

PERIODIC MEASURES ARE DENSE IN INVARIANT MEASURES FOR RESIDUALLY FINITE AMENABLE GROUP ACTIONS WITH SPECIFICATION

XIANKUN REN

Abstract We prove that for actions of a discrete countable residually finite amenable group on a compact metric space with specification property, periodic measures are dense in the set of invariant measures. We also prove that some certain expansive actions of a countable discrete group by automorphisms of compact abelian groups have specification property.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a discrete countable residually finite amenable group acting on a compact metric space X . Denote by $\mathcal{M}(X, G)$ the set of G -invariant measures and $\mathcal{M}_e(X, G)$ the set of ergodic G -invariant measures. For a point $x \in X$, we call x a periodic point if $|\text{orb}(x)| < \infty$. Denote the periodic measure μ_x as a probability measure with mass $|\text{orb}(x)|^{-1}$ at each point of $\text{orb}(x)$ and we denote $\mathcal{M}_P(X)$ the set of all such periodic measures by $\mathcal{M}_P(X)$.

For $G = \mathbb{Z}$ the theory of such dynamical system is well studied. For example in [14], Sigmund proved the periodic measures are dense in invariant measures for Axiom A-diffeomorphisms. In [7], Hirayama proved that the measures supported by hyperbolic periodic points are dense in invariant measures for mixing $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms. In [9], Liang, Liu and Sun proved that each invariant measure in a non-uniformly hyperbolic system can be approximated by atomic measures on hyperbolic periodic orbits.

Throughout this paper, G will be a infinite discrete countable residually finite amenable group acting on a compact metric space X with metric ρ . We will recall some definitions and terminology in the next section.

Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a discrete countable residually finite amenable group acting on a compact metric space X with specification property. Then $\mathcal{M}_P(X, G)$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}(X, G)$ in the weak* topology. Moreover $\mathcal{M}_e(X, G)$ is residual in $\mathcal{M}(X, G)$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let Γ be a countable discrete group and f an element of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ invertible in $l^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. Then the action of Γ on X_f has specification property.*

Key words and phrases. Residually finite amenable group action, periodic measure, specification property.

2010 Mathematics Subjects Classification. Primary 37B40, 37A35, 22D25.

2. PRELIMINARY

In this section we will set up some notions and basic facts about amenable group and residually finite group. Also we will give the definition of specification.

2.1. Amenable group. A countable group G is amenable if there exists a sequence of finite subsets $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n \triangle KF_n|}{|F_n|} = 0, \forall K \in \mathcal{F}(G),$$

where $\mathcal{F}(G)$ is the collection of all finite subsets of G . Such sequences are said Følner sequences.

The quasi tiling theory is a useful tool for amenable group actions which is set up by Ornstein and Weiss in [12]. Subsets $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ are ε -disjoint if there exists $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}(G)$ such that

- (1) $B_i \subset A_i \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$
- (2) $\frac{|B_i|}{|A_i|} > 1 - \varepsilon \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$

For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, we say $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$ α -covers $A \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ if

$$\frac{|A \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i)|}{|A|} \geq \alpha.$$

We say that $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}(G)$ ε -quasi-tiling $A \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ if there exists $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}(G)$ satisfying

- (1) $A_i C_i \subset A$ and $\{A_i C_i\}_{i=1}^k$ forms an ε -disjoint family for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$
- (2) $A_i C_i \cap A_j C_j \neq \emptyset \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k,$
- (3) $\{A_i C_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ forms a $(1 - \varepsilon)$ -cover of A . The subsets C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k are called the tiling centers.

The following proposition is [15, Theorem 2.6].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\{e_G\} \in F_1 \subset F_2 \subset$ and $\{F'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two Følner sequences of G . Then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k with $n_k > n_{k-1} > \dots > n_1 > N$ such that $F_{n_1}, F_{n_2}, \dots, F_{n_k}$ ε -quasi-tiling F'_m when m is large enough.*

