CLIFFORD THEORY FOR INFINITE DIMENSIONAL MODULES

FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

ABSTRACT. Clifford theory of possibly infinite dimensional modules is studied.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a field and let $N \leq G$ be groups. In 1937 Clifford [C] laid down a strategy for studying the finite dimensional irreducible FG-modules in a two-step program that works under the assumption that [G:N] be finite. In the first place, every such a module, say V, is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ind}_T^G S$, where T is the inertia group of an irreducible FN-submodule W of V and S is an irreducible FT-module, namely the W-homogeneous component of $\operatorname{res}_N^G V$. Moreover, $S \mapsto \operatorname{ind}_T^G S$ yields a bijective correspondence between the isomorphism classes of finite dimensional irreducible modules of FT and FG lying over W. This reduces the above study to the case T = G. For this stage Clifford assumed that F is algebraically closed. Accordingly, there is a projective representation $X: G \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$ extending R with factor set $\alpha \in Z^2(G, F^*)$ constant on cosets of N. Set $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$ with corresponding $\delta \in Z^2(G/N, F^*)$. Then $U \mapsto U \otimes_F W$ yields a bijective correspondence between the isomorphism classes of irreducible modules of the twisted group algebra $F^{\delta}[G/N]$ and the isomorphism classes of finite dimensional irreducible modules of FG lying over W. Here U affords the irreducible projective representation $Y: G \to \operatorname{GL}(U)$ defined by $F^{\beta}[G] \to F^{\delta}[G/N] \to \operatorname{GL}(U)$ and $U \otimes_F W$ affords the irreducible representation $R: G \to \operatorname{GL}(U \otimes_F W)$ given by $R(g) = Y(g) \otimes X(g), g \in G$.

Several authors have extended one or both of the above correspondences to a much wider setting. The first extension, due to Mackey [M2], involved unitary representations of locally compact groups. About a decade later, Dade [D], Fell [F], Ward [W] and Cline [Cl] extended Clifford's ideas to an axiomatic theory of groupgraded rings called Clifford systems. A further abstraction by Dade [D2] became essentially categorical in nature. A purely categorical view of the theory is taken by Alperin [A], Galindo [G, G2] and Lizasoain [Li]. On the other hand, a Clifford correspondence from the point of view of normal subrings of a ring was studied by Rieffel [R], and a Clifford theory of Hopf algebras was investigated Schneider [Sc], van Oystaeyen and Zhang [VZ], Witherspoon [Wi] and Burciu [B]. A more recent paper by Witherspoon [Wi2] unifies some prior work on the subject and furnishes a number of versions of Clifford correspondence for finite dimensional modules of an associative algebra over an algebraically closed field.

As far as we know, the infinite dimensional purely algebraic case of the above correspondences has not been considered so far. One possible reason for this is

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20C15, 20C20.

Key words and phrases. Clifford theory; Mackey theorem; density theorem.

The author was supported in part by an NSERC discovery grant.

that Clifford theory breaks down at the very outset: an infinite dimensional FGmodule may lack irreducible FN-submodules. A generic way to produce such examples can be found in §4. This phenomenon is impossible when [N : 1] or [G : N] is finite (cf. [P, Theorem 7.2.16]). It is also impossible if one considers representations $R : G \to FGL(V)$, where FGL(V) is the subgroup of GL(V) of all finitary automorphisms of V. This follows from the following general result due independently to Meierfrankenfeld [Me] and Wehrfritz [We] (who does not require F to be commutative): if G is an irreducible subgroup of FGL(V) and H is an ascentant subgroup (this a generalization of the notion of subnormal subgroup) of G then H is completely reducible. Further results on primitive and irreducible subgroups of FGL(V), with V infinite dimensional, can be found in [Me], [We2] as well as in [We3] and references therein.

In this paper (cf. §3) we extend both Clifford correspondences to the infinite dimensional case under bare minimum assumptions. As corollaries we obtain the infinite dimensional analogue of Gallagher's theorem [G, Theorem 2] describing the complex irreducible characters of G lying over a given irreducible character of N extendible to G, as well as the infinite dimensional analogue of the well-known (cf. [S, §3]) description of the complex irreducible characters of the direct product of two groups.

As an application that makes essential use of most of the results in this paper, [SHI] studies representations of McLain's groups $M = M(\Lambda, \leq, R)$, where (Λ, \leq) is a totally ordered set and R is a fairly general type of ring (see [Ma] for the original use of these groups). We stress the fact that Λ may be infinite, R need not be finite or commutative, the representations of M are allowed to be infinite dimensional, and very mild restrictions are imposed on the underlying field. Nothing seems to be known about the representation theory of M in this generality. In the special case when $|\Lambda| = n$ is finite and $R = F_q$ is a finite field, then $M = U_n(q)$. The results of this paper are used in [SHI] to extend to arbitrary M many of the results concerning the complex supercharacters of $U_n(q)$, first encountered by Lehrer [Le] and further developed by André [An]. The subject has attracted considerable attention since [An], specially after its axiomatization by Diaconis and Isaacs [DI]. A second application of our results appears in [Sz].

