

# EPW CUBES

ATANAS ILIEV, GRZEGORZ KAPUSTKA, MICHAŁ KAPUSTKA, AND KRISTIAN RANESTAD

*Dedicated to Piotr Pragacz on the occasion of his 60th birthday.*

**ABSTRACT.** We construct a new 20-dimensional family of projective 6-dimensional irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds. The elements of this family are deformation equivalent with the Hilbert scheme of three points on a K3 surface and are constructed as natural double covers of special codimension 3 subvarieties of the Grassmanian  $G(3, 6)$ . These codimension 3 subvarieties are defined as Lagrangian degeneracy loci and their construction is parallel to that of EPW sextics, we call them the EPW cubes. As a consequence we prove that the moduli space of polarized IHS sixfolds of K3-type, Beauville-Bogomolov degree 4 and divisibility 2 is unirational.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

By an irreducible holomorphic symplectic (IHS)  $2n$ -fold we mean a  $2n$ -dimensional simply connected compact Kähler manifold with trivial canonical bundle that admits a unique (up to a constant) closed non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form and is not a product of two manifolds (see [Bea83]). The IHS manifolds are also known as hyperkähler and irreducible symplectic manifolds, in dimension 2 they are called  $K3$  surface.

Moduli spaces of polarized K3 surfaces are a historically old subject, studied by the classical Italian geometers. Mukai extended the classical constructions and proved unirationality results for the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{2d}$  parametrizing polarized K3 surfaces of degree  $2d$  for many cases with  $d \leq 19$  see [Muk92], [Muk06], [Muk12]. On the other hand it was proven in [GHS07] that  $\mathcal{M}_{2d}$  is of general type for  $d > 61$  and some smaller values. Note that when the Kodaira dimension of such moduli space is positive the generic element of such moduli space is believed to be non-constructible.

There are only five known descriptions of the moduli space of higher dimensional IHS manifolds (all these examples are deformations equivalent to  $K3^{[n]}$ ). In dimension four we have the following unirational moduli spaces:

- double EPW sextics with Beauville-Bogomolov degree  $q = 2$  (see [O'G06]),
- Fano scheme of lines on four dimensional cubic hypersurfaces with  $q = 6$  (see [BD85]),
- $VSP(F, 10)$  where  $F$  define a cubic hypersurface of dimension 4 with  $q = 38$  (see [IR01]),
- zero locus of a section of a vector bundle on  $G(6, 10)$  with  $q = 22$  described in [DV10].

Moreover, there is only one more known family in dimension 8 with  $q = 2$  studied in [LLSvS15]. Analogously to the case of  $K3$  surfaces there are results in [GHS10] about the Kodaira dimension of the moduli spaces of polarized IHS fourfolds of  $K3^{[2]}$ -type:

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J10, 14J40.

*Key words and phrases.* Irreducible symplectic manifolds, hyperkähler varieties, Lagrangian degeneracy loci.

In particular it is proven that such moduli spaces with split polarization of Beauville-Bogomolov degree  $q \geq 24$  are of general type (and for  $q = 18, 22$  are of positive Kodaira dimension). We expect that the number of constructible families in higher dimension becomes small.

According to O'Grady [O'G06], the 20-dimensional family of natural double covers of special sextic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  (called EPW sextics) gives a maximal dimensional family of polarized IHS fourfold deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme of two points on a  $K3$ -surface (this is a maximal dimensional family since  $b_2(S^{[2]}) = 23$  for  $S$  a  $K3$ -surface). Our aim is to perform a construction parallel to that of O'Grady to obtain a unirational 20-dimensional family (also of maximal dimension) of polarized IHS sixfolds deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme of three points on a  $K3$ -surface (i.e. of  $K3^{[3]}$  type). The elements of this family are natural double covers of special codimension 3 subvarieties of the Grassmannian  $G(3, 6)$  that we call EPW cubes.

Let us be more precise. Let  $W$  be a complex 6-dimensional vector space. We fix an isomorphism  $j : \wedge^6 W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and the skew symmetric form

$$(1.1) \quad \eta : \wedge^3 W \times \wedge^3 W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (u, v) \mapsto j(u \wedge v).$$

We denote by  $LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$  the variety of 10-dimensional Lagrangian subspaces of  $\wedge^3 W$  with respect to  $\eta$ . For any 3-dimensional subspace  $U \subset W$ , the 10-dimensional subspace

$$T_U := \wedge^2 U \wedge W \subset \wedge^3 W$$

belongs to  $LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$ , and  $\mathbb{P}(T_U)$  is the projective tangent space to

$$G(3, W) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^3 W)$$

at  $[U]$ .

For any  $[A] \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we consider the following Lagrangian degeneracy locus, with natural scheme structure (see [PR97]),

$$D_k^A = \{[U] \in G(3, W) \mid \dim A \cap T_U \geq k\} \subset G(3, W).$$

For the fixed  $[A] \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$  we call the scheme  $D_2^A$  an *EPW cube*. We prove that if  $A$  is generic then  $D_2^A$  is a sixfold singular only along the threefold  $D_3^A$  and that  $D_4^A$  is empty. Moreover,  $D_3^A$  is smooth such that the singularities of  $D_2^A$  are transversal  $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1)$  singularities along  $D_3^A$ .

Before we state our main theorem we shall need some more notation. The projectivized representation  $\wedge^3$  of  $PGL(W)$  on  $\wedge^3 W$  splits  $\mathbb{P}^{19} = \mathbb{P}(\wedge^3 W)$  into a disjoint union of 4 orbits

$$\mathbb{P}^{19} = (\mathbb{P}^{19} \setminus W) \cup (F \setminus \Omega) \cup (\Omega \setminus G(3, W)) \cup G(3, W),$$

where  $G(3, W) \subset \Omega \subset F \subset \mathbb{P}^{19}$ ,  $\dim(\Omega) = 14$ ,  $\text{Sing}(\Omega) = G(3, W)$ ,  $\dim(F) = 18$ ,  $\text{Sing}(F) = \Omega$ , see [Don77]. We call the invariant sets  $G, \Omega, F$  and  $\mathbb{P}^{19}$  the (projective) orbits of  $\wedge^3$  for  $PGL(6)$ . See [Kap14, Appendix] for some results about the geometry of  $\Omega$  and its relations with EPW sextics. For any nonzero vector  $w \in W$ , denote by

$$F_{[w]} = \langle w \rangle \wedge (\wedge^2 W)$$

the 10-dimensional subspace of  $\wedge^3 W$ , such that

$$\bigcup_{[w] \in \mathbb{P}(W)} \mathbb{P}(F_{[w]}) = \Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^3 W).$$

We denote, after O'Grady [O'G13],

$$\Sigma = \{[A] \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W) \mid \mathbb{P}(A) \cap G(3, W) \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$\Delta = \{[A] \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W) \mid \exists w \in W : \dim A \cap F_{[w]} \geq 3\}.$$

We also consider a third subset

$$\Gamma = \{A \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W) \mid \exists [U] \in G(3, W) : \dim A \cap T_U \geq 4\}.$$

Denote by

$$LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W) := LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W) \setminus (\Sigma \cup \Gamma).$$

All three subsets  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$  are divisors (see [O'G13] and Lemma 3.6) and  $LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$  is hence a dense open subset of  $LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$ . Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $[A] \in LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$ , then there exists a natural double cover  $Y_A$  of the EPW cube  $D_2^A$  branched along its singular locus  $D_3^A$  such that  $Y_A$  is an IHS sixfold of  $K3^{[3]}$ -type with polarization of Beauville-Bogomolov degree  $q = 4$  and divisibility 2. In particular, the moduli space of polarized IHS sixfolds of  $K3^{[3]}$ -type, Beauville-Bogomolov degree 4 and divisibility 2 is unirational.*

We prove the theorem in Section 5 at the very end of the paper. The plan of the proof is the following: In Proposition 3.1 we prove that for  $[A] \in LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$ , the variety  $D_2^A$  is singular only along the locus  $D_3^A$  and that it admits a smooth double cover  $Y_A \rightarrow D_2^A$  branched along  $D_3^A$  with a trivial canonical class. The proof of the Proposition is based on a general study of Lagrangian degeneracy loci contained in Section 2. By globalizing the construction of the double cover to the whole affine variety  $LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$  we obtain a smooth family

$$\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$$

with fibers  $\mathcal{Y}_{[A]} = Y_A$ . Note that the family  $\mathcal{Y}$  is naturally a family of polarized varieties with the polarization given by the divisors defining the double cover.

In Lemma 3.7 we prove that  $\Delta \setminus (\Gamma \cup \Sigma)$  is nonempty. Following [O'G13, Section 4.1], we associate to a general  $[A_0] \in \Delta \setminus (\Gamma \cup \Sigma)$  a K3 surface  $S_{A_0}$ . Then, in Proposition 4.1, we prove that there exists a rational 2 : 1 map from the Hilbert scheme  $S_{A_0}^{[3]}$  of length 3 subschemes on  $S_{A_0}$  to the EPW cube  $D_2^{A_0}$ . We infer in Section 5 that in this case the sixfold  $Y_{A_0}$  is birational to  $S_{A_0}^{[3]}$ . Together with the fact that  $Y_{A_0}$  is smooth, irreducible and has trivial canonical class, this proves that  $Y_{A_0}$  is IHS.

Since flat deformations of IHS manifolds are still IHS, the family  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a family of smooth IHS sixfolds. The fact that the obtained IHS manifolds are of  $K3^{[3]}$ -type is a straightforward consequence of Huybrechts theorem [Huy99, Thm. 4.6].

During the proof of Theorem 1.1 we retrieve also some information on the constructed varieties. We prove in Section 2.3 that the polarization  $\xi$  giving the double cover  $Y_A \rightarrow D_2^A$  has Beauville-Bogomolov degree  $q(\xi) = 4$  and is primitive. Moreover, the degree of an EPW cube  $D_2^A \subset G(3, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{19}$  is 480.

Note that the coarse moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$  of polarized IHS sixfolds of  $K3^{[3]}$ -type and Beauville-Bogomolov degree 4 has two components distinguished by divisibility. We conclude the paper by proving that the image of the moduli map  $LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  defined by  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a 20 dimensional open and dense subset of the component of  $\mathcal{M}$  corresponding to divisibility 2 (see Proposition 5.3).

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Olivier Debarre, Alexander Kuznetsov and Kieran O’Grady for useful comments, O’Grady in particular for pointing out a proof of Proposition 5.3. A. Iliev was supported by SNU grant 0450-20130016, G. Kapustka by NCN grant 2013/08/A/ST1/00312, M. Kapustka by NCN grant 2013/10/E/ST1/00688 and K. Ranestad by RCN grant 239015.

## 2. LAGRANGIAN DEGENERACY LOCI

In this section we study resolutions of Lagrangian degeneracy loci. Let us start with fixing some notation and definitions. We fix a vector space  $W_{2n}$  of dimension  $2n$  and a symplectic form  $\omega \in \wedge^2 W_{2n}^*$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth manifold and let  $\mathcal{W} = W_{2n} \times \mathcal{O}_X$  be the trivial bundle with fiber  $W_{2n}$  on  $X$  equipped with a nondegenerate symplectic form  $\tilde{\omega}$  induced on each fiber by  $\omega$ . Consider  $J \subset \mathcal{W}$  a Lagrangian vector subbundle i.e. a subbundle of rank  $n$  whose fibers are isotropic with respect to  $\tilde{\omega}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset W_{2n}$  be a Lagrangian vector subspace inducing a trivial subbundle  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{W}$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the set

$$D_k^A = \{x \in X \mid \dim(J_x \cap \mathcal{A}_x) \geq k\} \subset X$$

where  $J_x$  and  $\mathcal{A}_x$  denote the fibers of the bundles  $J$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  as subspaces in the fiber  $W_x$ . Let us now define  $LG_\omega(n, W_{2n})$  to be the Lagrangian Grassmannian parametrizing all subspaces of  $W_{2n}$  which are Lagrangian with respect to  $\omega$ . Then  $J$  defines a map  $\iota : X \rightarrow LG_\omega(n, W_{2n})$  in such a way that  $J = \iota^* \mathcal{L}$  where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the tautological bundle on the Lagrangian Grassmannian  $LG_\omega(n, W_{2n})$ . Moreover, similarly as on  $X$ , we can define

$$\mathbb{D}_k^A = \{[L] \in LG_\omega(n, W_{2n}) \mid \dim(L \cap A_{[L]}) \geq k\} \subset LG_\omega(n, W_{2n}),$$

and  $\mathbb{D}_k^A$  admits a natural scheme structure as a degeneracy locus. We then have  $D_k^A = \iota^{-1} \mathbb{D}_k^A$ , i.e. the scheme structure on  $D_k^A$  is defined by the inverse image of the ideal sheaf of  $\mathbb{D}_k^A$  [Har77, p.163].

