

STRONGLY HIT AND FAR MISS HYPERTOPOLOGY & HIT AND STRONGLY FAR MISS HYPERTOPOLOGY

J.F. PETERS^α AND C. GUADAGNI^β

Dedicated to the Memory of Som Naimpally

ABSTRACT. This article introduces the *strongly hit and far-miss* $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}^m$ as well as *hit and strongly far miss* $\tau_{w, \mathcal{B}}$ hypertopologies on $CL(X)$ associated with \mathcal{B} , a nonempty family of subsets on the topological space X . They result from the strong farness and strong nearness proximities. The main results in this paper stem from the Hausdorffness of $(CL(X), \tau_{w, \mathcal{B}})$ and $(RCL(X), \tau_{\mathcal{B}}^m)$, where $RCL(X)$ is the space of regular closed subsets of X . To obtain the results, special local families are introduced.

1. INTRODUCTION

This article introduces some new hypertopologies on the space $CL(X)$ of non-empty closed subsets and on $RCL(X)$, the space of regular closed subsets of a topological space X . These new hypertopologies result from the introduction of strong farness [30] and strong nearness [31]. Such hypertopologies are located in the class of hit and miss ones. Significant examples of such topologies are Hausdorff, Fell and Attouch-Wets hypertopologies. Interest in this topic spans many years (see, e.g., [2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 5, 10, 11, 12, 23, 22, 13]) with a number of possible applications.

The *strongly near* proximity [31] and *strongly far* proximity [30] and [29], provide a foundation for the hypertopologies introduced, here. Strong nearness plays a role in the new hit sets, while strong farness leads to some new miss sets.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this work we focus our attention on two new kinds of hit and far-miss topologies. On the one hand, we use the concept of *strong farness* [30] and on the other hypertopology is based on *strongly near proximity* [31].

Strong proximities are associated with Lodato proximity and the Efremovič property. Recall how a *Lodato proximity* is defined [19, 20, 21] (see, also, [26, 24, 17]).

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a nonempty set. A Lodato proximity δ is a relation on $\mathcal{P}(X)$, which satisfies the following properties for all subsets A, B, C of X :*

- P0) $A \delta B \Rightarrow B \delta A$
- P1) $A \delta B \Rightarrow A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \neq \emptyset$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54E05 (Proximity); Secondary 54B20 (Hyperspaces).

Key words and phrases. Hit-and-Miss Topology, Hyperspaces, Proximity, Strongly Far, Strongly Near, Regular closed sets, Regular Open Sets, Hausdorff, T_2 .

The research has been supported by the Natural Sciences & Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) discovery grant 185986.

- P2) $A \cap B \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A \delta B$
 P3) $A \delta (B \cup C) \Leftrightarrow A \delta B$ or $A \delta C$
 P4) $A \delta B$ and $\{b\} \delta C$ for each $b \in B \Rightarrow A \delta C$

Further δ is separated, if

- P5) $\{x\} \delta \{y\} \Rightarrow x = y$.

$A \delta B$ reads "A is near to B" and $A \not\delta B$ reads "A is far from B". A *basic proximity* is one that satisfies the Čech axioms P0) – P3) [4, §2.5, p. 439]. *Lodato proximity* or *LO-proximity* is one of the simplest proximities. We can associate a topology with the space (X, δ) by considering as closed sets those sets that coincide with their own closure where, for a subset A, we have

$$\text{cl}A = \{x \in X : x \delta A\}.$$

This is possible because of the correspondence of Lodato axioms with the well-known Kuratowski closure axioms.

By considering the gap between two sets in a metric space ($d(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$ or ∞ if A or B is empty), Efremovič introduced a stronger proximity called *Efremovič proximity* or *EF-proximity* [15, 14].

Definition 2.2. An EF-proximity is a relation on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ which satisfies P0) through P3) and in addition

$$A \not\delta B \Rightarrow \exists E \subset X \text{ such that } A \not\delta E \text{ and } X \setminus E \not\delta B \text{ EF-property.}$$

A topological space has a compatible EF-proximity if and only if it is a Tychonoff space.