It should also be noted that Mackey's decomposition theorem and tensor product theorem (cf. [M, Theorems 1 and 2]) are valid under no assumptions whatsoever. For finite groups, the latter is usually obtained as a consequence of the former with the aid of some auxiliary result (Lemma 2 in [M], Theorem 43.2 in [CR], and Theorem 5.17.3 in [J]). We begin the paper (cf. §2) by furnishing, under no restrictions, short proofs of both [M, Theorems 1 and 2] which highlight the very reason why they are true: to determine the structure of an imprimitive module, just find the orbits, the stabilizers and the nature of the modules the latter stabilize.

In §5 we provide examples of a curious phenomenon related to Clifford theory: it is possible for $\operatorname{ind}_N^G W$ to be irreducible with inertia group $I_G(W) = G \neq N$. This is in stark contrast to the well-known irreducibility criterion valid for finite groups and complex representations (cf. [S, §7]). We also furnish sufficient conditions for $I_G(W) = G$ and the irreducibility of $\operatorname{ind}_N^G W$ to force G = N.

All rings have a unit, shared by all their subrings. All modules are left modules unless explicitly mentioned otherwise. Groups may be infinite and representations are allowed to be infinite dimensional. 2. Mackey decomposition and tensor product theorems

We fix an arbitrary field F throughout this section.

Theorem 2.1. (Mackey Tensor Product Theorem) Let G be a group with subgroups H_1 and H_2 , and let V_1 and V_2 be modules for H_1 and H_2 over F, respectively.

For $x, y \in G$ set $H^{(x,y)} = xH_1x^{-1} \cap yH_2y^{-1}$ and let V_1^x and V_2^y denote the *F*-spaces V_1 and V_2 , respectively viewed as $FH^{(x,y)}$ -modules via:

$$h \cdot v_1 = x^{-1}hxv_1, \quad h \in H^{(x,y)}, v_1 \in V_1,$$

$$h \cdot v_2 = y^{-1} hy v_2, \quad h \in H^{(x,y)}, v_2 \in V_2$$

Then the FG-module $\operatorname{ind}_{H_1}^G V_1 \otimes \operatorname{ind}_{H_2}^G V_2$ has the following decomposition:

$$\operatorname{ind}_{H_1}^G V_1 \otimes \operatorname{ind}_{H_2}^G V_2 \cong \bigoplus_{d \in D} V(d)$$

where D is a system of representatives for the (H_1, H_2) -double cosets in G and

$$V(d) \cong \operatorname{ind}_{H^{(x,y)}}^G V_1^x \otimes V_2^y, \quad d \in D_2$$

for any choice of $(x, y) \in G \times G$ such that $H_1x^{-1}yH_2 = H_1dH_2$ (any two choices yield isomorphic FG-modules). In particular,

$$\operatorname{ind}_{H_1}^G V_1 \otimes \operatorname{ind}_{H_2}^G V_2 \cong \bigoplus_{y \in D} \operatorname{ind}_{H_1 \cap y H_2 y^{-1}}^G V_1 \otimes V_2^y.$$

Proof. We have

$$\operatorname{ind}_{H_1}^G V_1 = \bigoplus_{xH_1 \in G/H_1} xV_1, \quad \operatorname{ind}_{H_2}^G V_2 = \bigoplus_{yH_2 \in G/H_2} yV_2,$$

where G/H_i is the set of all left cosets of H_i in $G, 1 \leq i \leq 2$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{ind}_{H_1}^G V_1 \otimes \operatorname{ind}_{H_2}^G V_2 = \bigoplus_{(xH_1, yH_2) \in G/H_1 \times G/H_2} xV_1 \otimes yV_2.$$

The function $G/H_1 \times G/H_2 \to H_1 \setminus G/H_2$ given by $(xH_1, yH_2) \mapsto H_1 x^{-1} yH_2$ is clearly surjective and its fibers are the *G*-orbits of $G/H_1 \times G/H_2$ under componentwise left multiplication, that is, (x_1H_1, y_1H_2) and (x_2H_1, y_2H_2) are in the same *G*-orbit if and only if

$$H_1 x_1^{-1} y_1 H_2 = H_1 x_2^{-1} y_2 H_2.$$

Moreover, the stabilizer in G of a given $(xH_1, yH_2) \in G/H_1 \times G/H_2$ is $H^{(x,y)}$ and $V_1^x \otimes V_2^y \cong xV_1 \otimes yV_2$ as $H^{(x,y)}$ -modules via $v_1 \otimes v_2 \mapsto xv_1 \otimes yv_2$.

Theorem 2.2. (Mackey Decomposition Theorem) Let G be a group with subgroups H and K and let V be an FH-module. Then

$$\operatorname{res}_{K}^{G} \operatorname{ind}_{H}^{G} V \cong \bigoplus_{x \in D} \operatorname{ind}_{K \cap x H x^{-1}}^{K} V^{x},$$

where D is a system of representatives for the (K, H)-double cosets in G and V^x is the F-vector space V being acted upon by $K \cap xHx^{-1}$ as follows:

$$h \cdot v = x^{-1}hxv, \quad h \in K \cap xHx^{-1}, v \in V.$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathrm{ind}_{H}^{G}V=\bigoplus_{xH\in G/H}xV,$$

where G/H is the set of all left cosets of H in G. When K acts on G/H by left multiplication, xH and yH are in the same K-orbit if and only if

$$KxH = KyH$$

Moreover, the stabilizer in K of a given $xH \in G/H$ is $K \cap xHx^{-1}$ and, in addition, $V^x \cong xV$ as $K \cap xHx^{-1}$ -modules via $v \mapsto xv$.