**2.1. Resolution of  $\mathbb{D}_k^A$ .** For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $G(k, A)$  be the Grassmannian of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $A$  and let

$$\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_k^A = \{([L], [U]) \in LG_\omega(n, W_{2n}) \times G(k, A) \mid L \supset U\}.$$

By [PR97],  $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_k^A$  is a resolution of  $\mathbb{D}_k^A$ . We shall describe the above variety more precisely. First of all we have the following incidence described more generally in [PR97]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_k^A & \\ \phi \swarrow & & \searrow \pi \\ \mathbb{D}_k^A & & G(k, A) \end{array}$$

The projection  $\phi$  is clearly birational, whereas  $\pi$  is a fibration with fibers isomorphic to a Lagrangian Grassmannian  $LG(n - k, 2n - 2k)$ . In particular  $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_k^A$  is a smooth manifold of Picard number two with Picard group generated by  $H$ , the pullback of the hyperplane section of  $LG(n, W_{2n})$  in its Plücker embedding, and  $R$ , the pullback of the hyperplane section of  $G(k, A)$  in its Plücker embedding. Denote by  $\mathcal{Q}$  the tautological bundle on  $G(k, A)$  seen as a subbundle of the trivial symplectic bundle  $W_{2n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{G(k, A)}$ . Consider the subbundle  $\mathcal{Q}^\perp \subset W_{2n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{G(k, A)}$  perpendicular to  $\mathcal{Q}$  with respect the symplectic form. The following was observed in [PR97].

**Lemma 2.1.** *The variety  $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_k^A$  is isomorphic to the Lagrangian bundle*

$$\mathcal{F} := LG(n - k, \mathcal{Q}^\perp / \mathcal{Q}).$$

Of course the tautological Lagrangian subbundle on  $LG(n - k, \mathcal{Q}^\perp / \mathcal{Q})$  can be identified with the bundle  $\phi^* \mathcal{L} / \pi^* \mathcal{Q} =: \mathcal{W}$ . In particular, we have  $c_1(\mathcal{W}) = \phi^* c_1(\mathcal{L}) - \pi^* c_1(\mathcal{Q}) = R - H$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *The relative tangent bundle  $T_\pi$  of  $\pi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow G(k, A)$  is the bundle  $S^2(\mathcal{W}^\vee)$ .*

*Proof.* This can be seen by globalizing the construction of the tangent space of the Lagrangian Grassmannian described for example in [Muk10].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *The canonical class of  $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_k^A$  is  $-(n + 1 - k)H - (k - 1)R$ .*

*Proof.* We use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_\pi \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \pi^* T_{G(k, A)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now  $\mathcal{W}^\vee$  has rank  $n - k$ , so

$$c_1(T_\pi) = c_1(S^2(\mathcal{W}^\vee)) = (n + 1 - k)c_1(\mathcal{W}^\vee) = (n + 1 - k)(H - R)$$

while  $\pi^* c_1(T_{G(k, A)}) = nR$ . Hence  $K_{\mathcal{F}} = -c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}}) = -(n + 1 - k)H - (k - 1)R$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *The variety  $\mathbb{D}_1^A$  is a hyperplane section of  $LG_\omega(n, W_{2n})$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed  $\mathbb{D}_1^A$  is the intersection of the codimension one Schubert cycle on the Grassmannian  $G(n, 2n)$  with the Lagrangian Grassmannian, hence a hyperplane section of the Lagrangian Grassmannian.  $\square$

Let us denote by  $\mathbb{E}$  the exceptional divisor of  $\phi$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *For  $k = 2$  we have:  $[\mathbb{E}] = [H] - 2[R]$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $[\mathbb{E}] = a[H] + b[R]$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let us now consider the restriction of  $\mathbb{E}$  to a fiber of  $\pi$  i.e. we fix  $V_2 \subset A$  a vector space of dimension 2 and consider  $LG(n - 2, V_2^\perp / V_2)$ . Since  $\mathbb{E} = \phi^{-1} D_3^A$  we have

$$\mathbb{E} \cap \pi^{-1}[V_2] = \{[L] \in LG(n - 2, V_2^\perp / V_2) \mid \dim(L/V_2 \cap A/V_2) \geq 1\}.$$

It is hence a divisor of type  $\mathbb{D}_1^{A/V_2}$  which is a hyperplane section of the fiber by Lemma 2.4. It follows that  $a = 1$ .

To compute the coefficient at  $[R]$  we fix a subspace  $V_{n-2}$  of dimension  $n - 2$  in  $A$  and consider the Schubert cycle

$$\sigma_{V_{n-2}} = \{[U] \in G(2, A) \mid \dim(U \cap V_{n-2}) \geq 1\}.$$

The class  $[\sigma_{V_{n-2}}]$  in the Chow group of  $G(2, A)$  is then the class of a hyperplane section. We now describe  $\phi_* \pi^*(\sigma_{V_{n-2}})$  as the class of the Schubert cycle  $\sigma_{n-2, n}$  on  $LG(n, 2n)$  defined by

$$\sigma_{n-2, n} = \{[L] \in LG(n, 2n) \mid \dim(L \cap V_{n-2}) \geq 1, \dim(L \cap A) \geq 2\}.$$

By [PR97, Theorem 2.1] we have

$$[\sigma_{n-2, n}] = c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee) c_3(\mathcal{L}^\vee) - 2c_4(\mathcal{L}^\vee).$$

Moreover, from the same formula [PR97, Theorem 2.1] we have:

$$[\mathbb{D}_2^A] = c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee) c_2(\mathcal{L}^\vee) - 2c_3(\mathcal{L}^\vee).$$

In terms of intersection on  $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_2^A$  this gives

$$H^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-3} \cap [\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_2^A] = c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-2} c_2(\mathcal{L}^\vee) - 2c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-3} c_3(\mathcal{L}^\vee)$$

and

$$H^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-4} \cdot R \cap [\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_2^A] = c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-3} c_3(\mathcal{L}^\vee) - 2c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-4} c_4(\mathcal{L}^\vee).$$

Since we know that  $\mathbb{E}$  is contracted by the resolution to  $\mathbb{D}_3^A$  we also have  $\mathbb{E} \cdot H^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-4} = 0$ . We can now compute  $b$ :

$$(2.1) \quad 0 = \mathbb{E} \cdot H^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-4} = (H + bR) \cdot H^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-4} = H^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-3} + bH^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-4} \cdot R =$$

$$(2.2) \quad c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-4} (c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2 c_2(\mathcal{L}^\vee) + (b-2)c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee) c_3(\mathcal{L}^\vee) - 2bc_4(\mathcal{L}^\vee)).$$

Now, using the theorem of Hiller-Boe ([Pra91, Theorem 6.4]) on relations in the Chow ring of the Lagrangian Grassmannian we get

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2 = 2c_2(\mathcal{L}^\vee) \text{ and } c_2(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^2 = 2(c_3(\mathcal{L}^\vee)c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee) - c_4(\mathcal{L}^\vee)).$$

Substituting in 2.1 we get:

$$0 = (b+2) \deg(c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee)c_3(\mathcal{L}^\vee) - 2c_4(\mathcal{L}^\vee)) = (b+2) \deg \sigma_{n-2,n}.$$

It follows that  $b = -2$ . □

**2.2. The embedding of  $G(3, W)$  into  $LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$ .** Let  $W$  be a 6-dimensional vector space. Let  $G = G(3, W) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^3 W)$  be the Grassmannian of 3-dimensional subspaces in  $W$  in its Plücker embedding. Now, recall for each  $[U] \in G$ ,

$$T_U = \wedge^2 U \wedge W \subset \wedge^3 W.$$

$\mathbb{P}(T_U)$  is tangent to  $G(3, W)$  at  $[U]$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the corresponding vector subbundle of  $\wedge^3 W \otimes \mathcal{O}_G$ . Let  $A$  be a 10-dimensional subspace of  $\wedge^3 W$  isotropic with respect to the symplectic form  $\eta$  defined by (1.1) and such that  $\mathbb{P}(A) \cap G(3, W) = \emptyset$ . Recall that for  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$  we defined

$$D_k^A = \{[U] \in G \mid \dim(T_U \cap A) \geq k\} \subset G.$$

Observe that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a Lagrangian subbundle of  $\wedge^3 W \otimes \mathcal{O}_G$  with respect to the 2-form  $\eta$ . It follows that we are in the general situation described at the beginning of Section 2, with  $n = 10$ ,  $W_{20} = \wedge^3 W$ ,  $X = G$ ,  $J = \mathcal{T}$  and  $A = A$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}$  defines a map

$$\iota : G(3, W) \rightarrow LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W), \quad [U] \mapsto [T_U].$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_U := \mathbb{P}(T_U) \cap G(3, W)$  the intersection of  $G(3, W)$  with its projective tangent space  $[U]$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_U$  is linearly isomorphic to a cone over  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  with vertex  $[U]$ . The quadrics containing the cone  $\mathcal{C}_U$  plays in this situation a similar role in the local analyze of the singularities of  $D_k^A$  as the Plücker quadrics containing the Grassmanian  $\mathbb{P}(F_{[w]}) \cap G(3, W)$  in [O'G13]; this will be made more precise in Lemma 2.7.

We aim at proving the following:

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $A \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(A) \cap G(3, W) = \emptyset$ .*

*The map  $\iota$  is an embedding and  $\iota(G(3, W))$  meets transversely all loci  $\mathbb{D}_k^A \setminus \mathbb{D}_{k+1}^A$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ . In particular each  $D_k^A$  is of expected dimension.*

For the proof we shall adapt the idea of [O'G13] to our context, that we first need to introduce. Let us describe  $\iota$  more precisely locally around a chosen point  $[U_0] \in G(3, W)$ . For this, we choose a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_6$  for  $W$  such that  $U_0 = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$  and define  $U_\infty = \langle v_4, v_5, v_6 \rangle$ . For any  $[U] \in G(3, W)$  we have  $T_U = \wedge^2 U \wedge W$ , so  $T_{U_0}, T_{U_\infty}$  are two Lagrangian spaces that intersect only at 0;  $T_{U_0} \cap T_{U_\infty} = 0$ . By appropriate choice of  $v_4, v_5, v_6$  we can also assume that  $T_{U_\infty} \cap A = 0$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{V} = \{[L] \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W) \mid L \cap T_{U_\infty} = 0\}.$$

The decomposition  $\wedge^3 W = T_{U_0} \oplus T_{U_\infty}$  into Lagrangian subspaces, and the isomorphism  $T_{U_\infty} \rightarrow T_{U_0}^\vee$  induced by  $\eta$ , allows us to view a Lagrangian space  $L$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  as the graph of a symmetric linear map  $Q_L : T_{U_0} \rightarrow T_{U_\infty} = T_{U_0}^\vee$ . Let  $q_L \in \text{Sym}^2 T_{U_0}^\vee$  be the quadratic form corresponding to  $Q_L$ . The map  $[L] \mapsto q_L$  defines an isomorphism  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 T_{U_0}^\vee$ .

Consider the open neighbourhood

$$\mathfrak{U} = \{[U] \in G(3, W) \mid T_U \cap T_{U_\infty} = 0\}$$

of  $[U_0]$  in  $G(3, W)$ . For  $[U] \in \mathfrak{U}$  we denote by  $Q_U := Q_{T_U}$  and  $q_U := q_{T_U}$  the symmetric linear map and the quadratic form corresponding to the Lagrangian space  $T_U$ .

We shall describe  $q_U$  in local coordinates. Observe that for any  $[U] \in G(3, W)$ ,

$$T_U \cap T_{U_\infty} = 0 \Leftrightarrow U \cap U_\infty = 0$$

and that any such subspace  $U$  is the graph of a linear map  $\beta_U : U_0 \rightarrow U_\infty$ . In particular, there is an isomorphism:

$$\rho : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U_0, U_\infty); \quad [U] \mapsto \beta_U$$

whose inverse is the map

$$\alpha \mapsto [U_\alpha] := [(v_1 + \alpha(v_1)) \wedge (v_2 + \alpha(v_2)) \wedge (v_3 + \alpha(v_3))].$$

In the given basis  $(v_1, v_2, v_3), (v_4, v_5, v_6)$  for  $U_0$  and  $U_\infty$  we let  $B_U = (b_{i,j})_{i,j \in \{1..3\}}$  be the matrix of the linear map  $\beta_U$ . In the dual basis we let  $(m_0, M)$ , with  $M = (m_{i,j})_{i,j \in \{1..3\}}$ , be the coordinates in

$$T_{U_0}^\vee = (\wedge^3 U_0 \oplus \wedge^2 U_0 \otimes U_\infty)^\vee = (\wedge^3 U_0 \oplus \text{Hom}(U_0, U_\infty))^\vee$$

Note, that under our identification the map  $\iota : G(3, W) \rightarrow LG(10, \text{wedge}^3 W)$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{U}$  is the map  $[U] \mapsto q_U$ , which justifies our slight abuse of notation in the following.

**Lemma 2.7.** *In the above coordinates, the map*

$$\iota : \mathfrak{U} \ni [U] \mapsto q_U := q_{T_U} \in \text{Sym}^2 T_{U_0}^\vee$$

is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad q_U(m_0, M) = \sum_{i,j \in \{1..3\}} b_{i,j} M^{i,j} + m_0 \sum_{i,j \in \{1..3\}} B_U^{i,j} m_{i,j} + m_0^2 \det B_U,$$

where  $M^{i,j}, B_U^{i,j}$  are the entries of the matrices adjoint to  $M$  and  $B_U$ .