Any proximity δ on X induces a binary relation over the powerset $\exp X$, usually denoted as \ll_δ and named the *natural strong inclusion associated with δ* , by declaring that A is *strongly included* in B, $A \ll_\delta B$, when A is far from the complement of B, $A \not\delta X \setminus B$.

By strong inclusion the *Efremivič property* for δ can be written also as a betweenness property

$$(EF) \quad \text{If } A \ll_\delta B, \text{ then there exists some } C \text{ such that } A \ll_\delta C \ll_\delta B.$$




Fig.2.1. $A \ll_\delta B, E \ll_\delta (C \cup D)$

We say that A and B are δ -*strongly far* [31], where δ is a Lodato proximity, and we write $\not\ll_\delta$ if and only if $A \not\delta B$ and there exists a subset C of X such that $A \not\delta X \setminus C$ and $C \not\delta B$, that is the Efremovič property holds on A and B.

Instead, the concept of *strongly near* proximity arises from the need to introduce a relation yields information about the interiors of pairs of subsets that at least have non-empty intersection. We say that the relation $\overset{\wedge}{\delta}$ on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a *strongly near proximity*, provided it satisfies the following axioms. Let $A, B, C \subset X$ and $x \in X$.

- N0) $\emptyset \not\overset{\wedge}{\delta} A, \forall A \subset X$, and $X \overset{\wedge}{\delta} A, \forall A \subset X$
 N1) $A \overset{\wedge}{\delta} B \Leftrightarrow B \overset{\wedge}{\delta} A$
 N2) $A \overset{\wedge}{\delta} B \Rightarrow A \cap B \neq \emptyset$

N3) If $\text{int}(B)$ and $\text{int}(C)$ are not equal to the empty set, $A \overset{\wedge}{\delta} B$ or $A \overset{\wedge}{\delta} C \Rightarrow A \overset{\wedge}{\delta} (B \cup C)$

N4) $\text{int}A \cap \text{int}B \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A \overset{\wedge}{\delta} B$

Example 2.3. Intersecting Interiors.

In Fig. 2.1, $A \overset{\wedge}{\delta} B$ (axiom N4) and $E \overset{\wedge}{\delta} (C \cup D)$ (axiom N3). ■

When we write $A \overset{\wedge}{\delta} B$, we read A is *strongly near* to B [29, 28] (see, also, [31]). For each *almost proximity* we assume the following relations:

N5) $x \in \text{int}(A) \Rightarrow \{x\} \overset{\wedge}{\delta} A$

N6) $\{x\} \overset{\wedge}{\delta} \{y\} \Leftrightarrow x = y$ ■

If we take the almost proximity related to non-empty intersection of interiors, we have that $A \overset{\wedge}{\delta} B \Leftrightarrow \text{int}A \cap \text{int}B \neq \emptyset$ provided A and B are not singletons; if $A = \{x\}$, then $x \in \text{int}(B)$, and if B too is a singleton, then $x = y$. If $A \subset X$ is an open set, then each $x \in A$ is strongly near A .

3. NEW HYPERTOPOLOGIES

Let $\text{CL}(X)$ be the hyperspace of all non-empty closed subsets of a space X . *Hit and miss* and *hit and far-miss* topologies on $\text{CL}(X)$ are obtained by the join of two halves. Well-known examples are Vietoris topology [35, 36, 37, 38] (see, also, [1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17]) and Fell topology [16]. In [30] and [31], the following new hypertopologies are introduced.

♣ τ_{Ψ} is the *hit and strongly far-miss* topology having as subbase the sets of the form:

- $V^- = \{E \in \text{CL}(X) : E \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$, where V is an open subset of X ,
- $A_{\Psi} = \{E \in \text{CL}(X) : E \overset{\delta}{\Psi} X \setminus A\}$, where A is an open subset of X

♣ τ^{\wedge} is the *strongly hit and far-miss* topology having as subbase the sets of the form:

- $V^{\wedge} = \{E \in \text{CL}(X) : E \overset{\wedge}{\delta} V\}$, where V is an open subset of X ,
- $A^{++} = \{E \in \text{CL}(X) : E \overset{\delta}{\not\delta} X \setminus A\}$, where A is an open subset of X ,

where in both cases δ is a Lodato proximity compatible with the topology on X .

