

**RESOLUTION OF PELLER'S PROBLEM CONCERNING  
KOPLIENKO-NEIDHARDT TRACE FORMULAE**

CLEMENT COINE, CHRISTIAN LE MERDY, DENIS POTAPOV, FEDOR SUKOCHEV,  
AND ANNA TOMSKOVA

ABSTRACT. A formula for the norm of a bilinear Schur multiplier acting from the Cartesian product  $\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2$  of two copies of the Hilbert-Schmidt classes into the trace class  $\mathcal{S}^1$  is established in terms of linear Schur multipliers acting on the space  $\mathcal{S}^\infty$  of all compact operators. Using this formula, we resolve Peller's problem on Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formulae. Namely, we prove that there exist a twice continuously differentiable function  $f$  with a bounded second derivative, a self-adjoint (unbounded) operator  $A$  and a self-adjoint operator  $B \in \mathcal{S}^2$  such that

$$f(A+B) - f(A) - \left. \frac{d}{dt}(f(A+tB)) \right|_{t=0} \notin \mathcal{S}^1.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable complex Hilbert space and let  $B(\mathcal{H})$  be the space of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  equipped with the standard trace  $\text{Tr}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}^1 = \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{S}^2 = \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$  be the trace class and the Hilbert-Schmidt class in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , respectively.

In 1953, M. G. Krein [16] showed that for a self-adjoint (not necessarily bounded) operator  $A$  and a self-adjoint operator  $B \in \mathcal{S}^1$  there exists a unique function  $\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$(1) \quad \text{Tr}(f(A+B) - f(A)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(t)\xi(t)dt,$$

whenever  $f$  is from the Wiener class  $W_1$ , that is  $f$  is a function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with Fourier transform of  $f'$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

The function  $\xi$  above is called Lifshitz-Krein spectral shift function and was firstly introduced in a special case by I. M. Lifshitz [17]. It plays an important role in Mathematical Physics and in Scattering Theory, where it appears in the formula of the determinant of scattering matrix (for detailed discussion we refer to [7] and references therein).

Observe that the right-hand side of (1) makes sense for every Lipschitz function  $f$ . In 1964 M. G. Krein conjectured that the left-hand side of (1) also makes sense for every Lipschitz function  $f$ . More precisely, Krein's conjecture was the following.

**Krein's Conjecture.** *For any self-adjoint (not necessarily bounded) operator  $A$ , for any self-adjoint operator  $B \in \mathcal{S}^1$  and for any Lipschitz function  $f$ ,*

$$(2) \quad f(A+B) - f(A) \in \mathcal{S}^1.$$

The best result concerning the description of the class of functions for which (2) holds is due to V. Peller in [24], who established that (2) holds for  $f$  belonging to the Besov class  $B_{\infty 1}^1$  (for a definition of this class, see [24] and references therein). However (2) does not hold even for the absolute value function, which is obviously the simplest example of a Lipschitz function (see e.g. [9], [10]). Moreover, there is an example of a continuously differentiable Lipschitz function  $f$  and (bounded)

self-adjoint operators  $A, B$  with  $B \in \mathcal{S}^1$  such that (2) does not hold. The first such example is due to Yu. B. Farforovskaya [12].

Assume now that  $B$  is a self-adjoint operator from the Hilbert-Schmidt class  $\mathcal{S}^2$ . In 1984, L. S. Koplienko, [15], considered the operator

$$(3) \quad f(A+B) - f(A) - \left. \frac{d}{dt} (f(A+tB)) \right|_{t=0},$$

where by  $\left. \frac{d}{dt} (f(A+tB)) \right|_{t=0}$  we denote the derivative of the map  $t \mapsto f(A+tB)$  in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. He proved that for every fixed self-adjoint operator  $A$  there exists a unique function  $\eta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$(4) \quad \text{Tr} \left( f(A+B) - f(A) - \left. \frac{d}{dt} (f(A+tB)) \right|_{t=0} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f''(t) \eta(t) dt,$$

if  $f$  is an arbitrary rational function with poles off  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The function  $\eta$  is called Koplienko's spectral shift function (for more information about Koplienko's spectral shift function we refer to [13] and references therein).

It is clear that the right-hand side of (4) makes sense when  $f$  is a twice differentiable function with a bounded second derivative. The natural question is then to describe the class of all these functions  $f$  such that the left-hand side of (4) is well-defined. Namely, for which function  $f$  does the operator (3) belong to  $\mathcal{S}^1$ ? The best result to date is again due to V. Peller [25], who established an affirmative answer under the assumption that  $f$  belongs to the Besov class  $B_{\infty 1}^2$ . In the same paper [25], V. Peller stated the following problem.

**Peller's problem.** [25, Problem 2] *Suppose that  $f$  is a twice continuously differentiable function with a bounded second derivative. Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint (possibly unbounded) operator and let  $B$  be a self-adjoint operator from  $\mathcal{S}^2$ . Is it true that*

$$(5) \quad f(A+B) - f(A) - \left. \frac{d}{dt} (f(A+tB)) \right|_{t=0} \in \mathcal{S}^1?$$

In [25, Theorem 4.6], the author defined the operator in (3) for all  $f \in B_{\infty 1}^2$  via an approximation process. The precise meaning of (3) in the case of an arbitrary self-adjoint operator  $A$  and an arbitrary twice continuously differentiable function  $f$  may be a subject of independent investigation, which is beyond the scope of the present paper. However when  $A$  is a bounded self-adjoint operator, then the meaning of the operator in (3) is firmly established (see e.g. [4, 5, 6, 20, 21]). From this it is immediate to define uniquely the operator in (3) in the case when  $A$  is given by a direct sum  $\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , where each  $A_n$  is a bounded self-adjoint operator, and  $B = \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  is a self-adjoint operator from  $\mathcal{S}^2$ .

In this paper we answer Peller's question in the negative (see Section 5). More precisely we present a class of twice continuously differentiable functions  $f$  with a bounded second derivative and self-adjoint operators  $A = \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  and  $B = \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  as above, with  $B \in \mathcal{S}^2$ , such that the operator (3) does not belong to  $\mathcal{S}^1$ . The operators  $A_n$  will be finite rank.

In essence, the construction leading to these counterexamples is finite-dimensional; this construction is presented in Section 4. A key component of our proof is Theorem 6, which provides a new general formula of independent interest for the norm of bilinear Schur multipliers (see Definition 2) from  $\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2$  into  $\mathcal{S}^1$ , in terms of a special sequence of Schur multipliers on  $\mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ . In Section 3 we establish preliminary results and connect Peller's problem to bilinear Schur multipliers.

2. BILINEAR SCHUR MULTIPLIERS ON  $\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2$ 

We regard elements of  $B(\ell^2)$  as infinite matrices in the usual way and we let  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  denote the uniform norm on this space. By  $\mathcal{S}^p$  we denote the Schatten von Neumann ideal in  $B(\ell^2)$  equipped with the Schatten  $p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

Likewise for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $M_n$  denote the space of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ , equipped with the uniform norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ , and we use the notation  $\mathcal{S}_n^p$  to denote that space equipped with the  $p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .

We let  $E_{ij}$  denote the standard matrix units either on  $B(\ell^2)$  or on  $M_n$ , for  $i, j \geq 1$  of for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . A matrix  $M = \{m_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 1}$  with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$  is said to be a (linear) Schur multiplier on  $\mathcal{S}^p$  if the following action

$$M(A) := \sum_{i,j \geq 1} m_{ij} a_{ij} E_{ij}, \quad A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 1} \in \mathcal{S}^p,$$

defines a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{S}^p$ .

Clearly, for the matrix  $M = \{m_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 1}$  to be a linear Schur multiplier on  $\mathcal{S}^p$  it is necessary that  $\sup_{i,j \geq 1} |m_{ij}| < \infty$ . When  $p = 2$ , this condition is sufficient, that is, a matrix  $M = \{m_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 1}$  is a linear Schur multiplier on  $\mathcal{S}^2$  if and only if  $\sup_{i,j \geq 1} |m_{ij}| < \infty$ . Moreover

$$\|M : \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2\| = \sup_{i,j \geq 1} |m_{ij}|$$

in this case (see e.g. [2, Proposition 2.1]).

A simple duality argument shows that if  $1 \leq p, p' \leq \infty$  are conjugate numbers, then a matrix  $M$  is a linear Schur multiplier on  $\mathcal{S}^p$  if and only if it is a linear Schur multiplier on  $\mathcal{S}^{p'}$ . Moreover the resulting operators have the same norm, that is,  $\|M : \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p\| = \|M : \mathcal{S}^{p'} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{p'}\|$ . Linear Schur multipliers on either  $\mathcal{S}^1$  or  $\mathcal{S}^\infty$  have the following description (see e.g. [27, Theorem 5.1] or [3, Theorem 6.4]).

**Theorem 1.** *A matrix  $M = \{m_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 1}$  is a linear Schur multiplier on  $\mathcal{S}^\infty$  (equivalently, on  $\mathcal{S}^1$ ) if and only if there exist a Hilbert space  $E$  and two bounded sequences  $(\xi_i)_{i \geq 1}$  and  $(\eta_j)_{j \geq 1}$  in  $E$  such that*

$$(6) \quad m_{ij} = \langle \xi_i, \eta_j \rangle, \quad i, j \geq 1.$$

Moreover

$$\|M : \mathcal{S}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\infty\| = \inf \left\{ \sup_i \|\xi_i\| \sup_j \|\eta_j\| \right\},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible factorizations (6).

Except for the cases  $p = 1, 2, \infty$  mentioned above, there is no known description of linear Schur multipliers on  $\mathcal{S}^p$ .

The terminology below is adopted from [11], where multilinear Schur products are defined and studied in the context of completely bounded maps.

**Definition 2.** *Let  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ . A three-dimensional matrix  $M = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,k,j \geq 1}$  with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$  is said to be a bilinear Schur multiplier into  $\mathcal{S}^r$  if the following action*

$$M(A, B) := \sum_{i,j,k \geq 1} m_{ikj} a_{ik} b_{kj} E_{ij}, \quad A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 1}, B = \{b_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 1} \in \mathcal{S}^2,$$

defines a bounded bilinear operator from  $\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2$  into  $\mathcal{S}^r$ .