*Proof.* We write in coordinates the map  $\wedge^3 U_0 \oplus \wedge^2 U_0 \otimes U_\infty \rightarrow \wedge^3 U_\infty \oplus \wedge^2 U_\infty \otimes U_0$  whose graph is  $\wedge^3 U \oplus \wedge^2 U \otimes U_\infty$  where  $U$  is the graph of the map  $U_0 \rightarrow U_\infty$  given by the matrix  $B_U$ .  $\square$

Let now  $Q_A$  be the symmetric map  $T_{U_0} \rightarrow T_{U_\infty} = T_{U_0}^\vee$  whose graph is  $A$  and  $q_A$  the corresponding quadratic form. In this way

$$D_l^A \cap \mathfrak{U} = \{[U] \in \mathfrak{U} \mid \dim T_U \cap A \geq l\} = \{[U] \in \mathfrak{U} \mid \text{rk}(Q_U - Q_A) \leq 10 - l\},$$

hence  $D_i^A$  is locally defined by the vanishing of the  $(11-l) \times (11-l)$  minors of the  $10 \times 10$  matrix with entries being polynomials in  $b_{i,j}$ .

First we show that the space of quadrics that define  $\mathcal{C}_U$ , surjects onto the space of quadrics on linear subspaces in  $\mathbb{P}(T_U)$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *If  $P \subset \mathbb{P}(T_U) \setminus G(3,6)$  is a linear subspace of dimension at most 2, then the restriction map  $\mathbf{r}_P : H^0(\mathbb{P}(T_U), \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_U}(2)) \rightarrow H^0(P, \mathcal{O}_P(2))$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* We may restrict to the case when  $P$  is a plane. Since  $\mathcal{C}_U \subset \mathbb{P}(T_U) \cap G(3,6)$  is projectively equivalent to the cone over  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  in its Segre embedding, it suffices to show that if  $P \subset \mathbb{P}^8$  is a plane that do not intersect  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ , then the Cremona transformation  $\text{Cr}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^8$  defined by the quadrics containing  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  maps  $P$  to a linearly normal Veronese surface. Note that the ideal of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^8$  is defined 2  $\times$  2 minors of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix with linear forms in  $\mathbb{P}^8$  and its secant by the determinant of this matrix. Since the first syzygies between the generators of this ideal are generated by linear ones we infer from [AR04, Proposition 3.1] that they define a birational map. Moreover this Cremona transformation contracts the secant determinantal cubic hypersurface  $V_3$ , to a  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ , so the the inverse Cremona is of the same kind. Furthermore, the fibers of the map  $V_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  are 3-dimensional linear spaces spanned by quadric surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ . Now, by assumption,  $P$  does not intersect  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ , so the restriction  $\text{Cr}|_P$  is a regular, hence finite, morphism. Since the fibers of the Cremona transformation are linear,  $P$  intersects each fiber in at most a single point, so the restriction  $\text{Cr}|_P$  is an isomorphism. Thus, if  $\text{Cr}(P)$  is not linearly normal, the linear span  $\langle \text{Cr}(P) \rangle$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , being a smooth projected Veronese surface. Assume this is the case. Then  $\text{Cr}(P)$  is not contained in any quadric. Since the quadrics that define the inverse Cremona, map  $\text{Cr}(P)$  to the plane  $P$ , these quadrics form only a net, when restricted to the 4-dimensional space  $\langle \text{Cr}(P) \rangle$ . In fact the complement of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \cap \langle \text{Cr}(P) \rangle$  in  $\langle \text{Cr}(P) \rangle$  is mapped to  $P$  by the inverse Cremona transformation. Therefore  $\langle \text{Cr}(P) \rangle$  must be contained in the cubic hypersurface that is contracted by this inverse Cremona. Since this hypersurface is contracted to the original  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ , we infer that  $P$  is contained in  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ . This contradicts our assumption and concludes our proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $K = A \cap T_{U_0} = \ker Q_A \subset T_{U_0}$  and assume that  $k = \dim K \leq 3$ . Then for any  $l \leq k$  the tangent cone  $\mathfrak{C}_{A,U_0}^l$  of  $D_i^A \cap \mathfrak{U}$  at  $U_0$  is linearly isomorphic to a cone over the corank  $l$  locus of quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}(K), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(K)}(2)))$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the idea of [O'G10, Proposition 1.9]. If we choose a basis  $\Lambda$  of  $T_{U_0}^\vee$ , the symmetric linear map  $Q_U$  is defined by a symmetric matrix  $M^\Lambda(B_U)$  with entries being polynomials in  $(b_{i,j})_{i,j \in \{1..3\}}$ .

The linear summands of each entry in  $M^\Lambda(B_U)$  form a matrix that we denote by  $N^\Lambda(B_U)$ . Since  $Q_0 = 0$ , the entries of  $M^\Lambda(B_U)$  have no nonzero constant terms. Moreover, by using Lemma 2.7 and  $\Lambda_0 = (m_0, M)$ , we see that the map  $\mathfrak{U} \ni U \mapsto q'_U \in \text{Sym}^2 T_{U_0}^\vee$ , where  $q'_U$  is the quadratic form corresponding to the symmetric map defined by the matrix  $N^{\Lambda_0}(B_U)$ , maps  $\mathfrak{U}$  linearly onto the linear system of quadrics containing the cone  $\mathcal{C}_{U_0}$ . Of course, this surjection is independent of the choice of basis.

We now choose a basis  $\Lambda$  in  $T_{U_0}$  in which  $Q_A$  is represented by a diagonal matrix  $R_k = \text{diag}\{0 \dots 0, 1 \dots 1\}$  with  $k$  zeros in the diagonal. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_i^A \cap \mathfrak{U} &= \{[U] \in \mathfrak{U} \mid \dim(T_U \cap A) \geq l\} = \{[U] \in \mathfrak{U} \mid \dim \ker(Q_U - Q_A) \geq l\} \\ &= \{[U] \in \mathfrak{U} \mid \text{rank}(M^\Lambda(B_U) - R_k) \leq 10 - l\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $D_l^A$  is defined in coordinates  $(b_{i,j})_{i,j \in \{1 \dots 3\}}$  on  $\mathfrak{U}$  by  $(11-l) \times (11-l)$  minors of the matrix  $M^\Lambda(B_U) - R_k$ . Furthermore, since  $[U_0]$  is the point 0 in our coordinates  $(b_{i,j})_{i,j \in \{1 \dots 3\}}$ , the tangent cone to  $D_l^A \cap \mathfrak{U}$  at  $[U_0]$  is defined by the initial terms of the  $(11-l) \times (11-l)$  minors of  $M^\Lambda(B_U) - R_k$ . Note that we can write

$$M^\Lambda(B_U) - R_k = -R_k + N^\Lambda(B_U) + Z(B_U),$$

where the entries of the matrix  $Z(B_U)$  are polynomials with no linear or constant terms. We illustrate this decomposition as follows.

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} & & & N_{1,k+1}^\Lambda + Z_{1,k+1}^\Lambda & \cdots & N_{1,10}^\Lambda + Z_{1,10}^\Lambda \\ & & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & N_{\mathbf{k}}^\Lambda + Z_{\mathbf{k}} & & & \\ \hline N_{k+1,1}^\Lambda + Z_{k+1,1}^\Lambda & \cdots & N_{k+1,k}^\Lambda + Z_{k+1,k}^\Lambda & -1 + N_{k+1,k+1}^\Lambda + Z_{k+1,k+1}^\Lambda & \cdots & N_{k+1,10}^\Lambda + Z_{k+1,10}^\Lambda \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ N_{10,1}^\Lambda + Z_{10,1}^\Lambda & \cdots & N_{10,k}^\Lambda + Z_{10,k}^\Lambda & N_{10,k+1}^\Lambda + Z_{10,k+1}^\Lambda & \cdots & -1 + N_{10,10}^\Lambda + Z_{10,10}^\Lambda \end{array} \right)$$

Let  $\Phi$  be an  $(11-l) \times (11-l)$  minor of  $M^\Lambda(B_U) - R_k$  and consider its decomposition  $\Phi = \Phi_0 + \cdots + \Phi_r$  into homogeneous parts  $\Phi_d$  of degree  $d$ . Observe that  $\Phi_d = 0$  for  $d \leq k-l$ , moreover  $\Phi_{k-l+1}$  can be nonzero only if the sub matrix associated to the minor  $\Phi$  contains all nonzero entries of  $R_k$ . In the latter case  $\Phi_{k-l+1}$  is a  $(k+1-l) \times (k+1-l)$  minor of the  $k \times k$  upper left corner sub matrix  $N_{\mathbf{k}}^\Lambda(B_U)$  of the matrix  $N^\Lambda(B_U)$ . Let us now denote by  $q'_U$  the quadric corresponding to the matrix  $N^\Lambda(B_U)$  and by  $\iota^N$  the map  $U \mapsto q'_U$ . Then, by changing  $\Phi$  we get that the tangent cone of  $D_l^A \cap \mathfrak{U}$  is contained in:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{A,U_0}^l := \{[U] \in \mathfrak{U} \mid \text{rank}(N_{\mathbf{k}}^\Lambda(B_U)) \leq k-l\} = \{[U] \in \mathfrak{U} \mid \text{rank}(q'_U|_K) \leq k-l\}.$$

The latter is the preimage by  $\mathbf{r}_K \circ \iota^N$  of the corank  $l$  locus in the projective space of quadrics  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}(K), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(K)}(2)))$ . By Lemma 2.8, we have seen that  $\mathbf{r}_K \circ \iota^N$  is a linear surjection. So we conclude that  $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{A,U_0}^l$  is a cone over the corank  $l$  locus of quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}(K), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(K)}(2)))$  with vertex a linear space of dimension  $10 - \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ . It follows that  $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{A,U_0}^l$  is an irreducible variety of codimension  $\frac{l(l+1)}{2}$  equal to the codimension of  $D_l^A$ . Thus we have equality  $\mathfrak{C}_{A,U_0}^l = \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{A,U_0}^l$  which ends the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *If  $A$  is a Lagrangian space in  $\wedge^3 W$ , such that  $\mathbb{P}(A)$  doesn't meet  $G(3, W)$ , then the variety  $D_l^A$  is smooth of the expected codimension  $\frac{l(l+1)}{2}$  outside  $D_{l+1}^A$ . Moreover, if  $l = 2$  and  $\dim A \cap T_{U_0} = 3$ , i.e.  $[U_0]$  is a point in  $D_3^A \setminus D_4^A$ , then the tangent cone  $\mathfrak{C}_{A,U_0}^2$  is a cone over the Veronese surface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  centered in the tangent space of  $D_3^A$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 2.6.* It is clear from Lemma 2.7 that  $\iota$  is a local isomorphism into its image, and by Corollary 2.10, the subscheme  $D_A^k = \iota^{-1}(\iota(G(3, W)) \cap \mathbb{D}_A^k)$  is smooth outside  $D_A^{k+1}$ , so  $\iota(G(3, W))$  meets the degeneracy loci transversally.  $\square$

**2.3. Invariants.** We shall compute the classes of the Lagrangian degeneracy loci  $D_k^A \subset G(3, W)$  in the Chow ring of  $G(3, W)$ . We consider the embedding  $\iota : G(3, W) \rightarrow LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$  defined by the bundle of Lagrangian subspaces  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $G(3, W)$ . According to [PR97, Theorem 2.1] the fundamental classes of the Lagrangian degeneracy loci  $D_k^A$  are

$$[D_1^A] = [c_1(\mathcal{T}^\vee) \cap G(3, W)], \quad [D_2^A] = [(c_2 c_1 - 2c_3)(\mathcal{T}^\vee) \cap G(3, W)]$$

and

$$[D_3^A] = [(c_1 c_2 c_3 - 2c_1^2 c_4 + 2c_2 c_4 + 2c_1 c_5 - 2c_3^2)(\mathcal{T}^\vee) \cap G(3, W)].$$

The  $\mathbb{P}^9$ -bundle  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T})$  is the projective tangent bundle on  $G(3, W)$ . So  $\mathcal{T}^\vee$  fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{G(3, W)}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{G(3, W)}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

and we get

$$\deg D_1^A = 168, \quad \deg D_2^A = 480, \quad \deg D_3^A = 720$$

**Remark 2.11.** This may be compared with the degree of the line bundle  $2H - 3E$  on  $S^{[3]}$ , where  $S$  is a K3 surface of degree 10,  $H$  is the pullback of the line bundle of degree 10 on  $S$ , and  $E$  is the unique divisor class such that the divisor of non-reduced subschemes in  $S^{[3]}$  is equivalent to  $2E$ . The degree, i.e. the value of the Beauville Bogomolov form is  $q(2H - 3E) = 4$ , and the degree and the Euler-Poincare characteristic of the line bundle is

$$(2H - 3E)^6 = 15q(2H - 3E)^3 = 960 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(2H - 3E) = 10.$$

So if the map defined by  $|2H - 3E|$  is a morphism of degree 2, the image would have degree 480, like  $D_2^A$ .

In the section 4, we show that  $S^{[3]}$  for a general K3-surface  $S$  of degree 10, admits a rational double cover of a degeneracy locus  $D_2^A$ . However that double cover is not a morphism.

