{\Delta}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\Delta} e^{-\frac{(\lambda-y)^2}{2l^2}} dy$$

defines a Markov kernel and E^Q is the spectral measure corresponding to the position operator Q .

In the following, the symbol μ is used to denote both Markov kernels and weak Markov kernels. The symbols A and B are used to denote self-adjoint operators.

Definition 2.14. Whenever F , A , and μ are such that $F(\Delta) = \mu_{\Delta}(A)$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, we say that (F, A, μ) is a von Neumann triplet.

The following theorem establishes a relationship between commutative POVMs and spectral measures and gives a characterization of the former. Other characterizations and an analysis of the relationships between them can be found in Ref.s [1, 31, 4, 34].

Theorem 2.15 ([6, 33]). A POVM F is commutative if and only if there exist a bounded self-adjoint operator A and a Markov kernel (weak Markov kernel) μ such that (F, A, μ) is a von Neumann triplet.

Corollary 2.16. A POVM F is commutative if and only if it is a smearing of a real PVM E with bounded spectrum.

Definition 2.17. If A and F in theorem 2.15 generate the same von Neumann algebra then A is named the sharp version of F .

Theorem 2.18. [6] The sharp version A is unique up to almost everywhere bijections.

3. On the separation properties of μ

In the following, we assume X to be Hausdorff, locally compact and second countable. The symbol \mathcal{S} denotes a countable basis for the topology of X . The

symbol $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ denotes the ring generated by \mathcal{S} . Notice that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ is countable and generates the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

A weak Markov kernel μ such that (F, A, μ) is a von Neumann triplet, separates the points of $\Gamma \subset \sigma(A)$ if the family of functions $\{\mu_\Delta\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)}$ separates the points of Γ or, in other words, if $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ implies $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\lambda) \neq \mu_{(\cdot)}(\lambda')$. It is then natural to ask if in general μ has that property. The following theorem answers in the positive.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (F, A, μ) be a von Neumann triplet and suppose that A is a sharp version of F . Then, there exists a set $\Gamma \subseteq \sigma(A)$, $E^A(\Gamma) = \mathbf{1}$, such that the family of functions $\{\mu_\Delta(\cdot)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)}$ separates the points of Γ .*

Proof. In the following, $O_2 := \{F(\Delta)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})}$ and $\mathcal{A}^C(O_2)$ is the C^* -algebra generated by O_2 . The von Neumann algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}^C(O_2)$ coincides with $\mathcal{A}^W(F)$ (see appendix A). Moreover, $\mathcal{A}^W(F) = \mathcal{A}^W(A)$ since A is the sharp version of F . By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem and the spectral theorem for representations of commutative C^* -algebras, there is an $*$ -isomorphism ϕ ,

$$\mathcal{C}(\Lambda_2) \ni f \mapsto \phi(f) = \int_{\Lambda_2} f(\lambda) d\tilde{E}_\lambda$$

between $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}^C(O_2)$, where, Λ_2 is the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}^C(O_2)$ and \tilde{E} is the spectral measure from $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_2)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ corresponding to ϕ . The Gelfand-Naimark isomorphism ϕ can be extended to a homomorphism between the algebra of the Borel functions on Λ_2 and the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}^W(A)$. Therefore, there is a Borel function h such that

$$A = \int_{\Lambda_2} h(\lambda) d\tilde{E}_\lambda \quad (7)$$

Let \mathcal{S} be a countable basis for the topology of X . Let $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote an enumeration of the set $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$. Since $\mathcal{A}^C(O_2)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda_2)$ are $*$ -isomorphic, the set $\{v_{\Delta_i} := \phi^{-1}(F(\Delta_i))\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ generates $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda_2)$ and, by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, it separates the points in Λ_2 .

Moreover, since (F, A, μ) is a von Neumann triplet, for each $\Delta_i \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$, there is a Borel function μ_{Δ_i} such that

$$\int_{\Lambda_2} v_{\Delta_i}(\lambda) d\tilde{E}_\lambda = F(\Delta_i) = \mu_{\Delta_i}(A) = \int_{\Lambda_2} \mu_{\Delta_i}(h(\lambda)) d\tilde{E}_\lambda.$$

Then, for each $\Delta_i \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$, there is a set $M_i \subset \Lambda_2$, $\tilde{E}(M_i) = \mathbf{1}$, such that

$$\mu_{\Delta_i}(h(\lambda)) = \nu_{\Delta_i}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in M_i. \quad (8)$$

Let $M := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i$. Then,

$$\tilde{E}(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{E}(\bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{E}(M_i) = \mathbf{1}$$

and, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(\mu_{\Delta_i} \circ h)(\lambda) = \nu_{\Delta_i}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in M \subseteq \Lambda_2. \quad (9)$$

Since $\{\nu_{\Delta_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ separates the points in Λ_2 , $\{\mu_{\Delta_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ separates the points in $\Gamma := h(M)$. Moreover²,

$$E^A(\Gamma) = E^A(h(M)) = \tilde{E}[h^{-1}(h(M))] = \mathbf{1}$$

where, E^A is the spectral measure defined by the relation

$$E^A(\Delta) = \tilde{E}(h^{-1}(\Delta))$$

and such that,

$$A = \int \lambda dE_{\lambda}^A$$

while, $h^{-1}(h(M))$ is a Borel set containing M .

□

4. Characterization of Commutative POVMs by means of Feller Markov kernels

In the present section we introduce the concept of strong Markov kernel, i.e., a weak Markov kernel $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\cdot) : \Lambda \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with respect to a PVM E :

² Notice that $h(M)$ is a Borel set. In order to prove that, we first recall that Λ_2 is a Polish space (that is, a complete, separable, space). Indeed, by theorem 11, page 871, in Ref. [24], it is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of the Cartesian product $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma(F(\Delta_i))$, where $\sigma(F(\Delta_i))$ is a complete separable metric space, and by theorem 2, page 406, and theorem 6, page 156, in Ref. [37], it is complete and separable. Moreover, h is measurable and injective on M . Therefore, Souslin's theorem assures that $h(M)$ is a Borel set.

