

The Size of Generating Sets of Powers

Dmitriy Zhuk

Abstract

In the paper we prove for every finite algebra \mathbb{A} that either it has the polynomially generated powers (PGP) property, or it has the exponentially generated powers (EGP) property. For idempotent algebras we give a simple criteria for the algebra to satisfy EGP property.

1 Main Results

We say that an algebra \mathbb{A} has the polynomially generated powers (PGP) property if its n -th power \mathbb{A}^n has a polynomial-size generating set. That is, there exists a polynomial p such that for every n the n -th power \mathbb{A}^n can be generated by at most $p(n)$ tuples. We say that an algebra \mathbb{A} has the exponentially generated powers (EGP) property if there exists $b > 1$ and $C > 0$ such that for every n the n -th power \mathbb{A}^n cannot be generated by less than Cb^n tuples.

Theorem 1. *Suppose \mathbb{A} is a finite algebra. Then either \mathbb{A} has PGP property, or \mathbb{A} has EGP property.*

Suppose $\alpha, \beta \subsetneq A$, $\alpha \cup \beta = A$. We say that an operation $f: A^n \rightarrow A$ is $\alpha\beta$ -projective if there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that for every $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ and $S \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ we have $f(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, S, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n) \subseteq S$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose \mathbb{A} is a finite idempotent algebra. Then \mathbb{A} has EGP property if and only if there exist α and β such that every operation of the algebra is $\alpha\beta$ -projective.*

For an algebra \mathbb{A} and a subset $X \subset A^n$, by $\langle X \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}$ we denote the subalgebra generated by X . For an integer m put $D_{A,m} = \{(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) \mid (a_1 = a_2) \vee (a_3 = a_4) \vee \dots \vee (a_{2m-1} = a_{2m})\}$.

Theorem 3. *Suppose \mathbb{A} is a finite algebra. Then \mathbb{A} has EGP property if and only if $\langle D_{A,m} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} \neq A^{2m}$ for every $m \geq |A|$.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 3

For a tuple (a_1, \dots, a_n) we say that $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ is a switch if $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$. An algebra is called r -switchable, if \mathbb{A}^n is generated by all tuples of length n with at most r switches. \mathbb{A} is called switchable if \mathbb{A} is r -switchable for some r . We assume that $A = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. A relation $\rho \subset A^m$ is called nice if ρ is not full and for all $c_1, \dots, c_m \in A$

$$(\exists i: c_i = c_{i+1}) \Rightarrow (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \rho.$$

By $\text{Inv}(\mathbb{A})$ we denote all invariant relations of an algebra \mathbb{A} . It is easy to check the following lemma

Lemma 4. *Suppose a finite algebra \mathbb{A} is switchable, then it has PGP property.*

Lemma 5. *Suppose a finite algebra \mathbb{A} is not switchable. Then for every r there exists a nice relation in $\text{Inv}(\mathbb{A})$ of arity $m \geq r$.*

Proof. Since \mathbb{A} is not switchable, for every r there exists n such that \mathbb{A}^n is not generated by all tuples with at most r switches. Let $H \subseteq A^n$ be the set of all tuples with at most r switches. Put $\sigma = \langle H \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}$. Let α be a tuple in $A^n \setminus \sigma$ with the minimal number of switches. Suppose

$$\alpha = (\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_{n_1}, \underbrace{a_2, \dots, a_2}_{n_2}, \dots, \underbrace{a_m, \dots, a_m}_{n_m}),$$

where $a_1 \neq a_2, a_2 \neq a_3, \dots, a_{m-1} \neq a_m$. By the definition, $m - 1 > r$. Put

$$\rho(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sigma(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{n_1}, \underbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}_{n_2}, \dots, \underbrace{x_m, \dots, x_m}_{n_m}).$$

Since σ contains all tuple with at most $m - 2$ switches, ρ is nice. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6. *Suppose \mathbb{A} is a finite algebra, $\rho \in \text{Inv}(\mathbb{A})$ is a nice relation of arity m such that $m > 2k^2 \cdot n^2$. Then there exists a relation $\sigma \in \text{Inv}(\mathbb{A})$ of arity $2n + k$ such that σ is not full and for all $c_1, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_n, e_1, \dots, e_k \in A$ we have*

$$(\exists i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}: c_i = d_j) \Rightarrow (c_1, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_n, e_1, \dots, e_k) \in \sigma.$$