We will change Proposition 2.1 a little bit for our use. This is a light reformulation of [8, Proposition 2.3]. We describe these changes briefly, using the same notation and terminology in [8]. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4}), N \in \mathbb{N}$ and k, δ are as in [8, Proposition 2.3]. Choose n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k such that $F_{n_{i+1}}$ is $(F_{n_i} F_{n_i}^{-1}, \delta)$ -invariant and $\frac{|F_{n_i}|}{|F_{n_{i+1}}|} < \delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. Then there is some $g_i \in G$, satisfying $F_{n_i} g_i \subset F_{n_{i+1}}$. Denote by $h_i = g_i g_{i+1} \dots g_{k-1}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ and $h_k = e_G$, then $F_{n_1} h_1 \subset F_{n_2} h_2 \subset \dots \subset F_{n_k}$. Pick $g \in G$ such that $e_G \in F_{n_1} h_1 g$. Denote by $\tilde{F}_{n_i} = F_{n_i} h_i g$. Then $e_G \in \tilde{F}_{n_1} \subset \tilde{F}_{n_2} \subset \dots \subset \tilde{F}_{n_k}$. From proposition 2.3 in [8], we know F'_m can be ε -quasi tiled by $\tilde{F}_{n_1}, \tilde{F}_{n_2}, \dots, \tilde{F}_{n_k}$ when m is sufficiently large. Since \tilde{F}_{n_i} is just a translation of F_{n_i} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, so F'_m can be ε -quasi-tiled by $F_{n_1}, F_{n_2}, \dots, F_{n_k}$. Hence we get the next proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{F'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two Følner sequences of G . Then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k with $n_k > n_{k-1} > \dots > n_1 > N$ such that $F_{n_1}, F_{n_2}, \dots, F_{n_k}$ ε -quasi-tile F'_m when m is large enough.*

For our proof, we also need the Mean Ergodic Theorem for amenable group actions. Readers may refer [10, Theorem 1.1].

Lemma 2.3 (Mean Ergodic Theorem). *Let G be an amenable group acting on a measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) by measure preserving transformation, and let $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Følner sequence. Then for any $f \in L^1(\mu)$, there is a G -invariant $\bar{f} \in L^1(\mu)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} f(gx) = \bar{f}(x) \quad \text{in } L^1.$$

Moreover $\int f(x) d\mu = \int \bar{f}(x) d\mu$. In particular, if the G -action is ergodic,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} f(gx) = \int f(x) d\mu(x) \quad \text{in } L^1.$$

For more information about ergodic theorem, readers may refer [11] or [6, Chapter 8].

2.2. Residually finite group. A group is residually finite if the intersection of all its normal subgroups of finite index is trivial. Examples of groups that are residually finite are finite groups, free groups, finitely generated nilpotent groups, polycyclic-by-finite groups, finitely generated linear groups, and fundamental groups of 3-manifolds. For more information about residually finite groups readers can refer [3, Chapter 2].

Let $(G_n, n \geq 1)$ be a sequence of finite index normal subgroups in G . We say

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n = \{e_G\}$$

if we can find, for any $K \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, an $N > 1$ with $G_n \cap (K^{-1}K) = \{e_G\}$ for every $n \geq N$. Clearly such sequence exists if G is countable and residually finite.

If $G' \subset G$ is a subgroup with finite index, and $Q \subset G$ is a *fundamental domain* of the right coset space G/G' , i.e. a finite subset such that $\{sQ \mid s \in G'\}$ is a partition of G .

The following proposition is [5, Corollary 5.6] and we will use it to control the periodic orbits we get.

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a countable discrete residually finite amenable group and let $(G_n, n \geq 1)$ be a sequence of finite index normal subgroup with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n =$*

$\{e_G\}$. Then there exists a Følner sequence $(Q_n, n \geq 1)$ such that Q_n is a fundamental domain of G/G_n for every $n \geq 1$.

2.3. Specification. Specification is an orbit tracing property which is very useful in finding periodic orbits. In [1], Bowen was the first to state this notion and Ruelle investigated the extension of this notion to \mathbb{Z}^d -action in [13]. Chung and Li again generalized the property to general group actions in [4].

Let α be a continuous G -action on a compact metric space X with metric ρ . The action has specification property if there exist, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, a nonempty finite subset $F = F(\varepsilon)$ of G with the following property :
for any finite collection of finite subsets F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m of G satisfying

$$FF_i \cap F_j = \emptyset \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m \quad (1)$$

and for any subgroup G' of G with

$$FF_i \cap F_j(G' \setminus \{e_G\}) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq m. \quad (2)$$

Then for any collection of points $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^m \in X$ there is a point $y \in X$ satisfying

$$\rho(sx^i, sy) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } s \in F_i, 1 \leq i \leq m \quad (3)$$

and $sy = y$ for all $s \in G'$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM

Let $(G_n, n \geq 1)$ be a sequence of finite index normal subgroup with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n = \{e_G\}$ and a Følner sequence $(Q_n, n \geq 1)$ such that Q_n is a fundamental domain of G/G_n as described in Proposition 2.4.

Let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X, G)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and W a finite subset of $C(X)$. Uniformly continuity of the elements of W implies that there is $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $|\xi(x) - \xi(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$ for all $\xi \in W$.