It is possible to consider several generalizations. For example, for the miss part we can look at subsets running in a family of closed sets \mathcal{B} . So we define the *strongly hit and far-miss topology on $\text{CL}(X)$ associated with \mathcal{B}* as the topology generated by the join of the hit sets A^{\wedge} , where A runs over all open subsets of X , with the miss sets A^{++} , where A is once again an open subset of X , but more, whose complement runs in \mathcal{B} . We can do the same with the *hit and strongly far-miss* topology.

4. MAIN RESULTS

Next, consider *strongly hit and far miss topologies* and *hit and strongly far miss topologies* associated with families of subsets. We look at conditions that make these topologies T_2 topologies.

4.1. Hit and strongly far miss topologies.

Here, we consider results contained in [2], e.g., $\tau_{w, \mathcal{B}}$ is the topology having as subbase the sets of the form:

- $V^- = \{E \in \text{CL}(X) : E \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$, where V is an open subset of X ,
- $A_w = \{E \in \text{CL}(X) : E \overset{\delta}{\not\subseteq} X \setminus A\}$, where A is an open subset of X and $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{B}$

Definition 4.1. Let X be a topological space and \mathcal{B} a non-empty family of subsets of X . We call \mathcal{B} a strongly local family if and only if

$$\forall x \in X \text{ and } \forall U \text{ nbhd of } x, \exists S \in \mathcal{B} : x \in \text{int}(S) \subseteq S \ll_w U,$$

where $S \ll_w U$ means $S \overset{\delta}{\not\subseteq} X \setminus U$. ■

We write $\Sigma(\mathcal{B})$ for the collection of all finite unions of elements of \mathcal{B} .




Fig. 3.1 $A \ll_\delta U_x$

Example 4.2. Strongly Local Family 1.

A first simple example of strongly local family is the following. Take X as a locally compact topological space, \mathcal{B} the family of compact subsets and δ as the Alexandroff proximity defined by $A \delta_A B \Leftrightarrow \text{cl}A \cap \text{cl}B \neq \emptyset$ or both $\text{cl}A$ and $\text{cl}B$ are non-compact. In fact, in this case, for each $x \in X$ and each nbhd U of x , it is always possible to find a compact subset containing x and strongly contained in U . See, e.g., Fig. 3.1. ■




Fig. 3.2. $A \ll_\delta B_i \ll_\delta C$

Example 4.3. Strongly Local Family 2.

A more general example is given by local proximity spaces (X, δ, \mathcal{B}) [18], where δ is a proximity generally non-Efremovič and \mathcal{B} is a boundedness. In particular this family satisfies the following property: if $A \in \mathcal{B}$, $C \subset X$ and $A \ll_\delta C$ then there

exists some $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A \ll_\delta B \ll_\delta C$, where \ll_δ is the natural strong inclusion associated with δ . By this property follows that \mathcal{B} is a strongly local family. See, e.g., Fig. 3.2. ■

Theorem 4.4. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space, δ a compatible Lodato proximity on X and \mathcal{B} a non-empty subfamily of $CL(X)$. Suppose that any point that does not belong to a closed set is strongly far from the closed set. $(CL(X), \tau_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{B}})$ is T_2 if and only if $\Sigma(\mathcal{B})$ is a strongly local family.*

Proof. " \Leftarrow ". We want to prove that $(CL(X), \tau_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{B}})$ is T_2 . Suppose that A and B are distinct closed subsets of X . So there exists a point $b \in B \cap (X \setminus A)$ and by the assumption there exists a subset $S \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in \text{int}(S) \subset S \ll_{\mathcal{W}} X \setminus A$. Then $B \in (\text{int}S)^-$ and $A \in (X \setminus S)_{\mathcal{W}}$ with $(\text{int}S)^- \cap (X \setminus S)_{\mathcal{W}} = \emptyset$.