$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\lambda)$ is a probability measure for each $\lambda \in \Gamma \subset \Lambda$, $E(\Gamma) = \mathbf{1}$. Then, we prove (theorem 4.3) that F is commutative if and only if there exists a bounded self-adjoint operator A and a Feller Markov kernel μ such that

$$F(\Delta) = \int_{\Gamma} \mu_{\Delta}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda}.$$

Moreover, we prove that there is a ring \mathcal{R} which generates $\mathcal{B}(X)$ such that μ_{Δ} is continuous for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}$, and the family of functions $\{\mu_{\Delta}\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{R}}$ separates the points in Γ (see theorems 3.1 and 4.3).

In order to prove the main theorem we need the following definitions.

Definition 4.1. Let $E : \mathcal{B}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ be a PVM. The map $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\cdot) : \Lambda \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a strong Markov kernel with respect to E if it is a weak Markov kernel with respect to E and there exists a set $\Gamma \subset \Lambda$, $E(\Gamma) = \mathbf{1}$, such that $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\cdot) : \Gamma \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a Markov kernel. A strong Markov kernel is denoted by the symbol $(\mu, E, \Gamma \subset \Lambda)$.

Definition 4.2. A Feller Markov kernel is a Markov kernel $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\cdot) : \Lambda \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that the function

$$G(\lambda) = \int_X f(x) \mu_{dx}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda$$

is continuous and bounded whenever f is continuous and bounded.

Theorem 4.3. A POVM $F : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is commutative if and only if, there exists a bounded self-adjoint operator $A = \int \lambda dE_{\lambda}$ with spectrum $\sigma(A) \subset [0, 1]$ and a strong Markov Kernel $(\mu, E, \Gamma \subset \sigma(A))$ such that:

- 1) $\mu_{\Delta}(\cdot) : \sigma(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$,
- 2) $F(\Delta) = \int_{\Gamma} \mu_{\Delta}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda}$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.
- 3) $\mathcal{A}^W(F) = \mathcal{A}^W(A)$.
- 4) μ separates the points in Γ .

Moreover, $\mu : \Gamma \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a Feller Markov kernel.

Proof. $\mathcal{A}^W(F)$ coincides with the von Neumann algebra generated by the set $O_2 := \{F(\Delta)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})}$ where, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$ is the ring generated by \mathcal{S} , the countable basis for the topology of X (see appendix A for the proof). We recall that both \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ are countable.

Now, we proceed to the proof of the existence of A . Let $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of the set $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S})$. Let $E^{(i)}$ denote the spectral measure corresponding to $F(\Delta_i) \in O_2$. We have $F(\Delta_i) = \int x dE_x^{(i)}$. Therefore, for each $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a division $\{\Delta_j^{(i,k)}\}_{j=1, \dots, m_{i,k}}$ of $[0, 1]$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i,k}} x_j^{(i,k)} E^{(i)}(\Delta_j^{(i,k)}) - F(\Delta_i) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{k}. \quad (10)$$

where, $x_j^{(i,k)} \in \Delta_j^{(i,k)}$ for any $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, \dots, m_{i,k}$. By the spectral theorem, $\{E^{(i)}(\Delta_j^{(i,k)})\}_{j \leq m_{i,k}} \subset \mathcal{A}^W(F)$ for any $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}^W(D)$ generated by the set $D := \{E^{(i)}(\Delta_j^{(i,k)}), j \leq m_{i,k}, i, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}^W(F)$

$$\mathcal{A}^W(D) \subset \mathcal{A}^W(F) = \mathcal{A}^W(O_2). \quad (11)$$

Moreover, by (10)

$$\mathcal{A}^C(O_2) \subset \mathcal{A}^C(D) \subset \mathcal{A}^W(F).$$

where $\mathcal{A}^C(O_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}^C(D)$ are the C^* -algebras generated by O_2 and D respectively. By the double commutant theorem,

$$\mathcal{A}^W(F) = [\mathcal{A}^C(O_2)]'' \subset [\mathcal{A}^C(D)]'' = \mathcal{A}^W(D)$$

so that (see equation 11),

$$\mathcal{A}^W(D) = \mathcal{A}^W(F). \quad (12)$$

By theorem 11, page 871 in Ref. [24], there is a homeomorphism $\pi : \Lambda \rightarrow \pi(\Lambda) \subset \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}$ which identifies the spectrum Λ of $\mathcal{A}^C(D)$ with a closed subset of $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}$. Moreover, the function $f : \Lambda \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$$f(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_i}{3^i}; \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) = \pi(\lambda)$$

is continuous and injective and then it distinguishes the points of Λ . Since Λ and $[0, 1]$ are Hausdorff, $f : \Lambda \rightarrow f(\Lambda)$ is a homeomorphism.

By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem and the spectral theorem for representations of commutative C^* -algebras, there is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}^C(D)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$

$$T : \mathcal{C}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^C(D) \subset B(\mathcal{H}) \quad (13)$$

$$g \mapsto T(g) = \int_{\Lambda} g(\lambda) d\tilde{E}_{\lambda}.$$

where \tilde{E} is the spectral measure from $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ corresponding to T . Since f distinguishes the points of Λ , it generates $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$ and then

$$A = \int_{\Lambda} f(\lambda) d\tilde{E}_{\lambda}$$

generates both $\mathcal{A}^C(D)$ and $\mathcal{A}^W(F)$.

Now, we proceed to the proof of the existence of the weak Markov kernel $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ such that $(F, A, \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ is a von Neumann triplet.