Define

$$Q(G) = \{x \in X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{g \in Q_n} \xi(gx) \text{ exists for all } \xi \in C(X)\}$$

We call $Q(G)$ the basin of ν . By Birkhoff Ergodic theorem, $\nu(Q(G)) = 1$.

Denote by $\xi^*(x)$ the limit for each $x \in Q(G)$.

Moreover,

$$\int_{Q(G)} \xi^*(x) d\nu = \int_{Q(G)} \xi(x) d\nu.$$

Next we will construct a finite partition as following:

Set $D = \max_{\xi \in W} \|\xi\|_\infty$

For $j = 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{16D}{\varepsilon}] + 1$ define

$$Q_j(\xi) = \{x \in Q(G) \mid -D + \frac{j-1}{8}\varepsilon \leq \xi^*(x) < -D + \frac{j}{8}\varepsilon\}.$$

Since W is finite

$$\eta := \bigvee_{\xi \in W} \{Q_1(\xi), \dots, Q_{[\frac{16D}{\varepsilon}] + 1}(\xi)\}$$

is a finite partition of $Q(G)$, where $\alpha \vee \beta = \{A_i \cap B_j \mid A_i \in \alpha, B_j \in \beta\}$ for partitions

$\alpha = \{A_i\}$ and $\beta = \{B_j\}$

Next we will construct F_1, F_2, \dots, F_t and G_m satisfying (1) and (2) in specification property. The idea is from [16] but some little modifications. Suppose $\eta = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_l\}$. Let $a_i = \nu(A_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ and $a = \min\{a_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, l\}$.

By Egorov's Theorem, there exist a Borel subset $X' \subset X$ with $\nu(X') > 1 - \frac{1}{4}a$ and

$\frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{g \in Q_n} \xi(gx)$ converges to $\xi^*(x)$ uniformly on X' .

Take $0 < \gamma < \min\{\frac{\delta}{16l}, \frac{\delta}{8D|F|}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\delta}{16Dl}\}$ and $F = F(\gamma)$ as in the specification

property. Take $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\forall x \in X'$, $|\frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{g \in Q_n} \xi(gx) - \xi^*(x)| < \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon$, for all

$n \geq N_1$. Take $N_2 > N_1$ large enough s.t. $\frac{|gQ_n \triangle Q_n|}{|Q_n|} < \frac{\gamma}{4|F|^{2l}}$ for all $g \in F$, $n \geq N_2$.

By Proposition 2.2 there exist $n_k > n_{k-1} > \dots > n_1 > N_2$ and $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. Q_m

can be $\frac{\gamma}{4|F|^{2l}}$ -quasi-tile by $Q_{n_1}, Q_{n_2}, \dots, Q_{n_k}$ when $m > N_3$. Also N_3 will be large

enough such that the family of all the translations

$$\mathcal{F} = \{Q_{n_j}c_j : 1 \leq i \leq k, c_j \in C_j\}$$

can be partitioned into l subfamilies \mathcal{F}_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$ satisfying

$$\left| \frac{|\mathcal{F}_i|}{|Q_m|} - a_i \right| < \frac{\gamma}{l}$$

where $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_i = \bigcup_{Q_{n_j}c_j \in \mathcal{F}_i} \{F_{n_j}c_j\}$. Moreover the elements in \mathcal{F} are pairwise $\frac{\gamma}{4|F|^{2l}}$ -disjoint.

We can choose $\{\widetilde{T}_{n_j}(c_j)c_j \subset Q_{n_j}c_j \in \mathcal{F}\}$ are pairwise disjoint and $\frac{|\widetilde{T}_{n_j}(c_j)|}{|F_{n_j}|} >$

$1 - \frac{\gamma}{4|F|^{2l}}$. Denote

$$T_{n_j}(c_j) = \{s \in \widetilde{T}_{n_j}(c_j) | Fs \subset Q_{n_j}\}$$

and

$$S_{n_j}(c_j) = T_{n_j}(c_j) \cap FT_{n_j}(c_j).$$

By the definition, we know $\frac{|Q_{n_j} \setminus T_{n_j}(c_j)|}{|Q_{n_j}|} < \frac{\gamma}{2|F|}$ and $\frac{|Q_{n_j} \setminus S_{n_j}(c_j)|}{|Q_{n_j}|} < \gamma$. Denote

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j | S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j \subset Q_{n_j}c_j \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\} \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_i = \{S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j | Q_{n_j}c_j \in \mathcal{F}_i\}$$

Claim: $\{S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j | S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\}$ and G_m satisfy the conditions in specification property.