" \Rightarrow ". Consider now $x \in X$ and suppose $X \setminus A$ to be a nbhd of x . We have to prove that the special betweenness property holds. Take A and $A \cup \{x\}$. By the hypothesis we know that there exist two open sets $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{B}}$ such that $A \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $A \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = \emptyset$. Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &= (X \setminus S)_{\mathcal{W}} \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i^-) \\ \mathcal{A}_2 &= (X \setminus T)_{\mathcal{W}} \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^m W_j^-) \end{aligned}$$

with $S, T \in \mathcal{B}$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n, W_1, \dots, W_m$ open subsets of X . Further we may assume that $S \cap V_i = \emptyset$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ and $T \cap W_j = \emptyset$, $\forall j = 1, \dots, m$.

Consider now $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ indices for which $x \in W_l$. We want to show that for at least one of these indices $W_l \subseteq S$, $l \in \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$. In fact if, by contradiction, $W_l \not\subseteq S \forall l \in \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$, then there would exist $x_l \in W_l \cap (X \setminus S) \forall l \in \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$. But now $A \cup \{x_{l_1}, \dots, x_{l_k}\} \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$, and this is absurd. Hence there exists $W_{l_j} \subseteq S$ and we have $x \in W_{l_j} \subseteq \text{int}(S) \subset S \ll_{\mathcal{W}} X \setminus A$. □

4.2. Strongly hit and far miss topologies.

In this case, we want consider the family of *regular closed subsets of X* , $RCL(X)$. Recall that a set F is *regular closed* if $F = \text{cl}(\text{int}F)$, that is F coincides with the closure of its interior. Considering the nature of almost proximities, this family seem to be the most suitable to which refer. A well-known fact is that regular closed sets form a complete Boolean lattice [34]. Moreover there is a one-to-one correspondence between regular open ($RO(X)$) and regular closed sets. We have a *regular open set*

A when $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}A)$, that is A is the interior of its closure. The correspondence between the two mentioned classes is given by $c: RO(X) \rightarrow RCL(X)$, where $c(A) = \text{cl}(A)$, and $o: RCL(X) \rightarrow RO(X)$, where $o(F) = \text{int}(F)$. By this correspondence it is possible to prove that also the family of regular open sets is a complete Boolean lattice. Furthermore it is shown that every complete Boolean lattice is isomorphic to the complete lattice of regular open sets in a suitable topology.

The importance of these families is also due to the possibility of using them for digital images processing, because they allow to satisfy certain common-sense physical




Fig. 3.3. $p \in \text{int}(C) \subseteq C \subset U$

requirements.

Consider now $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathfrak{A}}$, the *strongly hit and far-miss* topology associated to \mathcal{B} :

- $V^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{E \in \text{RCL}(X) : E \overset{\mathfrak{A}}{\delta} V\}$, where V is a regular open subset of X ,
- $A^{++} = \{E \in \text{RCL}(X) : E \not\overset{\mathfrak{A}}{\delta} X \setminus A\}$, where A is a regular open subset of X and $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{B}$.

It is easy to prove that this family generates a topology on $\text{RCL}(X)$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $\delta^{\mathfrak{A}}$ strongly near proximity on X . For each regular open set V on $\text{RCL}(X)$, we have that $V^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{E \in \text{RCL}(X) : E \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$.*

Proof. For each regular open set V in X , it is straightforward that $V^{\mathfrak{A}} \subseteq \{E \in \text{RCL}(X) : E \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$. Look now at the other inclusion and suppose that $A \not\overset{\mathfrak{A}}{\delta} V$. Hence, by property (N4), we have that $\text{int}A \cap V = \emptyset$. But for $\text{RCL}(X)$, it follows that $A \cap V = \emptyset$. In fact, if this intersection is not empty, we can find an element $a \in A = \text{cl}(\text{int}A)$ such that it is approximated by a net of elements in $\text{int}A$, $\{a_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Now, since V is a nhbd of a , V must contain $\{a_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ residually. But this is absurd. \square

Definition 4.6. *Let X be a topological space and \mathcal{B} a non-empty family of subsets of X . We call \mathcal{B} a regular local family if and only if*

$$\forall x \in X \text{ and } \forall U \text{ regular open set containing } x, \exists S \in \mathcal{B} : x \in \text{int}(S) \subseteq S \ll_{\delta} U,$$

where $S \ll_{\delta} U$ means $S \not\overset{\mathfrak{A}}{\delta} X \setminus U$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space, $\overset{\mathfrak{A}}{\delta}$ an almost proximity on X , δ a compatible Lodato proximity on X and \mathcal{B} a non-empty subfamily of $\text{RCL}(X)$. If $\Sigma(\mathcal{B})$ is a regular local family, then $(\text{RCL}(X), \tau_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathfrak{A}})$ is T_2 .*