Of course we can define as well a notion of bilinear Schur multiplier from  $\mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{S}^q$  into  $\mathcal{S}^r$ , whenever  $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ . The case when  $p = q = r = \infty$  is the object of [11]. The main aim of this section is to give a criteria when a matrix  $M$  is a bilinear Schur multiplier from  $\mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2$  into  $\mathcal{S}^1$  (see Theorems 6, 7, and Corollary 8

below). Before coming to this, we mention another (easier) case which will be used in Section 5.

**Lemma 3.** *A matrix  $M = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,k,j \geq 1}$  is a bilinear Schur multiplier into  $\mathcal{S}^2$  if and only if  $\sup_{i,j,k \geq 1} |m_{ikj}| < \infty$ . Moreover,*

$$\|M : \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2\| = \sup_{i,j,k \geq 1} |m_{ikj}|.$$

*Proof.* The inequality  $\|M : \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2\| \leq \sup_{i,j,k \geq 1} |m_{ikj}|$  is achieved by the following computation. Consider  $A = \{a_{ik}\}_{i,k \geq 1}$  and  $B = \{b_{kj}\}_{k,j \geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{S}^2$ . Then applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M(A, B)\|_2^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i,j,k \geq 1} m_{ikj} a_{ik} b_{kj} E_{ij} \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left| \sum_{k \geq 1} m_{ikj} a_{ik} b_{kj} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{i,j,k \geq 1} |m_{ikj}|^2 \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} |a_{ik} b_{kj}| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{i,j,k \geq 1} |m_{ikj}|^2 \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 1} |a_{ik}|^2 \sum_{k \geq 1} |b_{kj}|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{i,j,k \geq 1} |m_{ikj}|^2 \|A\|_2^2 \|B\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

The converse inequality is obtained from

$$\|M : \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2\| \geq \|M(E_{ik}, E_{kj})\|_2 = |m_{ikj}|,$$

taking the supremum over all  $i, j, k \geq 1$ .  $\square$

We now focus on bilinear Schur multipliers into  $\mathcal{S}^1$ . We start with some background on tensor products. Given any two Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we let  $X \otimes Y$  denote their algebraic tensor product. For every  $u \in X \otimes Y$ , the projective tensor norm of  $u$  is defined as

$$\pi(u) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : u = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \otimes y_i, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then the completion of  $X \otimes Y$  equipped with the norm  $\pi$  is called the projective tensor product of  $X$  and  $Y$  and is denoted by  $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$ .

Let  $Z$  be another Banach space and let  $B_2(X \times Y, Z)$  denote the space of all bounded bilinear operators from  $X \times Y$  into  $Z$ , equipped with the uniform norm. Next let  $B(X \widehat{\otimes} Y, Z)$  denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$  into  $Z$ , equipped with the uniform norm. Then we have an isometric isomorphism

$$(7) \quad B_2(X \times Y, Z) = B(X \widehat{\otimes} Y, Z),$$

which is given by  $T \mapsto \tilde{T}$ , where  $\tilde{T}(x \otimes y) = T(x, y)$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  (see e.g. [29, Theorem 2.9]).

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and let  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  denote its conjugate space. For any  $h_1, h_2$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we may identify  $\overline{h_1} \otimes h_2$  with the operator  $h \mapsto \langle h, h_1 \rangle h_2$  from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{H}$ . This yields an identification of  $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathcal{H}$  with the space of finite rank operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and this identification extends to an isometric isomorphism

$$(8) \quad \overline{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H}),$$

see e.g. [22, p. 837].

In the sequel, we regard  $M_{n^2}$  as the space of matrices with columns and rows indexed by  $\{1, \dots, n\}^2$ . Thus we write  $E_{(i,k),(j,l)}$  for its standard matrix units. Then we let  $M_n \otimes_{\min} M_n$  denote the minimal tensor product of two copies of

$M_n$ . According to the definition of  $\otimes_{\min}$  (see e.g. [31, IV.4.8]), the isomorphism  $J_0: M_n \otimes_{\min} M_n \rightarrow M_{n^2}$  given by

$$(9) \quad J_0(E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl}) = E_{(i,k),(j,l)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n,$$

is an isometry.

We now give some duality principles. First we recall that  $\mathcal{S}_n^{1*}$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $M_n$  through the duality pairing

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{S}_n^1 \times M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (A, B) \mapsto \text{Tr}({}^t AB).$$

With this convention (note the use of transposition), the dual basis of  $(E_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is  $(E_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  itself.

Next we let  $\gamma$  be the cross norm on  $\mathcal{S}_n^1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_n^1$  such that

$$(11) \quad (\mathcal{S}_n^1 \otimes_{\gamma} \mathcal{S}_n^1)^* = M_n \otimes_{\min} M_n,$$

through the duality pairing (10) applied twice. More explicitly, for any family  $(t_{ijkl})_{1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n}$  of complex numbers, we have

$$\gamma \left( \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^n t_{ijkl} E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \right) = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^n t_{ijkl} s_{ijkl} \right\| : \left\| \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^n s_{ijkl} E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \right\|_{M_n \otimes_{\min} M_n} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

**Lemma 4.** *The isomorphism  $J: \mathcal{S}_n^2 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1 \otimes_{\gamma} \mathcal{S}_n^1$  given by*

$$J(E_{ik} \otimes E_{jl}) = E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n,$$

*is an isometry.*

*Proof.* According to the equality

$$\left\| \sum_{i, k} c_{ik} E_{ik} \right\|_2 = \left( \sum_{i, k} |c_{ik}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad c_{ik} \in \mathbb{C},$$

we can naturally identify  $\mathcal{S}_n^2$  with either  $\ell_{n^2}^2$  or its conjugate space. Then applying the identity (8) with  $\mathcal{H} = \ell_{n^2}^2$ , we obtain that the mapping  $J_1: \mathcal{S}_n^2 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{n^2}^1$  given by

$$J_1(E_{ik} \otimes E_{jl}) = E_{(i,k),(j,l)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n,$$

is an isometry.

Now let  $J_2: \mathcal{S}_n^1 \otimes_{\gamma} \mathcal{S}_n^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{n^2}^1$  be the isomorphism given by

$$J_2(E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl}) = E_{(i,k),(j,l)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n.$$

Taking into account the identity (11), we see that  $J_2^{-1}$  is the adjoint of  $J_0$ . Consequently,  $J_2^{-1}$  is an isometry. Since  $J = J_2^{-1} J_1$ , we deduce that  $J$  is an isometry as well.  $\square$

We will work with the subspace of  $M_n \otimes_{\min} M_n$  spanned by the  $E_{rk} \otimes E_{ks}$ , for  $1 \leq r, k, s \leq n$ . The next lemma provides a description of this subspace. We let  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  denote the standard basis of  $\ell_n^{\infty}$ .

**Lemma 5.** *The linear mapping  $\theta: \ell_n^{\infty}(M_n) \rightarrow M_n \otimes_{\min} M_n$  such that*

$$\theta(e_k \otimes E_{rs}) = E_{rk} \otimes E_{ks}, \quad 1 \leq k, r, s \leq n,$$

*is an isometry.*

*Proof.* Take  $y = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k \otimes y_k \in \ell_n^\infty(M_n)$ , where  $y_k = \sum_{r,s=1}^n y_k(r,s)E_{rs}$ . From the definition of  $\theta$  we have

$$\theta(y) = \sum_{r,s,k=1}^n y_k(r,s)E_{rk} \otimes E_{ks}.$$

Recall the isometric isomorphism  $J_0$  given by (9). Then

$$J_0\theta(y) = \sum_{r,s,k=1}^n y_k(r,s)E_{(r,k),(k,s)}.$$

Let  $a = \{a_{rk}\}_{r,k=1}^n, b = \{b_{ls}\}_{l,s=1}^n \in \ell_n^2$ . Then we have

$$\langle J_0\theta(y)b, a \rangle = \sum_{r,s,k=1}^n y_k(r,s)\langle E_{(r,k),(k,s)}(b), a \rangle = \sum_{r,s,k=1}^n y_k(r,s)a_{rk}b_{ks}.$$

Therefore, using Cauchy-Schwarz, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle J_0\theta(y)b, a \rangle| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \sum_{r,s=1}^n y_k(r,s)a_{rk}b_{ks} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|y_k\| \left( \sum_{r=1}^n |a_{rk}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{s=1}^n |b_{ks}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|y_k\| \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^n |a_{rk}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{s=1}^n |b_{ks}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|y_k\| \left( \sum_{k,r=1}^n |a_{rk}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{k,s=1}^n |b_{ks}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|y_k\| \|a\|_2 \|b\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\|\theta(y)\| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|y_k\|$ .

