

Torus breakdown in the symmetry-reduced state space of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system *

Nazmi Burak Budanur, Predrag Cvitanović

Abstract. Systems such as fluid flows in channels and pipes or the complex Ginzburg-Landau system, defined over periodic domains, exhibit both continuous symmetries, translational and rotational, as well as discrete symmetries under spatial reflections or complex conjugation. The simplest, and very common symmetry of this type is the equivariance of the defining equations under the orthogonal group $O(2)$. We formulate a novel symmetry-reduction scheme for such systems by combining the method of slices with invariant polynomial methods, and show how it works by applying it to the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system in one spatial dimension. As an example, we track a relative periodic orbit through a sequence of bifurcation to the onset of chaos. Within the symmetry-reduced state space we are able to compute and visualize the unstable manifolds of relative periodic orbits, their torus bifurcations, a transition to chaos via torus breakdown, and heteroclinic connections between various relative periodic orbits. It would be very hard to carry through such analysis in the full state space, without a symmetry reduction such as the one we present here.

Key words. partial differential equations, equivariant systems, relative periodic orbits, invariant tori, invariant manifolds, chaos, continuous symmetry, discrete symmetry, Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation

AMS subject classifications. 34C45, 35B05, 35B06, 35B10, 35B15, 37G15, 37G40, 37L20, 37L05, 65H10, 76F20, 90C53

1. Introduction. Originally derived as a simplification of the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation [18] and in the study of flame fronts [28], the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation is perhaps the simplest spatially extended dynamical system that exhibits spatiotemporal chaos. Similar in form to the Navier-Stokes equations, but much easier computationally, the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky partial differential equation (PDE) is a convenient sandbox for developing intuition about turbulence [14]. As for the Navier-Stokes, a state of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system is usually visualized by its shape over the configuration space (such as states shown in fig. 1). However, the function space of allowable PDE fields is an infinite-dimensional state space, with the instantaneous state of the field a point in this space. In spite of the state space being of high (and even infinite) dimension, evolution of the flow can be visualized, as generic trajectories are one-dimensional curves, and numerically exact solutions such as equilibria and periodic orbits are points or closed loops, in any state-space projection. There are many choices of a ‘state space’ - usually one starts out with the most immediate choice, the computational elements used in a finite-dimensional discretization of the PDE studied. As the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system in one space dimension, with periodic boundary condition, is equivariant under continuous translations and a reflection, for the case at hand the natural choice is a Fourier basis, truncated to a desired numerical accuracy. This is still a high-dimensional space: in numerical work performed here, 30-dimensional. For effective visualizations, one thus needs to carefully pick dynamically intrinsic coordinate frames, and projections on them [8, 10].

*Center for Nonlinear Science, School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0430, USA

Such dynamical systems visualisations of turbulent flows, complementary to the traditional spatio-temporal visualizations, offer invaluable insights into the totality of possible motions of a turbulent ‘fluid’. However, symmetries, and especially continuous symmetries, such as equivariance of the defining equations under spatial translations, tend to obscure the geometry of state space of the system by their preference for higher dimensional invariant N -tori solutions, such as relative equilibria and relative periodic orbits.

In order to avoid dealing with such effects of continuous symmetry, a number of earlier papers [6, 25, 24, 20, 26] study the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation within the flow-invariant subspace of solutions antisymmetric under the reflection. However, such restrictions to flow-invariant subspaces miss the physics of the problem: any symmetry invariant subspace is of zero measure in the full state space, so a generic turbulent trajectory explores the state space *outside* of it. Lacking continuous-symmetry reduction schemes, earlier papers on the geometry of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky flow in the full state space [12, 1, 17, 8] were restricted to the study of the smallest invariant structures: equilibria, their invariant stable/unstable manifolds, their heteroclinic connections, and their bifurcations under variations of the domain size.

Stationary solutions are important for understanding the state space geometry of a chaotic attractor, as their invariant unstable manifolds typically set the boundaries of the strange set. The Lorenz attractor is the best known example [29], and Gibson *et al.* [10] visualizations for the plane Couette flow are so far the highest-dimensional setting, where this claim appears to hold. In this paper we turn our attention to (relative) periodic orbits, which –unlike unstable equilibria– are embedded within the strange set, and are expected to capture physical properties of the ergodic flow. One can express the dynamical averages (dissipation rates, diffusion constants, etc.) over the long-time chaotic orbits in terms of averages computed over the periodic orbits by means of trace formulas, and evaluate them using cycle averaging formulas [7]. Collection of these methods for classical and quantum chaos is referred to as *periodic orbit theory* and their applicability relies heavily on the understanding of the flow topology and the associated symbolic dynamics. Refs. [6, 20], restricted to the reflection-invariant subspace of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky flow, have succeeded in constructing symbolic dynamics for several system sizes. In these examples, short periodic orbits have real Floquet multipliers, with very thin unstable manifolds, around which the longer periodic orbits are organized by means of nearly 1-dimensional Poincaré return maps.