Proof. Since ρ is not full, there exists a tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in A^m \setminus \rho$. We consider the sequence of pairs $(a_1, a_2), (a_3, a_4), (a_5, a_6), \dots$, where the last pair is (a_{m-1}, a_m) if m is even and (a_{m-2}, a_{m-1}) if m is odd. We choose the most popular pair in the sequence. Suppose this pair is (a, b) and it appears $l \geq n^2$ times. Then we identify variables in the relation ρ as follows

$$\delta(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_l, y_l, z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}) = \rho(t_1, \dots, t_m),$$

where $t_i = x_j$ and $t_{i+1} = y_j$ if (a_i, a_{i+1}) is the j -th pair in the sequence that is equal to (a, b) ; and $t_i = z_{a_i}$ otherwise. We can easily see that $(a, b, a, b, a, b, \dots, a, b, 0, 1, \dots, k - 1) \notin \delta$. It remains to define a relation σ . This time we identify variables as follows

$$\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}) = \delta(r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2, \dots, r_l, s_l, z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}),$$

where $r_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for every i , $s_i \in \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ for every i , and the pair (x_i, y_j) appears at least once among the pairs $(r_1, s_1), (r_2, s_2), \dots, (r_l, s_l)$ for every i and j . Since $l \geq n^2$, we always can do this. We know that $(\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_n, \underbrace{b, b, \dots, b}_n, 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1) \notin \sigma$, hence σ satisfies

the condition of the lemma. \square

Theorem 7. *Suppose \mathbb{A} is a finite algebra. Then either \mathbb{A} is switchable, or \mathbb{A} has EGP property.*

Proof. Suppose \mathbb{A} is not switchable. Then by Lemma 5 for every r there exists a nice relation of arity $m' \geq r$ in $\text{Inv}(\mathbb{A})$. Then by Lemma 6 for every n there exists a relation $\sigma \in \text{Inv}(\mathbb{A})$ of arity $2n + k$ such that σ is not full and for all $c_1, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_n, e_1, \dots, e_k \in A$

$$(\exists i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}: c_i = d_j) \Rightarrow (c_1, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_n, e_1, \dots, e_k) \in \sigma.$$

Let us consider all relations that can be obtained from σ by a permutation of the first $2n$ variables. The family of all such relations we denote by Σ . Obviously, it contains exactly $2n!$

relations. Assume that \mathbb{A}^{2n+k} is generated by tuples $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$. Since all relations in Σ are not full, for every relation $\sigma' \in \Sigma$ there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ such that $\alpha_i \notin \sigma'$.

Let us count how many relations from Σ can omit a tuple (a_1, \dots, a_{2n+k}) . Suppose

$$\sigma'(t_1, \dots, t_{2n}, z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}) = \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z_0, \dots, z_{k-1}),$$

where $\{t_1, \dots, t_{2n}\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, and σ' omits the tuple (a_1, \dots, a_{2n+k}) . Obviously, if $a_i = a_j$ then either $\{t_i, t_j\} \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, or $\{t_i, t_j\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. Therefore, to define a permutation of variables it is sufficient to define a mapping $A \rightarrow \{x, y\}$, and the order of x 's and y 's in t_1, \dots, t_{2n} . We conclude that a tuple can be omitted by at most $n! \cdot n! \cdot 2^k$ relations. Hence $s \geq (2n!)/((n!)^2 \cdot 2^k) > 2^{n-k}$. This means that for every m we need at least $2^{\lfloor (m-k)/2 \rfloor - k} \geq 2^{m/2 - 2k}$ tuples to generate \mathbb{A}^m . It remains to put $b = \sqrt{2}$ and $C = 2^{-2k}$ in the definition of EGP property \square

Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 7.

Lemma 8. *Suppose \mathbb{A} is a finite algebra, $m \geq k$, $\langle D_{A,m} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = A^{2m}$, then $\langle D_{A,n} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = A^{2n}$ for every $n > m$.*

Proof. Suppose $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_{2n}) \in A^{2n}$. Put $\alpha' = (a_1, \dots, a_{2m})$. Let us show that $\alpha \in \langle D_{A,n} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}$. We know that there exist tuples $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_s \in D_{A,m}$ and a term operation f such that $f(\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_s) = \alpha'$.

Since $n > m \geq k$, WLOG we can assume that $\{a_1, \dots, a_{2m}\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{2n}\}$. Obviously, any extension of γ_i with $2n - 2m$ elements is a tuple from $D_{A,n}$. Then, we can find extensions $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$ such that $f(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) = \alpha$. Hence, $\alpha \in \langle D_{A,n} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}$. \square

Now, let us prove Theorem 3.

Proof. Suppose \mathbb{A} is not switchable. Then by Lemma 5 for every $r \geq 2k$ there exists a nice relation σ of arity $m \geq r$ in $\text{Inv}(\mathbb{A})$.