### 3. THE DOUBLE COVER OF AN EPW CUBE

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $[A] \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$ . If  $\mathbb{P}(A) \cap G(3, W) = \emptyset$  and  $D_4^A = \emptyset$ , then  $D_2^A$  admits a double cover  $f : Y_A \rightarrow D_2^A$  branched over  $D_3^A$  with  $Y_A$  a smooth irreducible manifold having trivial canonical class.*

Before we pass to the construction of the double cover let us observe the following.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 the variety  $D_2^A$  is integral.*

*Proof.* We know that  $D_2^A$  is of expected dimension. Observe now that by Corollary 2.10 the variety  $D_2^A$  is irreducible if and only if it is connected. To prove connectedness we perform a computation in the Chow ring of the Grassmannian  $G(3, W)$  showing that the class  $[D_2^A]$  does not decompose into a sum of nontrivial effective classes in the Chow group  $A^3(G(3, W))$  whose intersection is the zero class in  $A^6(G(3, W))$ . More precisely we compute:

$$[D_2^A] = 16h^3 - 12hs_2 + 12s_3$$

where  $h$  is the hyperplane class on  $G(3, W)$ ,  $s_2$  and  $s_3$  are the Chern classes of the tautological bundle on  $G(3, W)$ . We then solve in integer coordinates  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  the equation

$$(ah^3 - bs_2 + cs_3)((16 - a)h^3 - (12 - b)s_2 + (12 - c)s_3) = 0$$

in the Chow group  $A^6(G(3, W))$  which is generated by:  $s_2^3, h^3s_1s_2, s_3^2$ . Multiplying out the equation in the Chow ring and extracting coefficients at the generators we get a system of three quadratic diophantine equations in  $a, b, c$ :

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} -5a^2 + 4ab - b^2 + 56a - 20b = 0 \\ -6a^2 + 8ab - 2b^2 - 4ac + 2bc + 72a - 52b + 20c = 0 \\ 6a^2 - 6ab + b^2 + 2ac - c^2 - 72a + 36b - 4c = 0 \end{cases}$$

The only integer solutions are:  $(0, 0, 0)$  and  $(16, 12, 12)$ . This ends the proof.  $\square$

The plan of the construction of the double cover in Proposition 3.1 is the following. We consider the resolution  $\tilde{D}_2^A \rightarrow D_2^A$  with exceptional divisor  $E$ . We prove that  $E$  is a smooth even divisor, and hence that there is a smooth double cover  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{D}_2^A$  branched over  $E$ . Finally, we contract the branch divisor of the double cover using a suitable multiple of the pullback of a hyperplane class on  $D_2^A$  by the resolution and the double cover.

Thus, we start by defining the incidences

$$\tilde{D}_2^A = \{([U], [U']) \in G(3, W) \times G(2, A) \mid T_U \supset U'\},$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_2^A = \{([L], [U']) \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W) \times G(2, A) \mid L \supset U'\}.$$

They fit in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(3, W) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & LG_\omega(10, \wedge^3 W) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ D_2^A & \xrightarrow{\iota|_{D_2^A}} & \mathbb{D}_2^A \\ \uparrow \alpha & & \uparrow \phi \\ \tilde{D}_2^A & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_2^A \end{array}$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 the variety  $\tilde{D}_2^A$  as well as the exceptional locus  $E$  of the map  $\alpha$  are smooth. In particular  $\alpha$  is a resolution of singularities of  $D_2^A$ .*

*Proof.* Since we know that  $D_4^A = \emptyset$ , the resolution  $\alpha : \tilde{D}_2^A \rightarrow D_2^A$  is just the blow up of  $D_2^A$  along  $D_3^A$ . Now,  $\tilde{D}_2^A \setminus E$  is isomorphic to  $D_2^A \setminus D_3^A$ , so, by Corollary 2.10, we deduce that  $\tilde{D}_2^A$  is smooth outside  $E$ . Let  $p \in E \subset \tilde{D}_2^A$ . Then  $\alpha(p) \in D_3^A$ . Take  $\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2, \mathbf{P}_3$  to be three general hyperplanes passing through  $\alpha(p)$ . Consider  $Z_{\mathbf{P}} = D_2^A \cap \mathbf{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{P}_2 \cap \mathbf{P}_3$  and its strict transform  $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}} \subset \tilde{D}_2^A$ . We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{D}_2^A \\ \downarrow \alpha_{\mathbf{P}} & & \downarrow \alpha \\ Z_{\mathbf{P}} & \longrightarrow & D_2^A \end{array}$$

The map  $\alpha_{\mathbf{P}} : \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow Z_{\mathbf{P}}$  is the blow up of  $Z_{\mathbf{P}}$  in  $D_3^A \cap \mathbf{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{P}_2 \cap \mathbf{P}_3$ , which by Corollary 2.10 is a finite set of isolated points. By the assumption on  $\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2, \mathbf{P}_3$  the strict transform  $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}}$  contains the whole fiber  $\alpha^{-1}(p)$  and hence also  $p \in \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$  be the strict transform of  $\mathbf{P}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$  is a Cartier divisor on  $\tilde{D}_2^A$  and  $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}} = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_2 \cap \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_3$  is a complete intersection of Cartier divisors on  $\tilde{D}_2^A$ . Now, from Corollary 2.10, the exceptional divisor  $E_{\mathbf{P}} = E \cap \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}}$  of  $\alpha_{\mathbf{P}}$  is isomorphic to a finite union of disjoint  $(\mathbb{P}^2)$ 's, one for each point in  $D_3^A \cap \mathbf{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{P}_2 \cap \mathbf{P}_3$ . But  $E_{\mathbf{P}}$  is itself a Cartier divisor on  $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}}$  by general properties of blow up. Therefore  $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}}$  is smooth. We conclude that  $\tilde{D}_2^A$  is smooth at  $p$  and similarly, that  $E$  is smooth at  $p$ .  $\square$

We compute the first Chern class of the normal bundle of the embedding  $\tilde{\iota} : \tilde{D}_2^A \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_2^A$ .

**Lemma 3.4.**

$$c_1(\tilde{\iota}^* N_{\tilde{D}_2^A | \mathbb{D}_2^A}) = c_1(\alpha^* \iota^* N_{\iota(G(3,W)) | LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)}) = 38h,$$

where  $h$  is the pullback via the resolution  $\alpha$  of the restriction of the hyperplane class on  $G(3, W)$  to  $D_2^A$ .

*Proof.* From the transversality (Proposition 2.6) we have

$$\tilde{\iota}^* N_{\tilde{D}_2^A | \mathbb{D}_2^A} = \alpha^* \iota^* N_{\iota(G(3,W)) | LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)}.$$

which gives the first equality.

To get the second, consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow T_{G(3,W)} \rightarrow \iota^*(T_{LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)}) \rightarrow \iota^*(N_{\iota(G(3,W)) | LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)}) \rightarrow 0,$$

and observe that  $\iota^*(T_{LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)}) = \iota^*(S^2 \mathcal{L}^\vee) = S^2(\iota^* \mathcal{L}^\vee) = S^2 \mathcal{T}^\vee$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes, as before, the tautological bundle on the Lagrangian Grassmannian  $LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$ . We obtain

$$c_1(\alpha^* \iota^* N_{\iota(G(3,W)) | LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)}) = -11\alpha^* c_1(\mathcal{T}) - 6h.$$

Now, from

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{G(3,W)}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow T_{G(3,W)}(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain  $\alpha^* c_1(\mathcal{T}) = -4h$ , which proves the lemma.  $\square$

Note that in our notation we have  $\tilde{\iota}^* H = \tilde{\iota}^* \phi^* c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee) = \alpha^* \iota^* c_1(\mathcal{L}^\vee) = \alpha^* c_1(\mathcal{T}^\vee) = 4h$ . We aim now at constructing a double covering of  $\tilde{D}_2^A$  branched along  $E$ . It is enough to prove that  $E$  is an even divisor. This follows from the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\tilde{D}_2^A} \rightarrow \tilde{\iota}^* T_{\mathbb{D}_2^A} \rightarrow \tilde{\iota}^* N_{\tilde{D}_2^A | \mathbb{D}_2^A} \rightarrow 0,$$

and Lemma 2.3. Indeed, from them we infer

$$c_1(T_{\tilde{D}_2^A}) = \tilde{\iota}^*(9H + R) - 38h = \tilde{\iota}^*(R) - 2h,$$

which, by Lemma 2.5, means  $E = \mathbb{E} \cap \tilde{D}_2^A = \tilde{\iota}^*(H - 2R) = 2K_{\tilde{D}_2^A}$ . By Lemma 3.3 there hence exists a smooth double cover  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{D}_2^A$  branched along the exceptional locus  $E$  of the resolution  $\alpha$ . Moreover, from the adjunction formula for double covers we get  $K_{\tilde{Y}} = \tilde{f}^*(K_{\tilde{D}_2^A}) + \tilde{E} = \tilde{f}^*(K_{\tilde{D}_2^A}) + \tilde{E}$ .

We now need to contract  $\tilde{E} = \tilde{f}^{-1}(E)$  on  $\tilde{Y}$ . For that, with slight abuse of notation, we denote by  $h$  the class of the hyperplane section on  $D_2^A \subset G(3, W)$ . Then  $|\tilde{f}^* \alpha^* h|$  is a globally generated linear system whose associated morphism defines  $\alpha \circ \tilde{f}$  and hence contracts  $E$  to a threefold and is 2:1 on  $\tilde{Y} \setminus \tilde{f}^{-1}(E)$ . It follows by standard arguments (for example applying Stein factorization and [Har70, Proposition 4.4]) that there exists a number  $n$  such that the system  $|n\tilde{f}^* \alpha^* h|$  defines a morphism  $\tilde{\alpha} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  which is a birational morphism contracting exactly  $\tilde{E}$  to a threefold  $\mathcal{Z}$  and such that its image  $Y$  is normal. We then have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{D}_2^A \\ \downarrow \tilde{\alpha} & & \downarrow \alpha \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & D_2^A \end{array}$$

in which  $Y$  admits a 2:1 map  $f : Y \rightarrow D_2^A$  branched along  $D_3^A$ .

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* We have constructed  $Y$ , a normal variety admitting a 2:1 map  $f : Y \rightarrow D_2^A$  branched along  $D_3^A$ . Clearly  $K_{\tilde{Y}} = \tilde{E}$  implies  $K_Y = 0$ . It hence remains to prove that  $Y$  is smooth. Since  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is a contraction that contracts only  $\tilde{E}$  it is clear that  $Y$  is smooth outside of  $\mathcal{Z} = \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{E})$ . Let now  $p \in \mathcal{Z}$  and let  $p' = f(p)$ . We then choose three general hypersurfaces  $\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2, \mathbf{P}_3$  of degree  $n$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^3 W)$  passing through  $p'$ . Consider  $Z_{\mathbf{P}} = D_2^A \cap \mathbf{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{P}_2 \cap \mathbf{P}_3$  and  $Z'_{\mathbf{P}} = D_3^A \cap \mathbf{P}_1 \cap \mathbf{P}_2 \cap \mathbf{P}_3$ . Then  $Z'_{\mathbf{P}}$  is a finite set of points that includes  $p'$ . Consider the following natural restriction of the above diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y}_{\mathbf{P}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{P}}} & \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}} \\ \downarrow \tilde{\alpha}_{\mathbf{P}} & & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathbf{P}} \\ Y_{\mathbf{P}} & \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbf{P}}} & Z_{\mathbf{P}} \end{array}$$

Here  $\alpha_{\mathbf{P}} = \alpha|_{\alpha^{-1}(Z_{\mathbf{P}})} : \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow Z_{\mathbf{P}}$  is just the blow up of  $Z_{\mathbf{P}}$  along  $Z'_{\mathbf{P}}$ . The exceptional divisor  $E_{\mathbf{P}}$  is then, by Corollary 2.10, isomorphic to a finite set of disjoint  $(\mathbb{P}^2)$ 's that each have normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)$  in  $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}}$ . Taking the double cover of  $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{P}}$  branched along the exceptional divisor  $E_{\mathbf{P}}$ , the preimage of these  $(\mathbb{P}^2)$ 's are the components of  $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{P}} \subset \tilde{Y}_{\mathbf{P}}$ , each component a  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$ . The contraction  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathbf{P}}$  contracts the divisor  $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{P}}$  to a finite set of points in  $Y_{\mathbf{P}}$ . It contracts one of its  $(\mathbb{P}^2)$ 's, denote it by  $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{P}}^p$ , to the point  $p$ . Note also that from the construction,  $Y_{\mathbf{P}}$  is the intersection of three Cartier divisors on  $Y$  which is smooth outside the finite set of points  $Z'_{\mathbf{P}}$ . Thus, since we constructed  $Y$  to be normal, we deduce that  $Y_{\mathbf{P}}$  is also normal. We claim that  $p$  must be a smooth point of  $Y_{\mathbf{P}}$ . Indeed, we know that  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathbf{P}}$  is a birational morphism onto the normal variety  $Y_{\mathbf{P}}$ . Moreover, all lines  $\mathbf{l} \subset \tilde{E}_{\mathbf{P}}^p = \mathbb{P}^2$  are numerically equivalent on  $\tilde{Y}_{\mathbf{P}}$  and satisfy  $\mathbf{l} \cdot K_{\tilde{Y}_{\mathbf{P}}} = -1 < 0$ . It follows from [Mor82, Corollary 3.6], that there exists an extremal ray  $r$  for  $\tilde{Y}_{\mathbf{P}}$  whose associated contraction  $\text{cont}_r : \tilde{Y}_{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{P}}$  contracts  $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{P}}^p$  to a point  $\hat{p}$  and that  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathbf{P}}$  factorizes through  $\text{cont}_r$ . By [Mor82, Theorem 3.3] we have that  $\text{cont}_r$  is the blow down of  $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{P}}^p$  and  $\hat{p}$  is a smooth point of  $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{P}}$ . Let us now denote by  $\sigma : \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{P}}$  the morphism satisfying  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathbf{P}} = \sigma \circ \text{cont}_r$ . Consider  $\sigma_o$  the restriction of  $\sigma$  to small open neighborhoods of  $\hat{p}$  and  $p$ . Then  $\sigma_o$  is a birational proper morphism which is bijective to an open subset of the normal variety  $Y_{\mathbf{P}}$ . It follows by Zariski Main Theorem that  $\sigma_o$  is an isomorphism and in consequence,  $p$  is a smooth point on  $Y_{\mathbf{P}}$ .

The latter implies that  $Y$  must also be smooth at  $p$  as it admits a smooth complete intersection subvariety which is smooth at  $p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $[A] \in LG_{\eta}(10, \wedge^3 W)$  be a general Lagrangian subspace with a 3-dimensional intersection with some space  $F_{[w]} = \{w \wedge \alpha \mid \alpha \in \wedge^2 W\}$ , then there exists a double cover  $f_A : Y_A \rightarrow D_2^A$  branched over  $D_3^A$ , where  $Y_A$  is a smooth irreducible sixfold with trivial canonical class.*

*Proof.* It is enough to make a dimension count to prove that the general Lagrangian space  $A$  satisfying the assumptions of the Corollary also satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.1. Indeed, let as in the introduction

$$\Delta = \{[A] \in LG_{\eta}(10, \wedge^3 W) \mid \exists w \in W : \dim(A \cap F_{[w]}) \geq 3\},$$

and

$$\Gamma = \{[A] \in LG_{\eta}(10, \wedge^3 W) \mid \exists U \in G(3, W) : \dim(A \cap T_U) \geq 4\}.$$

We show:

**Lemma 3.6.** *The set  $\Gamma \subset LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$  is a divisor.*

*Proof.* Let us consider the incidence

$$\Xi = \{([U], [A]) \in G(3, W) \times LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W) : \dim(T_U \cap A) \geq 4\}.$$

The dimension of  $\Xi$  can be computed by looking at the projection  $\Xi \rightarrow G(3, 6)$ . For a fixed tangent plane we choose first a  $\mathbb{P}^3$  inside: this choice has 24 parameters. Then for a fixed  $\mathbb{P}^3$  we have  $\dim(LG(6, 12)) = 21$  parameters for the choice of  $A$ . Thus the dimension of  $\Xi$  is  $9 + 24 + 21 = 54$ . It remains to observe that the projection  $\Xi \rightarrow LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$  is finite, and that  $\dim(LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)) = 55$ .  $\square$

Note that in [O'G13, Proposition 2.2] it is proven that  $\Delta$  is irreducible and not contained in  $\Sigma = \{[A] \in LG(10, 20) \mid \mathbb{P}(A) \cap G(3, W) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Our corollary is now a consequence of Proposition 3.1 and the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** *The divisors  $\Delta, \Gamma \subset LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$  have no common components.*

*Proof.* We need to prove  $\dim(\Delta \cap \Gamma) < 54$  which, by the fact that  $\Delta$  is irreducible and not contained in  $\Sigma$ , is equivalent to  $\dim((\Delta \cap \Gamma) \setminus \Sigma) < 54$ . For this, observe that if  $[A] \in (\Delta \cap \Gamma) \setminus \Sigma$  then there exist  $[U] \in G(3, W)$  and  $[w] \in \mathbb{P}(W)$  with  $\dim(A \cap T_U) = 4$  and  $\dim(A \cap F_{[w]}) = 3$ . We can hence consider the incidence:

$$(3.2) \quad \Theta = \{([A], [W_3], [W_4], [w], [U]) \mid W_3 = A \cap F_{[w]}, W_4 = A \cap T_U\} \\ \subset LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W) \times G(3, \wedge^3 W) \times G(4, \wedge^3 W) \times \mathbb{P}(W) \times G(3, W)$$

such that its projection to  $LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$  contains  $(\Delta \cap \Gamma) \setminus \Sigma$ . Note also that if we take  $([A], [W_3], [W_4], [w], [U]) \in \Theta$  then  $W_4 \cap W_3 = W_4 \cap F_{[w]} = W_3 \cap T_U$ .

We shall now compute the dimension of  $\Theta$  by considering fibers under subsequent projections:

$$LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W) \times G(3, \wedge^3 W) \times G(4, \wedge^3 W) \times \mathbb{P}(W) \times G(3, W) \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_1} G(3, \wedge^3 W) \times G(4, \wedge^3 W) \times \mathbb{P}(W) \times G(3, W) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} G(4, \wedge^3 W) \times \mathbb{P}(W) \times G(3, W) \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_3} \mathbb{P}(W) \times G(3, W)$$

We have two possibilities for pairs  $([w], [U])$  which give us two types of points to consider:

- (1)  $w \notin U$ , then  $\dim T_U \cap F_{[w]} = 3$ .
- (2)  $w \in U$ , then  $\dim T_U \cap F_{[w]} = 7$ .

We then have different types of elements in the intersection  $\pi_3^{-1}([w], [U]) \cap \pi_2(\pi_1(\Theta))$ , depending on the number  $d_1 := \dim(W_4 \cap F_{[w]}) = \dim(W_4 \cap W_3) \leq 3$ . If  $W_4^\perp$  denotes the orthogonal to  $W_4$  w.r.t.  $\eta$  in  $\wedge^3 W$ , then  $\dim W_4^\perp \cap F_{[w]} = 6 + d_1$ . Now, in order for  $[W_3]$  to be an element of  $\pi_2^{-1}([W_4], [w], [U]) \cap \pi_1(\Theta)$  we must have  $W_3 \subset W_4^\perp \cap F_{[w]}$ . The fiber  $\pi_1^{-1}([W_3], [W_4], [w], [U]) \cap \Theta$  is of dimension  $\frac{(3+d_1)(4+d_1)}{2}$ . Hence to compute the dimension of each component of  $\Theta$  it is enough to compute the dimensions of the spaces  $F_{i, d_1}$  of elements  $([W_3], [W_4], [w], [U])$  of types  $(i, d_1)$ , where  $i = 1$  if  $w \notin U$  and  $i = 2$  if  $w \in U$ .

- (1) For  $i = 1$  we start with a choice of  $[U] \in G(3, W)$ . Then  $[w]$  belongs to an open subset of  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . We have  $d_1 \leq 3$  and  $[W_4]$  belongs to the Schubert cycle consisting of 4-spaces in the 10-dimensional space  $T_U$  that meet the fixed 3-space  $T_U \cap F_{[w]}$  in dimension  $d_1$ . And  $[W_3]$  belongs to the Schubert cycle of

3-spaces in the  $(6 + d_1)$ -dimensional space  $W_4^\perp \cap F_{[w]}$  that contains the space  $W_4 \cap F_{[w]}$  of dimension  $d_1$

- (2) For  $i = 2$  we again start with a choice of  $[U] \in G(3, W)$ . In this case  $[w]$  belongs to  $\mathbb{P}(U)$ . We have  $d_1 \leq 3$  and  $[W_4]$  belongs to the Schubert cycle of 4-spaces in the 10-dimensional space  $T_U$  that meet the fixed 7-space  $T_U \cap F_{[w]}$  in dimension  $d_1$ . Then  $[W_3]$  belongs to the Schubert cycle of 3-spaces in the  $(6 + d_1)$ -dimensional space  $W_4^\perp \cap F_{[w]}$  that contains the space  $W_4 \cap F_{[w]}$  of dimension  $d_1$ .

We have:

$$\dim F_{i,d_1} = \begin{cases} 9 + 5 + d_1(3 - d_1) + (4 - d_1)6 + (d_1 + 3)(3 - d_1) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = 47 - 3d_1 - 2d_1^2 & \text{for } i=1, \\ 9 + 2 + d_1(7 - d_1) + (4 - d_1)6 + (d_1 + 3)(3 - d_1) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = 44 + d_1 - 2d_1^2 & \text{for } i=2. \end{cases}$$

In each case we have  $\dim F_{i,d_1} + \frac{(3+d_1)(4+d_1)}{2} \leq 53$ . It follows that  $\dim \Theta \leq 53$  which implies  $\dim(\Delta \cap \Gamma) \leq 53$ . Hence  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  have no common components.  $\square$

This concludes the proof also of Corollary 3.5.  $\square$

#### 4. SPECIAL $A$

Let us recall from [O'G13] the following construction. Let  $V$  and  $V_0$  be two vector spaces of dimensions 5 and 1 respectively. Let  $W = V \oplus V_0$ . Consider the space  $\wedge^3 W$  equipped with the symplectic form  $\eta$  given by the wedge product as above. Let  $v_0 \in V_0$ , choose  $A$  a general Lagrangian subspace of  $\wedge^3 W$  such that  $A \cap F_{[v_0]}$  is a vector space of dimension 3 i.e.  $[A]$  is a general element of the divisor  $\Delta \subset LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$ . In particular, we assume  $[A] \in \Delta \setminus \Sigma$ . Note that, by [O'G13, Proposition 2.2 (2)], for a general  $[A] \in \Delta$  there is a unique  $[v_0]$  such that  $F_{[v_0]} \cap A$  is of dimension 3.

Let  $\tilde{K} = A \cap F_{[v_0]}$  and denote by  $K \subset \wedge^2 V$  the 3-dimensional subspace such that  $\tilde{K} = v_0 \wedge K$ . Observe that there is a natural isomorphism  $\wedge^2 V \rightarrow F_{[v_0]}$  given by wedge product with  $v_0$ . The latter induces an isomorphism  $\wedge^3 V \rightarrow F_{[v_0]}^\vee$ .

Let  $[B] \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$  be a Lagrangian space such that  $B \cap F_{[v_0]} = \{0\}$  and  $B \cap A = \{0\}$ . Then the symplectic form  $\eta$  defines a canonical isomorphism  $B \rightarrow F_{[v_0]}^\vee$  by which  $A$  appears as the graph of a symmetric map  $\tilde{Q}_A : F_{[v_0]} \rightarrow B = F_{[v_0]}^\vee$ . Composed with the isomorphisms  $\wedge^2 V \rightarrow F_{[v_0]}$  and  $\wedge^3 V \rightarrow F_{[v_0]}^\vee$  we get a symmetric map

$$Q_A : \wedge^2 V \rightarrow \wedge^3 V \cong (\wedge^2 V)^\vee.$$

Clearly  $\ker Q_A = K$ . Let  $q_A$  be the quadric on  $\wedge^2 V$  given by  $Q_A$ , then  $q_A$  is a quadric of rank 7; it is a cone over  $K$ . The map  $Q_A$  defines an isomorphism  $\wedge^2 V / K \rightarrow K^\perp$  and hence the quadric  $q_A$  defines a quadric  $K^\perp \subset \wedge^3 V$ :

$$q_A^* : \beta \mapsto \text{vol}(\alpha \wedge \beta), \quad \text{where } Q_A(\alpha) = \beta.$$

Moreover, to each  $v^* \in V^\vee$  we associate the quadric:

$$q_{v^*} : \wedge^3 V \ni \omega \mapsto \text{vol}(\omega(v^*) \wedge \omega) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The quadrics  $q_{v^*}$  are the Plücker quadrics defining the Grassmannian  $G(3, V) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^3 V)$ . We denote by  $S_A$  the smooth K3 surface (see [O'G13, Corollary 4.9]) of genus 6 defined on  $\mathbb{P}(K^\perp)$  by the restrictions of the quadrics  $q_{v^*}$  and the quadric  $q_A^*$ . Let  $S_A^{[2]}$  and

$S_A^{[3]}$  denote the appropriate Hilbert schemes of points on  $S_A$ . Observe that we have a natural isomorphism:

$$W^\vee = V^\vee \oplus V_0^\vee \in v^* + cv_0^* \mapsto q_{v^*} + cq_A^* \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_{S_A}(2))$$

We then have a rational two to one map:

$$\varphi : S_A^{[2]} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$$

well defined on the open subset consisting of reduced subschemes whose span is not contained in  $G(3, V)$ , by associating to  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} \subset S_A$  the hyperplane in  $W^\vee = H^0(\mathcal{I}_S(2))$  consisting of quadrics containing the line  $\langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle$ . Let us describe this map more precisely. Since  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} \subset K^\perp \subset \wedge^3 V \subset \wedge^3 W$ ,  $\beta_i \wedge \kappa = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\kappa \in K$  hence also for  $\kappa \in \tilde{K}$ . Thus  $\beta_i \in \wedge^3 W$  is contained in the space spanned by  $A$  and  $F_{[v_0]}$ . It follows that there exist  $\alpha_i \in \wedge^2 V$  such that  $\beta_i + v_0 \wedge \alpha_i \in A$ . Let us fix such  $\alpha_i$  (determined up to elements in  $K$ ). Then  $Q_A(\alpha_i) = \beta_i$  and

$$q_A^*(\lambda_1 \beta_1 + \lambda_2 \beta_2) = \text{vol}((\lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_2) \wedge (\lambda_1 \beta_1 + \lambda_2 \beta_2)) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \text{vol}(\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1)$$

since  $q_A^*(\beta_1) = q_A^*(\beta_2) = 0$ . But  $A$  is Lagrangian, so we have:

$$\alpha_i \wedge \beta_i = 0 \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{vol}(\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2) = \text{vol}(\alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1) := c_{12}.$$

Now,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are decomposable, i.e.  $q_{v^*}(\beta_i) = 0$ , and their linear span is not contained in  $G(3, V)$ . We may therefore choose a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$  for  $V$  such that  $\beta_1 = v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3$  and  $\beta_2 = v_1 \wedge v_4 \wedge v_5$ . A direct computation now shows

$$(t_0 q_A^* + \sum_{i=1}^5 t_i q_{v_i^*})(\lambda_1 \beta_1 + \lambda_2 \beta_2) = 2t_0 c_{12} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + 2t_1 \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$

so

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}) = [c_{12} v_0 + v_1] \in \mathbb{P}(W).$$

It is proven in [O'G13] that  $\varphi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2\})$  lies on the EPW sextic associated to  $A$ . Let us present the proof in a way that we will be able to further generalize. It suffices to show that there are nonzero scalars  $x_1, x_2$  and an element  $\kappa \in K$ , such that

$$(x_1(\beta_1 + v_0 \wedge \alpha_1) + x_2(\beta_2 + v_0 \wedge \alpha_2) + v_0 \wedge \kappa) \wedge (c_{12} v_0 + v_1) = 0.$$

Indeed, this implies  $[x_1(\beta_1 + v_0 \wedge \alpha_1) + x_2(\beta_2 + v_0 \wedge \alpha_2) + v_0 \wedge \kappa] \in \mathbb{P}(F_{[c_{12} v_0 + v_1]}) \cap \mathbb{P}(A)$ . Let us now denote by  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$  a basis of  $K$ , then we consider the equation

$$(x_1(\beta_1 + v_0 \wedge \alpha_1) + x_2(\beta_2 + v_0 \wedge \alpha_2) + \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j v_0 \wedge \kappa_j) \wedge (c_{12} v_0 + v_1) = 0.$$

i.e.

$$(-x_1 c_{12} v_0 \wedge \beta_1 - x_2 c_{12} v_0 \wedge \beta_2 + x_1 v_0 \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge v_1 + x_2 v_0 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge v_1 + \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j v_0 \wedge \kappa_j \wedge v_1) = 0.$$

To make this equation into a system of linear equations we multiply with the elements of basis in  $\wedge^2 V$  and compose with the volume map  $\text{vol} : \wedge^6 W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

We obtain trivial equations when multiplying by  $v_1 \wedge v_i, i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ . Multiplying with  $v_2 \wedge v_3$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_i \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 &= \kappa_i \wedge \beta_1 = 0, i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \beta_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 &= 0, \alpha_1 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\alpha_2 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 = \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 = c_{12} = c_{12} \text{vol}(v_0 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3).$$

So the equation multiplied with  $v_2 \wedge v_3$  is also trivial. Similarly, the equation multiplied with  $v_4 \wedge v_5$  is trivial. So the only nontrivial linear equations are obtained by multiplying by forms in  $\langle v_2 \wedge v_4, v_2 \wedge v_5, v_3 \wedge v_4, v_3 \wedge v_5 \rangle$ . Each of these 2-vectors annihilates  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , so we get the following four independent equations in 5 variables, with a unique solution up to scalars:

$$(x_1\alpha_1 + x_2\alpha_2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j\kappa_j) \wedge v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_4 = 0.$$

$$(x_1\alpha_1 + x_2\alpha_2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j\kappa_j) \wedge v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_5 = 0.$$

$$(x_1\alpha_1 + x_2\alpha_2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j\kappa_j) \wedge v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_3 \wedge v_4 = 0.$$

$$(x_1\alpha_1 + x_2\alpha_2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j\kappa_j) \wedge v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_3 \wedge v_5 = 0.$$

Let us now consider the rational map  $\psi : S_A^{[3]} \rightarrow G(3, W)$  defined on general subschemes  $\mathfrak{s} \subset S_A$  of length 3 as the 3-codimensional space in  $W^\vee = H^0(\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{s}}(2))$  consisting of those quadrics which contain the plane spanned by  $\mathfrak{s}$ . It is clear that for a subscheme corresponding to a general triple of points  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  we have

$$(4.2) \quad \psi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}) = [\langle \varphi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}) \wedge \varphi(\{\beta_1, \beta_3\}) \wedge \varphi(\{\beta_2, \beta_3\}) \rangle].$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *The map  $\psi$  is a generically 2:1 rational map onto  $D_A^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  be three general points on  $S_A$ . The proof then amounts to two lemmas:

**Lemma 4.2.** *The fiber of  $\psi$ ,*

$$\psi^{-1}(\psi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\})) = \{\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}, \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}\}$$

*is two triples of points on  $S_A$  whose union is a set of six distinct points on a twisted cubic contained in  $G(3, V)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U_{\beta_1}, U_{\beta_2}, U_{\beta_3} \subset V$  be the subspaces corresponding to  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ . Then there exists a unique 3-dimensional subspace  $U_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3}$  meeting each  $U_{\beta_i}$  in a 2-dimensional space. It follows that  $U_{\beta_1}, U_{\beta_2}, U_{\beta_3}$  is contained in the intersection  $C_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3}$  of  $\mathbb{P}^6$  with the Schubert cycle  $\mathcal{S}_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3}$  in  $G(3, V)$  of three-spaces meeting  $U_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3}$  in a 2-dimensional space. Since  $\mathcal{S}_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3}$  is a cone over  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  the considered intersection  $C_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3}$  is, in general, a twisted cubic. Moreover, under the generality assumption  $C_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3} \cap S_A = C_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3} \cap q_A^*$  consists of six points. Three of them are  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  and the residual three will be denoted by  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ . The linear span of  $C_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , we denote it by  $\mathbf{P}$ , and its intersection with  $G(3, V)$  is  $\mathbf{P} \cap G(3, V) = C_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3}$ . We denote by  $\Pi$  the plane  $\langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \rangle$ . Now, every quadric containing  $S_A$  and  $\Pi$ , when restricted to  $\mathbf{P}$ , decomposes into  $\Pi$  and another plane  $\Pi'$ . Since, in general,  $\Pi$  does not pass through  $\gamma_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , the plane  $\Pi'$  must pass through the points  $\gamma_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . This means that  $\Pi' = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \rangle$ . It is then clear that  $\psi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}) = \psi(\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\})$ .

Assume on the other hand that  $\psi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}) = \psi(\{\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \gamma'_3\})$ . Then, by the equations 4.2 and 4.1, we deduce that  $U_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3} = U_{\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \gamma'_3}$  hence  $C_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3} = C_{\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \gamma'_3}$ . It follows that  $\langle \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \gamma'_3 \rangle \subset \mathbf{P}$ . But the net of quadrics corresponding to  $\psi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}) = \psi(\{\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \gamma'_3\})$  define on  $\mathbf{P}$  two planes  $\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \rangle$  and  $\langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \rangle$ . It follows that  $\{\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \gamma'_3\} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  or  $\{\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \gamma'_3\} = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$ . Which ends the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.**  $\dim(T_{\psi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\})} \cap A) = 2$

*Proof.* By appropriate choice of basis of  $V$  we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $\beta_1 = v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3$ ,  $\beta_2 = v_1 \wedge v_4 \wedge v_5$ , and  $\beta_3 = v_2 \wedge v_4 \wedge (v_3 + v_5)$ . Observe as above that  $\beta_i \wedge \kappa = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\kappa \in K$ , hence  $\beta_i$  is contained in the space spanned by  $A$  and  $F_{[v_0]}$ . It follows that there exist  $\alpha_i \in \wedge^2 V$  such that  $\beta_i + v_0 \wedge \alpha_i \in A$ . We fix such  $\alpha_i$  (determined modulo  $K$ ). Since  $A$  is Lagrangian we have:

$$\alpha_i \wedge \beta_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2 = \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_1 := c_{12},$$

$$\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_3 = \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_1 := c_{13} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_3 = \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_2 := c_{23}.$$

As above, a direct computation gives

$$\varphi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}) = c_{12}v_0 + v_1, \quad \varphi(\{\beta_1, \beta_3\}) = c_{13}v_0 + v_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\{\beta_2, \beta_3\}) = -c_{23}v_0 + v_4.$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\psi(\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\})} &= \{\omega \in \wedge^3 W \mid \omega \wedge (c_{12}v_0 + v_1) \wedge (c_{13}v_0 + v_2) = \\ &\quad \omega \wedge (c_{12}v_0 + v_1) \wedge (-c_{23}v_0 + v_4) = \omega \wedge (c_{13}v_0 + v_2) \wedge (-c_{23}v_0 + v_4) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Again we denote by  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$  a basis of  $K$ . Now,  $\beta_i + v_0 \wedge \alpha_i \in A$  and  $K \wedge v_0 \subset A$ , so to prove the lemma it is enough to prove that the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i(\beta_i + v_0 \wedge \alpha_i) + \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j v_0 \wedge \kappa_j) \wedge (c_{12}v_0 + v_1) \wedge (c_{13}v_0 + v_2) = 0 \\ (\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i(\beta_i + v_0 \wedge \alpha_i) + \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j v_0 \wedge \kappa_j) \wedge (c_{12}v_0 + v_1) \wedge (-c_{23}v_0 + v_4) = 0 \\ (\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i(\beta_i + v_0 \wedge \alpha_i) + \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j v_0 \wedge \kappa_j) \wedge (c_{13}v_0 + v_2) \wedge (-c_{23}v_0 + v_4) = 0 \end{cases}$$

in variables  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  has a 2-dimensional set of solutions satisfying  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq 0$ . By reductions as above and rearranging we get the system

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} v_0 \wedge (-c_{12}x_2\beta_2 \wedge v_2 + c_{13}x_3\beta_3 \wedge v_1 + (\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i\alpha_i + y_i\kappa_i) \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2) = 0 \\ v_0 \wedge (-c_{12}x_1\beta_1 \wedge v_4 - c_{23}x_3\beta_3 \wedge v_1 + (\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i\alpha_i + y_i\kappa_i) \wedge v_1 \wedge v_4) = 0 \\ v_0 \wedge (-c_{13}x_1\beta_1 \wedge v_4 - c_{23}x_2\beta_2 \wedge v_2 + (\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i\alpha_i + y_i\kappa_i) \wedge v_2 \wedge v_4) = 0 \end{cases}$$

To make the system of equations (4.3) into a system of linear equations we multiply each of the equation by the coordinate vectors and obtain a system of 18 linear equations in 6 coordinates. If we now denote the three left hand side expressions dependent on  $(x, y)$  in the equations from (4.3) by  $u_1(x, y)$ ,  $u_2(x, y)$ ,  $u_3(x, y) \in \wedge^5 W$ , a straightforward computation, as above, shows that the following equations are trivial:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x, y) \wedge v_0 &= u_1(x, y) \wedge v_1 = u_1(x, y) \wedge v_2 = u_1(x, y) \wedge v_3 = 0, \\ u_2(x, y) \wedge v_0 &= u_2(x, y) \wedge v_1 = u_2(x, y) \wedge v_4 = u_2(x, y) \wedge v_5 = 0, \\ u_3(x, y) \wedge v_0 &= u_3(x, y) \wedge v_2 = u_3(x, y) \wedge v_4 = u_3(x, y) \wedge (v_3 + v_5) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The following products are equal

$$u_1(x, y) \wedge v_4 = -u_2(x, y) \wedge v_2 = u_3(x, y) \wedge v_1 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i\alpha_i + y_i\kappa_i \right) \wedge v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_4,$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1(x, y) \wedge v_5 &= c_{13}x_3v_0 \wedge \dots \wedge v_5 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i\alpha_i + y_i\kappa_i \right) \wedge v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_5 \\
u_2(x, y) \wedge v_3 &= c_{23}x_3v_0 \wedge \dots \wedge v_5 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i\alpha_i + y_i\kappa_i \right) \wedge v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_3 \wedge v_4, \\
u_3(x, y) \wedge (v_3 - v_5) &= (c_{13}x_1 - c_{23}x_2)v_0 \wedge \dots \wedge v_5 \\
&\quad - \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i\alpha_i + y_i\kappa_i \right) \wedge v_0 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_4 \wedge (v_3 - v_5).
\end{aligned}$$

So the 18 linear equations are reduced the following four independent ones:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1(x, y) \wedge v_4 &= 0, u_1(x, y) \wedge v_5 = 0, \\
u_2(x, y) \wedge v_3 &= 0, u_3(x, y) \wedge (v_3 - v_5) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the system of linear equations admits a 2-dimensional system of solutions. To prove that nonzero solutions satisfy  $x \neq 0$  it is enough to observe that a solution with  $x = 0$  is a 3-vector  $v_0 \wedge \kappa$  with  $\kappa \in K$  such that

$$\kappa \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 = \kappa \wedge v_1 \wedge v_4 = \kappa \wedge v_2 \wedge v_4 = 0.$$

But any such  $\kappa$  lies in the space  $\langle v_1 \wedge v_2, v_1 \wedge v_4, v_2 \wedge v_4 \rangle = \wedge^2 \langle v_1, v_2, v_4 \rangle$ . By assumption,  $\mathbb{P}(v_0 \wedge K) \subset \mathbb{P}(A)$  does not intersect  $G(3, W)$ , so this is impossible. Therefore the only solution of the system (4.3) satisfying  $x = 0$  is  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ .  $\square$

Proposition 4.1 follows immediately from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** There is an alternative approach to the Proposition 4.1. We consider the intersection  $F$  of the quadrics containing  $S_A$  together with a generic plane  $B = \langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \rangle$ . This is a complete intersection of degree 8 with six ordinary double points that span a 3-space. Three of them are the points of intersection of  $B \cap S_A$  and the residual three points span another plane  $B'$  contained in our Fano threefold  $F$ . Since  $S_A$  does not contain any plane curve, if a plane passes through three points of  $S_A$  these points are isolated in the intersection. If the plane is contained in  $F$ , the three points must therefore be three of the six ordinary double points. Since the 3-space spanned by  $B$  and  $B'$  cuts  $F$  along the sum of  $B \cup B'$  it follows that the degree of  $\psi$  is two at the point corresponding to  $F$ . On the other hand the generic complete intersection of three quadrics containing  $S_A$  have also six ordinary double points. The six ordinary double points spans a  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and a complete intersection  $F$  of degree 8 that contain  $S_A$  corresponds to a point of the EPW cube when the intersection of this  $\mathbb{P}^3$  with  $F$  is a reducible quadric.

Next, we compute the codimension of the indeterminacy locus and the ramification locus of  $\psi$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *The rational map  $\psi$  is well defined outside a set of codimension 2. Moreover, the ramification locus of  $\psi$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ .*

Before we pass to the proof of the Proposition we introduce some more notation. Recall first that, by the assumption on generality of  $A$ , we know that  $S_A$  does not contain any line, conic or twisted cubic. Let  $F_A$  be the Fano threefold obtained as the intersection  $G(3, V) \cap \langle S_A \rangle$ . By the generality of  $A$ , it follows that  $F_A$  is smooth. Let

$[U] \in G(3, V)$ . Consider the Schubert cycle  $\mathcal{S}_U = \{U' \in G(3, V) \mid \dim(U \cap U') \geq 2\}$ . It is clear that in the Plücker embedding of  $G(3, V) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^3 V)$  the variety  $\mathcal{S}_U$  is the tangent cone of  $G(3, V)$  in  $[U]$ . It spans the projective tangent space and is a cone over  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  with vertex  $[U]$ . We are interested in intersections  $\mathcal{S}_U \cap F_A$ . Note that  $F_A$  is of degree 5 and has Picard group of rank 1 generated by the hyperplane class. Hence  $F_A$  does not contain any surface of degree  $\leq 4$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{P}_A^6 \cap \mathcal{S}_U = F_A \cap \mathcal{S}_U$  is a cubic curve, a possibly reducible or nonreduced degeneration of a twisted cubic curve. We denote the corresponding subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of twisted cubics in  $F_A$  by  $\mathcal{H}_A$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}_1$  be the subset of  $S_A^{[3]}$  consisting of those subschemes that are contained in a conic in  $F_A \subset G(3, V)$ . Since  $F_A$  is a linear section of  $G(3, V)$  and contains no planes, the Hilbert scheme of conics in  $F_A$  admits a birational map to  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  associating to a conic  $\mathfrak{c}$  the intersection of three-spaces parametrized by points on  $\mathfrak{c}$ . It is hence of dimension 4 and we get that  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is of dimension 4. Let  $\mathcal{B}_2$  be the subset of  $S_A^{[3]}$  consisting of those subschemes that meet some line contained in  $G(3, V)$  in a scheme of length two. Then  $\mathcal{B}_2$  is also of dimension 4, since the Hilbert scheme of lines in  $F_A$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^2$  (cf. [O'G13, Proposition 5.2], [Isk77]).

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{s}$  be a subscheme of length 3 in  $S_A$  corresponding to a point from  $S_A^{[3]} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2)$ . Then there is a unique, possibly degenerate, twisted cubic from  $\mathcal{H}_A$  that contains  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Furthermore, the induced map  $S_A^{[3]} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_A$  is dominant.*

*Proof.* Since  $S_A \subset G(3, V) \cong G(2, V^\vee)$ , we may characterize the elements of  $\sigma \in S_A^{[3]}$  via the incidence of curves  $C_\sigma$  of degree 3 in  $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$  supported on lines. For a general  $\sigma$ , the curve  $C_\sigma$  is the union of three lines and has a unique transversal line, a line that meet all three lines. If  $\sigma \in S_A^{[3]} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2)$  the curve  $C_\sigma$  spans  $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$  and contains no conic. It follows that  $C_\sigma$  admits a unique transversal line hence  $\mathfrak{s}_\sigma$  is contained in  $\mathcal{S}_U$  for a unique  $U$ . We conclude by the definition of  $\mathcal{H}_A$ . For dominance of the map we observe that if  $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{H}_A$  then  $\mathfrak{c} \cap q_A^* \subset S_A$  and clearly contains a subscheme in  $S_A^{[3]} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2)$ .  $\square$

We can now pass to the proof of Proposition 4.5

*Proof of Proposition 4.5.* Any subscheme  $\mathfrak{s}$  of length 3 in  $S_A$  spans a plane  $\Pi_\mathfrak{s}$ . The map  $\psi$  associates to  $\mathfrak{s}$  the space  $V_\mathfrak{s}^q$  of quadrics containing  $S_A \cup \Pi_\mathfrak{s}$ . For general  $\mathfrak{s}$  the latter is a space of dimension 3. Now,  $\psi$  is well defined exactly on those  $\mathfrak{s}$  for which  $\dim V_\mathfrak{s}^q = 3$ . But  $V_\mathfrak{s}^q$  is the kernel of the restriction map  $H^0(S_A, \mathcal{I}_{S_A}(2)) \rightarrow H^0(\Pi_\mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{I}_{S_A \cap \Pi_\mathfrak{s}}(2))$ . The latter kernel is 3-dimensional unless  $\dim H^0(\Pi_\mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{I}_{S_A \cap \Pi_\mathfrak{s}}(2)) \leq 2$ . Hence  $\psi$  is not defined only if  $S_A \cap \Pi_\mathfrak{s}$  has length at least 4. Then the intersection  $\Pi_\mathfrak{s} \cap G(3, V)$  contains a scheme of length 4. As  $S_A$  contains no conics,  $\Pi_\mathfrak{s}$  cannot be contained in  $G(3, V)$ . We infer by [Muk93, proof of Lemma 2.2] that  $\Pi_\mathfrak{s} \cap G(3, V)$  contains a line or a unique conic. If  $\Pi_\mathfrak{s} \cap G(3, V)$  contains a line, then it is either a reducible conic or the union of this line with a point. In the latter case, since  $S_A$  contains no lines, the intersection  $\Pi_\mathfrak{s} \cap S_A$  does not contain any subscheme of length 4. It follows that there is a map with finite fibers from the indeterminacy locus of  $\psi$  to the Hilbert scheme of conics in  $G(3, V) \cap \mathbb{P}^6$  which is of dimension 4. We conclude that the indeterminacy locus is of dimension at most 4. In fact it is equal to 4 since a general  $V_4 \subset V$  defines a conic in  $G(3, V) \cap \mathbb{P}^6$  which meets  $S_A$  in four points.

Finally to bound the dimension of the ramification locus, we again let  $\mathfrak{s}$  be a subscheme of length 3 in  $S_A$  corresponding to a point from  $S_A^{[3]} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2)$ . Then by Lemma

4.6 there is a possibly degenerate twisted cubic from  $\mathcal{H}_A$  spanning a  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and containing  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Now, from the proof of Proposition 4.1 we know that a point from  $S_A^{[3]} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2)$  can be in the ramification locus of  $\psi$  only if the quadric  $Q_A$  is totally tangent to the twisted cubic. The latter is a codimension 3 condition on twisted cubics in  $G(3, V) \cap \mathbb{P}^6$ , hence by Lemma 4.6 a codimension 3 condition for the ramification locus. To be more precise we have an incidence:

$$\mathcal{X} = \{(C, Q) \in \mathcal{H}_A \times H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(2)) \mid Q|_C \text{ is totally non reduced}\}.$$

We compute its dimension from the projection onto  $\mathcal{H}_A$ . Indeed, fixing  $C$  we get a codimension 3 space of quadrics totally tangent to it. The dimension of the general fiber of the second projection follows giving codimension 3 in  $\mathcal{H}_A$ .  $\square$

## 5. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let us choose a generic Lagrangian space  $A_0$  satisfying  $[A_0] \in \Delta \setminus (\Gamma \cup \Sigma) \subset LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W)$ . Note that from Lemma 3.7, we can choose  $A_0$  such that  $K$  is generic in  $F_{[v_0]}$ . From Proposition 4.1 there is a rational  $2 : 1$  map  $\psi : S_{A_0}^{[3]} \rightarrow D_2^{A_0}$ . On the other hand from Proposition 3.1 there exists a double cover  $Y_{A_0} \rightarrow D_2^{A_0}$  such that  $Y_{A_0}$  is a smooth sixfold with trivial canonical bundle. Our aim is to construct a birational map

$$S_{A_0}^{[3]} \dashrightarrow X_{A_0}.$$

We consider the subset  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $S_{A_0}^{[3]}$ , the union of the indeterminacy locus and the ramification locus of the rational  $2 : 1$  map  $\psi : S_{A_0}^{[3]} \rightarrow D_2^{A_0}$ . Clearly the restriction of the map  $\psi$  to  $S_{A_0}^{[3]} \setminus \mathcal{B}$  is an étale covering of degree 2 onto a smooth open subset  $\mathcal{D} \subset D_2^{A_0}$ . In particular  $\mathcal{D} \cap D_3^{A_0} = \emptyset$ . Note that  $S_{A_0}^{[3]}$  is simply connected and by Proposition 4.5 the subset  $\mathcal{B}$  is of codimension 2. This implies that  $S_{A_0}^{[3]} \setminus \mathcal{B}$  is also simply connected. It follows that  $\pi_1(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\psi|_{S_{A_0}^{[3]} \setminus \mathcal{B}}$  is a universal covering.

Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is disjoint from  $D_3^{A_0}$ , the restriction of the double cover  $f_{A_0} : Y_{A_0} \rightarrow D_2^{A_0}$  to  $f_{A_0}^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$  is also an étale covering.

By Proposition 3.1 the variety  $Y_{A_0}$  is smooth and irreducible. It follows that the étale covering  $f_{A_0}|_{f_{A_0}^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}$  is not trivial. We infer that  $f_{A_0}|_{f_{A_0}^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}$  is also the universal covering, and deduce that  $Y_{A_0}$  is birational to  $S_{A_0}^{[3]}$ .

Note that the fact that  $f_{A_0}|_{f_{A_0}^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}$  is the universal covering implies  $f_{A_0}^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$  is simply connected. It follows that  $Y_{A_0}$  is also simply connected because  $f_{A_0}^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$  is obtained from the smooth variety  $Y_{A_0}$  by removing a subset of real codimension 2. Moreover, since both  $Y_{A_0}$  and  $S_{A_0}^{[3]}$  have trivial canonical bundle, by [Ito03, Theorem 1.1] they have equal Hodge numbers. Thus

$$h^2(\mathcal{O}_{Y_{A_0}}) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_{S_{A_0}^{[3]}}) = 1.$$

From the Beauville classification theorem [Bea83, Theorem 2] we infer that  $Y_{A_0}$  is IHS.

Recall the notation

$$LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W) := \{[A] \in LG_\eta(10, \wedge^3 W) \mid \mathbb{P}(A) \cap G(3, W) = \emptyset, \forall [U] \in G(3, W) : \dim(A \cap T_U) \leq 3\}.$$

Consider now the varieties:

$$\mathcal{D}_k = \{([A], [U]) \in LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W) \times G(3, W) \mid [U] \in D_k^A\},$$

for  $k = 2, 3$ . By globalizing the construction in Proposition 3.1 to the affine variety  $LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$  we construct a variety  $\mathcal{Y}$  which is a double cover of  $\mathcal{D}_2$  branched in  $\mathcal{D}_3$ . We get a smooth family

$$\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$$

with fibers  $\mathcal{Y}_{[A]} = Y_A$  polarized by the divisor defining the double cover. In particular a special fiber  $\mathcal{Y}_{[A_0]} = Y_{A_0}$  is an IHS manifold. Since a smooth deformation of an IHS manifold is still IHS we obtain that  $Y_A$  is IHS for every  $A \in LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$ . So  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$  is a family of IHS manifolds.