In this paper we study the unstable manifolds of relative periodic orbits of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system in the full state space, with no symmetry restrictions. As in the symmetry-reduced state space relative periodic orbits are reduced to periodic orbits, we shall for brevity refer here to both relative periodic orbits and periodic orbits as ‘periodic orbits’. In contrast to flow-invariant subspace considered in refs. [6, 20], the shortest relative periodic orbit of the full system for small system sizes ($L < 21.22$) has a complex leading Floquet multiplier. This renders the associated unstable invariant manifold two dimensional. Elimination of the two marginal directions, the continuous shift and time translation symmetries, by a ‘slice’ and a Poincaré section conditions, enables us to study here this 2-dimensional unstable invariant manifold. We compute and visualize the unstable manifold of the shortest periodic orbit as we increase the system size towards the system’s transition to chaos.

Summary of our findings is as follows: At the system size $L \approx 21.22$, the shortest periodic

orbit undergoes a torus bifurcation [15] (also sometimes referred to as the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation [22, 27], if the flow is studied in a Poincaré section), which gives birth to a stable 2-torus. As the system size is increased, this torus first goes unstable, and is eventually destroyed by the bifurcation into stable and unstable pair of period 3 orbits, to which the unstable manifold of the parent orbit is heteroclinically connected. As the system size is increased further, the stable period 3 orbits goes unstable, then disappears, and the subsequent dynamics appears to be chaotic.

We begin by a short review of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system in the next section, and review the continuous symmetry-reduction by first Fourier mode slice method in sect. 3. The main innovation introduced in this paper is the invariant-polynomial discrete symmetry reduction method described in sect. 4. The new symmetry-reduction method is applied to and tested on the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system in sect. 5, where the method makes it possible to track the evolution of the shortest periodic orbit's unstable manifold through the system's transition to chaos. We discuss the implications of our results and possible future directions in sect. 6.

2. Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system and its symmetries. We study the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation in one configuration space dimension

$$(1) \quad u_\tau = -\frac{1}{2}(u^2)_x - u_{xx} - u_{xxxx},$$

with periodic boundary condition $u(x, \tau) = u(x + L, \tau)$. The real field $u(x, \tau)$ is the “flame front” velocity [28]. The domain size L is the bifurcation parameter for the system, which exhibits spatiotemporal chaos for sufficiently large L : see fig. 1 (e) for a typical spatiotemporally chaotic trajectory of the system at $L = 22$.




Figure 1. Examples of invariant solutions of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system and the ergodic flow visualized as the color coded amplitude of the scalar field $u(x, \tau)$: (a) Equilibrium E_1 , (b) Relative equilibrium TW_1 , (c) Pre-periodic orbit with period $T = 32.4$, (d) Relative periodic orbit with period $T = 33.5$. (e) Ergodic flow. Horizontal and vertical axes correspond to space and time respectively. System size $L = 22$. The invariant solutions and their labels are taken from ref. [8].

We discretize the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system by Fourier expanding the field $u(x, \tau) = \sum_k \tilde{u}_k(\tau) e^{iq_k x}$, and expressing (1) in terms of Fourier modes as an infinite set of ordinary

differential equations (ODEs)

$$(2) \quad \dot{\tilde{u}}_k = (q_k^2 - q_k^4) \tilde{u}_k - i \frac{q_k}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{u}_m \tilde{u}_{k-m}, \quad q_k = \frac{2\pi k}{L}.$$

Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation is *Galilean invariant*: if $u(x, \tau)$ is a solution, then $v + u(x - v\tau, \tau)$, with v an arbitrary constant velocity, is also a solution. In the Fourier representation (2), the Galilean invariance implies that the zeroth Fourier mode $\tilde{u}_0$ is decoupled from the rest and time-invariant. Hence, we exclude $\tilde{u}_0$ from the state space and represent a Kuramoto-Sivashinsky state $u = u(x, \tau)$ by the Fourier series truncated at $k=N$, i.e., a $2N$ -dimensional real valued state space vector

$$(3) \quad a = (b_1, c_1, b_2, c_2, \dots, b_N, c_N),$$

where $b_k = \text{Re}[\tilde{u}_k]$, $c_k = \text{Im}[\tilde{u}_k]$. One can rewrite (2) in terms of this real valued state space vector, and express the truncated set of equations compactly as

$$(4) \quad \dot{a} = v(a).$$

In our numerical work we use a pseudo-spectral formulation of (4), as described below in appendix A, and in detail in the appendix of ref. [8].

Spatial translations $u(x, \tau) \rightarrow u(x + \delta x, \tau)$ correspond to $\text{SO}(2)$ rotations $a \rightarrow D(g)a$ in the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky state space, with the matrix representation

$$(5) \quad D(g(\theta)) = \text{diag}[R(\theta), R(2\theta), \dots, R(N\theta)],$$

where $\theta = 2\pi\delta x/L$ and

$$R(k\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos k\theta & -\sin k\theta \\ \sin k\theta & \cos k\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

are $[2 \times 2]$ rotation matrices. The Kuramoto-Sivashinsky dynamics commutes with the action of (5), as can be verified by checking that (4) satisfies the equivariance relation

$$(6) \quad v(a) = D^{-1}(\theta)v(D(\theta)a).$$

By the translation symmetry of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky, each solution of PDE (1) has infinitely many dynamically equivalent copies that can be obtained by translations (5). Systems with continuous symmetries thus tend to have higher dimensional invariant solutions: relative equilibria (traveling waves) and relative periodic orbits. A *relative equilibrium*'s orbit coincides with its group orbit

$$a_{tw}(\tau) = D(g((\tau\dot{\theta})))a_{tw}(0),$$

where $\dot{\theta}$ is a constant phase velocity. A *relative periodic orbit* intersects the group orbit of the initial point after one period

$$(7) \quad a_{rpo}(0) = D(g(-\theta_p))a_{rpo}(T_p).$$

Fig. 1 (b) and (d) show configuration space visualizations of a Kuramoto-Sivashinsky relative equilibrium and relative periodic orbit. The sole dynamics of a relative equilibrium is a constant drift along the continuous symmetry direction, while a relative periodic orbit shifts by amount θ_p for each repeat of its period, and traces out a torus in the full state space.

The Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (1) has no preferred direction, and is thus also equivariant under the *reflection* symmetry $u(x, \tau) \rightarrow -u(-x, \tau)$: for each solution drifting left, there is a reflection-equivalent solution which drifts right. In terms of Fourier components, the reflection σ acts as complex conjugation followed by a negation, whose action on vectors in state space (3) is represented by the diagonal matrix which flips the real components b_i to $-b_i$,

$$(8) \quad D(\sigma) = \text{diag} [-1, 1, -1, 1, \dots, -1, 1] .$$

Due to this reflection symmetry, the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system also can have strictly non-drifting equilibria and (pre-)periodic orbits. An *equilibrium* is a stationary solution $a_{eq}(\tau) = a_{eq}(0)$. A *periodic orbit* p is periodic with period T_p , $a_p(0) = a_p(T_p)$, and a *pre-periodic orbit* is a relative periodic orbit

$$(9) \quad a_{ppo}(0) = D(\sigma) a_{ppo}(T_p)$$

which closes in the full state space after the second repeat, hence we refer to it here as ‘pre-periodic’.

In fig. 1 (a) we show equilibrium E_1 of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (so labelled in ref. [8]). If we were to reflect fig. 1 (a) with respect to $x = 0$ line, and then interchange red and blue colors, we would obtain the same solution; all equilibria belong to the flow-invariant subspace of solutions invariant under the reflection symmetry of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. Fig. 1 (b) shows a pre-periodic solution of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system: The dynamics of the second period can be obtained from the first one by reflecting it. Both equilibria and pre-periodic orbits have infinitely many copies that can be obtained by continuous translations, symmetric across the shifted symmetry line, $g(\theta)\sigma g(-\theta)$. Due to non-commutativity of reflection σ and translations $g(\theta)$, $(\sigma g(\theta) = -g(\theta)\sigma)$, or, in terms of the generator of translations, the reflection reverses the direction of the translation, $\sigma T = -T\sigma$. Let $f^\tau(a)$ denote the finite time flow mapping induced by (4) and a_{ppo} belong to a pre-periodic orbit defined by (9). Then the dynamics of the shifted point $a'_{ppo} = D(g(\theta)) a_{ppo}$ satisfies

$$f^{T_p}(a'_{ppo}) = D(g(\theta))D(\sigma)D(g(-\theta)) a'_{ppo} .$$

In contrast, a relative periodic orbit (7) also has a distinct reflected copy $a'_{rpo} = D(\sigma)a_{rpo}$ with reversed phase shift:

$$a'_{rpo}(0) = D(g(\theta_p)) a'_{rpo}(T_p) .$$

In order to carry out our analysis, we must first eliminate all these degeneracies. This we do by symmetry reduction, which we describe next.

3. SO(2) symmetry reduction. A group orbit of state a is the set of all state space points reached by applying all symmetry actions to a . *Symmetry reduction* is any coordinate transformation such in the symmetry-reduced state space each group orbit is represented by a unique state space point $\tilde{a}$. For the O(2) symmetry considered here, we achieve this in two steps.

Following ref. [5], we first reduce the SO(2) symmetry of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation by implementing the *first Fourier mode slice* method, i.e., by rotating the Fourier modes as

$$(10) \quad \hat{a}(\tau) = D(g(\phi(\tau))^{-1}) a(\tau),$$

where $\phi(\tau) = \arg(\tilde{u}_1(\tau))$. This transformation exists as long as the first mode in the Fourier expansion (10) does not vanish, $b_1^2 + c_1^2 > 0$, and its effect is to fix the phase of the first Fourier mode to zero for all times, as illustrated in fig. 2. Explicitly, the SO(2)-reduced state space is one dimension lower than the full state space, with coordinates

$$(11) \quad \hat{a} = (\hat{b}_1, 0, \hat{b}_2, \hat{c}_2, \dots, \hat{b}_N, \hat{c}_N).$$

The dynamics within the first Fourier mode slice is given by

$$(12) \quad \dot{\hat{a}} = \hat{v}(\hat{a}) = v(\hat{a}) - \frac{\dot{c}_1}{\hat{b}_1} T \hat{a},$$

where T is the generator of infinitesimal SO(2) transformations, $D(g(\theta)) = \exp T\theta$, and $\dot{c}_1$ is full state space orbit's out-of-slice velocity, the second element of the velocity field (4). For details we refer the reader to refs. [7, 5, 4].