Corollary 2.3. Let G be a group with subgroups H_1 and H_2 . Let V_2 be an FH_2 -module and let P be the permutation FG-module arising from the coset space G/H_1 . Then

$$P \otimes \operatorname{ind}_{H_2}^G V_2 \cong \operatorname{ind}_{H_1}^G \operatorname{res}_{H_1}^G \operatorname{ind}_{H_2}^G V_2$$

Proof. First use Theorem 2.1 with V_1 trivial, then the transitivity of induction and the compatibility of induction with direct sums, and finally apply Theorem 2.2. \Box

Suppose $H \leq G$ and let W (resp. V) is a module for FH (resp. FG). Then

(2.1)
$$(\operatorname{ind}_{H}^{G}W) \otimes V \cong \operatorname{ind}_{H}^{G}(W \otimes \operatorname{res}_{H}^{G}V),$$

a fairly well-known result in the finite dimensional case. As for the proof, we have

$$(\mathrm{ind}_{H}^{G}W) \otimes V \cong (\bigoplus_{xH \in G/H} xW) \otimes V \cong \bigoplus_{xH \in G/H} (xW \otimes V),$$

where G transitively permutes the $xW \otimes V$ and the stabilizer of $W \otimes V$ is H.

When $[G : H_1]$ and $[G : H_2]$ are finite, [J] proves Theorem 2.1 by means of Theorem 2.2 and (2.1), although only a restricted version of (2.1) is considered, with a somewhat longer proof. Incidently, a very special case of (2.1) appears in [L, Proposition 1.1], again with a fairly longer proof.

3. Clifford Theory

We fix an arbitrary field F throughout this section.

Lemma 3.1. Let G be a group acting on a group N via automorphisms. Let W be an FN-module. For $g \in G$, consider the FN-module W^g , whose underlying F-vector space is W, acted upon by N as follows:

$$x \cdot w = {}^g x w, \quad x \in N, w \in W.$$

Then

$$I_G(W) = \{g \in G \mid W^g \cong W\}$$

is subgroup of G. Moreover, if W is isomorphic to an FN-module U, then $W^g \cong U^g$ for all $g \in G$.

Proof. Immediate consequence of the following: if $g \in G$ and $f : W \to U$ is an FN-isomorphism, then so is $f : W^g \to U^g$.

We refer to $I_G(W)$ as the inertia group of W. If $I_G(W) = G$ we will say that W is G-invariant. We will mainly use this when $N \leq G$ and G acts on N by conjugation:

$${}^{g}x = gxg^{-1}$$

Given a ring R, an R-module V and an irreducible submodule W of V, by the W-homogeneous component of V we understand the sum of all irreducible submodules of V isomorphic to W.

Theorem 3.2. (Clifford) Let $N \leq G$ be groups and let V be an irreducible FGmodule. Suppose that V admits an irreducible FN-submodule W. Then

(a) $V = \sum_{g \in G} gW$ is a completely reducible FN-module.

(b) $\operatorname{res}_N^G V$ is the direct sum of its homogeneous components and G acts transitively on them.

(c) The G-stabilizer T of any homogeneous component S of $\operatorname{res}_N^G V$ is the inertia group of any FN-irreducible submodule of S.

(d) $V \cong \operatorname{ind}_T^G S$ and S is FT-irreducible.

Proof. (a) The sum of irreducible submodules is the direct sum of some of them.

(b) That G acts follows from Lemma 3.1. The rest follows from (a).

- (c) This is consequence of the fact that $g^{-1}W \cong_{FN} W^g$ for all $g \in G$.
- (d) $V \cong \operatorname{ind}_T^G S$ by (b). This and the irreducibility of V implies that of S. \Box

Theorem 3.3. (Clifford) Let $N \leq G$ be groups and let W be an irreducible FN-module with inertia group T. Suppose S is an irreducible FT-module lying over W. Then $V = \operatorname{ind}_T^G S$ is an irreducible FG-module.

Proof. Let U be a non-zero FG-submodule of V. By Theorem 3.2, S is a completely reducible FN-module, whence so is V and a fortiori its FN-submodule U. In particular, U contains an irreducible FN-submodule, necessarily isomorphic to gW for some $g \in G$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $g^{-1}U = U$ contains a FN-submodule isomorphic to W.

Now, the W-homogeneous component of the completely reducible FN-module V is S. By above, $U \cap S \neq 0$. Since S is FT-irreducible, S is contained in U, and therefore U = V.

Corollary 3.4. If T = N then $\operatorname{ind}_{N}^{G}W$ is an irreducible FG-module.

Theorem 3.5. (Clifford) Let $N \leq G$ be groups and let W be an irreducible FNmodule with inertia subgroup T. Then the maps

$$S \to V(S) = \operatorname{ind}_T^G S,$$

 $V \rightarrow S(V) = W$ -homogeneous component of V

yield inverse bijections between the isomorphism classes of irreducible modules of FT and FG lying over W.

Proof. Immediate consequence of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

Note 3.6. It follows from [P, Lemma 6.1.2] that the above classes are non-empty.

Lemma 3.7. Let A be an F-algebra and let W be an irreducible A-module such that $\operatorname{End}_A(W) = F$. Let U_1, U_2 be F-vector spaces and view each $U_i \otimes_F W$ as a left A-module via $a(u \otimes w) = u \otimes aw$. Suppose $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_A(U_1 \otimes W, U_2 \otimes W)$. Then $T = S \otimes 1$, where $S \in \operatorname{Hom}_F(U_1, U_2)$.