For different $S_{n_{j_1}}(c_{j_1})c_{j_1}$ and $S_{n_{j_2}}(c_{j_2})c_{j_2}$ we have

$$(S_{n_{j_1}}(c_{j_1})c_{j_1} \cap FS_{n_{j_2}}(c_{j_2})c_{j_2}) \subset (S_{n_{j_1}}(c_{j_1})c_{j_1} \cap T_{n_{j_2}}(c_{j_2})c_{j_2}) \subset (\widetilde{T}_{n_{j_1}}(c_{j_1})c_{j_1} \cap \widetilde{T}_{n_{j_2}}(c_{j_2})c_{j_2}) = \emptyset$$

By Proposition 2.4, we know

$$G = \bigsqcup_{g \in Q_n} gG_n \tag{4}$$

$$= \bigsqcup_{g \in Q_n} (g \bigsqcup g(G_n \setminus \{e_G\})) \tag{5}$$

For different $S_{n_{j_1}}(c_{j_1})c_{j_1}$ and $S_{n_{j_2}}(c_{j_2})c_{j_2}$, since $FS_{n_{j_1}}(c_{j_1})c_{j_1} \cap S_{n_{j_2}}(c_{j_2})c_{j_2} = \emptyset$, by (4), $FS_{n_{j_1}}(c_{j_1})c_{j_1} \cap S_{n_{j_2}}(c_{j_2})c_{j_2} G_m = \emptyset$; for the same $S_{n_{j_1}}(c_{j_1})c_{j_1}$ and $S_{n_{j_2}}(c_{j_2})c_{j_2}$, by (5), we get $FS_{n_{j_1}}(c_{j_1})c_{j_1} \cap S_{n_{j_2}}(c_{j_2})c_{j_2} (G_m \setminus \{e_G\}) = \emptyset$. We get that $\{S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j\}$ and G_m satisfy the conditions (1) and (2) in the specification property.

Next we will construct the periodic measure.

For $S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$, pick some x related to $S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j$ denoted by $x_j(c_j)$ satisfying $x_j(c_j) \in c_j^{-1}A_j \cap X'$. Using the specification property, there is some y such that $\rho(gx_j(c_j), gy) < \gamma < \delta, \forall g \in S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j$. Denote

$$\mu_y = \frac{1}{|\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j \in \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_i} \sum_{g \in S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j} \delta_{gy} \right)$$

where δ_y is the Dirac measure on y .

Claim: $|\int \xi d\nu - \int \xi d\mu_y| < \varepsilon$, for all $\xi \in W$

$$\begin{aligned} |\int \xi d\nu - \int \xi d\mu_y| &= \left| \int \xi^*(x) d\nu - \int \xi(x) d\mu_y \right| \\ &= \left| \int \xi^*(x) d\nu - \frac{1}{|\cup \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_i} \sum_{g \in S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j} \xi(gy) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int \xi^*(x) d\nu - \frac{1}{|\cup \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_i} \sum_{g \in S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j} \xi(gx_{n_j}(c_j)) \right| + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \\ &\leq \left| \int \xi^*(x) d\nu - \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_i} \frac{|S_{n_j}(c_j)|}{|\cup \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|} \frac{1}{|S_{n_j}(c_j)|} \sum_{g \in S_{n_j}(c_j)c_j} \xi(gx_j(c_j)) \right| + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Pick $x_i \in A_i \cap X^*$, then $|\xi^*(x) - \xi^*(x_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ for all $x \in A_i$ by the construction