Proof. The result simply follows by applying mostly the same procedure of thm. 4.4 and by lemma 4.5. \square

Remark 4.8. *Observe that in particular we could refer these results to a generalization of the Fell topology if we take as family \mathcal{B} that one of compact subsets of a topological space.*

Example 4.9. Regular local family.

An easy example of regular local family contained in $\text{RCL}(X)$ is obtained by considering $X = \mathbb{R}^N$ in which the family of closed convex subsets is a local family, i.e.,

$$\forall x \in X \text{ and } \forall U \text{ nbhd of } x, \exists C \text{ convex} : x \in \text{int}(C) \subseteq C \subset U.$$

See, e.g., Fig. 3.3 in the 3D spherical nbhd C of p is entirely in the interior of the nbhd U of p . An important thing to notice is that in this case each open convex set is also regular open. \blacksquare

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Attouch, R. Lucchetti, R. J.-B. Wets, *The topology of the ρ -Hausdorff distance*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, (VI) **160**, (1991), 303-320, MR1163212.
- [2] G. Beer, *Topologies on Closed and Closed Convex Sets*, Mathematics and its Applications, 268. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, (1993), xii+340 pp. ISBN: 0-7923-2531-1, MR1269778.

- [3] G. Beer, R.K. Tamaki, *On hit-and-miss hyperspace topologies*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **34** (1993), no. 4, 717728, MR1263801.
- [4] E. Čech, *Topological Spaces*, Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague; Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons Ltd., London, UK, 1966, from seminar, Brno, 1936-1939; rev. ed. Z. Frolík, M. Katětov, 893 pp, MR0211373.
- [5] A. Di Concilio, *Uniformities, hyperspaces, and normality*, Monatsh. Math. **107** (1989), no. 3, 303-308, MR1012462.
- [6] A. Di Concilio, *Action on hyperspaces*, Topology Proc. **41** (2013), 85-98, MR2923735.
- [7] A. Di Concilio, *Proximity: a powerful tool in extension theory, function spaces, hyperspaces, boolean algebra and point-set topology*, F. Mynard and E. Pearl(eds), Beyond Topology, Contemporary Mathematics Amer. Math. Soc., **486**, (2009), 89-114.
- [8] A. Di Concilio, S.A. Naimpally, *Proximal set-open topologies*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic Ric. Mat. **8** (2000), no. 1, 173-191, MR1755708.
- [9] A. Di Concilio, *Proximal set-open topologies on partial maps*, Acta Math. Hungar. **88** (2000), no. 3, 227-237, MR1767801.
- [10] G. Di Maio, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, *Some covering properties of hyperspaces*, Topology Appl. **155** (2008), no. 17-18, 19591969, MR2457978.
- [11] G. Di Maio, L. Holá, *On hit-and-miss topologies*, Rendiconto dell'Accademia delle Scienze Fisiche e Matematiche (4) **LXII** (1995), 103-124, MR1419286.
- [12] G. Di Maio, S.A. Naimpally, *Comparison of hypertopologies*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste **22** (1992), no. 1-2, 140-161, MR1210485.
- [13] G. Di Maio, E. Meccariello, S.A. Naimpally, *Uniformly discrete hit-and-miss hypertopology. A missing link in hypertopologies*, Appl. Gen. Topol. **7** (1992), no. 2, 245-252, MR2295173.
- [14] V.A. Efremovič, *The geometry of proximity (Russian)*, Mat. Sbornik N. S. 31 (1952), 73, 189200, MR0055659.
- [15] V.A. Efremovič, *Infinitesimal spaces I (Russian)*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 76 (1951), 341343, MR0040748.
- [16] J.M.G. Fell, *A Hausdorff topology for the closed subsets of a locally compact non-Hausdorff space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 472-476, MR0139135.
- [17] C. Guadagni, *Bornological Convergences on Local Proximity Spaces and ω_μ -Metric Spaces*, Ph.D thesis, Università degli Studi di Salerno, Dipartimento di Matematica, supervisor: A. Di Concilio, 2015, 72pp.
- [18] S.Leader, *Local proximity spaces*, Math. Ann. **169** (1967), 275-281
- [19] M.W. Lodato, *On topologically induced generalized proximity relations*, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1962, 42pp, MR2613676.
- [20] M.W. Lodato, *On topologically induced generalized proximity relations I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 417-422, MR0161305.
- [21] M.W. Lodato, *On topologically induced generalized proximity relations II*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 131-135, MR0192470.
- [22] R. Lucchetti, *Hypertopologies and applications. Recent developments in well-posed variational problems*, Math. Appl. **331** (1995), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 193-209, MR1351745.
- [23] R. Lucchetti, A. Pasquale, *A new approach to a hyperspace theory*, J. of Convex Analysis **1** (1994), no. 2, 173-193, MR1363110.
- [24] S.A. Naimpally, B.D. Warrack, *Proximity spaces*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge Tract in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 59, Cambridge, UK, 1970, ISBN 978-0-521-09183-1, MR2573941.
- [25] S.A. Naimpally, *All hypertopologies are hit-and-miss*, App. Gen. Topology **3** (2002), 197-199.
- [26] S.A. Naimpally, *Proximity Approach to Problems in Topology and Analysis*, Oldenbourg Verlag, Munich, Germany, 2009, 73 pp., ISBN 978-3-486-58917-7, MR2526304.
- [27] J.F. Peters, *Proximal Voronoï regions, convex polygons, & Leader uniform topology*, Advances in Math. **4** (2015), no. 1, 1-5.
- [28] J.F. Peters, *Proximal Delaunay triangulation regions*, PJMS [Proc. of the Korean Math. Soc.] (2015), to appear.
- [29] J.F. Peters, *Visibility in proximal Delaunay meshes*, Advances in Math. (2015), to appear.
- [30] J.F. Peters, C. Guadagni, *Strongly far proximity and hyperspace topology*, arXiv[Math.GN] **1502** (2015), no. 02771, 1-6.
- [31] J.F. Peters, C. Guadagni, *Strongly near proximity and hyperspace topology*, arXiv[Math.GN] **1502** (2015), no. 05913, 1-6.