Proof. Fix $0 \neq w \in W$. We claim that there is $S_w \in \text{Hom}_F(U_1, U_2)$ such that $T(u \otimes w) = S_w(u) \otimes w$ for all $u \in U_1$. Indeed, for $u \in U_1$ we have

$$T(u \otimes w) = u_1 \otimes w_1 + \dots + u_n \otimes w_n,$$

FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

where $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in W$ are linearly independent over F and $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in U_2$. CASE I. w, w_1, \ldots, w_n are linearly independent. Since W is A-irreducible and $\operatorname{End}_A(W) = F$, the density theorem (cf. [He, Theorem 2.1.2]), ensures the existence of $r \in A$ such that rw = w and $rw_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus

$$0 = r(u_1 \otimes w_1 + \dots + u_n \otimes w_n) = rT(u \otimes w) = T(r(u \otimes w)) = T(u \otimes w).$$

CASE II. w, w_1, \ldots, w_n are linearly dependent. Since $w \neq 0$, we have

$$T(u \otimes w) = y_1 \otimes z_1 + \dots + y_n \otimes z_n,$$

where $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in W$ are linearly independent, $z_1 = w$, and $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in U_2$. As before, we can find $a \in A$ such that $az_1 = z_1$ and $az_i = 0$ if i > 1. Then

$$y_1 \otimes z_1 = a(y_1 \otimes z_1 + \dots + y_n \otimes z_n) = T(a(u \otimes w)) = y_1 \otimes z_1 + \dots + y_n \otimes z_n,$$

so

$$T(u \otimes w) = y_1 \otimes z_1 = y_1 \otimes w.$$

In either case, $T(u \otimes w) = u' \otimes w$, where $u' \in U_2$ is uniquely determined by w. This defines a map $S_w : U_1 \to U_2$ satisfying $T(u \otimes w) = S_w(u) \otimes w$ for all $u \in U_1$. Since T is linear we readily verify that $S_w \in \operatorname{Hom}_F(U_1, U_2)$. This proves the claim.

As T is linear and \otimes is bilinear, we see that $S_{w_1} = S_{w_2}$ for all $w_1, w_2 \in W$ different from 0. Call this common operator $S \in \operatorname{Hom}_F(U_1, U_2)$. Then $T(u \otimes w) = S(u) \otimes w$ for all $u \in U_1, w \in W$ (including w = 0, since 0 = 0w' for any $0 \neq w' \in W$), whence $T = S \otimes 1$.

Theorem 3.8. (Clifford) Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be groups, let $S : G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ be an irreducible representation such that V has an irreducible G-invariant FN-submodule W satisfying $\operatorname{End}_{FN}(W) = F$. Let $R : N \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$ be the representation of N afforded by W, that is, $R(x) = S(x)|_W$ for all $x \in N$. Then there exist a representation $S' : G \to \operatorname{GL}(Z)$ equivalent to S, where $Z = U \otimes_F W$, and irreducible projective representations $X : G \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$ and $Y : G \to \operatorname{GL}(U)$ such that:

- (a) $S'(g) = Y(g) \otimes X(g)$ for all $g \in G$.
- (b) X extends R.
- (c) Y(x) = 1 for all $x \in N$.

Proof. Since W is FN-irreducible, Theorem 3.2 ensures that the FN-module V is the direct sum of |I| copies of W for some set I. Let U be an F-vector space of dimension |I| and set $Z = U \otimes_F W$. Then $x \mapsto 1 \otimes R(x)$ is a representation of N on Z. By construction, $Z \cong V$ as FN-modules. Since the action of N on V can be extended to G, so does the action of N on Z. Thus, there is a representation $S': G \to \operatorname{GL}(Z)$ equivalent to S such that $S'(x) = 1 \otimes R(x), x \in N$.

Since W is G-invariant, there is a projective representation $X : G \to GL(W)$ extending R such that

$$X(g)R(x)X(g)^{-1} = R(gxg^{-1}), \quad g \in G, x \in N.$$

On the other hand, since S' is a representation,

$$S'(g)(1 \otimes R(x))S'(g)^{-1} = 1 \otimes R(gxg^{-1}), \quad g \in G, x \in N.$$

For $g \in G$ let $P(g) = S'(g)(1 \otimes X(g))^{-1}$. Then

$$P(g)(1 \otimes R(x))P(g)^{-1} = 1 \otimes R(x), \quad g \in G, x \in N.$$

Since $\operatorname{End}_{FN}(W) = F$, Lemma 3.7 ensures that, given any $g \in G$, there exists $Y(g) \in \operatorname{GL}(U)$ such that

$$P(g) = Y(g) \otimes 1$$

that is,

$$(3.1) S'(g) = Y(g) \otimes X(g).$$

Since X extends R, it follows that P(x) = 1, and hence Y(x) = 1, for all $x \in N$. Moreover, since S' is a representation and X is a projective representation, then (3.1) forces Y to be a projective representation as well. Furthermore, since S' is irreducible, so must be X and Y.