Now fix  $1 \leq k_0 \leq n$ . Take arbitrary  $\alpha = \{\alpha_r\}_{r=1}^n$  and  $\beta = \{\beta_s\}_{s=1}^n$  in  $\ell_n^2$ . Then define

$$a_{rk} := \begin{cases} \alpha_r, & \text{if } k = k_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad b_{ls} := \begin{cases} \beta_s, & \text{if } l = k_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$\langle J_0\theta(y)b, a \rangle = \langle y_{k_0}(\beta), \alpha \rangle$$

and moreover,  $\|a\|_2 = \|\alpha\|_2, \|b\|_2 = \|\beta\|_2$ . Therefore, we have  $\|y_{k_0}\| \leq \|\theta(y)\|$ . Hence,  $\|\theta(y)\| \geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|y_k\|$ .  $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $M = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,k,j=1}^n$  be a three-dimensional matrix. For any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let  $M(k)$  be the (classical) matrix given by  $M(k) = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ . Then*

$$\|M : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1\| = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|M(k) : M_n \rightarrow M_n\|.$$

*Proof.* According to the isometric identity (7), the bilinear map  $M : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1$  induces a linear map  $\widetilde{M} : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1$  with  $\|M\| = \|\widetilde{M}\|$ . Consider

$$T_M = (\widetilde{M}J^{-1})^* : M_n \rightarrow M_n \otimes_{\min} M_n,$$

where  $J$  is given by Lemma 4. The latter implies that

$$(12) \quad \|T_M\| = \|M : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1\|.$$

For any  $1 \leq r, s \leq n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_M(E_{rs}), E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \rangle &= \langle E_{rs}, \widetilde{M}J^{-1}(E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl}) \rangle \\ &= \langle E_{rs}, \widetilde{M}(E_{ik} \otimes E_{jl}) \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} m_{ikl} \langle E_{rs}, E_{il} \rangle, & \text{if } k = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} m_{ikl}, & \text{if } k = j, r = i, s = l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$ . Hence

$$T_M(E_{rs}) = \sum_{k=1}^n m_{rks} E_{rk} \otimes E_{ks}.$$

This shows that  $T_M$  maps into the range of the operator  $\theta$  introduced in Lemma 5 and that

$$T_M(E_{rs}) = \sum_{k=1}^n m_{rks} \theta(e_k \otimes E_{rs}).$$

By linearity this implies that for any  $C \in M_n$ ,

$$T_M(C) = \theta \left( \sum_{k=1}^n e_k \otimes [M(k)](C) \right).$$

Applying Lemma 5, we deduce that

$$\|T_M(C)\| = \max_k \| [M(k)](C) \|, \quad C \in M_n.$$

From this identity we obtain that  $\|T_M\| = \max_k \|M(k)\|$ . Combining with (12) we obtain the desired identity  $\|M\| = \max_k \|M(k)\|$ .  $\square$

For the sake of completeness we give an infinite dimensional version of the previous theorem.

**Theorem 7.** *A three-dimensional matrix  $M = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,k,j \geq 1}$  is a bilinear Schur multiplier into  $\mathcal{S}^1$  if and only if the matrix  $M(k) = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,j \geq 1}$  is a linear Schur multiplier on  $\mathcal{S}^\infty$  for every  $k \geq 1$  and  $\sup_{k \geq 1} \|M(k) : \mathcal{S}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\infty\| < \infty$ . Moreover,*

$$\|M : \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1\| = \sup_{k \geq 1} \|M(k) : \mathcal{S}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\infty\|$$

in this case.

*Proof.* Consider a three-dimensional matrix  $M = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,k,j \geq 1}$  and set  $M(k) = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,j \geq 1}$ . For any  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$M_{(n)} = \{m_{ikj}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{(n)}(k) = \{m_{ikj}\}_{1 \leq i,k,j \leq n}$$

be the standard truncations of these matrices.

We may identify  $\mathcal{S}_n^2$  (respectively  $\mathcal{S}_n^\infty$ ) with the subspace of  $\mathcal{S}^2$  (respectively  $\mathcal{S}^\infty$ ) spanned by  $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ . Then the union  $\cup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{S}_n^2$  is dense in  $\mathcal{S}^2$ . Hence by a standard density argument,  $M$  is a bilinear Schur multiplier into  $\mathcal{S}^1$  if and only if  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|M_{(n)} : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1\| < \infty$ , and in this case

$$\|M : \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1\| = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|M_{(n)} : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1\|.$$

Likewise  $\cup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{S}_n^\infty$  is dense in the space  $\mathcal{S}^\infty$  of all compact operators, for any  $k \geq 1$   $M(k)$  is a linear Schur multiplier on  $\mathcal{S}^\infty$  if and only if  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|M_{(n)}(k) : \mathcal{S}_n^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^\infty\| < \infty$ , and

$$\|M(k) : \mathcal{S}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\infty\| = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|M_{(n)}(k) : \mathcal{S}_n^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^\infty\|.$$

in this case.

Combining the above two approximation results with Theorem 6, we obtain the result.  $\square$

Theorem 7 together with Theorem 1 yield the following result.

**Corollary 8.** *A three-dimensional matrix  $M = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,k,j \geq 1}$  is a bilinear Schur multiplier into  $\mathcal{S}^1$  if and only if there exist a Hilbert space  $E$  and two bounded families  $(\xi_{ik})_{i,k \geq 1}$  and  $(\eta_{jk})_{j,k \geq 1}$  in  $E$  such that*

$$m_{ikj} = \langle \xi_{ik}, \eta_{jk} \rangle, \quad i, k, j \geq 1.$$

Moreover

$$\|M : \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1\| = \inf \left\{ \sup_{i,k} \|\xi_{ik}\| \sup_{j,k} \|\eta_{jk}\| \right\},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible such factorizations.

### 3. SCHUR MULTIPLIERS ASSOCIATED WITH A FUNCTION AND SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

Throughout this section we work with finite-dimensional operators. We fix an integer  $n \geq 1$  and regard  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as equipped with its standard Hermitian structure.

Consider two orthonormal bases  $e = \{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$  and  $e' = \{e'_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then every linear operator  $A \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is associated with a matrix  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ , where  $a_{ij} = \langle A(e_j), e'_i \rangle$ . Sometimes we use the notation  $a_{ij}^{e',e}$  instead of  $a_{ij}$  to emphasize corresponding bases.

For any unit vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  we let  $P_x$  denote the projection on the linear span of  $x$ , that is,  $P_x(y) = \langle y, x \rangle x$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

**3.1. Linear Schur multipliers.** Let  $A_0, A_1 \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be diagonalizable self-adjoint operators. For  $j = 0, 1$ , let  $\xi_j = \{\xi_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for  $A_j$ , and let  $\{\lambda_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^n$  be the associated  $n$ -tuple of eigenvalues, that is,  $A_j(\xi_i^{(j)}) = \lambda_i^{(j)} \xi_i^{(j)}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\{\lambda_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^{n_j}$  is the set of pairwise distinct eigenvalues of the operator  $A_j$ , where  $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_j \leq n$ . Denote

$$(13) \quad E_i^{(j)} = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \lambda_k^{(j)} = \lambda_i^{(j)}}}^n P_{\xi_k^{(j)}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n_j,$$

that is,  $E_i^{(j)}$  is a spectral projection of the operator  $A_j$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i^{(j)}$ .

Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded Borel function. Define a linear operator  $T_\phi^{A_0, A_1} : B(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  given by

$$(14) \quad T_\phi^{A_0, A_1}(X) = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \phi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}) P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} X P_{\xi_k^{(1)}}, \quad X \in B(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Alternatively, when it is more convenient, we will use the representation of  $T_\phi^{A_0, A_1}(X)$  in the form

$$(15) \quad T_\phi^{A_0, A_1}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \phi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}) E_i^{(0)} X E_k^{(1)}, \quad X \in B(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

It is not difficult to see that if we identify  $B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with  $M_n$  by associating  $X$  with the matrix  $\{x_{ik}^{\xi_0, \xi_1}\}_{i,k=1}^n$ , then the operator  $T_\phi^{A_0, A_1}$  acts as a linear Schur multiplier

$\{\phi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)})\}_{i,k=1}^n$ . Indeed,

$$\langle (P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} X P_{\xi_k^{(1)}})(\xi_s^{(1)}, \xi_r^{(0)}) \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle X(\xi_s^{(1)}, \xi_r^{(0)}) \rangle = x_{rs}^{\xi_0, \xi_1}, & \text{if } s = k, r = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle T_\phi^{A_0, A_1}(X)(\xi_k^{(1)}, \xi_i^{(0)}) \rangle = \phi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}) x_{ik}^{\xi_0, \xi_1},$$

which implies that  $T_\phi^{A_0, A_1} \sim \{\phi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)})\}_{i,k=1}^n : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ . Since these identifications are isometric ones, we deduce that

$$(16) \quad \|T_\phi^{A_0, A_1} : \mathcal{S}_n^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^\infty\| = \|\{\phi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)})\}_{i,k=1}^n : \mathcal{S}_n^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^\infty\|.$$

The operator  $T_\phi^{A_0, A_1}$  is called a linear Schur multiplier associated with  $\phi$  and  $A_0, A_1$ .

**3.2. Bilinear Schur multipliers.** Similarly, we introduce bilinear Schur multipliers associated to a triple of self-adjoint operators.

Let  $A_0, A_1, A_2 \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be diagonalizable self-adjoint operators and for any  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , let  $\xi_j = \{\xi_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of  $A_j$  and let  $\{\lambda_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^n$  be the corresponding  $n$ -tuple of eigenvalues.

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded Borel function. Define a bilinear operator  $T_\psi^{A_0, A_1, A_2} : B(\mathbb{C}^n) \times B(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  by setting

$$(17) \quad T_\psi^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(X, Y) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \psi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}, \lambda_j^{(2)}) P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} X P_{\xi_k^{(1)}} Y P_{\xi_j^{(2)}}$$

for any  $X, Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Assume that  $\{\lambda_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^{n_j}$  is the set of pairwise distinct eigenvalues of the operator  $A_j$ . Then alternatively, using the spectral projections (13), we can write

$$(18) \quad T_\psi^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \psi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}, \lambda_j^{(2)}) E_i^{(0)} X E_k^{(1)} Y E_j^{(2)}$$

for any  $X, Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .

Let us consider two different identifications of  $B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  with  $M_n$ . On the one hand, we identify  $X$  with the matrix  $\{x_{ik}^{\xi_0, \xi_1}\}_{i,k=1}^n$ , where  $x_{ik}^{\xi_0, \xi_1} = \langle X(\xi_k^{(1)}, \xi_i^{(0)}) \rangle$ . On the other hand we identify  $Y$  with  $\{y_{kj}^{\xi_1, \xi_2}\}_{k,j=1}^n$ , where  $y_{kj}^{\xi_1, \xi_2} = \langle Y(\xi_j^{(2)}, \xi_k^{(1)}) \rangle$ . Under these identifications, the operator  $T_\psi^{A_0, A_1, A_2}$  acts as a bilinear Schur multiplier associated with the matrix  $M = \{\psi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}, \lambda_j^{(2)})\}_{i,j,k=1}^n$ . Indeed,

$$\langle (P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} X P_{\xi_k^{(1)}} Y P_{\xi_j^{(2)}})(\xi_s^{(2)}, \xi_r^{(0)}) \rangle = \langle Y(\xi_s^{(2)}, \xi_k^{(1)}) \rangle \langle X(\xi_k^{(1)}, \xi_r^{(0)}) \rangle = y_{ks}^{\xi_1, \xi_2} x_{rk}^{\xi_0, \xi_1}$$

if  $s = j, r = i$ , and

$$\langle (P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} X P_{\xi_k^{(1)}} Y P_{\xi_j^{(2)}})(\xi_s^{(2)}, \xi_r^{(0)}) \rangle = 0$$

otherwise.