Figure 2. A sketch of the full state space trajectory $a(\tau)$ (blue and red) projected onto the first Fourier mode subspace (b_1, c_1) , the rotation phases $\phi(\tau_1)$, $\phi(\tau_2)$ at times τ_1 and τ_2 , see (10), and the symmetry reduced orbit $(\hat{b}_1, \hat{c}_1 = 0)$. We are looking at the symmetry-reduced state space “from the top”; the remaining $2N - 2$ coordinates are all projected onto the origin.

4. $O(2)$ symmetry reduction. Our next challenge is to devise a transformation from (11) to discrete-symmetry reduced coordinates, where the equivariance under reflection is also reduced. Consider the action of reflection on the symmetry-reduced state space. In general, a slice is an arbitrarily oriented hyperplane, and action of the reflection σ can be rather complicated: it maps points within the slice hyperplane into points outside of it, which then have to be rotated into the slice.

Fortunately, the action of σ on the first Fourier mode slice is particularly simple. Action $D(\sigma)\hat{a}$ of (8) on (11) flips the sign of the first element, i.e., makes the phase of the first Fourier mode π . Rotating back into the slice by (10), we find that the reflection within the first Fourier mode slice acts by alternating the signs of even (real part) and odd (imaginary part) Fourier modes:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}(\sigma) &= D(g(-\pi))D(\sigma) \\ (13) \quad &= \text{diag}[1, -1, -1, 1, 1, -1, -1, 1, 1, \dots] . \end{aligned}$$

The action on the slice coordinates (where we for brevity omit all terms whose signs do not change under reflection) is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}(\sigma)(\hat{b}_2, \hat{c}_3, \hat{b}_4, \hat{c}_5, \hat{b}_6, \hat{c}_7, \dots) \\ (14) \quad &= (-\hat{b}_2, -\hat{c}_3, -\hat{b}_4, -\hat{c}_5, -\hat{b}_6, -\hat{c}_7, \dots) , \end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to find a transformation from (11) to some new, reflection-invariant coordinates. We could declare a half of the symmetry-reduced state space to be a ‘fundamental domain’ [7], with segments of orbits that exit it brought back by reflection, but such symmetry reduction makes orbits appear discontinuous and hard to visualize.

Miranda and Stone [21, 11] reduction of the C_2 symmetry of the Lorenz system (or the ‘the doubled-polar angle coordinates’ of ref. [7]) into a smooth flow by a nonlinear coordinate transformation into an invariant polynomial basis suggests our next step. Squaring (or taking absolute value of) each sign-flipping coordinate in (14) is not an option, since such coordinates would be invariant under every individual sign change of these coordinates, and that is not a symmetry of the system. We are allowed to impose *only one* condition to reduce the 2-element orbit of the discrete reflections subgroup of $O(2)$. Here is our proposal: in order to achieve the desired 2-to-1 symmetry reduction, we construct the first coordinate from squares, and ‘twine’ the successive sign-flipping terms into a quadratic invariant polynomial basis set

$$\begin{aligned} (p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, \dots) \\ (15) \quad &= (\hat{b}_2^2 - \hat{c}_3^2, \hat{b}_2\hat{c}_3, \hat{b}_4\hat{c}_5, \hat{b}_4\hat{c}_5, \dots) . \end{aligned}$$

The original coordinates can be recovered recursively by the 1-to-2 inverse transformation

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= \pm \sqrt{\frac{p_2 + \sqrt{p_2^2 + 4p_3^2}}{2}} \\ c_3 &= p_3/b_2, \quad b_4 = p_4/c_3, \quad c_5 = p_5/b_4, \quad \dots . \end{aligned}$$

To summarize: we first reduce the group orbits generated by the continuous $SO(2)$ symmetry subgroup by implementing the first Fourier mode slice (12), and then reduce the group

orbits of the discrete 2-element reflection subgroup by replacing the sign-changing coordinates (14) with the invariant polynomials (15). The final $O(2)$ symmetry-reduced coordinates are

$$(16) \quad \tilde{a} = (\hat{b}_1, 0, \hat{b}_2^2 - \hat{c}_3^2, \hat{c}_2, \hat{b}_3, \hat{b}_2\hat{c}_3, \hat{b}_4\hat{c}_3, \hat{c}_4, \hat{b}_5, \dots).$$

Here pairs of orbits related by reflection σ are mapped into a single orbit, and $\hat{c}_1$ is identically set to 0 by continuous symmetry reduction, thus the symmetry-reduced state space has one dimension less than the full state space.

The symmetry-reduced state space (16) retains all physical information of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system, without the extraneous symmetry copies: relative equilibria and relative periodic orbits of the original system become equilibria and periodic orbits in the symmetry-reduced state space (16), and pre-periodic orbits close after one period.

5. Invariant manifolds of a periodic orbit in the symmetry-reduced state space. In order to demonstrate the utility, and indeed, the necessity of the $O(2)$ symmetry reduction, we now investigate the transition to chaos in the neighborhood of a short Kuramoto-Sivashinsky pre-periodic orbit, focusing on the parameter range $L \in [21.0, 21.48]$. Our method yields a symmetry-reduced velocity field $\tilde{v}(\tilde{a}) = \dot{\tilde{a}}$ and a finite-time flow $\tilde{f}^\tau(\tilde{a}(0)) = \tilde{a}(\tau)$ in the symmetry-reduced state space (16). Although we can obtain $\tilde{v}(\tilde{a})$ by chain rule, we find its numerical integration unstable, hence in practice we obtain $\tilde{v}(\tilde{a})$ and $\tilde{f}^\tau(\tilde{a})$ from the first Fourier mode slice by applying the appropriate Jacobian matrices, as described in appendix A.