of η . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{|Q_{n_j}|} \sum_{g \in Q_{n_j}} \xi(gc_jx_j(c_j)) - \frac{1}{|Q_{n_j}|} \sum_{g \in Q_{n_j}} \xi(gx_i) \right| &\leq |\xi^*(c_jx_j(c_j)) - \xi^*(x_i)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{8}\varepsilon \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{|S_{n_j}(c_j)|} \sum_{g \in S_{n_j}} \xi(gc_j x_j(c_j)) - \frac{1}{|Q_{n_j}|} \sum_{g \in Q_{n_j}} \xi(gc_j x_j(c_j)) \right| \\
& \leq \left| \frac{1}{|S_{n_j}(c_j)|} \sum_{g \in S_{n_j}(c_j)} \xi(gc_j x_j(c_j)) - \frac{1}{|S_{n_j}(c_j)|} \sum_{g \in Q_{n_j}} \xi(gc_j x_j(c_j)) \right| \\
& + \left| \frac{1}{|S_{n_j}(c_j)|} \sum_{g \in Q_{n_j}} \xi(gc_j x_j(c_j)) - \frac{1}{|Q_{n_j}|} \sum_{g \in Q_{n_j}} \xi(gc_j x_j(c_j)) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|S_{n_j}(c_j)|} D |Q_{n_j} \setminus S_{n_j}(c_j)| + \frac{|Q_{n_j}| D}{|S_{n_j}(c_j)| |Q_{n_j}|} (|Q_{n_j}| - |S_{n_j}(c_j)|) \\
& \leq \frac{2D\gamma}{1-\gamma} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Taking (7) and (8) into (6), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int \xi d\nu - \int \xi d\mu_y \right| & \leq \left| \int \xi^* d\nu - \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{S_{n_j} c_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i} \frac{|S_{n_j}(c_j)|}{|\cup \tilde{\mathcal{F}}|} \frac{1}{|Q_{n_j}|} \sum_{g \in Q_{n_j}} \xi(gc_j x_j(c_j)) \right| + \frac{3}{8} \varepsilon \\
& \leq \left| \int \xi^*(x) d\nu - \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{S_{n_j}(c_j) c_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i} \frac{|S_{n_j}(c_j)|}{|\cup \tilde{F}|} \xi^*(c_j x_j(c_j)) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \\
& \leq \left| \int \xi^*(x) d\nu - \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \xi^*(x_i) \right| + \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon \\
& \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{A_i} \xi^*(x) - \xi^*(x_i) d\nu \right| + \frac{7}{8} \varepsilon \\
& \leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

For the moreover part, we just need the following claim.

Claim: Suppose (K, ρ) is a convex compact metric set and denote the set of extreme points of K by $\text{ext}(K)$. Then $\text{ext}(K)$ is a G_δ subset of K .

Let

$$K_n = \left\{ x \in K : \text{there exist } y, z \in K \text{ such that } x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z) \text{ and } d(y, z) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

Obviously, K_n is closed. Let $K_0 = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_n$ and K_0 is a F_σ subset. It is easy to check

$$x \notin \text{ext}(K) \Leftrightarrow x \in K_0.$$

As a result, $\text{ext}(K) = K \setminus K_0$ is a G_δ subset of K . We know that $\mathcal{M}_e(X, G)$ is the set of extreme points of $\mathcal{M}(X, G)$. So by the claim, $\mathcal{M}_e(X, G)$ is a G_δ set. Combined with periodic measure is ergodic, we get the moreover part.

So we prove the Theorem 1.1. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

For a countable group Γ and an element $f = \sum f_s s$ in the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, consider the quotient $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f$ of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ by the left ideal $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f$ generated by f . It is a discrete abelian group with a left Γ -action by multiplication. The Pontryagin dual

$$X_f = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f}$$

is a compact abelian group with a left action of Γ by continuous group automorphisms and we denote by ρ the compatible metric on X_f . Denote $X = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$. The left and right actions l and r on X are defined by

$$(l^s x)_t = x_{s^{-1}t} \text{ and } (r^s x)_t = x_{ts}$$

for every $s, t \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$. We can extend those actions of Γ to commuting actions l and r of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ on X by setting

$$l_f x = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} f_s l^s x \text{ and } r_f x = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} f_s r^s x.$$

It is easy to check $X_f = \{x \in X \mid r_f x = x r^* = 0\}$, where $f^* = \sum f_s s^{-1}$. Denote by

$$\alpha_f = l|_{X_f},$$

the restriction to X_f of the Γ -action l on X .

From in [5, Theorem 3.2], **the action α_f is expansive if and only if f is invertible in $l^1(\Gamma)$** . Denote by P the canonical map $l^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow X$. Let $\xi = P \circ r_{f^{-1}} : L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow X_f$. By in [5, Proposition 4.2], ξ is a surjective group homomorphism.

The following lemma is in [5, Lemma 4.5]

Lemma 4.1. *For every $x \in X_f$ there exists an element $v \in l^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\xi(v) = x$ and $\|v\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{2}$.*

Proof. The proof is really easy. We choose $w \in P^{-1}(X_f) \subset l^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ with $P(w) = x$ and $-\frac{1}{2} \leq w_s < \frac{1}{2}$ for every $s \in \Gamma$. Then $v = r_f(w)$ is what we need. \square

Denote by $W = \{e_\Gamma\} \cup \text{support}(f^*) = (\{e_\Gamma\} \cup \text{support}(f))^{-1}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we can find a nonempty finite subset W_1 of Γ and $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \|f\|_1^{-1})$ such that $x, y \in X_f$ satisfy $\max_{s \in W_1} |x_s - y_s| \leq 2\varepsilon_1$, then $\rho(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$. Take a finite subset W_2 of Γ containing e_Γ satisfying $\sum_{s \in \Gamma \setminus W_2^{-1}} \|(f^*)^{-1}\|_1 < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2\|f\|_1}$. Denote by $\tilde{F} = W_1 W_2 (W_1 W_2)^{-1}$.