Therefore,

$$\langle T_\psi^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(X, Y)(\xi_s^{(2)}, \xi_r^{(0)}) \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(\lambda_r^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}, \lambda_s^{(2)}) y_{ks}^{\xi_1, \xi_2} x_{rk}^{\xi_0, \xi_1},$$

which implies

$$T_\psi^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(X, Y) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \psi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}, \lambda_j^{(2)}) x_{ik}^{\xi_0, \xi_1} y_{kj}^{\xi_1, \xi_2} E_{ij}^{\xi_0, \xi_2}.$$

Since these identifications are isometric ones with respect to all Schatten norms, we deduce the formula

$$(19) \quad \|T_{\psi}^{A_0, A_1, A_2} : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1\| = \|\{\psi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}, \lambda_j^{(2)})\}_{i,j,k=1}^n : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1\|.$$

The operator  $T_{\psi}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}$  is called a bilinear Schur multiplier associated with  $\psi$  and the operators  $A_0, A_1, A_2$ .

Operators  $T_{\psi}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}$  present a special case of what is known in the literature as ‘‘multiple operator integrals’’. We refer to [23, 30, 26, 1, 28] for additional information on this notion.

**3.3. A few properties of Schur multipliers.** In this subsection,  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denote arbitrary bounded Borel functions, and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a fixed integer. The following lemma gives some nice properties of bilinear Schur multipliers.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $A_0, A_1, A_2 \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be self-adjoint operators. Let  $I_n$  be the identity operator in  $B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Then for  $j = 0, 1$  we have*

(i)

$$T_{\psi}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(A_j, X) = T_{\psi_j}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(I_n, X), \quad X \in B(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

where

$$\psi_j(x_0, x_1, x_2) = x_j \psi(x_0, x_1, x_2), \quad x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(ii)

$$T_{\phi}^{A_j, A_2}(X) = T_{\tilde{\psi}_j}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(I_n, X), \quad X \in B(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

where

$$\tilde{\psi}_j(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \phi(x_j, x_2), \quad x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* Let us prove the assertion for  $j = 0$  only. The proof for  $j = 1$  is similar.

(i). For  $X \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\psi}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(A_0, X) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \psi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}, \lambda_j^{(2)}) P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} A_0 P_{\xi_k^{(1)}} X P_{\xi_j^{(2)}} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \psi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}, \lambda_j^{(2)}) P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} \left( \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r^{(0)} P_{\xi_r^{(0)}} \right) P_{\xi_k^{(1)}} X P_{\xi_j^{(2)}} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \lambda_i^{(0)} \psi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}, \lambda_j^{(2)}) P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} I_n P_{\xi_k^{(1)}} X P_{\xi_j^{(2)}} \\ &= T_{\psi_0}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(I_n, X). \end{aligned}$$

(ii). For  $X \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\tilde{\psi}_0}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(I_n, X) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \tilde{\psi}_0(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}, \lambda_j^{(2)}) P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} I_n P_{\xi_k^{(1)}} X P_{\xi_j^{(2)}} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \phi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_j^{(2)}) P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n P_{\xi_k^{(1)}} \right) X P_{\xi_j^{(2)}} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \phi(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_j^{(2)}) P_{\xi_i^{(0)}} X P_{\xi_j^{(2)}} \\ &= T_{\phi}^{A_0, A_2}(X). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 10.** Let  $A \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be a self-adjoint operator and  $X, Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Let

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$T_{\psi}^{\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{A}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} T_{\psi}^{A, A, A}(X, Y) & 0 \\ 0 & T_{\psi}^{A, A, A}(Y, X) \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$  be the set of distinct eigenvalues of the operator  $A$ ,  $m \leq n$ , and let  $E_i^A$  be the spectral projection of  $A$  associated with  $\lambda_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Clearly, the operator  $\tilde{A}$  has the same set  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$  of distinct eigenvalues and the spectral projection of the operator  $\tilde{A}$  associated with  $\lambda_i$  is given by

$$E_i^{\tilde{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} E_i^A & 0 \\ 0 & E_i^A \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\psi}^{\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{A}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) &= \sum_{i, k, j=1}^m \psi(\lambda_i, \lambda_k, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} E_i^A & 0 \\ 0 & E_i^A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \\ &\quad \begin{pmatrix} E_k^A & 0 \\ 0 & E_k^A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_j^A & 0 \\ 0 & E_j^A \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i, k, j=1}^m \psi(\lambda_i, \lambda_k, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} E_i^A X E_k^A Y E_j^A & 0 \\ 0 & E_i^A Y E_k^A X E_j^A \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T_{\psi}^{A, A, A}(X, Y) & 0 \\ 0 & T_{\psi}^{A, A, A}(Y, X) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 11.** Let  $A, B \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be self-adjoint operators with the same set of eigenvalues and  $X, Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Let

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$T_{\psi}^{\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{A}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{\psi}^{A, B, B}(X, Y) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$  be the set of distinct eigenvalues of the operator  $A$ ,  $m \leq n$ , and let  $E_i^A$  (resp.  $E_i^B$ ) be the spectral projection of  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) associated with  $\lambda_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  have the same set of eigenvalues, the operator  $\tilde{A}$  has the same set  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$  of distinct eigenvalues and the spectral projection of the operator  $\tilde{A}$  associated with  $\lambda_i$  is given by

$$E_i^{\tilde{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} E_i^A & 0 \\ 0 & E_i^B \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\psi}^{\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}, \tilde{A}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) &= \sum_{i,k,j=1}^m \psi(\lambda_i, \lambda_k, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} E_i^A & 0 \\ 0 & E_i^B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \\
&\quad \begin{pmatrix} E_k^A & 0 \\ 0 & E_k^B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_j^A & 0 \\ 0 & E_j^B \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{i,k,j=1}^m \psi(\lambda_i, \lambda_k, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_i^A X E_k^B Y E_j^B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{\psi}^{A,B,B}(X, Y) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $A_0, A_1, A_2 \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be self-adjoint operators. For any  $a \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$  we have that*

$$T_{\psi}^{aA_0, aA_1, aA_2} = T_{\psi_a}^{A_0, A_1, A_2},$$

where

$$\psi_a(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \psi(ax_0, ax_1, ax_2), \quad x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{\lambda_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^{n_j}$  be the set of distinct eigenvalues of  $A_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ . Fix  $a \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is clear that for any  $j$ ,  $\{a\lambda_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^{n_j}$  is the set of distinct eigenvalues of  $aA_j$ , and that the corresponding spectral projections coincide, that is,  $E_i^{aA_j} = E_i^{A_j}$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n_j$ . Therefore, for  $X, Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\psi}^{aA_0, aA_1, aA_2}(X, Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \psi(a\lambda_i^{(0)}, a\lambda_k^{(1)}, a\lambda_j^{(2)}) E_i^{A_0} X E_k^{A_1} Y E_j^{A_2} \\
&= T_{\psi_a}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(X, Y).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $A, B \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be self-adjoint operators and let  $\{U_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  be a sequence of unitary operators from  $B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  such that  $U_m \rightarrow I_n$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Let also  $X, Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and sequences  $\{X_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  and  $\{Y_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  in  $B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  such that  $X_m \rightarrow X$  and  $Y_m \rightarrow Y$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\psi, \psi_m : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be bounded Borel functions such that  $\psi_m \rightarrow \psi$  pointwise as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then*

$$(20) \quad T_{\psi_m}^{U_m A U_m^*, B, B}(X_m, Y_m) \longrightarrow T_{\psi}^{A, B, B}(X, Y), \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{m_0}$  and  $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^{m_1}$  be the set of distinct eigenvalues of the operators  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively,  $m_0, m_1 \leq n$ , and let  $E_i^A$  (resp.  $E_k^B$ ) be the spectral projection of  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) associated with  $\lambda_i$  (resp.  $\mu_k$ ),  $1 \leq i \leq m_0$  (resp.  $1 \leq k \leq m_1$ ). It is clear that the sequence  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{m_0}$  is the sequence of eigenvalues of  $U_m A U_m^*$  and that the spectral projection of  $U_m A U_m^*$  associated with  $\lambda_i$  is given by

$$E_i^{U_m A U_m^*} = U_m E_i^A U_m^*, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m_0.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\psi_m}^{U_m A U_m^*, B, B}(X_m, Y_m) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \sum_{j,k=1}^{m_1} \psi_m(\lambda_i, \mu_k, \mu_j) E_i^{U_m A U_m^*} X E_k^B Y E_j^B \\
&= U_m \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \sum_{j,k=1}^{m_1} \psi_m(\lambda_i, \mu_k, \mu_j) E_i^A (U_m^* X) E_k^B Y E_j^B \right) \\
&= U_m T_{\psi_m}^{A, B, B}(U_m^* X, Y).
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that  $T_{\psi_m}^{A,B,B}(U_m^*X, Y) \rightarrow T_{\psi}^{A,B,B}(X, Y)$ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{\psi_m}^{A,B,B}(U_m^*X, Y) - T_{\psi}^{A,B,B}(X, Y)\|_{\infty} \\ & \leq \|T_{\psi_m}^{A,B,B}(U_m^*X, Y) - T_{\psi_m}^{A,B,B}(X, Y)\|_{\infty} + \|T_{\psi_m}^{A,B,B}(X, Y) - T_{\psi}^{A,B,B}(X, Y)\|_{\infty} \\ & \leq \|T_{\psi_m}^{A,B,B}(U_m^*X - X, Y)\|_{\infty} + \|T_{\psi_m}^{A,B,B}(X, Y)\|_{\infty} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \sum_{j,k=1}^{m_1} |\psi_m(\lambda_i, \mu_k, \mu_j)| \|U_m X - X\|_{\infty} \|Y\|_{\infty} + \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \sum_{j,k=1}^{m_1} |\psi_m - \psi|(\lambda_i, \mu_k, \mu_j) \|X\|_{\infty} \|Y\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

This upper bound tends to 0 as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , which proves the claim.