At $L = 21.0$, the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system has a globally stable pre-periodic orbit p_0 , which satisfies $\tilde{a}_{p_0} = \tilde{f}^{T_{p_0}}(\tilde{a}_{p_0})$ for any point on the pre-periodic orbit p_0 . Linear stability of a periodic orbit is described by the Floquet multipliers Λ_i and Floquet vectors $\tilde{V}_i$ which are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Jacobian matrix $\tilde{J}_p$ of the finite-time flow $\tilde{f}^{T_p}(\tilde{a}_p)$

$$\tilde{J}_p \tilde{V}_i = \Lambda_i \tilde{V}_i.$$

Each periodic orbit has at least one Floquet multiplier $\Lambda_v = 1$ corresponding to the velocity field direction. When $L < 21.22$, all other Floquet multipliers of p_0 has absolute values less than 1. At $L \approx 21.22$, leading Floquet multiplier Λ_1 crosses the unit circle, and the corresponding eigenplane spanned by the real and imaginary parts of $\tilde{V}_1$ starts to have ‘spiral out’ dynamics that connects to a 2-torus, as illustrated in fig. 3(b). In fig. 3 and the rest of the state space projections of this paper, projection bases are constructed as follows: Real and imaginary parts of the Floquet vector $\tilde{V}_1$ define an ellipse $\text{Re}[\tilde{V}_1] \cos \phi + \text{Im}[\tilde{V}_1] \sin \phi$ in the neighborhood of $\tilde{a}_{p_0}$, and we pick as the first two projection-subspace spanning vectors the principal axes of this ellipse. As the third vector we take the velocity field $\tilde{v}(\tilde{a}_{p_0})$, and the projection bases (e_1, e_2, e_3) are found by orthonormalization of these vectors via the Gram-Schmidt procedure. All state space projections are centered on $\tilde{a}_{p_0}$, i.e., $\tilde{a}_{p_0}$ is the origin of all Poincaré section projections.

In order to study dynamics within the neighborhood of p_0 , we define a Poincaré section as the hyperplane of points in an open neighborhood of $\tilde{a}_{p_0}$, orthogonal to the tangent $\tilde{v}(\tilde{a}_{p_0})$ of the orbit at the intersection point,

$$(17) \quad (\tilde{a} - \tilde{a}_{p_0}) \cdot \tilde{v}(\tilde{a}_{p_0}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{a} - \tilde{a}_{p_0}\| < \alpha,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean (or L_2) norm, and the threshold α is empirically set to $\alpha = 0.5$ throughout. From here on, we study the discrete time dynamics induced by the flow on this hyperplane, as visualized in fig. 3 (a). As an example, we follow a single trajectory starting from $\tilde{a}_{p_0} + 10^{-1}\text{Re}[\tilde{V}_1]$ as it connects to the 2-torus surrounding the periodic orbit in fig. 3 (b). For system size $L = 21.25$ the magnitude of the complex unstable Floquet multiplier pair is nearly marginal, $|\Lambda_{1,2}| = 1.00636$, hence the spiral-out is very slow.




Figure 3. (a) Pre-periodic orbit p_0 (red), its velocity field $\tilde{v}(\tilde{a}_{p_0})$ at the starting point (green), orthogonal vectors that span the eigenplane corresponding to the leading Floquet vectors (blue) and the Poincaré section hyperplane (gray). (b) Spiral-out dynamics of a single trajectory in the Poincaré section projected onto (e_1, e_2) plane, system size $L = 21.25$.

Assume that $\delta\tilde{a}(0)$ is a small perturbation to $\tilde{a}_{p_0}$ that lies in the plane spanned by $(\text{Re}[\tilde{V}_1], \text{Im}[\tilde{V}_1])$. Then there exists a coefficient vector $c = (c_1, c_2)^T$, with which we can express $\delta\tilde{a}(0)$ in this plane as

$$(18) \quad \delta\tilde{a}(0) = Wc,$$

where $W = [\text{Re}[\tilde{V}_1], \text{Im}[\tilde{V}_1]]$ has real and imaginary parts of the Floquet vector $\tilde{V}_1$ on its columns. Without a loss of generality, we can rewrite c as $c = \delta r R(\theta) c^{(1)}$, where $c^{(1)} = (1, 0)^T$ and $R(\theta)$ is a $[2 \times 2]$ rotation matrix. Thus (18) can be expressed as $\delta\tilde{a}(0) = \delta r W R(\theta) c^{(1)}$. In the linear approximation, discrete time dynamics $\delta\tilde{a}(nT_{p_0})$ is given by

$$(19) \quad \delta\tilde{a}(nT_{p_0}) = |\Lambda_1|^n \delta r W R(\theta - n \arg \Lambda_1) c^{(1)},$$

which can then be projected onto the Poincaré section (17) by acting from the left with the projection operator