By (13), for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$, there exists a continuous function $\gamma_{\Delta} \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda)$ such that

$$F(\Delta) = \int_{\Lambda} \gamma_{\Delta}(\lambda) d\tilde{E}_{\lambda}.$$

Let us consider the continuous function

$$\mathbf{v}_{\Delta}(t) := (\gamma_{\Delta} \circ f^{-1})(t), \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}).$$

By the change of measure principle, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\Delta) &= \int_{\Lambda} \gamma_{\Delta}(\lambda) d\tilde{E}_{\lambda} = \int_{\Lambda} \gamma_{\Delta}(f^{-1}(f(\lambda))) d\tilde{E}_{\lambda} \\ &= \int_{\sigma(A)} \gamma_{\Delta}(f^{-1}(t)) dE_t = \int_{\sigma(A)} \mathbf{v}_{\Delta}(t) dE_t = \mathbf{v}_{\Delta}(A) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma(A) = f(\Lambda)$ and E is the spectral measure corresponding to A and defined by $E(\Delta) = \tilde{E}(f^{-1}(\Delta))$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma(A))$. Therefore, for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$, $\mathbf{v}_{\Delta}(f(\lambda)) = \gamma_{\Delta}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $F(\Delta) = \mathbf{v}_{\Delta}(A)$.

Moreover, for each $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, the map $\mathbf{v}_{(\cdot)}(\lambda) : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defines an additive set function. Indeed, let $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ be the disjoint union of the sets $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathbf{v}_{(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} &= F(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2) = F(\Delta_1) + F(\Delta_2) \\ &= \int [\mathbf{v}_{\Delta_1}(\lambda) + \mathbf{v}_{\Delta_2}(\lambda)] dE_{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

so that, by the continuity of $\nu_{\Delta_1}(\lambda)$ and $\nu_{\Delta_2}(\lambda)$, we get

$$\nu_{\Delta_1}(\lambda) + \nu_{\Delta_2}(\lambda) = \nu_{(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)}(\lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in \sigma(A).$$

Now, we extend ν to all $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

Since A is the generator of $\mathcal{A}^W(F)$, for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, there exists a Borel function ω_Δ such that.

$$F(\Delta) = \int_{\sigma(A)} \omega_\Delta(t) dE_t$$

Then, we can consider the map $\tilde{\nu} : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined as follows

$$\tilde{\nu}_\Delta(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \nu_\Delta(\lambda) & \text{if } \Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}) \\ \omega_\Delta(\lambda) & \text{if } \Delta \notin \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Since $\tilde{\nu}$ coincides with ν on $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ it is additive on $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$.

In order to prove that $\tilde{\nu}$ is a weak Markov kernel, let us consider a set $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ which is the disjoint union of the sets $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\Delta_i \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then,

$$\int \tilde{\nu}_{(\cup_{i=1}^\infty \Delta_i)}(\lambda) dE_\lambda = \int \tilde{\nu}_\Delta(\lambda) dE_\lambda = F(\Delta) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty F(\Delta_i) = \int \sum_{i=1}^\infty \tilde{\nu}_{\Delta_i}(\lambda) dE_\lambda$$

so that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \tilde{\nu}_{\Delta_i}(\lambda) = \tilde{\nu}_\Delta(\lambda), \quad E - a.e.,$$

which implies that $\tilde{\nu} : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a weak Markov kernel. In particular $(F, A, \tilde{\nu})$ is a von Neumann triplet.

Now, we proceed to prove the existence of the Markov kernel $\mu : \Gamma \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that items 1, 2, and 3 of the theorem are satisfied.

Since X is Hausdorff locally compact second countable, it is a Polish space and, to each weak Markov kernel $\tilde{\nu} : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $(F, A, \tilde{\nu})$ is a von Neumann triplet, there corresponds a Markov kernel $\phi : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that (F, A, ϕ) is a von Neumann triplet [33, 35, 6]. Then, for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$,

$$\int \tilde{\nu}_\Delta(\lambda) dE_\lambda = F(\Delta) = \int \phi_\Delta(\lambda) dE_\lambda$$

and,

$$\phi_\Delta(\lambda) = \tilde{\nu}_\Delta(\lambda), \quad E - a.e. \quad (15)$$

By equation (15), for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a set $N_i \subset \sigma(A)$, $E(N_i) = \mathbf{0}$, such that

$$\phi_{\Delta_i}(\lambda) = \tilde{v}_{\Delta_i}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \sigma(A) - N_i. \quad (16)$$

Then, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\phi_{\Delta_i}(\lambda) = \tilde{v}_{\Delta_i}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Gamma := \sigma(A) - N \quad (17)$$

where,

$$N := \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i, \quad E(N) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Now, the map $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\cdot) : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$$\mu_{(\cdot)}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \tilde{v}_{(\cdot)}(\lambda) & \lambda \in N \\ \phi_{(\cdot)}(\lambda) & \lambda \in \Gamma \end{cases}$$

is a strong Markov kernel since its restriction to Γ , $\phi_{(\cdot)}(\cdot) : \Gamma \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, is a Markov kernel.

By (17),

$$\mu_{\Delta_i}(\lambda) = \tilde{v}_{\Delta_i}(\lambda)$$

so that, μ_{Δ} is continuous for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$. We also have,

$$\mu_{\Delta}(A) = \phi_{\Delta}(A) = F(\Delta), \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

We have proved items 1, 2, and 3. Item 4 comes from theorem 3.1.

It remains to prove that μ is a Feller Markov kernel. By item 1, μ_{Δ} is continuous for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$. Notice that for each open set $O \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, there is a countable family of sets $\Delta_i \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $O = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_i$. Therefore, by theorem 2.2 in Ref. [19], and the continuity of μ_{Δ} for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lambda$ implies,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f(x) \mu_{dx}(\lambda_n) = \int_X f(x) \mu_{dx}(\lambda), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_b(X)$$

where, $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ is the space of bounded, continuous real functions. Then, $G(\lambda) := \int f(x) \mu_{dx}(\lambda)$ is continuous whenever f is continuous and μ is a Feller Markov kernel.