For any finite collection of finite subsets F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m of Γ satisfying $\tilde{F} F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$ and any collection of points x^1, x^2, \dots, x^m . By Lemma 4.1, pick $v^1, v^2, \dots, v^m \in l^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\|v^i\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{2}$ and $\xi(v^i) = x^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let $v \in l^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ be a point with $\|v\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{2}$ and $v_s = v_s^i$ for $s \in F_i^{-1} W_1 W_2$. v is well defined since $F_i^{-1} W_1 W_2 \cap F_j^{-1} W_1 W_2 = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$.

Let

$$\tilde{w}_s = \begin{cases} (f^{-1})_s & s \in W_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Claim1: $y = \xi(v)$ satisfies $\rho(sx^i, sy) < \varepsilon \forall s \in F_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

By the choice of W_1 , we just need to show $|(sx^i)_t - (sy)_t| < 2\varepsilon_1 \forall s \in F_i$ and $t \in W_1$ i.e. $|x_s^i - y_s| < 2\varepsilon_1$ for all $s \in F_i^{-1} W_1$.

For any $s \in F_i^{-1} W_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_s^i - y_s| &= |P \circ r_{f^{-1}}(v^i)_s - P \circ r_{f^{-1}}(v)_s| \\ &= |P \circ r_{f^{-1}}(v^i)_s - P \circ r_{\tilde{w}}(v^i)_s + P \circ r_{\tilde{w}}(v^i)_s - P \circ r_{\tilde{w}}(v)_s \\ &\quad + P \circ r_{\tilde{w}}(v)_s - P \circ r_{f^{-1}}(v)_s|. \end{aligned}$$

For $s \in F_i^{-1} W_1$, we get the following calculations,

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{f^{-1}}(v^i)_s - r_{\tilde{w}}(v^i)_s| &= \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f_t^{-1} v_{st}^i - \tilde{w}_t v_{st}^i \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{t \in W_2} f_t^{-1} v_{st}^i - \tilde{w}_t v_{st}^i \right| + \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma \setminus W_2} f_t v_{st}^i - \tilde{w}_t v_{st}^i \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2\|f\|_1} \cdot \frac{\|f\|_1}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{\tilde{w}}(v^i)_s - r_{\tilde{w}}(v)_s| &= \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma} \tilde{w}_t (v^i)_{st} - \tilde{w}_t v_{st} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{t \in W_2} \tilde{w}_t (v^i)_{st} - \tilde{w}_t v_{st} \right| = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|r_{\tilde{w}}(v)_s - r_{f^{-1}}(v)_s| &= \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma} \tilde{w}_t v_{st} - f_t^{-1} v_{st} \right| \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{t \in W_2} \tilde{w}_t v_{st} - f_t^{-1} v_{st} \right| + \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma \setminus W_2} \tilde{w}_t v_{st} - f_t^{-1} v_{st} \right| \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma \setminus W_2} f_t^{-1} v_{st} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

By (10)–(12), we get

$$\rho(sx^i, sy) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } s \in F_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

The following lemma is a version [2, Lemma 1], also appeared in the proof of Lemma 6.2 in [4].

Lemma 4.2. *Let α be an expansive continuous action of Γ on a compact metric space (X, ρ) . Let $d > 0$ such that if $x, y \in X$ satisfy $\sup_{s \in \Gamma} \rho(sx, sy) \leq d$, then $x = y$. Let x, y satisfy $\rho(sx, sy) \leq d$ for all but finite many $s \in \Gamma$. Then $\rho(sx, sy) \rightarrow 0$ as $\Gamma \ni s \rightarrow \infty$.*

Denote $\Delta(X_f) = \{x \in X_f \mid \rho(sx, se_{X_f}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \Gamma \ni s \rightarrow \infty\}$.

Claim2: Let d be the expansive coefficient of (X_f, α_f) i.e. for $x, y \in X_f$ with $\rho(sx, sy) \leq d$ for all $s \in \Gamma$ implies $x = y$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, d)$ and $\tilde{F}(\varepsilon) = \tilde{F}$ as in Claim1. Then for any finite subset F_1 of Γ and $x \in X_f$, there exists $y \in \Delta(X_f)$ s.t. $\max_{s \in F_1} \rho(sx, sy) \leq \varepsilon$ and $\sup_{s \in \Gamma \setminus \tilde{F}F_1} \rho(e_{X_f}, sy) \leq \varepsilon$.