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbf{1} - \frac{\tilde{v}(\tilde{a}_{p_0}) \otimes \tilde{v}(\tilde{a}_{p_0})}{\|\tilde{v}(\tilde{a}_{p_0})\|^2},$$

where $\otimes$ denotes the outer product. Defining $\tilde{a}_{\mathcal{P}} \equiv \mathbb{P}\tilde{a}$, discrete time dynamics of a perturbation $\delta\tilde{a}_{\mathcal{P}}$ in the Poincaré section is given by

$$(20) \quad \delta\tilde{a}_{\mathcal{P}}[n] = |\Lambda_1|^n \delta r W_{\mathcal{P}} R(\theta - n \arg \Lambda_1) c^{(1)},$$

where $W_{\mathcal{P}} = [\text{Re}[\tilde{V}_{1,\mathcal{P}}], \text{Im}[\tilde{V}_{1,\mathcal{P}}]]$. On the Poincaré section, the solutions (20) define ellipses which expand and rotate by a factors of $|\Lambda_1|$ and $\arg \Lambda_1$ respectively at each return. In order to resolve the unstable manifold, we start trajectories on an elliptic band parameterized by (δ, ϕ) , such that the starting point in the band comes to the end of it on the first return, hence totality of these points cover the unstable manifold in the linear approximation. Such set of perturbations are given by

$$(21) \quad \delta\tilde{a}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, \phi) = \epsilon |\Lambda_1|^\delta W_{\mathcal{P}} R(\phi) c^{(1)}, \quad \text{where } \delta \in [0, 1), \phi \in [0, 2\pi),$$

and ϵ is a small number. We set $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$ and discretize (21) by taking 12 equidistant points in $[0, 1)$ for δ and 36 equidistant points in $[0, 2\pi)$ for ϕ and integrate each $\tilde{a}_{p_0} + \delta\tilde{a}_{\mathcal{P}}(\delta, \phi)$ forward in time. Fig. 4(a) shows the unstable manifold of p_0 resolved by this procedure at system size $L = 21.30$, for which the torus surrounding p_0 appears to be unstable. In fig. 4(b) we show initial points that go into the calculation, and their first three returns in order to illustrate the principle of the method.




Figure 4. (a) Unstable manifold (gray) of p_0 on the Poincaré section (17) and an individual trajectory (red) within, system size $L = 21.30$. (b) Initial points (black) on the Poincaré section for unstable manifold computation and their first (red), second (green), and third (blue) returns. Inset: zoomed out view of the initial points and their first three returns.

As we continue increasing the system size, we find that at $L \approx 21.36$, the invariant torus is completely destroyed and two new periodic orbits p_1 and p_2 emerge in the neighborhood of p_0 . Both of these orbits appear as period 3 cycles in the Poincaré map. While p_1 is unstable, p_2 is stable with a finite basin of attraction. The unstable manifold of p_0 connects heteroclinically to the stable manifolds of p_1 and p_2 . As we show in fig. 5(a), resolving the unstable manifold

of p_0 enables us to locate these heteroclinic connections between the pre-periodic orbits. Note that one dimensional stable manifold of p_1 separates the unstable manifold of p_0 in two pieces. Green and blue orbits in fig. 5 (a) appear to be at two sides of this invariant boundary, while one of them converges to p_2 , the other leaves the neighborhood following the unstable manifold of p_1 .




Figure 5. (a) Unstable manifold (gray) of p_0 on the Poincaré section (17) at $L = 21.36$. Colored dots correspond to different individual trajectories within the unstable manifold with qualitatively different properties. Diamond shaped markers correspond to the period-3 orbits p_1 (magenta) and p_2 (cyan). (b) Unstable manifold of p_0 (gray) and two orbits (black and green) within at $L = 21.48$. Red points lie on the one dimensional unstable manifold of p_1 .

As the system size is increased, p_2 becomes unstable at $L \approx 21.38$. At $L \approx 21.477$ the two complex unstable Floquet multipliers collide on the real axis and at $L \approx 21.479$ one of them crosses the unit circle. After this bifurcation, we were no longer able to continue this orbit. At $L = 21.48$, the spreading of the p_0 's unstable manifold becomes more dramatic, and its boundary is set by the one dimensional unstable manifold of p_1 , as shown in fig. 5 (b). We compute the unstable manifold of p_1 similar to (21) by integrating

$$(22) \quad \tilde{a}(\delta) = \tilde{a}_{p_1} \pm \epsilon \Lambda_1^\delta \tilde{V}_{1,\mathcal{P}}, \quad \text{where } \delta \in [0, 1].$$

Λ_1 and $\tilde{V}_1$ in (22) are the unstable Floquet multiplier and the corresponding Floquet vector of $\tilde{a}_{p_1}$, and the initial conditions (22) similarly cover the unstable manifold of $\tilde{a}_{p_1}$ in the linear approximation.

An interesting feature of the bifurcation scenario studied here is the apparent destabilization of the invariant torus before its breakdown. Note that in fig. 4 (a) the trajectories within to the unstable manifold of p_0 , diverge in normal direction from the region that was inhabited by a stable 2-torus for lower values of L . This suggest that the invariant torus has become normally hyperbolic [9]. This torus could be computed by the method of ref. [19], but our goal here is more modest, what we have computed already amply demonstrates the utility

of our $O(2)$ symmetry reduction. Note also that the stable period-3 orbit p_2 in fig. 5 has a finite basin of attraction, and the trajectories which do not fall into it leave its neighborhood. In typical scenarios involving generation of stable - unstable pairs of periodic orbits within an invariant torus (see e.g. ref. [2]), the torus becomes a heteroclinic connection between the periodic orbit pair. Here the birth of the period-3 orbits appears to destroy the torus.