Note 3.9. It is easy to see (cf. [C, §4]) that X can be chosen so that its associated factor set $\alpha \in Z^2(G, F^*)$ satisfies

(3.2)
$$\alpha(g_1x_1, g_2x_2) = \alpha(g_1, g_2), \quad g_1, g_2 \in G, x_1, x_2 \in N.$$

Theorem 3.10. (Clifford) Let $N \leq G$ be groups, let $R : N \to GL(W)$ be an irreducible representation that is G-invariant and satisfies $End_{FN}(W) = F$, and let $X : G \to GL(W)$ be a projective representation extending R with factor set $\alpha \in Z^2(G, F^*)$ satisfying (3.2). Then

(a) There exists an irreducible projective representation $Y : G \to \operatorname{GL}(U)$ trivial on N with factor set $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$. Moreover, for any such Y, if we set $Z = U \otimes_F W$ and define $S : G \to \operatorname{GL}(Z)$ by $S(g) = Y(g) \otimes X(g)$, $g \in G$, then S is an irreducible representation of G and $\operatorname{res}_N^G Z$ is the direct sum of $\dim_F(U)$ copies of W.

(b) For $1 \leq i \leq 2$, let $Y_i : G \to \operatorname{GL}(U_i)$ be an irreducible projective representation trivial on N with factor set β and let $S_i : G \to \operatorname{GL}(Z_i)$, $Z_i = U_i \otimes_F W$, be defined by $S_i(g) = Y_i(g) \otimes X(g)$. Suppose S_1 and S_2 are equivalent. Then Y_1 and Y_2 are strictly equivalent, in the sense that there is an F-linear isomorphism $f : U_1 \to U_2$ such that $f(Y_1(g)u) = Y_2(g)f(u)$ for all $g \in G$ and $u \in U_1$.

Proof. (a) It follows from (3.2) that α gives rise to a factor set $\gamma \in Z^2(G/N, F^*)$. By Zorn's lemma, the twisted group algebra $F^{\delta}[G/N]$, $\delta = \gamma^{-1}$, has a maximal left ideal. This proves the existence of Y. For any such Y, it is clear that S is a representation of G. Let us verify that S is irreducible.

Let $0 \neq v \in V$. Then $v = u_1 \otimes w_1 + \cdots + u_n \otimes w_n$, where $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in W$ are linearly independent over F and $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in U$ are non-zero. Since W is FNirreducible and $\operatorname{End}_{FN}(W) = F$, the density theorem (cf. [He, Theorem 2.1.2]) ensures the existence of $r \in FN$ such that $rw_1 = w_1$ and $rw_i = 0$ for i > 1. Since N acts trivially on U, it follows that

$$rv = u_1 \otimes w_1.$$

As W is FN-irreducible and N acts trivially on U, it follows that $u_1 \otimes W$ is contained in $FG \cdot v$. Let $u \in U$ be arbitrary. Since U is $F^{\beta}[G]$ -irreducible, $u = su_1$, where $s = \alpha_1 g_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m g_m \in F^{\beta}[G]$. Every F-subspace $\alpha_i g_i(u_1 \otimes W) = \alpha_i g_i u_1 \otimes W$ is contained in $FG \cdot v$, and therefore so is $u \otimes W$. Since u is arbitrary, we infer $FG \cdot v = V$. This proves that S is irreducible. By construction, $\operatorname{res}_N^G Z$ is the direct sum of $\dim_F(U)$ copies of W.

(b) This follows from Lemma 3.7 applied to A = FN.

Theorem 3.11. (Gallagher) Let $N \leq G$ be groups and let $R : N \to GL(W)$ be an irreducible representation of N over F satisfying:

• $\operatorname{End}_{FN}(W) = F.$

• R extends to a representation $S: G \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$. Then

(a) Every irreducible FG-module lying over W is isomorphic to $U \otimes_F W$, where U is an irreducible FG-module acted upon trivially by N.

(b) If U is an irreducible FG-module acted upon trivially by N, then $V = U \otimes_F W$ is an irreducible FG-module.

(c) If U_1 and U_2 are irreducible FG-modules acted upon trivially by N and $U_1 \otimes_F W \cong U_2 \otimes_F W$ as FG-modules, then $U_1 \cong U_2$ as FG-modules.

Proof. (a) follows from Theorem 3.8, while (b) and (c) from Theorem 3.10. \Box

Theorem 3.12. Let G_1 and G_2 are groups and set $G = G_1 \times G_2$.

(a) Suppose that V_1 and V_2 are irreducible modules of FG_1 and FG_2 , respectively, such that $End_{FG_2}(V_2) = F$. Then $V = V_1 \otimes_F V_2$ is an irreducible FG-module.

(b) Let V be an irreducible FG-module with an irreducible FG₂-submodule V_2 such that $\operatorname{End}_{FG_2}(V_2) = F$. Then there is an irreducible FG₁-module V_1 such that $V \cong V_1 \otimes_F V_2$ as FG-modules.

(c) Let V_1, W_1 be irreducible FG_1 -modules, let V_2, W_2 be irreducible FG_2 -modules, and suppose $V_1 \otimes_F V_2 \cong W_1 \otimes_F W_2$ as FG-modules. Then $V_1 \cong W_1$ and $V_2 \cong W_2$.

Proof. (a) This follows from Theorem 3.10 applied to $N = G_1$ and $\alpha = 1$.

(b) Immediate consequence of Theorem 3.8.