Finally, we note that $F(\Delta) = \mu_{\Delta}(A)$ implies the commutativity of F and that ends the proof. □

In the proof of theorem 4.3 we have shown the existence of a Markov kernel ϕ such that (F, A, ϕ) is a von Neumann triplet. Then, we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *A POVM $F : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is commutative if and only if, there exists a bounded self-adjoint operator $A = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$ with spectrum $\sigma(A) \subset [0, 1]$ and a Markov Kernel $\phi : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that*

$$F(\Delta) = \int_{\sigma(A)} \phi_\Delta(\lambda) dE_\lambda, \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

5. Characterization of POVMs which admit strong Feller Markov Kernels

In the last section we proved that each commutative POVM admits a strong Markov kernel μ such that μ_Δ is a continuous function for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ where, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ is a ring which generates the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

In the present section we characterize the commutative POVMs for which the Markov kernel μ , whose existence was proved in theorem 4.4, is such that μ_Δ is continuous for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Whenever such a Markov kernel exists, we say that the POVM admits a strong Feller Markov kernel. In particular, we prove that a commutative POVM F admits a strong Feller Markov kernel if and only if F is uniformly continuous.

Definition 5.1. *Let $F : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_s^+(\mathcal{H})$ be a POVM. F is said to be uniformly continuous at Δ if, for any disjoint decomposition $\Delta = \cup_{i=1}^\infty \Delta_i$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n F(\Delta_i) = F(\Delta)$$

in the uniform operator topology. F is said uniformly continuous if it is uniformly continuous at each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

Notice that the term uniformly continuous derives from the fact that the σ -additivity of F in the uniform operator topology is equivalent to the continuity in the uniform operator topology. Analogously, the σ -additivity of F in the weak operator topology is equivalent to the continuity of F in the weak operator topology [18].

Proposition 5.2 ([17]). *F is uniformly continuous if and only if,*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|F(\Delta_i)\| = 0$$

whenever $\Delta_i \downarrow \emptyset$.

Definition 5.3. A Markov kernel $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\cdot) : \Lambda \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is said to be strong Feller if μ_Δ is a continuous function for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

Definition 5.4. We say that a commutative POVM admits a strong Feller Markov kernel if there exists a strong Feller Markov kernel μ such that $F(\Delta) = \int \mu_\Delta(\lambda) dE_\lambda$, where E is the sharp version of F .

In order to prove the main theorem of the section we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. Let F be uniformly continuous. Let μ be a weak Markov kernel and (F, A, μ) a von Neumann triplet. Suppose that μ_Δ is continuous for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$. Then, for each $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, $\mu_{(\cdot)}(\lambda)$ is σ -additive on $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof. Let $\Delta, \Delta_i \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$, $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j = \emptyset$, $\cup_{i=1}^\infty \Delta_i = \Delta$. Then, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists a number $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for any $n > \bar{n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_\Delta - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{\Delta_i}\|_\infty &= \left\| \int (\mu_\Delta(\lambda) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{\Delta_i}(\lambda)) dE_\lambda \right\| \\ &= \|F(\Delta) - F(\cup_{i=1}^n \Delta_i)\| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Therefore,

$$|\mu_\Delta(\lambda) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{\Delta_i}(\lambda)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall \lambda \in \sigma(A).$$

□

Theorem 5.6. A commutative POVM $F : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_s^+(\mathcal{H})$ admits a strong Feller Markov kernel if and only if it is uniformly continuous.

Proof. Suppose F is uniformly continuous. By theorem 4.3, there is a weak Markov kernel $\mu : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mu_\Delta(\cdot)$ is continuous for every $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ and a self-adjoint operator A such that (F, A, μ) is a von Neumann triplet. By lemma 5.5, μ is σ -additive on $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$. By Charateodory's extension theorem the map $\mu : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be extended to a map $\tilde{\mu} : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ coincides with μ and such that $\tilde{\mu}_{(\cdot)}(\lambda)$ is a probability measure for each $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$. Now we prove that $\tilde{\mu}$ is a Markov kernel such that $F(\Delta) = \tilde{\mu}_\Delta(A)$ and that $\tilde{\mu}_\Delta$ is continuous for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. We proceed by steps.

1) Open sets. Each open set G is the union of a countable family of sets in \mathcal{S} , i.e., $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_i$, $\Delta_i \in \mathcal{S}$. Let us define the set $G_n := \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Delta_i$. Therefore, $G_n \uparrow G$. Moreover, μ_{G_n} is continuous for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$u - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(G_n) = F(G).$$

Then,

$$F(G) = u - \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F(G_i) = u - \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int \tilde{\mu}_{G_i}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda}.$$

It follows that, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists a number $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $n, m > \bar{n}$ implies,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mu}_{G_n} - \tilde{\mu}_{G_m}\|_{\infty} &= \left\| \int [\tilde{\mu}_{G_n}(\lambda) - \tilde{\mu}_{G_m}(\lambda)] dE_{\lambda} \right\| \\ &= \|F(G_n) - F(G_m)\| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Therefore,

$$|\tilde{\mu}_{G_n}(\lambda) - \tilde{\mu}_{G_m}(\lambda)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall \lambda \in \sigma(A). \quad (20)$$

Since $\tilde{\mu}_{(\cdot)}(\lambda)$ is a probability measure,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mu}_{G_i}(\lambda) = \tilde{\mu}_G(\lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in \sigma(A).$$

By equation (20), the convergence is uniform and this proves the continuity of $\tilde{\mu}_G$. Moreover,

$$F(G) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F(G_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int \tilde{\mu}_{G_i}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} = \int \tilde{\mu}_G(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} = \tilde{\mu}_G(A).$$

2) G_{δ} sets. Let G be a G_{δ} -set. Then, there is a family of open sets $\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, $G \subset G_i$, such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i = G$. Then, by proceeding similarly to the step 1, one can prove the continuity of $\tilde{\mu}_G$ and the equality $F(G) = \tilde{\mu}_G(A)$.