6. Conclusions and future directions. The two main results presented here are: 1) a new method for reducing the $O(2)$ -symmetry of PDEs, and 2) a symmetry-reduced state space Poincaré section visualization of 1- and 2-dimensional unstable manifolds of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky periodic orbits.

Our method for the computation of unstable manifolds is general and can find applications in many other ODE and PDE settings. The main idea here is a generalization of Gibson *et al.* [10] method for visualizations of the unstable manifolds of equilibria, originally applied to plane Couette flow, a setting much more complex than the current paper. Our projections are 2-dimensional, but as all computations are carried out for the full Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (1), in 30 dimensions, it is remarkable how much information is captured by 2- and 3-dimensional projections of the $O(2)$ symmetry-reduced Poincaré section - none of that structure is visible in the full state space.

On the other hand, the $O(2)$ symmetry reduction method described here will require modifications when applied to different problems. For example, when the PDE at hand has configuration space dimension larger than one, then there is more freedom in choosing the phase fixing condition (10). Ref. [30] makes this choice for pipe flow by taking a ‘typical state’ in the turbulent flow, setting all streamwise Fourier modes other than the first one to zero, and using this state as a “slice template”. Invariant polynomials similar to (15) can be constructed for any problem where the symmetry operation is the sign flip of a set of coordinates. Generalizations of this approach to richer discrete symmetries, such as dihedral groups, remains an open problem, with potential application to systems such as the Kolmogorov flow [23].

Bifurcation scenarios similar to the one studied here are ubiquitous in high-dimensional systems. For example, Avila *et al.* [3] study of transition to turbulence in pipe flow, and Zammert and Eckhardt’s study of the plane Poiseuille flow [31] both report torus bifurcations of relative periodic orbits along transitions to chaos. We believe that the methods presented in this paper can lead to a deeper understanding of these scenarios.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Xiong Ding, Evangelos Siminos, Simon Berman, and Mohammad Farazmand for many fruitful discussions. We thank to the family of late G. Robinson, Jr. and NSF grant DMS-1211827 for financial support.

Appendix. Computational details.

Throughout this paper, we used the 16 Fourier mode truncation of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (2), which renders the state space 30 dimensional. Sufficiency of this truncation was demonstrated for $L = 22$ in ref. [8]. In all our computations, we integrate (12) and its gradient system numerically, using a general purpose adaptive integrator `odeint` from `scipy.integrate` [16], which is a wrapper of `lsoda` from `ODEPACK` library [13]. Note that (12) is singular if $\hat{b}_1 = 0$, i.e., whenever the first Fourier mode vanishes. This singularity can be regularized by a time-rescaling if a fixed time step integrator is desired [5].

Transformation of trajectories and tangent vectors to the fully symmetry-reduced state space (16) is applied as post-processing. For a trajectory $\hat{a}(\tau)$, we simply apply the reflection reducing transformation to obtain the trajectory as $\tilde{a}(\tau) = \tilde{a}(\hat{a}(\tau))$. Velocity field (12) transforms to (16) by acting with the Jacobian matrix

$$\tilde{v}(\tilde{a}) = \frac{d\tilde{a}(\hat{a})}{d\hat{a}} \hat{v}(\hat{a}).$$

Floquet vectors transform to the fully symmetry-reduced state space similarly, however, their computations in the first Fourier mode slice requires some care. Remember that the reflection symmetry remains after the continuous symmetry reduction, and its action is represented by (13). Thus, denoting finite time flow induced by (12) by $\hat{f}^\tau(\hat{a})$, pre-periodic orbit within the slice satisfies