(c) $V_1 \otimes V_2$ (resp. $W_1 \otimes W_2$) is a completely reducible FG_1 -module with all irreducible submodules isomorphic to V_1 (resp. W_1). Since $V_1 \otimes V_2 \cong W_1 \otimes W_2$ as FG_1 -modules, we infer $V_1 \cong W_1$. Using G_2 instead of G_1 , we obtain $V_2 \cong W_2$. \Box

Note 3.13. The condition $\operatorname{End}_{FG_2}(V_2) = F$ is essential in parts (a) and (b). Indeed, let *H* stand for the real quaternions and set $G_1 = G_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$. Then *H* becomes an irreducible module for $G = G_1 \times G_2$ over \mathbb{R} by declaring

$$(x, y)h = xhy^{-1}, \quad x \in G_1, y \in G_2, h \in H.$$

But $\operatorname{res}_{G_1}^G H$ and $\operatorname{res}_{G_2}^G H$ remain irreducible, so H cannot be a tensor product. Moreover, $V = H \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H$ is not irreducible, since $\operatorname{End}_{FG}(V) \cong H \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H^{\operatorname{op}} \cong M_4(\mathbb{R})$ is not a division ring.

Example 3.14. Let V be an infinite dimensional F-vector space of countable dimension, let $G_1 = \operatorname{GL}(V) = G_2$ and set $G = G_1 \times G_2$. Then $\operatorname{End}(V)$ is an FG-module via $(x, y)f = xfy^{-1}$; the endomorphisms of V of finite rank form an irreducible FG-submodule U of $\operatorname{End}(V)$; V is an irreducible FG₁-submodule of U satisfying $\operatorname{End}_{FG_1}(V) = F$; V^{*} is an irreducible FG₂-submodule of U; $U \cong V \otimes V^*$ as FG-modules.

4. IRREDUCIBLE FG-modules without irreducible FN-submodules

Lemma 4.1. Let R be a simple ring with a minimal left ideal I. Then R is artinian.

Proof. The simplicity of R implies R = IR, whence $1 = x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_ny_n$, where $x_i \in I$ and $y_i \in R$. Thus the map $I^n \to R$, given by $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto z_1y_1 + \cdots + z_ny_n$, is an epimorphism of R-modules. Since I is an irreducible R-module, R has a finite composition series as R-module and is therefore artinian.

Proposition 4.2. Let R be a simple non-artinian ring with center F and unit group U. Assume R is F-spanned by U. Set $G = G_1 \times G_2$, where $G_1 = U = G_2$, and view V = R as an FG-module via

$$(x, y)v = xvy^{-1}, \quad x \in G_1, y \in G_2, v \in V.$$

Then

(a) V is an irreducible FG-module.

(b) V has no irreducible FG_1 -submodules.

(c) $End_{FG}(V) = F$.

(d) $V \not\cong V_1 \otimes_F V_2$ for any FG_i -modules V_i , $1 \le i \le 2$.

Proof. (a) Use that R is simple and F-spanned by U. (b) Use Lemma 4.1 and that R is F-spanned by U and non-artinian. (c) Use that R is F-spanned by U. (d) Suppose $V \cong V_1 \otimes_F V_2$ for some FG_i -modules V_i , $1 \le i \le 2$. Since V is FG-irreducible, V_1 is FG_1 -irreducible. Thus V contains an irreducible FG_1 -submodule, namely a copy of V_1 , a contradiction.

Rings R satisfying the above conditions can be found at end of [L, Ch. 1] based on a given field K. For instance, let $R_i = M_{2i}(K)$, $i \ge 0$, naturally viewed as a subring of R_{i+1} , and set $R = \bigcup_{i\ge 0} R_i$. Also, let V be a K-vector space of countable dimension, let I be the ideal of End(V) of all endomorphisms of finite rank and set R = End(V)/I (see also [Z]). For another example, suppose K admits an automorphism σ of infinite order, and set $R = K[t, t^{-1}; \sigma]$, a skew Laurent polynomial ring.

5. Examples with $\operatorname{ind}_N^G W$ irreducible and $I_G(W) = G$

Example 5.1. Let F be a field with an irreducible polynomial $f(t) = t^m - a \in F[t]$ of degree m > 1. Let n be the order, possibly infinite, of $a \in F^*$ and let $G = \langle x \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order mn (possibly infinite). Let $N = \langle x^m \rangle$ and view W = Fw as an FN-module via $x^m \cdot w = aw$. Then N is a proper normal subgroup of G, W is a G-invariant irreducible FN-module, and $V = \operatorname{ind}_N^G W$ is an irreducible FG-module.

Proof. The matrix of x acting on V relative to the F-basis $w, xw, \ldots, x^{m-1}w$ is the companion matrix C of f. Since f is an irreducible polynomial over F, it follows that V is an irreducible FG-module.

• Clearly $\operatorname{End}_{FN}(W) = F$. On the other hand, since the centralizer of the cyclic matrix C in $M_m(F)$ is F[C], it follows that $\operatorname{End}_{FG}(V) \cong F[C] \cong F[t]/(f)$, which is a field extension of F of degree m = [G:N].

• G is not a split extension of N. This is obvious if G is infinite. If n is finite then gcd(m, n) > 1, for otherwise a would be an mth power in F^* , contradicting the irreducibility of f.

• The action of N on W does not extend to G, as this would violate the irreducibility of f.