To prove the above claim, we may assume $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}^{-1}$ otherwise we can replace \tilde{F} by $\tilde{F} \cup \tilde{F}^{-1}$. Let F_1 be a finite subset of Γ and $x \in X_f$. For each finite set $F_2 \subset \Gamma \setminus \tilde{F}F_1$. From claim1, we can find $y_{F_2} \in X_f$ such that $\rho(sx, sy_{F_2}) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $s \in F_1$ and $\rho(se_{X_f}, sy_{F_2}) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $s \in F_2$. Note that the collection of the finite subsets of $\Gamma \setminus \tilde{F}F_1$ has a partial order. Take a limit point $y \in X_f$ of $\{y_{F_2}\}_{F_2}$. Then $\rho(sx, sy) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $s \in F_1$ and $\rho(se_{X_f}, sy) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $\Gamma \setminus \tilde{F}F_1$. By Lemma 4.2, we know $y \in \Delta(X_f)$. \square

Take some $\delta > 0$ satisfying $\rho(x, y) < \delta$ implies $|x_{e_\Gamma} - y_{e_\Gamma}| < \varepsilon_1$, for all $x, y \in X_f$. Take $W_3 = W_3(\delta)$ as described in Claim2, such that for any finite subsets F_1 of Γ and $x \in X_f$ there exists $y \in \Delta(X_f)$ with $\rho(sx, sy) < \delta$ for all $s \in F_1$ and $\rho(sy, e_{X_f}) < \delta$ for all $s \in \Gamma \setminus W_3F_1$ which implies $|x_s - y_s| < \varepsilon_1$ for all $s \in F_1^{-1}$ and $|y_s| < \varepsilon_1$ for all $s \in \Gamma \setminus (W_3F_1)^{-1}$ by the choice of δ .

Take $F = W_1W_2W_3^{-1}W(W_1W_2W_3^{-1}W)^{-1}$.

For any finite collection of finite subsets F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m of Γ , and subgroup Γ' satisfy Condition 4 and 5. By the form of F , we will rewrite the conditions as

$$F_i^{-1}W_1W_2W_3^{-1}W \cap F_j^{-1}W_1W_2W_3^{-1}W = \emptyset \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m, \tag{13}$$

and

$$F_i W_1 W_2 W_3^{-1} W \cap (\Gamma' \{e_\Gamma\}) F_j^{-1} W_1 W_2 W_3^{-1} W = \emptyset \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m. \quad (14)$$

For any collection of points x^1, x^2, \dots, x^m in X_f , we can pick $y^1, y^2, \dots, y^m \in \Delta(X_f)$ with

$$\max_{s \in (W_1 W_2)^{-1} F_i} \rho(sx^i, sy^i) \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{s \in \Gamma \setminus (W_3 (W_1 W_2)^{-1} F_i)} \rho(sy^i, e_{X_f}) \leq \delta$$

which implies

$$\max_{s \in F_i^{-1} W_1 W_2} |x_s^i - y_s^i| \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{s \in \Gamma \setminus (F_i^{-1} W_1 W_2 W_3^{-1})} |y_s^i| \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad (15)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Take $\tilde{y}^i \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^\Gamma$ and $\tilde{x}^i \in [-1, 1]^\Gamma$ with $P(\tilde{y}^i) = y^i$ and $P(\tilde{x}^i) = x^i$ respectively such that

$$|\tilde{x}_s^i - \tilde{y}_s^i| \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad \forall s \in F_i^{-1} W_1 W_2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\tilde{y}_s^i| \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad \forall s \in \Gamma \setminus (F_i^{-1} W_1 W_2 W_3^{-1}).$$

For any $s \in \Gamma \setminus (F_i^{-1} W_1 W_2 W_3^{-1} W)$, one has

$$|(r_f \tilde{y}^i)_s| = \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f_t \tilde{y}_{st} \right| = \left| \sum_{t \in W^{-1}} f_t \tilde{y}_{st} \right| \leq \|f\|_1 \sup_{s \in \Gamma \setminus (W_1 W_2 W_3^{-1})} |\tilde{y}_s^i| < \|f\|_1 \cdot \varepsilon_1 < 1.$$

We note that $r_f \tilde{y}^i \in l^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$, thus $\text{support}(r_f \tilde{y}^i) \subset F_i^{-1} W_1 W_2 W_3^{-1} W$. By (13) and (14), we get the elements $sr_f \tilde{y}^i$ in $l^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ for $s \in \Gamma'$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$ have pairwise disjoint supports. Denote by $\tilde{z} = \sum_{s \in \Gamma'} \sum_{i=1}^m sr_f \tilde{y}^i$. By the definition, one gets $\tilde{z} \in l^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ and

$$\|\tilde{z}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|r_f \tilde{y}^i\| \leq \|f\|_1 \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|\tilde{y}^i\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{2}.$$

Set $\tilde{y} = r_{f^{-1}}(\tilde{z})$ and $y = P(\tilde{y}) = \xi(\tilde{z})$.