3) Borel sets. We use transfinite induction. Let G_0 be the family of open subsets of X , ω_1 the first uncountable ordinal and G_{α} , $\alpha < \omega_1$ the Borel hierarchy [36]. In particular, $G_1 = G_{\delta}$, $G_2 = G_{\delta\sigma}$, $G_3 = G_{\delta\sigma\delta}, \dots$ and $G_{\alpha} = (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} G_{\beta})_{\sigma}$ for each limit ordinal α . By means of the same reasoning that we used in items 1 and 2, one can prove the continuity of $\tilde{\mu}_{\Delta}$ as well as that $\tilde{\mu}_{\Delta}(A) = F(\Delta)$ whenever Δ is of the kind $G_{\delta\sigma}, G_{\delta\sigma\delta}, \dots$. Analogously, if $\tilde{\mu}_{\Delta}$ is continuous for each $\Delta \in G_{\alpha}$ then, $\tilde{\mu}_{\Delta}$ is continuous for each Δ in $G_{\alpha+1}$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{\Delta}(A) = F(\Delta)$. Indeed, each set in $G_{\alpha+1}$ is either the countable union or the countable intersection of sets in G_{α} and the reasoning in items 1 and 2 can be used. If α is a limit ordinal and $\tilde{\mu}_{\Delta}$ is

continuous for each $\Delta \in G_\beta$, $\beta < \alpha$, then, $\tilde{\mu}_\Delta$ is continuous for each $\Delta \in G_\alpha = (\cup_{\beta < \alpha} G_\beta)_\sigma$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Delta(A) = F(\Delta)$. Indeed, each set in G_α is the countable union of sets in $\cup_{\beta < \alpha} G_\beta$ and the reasoning used in item 1 can be used. Therefore, by transfinite induction, $\tilde{\mu}_\Delta$ is continuous for each $\Delta \in \cup_{\alpha < \omega_1} G_\alpha = \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Delta(A) = F(\Delta)$.

In order to prove the second part of the theorem we show that the existence of a strong Feller Markov kernel implies the uniform continuity of F . Suppose that there exists a strong Feller Markov kernel μ such that $F(\Delta) = \mu_\Delta(\lambda)$. Since μ is a Markov kernel it is σ -additive. Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_\Delta(\lambda) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{\Delta_i}(\lambda)) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \sigma(A).$$

where, $\Delta, \Delta_i \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $\cup_{i=1}^\infty \Delta_i = \Delta$.

By hypothesis,

$$\mu_\Delta(\lambda) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{\Delta_i}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(A)), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, by theorem B1 in appendix B,

$$u - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_\Delta(\lambda) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{\Delta_i}(\lambda)) = 0.$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F(\Delta) - F(\cup_{i=1}^n \Delta_i)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_\Delta - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{\Delta_i}\|_\infty = 0.$$

□

Example 5.7. *Let us consider the following unsharp position observable*

$$\begin{aligned} Q^f(\Delta) &:= \int_{[0,1]} \mu_\Delta(\lambda) dQ_\lambda, \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \\ \mu_\Delta(\lambda) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_\Delta(\lambda - y) f(y) dy, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1] \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where, f is a bounded, continuous function such that $f(y) = 0$, $y \notin [0, 1]$ and

$$\int_{[0,1]} f(y) dy = 1,$$

and Q_λ is the spectral measure corresponding to the position operator

$$\begin{aligned} Q &: L^2([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1]) \\ (Q\psi)(x) &:= x\psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $x \in [0, 1]$. Notice that, for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu_\Delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous. Indeed, by the uniform continuity of f , for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\lambda - \lambda'| \leq \delta$ implies $|f(\lambda - y) - f(\lambda' - y)| \leq \varepsilon$, for each y . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_\Delta(\lambda) - \mu_\Delta(\lambda')| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_\Delta(\lambda - y) f(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_\Delta(\lambda' - y) f(y) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Delta} [f(\lambda - y) - f(\lambda' - y)] dy \right| \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Delta \cap [-1, 1]} dy \leq 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

By theorem 5.6 and the continuity of μ_Δ , $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, Q^f is uniformly continuous. That can be proved as follows. Suppose $\Delta_i \downarrow \Delta$ and $f(y) \leq M$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Since, for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$\mu_{\Delta_i - \Delta}(\lambda) = \int_{\Delta_i - \Delta} f(\lambda - y) dy \leq M \int_{(\Delta_i - \Delta) \cap [-1, 1]} dy$$

we have that, for each $\psi \in L^2([0, 1])$, $\|\psi\|^2 = 1$,

$$\langle \psi, Q^f(\Delta_i - \Delta) \psi \rangle = \int_{[0, 1]} \mu_{\Delta_i - \Delta}(x) |\psi(x)|^2 dx \leq M \int_{(\Delta_i - \Delta) \cap [-1, 1]} dy$$

which proves the uniform continuity of Q^f .

In the case of uniformly continuous POVMs, we can prove a necessary condition for the norm-1-property.

Definition 5.8 ([29]). A POVM F has the norm-1-property if $\|F(\Delta)\| = 1$, for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $F(\Delta) \neq 0$.

Theorem 5.9. Let F be uniformly continuous. Then, F has the norm-1-property only if $\|F(\{\lambda\})\| \neq 0$ for each $\lambda \in \sigma(F)$.

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that F has the norm-1 property and that there exists $\lambda \in \sigma(F)$, such that $F(\{\lambda\}) = \mathbf{0}$. Let Δ_i be a decreasing family of open sets such that, $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_i = \{\lambda\}$. The existence of such family is assured by the local compactness of X . Since $\lambda \in \sigma(F)$ and $\lambda \in \Delta_i$, we have $F(\Delta_i) \neq \mathbf{0}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Definition 2.5) and, by the norm-1 property, $\|F(\Delta_i)\| = 1$. By the uniform continuity of F and proposition 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|F(\Delta_i)\| &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|F(\Delta_i) - F(\{\lambda\}) + F(\{\lambda\})\| \\ &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|F(\Delta_i - \{\lambda\})\| + \|F(\{\lambda\})\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 5.10. Let Q^f be as in example 5.7. Theorem 5.9 implies that Q^f cannot have the norm-1 property. Indeed, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\lambda_i \mapsto \lambda$,

$$(Q^f(\{\lambda\})\psi)(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (Q^f([\lambda, \lambda_i])\psi)(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{[\lambda, \lambda_i]}(x)\psi(x) = 0,$$

for all $\psi \in L^2([0, 1])$ and almost all $x \in [0, 1]$.