• Example 5.1 applies to any field F admitting a radical extension. This is fairly wide class of rings, as evidenced by Capelli's theorem (cf. [La, Theorem 9.1]). Naturally, algebraically closed fields are excluded. The only non-allowed finite field is F_2 .

Example 5.2. Let K be a field, let Γ be a subgroup of Aut(K) and let F be a subfield of the fixed field of Γ . Given $f \in Z^2(\Gamma, K^*)$, let G be the extension of $N = K^*$ by Γ determined by the factor set f. Then W = K is a G-invariant irreducible FNmodule, and $V = \operatorname{ind}_N^G W$ is an irreducible FG-module if and only if the crossed product (K, Γ, f) is a division ring. In any case, $\operatorname{End}_{FN}(V) \cong (K, \Gamma, f)$.

Proof. Every element of G has the form $x_{\sigma}k$ for unique $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $k \in K^*$, with multiplication

$$x_{\sigma}k \cdot x_{\tau}\ell = x_{\sigma\tau}(f(\sigma,\tau)k^{\tau}\ell).$$

On the other hand, every element of V has form $\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} x_{\sigma} k_{\sigma}$ for unique $k_{\sigma} \in K$, almost all zero. The F-linear action of G on V coincides with the multiplication in V, viewed as a crossed product algebra. Thus $\operatorname{End}_{FN}(V) \cong (K, \Gamma, f)$ and the FG-module V is irreducible if and only if (K, Γ, f) has no proper left ideals but zero.

For $\sigma \in \Gamma$, the map $W \to x_{\sigma}W$ given by $w \mapsto x_{\sigma}w^{\sigma}$ is an isomorphism of FN-modules, so W is G-invariant. It is obviously FN-irreducible.

Conditions for a cyclic algebra to be a division ring can be found in $[L, \S14]$.

Theorem 5.3. (Frobenius reciprocity) Let S be a ring with a subring R such that $S = R \oplus T$ as R-modules. Let W be an R-module and let V be an S-module. Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(W, \operatorname{res}_B^S V) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_S(\operatorname{ind}_B^S W, V)$$

as $Z(S) \cap R$ -modules, where $\operatorname{ind}_R^S W = S \otimes_R W$.

Proof. Given $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(W, \operatorname{res}_R^S V)$ define $\widehat{f} \in \operatorname{Hom}_S(\operatorname{ind}_R^S W, V)$ by

$$f(s \otimes x) = sf(x), \quad s \in S, x \in W.$$

This an isomorphism of $Z(S) \cap R$ -modules whose inverse is the restriction map. \Box

Let D be a division ring. By an extension of D we mean a division ring E such that D is a subring of E. The degree of E over D is $\dim_D E$ as right D-vector space.

Proposition 5.4. Let F be a field, let $N \leq G$ be groups, let W be an FNmodule (necessarily irreducible) with inertia group T such that $V = \operatorname{ind}_N^G W$ is FG-irreducible. Set $U = \operatorname{Hom}_{FN}(W, V)$, $D = \operatorname{End}_{FN}(W)$ and $E = \operatorname{End}_{FG}(V)$, and consider the F-linear isomorphism $U \to E$, $u \mapsto \hat{u}$, arising from Frobenius reciprocity, namely $\hat{u}(g \otimes w) = g \otimes u(w)$. Then T = N provided at least one of the following conditions hold:

(a) The restriction of $U \to E$ to D is surjective.

(b) [G:N] is finite and D has no extensions of degree > 1 and dividing [G:N].

(c) D = F is algebraically closed and [G:N] is finite.

(d) G is finite and F is algebraically closed.

(e) The action of N on W can be extended to T.

(f) $\dim_F(W) = 1$ and T is a split extension of N.

Proof. U is a right D-vector space via $u \cdot d = u \circ d$. As the multiplicity of W in $\operatorname{res}_N^G V$ is [T:N], we see that $\dim_D U = [T:N]$. Since the restriction of $U \to E$ to D is a homomorphism of F-algebras, it follows that E is right D-vector space via $e \cdot d = u \circ \hat{d}$. By definition, $U \to E$ is an isomorphism of right D-vector spaces

and the inclusion map $D \to U$ is a monomorphisms of right *D*-vector spaces. This yields (a) and (b), which implies (c), which implies (d).

Suppose the action of N on W can be extended to T. Since $\operatorname{ind}_N^G W$ is irreducible, it follows that $U = \operatorname{ind}_N^T W$ is irreducible. Let $f: W \to \operatorname{res}_N^T W$ be the identity map and let $\widehat{f}: U \to W$ be its extension to an FT-homomorphism. Clearly, \widehat{f} is surjective and hence bijective, since U is irreducible. But the restriction of \widehat{f} to the FN-submodule W of U is already bijective, which forces T = N. This proves (e), which easily implies (f).

Example 5.1 avoids conditions (a)-(f) of Proposition 5.4. Consider the following special case of Example 5.2: $F = \mathbb{C}$, $K = \mathbb{C}(s,t)$, $\Gamma = \langle \gamma \rangle$, where $\gamma(s) = s$ and $\gamma(t) = -t$, and f is the normalized factor set determined by $f(\gamma, \gamma) = s$. Since s not a square in $\mathbb{C}(s)$, it follows from [La, §14] that (K, Γ, f) is a 4-dimensional division algebra over its center $\mathbb{C}(s, t^2)$. Thus V irreducible, [G : N] = 2 and $F = \mathbb{C}$, still avoiding condition (c) of Proposition 5.4 because $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(D)$ is infinite.