Now since  $U_m \rightarrow I_n$ , we have

$$U_m T_{\psi_m}^{A,B,B}(U_m^*X, Y) - T_{\psi_m}^{A,B,B}(U_m^*X, Y) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . The result follows at once.  $\square$

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $A \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be a self-adjoint operator and let  $X \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  commute with  $A$ . Let  $\widehat{\psi}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $\widehat{\psi}(x) = \psi(x, x, x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

(i) *We have*

$$T_{\psi}^{A,A,A}(X, X) = \widehat{\psi}(A) \times X^2.$$

(ii) *We have*

$$T_{\psi}^{A,A,A}(Y, X) = T_{\phi_1}^{A,A}(Y) \times X, \quad Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

where

$$\phi_1(x_0, x_1) = \psi(x_0, x_1, x_1), \quad x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(iii) *We have*

$$T_{\psi}^{A,A,A}(X, Y) = X \times T_{\phi_2}^{A,A}(Y), \quad Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

where

$$\phi_2(x_0, x_1) = \psi(x_0, x_0, x_1), \quad x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of  $A$  and let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be the associated  $n$ -tuple of eigenvalues. Since  $A$  commutes with  $X$ , it follows that the projection  $P_{\xi_i}$  commutes with  $X$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\psi}^{A,A,A}(X, X) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \psi(\lambda_i, \lambda_k, \lambda_j) P_{\xi_i} X P_{\xi_k} X P_{\xi_j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(\lambda_i, \lambda_i, \lambda_i) P_{\xi_i} \times X^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{\psi}(\lambda_i) P_{\xi_i} \times X^2 = \widehat{\psi}(A) \times X^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (i).

Similarly, for (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\psi}^{A,A,A}(Y, X) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \psi(\lambda_i, \lambda_k, \lambda_j) P_{\xi_i} Y P_{\xi_k} X P_{\xi_j} \\ &= \sum_{i,k=1}^n \psi(\lambda_i, \lambda_k, \lambda_k) P_{\xi_i} Y P_{\xi_k} \times X \\ &= \sum_{i,k=1}^n \phi_1(\lambda_i, \lambda_k) P_{\xi_i} Y P_{\xi_k} \times X = T_{\phi_1}^{A,A}(Y) \times X. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (iii) repeats that of (ii).  $\square$

**3.4. Divided differences.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function and assume that  $f$  admits right and left derivatives  $f'_r(x)$  and  $f'_l(x)$  at each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume further that  $f'_r, f'_l$  are bounded. The divided difference of the first order is defined by

$$f^{[1]}(x_0, x_1) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(x_0) - f(x_1)}{x_0 - x_1}, & \text{if } x_0 \neq x_1, \\ \frac{f'_r(x_0) + f'_l(x_0)}{2}, & \text{if } x_0 = x_1, \end{cases} \quad x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $f^{[1]}$  is a bounded Borel function.

Let  $A_0, A_1$  as in Subsection 3.1. We study below the multiplier  $T_{f^{[1]}}^{A_0, A_1}$  and give the formula from [1, Theorem 5.3] in the setting of matrices (see (22) below). The symbol  $f^{[1]}$  and the corresponding Schur multiplier were first studied by Löwner in [18], where he noted that since

$$f(A_j) \xi_i^{(j)} = f(\lambda_i^{(j)}) \xi_i^{(j)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

we have

$$(21) \quad \langle (f(A_0) - f(A_1))(\xi_k^{(1)}), \xi_i^{(0)} \rangle = f^{[1]}(\lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_k^{(1)}) \langle (A_0 - A_1)(\xi_k^{(1)}), \xi_i^{(0)} \rangle.$$

Formula (21) implies that

$$(22) \quad f(A_0) - f(A_1) = T_{f^{[1]}}^{A_0, A_1}(A_0 - A_1).$$

Now assume that  $f$  is a  $C^2$ -function, with a bounded second derivative  $f''$ . The divided difference of the second order is defined by

$$(23) \quad f^{[2]}(x_0, x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{f^{[1]}(x_0, x_1) - f^{[1]}(x_1, x_2)}{x_0 - x_2}, & \text{if } x_0 \neq x_2, \\ \frac{d}{dx_0} f^{[1]}(x_0, x_1), & \text{if } x_0 = x_2, \end{cases} \quad x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $f^{[2]}$  is a bounded Borel function, and this function is symmetric in the three variables  $(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ .

The following result may be viewed as a higher dimensional version of (22).

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $A_0, A_1, A_2 \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be self-adjoint operators. Then for all  $X \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  we have*

$$T_{f^{[1]}}^{A_0, A_2}(X) - T_{f^{[1]}}^{A_1, A_2}(X) = T_{f^{[2]}}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(A_0 - A_1, X).$$

*Proof.* Let  $X \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and let  $\psi = f^{[2]}$  and  $\phi = f^{[1]}$ . Setting  $\psi_0, \psi_1, \tilde{\psi}_0, \tilde{\psi}_1$  as in Lemma 9 (i), (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_0 - \psi_1)(x_0, x_1, x_2) &= x_0 f^{[2]}(x_0, x_1, x_2) - x_1 f^{[2]}(x_0, x_1, x_2) \\ (24) \quad &= f^{[1]}(x_0, x_2) - f^{[1]}(x_1, x_2) \\ &= (\tilde{\psi}_0 - \tilde{\psi}_1)(x_0, x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{f^{[2]}}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(A_0 - A_1, X) &= T_{f^{[2]}}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(A_0, X) - T_{f^{[2]}}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(A_1, X) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lem 9}(i)}{=} T_{\tilde{\psi}_0}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(I_n, X) - T_{\tilde{\psi}_1}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(I_n, X) \\
&= T_{\tilde{\psi}_0 - \tilde{\psi}_1}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(I_n, X) \\
&\stackrel{(24)}{=} T_{\tilde{\psi}_0 - \tilde{\psi}_1}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(I_n, X) \\
&= T_{\tilde{\psi}_0}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(I_n, X) - T_{\tilde{\psi}_1}^{A_0, A_1, A_2}(I_n, X) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lem 9}(ii)}{=} T_{f^{[1]}}^{A_0, A_2}(X) - T_{f^{[1]}}^{A_1, A_2}(X).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $A, B \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be self-adjoint operators. Then the function  $t \mapsto f(A + tB)$  is differentiable and

$$(25) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt}(f(A + tB)) \right|_{t=0} = T_{f^{[1]}}^{A, A}(B).$$

Indeed this follows e.g. from [14, Theorem 3.25]. This leads to the following reformulation of (3) in terms of bilinear Schur multipliers.

**Theorem 16.** *For any self-adjoint operators  $A, B \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and any  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ , we have*

$$(26) \quad f(A + B) - f(A) - \left. \frac{d}{dt}(f(A + tB)) \right|_{t=0} = T_{f^{[2]}}^{A+B, A, A}(B, B).$$

*Proof.* By (22), we have that

$$f(A + B) - f(A) = T_{f^{[1]}}^{A+B, A}(B).$$

Combining with (25) and applying Theorem 15, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
f(A + B) - f(A) - \left. \frac{d}{dt}(f(A + tB)) \right|_{t=0} &= T_{f^{[1]}}^{A+B, A}(B) - T_{f^{[1]}}^{A, A}(B) \\
&= T_{f^{[2]}}^{A+B, A, A}(B, B).
\end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL CONSTRUCTION

In this section we establish various estimates concerning finite dimensional operators. The symbol  $\text{const}$  will stand for uniform positive constants, not depending on the dimension.

Consider the function  $f_0: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f_0(x) = |x|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The definition of  $f_0^{[1]}$  given in Subsection 3.4 applies to this function.

The following result is proved in [9, Theorem 13].

**Theorem 17.** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist self-adjoint operators  $A_n, B_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$  such that the spectra of  $A_n + B_n$  and  $A_n$  coincide, 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A_n$ , and*

$$(27) \quad \|f_0(A_n + B_n) - f_0(A_n)\|_1 \geq \text{const} \log n \|B_n\|_1.$$

*Remark 18.* The operator  $A_n$  constructed in [9] is a diagonal operator defined on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  and 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $A_n$ . By changing the dimension from  $2n$  to  $2n + 1$  and adding a zero on the diagonal, one obtains the operator  $A_n$  in Theorem 17, with 0 in the spectrum.

**Corollary 19.** *For all  $n \geq 1$ , there exist self-adjoint operators  $A_n, B_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$  such that the spectra of  $A_n + B_n$  and  $A_n$  coincide, and*

$$\|T_{f_0^{[1]}}^{A_n+B_n, A_n} : \mathcal{S}_{2n+1}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{2n+1}^\infty\| \geq \text{const } \log n.$$

*Proof.* Take  $A_n, B_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$  as in Theorem 17. By (22), we have that

$$T_{f_0^{[1]}}^{A_n+B_n, A_n}(B_n) = f_0(A_n + B_n) - f_0(A_n).$$

By Theorem 17, we have that

$$\|T_{f_0^{[1]}}^{A_n+B_n, A_n}(B_n)\|_1 = \|f_0(A_n + B_n) - f_0(A_n)\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log n \|B_n\|_1.$$

Therefore,

$$\|T_{f_0^{[1]}}^{A_n+B_n, A_n} : \mathcal{S}_{2n+1}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{2n+1}^1\| \geq \text{const } \log n.$$

Since the operator  $T_{f_0^{[1]}}^{A_n+B_n, A_n}$  is a Schur multiplier, we obtain that

$$\|T_{f_0^{[1]}}^{A_n+B_n, A_n} : \mathcal{S}_{2n+1}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{2n+1}^\infty\| \geq \text{const } \log n.$$

□

Consider the function  $g_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$g_0(x) = x|x| = xf_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Although  $g_0$  is not a  $C^2$ -function, one may define  $g_0^{[2]}(x_0, x_1, x_2)$  by (23) whenever  $x_0, x_1, x_2$  are not equal. Let us define

$$\psi_0(x_0, x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} g_0^{[2]}(x_0, x_1, x_2), & \text{if } x_0 \neq x_1 \text{ or } x_1 \neq x_2 \\ 2, & x_0 = x_1 = x_2 > 0 \\ -2, & x_0 = x_1 = x_2 < 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x_0 = x_1 = x_2 \end{cases}.$$

The function  $\psi_0 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a bounded Borel function.