We want m to be even. If it is odd we do the following. Suppose $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \notin \sigma$, then some element of A occurs at least twice in the sequence $a_1, a_3, a_5, \dots, a_m$. Assume that $a_{2j+1} = a_{2i+1}$, where $j < i$. Then we identify the $2j + 1$ -th variable and the $2i + 1$ -th variable of σ to get a relation σ' of arity $m' = m - 1$.

$$\sigma'(x_1, \dots, x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}, \dots, x_m) = \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_{2i}, x_{2j+1}, x_{2i+2}, \dots, x_m).$$

If m is even, we put $\sigma' = \sigma$ and $m' = m$. We can check that $D_{A,m'/2} \subseteq \sigma'$, therefore $\langle D_{A,m'/2} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} \neq A^{m'}$. Using Lemma 8, we prove that $\langle D_{A,n} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} \neq A^{2n}$ for every $n \geq k$.

Suppose $\langle D_{A,m} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} \neq A^{2m}$ for every $m \geq k$. Then for every $m \geq k$ we can define a relation $\sigma_m = \langle D_{A,m} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}$, which has all properties of a nice relation we use in Lemma 6. Thus, arguing as in Theorem 7 we can prove that \mathbb{A} has EGP property. \square

3 Criteria For the Idempotent Case

Lemma 9. *Suppose \mathbb{A} is a finite algebra, $\emptyset \neq B \subsetneq A$, $\langle A^n \setminus (A \setminus B)^n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} \neq A^n$ for every n , then there exists $C \subsetneq A$ such that $B \subseteq C$ and $A^n \setminus (A \setminus C)^n \in \text{Inv}(\mathbb{A})$ for every n .*

Proof. Let $C \subsetneq A$ be a maximal set containing B such that $\langle A^n \setminus (A \setminus C)^n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} \neq A^n$ for every n . Put $\sigma_n = \langle A^n \setminus (A \setminus C)^n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}$, let us show that $\sigma_n = A^n \setminus (A \setminus C)^n$ for every n . Assume the converse. Then there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \sigma_n \cap (A \setminus C)^n$. Since the algebra is idempotent, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \times A^s \subseteq \sigma_{n+s}$ for every $s \geq 0$. Let $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ be the

maximal number such that $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \times A^s \not\subseteq \sigma_{m+s}$ for every $s \geq 0$. Then for some s' we have $(a_1, \dots, a_{m+1}) \times A^{s'} \subseteq \sigma_{m+s'+1}$. Since the algebra is idempotent, $(a_1, \dots, a_{m+1}) \times A^s \subseteq \sigma_{m+s+1}$ for every $s \geq s'$. Put

$$\delta_{s+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{s+1}) = \sigma_{m+s+1}(a_1, \dots, a_m, x_1, \dots, x_{s+1}).$$

By the definition of m we know that δ_{s+1} is not a full relation. Since σ_{m+s+1} is symmetric, $A^{s+1} \setminus (A \setminus \{a_{m+1}\})^{s+1} \subseteq \delta_{s+1}$. Put $C' = C \cup \{a_{m+1}\}$. By the definition we have $\delta_s \in \text{Inv}(\mathbb{A})$ and $A^{s+1} \setminus (A \setminus C')^{s+1} \subseteq \delta_{s+1}$. Therefore, $\langle A^n \setminus (A \setminus C')^n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} \subseteq \delta_n \neq A^n$ for every $n \geq s' + 1$. It is easy to check that the above condition holds for $n < s' + 1$. This contradicts our assumption about the maximality of C . \square

Lemma 10. *Suppose \mathbb{A} is a finite idempotent algebra satisfying EGP property. Then there exists a binary reflexive relation ρ such that ρ is not full and the relation σ_n defined by*

$$\sigma_n(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \rho(x_1, x_2) \vee \rho(x_3, x_4) \vee \dots \vee \rho(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$$

is an invariant for \mathbb{A} for every n .

Proof. Let us consider an algebra $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}$. Put $B = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$. By Theorem 3, $\langle D^n \setminus (D \setminus B)^n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} \neq D^n$ for every n . By Lemma 9, there exists $C \subseteq D = A \times A$ such that $D^n \setminus (D \setminus C)^n \in \text{Inv}(\mathbb{D})$ for every n . It remains to put $\rho = C$. \square

Lemma 11. *Suppose \mathbb{A} is a finite idempotent algebra satisfying EGP property. Then there exist $\alpha, \beta \subsetneq A$ such that $\alpha \cup \beta = A$, $\rho = (\alpha \times \alpha) \cup (\beta \times \beta)$, and the relation σ_n defined by*

$$\sigma_n(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \rho(x_1, x_2) \vee \rho(x_3, x_4) \vee \dots \vee \rho(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$$

is an invariant for \mathbb{A} for every n .