We refer to [17] for an analysis of the relevance of theorem 5.9 to the problem of localization of massless relativistic particles.

6. POVMs that are norm bounded by scalar measures

In the present section, we prove that a commutative POVM which is norm bounded by a scalar measure admits a strong Feller Markov kernel. Then, we apply the result to the case of the unsharp position observable.

Definition 6.1. [40, 41] A POVM $F : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is norm bounded by a measure $\nu : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ if there exists a positive number c such that $\|F(\Delta)\| \leq c\nu(\Delta)$, for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

Theorem 6.2. Let F be norm bounded by a finite measure ν . Then, F is uniformly continuous.

Proof. Suppose $\Delta_i \downarrow \emptyset$. We have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|F(\Delta_i)\| \leq c \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu(\Delta_i) = 0.$$

Proposition 5.2 ends the proof. □

Corollary 6.3. *Let F be norm bounded by a finite measure ν . Then, F is commutative if and only if there exist a self-adjoint operator A and a strong Feller Markov kernel $\mu : \sigma(A) \times \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that:*

$$F(\Delta) = \mu_\Delta(A), \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X) \quad (22)$$

Proof. By theorem 6.2, F is uniformly continuous. Then, theorem 5.6 implies the thesis. \square

Example 6.4. *Let Q^f be the unsharp position POVM defined in example 5.7. Then, Q^f is norm bounded by the measure*

$$\nu(\Delta) = M \int_{\Delta \cap [-1, 1]} dy.$$

Indeed, for each $\psi \in L^2([0, 1])$, $\|\psi\|^2 = 1$,

$$\langle \psi, Q^f(\Delta) \psi \rangle = \int_{[0, 1]} \mu_\Delta(x) |\psi(x)|^2 dx \leq M \int_{\Delta \cap [-1, 1]} dy$$

where, the inequality

$$\mu_\Delta(x) = \int_\Delta f(x-y) dy \leq M \int_{\Delta \cap [-1, 1]} dy$$

has been used.

Therefore, by theorem 6.2, $Q^f(\Delta)$ is uniformly continuous.

6.1. Unsharp Position Observable

In the present subsection, we study an important kind of norm bounded POVMs, the unsharp position observables obtained as the marginals of a covariant phase space observable.

In the following $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$, Q and P denote position and momentum observables respectively and $*$ denotes convolution, i.e. $(f * g)(x) = \int f(y)g(x-y)dy$.

Let us consider the joint position-momentum POVM [1, 20, 23, 26, 32, 39, 41, 42]

$$F(\Delta \times \Delta') = \int_{\Delta \times \Delta'} U_{q,p} \gamma U_{q,p}^* dq dp$$

where, $U_{q,p} = e^{-iqP} e^{ipQ}$ and $\gamma = |f\rangle\langle f|$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\|f\|_2 = 1$. The marginal

$$Q^f(\Delta) := F(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_\Delta * |f|^2)(\lambda) dQ_\lambda, \quad \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (23)$$

is an unsharp position observable. Notice that the map $\mu_\Delta(\lambda) := (\chi_\Delta * |f|^2)(\lambda)$ defines a Markov kernel.

Moreover, Q^f is norm bounded by the Lebesgue measure. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} Q^f(\Delta) &= F(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}) = \int_{\Delta \times \mathbb{R}} U_{q,p} \gamma U_{q,p}^* dq dp \\ &= \int_{\Delta} dq \int_{\mathbb{R}} U_{q,p} \gamma U_{q,p}^* dp \\ &= \int_{\Delta} \widehat{Q}(q) dq \leq \int_{\Delta} \mathbf{1} dq \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\widehat{Q}(q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} U_{q,p} \gamma U_{q,p}^* dp.$$

Although Q^f is norm bounded by the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , it is not uniformly continuous. That does not contradict theorem 6.2 since the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} is not finite. Anyway, Q^f is uniformly continuous on each Borel set Δ with finite Lebesgue measure.

Now, we show that Q^f is not in general uniformly continuous. We give the details of the following particular case.

Example 6.5 (Optimal Phase Space Representation). *If we choose*

$$f^2(y) = \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{(-\frac{y^2}{2l^2})}, \quad l \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}.$$

in (23), we get an optimal phase space representation of quantum mechanics [39]. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} Q^f(\Delta) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\Delta} |f(\lambda - y)|^2 dy \right) dQ_\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\Delta} e^{-\frac{(\lambda-y)^2}{2l^2}} dy \right) dQ_\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_\Delta(\lambda) dQ_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\mu_\Delta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\Delta} e^{-\frac{(\lambda-y)^2}{2l^2}} dy \quad (24)$$

defines a Markov kernel.

In order to prove that Q^f is not uniformly continuous we consider the family of sets $\Delta_i = (-\infty, a_i)$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = -\infty$ such that $\Delta_i \downarrow \emptyset$, and prove that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Q^f(\Delta_i)\| = 1$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} \mu_{\Delta_i}(\lambda) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\Delta_i} e^{-\frac{(\lambda-y)^2}{2l^2}} dy \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{(-\infty, a_i-\lambda)} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2l^2}} dy = \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2l^2}} dy = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that $\|Q^f(\Delta_i)\| = 1$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, if

$$\psi_n(x) = \chi_{[-n, -n+1]}(x),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \psi_n, Q^f(\Delta_i) \psi_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{\Delta_i}(x) |\psi_n(x)|^2 dx \quad (25)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-n, -n+1]} \mu_{\Delta_i}(x) dx = 1. \quad (26)$$

Since, for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $\|Q^f(\Delta)\| \leq 1$, equation (25) implies that $\|Q^f(\Delta_i)\| = 1$, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|Q^f(\Delta_i)\| = 1$ and Q^f cannot be uniformly continuous.

It is worth noticing that although Q^f is not uniformly continuous, μ_{Δ} is continuous for each interval $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_{\Delta}(\lambda) - \mu_{\Delta}(\lambda')| &= \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \left| \int_{\Delta} e^{-\frac{(\lambda-y)^2}{2l^2}} dy - \int_{\Delta} e^{-\frac{(\lambda'-y)^2}{2l^2}} dy \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \left| \int_{\Delta_{\lambda}} e^{-\frac{(y)^2}{2l^2}} dy - \int_{\Delta_{\lambda'}} e^{-\frac{(y)^2}{2l^2}} dy \right| \leq \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \left| \int_{\bar{\Delta}} e^{-\frac{(y)^2}{2l^2}} dy \right| \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = \{z \in \mathbb{R} \mid z = y - \lambda, y \in \Delta\}, \quad \Delta_{\lambda'} = \{z \in \mathbb{R} \mid z = y - \lambda', y \in \Delta\}$$

and,

$$\bar{\Delta} = (\Delta_{\lambda} - \Delta_{\lambda'}) \cup (\Delta_{\lambda'} - \Delta_{\lambda}).$$

Therefore, $|\lambda - \lambda'| \leq \varepsilon$ implies,

$$|\mu_{\Delta}(\lambda) - \mu_{\Delta}(\lambda')| \leq \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \left| \int_{\bar{\Delta}} e^{-\frac{(y)^2}{2l^2}} dy \right| \leq \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\bar{\Delta}} dy = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{l\sqrt{\pi}} \varepsilon.$$

7. Conclusions

We already pointed out that although the set of commutative POVMs is a particular subset of the set of POVMs, the commutative POVMs are relevant both from the mathematical and the physical viewpoint. It is well known that they can be interpreted as the smearing of real PVMs, E , and that the smearing can be realized by means of Markov kernels, μ . Anyway no characterization of the smearing (the Markov kernel) is known. In the present paper such a characterization is given and its mathematical and physical implications are analyzed. For example we answered the following questions: 1) Can the smearing be realized by means of a Feller Markov kernel?, 2) What can we say about the continuity of the functions μ_Δ ?, 3) Can the smearing be realized by means of a strong Feller Markov kernel?, 4) What is the physical interpretation of the smearing when it is realized by means of a strong Feller Markov kernel?, 5) Is the smearing able to distinguish the points in the spectrum of the PVM E ?, 6) Are there physical examples that can be used as an illustration of items 1) to 5) above? In order to answer such questions, we had to provide a new and stronger characterization of a commutative POVM F as the smearing of a real PVM E .

Appendix A. $\mathcal{A}^W(F)$ coincides with the von Neumann algebra generated by $\{F(\Delta)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})}$

We recall that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a countable basis for the topology of X and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ is the ring generated by \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Let $M := \{F(\Delta)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X)}$, and $\mathcal{A}^W(F) = \mathcal{A}^W(M)$ the von Neumann algebra generated by F . Let G denote the family of open subsets of X and $O := \{F(\Delta), \Delta \in G\}$. We have $\mathcal{A}^W(O) \subset \mathcal{A}^W(F)$. Since the POVM F is regular, O is dense in M and $\mathcal{A}^W(F) \subset \mathcal{A}^W(O)$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{A}^W(F) = \mathcal{A}^W(M) = \mathcal{A}^W(O). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Now, we prove that the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}^W(O_1)$ generated by the set $O_1 = \{F(\Delta)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{S}}$ coincides with $\mathcal{A}^W(O)$.

For each open set G , there exists a family of sets $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}$, such that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_i$. Let $G_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Delta_i$. Then, $G_n \uparrow G$ and

$$F(G) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(G_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \Delta_i).$$

Since the von Neumann algebra generated by O_1 contains $F(\cup_{i=1}^n \Delta_i)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it must contain $F(G) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\cup_{i=1}^n \Delta_i)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}^W(O) \subset \mathcal{A}^W(O_1)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}^W(O_1) \subset \mathcal{A}^W(O)$ since $O_1 \subset O$. Then, $\mathcal{A}^W(O) = \mathcal{A}^W(O_1)$ and by equations (A.1),

$$\mathcal{A}^W(O_1) = \mathcal{A}^W(O) = \mathcal{A}^W(F). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Since $\{F(\Delta)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{S}} \subset \{F(\Delta)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})} \subset \{F(\Delta)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(X)}$, the von Neumann algebra generated by the set $\{F(\Delta)\}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})}$ must coincide with $\mathcal{A}^W(F)$. \square

Appendix B: Sequences of continuous functions

The following theorem is due to Dini. We give a proof based on the use of sequences.

Theorem B1. *Let $\{f_n(\lambda)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a non increasing sequence of continuous functions defined on a compact set $B \subset [0, 1]$ with values in $[0, 1]$ and such that $f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ point-wise. Then, $f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly.*

Proof. Since $f_{n+1}(\lambda) \leq f_n(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in B$, we have $\|f_{n+1}\|_\infty \leq \|f_n\|_\infty$. If $\|f_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ clearly $f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly.

Then, suppose $\|f_n\|_\infty \rightarrow a > 0$. Since $\|f_{n+1}\|_\infty \leq \|f_n\|_\infty$, we have $\|f_n\|_\infty \geq a$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let λ_n be such that $f_n(\lambda_n) = \|f_n\|_\infty$. Since $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a bounded sequence of real numbers, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let β be its limit, i.e., $\beta := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n_k}$. The compactness of B assures that $\beta \in B$. Moreover, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(\lambda_{n_k}) = a$.

Let us consider the sequence of numbers $f_{n_k}(\beta)$. We prove that $f_{n_k}(\beta) \geq a$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there exists $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{n_{\bar{k}}}(\beta) < a$. Then, there exists a neighborhood $I(\beta)$ of β such that $f_{n_{\bar{k}}}(\lambda) < a$ for each $\lambda \in I(\beta)$. Moreover, since $\lambda_{n_k} \rightarrow \beta$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k > l$ implies $\lambda_{n_k} \in I(\beta)$. Take $k > \max\{\bar{k}, l\}$. Then, $\lambda_{n_k} \in I(\beta)$ and $f_{n_k}(\lambda) \leq f_{n_{\bar{k}}}(\lambda)$, for each $\lambda \in B$. Therefore,

$$f_{n_k}(\lambda_{n_k}) \leq f_{n_{\bar{k}}}(\lambda_{n_k}) < a$$

which contradicts the fact that $f_{n_k}(\lambda_{n_k}) = \|f_{n_k}\|_\infty \geq a$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We have proved that $f_{n_k}(\beta) \geq a$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(\beta) \geq a$ and contradicts one of the hypothesis of the lemma, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\lambda) = 0$ for each $\lambda \in B$. \square

Acknowledgements: The author is grateful to Prof. Paul Busch for his encouragement by confirming, in a private communication, the relevance of commutative POVMs in quantum mechanics and quantum information theory also in the light of his recent work on measurement uncertainty relations. The author is grateful to an anonymous referee for his helpful suggestions that improved the paper. The present work was performed under the auspices of G.N.F.M (Gruppo Nazionale di Fisica Matematica).

References

- [1] S.T. Ali, A geometrical property of POV-measures and systems of covariance. In: Doebner, H.-D., Andersson, S.I., Petry, H.R. (eds.) ‘Differential Geometric Methods in Mathematical Physics,’ Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 905, pp. 207-228, Springer, Berlin (1982).
- [2] S.T. Ali, G.G. Emch, Fuzzy observables in quantum mechanics, J. Math. Phys. 15 (1974) 176.
- [3] S.T. Ali, E.D. Prugovečki, Physica A 89 (1977) 501-521.
- [4] S.T. Ali, C. Carmeli, T. Heinosaari, A. Toigo, Found. Phys. 39 (2009) 593-612.
- [5] R. Beneduci, G. Nisticó, J. Math. Phys. 44 (2003) 5461.
- [6] R. Beneduci, J. Math. Phys. 47 (2006) 062104.
- [7] R. Beneduci, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. 3 (2006) 1559.
- [8] R. Beneduci, J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007) 022102.
- [9] R. Beneduci, Il Nuovo Cimento B, 123 (2008) 43-62.
- [10] R. Beneduci, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 49 (2010) 3030-3038.
- [11] R. Beneduci, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 42 (2010) 441-451.
- [12] R. Beneduci, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 43 (2010) 1224-1239.
- [13] R. Beneduci, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 50 (2011) 3724-3736.

- [14] R. Beneduci, J. Brooke, R. Curran, F.E. Schroeck Jr., International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 50 (2011) 3682-3696.
- [15] R. Beneduci, J. Brooke, R. Curran, F.E. Schroeck Jr., International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 50 (2011) 3697-3723.
- [16] R. Beneduci, Joint measurability through Naimark theorem, *preprint* arXiv: 1404.1477v1.
- [17] R. Beneduci, F.E. Schroeck Jr., J.Phys. A 46 (2013) 305303.
- [18] S.K. Berberian, Notes on Spectral theory, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, New York, 1966.
- [19] P. Billingsley, Convergence of Probability Measures, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968.
- [20] P. Busch, M. Grabowski, P. Lahti, Operational quantum physics, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 31, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [21] P. Busch, P. Lahti, R. Werner, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014) 042111.
- [22] P. Busch, T. Heinosaari, J. Schulze, N. Stevens, Comparing the degrees of incompatibility inherent in probabilistic physical theories, EPL 103 (2013) 10002-p1-p6.
- [23] E.B. Davies, J.T. Lewis, Comm. Math. Phys. 17 (1970) 239.
- [24] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, Linear Operators, part II, Interscience Publisher, New York, 1963.
- [25] C. Garola, S. Sozzo, Int. J. Theor. Phys., 49 (2009) 3101-3117.
- [26] W. Guz, Int. J. Theo. Phys. 23 (1984) 157-184.
- [27] P.R. Halmos, Measure Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [28] C.W. Helstrom, Quantum Detection and Estimation Theory, Academic, New York, 1976.
- [29] T. Heinonen, P. Lahti, J.P. Pelloppää, S. Pulmannova, K. Ylinen, The norm-1 property of a quantum observable, Journal of Mathematical Physics 44 (2003) 1998-2008.

- [30] T. Heinosaari and M. Ziman's, The mathematical Language of Quantum Theory from uncertainty to entanglement, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [31] A.S. Holevo, An analog of the theory of statistical decisions in non-commutative probability theory, Trans, Moscow Math. Soc. 26 (1972) 133.
- [32] A.S. Holevo, Probabilistics and statistical aspects of quantum theory, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [33] A. Jenčová , S. Pulmannová, Rep. Math. Phys. 59 (2007) 257-266.
- [34] A. Jenčová, S. Pulmannová, Characterizations of Commutative POV Measures, Found. Phys. 39 (2009) 613-624.
- [35] A. Jenčová , S. Pulmannová, E. Vinceková, Sharp and fuzzy observables on effect algebras, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 47 (2008) 125-148.
- [36] K. Kuratowski, A. Mostowski, Set Theory with an introduction to descriptive set theory, North-Holland, New York, 1976.
- [37] K. Kuratowski, Topology, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [38] P. Lahti, M. Maczynski, K. Ylinen, The Moment Operators of Phase Space Observables and their Number Margins, Rep. Math. Phys. 41 (1998) 319-331.
- [39] E. Prugovečki, Stochastic Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Spacetime, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland, 1984.
- [40] F.E. Schroeck Jr., Int. J. Theo. Phys. 28 (1989) 247.
- [41] F.E. Schroeck Jr., Quantum Mechanics on Phase Space, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [42] W. Stulpe, Classical Representations of Quantum Mechanics Related to Statistically Complete Observables, Wissenschaft und Technik Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Also available: quant-ph/0610122