$$\hat{a}_{ppo} = \hat{D}(\sigma) \hat{f}^{T_p}(\hat{a}_{ppo}),$$

with its linear stability given by the spectrum of the Jacobian matrix

$$\hat{J}_{ppo} = \hat{D}(\sigma) \hat{J}^{T_p}(\hat{a}_{ppo}),$$

where $\hat{J}^{T_p}(\hat{a}_{ppo})$ is the Jacobian matrix of the flow function $\hat{f}^{T_p}(\hat{a}_{ppo})$. Thus, in order to find the Floquet vectors in fully symmetry-reduced representation, we first find the eigenvectors $\hat{V}$ of the Jacobian matrix $\hat{J}_{ppo}$ and then transform them as $\tilde{V}(\tilde{a}) = d\tilde{a}(\hat{a}_{ppo})/d\hat{a} \hat{V}(\hat{a})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. ARMBRUSTER, J. GUCKENHEIMER, AND P. HOLMES, *Kuramoto-Sivashinsky dynamics on the center-unstable manifold*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 49 (1989), pp. 676–691.
- [2] V. I. ARNOLD, *Geometrical Methods in the Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [3] M. AVILA, F. MELLIBOVSKY, N. ROLAND, AND B. HOF, *Streamwise-localized solutions at the onset of turbulence in pipe flow*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 110 (2013), p. 224502.
- [4] N. B. BUDANUR, D. BORRERO-ECHEVERRY, AND P. CVITANOVIĆ, *Periodic orbit analysis of a system with continuous symmetry - a tutorial*, Chaos, 25 (2015), p. 073112. [arXiv:1411.3303](#).
- [5] N. B. BUDANUR, P. CVITANOVIĆ, R. L. DAVIDCHACK, AND E. SIMINOS, *Reduction of the $SO(2)$ symmetry for spatially extended dynamical systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 114 (2015), p. 084102. [arXiv:1405.1096](#).
- [6] F. CHRISTIANSEN, P. CVITANOVIĆ, AND V. PUTKARADZE, *Spatiotemporal chaos in terms of unstable recurrent patterns*, Nonlinearity, 10 (1997), pp. 55–70. [arXiv:chao-dyn/9606016](#).
- [7] P. CVITANOVIĆ, R. ARTUSO, R. MAINIERI, G. TANNER, AND G. VATTAY, *Chaos: Classical and Quantum*, Niels Bohr Inst., Copenhagen, 2015. [ChaosBook.org](#).
- [8] P. CVITANOVIĆ, R. L. DAVIDCHACK, AND E. SIMINOS, *On the state space geometry of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky flow in a periodic domain*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., 9 (2010), pp. 1–33. [arXiv:0709.2944](#).
- [9] N. FENICHEL, *Persistence and smoothness of invariant manifolds for flows*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 21 (1971), pp. 193–226.
- [10] J. F. GIBSON, J. HALCROW, AND P. CVITANOVIĆ, *Visualizing the geometry of state-space in plane Couette flow*, J. Fluid Mech., 611 (2008), pp. 107–130. [arXiv:0705.3957](#).
- [11] R. GILMORE AND C. LETELLIER, *The Symmetry of Chaos*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2007.
- [12] J. M. GREENE AND J.-S. KIM, *The steady states of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation*, Physica D, 33 (1988), pp. 99–120.
- [13] A. C. HINDMARSH, *ODEPACK, a systematized collection of ODE solvers*, in Scientific Computing, R. S. Stepleman, ed., vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, pp. 55–64.

- [14] P. HOLMES, J. L. LUMLEY, AND G. BERKOOZ, *Turbulence, Coherent Structures, Dynamical Systems and Symmetry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [15] E. HOPF, *Abzweigung einer periodischen lösung*, Bereich. Sächs. Acad. Wiss. Leipzig, Math. Phys. Kl, 94 (1942), p. 19.
- [16] E. JONES, T. OLIPHANT, P. PETERSON, ET AL., *SciPy: Open source scientific tools for Python*, 2001–.
- [17] I. G. KEVREKIDIS, B. NICOLAENKO, AND J. C. SCOVEL, *Back in the saddle again: a computer assisted study of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 50 (1990), pp. 760–790.
- [18] Y. KURAMOTO AND T. TSUZUKI, *Persistent propagation of concentration waves in dissipative media far from thermal equilibrium*, Progr. Theor. Phys., 55 (1976), pp. 365–369.
- [19] Y. LAN, C. CHANDRE, AND P. CVITANOVIĆ, *Variational method for locating invariant tori*, Phys. Rev. E, 74 (2006), p. 046206. [arXiv:nlin.CD/0508026](#).
- [20] Y. LAN AND P. CVITANOVIĆ, *Unstable recurrent patterns in Kuramoto-Sivashinsky dynamics*, Phys. Rev. E, 78 (2008), p. 026208. [arXiv:0804.2474](#).
- [21] R. MIRANDA AND E. STONE, *The proto-Lorenz system*, Phys. Letters A, 178 (1993), p. 105.
- [22] J. NEIMARK, *On some cases of periodic motions depending on parameters*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 129 (1959), pp. 736–739.
- [23] N. PLATT, L. SIROVICH, AND N. FITZMAURICE, *An investigation of chaotic Kolmogorov flows*, Phys. Fluids A, 3 (1991), pp. 681–696.
- [24] E. L. REMPEL AND A. C. CHIAN, *Intermittency induced by attractor-merging crisis in the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation*, Phys. Rev. E, 71 (2005), p. 016203.
- [25] E. L. REMPEL, A. C. CHIAN, E. E. MACAU, AND R. R. ROSA, *Analysis of chaotic saddles in high-dimensional dynamical systems: the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation*, Chaos, 14 (2004), pp. 545–56.
- [26] E. L. REMPEL, A. C. CHIAN, AND R. A. MIRANDA, *Chaotic saddles at the onset of intermittent spatiotemporal chaos*, Phys. Rev. E, 76 (2007), p. 056217.
- [27] R. J. SACKER, *A new approach to the perturbation theory of invariant surfaces*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 18 (1965), pp. 717–732.
- [28] G. I. SIVASHINSKY, *Nonlinear analysis of hydrodynamical instability in laminar flames - I. Derivation of basic equations*, Acta Astronaut., 4 (1977), pp. 1177–1206.
- [29] R. F. WILLIAMS, *The structure of Lorenz attractors*, Publ. Math. IHES, 50 (1979), pp. 73–99.
- [30] A. P. WILLIS, K. Y. SHORT, AND P. CVITANOVIĆ, *Relative periodic orbits form the backbone of turbulent pipe flow*. [arXiv:1504.05825](#), 2015.
- [31] S. ZAMMERT AND B. ECKHARDT, *Crisis bifurcations in plane Poiseuille flow*, Phys. Rev. E, 91 (2015), p. 041003.