Claim3: y satisfies the conditions in specification property.

By the property of ξ , one gets $y \in X_f$. For each $s \in \Gamma'$, we have $s\tilde{z} = z$ and hence $sy = y$. For $x', y' \in l^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\|x'\|_\infty, \|y'\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1$ and x', y' are equal on sW_2 for some $s \in \Gamma$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |(r_{f^{-1}} x')_s - (r_{f^{-1}} y')_s| &= \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma} (f_t^{-1} x'_{st} - f_t^{-1} y'_{st}) \right| = \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma \setminus W_2} (f_t^{-1} x'_{st} - f_t^{-1} y'_{st}) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2\|f\|_1} \cdot 2\|f\|_1 = \varepsilon_1 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For any $t \in W_1$ and $s \in F_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(sx^i)_t - (sy)_t| &= |x_{s^{-1}t}^i - y_{s^{-1}t}^i + y_{s^{-1}t}^i - y_{s^{-1}t}| \\ &\leq |x_{s^{-1}t}^i - y_{s^{-1}t}^i| + |y_{s^{-1}t}^i - y_{s^{-1}t}| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon_1, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and the last inequality is getting from (15) and (16).

By the choice of W_1 , we finish the proof. \square

Combined by Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we can easily get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let Γ be a countable discrete residually finite amenable group and $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ invertible in $l^\infty(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. Then for the system (X_f, α_f) , $\mathcal{M}_P(X_f)$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}(X_f, \alpha_f)$.*

Acknowledgement: We thank Hanfeng Li for all the help while the author is visiting SUNY at Buffalo and suggestions in writing this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bowen, Periodic points and measures for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154 (1971), 377-397.
- [2] B.F. Bryant, On expansive homeomorphisms. Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 1163-1167.
- [3] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti and F. Tolli, Representation Theory and Harmonic Analysis of wreath products of finite groups. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 410 Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [4] N.P. Chung, H. Li, Homoclinic group, IE group, and expansive algebraic actions, Invent. Math. 199 (2015), no. 3, 805-858.
- [5] C. Deninger, K. Schmidt, Expansive algebraic of discrete residually finite amenable groups and their entropy, Ergod. Th. dynamical Sys. 27(2007), 769-786.
- [6] M. Einsiedler, T. Ward, Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 259, Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2011.
- [7] M. Hirayama, Periodic probability measures are dense in the set of invariant measures, Dist. Cont. Dyn. Sys., 9 (2003), 1185-1192.
- [8] W. Huang, X. Ye, G. Zhang, Local entropy for a countable discrete amenable group action, J. Funct. Anal. 261(4)(2011)1028-1082.
- [9] C. Liang, G. Liu, W. Sun, Approximation properties on invariant measures and Oseledec splitting in non-uniformly hyperbolic systems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), 1543-1579.
- [10] E. Lindenstrauss, Pointwise theorems for amenable groups. Electronic Research Announcements of the American Mathematical Society, 5(12)(1999), 82-90.
- [11] E. Lindenstrauss, Pointwise theorems for amenable groups, Invention. Math. 146(2001) 259-295

- [12] D.S.Ornstein, B. Weiss, Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups, J. Anal. Math. 48(1987)1-141.
- [13] D.Ruelle, Statistic mechanics on a compact set with Z^ν actions satisfying expansiveness and specification, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 185,237-251(1973).
- [14] K. Sigmund, Generic properties of invariant measures for Axiom A-diffeomorphisms, Invent. Math. 11(1970),99-109.
- [15] T. Ward, Q. Zhang, The Abramov-Rokhlin entropy addition formula for amenable group actions, Monatsh. Math. 114(3-4)(1992)317-329.
- [16] D. Zheng, E. Chen, J. Yang, On large deviations for amenable group actions, arXiv:1507.05130
Xiankun Ren, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China, and Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260-2900, U.S.A.
renxiankun@pku.edu.cn
xiankunr@buffalo.edu