Proof. By Lemma 10 there exists a reflexive relation ρ_0 such that the relation $\sigma_{n,0}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \rho_0(x_1, x_2) \vee \rho_0(x_3, x_4) \vee \dots \vee \rho_0(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$ is an invariant for every n .

First, we want ρ_0 to be a symmetric relation. Put $\rho'_0(x, y) = \rho_0(y, x)$. We consider 2^n relations that can be obtained from $\sigma_{n,0}$ by replacing some ρ_0 by ρ'_0 in the definition of $\sigma_{n,0}$. The intersection of these relations we denote by $\sigma_{n,1}$. We can easily check that $\sigma_{n,1}$ is an invariant for \mathbb{A} and $\sigma_{n,1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \rho_1(x_1, x_2) \vee \rho_1(x_3, x_4) \vee \dots \vee \rho_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$, where $\rho_1 = \rho_0 \cap \rho'_0$.

Assume that ρ_1 is a maximal symmetric reflexive relation such that the above relation $\sigma_{n,1}$ is an invariant for every n . Let $C \subseteq A$ be the set of all elements c such that $\{c\} \times A \subseteq \rho_1$. Put $B = A \setminus C$. Let us consider the relation $\rho'_1 = \rho_1 \cap (B \times B)$. Assume that ρ'_1 is not transitive, then for some $a, b, c \in A$ we have $(a, b), (b, c) \in \rho'_1$ and $(a, c) \notin \rho'_1$.

Put $\rho_2 = (\rho_1(x_1, b) \vee \rho_1(x_1, x_2)) \wedge (\rho_1(x_2, b) \vee \rho_1(x_1, x_2))$ and

$$\sigma_{n,2}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \rho_2(x_1, x_2) \vee \rho_2(x_3, x_4) \vee \dots \vee \rho_2(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).$$

It can be easily checked that $\sigma_{n,2} \in \text{Inv}(\mathbb{A})$. Since $b \notin C$, there exists $d \in B$ such that $(b, d) \notin \rho_1$. Obviously, $(b, d) \notin \rho_2$, hence ρ_2 is not full. Also, ρ_2 is a symmetric reflexive relation such that $\rho_1 \subsetneq \rho_2$. This contradicts our assumption about the maximality of ρ_1 . Therefore, ρ'_1 is transitive, and therefore, it is an equivalence relation on B . Assume that there are at least 3 different equivalence classes. We choose some element $b \in B$ and then define ρ_2 as above. We can easily see that ρ_2 is not full, which contradicts the maximality of ρ_1 . Hence there are exactly 2 equivalence classes. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 12. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \subsetneq A$, $\alpha \cup \beta = A$, $\rho = (\alpha \times \alpha) \cup (\beta \times \beta)$, the relation σ_n is defined by

$$\sigma_n(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \rho(x_1, x_2) \vee \rho(x_3, x_4) \vee \dots \vee \rho(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).$$

Then an idempotent operation f is $\alpha\beta$ -projective if and only if it preserves σ_n for every n .

Proof. Suppose f is $\alpha\beta$ -projective. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \sigma_n$. We need to prove that $f(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) = \delta \in \sigma_n$. Choose j in the definition of $\alpha\beta$ -projectiveness. Since $\gamma_j \in \sigma_n$ we have $(\gamma_j(2r-1), \gamma_j(2r)) \in \rho$ for some r . Hence $\gamma_j(2r-1), \gamma_j(2r) \in \alpha$ or $\gamma_j(2r-1), \gamma_j(2r) \in \beta$. By the definition of $\alpha\beta$ -projectiveness, we obtain $\delta(2r-1), \delta(2r) \in \alpha$ or $\delta(2r-1), \delta(2r) \in \beta$. Hence $\delta \in \sigma_n$.

Suppose f of arity s preserves σ_n for every n . Assume that f is not $\alpha\beta$ -projective. Hence for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ there exists a tuple $(a_1^{(j)}, \dots, a_s^{(j)})$ and $S_j \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ such that $a_j^{(j)} \in S_j$ and $f(a_1^{(j)}, \dots, a_s^{(j)}) \notin S_j$. Choose S'_j such that $\{S_j, S'_j\} = \{\alpha, \beta\}$, and $c_j \in S_j \setminus S'_j$. The following statement gives a contradiction and completes the proof

$$f \left(\begin{array}{cccc} a_1^{(1)} & a_2^{(1)} & \dots & a_s^{(1)} \\ c_1 & c_1 & \dots & c_1 \\ a_1^{(2)} & a_2^{(2)} & \dots & a_s^{(2)} \\ c_2 & c_2 & \dots & c_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1^{(s)} & a_2^{(s)} & \dots & a_s^{(s)} \\ c_s & c_s & \dots & c_s \end{array} \right) \notin \sigma_s.$$

□

Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 3, Lemma 11, and Lemma 12.