In order to show that the IHS sixfolds in the family  $\mathcal{Y}$  are of  $K3^{[3]}$ -type we use the fact proved above that  $S_{A_0}^{[3]}$  and  $Y_{A_0}$  are birational. Indeed, two birational IHS manifolds are deformation equivalent from [Huy99, Theorem 4.6]. The Beauville-Bogomolov degree  $q = 4$  of our polarization follows from our computation of degree in Section 2.3.

We end the proof of Theorem 1.1 by performing a study of the moduli map defined by the family  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the coarse moduli space of polarized IHS sixfolds of  $K3^{[3]}$ -type and Beauville-Bogomolov degree 4. Let*

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Y}} : LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad [A] \mapsto [Y_A]$$

*be the map given by  $\mathcal{Y}$ . The image of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Y}}$  is a dense open subset of a component of dimension 20 in  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

For the proof we will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $A \in LG_\eta^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$ . If  $\mathfrak{g} \in PGL(\wedge^3 W)$  is such that  $D_2^A \subset G(3, W) \cap \mathfrak{g}(G(3, W))$ , then  $G(3, W) = \mathfrak{g}(G(3, W))$ .*

*Proof.* Let us denote by  $G_1, G_2$  the varieties  $G(3, W)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}(G(3, W))$  respectively.

Let  $X \subset G_1 \cap G_2$  be an irreducible component of the intersection that contains  $D_2^A$ . Then  $X$  has codimension at most 3 in both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and spans  $\mathbb{P}^{19}$ . Furthermore it is contained in a complete intersection of quadric hypersurfaces on each  $G_i$ . If  $X$  has codimension 3, then  $X = D_2^A$  and lies in a complete intersection of three quadrics. But the complete intersection has degree  $8 \cdot 42 = 336$ , while  $D_2^A$  has degree 480, so this is impossible.

For lower codimension of  $X$  we first note that  $D_2^A \subset D_1^A$ . Since  $[D_1^A] = [c_1(\mathcal{T}^\vee) \cap G(3, W)]$  and  $c_1(\mathcal{T}^\vee) = 4h$ , the divisor  $D_1^A$  is a quartic hypersurface section of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . So we may assume that  $D_2^A$  is contained in a quartic hypersurface section of  $X$ .

Consider the following subvariety in  $G_1$ : Let  $V_5 \subset W$  be a general 5-dimensional subspace, and let  $V_1$  be a general 1-dimensional subspace of  $V_5$ . Let  $F(1, 5) = \{[U] \in G_1 \mid V_1 \subset U \subset V_5\} \subset G_1$  and denote by  $P(1, 5)$  the span of  $F(1, 5)$ . Then  $F(1, 5)$  is a 4-dimensional smooth quadric and the span,  $P(1, 5)$ , is a  $\mathbb{P}^5$ .

If  $X$  has codimension 2, then  $X_{(1,5)} := X \cap F(1, 5)$  is an irreducible surface. Furthermore,  $X_{(1,5)}$  is contained in at least 2 quadric sections of  $F(1, 5)$ . So  $X_{(1,5)}$  has degree at most 8. On the other hand

$$D_{(1,5)} := D_2^A \cap F(1, 5) \subset X_{(1,5)}$$

is a curve of degree 56, contained in a quartic hypersurface section of  $X_{(1,5)}$ , which has degree at most 32. Since this is absurd, we may assume that  $X$  has codimension one, i.e. is a divisor in the  $G_i$ .

Since  $D_2^A$  spans  $\mathbb{P}^9$ , the divisor  $X$  must be a quadric hypersurface section of each  $G_i$ . Then  $P(1, 5) \cap X$  is complete intersection of two quadrics, and through every point of

$P(1, 5)$  there are infinitely many secant lines to  $X$ . The union of the spaces  $P(1, 5)$  as  $V_5$  and  $V_1$  varies is a variety  $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^{19}$ , characterized in [Don77, Lemma 3.3] as the locus of points in  $\mathbb{P}^{19}$  that lies on more than one secant line to  $G_1$ . Furthermore  $G_1$  is the singular locus of  $\Omega_1$ . Similarly,  $\Omega_2$  is defined with respect to  $G_2$ . By the above argument each  $P(1, 5)$  in  $\Omega_1$  is also contained in  $\Omega_2$ . Thus  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ . But then they coincide, and since  $G_i = \text{Sing}(\Omega_i)$ , the two grassmannians  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  coincide.  $\square$

*Proof.* We claim that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Y}}([A_1]) = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Y}}([A_2])$  if and only if there exists a linear automorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \in \text{Aut}(G(3, W)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2 \times PGL(W)$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}(A_1) = A_2$ . Indeed, assume that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Y}}([A_1]) = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Y}}([A_2])$ . Then  $Y_{A_1}$  and  $Y_{A_2}$ , polarized by ample classes defining double covers to  $D_{A_1}^2$  and  $D_{A_2}^2$  respectively, are isomorphic. It follows that there is a linear automorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \in PGL(\wedge^3 W)$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}(D_{A_1}^2) = D_{A_2}^2$ . It follows that  $D_{A_2}^2 \subset G(3, W) \cap \mathfrak{g}(G(3, W))$ . By Lemma 5.2, we deduce that  $G(3, W) = \mathfrak{g}(G(3, W))$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{g} \in \text{Aut}(G(3, W))$ .

By [O'G06] the locus  $LG_{\eta}^1(10, \wedge^3 W)$  is contained in the stable locus of the natural linearized  $PGL(W)$  action on  $LG_{\eta}(10, \wedge^3 W)$ . From our claim we hence infer that

$$\dim(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Y}}(LG_{\eta}^1(10, \wedge^3 W))) \geq \dim LG_{\eta}^1(10, \wedge^3 W) - \dim(PGL(W)) = 55 - 35 = 20.$$

But 20 is the dimension of  $\mathcal{M}$ , so our map is surjective onto an (also by stability) open subset of a component of  $\mathcal{M}$  of dimension 20.  $\square$

We conclude by determining the component of the moduli space that is filled by our family.

Recall that for  $v \in H^2((K3)^{[3]}, \mathbb{Z})$  the divisibility of  $v$  is defined as the generator of the subgroup  $(v, H^2((K3)^{[3]}, \mathbb{Z})) \subset \mathbb{Z}$  where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the scalar product induced by the Beauville-Bogomolov form. Note that for Beauville-Bogomolov degree 4 there are two possible divisibilities for  $H$  either  $l = 1$  or 2 (see [GHS10, Proposition 3.6]). It follows from [Apo11, Proposition 2.1(3) and Corollary 2.4] that there are exactly two components, distinguished by the divisibility, of the coarse moduli space of polarized IHS sixfolds of  $K3^{[3]}$ -type and Beauville-Bogomolov degree 4. Which one is determined by the following proposition, whose proof was pointed out to us by Kieran O'Grady.

**Proposition 5.3.** *The image of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Y}}$  is open and dense in the connected component of the coarse moduli space of IHS sixfolds of  $K3^{[3]}$ -type, Beauville-Bogomolov degree 4 and divisibility 2;*

*Proof.* By the above, it remains to compute the divisibility of our polarization. For this, fix  $A$  general and denote the polarization by  $P$ . Observe that the involution of the double cover  $Y_A \rightarrow D_A^2$  defined by the polarization is anti-symplectic. Indeed as an involution on an IHS manifold it is either symplectic or anti-symplectic, but the fixed point locus of a symplectic involution is a symplectic manifold (see [Cam12, Proposition 3]) whereas the fixed locus of our involution is of dimension 3. This means that the involution must be anti-symplectic. Moreover, since we proved that our family is of maximal dimension, we may assume that  $Y_A$  has Picard group spanned by the polarization  $P$ . It follows that the action of the involution on  $H^2(Y_A)$  has an invariant subspace spanned by the class  $[P]$ . Furthermore, the involution respects the Beauville-Bogomolov bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Thus, since  $([P], [P]) = 4$ , the involution on  $H^2(Y_A)$  is of the form

$$v \mapsto -v + \frac{1}{2}(v, [P])[P].$$

Since the involution must map integral cohomology to integral cohomology, it follows that  $(v, [P])$  is even for all integral classes  $v$ . This implies that the divisibility of  $[P]$  is not equal to 1. We infer that it is equal to 2.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Apo11] Apostol Apostolov. Moduli spaces of polarized irreducible symplectic manifolds are not necessarily connected. *arXiv:1109.0175*, 2011.
- [AR04] Alberto Alzati and Francesco Russo. Some extremal contractions between smooth varieties arising from projective geometry. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 89(1):25–53, 2004.
- [BD85] Arnaud Beauville and Ron Donagi. La variété des droites d’une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 301(14):703–706, 1985.
- [Bea83] Arnaud Beauville. Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18(4):755–782 (1984), 1983.
- [Cam12] Chiara Camere. Symplectic involutions of holomorphic symplectic four-folds. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 44(4):687–702, 2012.
- [Don77] Ron Y. Donagi. On the geometry of Grassmannians. *Duke Math. J.*, 44(4):795–837, 1977.
- [DV10] Olivier Debarre and Claire Voisin. Hyper-kähler fourfolds and Grassmann geometry. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 649:63–87, 2010.
- [GHS07] V. A. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, and G. K. Sankaran. The Kodaira dimension of the moduli of  $K3$  surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 169(3):519–567, 2007.
- [GHS10] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, and G. K. Sankaran. Moduli spaces of irreducible symplectic manifolds. *Compos. Math.*, 146(2):404–434, 2010.
- [Har70] Robin Hartshorne. *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 156. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. Notes written in collaboration with C. Musili.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [Huy99] Daniel Huybrechts. Compact hyper-Kähler manifolds: basic results. *Invent. Math.*, 135(1):63–113, 1999.
- [IR01] Atanas Iliev and Kristian Ranestad.  $K3$  surfaces of genus 8 and varieties of sums of powers of cubic fourfolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(4):1455–1468, 2001.
- [Isk77] V. A. Iskovskih. Fano threefolds. I. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 41(3):516–562, 717, 1977.
- [Ito03] Tetsushi Ito. Birational smooth minimal models have equal Hodge numbers in all dimensions. In *Calabi-Yau varieties and mirror symmetry (Toronto, ON, 2001)*, volume 38 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 183–194. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Kap14] Grzegorz Kapustka. On ihs fourfolds with  $b_2 = 23$ . *arXiv:1403.1074*, *The Michigan Mathematical Journal* 65 (1), 3-33, 2014.
- [LLSvS15] Christian Lehn, Manfred Lehn, Christoph Sorger, and Duco van Straten. Twisted cubics on cubic fourfolds. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 2015.
- [Mor82] Shigefumi Mori. Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective. *Ann. of Math.*, 116:133–176, 1982.
- [Muk92] Shigeru Mukai. Polarized  $K3$  surfaces of genus 18 and 20. In *Complex projective geometry (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989)*, volume 179 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 264–276. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [Muk93] Shigeru Mukai. Curves and Grassmannians. In *Algebraic geometry and related topics (Inchon, 1992)*, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Algebraic Geom., I, pages 19–40. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1993.
- [Muk06] Shigeru Mukai. Polarized  $K3$  surfaces of genus thirteen. In *Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry*, volume 45 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 315–326. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2006.
- [Muk10] Shigeru Mukai. Curves and symmetric spaces, II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(3):1539–1558, 2010.
- [Muk12] Shigeru Mukai.  $K3$  surfaces of genus 16. *RIMS 1743*, 2012.
- [O’G06] Kieran G. O’Grady. Irreducible symplectic 4-folds and Eisenbud-Popescu-Walter sextics. *Duke Math. J.*, 134(1):99–137, 2006.
- [O’G10] Kieran G. O’Grady. Epw-sextics: taxonomy. *arXiv:1007.3882 [math.AG]*, 2010.

- [O'G13] Kieran G. O'Grady. Double covers of EPW-sextics. *Michigan Math. J.*, 62:143–184, 2013.
- [PR97] P. Pragacz and J. Ratajski. Formulas for Lagrangian and orthogonal degeneracy loci;  $Q$ -polynomial approach. *Compositio Math.*, 107(1):11–87, 1997.
- [Pra91] Piotr Pragacz. Algebro-geometric applications of Schur  $S$ - and  $Q$ -polynomials. In *Topics in invariant theory (Paris, 1989/1990)*, volume 1478 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 130–191. Springer, Berlin, 1991.

SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GWANAK CAMPUS, BLDG. 27,  
SEOUL 151-747, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* `ailiev@snu.ac.kr`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, P.O.  
BOX 21, 00-956 WARSZAWA, POLAND

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY IN KRAKÓW, UL. ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* `grzegorz.kapustka@uj.edu.pl`

UNIVERSITY OF STAVANGER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES, NO-4036  
STAVANGER, NORWAY

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY IN KRAKÓW, UL. ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* `michal.kapustka@uis.no`

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PO Box 1053, BLINDERN, N-0316 OSLO,  
NORWAY  
*E-mail address:* `ranestad@math.uio.no`