The following lemma relates the linear Schur multiplier for  $f_0^{[1]}$  and the bilinear Schur multiplier for  $\psi_0$ .

**Lemma 20.** *For self-adjoint operators  $A_n, B_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  such that 0 belongs to the spectrum of  $A_n$ , the inequality*

$$(28) \quad \|T_{\psi_0}^{A_n+B_n, A_n, A_n} : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1\| \geq \|T_{f_0^{[1]}}^{A_n+B_n, A_n} : \mathcal{S}_n^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^\infty\|$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be the sequence of eigenvalues of the operator  $A_n$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $\mu_1 = 0$ .

By formulas (16) and (19) and by Theorem 6, we have that

$$\|T_{\psi_0}^{A_n+B_n, A_n, A_n} : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1\| = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|T_{\varphi_k}^{A_n+B_n, A_n} : \mathcal{S}_n^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^\infty\|,$$

where

$$\varphi_k(x_0, x_1) := \psi_0(x_0, \mu_k, x_1), \quad x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

In particular, we have

$$\|T_{\psi_0}^{A_n+B_n, A_n, A_n} : \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^1\| \geq \|T_{\varphi_1}^{A_n+B_n, A_n} : \mathcal{S}_n^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n^\infty\|.$$

It therefore suffices to check that

$$(29) \quad \varphi_1 = f_0^{[1]}.$$

It follows from the definitions that  $\varphi_1(0, 0) = \psi_0(0, 0, 0) = 0 = f_0^{[1]}(0, 0)$ .

Consider now  $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $x_0 \neq 0$  or  $x_1 \neq 0$ . In that case, we have

$$\varphi_1(x_0, x_1) = g_0^{[2]}(x_0, 0, x_1).$$

If  $x_0, x_1, 0$  are mutually distinct, then

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^{[2]}(x_0, 0, x_1) &= \frac{g_0^{[1]}(x_0, 0) - g_0^{[1]}(0, x_1)}{x_0 - x_1} = \frac{\frac{x_0 f_0(x_0) - 0}{x_0 - 0} - \frac{0 - x_1 f_0(x_1)}{0 - x_1}}{x_0 - x_1} \\ &= \frac{f_0(x_0) - f_0(x_1)}{x_0 - x_1} = f_0^{[1]}(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

If  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_1 \neq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^{[2]}(0, 0, x_1) &= \frac{g_0^{[1]}(0, 0) - g_0^{[1]}(0, x_1)}{0 - x_1} = \frac{g_0'(0) - \frac{0 - x_1 f_0(x_1)}{0 - x_1}}{0 - x_1} \\ &= \frac{f_0(x_1)}{x_1} = f_0^{[1]}(0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

The argument is similar, when  $x_0 \neq 0$  and  $x_1 = 0$ .

Assume now that  $x_0 = x_1 \neq 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^{[2]}(x_0, 0, x_0) &= \frac{d}{dx} g_0^{[1]}(x, 0) \Big|_{x=x_0} = \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{x f_0(x) - 0}{x - 0} \right) \Big|_{x=x_0} \\ &= f_0'(x_0) = f_0^{[1]}(x_0, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (29) and we obtain (28).  $\square$

The following is a straightforward consequence of Corollary 19 and Lemma 20.

**Corollary 21.** *For every  $n \geq 1$  there exist self-adjoint operators  $A_n, B_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$  such that the spectra of  $A_n + B_n$  and  $A_n$  coincide, and*

$$\|T_{\psi_0}^{A_n+B_n, A_n, A_n} : \mathcal{S}_{2n+1}^2 \times \mathcal{S}_{2n+1}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{2n+1}^1\| \geq \text{const } \log n.$$

We assume below that  $n \geq 1$  is fixed and that  $A_n, B_n$  are given by Corollary 21. The purpose of the series of lemmas 22-27 below is to prove Lemma 28, which is the final step in the finite-dimensional resolution of Peller's problem. The following result follows immediately from Corollary 21.

**Lemma 22.** *There are operators  $X_n, Y_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$  with  $\|X_n\|_2 = \|Y_n\|_2 = 1$ , such that*

$$\|T_{\psi_0}^{A_n+B_n, A_n, A_n}(X_n, Y_n)\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log n.$$

Let us denote

$$(30) \quad H_n := \begin{pmatrix} A_n + B_n & 0 \\ 0 & A_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and consider the operator

$$T_1 := T_{\psi_0}^{H_n, H_n, H_n} : \mathcal{S}_{4n+2}^2 \times \mathcal{S}_{4n+2}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{4n+2}^1.$$

**Lemma 23.** *There are operators  $\tilde{X}_n, \tilde{Y}_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{4n+2})$  with  $\|\tilde{X}_n\|_2 = \|\tilde{Y}_n\|_2 = 1$ , such that*

$$\|T_1(\tilde{X}_n, \tilde{Y}_n)\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log n.$$

*Proof.* Take

$$\tilde{X}_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_n \\ 0_{2n+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{Y}_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & Y_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $X_n, Y_n$  are operators from Lemma 22 and  $0_{2n+1}$  is the null element of  $B(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ . Clearly,  $\|\tilde{X}_n\|_2 = \|X_n\|_2 = 1$  and  $\|\tilde{Y}_n\|_2 = \|Y_n\|_2 = 1$ . It follows from Lemma 11 and the fact that  $A_n + B_n$  have the same spectra that

$$T_1(\tilde{X}_n, \tilde{Y}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_{\psi_0}^{A_n+B_n, A_n, A_n}(X_n, Y_n) \\ 0_{2n+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 22,

$$\|T_1(\tilde{X}_n, \tilde{Y}_n)\|_1 = \|T_{\psi_0}^{A_n+B_n, A_n, A_n}(X_n, Y_n)\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log n.$$

□

**Lemma 24.** *There is an operator  $S_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{4n+2})$  with  $\|S_n\|_2 \leq 1$  such that*

$$\|T_1(S_n, S_n^*)\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log n.$$

*Proof.* Take the operators  $\tilde{X}_n, \tilde{Y}_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{4n+2})$  as in Lemma 23. By the polarization identity

$$T_1(\tilde{X}_n, \tilde{Y}_n) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k T_1((\tilde{X}_n + i^k \tilde{Y}_n^*), (\tilde{X}_n + i^k \tilde{Y}_n^*)^*),$$

we have that

$$\|T_1(\tilde{X}_n, \tilde{Y}_n)\|_1 \leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \|T_1((\tilde{X}_n + i^k \tilde{Y}_n^*), (\tilde{X}_n + i^k \tilde{Y}_n^*)^*)\|_1.$$

Taking  $k_0$  such that

$$\|T_1((\tilde{X}_n + i^{k_0} \tilde{Y}_n^*), (\tilde{X}_n + i^{k_0} \tilde{Y}_n^*)^*)\|_1 = \max_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \|T_1((\tilde{X}_n + i^k \tilde{Y}_n^*), (\tilde{X}_n + i^k \tilde{Y}_n^*)^*)\|_1,$$

we set

$$S_n := \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{X}_n + i^{k_0} \tilde{Y}_n^*).$$

Thus, by Lemma 23, we have

$$\|T_1(S_n, S_n^*)\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{4} \|T_1(\tilde{X}_n, \tilde{Y}_n)\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log n$$

and

$$\|S_n\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|\tilde{X}_n\|_2 + \|\tilde{Y}_n\|_2) = 1.$$

□

Let us denote

$$(31) \quad \tilde{H}_n := \begin{pmatrix} H_n & 0 \\ 0 & H_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_n + B_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_n + B_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and consider the operator

$$T_2 := T_{\psi_0}^{\tilde{H}_n, \tilde{H}_n, \tilde{H}_n} : \mathcal{S}_{8n+4}^2 \times \mathcal{S}_{8n+4}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{8n+4}^1.$$

**Lemma 25.** *There is a self-adjoint operator  $Z_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{8n+4})$  with  $\|Z_n\|_2 \leq 1$  such that*

$$\|T_2(Z_n, Z_n)\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log n.$$

*Proof.* Consider the operator  $S_n$  from Lemma 24. Setting

$$Z_n := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_n \\ S_n^* & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have  $\|Z_n\|_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\|S_n\|_2 + \|S_n^*\|_2) \leq 1$  and by Lemma 10,

$$T_2(Z_n, Z_n) = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} T_1(S_n, S_n^*) & 0 \\ 0 & T_1(S_n^*, S_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 24, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2(Z_n, Z_n)\|_1 &= \frac{1}{4} (\|T_1(S_n, S_n^*)\|_1 + \|T_1(S_n^*, S_n)\|_1) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|T_1(S_n, S_n^*)\|_1 \geq \text{const} \log n. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following decomposition principle is of independent interest. In this statement we use the notation  $[H, F] = HF - FH$  for the commutator of  $H$  and  $F$ .

**Lemma 26.** *For any self-adjoint operators  $Z, H \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , there are self-adjoint operators  $F, G \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  such that*

$$Z = G + i[H, F],$$

the matrix  $G$  commutes with  $H$ , and

$$\|[H, F]\|_2 \leq 2 \|Z\|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|G\|_2 \leq \|Z\|_2.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m$$

be the pairwise distinct eigenvalues of the operator  $H$  and let

$$E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m$$

be the associated spectral projections, so that

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^m h_j E_j.$$

We set

$$G = \sum_{j=1}^m E_j Z E_j \quad \text{and} \quad F = i \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^m (h_k - h_j)^{-1} E_j Z E_k.$$

Since

$$H E_j = h_j E_j,$$

we have

$$[H, E_j Z E_k] = H \times E_j Z E_k - E_j Z E_k \times H = (h_j - h_k) \times E_j Z E_k.$$

Consequently,

$$i[H, F] = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^m E_j Z E_k$$

and hence

$$G + i[H, F] = Z.$$

Further  $F, G$  are self-adjoint and it is clear that  $[G, H] = 0$ . Hence the first two claims of the lemma are proved.