An improvement of Proposition 5.4 may be available by suitably extending to arbitrary groups [T3, Theorem 1 and Corollary 1] of Tucker, which describes $\operatorname{End}_{FG}(V)$ as a crossed product when G is finite, as well as extending to arbitrary fields her correspondence (cf. [T, T2] and Conlon's [C]) between the left ideals of $\operatorname{End}_{FG}(V)$ and the FG-submodules of V when F is algebraically closed.

References

- [A] J.L. Alperin, Static modules and nonnormal Clifford theory, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 49 (1990) 347-353.
- [An] C.A.M André, Basic characters of the unitriangular group, J. Algebra 175 (1995) 287-319.
- [B] S. Burciu, Clifford theory for cocentral extensions, Israel J. Math. 181 (2011) 111-123.
- [C] A.H. Clifford, Representations induced in an invariant subgroup, Ann. Math. 38 (1937) 533– 550.
- [Cl] E. Cline, Stable Clifford theory, J. Algebra 22 (1972) 350-364.
- [Co] S. B. Conlon, Twisted group algebras and their representations, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 4 (1964) 152-173.
- [CR] C.W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [D] E.C. Dade, Compounding Clifford's theory, Ann. of Math. (2) 91 (1970) 236-290.
- [D2] E.C. Dade, Group-graded rings and modules, Math. Z. 174 (1980) 241-262.
- [DI] D. Diaconis and I.M. Isaacs, Supercharacters and superclasses for algebra groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008) 2359-2392.
- [F] J.M.G. Fell, An extension of Mackey's method to algebraic bundles over finite groups, Amer. J. Math. 91 (1969) 203-238.
- [G] C. Galindo, Clifford theory for tensor categories, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 83 (2011) 57-78.
- [G2] C. Galindo, Clifford theory for graded fusion categories Israel J. Math. 192 (2012) 841-867.
 [Ga] P.X. Gallagher, Groups characters and normal Hall subgroups, Nagoya Math. J. 21 (1962)
- [He] I.N. Herstein, Noncommutative rings, Carus Math. Monogr. 15, Math. Assoc. America, Washington, DC, 1968.
- [J] N. Jacobson, Basic Algebra II, second ed., W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1989.
- [L] T.Y. Lam, A first course in noncommutative rings, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [La] S. Lang, Algebra second ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1984.
- [Le] G.I. Lehrer, Discrete series and the unipotent subgroup, Compositio Math. 28 (1974) 9-19.
- [Li] I. Lizasoain, A decomposition theorem for group representations, Algebr. Represent. Theory 14 (2011) 639-663.
- [M] G.W. Mackey, On induced representations of groups, Amer. J. Math. 73 (1951) 576-592.
- [M2] G.W. Mackey, Unitary representations of group extensions. I, Acta Math. 99 (1958) 265-311.

FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

- [Ma] D.H. McLain, A characteristically-simple group, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 50 (1954) 641-642.
- [Me] U. Meierfrankenfeld, Ascending subgroups of irreducible finitary linear group, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 51 (1995) 75-92.
- [P] D.S. Passman, The algebraic structure of group rings, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1977.
- [R] M.A. Rieffel, Normal subrings and induced representations, J. Algebra 59 (1979) 364-386.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, Springer, New York, 1977.
- [SHI] F. Szechtman, A. Herman and M. Izadi, Representations of McLain groups, arXiv:1506.06184.
- [Sc] H.-J. Schneider, Representation theory of Hopf Galois extensions, Israel J. Math. 72 (1990) 196-231.
- [Sz] F. Szechtman, Irreducible representations of unipotent subgroups of symplectic and unitary groups defined over rings, arXiv:1507.07410.
- [T] P.A. Tucker, On the reduction of induced indecomposable representations, Amer. J. Math. 84 (1962) 400-420.
- [T2] P.A. Tucker, Note on the reduction of induced representations, Amer. J. Math. 85 (1963) 53-58.
- [T3] P.A. Tucker, Endomorphism ring of an induced module, Michigan Math. J. 12 (1965) 197-202.
- [VZ] F. van Oystaeyen and Y. Zhang, Induction functors and stable Clifford theory for Hopf modules, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 107 (1996) 337-351.
- [W] H.N. Ward, The analysis of representations induced from a normal subgroup, Michigan Math. J. 15 (1968) 417-428.
- [We] B.A.F. Wehrfritz, Locally soluble primitive finitary skew linear groups, Comm. Algebra 23 (1995) 803-817.
- [We2] B.A.F. Wehrfritz, On 'Clifford's theorem' for primitive finitary groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997) 2843-2846.
- [We3] B.A.F. Wehrfritz, Locally finite finitary skew linear groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 83 (2001) 71-92.
- [Wi] S.J. Witherspoon, Clifford correspondence for finite-dimensional Hopf algebras, J. Algebra 218 (1999) 608-620.
- [Wi2] S.J. Witherspoon, Clifford correspondence for algebras, J. Algebra 256 (2002) 518-530.
- [Z] D. Zelinsky, Every linear transformation is a sum of nonsingular ones, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954) 627–630.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF REGINA *E-mail address*: fernando.szechtman@gmail.com