- [32] J.F. Peters, S.A. Naimpally, *Applications of near sets*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (2012), no. 4, 536-542, MR2951956.
- [33] J.F. Peters, M.A. Öztürk, M. Uçkun, *Klee-Phelps convex groupoids*, arXiv [math.GR] **1411** (2014), no. 0934, 1-4.
- [34] C. Ronse, *Regular open or closed sets*, Tech. Rep. Working Document WD59, Philips Research Lab., Brussels, (1990)
- [35] L. Vietoris, *Stetige mengen*, Monatsch. Math. Phys. **31** (1921), no. 1, 173-204, MR1549101.
- [36] L. Vietoris, *Bereiche zweiter ordnung*, Monatsch. Math. Phys. **32** (1922), no. 1, 258-280, MR1549179.
- [37] L. Vietoris, *Kontinua zweiter ordnung*, Monatsch. Math. Phys. **33** (1923), no. 1, 49-62, MR1549268.
- [38] L. Vietoris, *Über den höheren Zusammenhang kompakter Räume und eine Klasse von zusammenhangstreuen Abbildungen*, Math. Ann. **97** (1927), no. 1, 454-472, MR1512371.
- [39] S. Willard, *General topology*, Addison-Wesley, (1970).

E-mail address: James.Peters3@umanitoba.ca, cguadagni@unisa.it

^α COMPUTATIONAL INTELLIGENCE LABORATORY, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WPG, MB, R3T 5V6, CANADA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, ADIYAMAN UNIVERSITY, 02040 ADIYAMAN, TURKEY

^β COMPUTATIONAL INTELLIGENCE LABORATORY, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WPG, MB, R3T 5V6, CANADA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SALERNO, VIA GIOVANNI PAOLO II 132, 84084 FISCIANO, SALERNO, ITALY