Now take

$$U_t = \sum_{j=1}^m e^{ijt} E_j, \quad t \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

Then

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} U_t Z U_t^* \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \sum_{j,k=1}^m E_j Z E_k \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(j-k)t} \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \sum_{j=1}^m E_j Z E_j = G.$$

Since  $U_t$  is unitary, we deduce that

$$\|G\|_2 \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|U_t Z U_t^*\|_2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq \|Z\|_2.$$

Moreover writing

$$i[H, F] = Z - G$$

we deduce that

$$\|[H, F]\|_2 \leq 2 \|Z\|_2.$$

□

**Lemma 27.** *There is a self-adjoint operator  $F_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{8n+4})$  such that  $\|[\tilde{H}_n, F_n]\|_2 \leq 2$  and*

$$\|T_2(i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n], i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n])\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log n - 10.$$

*Proof.* Take the operator  $Z_n$  in  $B(\mathbb{C}^{8n+4})$  given by Lemma 25. By Lemma 26, we may choose self-adjoint operators  $F_n$  and  $G_n$  from  $B(\mathbb{C}^{8n+4})$  such that

$$Z_n = G_n + i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n], \quad [G_n, \tilde{H}_n] = 0,$$

and

$$(32) \quad \|[\tilde{H}_n, F_n]\|_2 \leq 2 \|Z_n\|_2, \quad \|G_n\|_2 \leq \|Z_n\|_2.$$

We compute

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} T_2(Z_n, Z_n) &= T_2(G_n + i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n], G_n + i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n]) \\ &= T_2(G_n, G_n) \\ &\quad + T_2(G_n, i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n]) \\ &\quad + T_2(i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n], G_n) \\ &\quad + T_2(i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n], i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n]). \end{aligned}$$

We shall estimate the first three summands above. The operator  $G_n$  commutes with  $\tilde{H}_n$  hence by the first part of Lemma 14,

$$T_2(G_n, G_n) = \widehat{\psi}_0(\tilde{H}_n) \times G_n^2.$$

Furthermore  $\widehat{\psi}_0(x) = 2$  if  $x > 0$ ,  $\widehat{\psi}_0(x) = -2$  if  $x < 0$  and  $\widehat{\psi}_0(0) = 0$ . Hence

$$\|\widehat{\psi}_0(\tilde{H}_n)\|_{\infty} \leq 2.$$

This implies that

$$\|T_2(G_n, G_n)\|_1 \leq \|\widehat{\psi}_0(\tilde{H}_n)\|_{\infty} \|G_n\|_2^2 \leq 2 \|Z_n\|_2^2 \leq 2.$$

Next applying the second and third part of Lemma 14, we obtain

$$T_2(i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n], G_n) = iT_{\phi_1}^{\tilde{H}_n, \tilde{H}_n}([\tilde{H}_n, F_n]) \times G_n$$

and

$$T_2(G_n, i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n]) = i G_n \times T_{\phi_2}^{\tilde{H}_n, \tilde{H}_n}([\tilde{H}_n, F_n]),$$

where

$$\phi_1(x_0, x_1) = \psi_0(x_0, x_1, x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2(x_0, x_1) = \psi_0(x_0, x_0, x_1), \quad x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Observe that by the Mean Value Theorem for divided differences (see e.g. [8]), we have  $\|\psi_0\|_\infty \leq 2$ . Hence  $\|\phi_1\|_\infty \leq 2$  and  $\|\phi_2\|_\infty \leq 2$ , which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T_{\phi_1}^{\tilde{H}_n, \tilde{H}_n}([\tilde{H}_n, F_n]) \times G_n \right\|_1 &\leq \left\| T_{\phi_1}^{\tilde{H}_n, \tilde{H}_n}([\tilde{H}_n, F_n]) \right\|_2 \|G_n\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\phi_1\|_\infty \|\tilde{H}_n, F_n\|_2 \|G_n\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|\phi_1\|_\infty \|Z_n\|_2^2 \leq 4 \end{aligned}$$

by (32) and Lemma 25. Similarly,

$$\left\| G_n \times T_{\phi_2}^{\tilde{H}_n, \tilde{H}_n}([\tilde{H}_n, F_n]) \right\|_1 \leq 4.$$

Combining the preceding estimates with (33), we arrive at

$$\|T_2(Z_n, Z_n)\|_1 \leq 10 + \left\| T_2(i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n], i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n]) \right\|_1.$$

Applying Lemma 25, we deduce the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 28.** *There exists a  $C^2$ -function  $g$  with a bounded second derivative and there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq N}$  of positive real numbers there is a sequence of operators  $\tilde{B}_n \in B(\mathbb{C}^{8n+4})$  such that  $\|\tilde{B}_n\|_2 \leq 4\alpha_n$ , for all  $n \geq N$ , and*

$$\|T_{g^{[2]}}^{\tilde{A}_n + \tilde{B}_n, \tilde{A}_n, \tilde{A}_n}(\tilde{B}_n, \tilde{B}_n)\|_1 \geq \text{const } \alpha_n^2 \log n, \quad n \geq N.$$

*Proof.* Changing the constant ‘const’ in Lemma 27 by half of its value, we can change the estimate from that statement into

$$(34) \quad \left\| T_2(i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n], i[\tilde{H}_n, F_n]) \right\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log n, \quad n \geq N,$$

for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Take an arbitrary sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq N}$  of positive real numbers, take the operator  $F_n$  from Lemma 27 and denote

$$\tilde{F}_n := \alpha_n F_n.$$

For any  $t > 0$ , consider

$$\gamma_t(\tilde{H}_n) = e^{it\tilde{F}_n} \tilde{H}_n e^{-it\tilde{F}_n}, \quad \text{and} \quad V_{n,t} := \frac{\gamma_t(\tilde{H}_n) - \tilde{H}_n}{t}.$$

On the one hand, it follows from the identity  $\frac{d}{dt}(e^{it\tilde{F}_n})|_{t=0} = i\tilde{F}_n$  that

$$V_{n,t} \longrightarrow i[\tilde{F}_n, \tilde{H}_n], \quad t \rightarrow +0.$$

It therefore follows from Lemma 27 that there is  $t_1 > 0$  such that

$$(35) \quad \|V_{n,t}\|_2 \leq 2\|[\tilde{F}_n, \tilde{H}_n]\|_2 = 2\alpha_n \| [F_n, \tilde{H}_n] \|_2 \leq 4\alpha_n$$

for all  $t \leq t_1$ . On the other hand,

$$(36) \quad \tilde{H}_n + tV_{n,t} = \gamma_t(\tilde{H}_n) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_n, \quad t \rightarrow +0.$$

Take a  $C^2$ -function  $g$  such that  $g(x) = g_0(x) = x|x|$  for  $|x| > 1$  and  $g^{(j)}(0) = 0$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ . Denote

$$g_t(x_0, x_1, x_2) := g^{[2]}\left(\frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_1}{t}, \frac{x_2}{t}\right), \quad t > 0, \quad x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We claim that

$$(37) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} g_t(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \psi_0(x_0, x_1, x_2), \quad x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To prove this claim, we first observe, using the definition of  $g_0$ , that

$$(38) \quad \psi_0\left(\frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_1}{t}, \frac{x_2}{t}\right) = \psi_0(x_0, x_1, x_2), \quad x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0.$$

Next we note that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$g\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) = g_0\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad g'\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) = g'_0\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$$

for  $t > 0$  small enough. For  $x = 0$ , this follows from the fact that by assumption,  $g(0) = g'(0) = 0$ . From these properties, we deduce that for any  $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$g^{[1]}\left(\frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_1}{t}\right) = g_0^{[1]}\left(\frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_1}{t}\right)$$

for  $t > 0$  small enough.

In turn, this implies that if  $x_0 \neq x_1$  or  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then

$$g^{[2]}\left(\frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_1}{t}, \frac{x_2}{t}\right) = g_0^{[2]}\left(\frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_1}{t}, \frac{x_2}{t}\right)$$

for  $t > 0$  small enough. According to (38), this implies that

$$g^{[2]}\left(\frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_1}{t}, \frac{x_2}{t}\right) = \psi_0(x_0, x_1, x_2)$$

for  $t > 0$  small enough.

Consider now the case when  $x_0 = x_1 = x_2$ . For any  $t > 0$ , we have

$$g^{[2]}\left(\frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_0}{t}\right) = g_0''\left(\frac{x_0}{t}\right).$$

If  $x_0 > 0$ , then  $g_0''\left(\frac{x_0}{t}\right) = 2$  for  $t > 0$  small enough, and if  $x_0 < 0$ , then  $g_0''\left(\frac{x_0}{t}\right) = -2$  for  $t > 0$  small enough. Furthermore,  $g_0''(0) = 0$  by assumption. Hence

$$g^{[2]}\left(\frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_0}{t}, \frac{x_0}{t}\right) = \psi_0(x_0, x_0, x_0)$$

for  $t > 0$  small enough. This completes the proof of (37).

