MAXIMIZATION OF HIGHER ORDER EIGENVALUES AND APPLICATIONS

NIKOLAI NADIRASHVILI AND YANNICK SIRE

ABSTRACT. The present paper is a follow up of our paper [NS]. We investigate here the maximization of higher order eigenvalues in a conformal class on a smooth compact boundaryless Riemannian surface. Contrary to the case of the first nontrivial eigenvalue as shown in [NS], bubbling phenomena appear.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Proof of Theorem 1.1: regular part of the extremal metric	4
2.1. Step 1: Regularization	4
2.2. Step 2: Passing to the limit in n	5
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1: the singular part	6
Proof of Lemma 3.5	9
References	10

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a smooth connected compact Riemannian surface without boundary. In this paper, we extremalize higher order eigenvalues in a suitably defined conformal class. If we denote $-\Delta_g$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator on (M, g), the spectrum of $-\Delta_g$ consists of the sequence $\{\lambda_k(g)\}_{k>0}$ and satisfies

$$\lambda_0(g) = 0 < \lambda_1(g) \le \lambda_2(g) \le \dots \le \lambda_k(g) \le \dots$$

If we assume that the area $A_g(M)$ of M with respect to the metric g is normalized by one then by the fundamental result of Korevaar (see [Kor93] and also [YY80]), it follows that every $\lambda_k(g)$ for a given $k \ge 0$ has a universal bound depending on the topological type of M, over all the metrics g with normalized area.

The contributions of the present paper are then related to the following extremal problem

$$\Lambda_k(M) = \sup_g \lambda_k(g) A_g(M)$$

where the supremum is taken over all smooth Riemannian metrics g on the manifold M. In [NS], we investigated this problem for k = 1, i.e. the first nontrivial eigenvalue. Here we address higher order eigenvalues $k \ge 2$.

We denote by $\lambda_k(g)$ the k-eigenvalue of $-\Delta_g$ and we have by the Courant-Hilbert formulas

$$\lambda_k(g) = \max_{U, \dim(U)=k} \inf_{u \in U} R_{M,g}(u)$$

where $R_{M,q}(u)$ is the so-called Rayleigh quotient given by

$$R_{M,g}(u) = \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 dA_g}{\int_M u^2 dA_g}$$

and the infimum is taken over the space

$$U \subset \left\{ u \in H^1(M), \int_M u = 0 \right\}.$$

In the previous definition of higher order eigenvalues, the metric is assumed to be smooth. In our case, we will see that the extremal metric is *not* smooth. However, we will construct this metric as a limit of suitably smooth metrics and the associated higher order extremal eigenvalue will be defined in a natural way out of this limit.

As in our paper [NS], we define conformal metrics \bar{g} (belonging to the conformal class denoted [g]) as metrics of the form $\bar{g} = \mu g$ where $\mu : M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is an L^1 function on M with mass 1, i.e. a probability density.

We then define

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g]) = \sup_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \lambda_k(\tilde{g}).$$

We prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let (M, g) be a smooth connected compact boundaryless Riemannian surface. For any $k \ge 2$, there exists a sequence of metrics $(g_n)_{n\ge 1} \in [g]$ of the form $g_n = \mu_n g$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_k(g_n) = \Lambda_k(M, [g])$$

and a probability measure μ such that

$$\mu_n \rightharpoonup^* \mu$$
 weakly in measure as $n \to +\infty$.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Moreover the following decomposition holds

(1)
$$\mu = \mu_r + \mu_r$$

where μ_r is a C^{∞} nonnegative function and μ_s is the singular part given by

$$\mu_s = \sum_{i=1}^{K} c_i \delta_{x_i}$$

for some $K \ge 1$, $c_i > 0$ and some points $x_i \in M$. Furthermore, the number K satisfies the bound

$$K \le k - 1$$

Moreover, the weights $c_i > 0$ belong to the discrete set

(2)
$$c_i \in \bigcup_{j=1}^k \Big\{ \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_j(\mathbb{S}^2, [g_{round}])}{\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])} \Big\}.$$

The regular part of the limit density μ , i.e. μ_r is either identically zero or μ_r is absolutely continuous with respect to the riemannian measure with a smooth positive density vanishing at most at a finite number of points on M.

Furthermore, if we denote A_r the volume of the regular part μ_r , i.e. $A_r = A_{\mu_r g}(M)$, then A_r belongs to the discrete set

(3)
$$A_r \in \bigcup_{j=0}^k \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_j(M, [g])}{\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])} \right\}.$$

Finally, if we denote \mathcal{U} the eigenspace of the Laplacien on $(M, \mu_r g)$ associated to the eigenvalue $\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])$, then there exists a family of eigenvectors $\{u_1, \dots, u_\ell\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that the map

(4)
$$\begin{cases} \phi: M \to \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \\ x \to (u_1, \cdots, u_{\ell}) \end{cases}$$

is a minimizing harmonic map into the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{\ell-1}$.

Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of the result of our paper [NS]. In [NS] we proved Theorem 1.1 for k = 1 under the assumption that $\tilde{\Lambda}_1(M, [g]) > 8\pi$. This last assumption was removed by Petrides in [Pet14]. He also suggested some modifications of our proof but basically following the same strategy. Note that in case k = 1 no bubbling phenomenon occurs, i.e. μ_s is identically zero. For k = 2 the bubbling phenomenon was observed on the sphere in [Nad02].

The proof of the previous theorem relies once again on a careful analysis of a Schrödinger type operator. Indeed consider $g' \in [g]$, by conformal invariance, the equation $-\Delta_{g'}u = \lambda_k(g')u$ reduces to the following problem

(5)
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g u = \lambda_k(g') \,\mu \, u, \text{ on } M \\ \int_M \mu \, dA_g = 1. \end{cases}$$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1: REGULAR PART OF THE EXTREMAL METRIC

The proof follows our proof in [NS]. We briefly sketch the main arguments.

2.1. Step 1: Regularization. We perform a regularization by considering S_n the class of densities μ such that $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq n$, $\int_M \mu dA_g = 1$ for n > 0. Denoting by $\lambda_k(\mu)$ the eigenvalue problem in (5) with a density $\mu \in S_n$, we write

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_n = \sup_{\mu \in S_n} \lambda_k(\mu).$$

We have by a direct application of well-known bounds for Schrödinger operators (see [LL01]) that

Proposition 2.1. For any given n > 0, there exists a sequence $\{\mu_{k,n}\}_{k\geq 0} \subset S_n$ such that as $k \to +\infty$

$$\mu_{k,n} \rightharpoonup^* \mu_n$$
 weakly in measure

and

$$\lambda_k(\mu_{k,n}) \to \Lambda_n.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\int_M \mu_n \, dA_g = 1$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2} \le \mu_n \le n.$$

2.2. Step 2: Passing to the limit in *n*. We need to control the two sets

$$E_{-}^{n} = \left\{ x \in M, \ -\frac{1}{2} \le \mu_{n}(x) \le 0 \right\}$$

and

$$E_n = \{x \in M, \ \mu_n(x) = n\}.$$

We have (see [NS])

Lemma 2.2. Let n > 0. Then there exists C > 0 such that

$$A_q(E_n) \le C/n.$$

We now come to the measure estimate of the set E_{-}^{n} .

Lemma 2.3. For any n > 0, we have

$$A_q(E_-^n) = 0.$$

Remark 2.4. The previous lemma and particularly its proof is instrumental in our paper with Grigor'yan [GNS15] on bounds on the number of negative eigenvalues for Schrödinger operators with sign-changing potentials.

By the previous Lemmata, one can prove the following convergence result:

 $\mu_n \rightharpoonup^* \mu$, weakly in measure as $n \to \infty$

and furthermore

$$\mu > 0$$
 a.e. in M.

By Lebesgue decomposition theorem, we have

(6)
$$\mu = \mu_r + \mu_s,$$

where μ_s , the singular part of the measure, can be decomposed into an absolutely singular part and a discrete part.

The regularity theory developped in [NS] (and invoking the result of Petrides [Pet14]) shows that the absolutely singular part of the measure μ vanishes identically. Hence the previous decomposition only involves a regular part, absolutely continuous with respect to the Riemannian measure, and a purely discrete singular part.

Finally, by the results in [NS], the statements of Theorem 1.1 on the regular part follow. We refer the reader to our paper (see also [Pet14]). We postpone the proof of (3) to the next section since the proof is very similar to the one of (2).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1: the singular part

We decompose the spectrum of $-\Delta$ on M endowed with the metric μg into a regular part and a singular part. We give the definitions below.

Definition 3.1. We denote Λ_r the discrete set of eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ on the manifold $(M, \mu_r g)$ where μ_r is the regular part of the measure μ .

Definition 3.2. We denote Λ_s the finite discrete set of eigenvalues defined by: let i = 1, ..., K where K is the number of bubbles in Theorem 1.1. Fix $k \geq 2$ and denote

$$\lambda_k^{x_i} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lambda_k^{x_i,\epsilon}$$

where $\lambda_k^{x_i,\epsilon}$ is a (nontrivial) eigenvalue in the flat metric for ϵ sufficiently small of

(7)
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u \quad on \ B(x_i, \epsilon), \\ u = 0 \quad on \ \partial B(x_i, \epsilon), \end{cases}$$

Notice that the previous limit exists by monotonicity of the eigenvalues with respect to the domain. Then we have

$$\Lambda_s = \bigcup_{k \ge 0} \bigcup_{i=1}^K \lambda_k^{x_i},$$

and $\lambda_k^{x_i}$ is a "singular" eigenvalue associated to the Dirac mass δ_{x_i} where $x_i \in M$.

We now split the spectrum in the following way

$$Spec(-\Delta) = \Lambda_r \cup \Lambda_s.$$

By definition, if $\mu_r \equiv 0$ then Λ_r is empty and the spectrum is purely singular.

We first prove the following result on the singular spectrum.

Lemma 3.3. If μ_r is not identically zero, then for any i = 1, ..., K

$$\lambda_K^{x_i} = 0$$

i.e.

$$K \leq k$$
.

Proof. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that one can find $K \delta$ -neighborhoods of each $x_i \in M$ such that they do not intersect. For any $\epsilon > 0$, one

constructs functions $\psi_{i,\epsilon}$ for any i = 1, ..., K supported in a ball of center x_i and radius δ with value 1 in this ball and satisfying

$$\int_{B(x_i,\delta)} |\nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon}|^2 < \epsilon.$$

Then modifying the metric g_n into $g'_n = \psi_{i,\epsilon}g_n$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_{g'_n} = c_i,$$

one has for sufficiently large n that

$$\frac{\int_{B(x_i,\delta)} |\nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon}|^2}{\int_{B(x_i,\delta)} |\psi_{i,\epsilon}|^2} < \frac{2\epsilon}{c_i}$$

Since the functions $\psi_{i,\epsilon}$ have mutually disjoint supports, it follows that

$$\lambda_K^{x_i} < 2 \frac{\epsilon}{\inf_i c_i},$$

hence the result since ϵ can be taken arbitrary small.

As an improvement one has

Lemma 3.4. We have actually

$$K \le k - 1.$$

Proof. Assume by contradiction K = k. This implies that all the masses satisfy for i = 1, ..., K

$$c_i = \frac{1}{K}$$

and furthermore,

$$\mu_r \equiv 0$$

Indeed if μ_r is not identically zero, then it implies by the previous lemma that $\lambda_K^{x_i} = 0$. Denote $\bar{\mu}$ the measure on M maximising the first non trivial eigenvalue in the conformal class [g]. By [NS], $\bar{\mu}$ has no singular part. As a consequence one has

$$\lambda_k = \frac{8\pi}{k}.$$

Assume that M is not topologically a sphere. Then we have

$$\lambda_1(M, \bar{\mu}g) > 8\pi$$

We then modify the approximating sequence $g_n = \mu_n g$ into $\tilde{g}_n = \tilde{\mu}_n g$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_n \rightharpoonup^* \tilde{\mu}$

and

$$\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}_s + \tilde{\mu}_s$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu}_r = \bar{\mu}/K$$

and $\tilde{\mu}_s$ has point singularities at $x_1, ..., x_{K-1}$ with weights 1/K. Moreover one can choose the sequence $\tilde{\mu}_n$ such that the first eigenvalue at singular points will be equal to $\frac{8\pi}{K}$.

Since the first eigenvalue of the regular part $\tilde{\mu}_r$ is strictly larger than $8\pi/K$, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_K(M, \tilde{\mu}_n g) > \frac{8\pi}{K},$$

hence a contradiction.

Assume now that M is a sphere. In that case, one can always assume without loss of generality, that the regular part μ_r is not identically zero. This can be done by composing the approximating measures μ_n with a suitable Möbius transformation. Hence we are done.

We now prove the relations (2) and (3). Actually the proofs are completely parallel and we just prove (2). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. We then prove

Lemma 3.5. Using the notations of Theorem 1.1, one has

(8)
$$c_i \in \bigcup_{j=1}^k \Big\{ \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_j(\mathbb{S}^2, [g_{round}])}{\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])} \Big\}.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.5 is done in several steps. We first have

Lemma 3.6. For any i = 1, ..., K, one has

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g]) \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_m^{x_i}\},\$$

where $\lambda_k^{x_i}$ are defined as above.

Proof. Assume the contrary. Then there exists i such that

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g]) \notin \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_m^{x_i}\}.$$

We then modify the metric in the following way: consider a smooth cut-off function for $\delta, \epsilon > 0$ defined by

(9)
$$\psi(\delta,\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ on } M \setminus B(x_i, 2\delta), \\ 1 - \epsilon \text{ on } B(x_i, \delta), \end{cases}$$

Then for sufficiently small ϵ , there exists a sequence $\delta_n \to 0$ such that if we denote $\tilde{g}_n = \psi(\delta_n, \epsilon)g_n$ where g_n is the metric constructed in the existence part of Theorem 1.1, one has

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_k(\tilde{g}_n) = \tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g]).$$

By properly choosing the sequence δ_n , one has

$$A_{\tilde{g}_n}(M) \le \frac{1}{2}c_i\epsilon.$$

Therefore, the normalized metric

$$h_n = \frac{\tilde{g}_n}{A_{\tilde{g}_n}(M)} \in [g_n]$$

is such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_k(h_n) > \tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g]),$$

hence contradicting the definition of $\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])$.

One has similarly

Lemma 3.7. For any i = 1, ..., K, one has $\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g]) \in \Lambda_r$.

We are then in position to prove Lemma 3.5.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Assume by contradiction that there exists an index i such that

(10)
$$c_i \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_j(\mathbb{S}^2, [g_{round}])}{\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])} \right\}$$

By Lemmata 3.6 and 3.7, there exists an $m \ge 1$ such that

$$\lambda_m^{x_i} = \tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])$$

For $\epsilon > 0$ and i = 1, ..., K, we introduce a sequence of metrics on \mathbb{S}^2 . Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ be an open domain and $\psi : \Omega \to M$ a conformal map such that $x_i \in \psi(\Omega)$. Set

$$\tilde{g}_n^{\epsilon,i} = \psi^* g'_n |_{B(x_i,\epsilon)},$$

such that $A_{\tilde{g}_n^{\epsilon,i}} \to c_i$. If $\lambda_j^{\epsilon,n,i}$ denote the eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $(\mathbb{S}^2, \tilde{g}_n^{\epsilon,i})$ then one can define the following limits:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{j}^{\epsilon,i} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_{j}^{\epsilon,n,i} \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{j}^{i} &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \tilde{\lambda}_{j}^{\epsilon,i} \end{split}$$

we prove

$$\tilde{\lambda}_m^i = \lambda_m^{x_i} = \frac{\Lambda_m(\mathbb{S}^2, [g_{round}])}{c_i}.$$

Assume not, i.e.,

$$\lambda_m^{x_i} \neq \frac{\Lambda_m(\mathbb{S}^2, [g_{round}])}{c_i}$$

Then we can modify metrics $\tilde{g}_n^{\epsilon,i}$ into the metrics $\tilde{\tilde{g}}_n^{\epsilon,i}$ on the sphere having the same area such that the area of $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \Omega$ in the new metrics tends to 0 and the corresponding eigenvalues $\Lambda_i^{\epsilon,n,i}$ satisfy

$$\lim_{\frac{1}{n},\epsilon\to 0}\Lambda_j^{\epsilon,n,i}>\tilde\lambda_m^i=\lambda_m^{x_i}$$

One can modify the sequence g_n , the one obtained in the existence part of Theorem 1.1, in a neighborhood of x_i into a metric g'_n by transplanting the problem to the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 in the following way. We set on Ω

$$\tilde{\tilde{g}}_n^{\epsilon,i} = \psi^* g'_n |_{B(x_i,\epsilon)}$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_k(g'_n) > \tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g]).$$

On the other hand,

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M,[g]) \notin \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_m^{x_i}\},\$$

hence a contradiction. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

- [GNS15] A. Grigor'yan, N. Nadirashvili, and Y. Sire. A lower bound for the number of negative eigenvalues of schrödinger operators. To appear Journal of Diff. Geom, 2015.
- [Kor93] Nicholas Korevaar. Upper bounds for eigenvalues of conformal metrics. J. Differential Geom., 37(1):73–93, 1993.
- [LL01] Elliott H. Lieb and Michael Loss. Analysis, volume 14 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2001.
- [Nad02] Nikolai Nadirashvili. Isoperimetric inequality for the second eigenvalue of a sphere. J. Differential Geom., 61(2):335–340, 2002.
- [NS] Nikolai Nadirashvili and Yannick Sire. Conformal spectrum and harmonic maps. *Moscow J. of maths.*
- [Pet14] Romain Petrides. Existence and regularity of maximal metrics for the first Laplace eigenvalue on surfaces. Geom. Funct. Anal., 24(4):1336–1376, 2014.

[YY80] Paul C. Yang and Shing Tung Yau. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), 7(1):55–63, 1980.

 $N\!N-{\rm CNRS},$ I2M UMR 7353
– Centre de Mathématiques et Informatique, Marseille, France.

nicolas@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

YS-Université Aix-Marseille, I2M UMR 7353-Centre de Mathématiques et Informatique, Marseille, France.

yannick.sire@univ-amu.fr