Applying subsequently Lemma 12 with  $a = \frac{1}{t}$ , property (36) and Lemma 13, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g^{[2]}}^{\frac{1}{t}\tilde{H}_n + V_{n,t}, \frac{1}{t}\tilde{H}_n, \frac{1}{t}\tilde{H}_n}(V_{n,t}, V_{n,t}) &= T_{g_t}^{\tilde{H}_n + tV_{n,t}, \tilde{H}_n, \tilde{H}_n}(V_{n,t}, V_{n,t}) \\ &\longrightarrow T_2(i[\tilde{F}_n, \tilde{H}_n], i[\tilde{F}_n, \tilde{H}_n]) \end{aligned}$$

when  $t \rightarrow +0$ . Furthermore,

$$T_2(i[\tilde{F}_n, \tilde{H}_n], i[\tilde{F}_n, \tilde{H}_n]) = \alpha_n^2 T_2(i[F_n, \tilde{H}_n], i[F_n, \tilde{H}_n]).$$

By (34), there is  $t_2 > 0$  such that

$$\left\| T_{g^{[2]}}^{\frac{1}{t}\tilde{H}_n + V_{n,t}, \frac{1}{t}\tilde{H}_n, \frac{1}{t}\tilde{H}_n}(V_{n,t}, V_{n,t}) \right\|_1 \geq \text{const } \alpha_n^2 \log n$$

for all  $t \leq t_2$ . Taking  $t_n = \min\{t_1, t_2\}$ , and setting

$$\tilde{A}_n := \frac{1}{t_n} \tilde{H}_n, \quad \tilde{B}_n := V_{n,t_n},$$

we obtain that  $\|\tilde{B}_n\|_2 \leq 4\alpha_n$  (see (35)) and

$$\left\| T_{g^{[2]}}^{\tilde{A}_n + \tilde{B}_n, \tilde{A}_n, \tilde{A}_n}(\tilde{B}_n, \tilde{B}_n) \right\|_1 \geq \text{const } \alpha_n^2 \log n,$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . □

## 5. ANSWERING PELLER'S PROBLEM

Let  $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces and consider their Hilbertian direct sum

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1}^2 \mathcal{H}_n.$$

Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of self-adjoint operators, with  $A_n \in B(\mathcal{H}_n)$ . Let  $A$  denote their direct sum (notation  $A = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ ). Namely  $A$  is defined on the domain

$$D(A) = \left\{ \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{H} : \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|A_n(\xi_n)\|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

by setting  $A(\xi) = \{A_n(\xi_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  for any  $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $D(A)$ . Then  $A$  is a self-adjoint (possibly unbounded) operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Likewise we let  $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of self-adjoint operators, with  $B_n \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H}_n)$ , and we set  $B = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty B_n$ . Assume further that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|B_n\|_2^2 < \infty$ . Then  $B \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$  and

$$(39) \quad \|B\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|B_n\|_2^2.$$

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$ -function with a bounded second derivative. Then  $f^{[2]}$  is bounded, with  $\|f^{[2]}\|_\infty = \|f''\|_\infty$ . Hence according to Theorem 16 and Lemma 3, we have

$$\left\| f(A_n + B_n) - f(A_n) - \frac{d}{dt} \left( f(A_n + tB_n) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \right\|_2 \leq \|f''\|_\infty \|B_n\|_2^2.$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\| f(A_n + B_n) - f(A_n) - \frac{d}{dt} \left( f(A_n + tB_n) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \right\|_2^2 \\ \leq \|f''\|_\infty^2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|B_n\|_2^2 \right)^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then we may define

$$\begin{aligned} f(A + B) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt} \left( f(A + tB) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ := \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \left( f(A_n + B_n) - f(A_n) - \frac{d}{dt} \left( f(A_n + tB_n) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is an element of  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ .

We note that the above construction can be carried out as well in the case when the  $\mathcal{H}_n$ 's are infinite dimensional, provided that each  $A_n$  is a bounded operator.

The following theorem answers Peller's problem (5) in negative.

**Theorem 29.** *There exists a function  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  with a bounded second derivative, a self-adjoint operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  and a self-adjoint  $B \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$  as above such that*

$$f(A + B) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt} \left( f(A + tB) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \notin \mathcal{S}^1.$$

*Proof.* Take the integer  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the operators  $\tilde{A}_n$ ,  $\tilde{B}_n$  and the function  $g$  from Lemma 28, applied with the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq N}$  defined by

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \log^{3/2} n}}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_n = \ell_{8n+4}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n \geq N}^2 \mathcal{H}_n$ . Then let  $A = \bigoplus_{n=N}^{\infty} A_n$  and  $B = \bigoplus_{n=N}^{\infty} B_n$  be the corresponding direct sums. Then the self-adjoint operator  $B$  belongs to  $S^2(\mathcal{H})$ . Indeed, it follows from (39) and Lemma 28 that

$$\|B\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \|\tilde{B}_n\|_2^2 \leq 16 \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{16}{n \log^{3/2} n} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, by (26) and Lemma 28, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| g(A+B) - g(A) - \frac{d}{dt}(g(A+tB)) \Big|_{t=0} \right\|_1 \\ &= \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\| g(\tilde{A}_n + \tilde{B}_n) - g(\tilde{A}_n) - \frac{d}{dt}(g(\tilde{A}_n + t\tilde{B}_n)) \Big|_{t=0} \right\|_1 \\ &= \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\| T_{g^{[2]}}^{\tilde{A}_n + \tilde{B}_n, \tilde{A}_n, \tilde{A}_n}(\tilde{B}_n, \tilde{B}_n) \right\|_1 \\ &\geq \text{const} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 \log n \\ &= \text{const} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^{1/2} n} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Acknowledgement.** The authors C.C and C.L have been supported by the research program ANR 2011 BS01 008 01 and by the ‘‘Conseil r egional de Franche-Comt e’’. The authors D.P., F.S and A.T. have been supported by the Australian Research Council grant DP150100920.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. A. Azamov, A. L. Carey, P. G. Dodds, F. A. Sukochev, *Operator integrals, spectral shift, and spectral flow*, *Canad. J. Math.* **61** (2009), No. 2, 241 – 263.
- [2] J. Arazy, *Certain Schur-Hadamard multipliers in the space  $C_p$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **86** (1982), no. 1, 59–64.
- [3] G. Bennett, *Schur multipliers*, *Duke Math. J.* **44** (1977), no. 3, 603–639.
- [4] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, *Double Stieltjes operator integrals (Russian)*, *Probl. Math. Phys.*, Izdat. Leningrad. Univ., Leningrad, (1966) 33-67. English translation in: *Topics in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 1* (1967), Consultants Bureau Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York, 25-54.
- [5] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, *Double Stieltjes operator integrals II (Russian)*, *Problems of Mathematical Physics*, Izdat. Leningrad. Univ., Leningrad, no. 2 (1967), 26-60. English translation in: *Topics in Mathematical Physics, Consultants Bureau, New York, Vol. 2*, (1968) 19-46.
- [6] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, *Double Stieltjes operator integrals III (Russian)*, *Probl. Math. Phys.*, Leningrad Univ., 6 (1973), 27–53.
- [7] M. Sh. Birman, D. R. Yafaev, *The spectral shift function. The papers of M. G. Kren and their further development.* (Russian) *Algebra i Analiz* **4** (1992), no. 5, 1–44; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **4** (1993), no. 5, 833–870.
- [8] C. de Boer, *Divided differences*, *Surv. Approx. Theory* **1** (2005), 46–69.
- [9] E. B. Davies, *Lipschitz continuity of functions of operators in the Schatten classes.* *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **37** (1988), 148157.
- [10] P. G. Dodds, T. K. Dodds, B. de Pagter, F. A. Sukochev, *Lipschitz continuity of the absolute value and Riesz projections in symmetric operator spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **148** (1997), no. 1, 28–69.
- [11] E. G. Effros, Zh.-J. Ruan, *Multivariable multipliers for groups and their operator algebras.* *Operator theory: operator algebras and applications, Part 1* (Durham, NH, 1988), 197–218, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 51, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.

- [12] Yu. B. Farforovskaya, *An example of a Lipschitzian function of selfadjoint operators that yields a nonnuclear increase under a nuclear perturbation*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI), **30** (1972), 146–153 (Russian).
- [13] F. Gesztesy, A. Pushnitski, B. Simon, *On the Koplienko spectral shift function*, I. Basics. Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. **4** (2008), no. 1, 63–107.
- [14] F. Hiai, D. Petz, *Introduction to matrix analysis and applications*, Universitext, Springer, New Delhi, 2014. viii+332 pp.
- [15] L. S. Koplienko, *The trace formula for perturbations of nonnuclear type*, (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **25** (1984), no. 5, 62–71.
- [16] M. G. Krein, *On the trace formula in perturbation theory*, (Russian) Mat. Sbornik N.S. **33**(75), (1953), 597–626.
- [17] I. M. Lifshitz, *On a problem of the theory of perturbations connected with quantum statistics*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **7** (1 (47)), (1952), 171–180.
- [18] K. Löwner, *Über monotone Matrixfunktionen*, (German) Math. Z. **38** (1934), no. 1, 177–216.
- [19] A. McIntosh, *Counterexample to a question on commutators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** 1971 337–340.
- [20] B. de Pagter and F. A. Sukochev, *Differentiation of operator functions in non-commutative  $L_p$ -spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **212** (2004), no. 1, 28–75.
- [21] B. de Pagter, F. A. Sukochev, H. Witvliet, *Double operator integrals*, J. Funct. Anal. **192** (2002), no. 1, 52–111.
- [22] T. W. Palmer, *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of \*-Algebras, Volume 2*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 79. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [23] B. S. Pavlov, *Multidimensional operator integrals*, (Russian) Problems of Math. Anal., No. 2: Linear Operators and Operator Equations (Russian), (1969) 99–122.
- [24] V. V. Peller, *Hankel operators in the theory of perturbations of unitary and selfadjoint operators*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., **19** (1985), 37–51, 96 (Russian). English translation in Functional Anal. Appl., **19** (1985), 111–123.
- [25] V. V. Peller, *An extension of the Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formulae*. J. Funct. Anal. **221** (2005), no. 2, 456–481.
- [26] V. V. Peller, *Multiple operator integrals and higher operator derivatives*, J. Funct. Anal. **233** (2006), no. 2, 515–544.
- [27] G. Pisier, *Similarity problems and completely bounded maps*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1618. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [28] D. Potapov, A. Skripka, and F. Sukochev, *Spectral shift function of higher order*, Invent. Math. **193** (2013), no. 3, 501–538.
- [29] R. Ryan, *Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces*, Springer, London, 2002.
- [30] V. V. Sten'kin, *Multiple operator integrals*, (Russian) Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Matematika **179** (1977) no. 4, 102–115.
- [31] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979. vii+415 pp.

*E-mail address:* `clement.coine@univ-fcomte.fr`

*E-mail address:* `clemerdy@univ-fcomte.fr`

*E-mail address:* `d.potapov@unsw.edu.au`

*E-mail address:* `f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au`

*E-mail address:* `a.tomskova@unsw.edu.au`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NSW, KENSINGTON NSW 2052 AUSTRALIA

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX