

# UNIVERSAL GROUPS OF INTERMEDIATE GROWTH AND THEIR INVARIANT RANDOM SUBGROUPS

MUSTAFA GÖKHAN BENLİ, ROSTISLAV GRIGORCHUK AND TATIANA NAGNIBEDA

*To A.M. Vershik on the occasion of his 80-th birthday, with admiration and respect*

ABSTRACT. We exhibit examples of groups of intermediate growth with  $2^{\aleph_0}$  ergodic continuous invariant random subgroups. The examples are the universal groups associated with a family of groups of intermediate growth.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to show the existence of groups of intermediate growth with  $2^{\aleph_0}$  distinct ergodic continuous invariant random subgroups.

Invariant random subgroup (abbreviated *IRS*) is a convenient term that stands for a probability measure on the space of subgroups in a locally compact group, invariant under the action of the group by conjugation. In the case of a countable group  $G$  (only such groups will be considered here), the space  $S(G)$  of subgroups of  $G$  is supplied with the topology induced from the Tychonoff topology on  $\{0, 1\}^G$  where a subgroup  $H \leq G$  is identified with its characteristic function  $\chi_H(g) = 1$  if  $g \in H$  and 0 otherwise.

The delta mass corresponding to a normal subgroup is a trivial example of an *IRS*, as well as the average over a finite orbit of delta masses associated with groups in a finite conjugacy class. Hence, we are rather interested in continuous invariant probability measures on  $S(G)$ . Clearly, such a measure does not necessarily exist, for example if the group only has countably many subgroups.

Given a countable group  $G$ , a basic question is whether a continuous *IRS* exists. Ultimately one wants to describe the structure of the simplex of invariant probability measures of the topological dynamical system  $(\text{Inn}(G), S(G))$  where  $\text{Inn}(G)$  is the group of inner automorphisms of  $G$  acting on  $S(G)$ . Of particular interest are ergodic measures, i.e., the extremal points in the simplex.

A more general problem is the identification of the simplex of invariant probability measures of the system  $(\Phi, S(G))$  where  $\Phi$  is a subgroup of the group  $\text{Aut}(G)$  of automorphisms of  $G$  (see [AGV12, Bow12, Ver12]). A closely related problem is the study of invariant measures on the space of rooted Schreier graphs of  $G$ , with  $G$  acting by change of the root. This point of view is presented in [Gri11, Vor12].

---

*Date:* June 26, 2015.

The first and second authors were supported by NSF Grant DMS-1207699. The second and the third author were supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

A very fruitful idea in the subject belongs to Anatoly Vershik who introduced the notion of a totally non-free action of a locally compact group  $G$  on a space  $X$  with invariant measure  $\mu$ , i.e., an action with the property that different points  $x \in X$  have different stabilizers  $St_G(x)$   $\mu$ -almost surely. Then the map  $St : X \rightarrow S(G)$  defined by  $x \mapsto St_G(x)$  is injective  $\mu$ -almost surely and the image of  $\mu$  under this map is the law of an *IRS* on  $G$  which is continuous and ergodic whenever  $\mu$  is. In [Ver12], Vershik showed that a totally non-free action of a group  $G$  provides us not only with an *IRS* but also with a factor representation of  $G$ . He also realized the plan outlined above and described all the ergodic  $Aut(G)$ -invariant measures on  $S(G)$  in the case when  $G$  is the infinite symmetric group, see [Ver10, Ver12].

Bowen showed in [Bow12] that non-abelian free groups of finite rank possess a whole “zoo” of ergodic continuous *IRS*, and that a big part of the simplex of *IRS* on a free group  $F_r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , is a Poulsen simplex. (A simplex is called a Poulsen if its extremal points are dense. It is unique up to affine isomorphism by [LOS78]). As shown in [BGK12], already the so-called lamplighter group  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}$  (the “simplest” finitely generated group that has  $2^{\aleph_0}$  subgroups) has a Poulsen simplex of *IRS*. Given a surjection  $\phi : G \twoheadrightarrow H$ , there is a natural homeomorphism  $\tilde{\phi} : S(H) \rightarrow S(G, Ker(\phi))$  where  $S(G, Ker(\phi))$  denotes the subspace of  $S(G)$  consisting of subgroups of  $G$  containing  $Ker(\phi)$ . This allows to lift any *IRS* on  $H$  to  $G$  thus providing a large spectrum of *IRS* on  $G$  from that on  $H$ . This applies in particular to the free group  $F_2$  that covers  $\mathcal{L}$ .

A finitely generated virtually nilpotent group has only countably many subgroups and therefore does not possess any continuous *IRS*. By Gromov’s theorem, the class of finitely generated virtually nilpotent groups coincide with the class of groups of polynomial growth. Recall that, given a finitely generated group  $G$  with a system of generators  $S$ , one can consider its growth function  $\gamma(n) = \gamma_{(G,S)}(n)$  which counts the number of elements of length at most  $n$ . The growth type of this function when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  does not depend on the generating set  $S$  and can be polynomial, exponential or intermediate. The question of existence of groups of intermediate growth was raised by Milnor [Mil68] and was answered by the second author in [Gri84b]. The main construction associates with every sequence  $\omega \in \Omega = \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  a group  $G_\omega$  generated by four involutions  $a_\omega, b_\omega, c_\omega, d_\omega$  and if  $\omega$  is not an eventually constant sequence, then  $G_\omega$  has intermediate growth. Moreover, it was also observed in [Gri84b] that the groups  $G_\omega$  fall into the class of just-infinite branch groups. A group is just infinite if it is infinite but every proper quotient is finite. A group is branch if it has a faithful level transitive action on a spherically homogeneous rooted tree with the property that rigid stabilizers of the levels of the tree are of finite index, see Section 4.2 for precise definitions. Just-infinite branch groups constitute one of three classes in which the class of just-infinite groups naturally splits [Gri00].

Since the groups  $G_\omega$  are just-infinite, they only have countably many quotients. This raised the question of existence of groups of intermediate growth having  $2^{\aleph_0}$

quotients, answered in [Gri84a]. The main idea was to take a suitable subset  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  of cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$  and consider the group  $U_\Lambda$  defined as the quotient of the free group  $F_4$  by a normal subgroup  $N$  which is the intersection of normal subgroups  $N_\omega, \omega \in \Lambda$  where  $G_\omega = F_4/N_\omega$ . In this paper we explore this idea further by using *IRS* on  $G_\omega$  and lift them to  $U_\Lambda$  deducing the main result.

Branch groups give us the most transparent examples of totally non-free actions and thus of *IRS*. Indeed, as shown in [BG02], the natural extension of a branch action on a spherically homogeneous tree  $T$  to its boundary  $\partial T$  is totally non free with respect to the uniform probability measure on  $\partial T$ . It is even completely non free, i.e., different points have different stabilizers. The uniform probability measure on  $\partial T$  is ergodic and invariant. The groups  $G_\omega$  act on the binary rooted tree in a branch way. By lifting the uniform measure to  $S(G_\omega)$  and then to  $S(U_\Lambda)$ , one obtains a host of *IRS* on  $U_\Lambda$ . We then proceed to showing that the *IRS* obtained in this way are distinct. These considerations allow us to prove our main theorem:

**Main Theorem.** *There exists a finitely generated group of intermediate growth with  $2^{\aleph_0}$  distinct continuous ergodic invariant random subgroups.*

We also investigate some additional properties of groups of the form  $U_\Lambda, \Lambda \subset \Omega$ , including finite presentability, branching property and self-similarity.

## 2. SPACE OF MARKED GROUPS AND UNIVERSAL GROUPS

**Definition 1.** A *k*-marked group is a pair  $(G, S)$ , where  $G$  is a group and  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$  is an ordered set of (not necessarily distinct) elements such that the set  $\{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  generates the group  $G$ . The *canonical map* between two *k*-marked groups  $(G, S)$  and  $(H, T)$  is the map sending  $s_i \mapsto t_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . If this map defines an epimorphism, it will be called the marked epimorphism and  $(H, T)$  will be called a marked image of  $(G, S)$ . Two *k*-marked groups  $(G, S)$  and  $(H, T)$  are equivalent if the canonical map defines an isomorphism between  $G$  and  $H$ .

The space of (equivalence classes of) *k*-marked groups will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_k$ . This space has a natural topology, which for instance can be defined by the following metric: Two *k*-marked groups  $(G, S)$  and  $(H, K)$  are of distance  $2^{-m}$ , where  $m$  is the largest natural number such that the balls of radius  $m$  of the Cayley graphs of  $(G, S)$  and  $(H, K)$  are isomorphic (as directed labeled graphs). In [Gri84b] it was observed that this makes  $\mathcal{M}_k$  into a compact totally disconnected space.

Alternatively, this space can be defined in the following way: Let  $F_k$  be a free group of rank  $k$  with a basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_k$  denote the set of all normal subgroups of  $F_k$ , together with the topology inherited from the power set  $\mathcal{P}(F_k) \cong \{0, 1\}^{F_k}$  supplied with the Tychonoff topology. This topology has basis consisting of sets of the form  $\mathcal{O}_{A,B} = \{N \triangleleft F_k \mid A \subset N, B \cap N = \emptyset\}$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are finite subsets of  $F_k$ . Given  $(G, S) \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , let  $N_{(G,S)} \in \mathcal{N}_k$  be the kernel of the natural map  $\pi_{(G,S)} : F_k \rightarrow G$  sending  $x_i \mapsto s_i$ . This gives a homeomorphism

between  $\mathcal{M}_k$  and  $\mathcal{N}_k$  (depending on the basis of  $F_k$ ) (See [Cha00]). We will interchangeably use these two spaces.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{(G_i, S_i) \mid i \in I\}$  be a subset of  $\mathcal{M}_k$ . Let  $N_{\mathcal{C}} = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_{(G_i, S_i)}$ . The *universal group of the family  $\mathcal{C}$*  is the  $k$ -marked group  $(U_{\mathcal{C}}, S_{\mathcal{C}})$  where  $U_{\mathcal{C}} = F_k/N_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $S_{\mathcal{C}}$  is the image of the basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ .

$U_{\mathcal{C}}$  has the following universal property: If  $(H, T)$  is a marked group such that for all  $i \in I$  the canonical map from  $(H, T)$  to  $(G_i, S_i)$  defines a group homomorphism, then the canonical map from  $(H, T)$  to  $(U_{\mathcal{C}}, S_{\mathcal{C}})$  defines a group homomorphism.

An alternative way to define the universal group is the following:

**Definition 3.** Given  $\mathcal{C} = \{(G_i, S_i) \mid i \in I\} \subset \mathcal{M}_k$ , write  $S_i = (s_1^i, \dots, s_k^i)$ . Let  $U_{\mathcal{C}}^{diag}$  be the subgroup of the (unrestricted) direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} G_i$  generated by the elements  $s_j = (s_j^i)_{i \in I}$   $j = 1, \dots, k$ . The  $k$ -marked group  $(U_{\mathcal{C}}^{diag}, S_{\mathcal{C}}^{diag})$  is called the *diagonal group* of the family  $\mathcal{C}$ .

It is straightforward to check that  $(U_{\mathcal{C}}^{diag}, S_{\mathcal{C}}^{diag})$  equivalent (as a marked group) to the universal group  $(U_{\mathcal{C}}, S_{\mathcal{C}})$  of Definition 2.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{M}_k$ . Then the marked groups  $(U_{\mathcal{C}}, S_{\mathcal{C}})$  and  $(U_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}, S_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}})$  are equivalent, where  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  denotes the closure of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{M}_k$ .*

*Proof.* We need to show that

$$\bigcap_{(G,S) \in \mathcal{C}} N_{(G,S)} = \bigcap_{(G,S) \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}} N_{(G,S)}.$$

Clearly, the right hand side is contained in the left. Suppose that some  $g \in F_k$  belongs to the left hand side but not to the right. Then there exists  $(G, S) \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $g \notin N_{(G,S)}$ . Let  $\{(G_n, S_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$  converging to  $(G, S)$ . Since  $g$  belongs to the left hand side,  $g$  belongs to each  $N_{(G_n, S_n)}$  and by definition of the topology in  $\mathcal{N}_k$ , to  $N_{(G,S)}$  which gives a contradiction.  $\square$

For an element  $w \in F_k, w \neq 1$ , denote  $\mathcal{O}_w = \{N \triangleleft F_k \mid w \in N\}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $H \leq F_k$  be a subgroup and  $w_1, \dots, w_m \in H, w_i \neq 1$  for each  $i$ . Then there exists  $w \in H, w \neq 1$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{w_i} \subset \mathcal{O}_w$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $m$ . The case  $m = 1$  is clear, one can take  $w = w_1$ . So, assume  $m > 1$ .

*Case 1:*  $[w_1, w_2] = 1$  in  $F_k$ . In this case there exists  $w \in F_k$  and  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $w_1^s = w_2^t = w$  (all non-trivial abelian subgroups in a free group are cyclic). Therefore,  $\mathcal{O}_{w_1} \cup \mathcal{O}_{w_2} \subset \mathcal{O}_w$  and hence we can apply the induction hypothesis by replacing  $\mathcal{O}_{w_1}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{w_2}$  by  $\mathcal{O}_w$ .

*Case 2:*  $[w_1, w_2] \neq 1$  in  $F_k$ . In this case we can replace  $\mathcal{O}_{w_1}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{w_2}$  by  $\mathcal{O}_{[w_1, w_2]}$  and apply the induction hypothesis.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{M}_k$  be a closed subset and assume that no group in  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a nonabelian free subgroup. Then the universal group  $U_{\mathcal{C}}$  also has no nonabelian free subgroups.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{(G_i, S_i) \mid i \in I\}$ . Let  $a, b \in U_{\mathcal{C}}$  be two distinct elements, given as words in the generators  $S_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Let  $w_a, w_b \in F_k$  such that  $\pi_{(G,S)}(w_a) = a$  and  $\pi_{(G,S)}(w_b) = b$ . For each  $i \in I$ , since  $G_i$  has no (non-abelian) free subgroups, there is nontrivial  $w_i \in \langle w_a, w_b \rangle \leq F_k$  such that  $\pi_{(G_i, S_i)}(w_i) = 1$ , i.e.,  $w_i \in N_{(G_i, S_i)}$ . Hence  $\{\mathcal{O}_{w_i}\}_{i \in I}$  is an open cover of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is compact, there is a finite subcover  $\mathcal{O}_{w_1}, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{w_n}$ . By Lemma 1, there exists non-trivial  $w \in \langle w_a, w_b \rangle$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{O}_w$ . This shows that  $w = 1$  in  $U_{\mathcal{C}}$ .  $\square$

### 3. GRIGORCHUK 2-GROUPS<sup>1</sup>

We recall here the construction of [Gri84b]. Note that in the original construction in [Gri84b] the groups are defined as measure preserving transformations of the unit interval. Here we will define them as groups of automorphisms of the binary rooted tree.

Let  $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the space of infinite sequences  $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n \dots$  where  $\omega_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , considered with its natural product topology. Let  $\tau$  be the shift transformation, i.e., if  $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \in \Omega$  then  $\tau\omega = \omega_2 \omega_3 \dots$ . Let  $T$  be the binary rooted tree whose vertices are identified with the set of all finite binary words  $\{0, 1\}^*$  and edges defined in standard way:  $E = \{(w, wx) \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*, x \in \{0, 1\}\}$ . For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , consider the automorphisms  $\{a, b_{\omega}, c_{\omega}, d_{\omega}\}$  of  $T$  defined recursively as follows:

For  $v \in \{0, 1\}^*$

$$a(0v) = 1v \text{ and } a(1v) = 0v$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\omega}(0v) &= 0\beta(\omega_1)(v) & c_{\omega}(0v) &= 0\zeta(\omega_1)(v) & d_{\omega}(0v) &= 0\delta(\omega_1)(v) \\ b_{\omega}(1v) &= 1b_{\tau\omega}(v) & c_{\omega}(1v) &= 1c_{\tau\omega}(v) & d_{\omega}(1v) &= 1d_{\tau\omega}(v), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(0) &= a & \zeta(0) &= a & \delta(0) &= e \\ \beta(1) &= a & \zeta(1) &= e & \delta(1) &= a \\ \beta(2) &= e & \zeta(2) &= a & \delta(2) &= a \end{aligned}$$

and  $e$  denotes the identity automorphism of  $T$ .

For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , let  $G_{\omega}$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(T)$  generated by the set  $S_{\omega} = \{a, b_{\omega}, c_{\omega}, d_{\omega}\}$  so that  $\mathcal{G} = \{(G_{\omega}, S_{\omega}) \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{M}_4$ . In [Gri84b] it was observed that if two sequences  $\omega, \eta \in \Omega$  which are not eventually constant, have long common beginning, then the 4-marked groups  $(G_{\omega}, S_{\omega})$  and  $(G_{\eta}, S_{\eta})$  are close to each other in  $\mathcal{M}_4$ . It was also observed that the groups  $(G_{\omega}, S_{\omega})$  for eventually constant sequences  $\omega$  are isolated in  $\{(G_{\omega}, S_{\omega}) \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$ . Hence, removing these isolated points from this set and taking its closure in  $\mathcal{M}_4$ , one obtains a compact

<sup>1</sup> The first and the third authors insist on using this standard terminology.

subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \{(\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega\} \subset \mathcal{M}_4$  which is homeomorphic to  $\Omega$  (and hence to a Cantor set) via  $\omega \mapsto (\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega)$ . Note that  $(\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega) = (G_\omega, S_\omega)$  if and only if  $\omega$  is not eventually constant and  $(\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (G_{\omega^{(n)}}, S_{\omega^{(n)}})$  when  $\omega$  is eventually constant and where  $\{\omega^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a sequence of not eventually constant elements in  $\Omega$  converging to  $\omega$  (the limit does not depend on the choice of the sequence  $\{\omega^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ ). In other words, the families  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  differ only on countably many points.

Note that we have the following:  $N_{(\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega)} = N_{(G_\omega, S_\omega)}$  if  $\omega$  is not eventually constant and  $N_{(\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega)} \subset N_{(G_\omega, S_\omega)}$  for eventually constant  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Let  $\Omega_\infty$  be the set of sequences in  $\Omega$  in which all three letters  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  occur infinitely often and  $\Omega_0$  be the set of eventually constant sequences. Regarding the groups in  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  the following are known:

**Theorem 1** ([Gri84b]).

- (1) All groups  $G_\omega$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  are infinite residually finite groups.
- (2)  $G_\omega$  is virtually  $\mathbb{Z}^{2^n}$  if  $\omega$  becomes constant starting with  $n$ -th coordinate.
- (3) If  $\omega \notin \Omega_0$  then  $G_\omega$  has intermediate growth between polynomial and exponential.
- (4) If  $\omega \in \Omega_0$  then  $\tilde{G}_\omega$  is virtually metabelian, infinitely presented and has exponential growth.
- (5) If  $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$  then  $G_\omega$  is a torsion 2-group.
- (6) If  $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$  then  $G_\omega$  is just-infinite, i.e., all its nontrivial quotients are finite.
- (7) For  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_\infty$  we have  $G_{\omega_1} \cong G_{\omega_2}$  if and only if  $\omega_1$  can be obtained from  $\omega_2$  by applying a permutation from  $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1, 2\})$  letter by letter.

*Proof.* For proofs of (1),(2),(3) and (5) see [Gri84b, Theorem 2.1]. (4) is proven in [Gri84b, Theorem 6.1,6.2] and (6) in [Gri84b, Theorem 8.1]. (7) is proven in [Nek05, Theorem 2.10.13].  $\square$

#### 4. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE FULL UNIVERSAL GROUP $U$

Regarding the universal groups corresponding to the families  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  we have the following:

**Proposition 3.**  $U_{\mathcal{G}} = U_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}$ .

*Proof.* Referring to the notation of Definition 2, we need to show the following equality:

$$N_{\mathcal{G}} := \bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} N_{(G_\omega, S_\omega)} = N_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}} := \bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} N_{(\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega)}.$$

Since  $N_{(\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega)} \subset N_{(G_\omega, S_\omega)}$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the right-hand side of the above equation is contained in the left-hand side. Since  $\{(\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_0\}$  is dense in  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ , by

Proposition 1 we have

$$N_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}} = \bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_0} N_{(\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega)}.$$

Therefore,

$$N_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_0} N_{(G_\omega, S_\omega)} = \bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_0} N_{(\tilde{G}_\omega, \tilde{S}_\omega)} = N_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}.$$

□

We will use the notation  $U = U_{\mathcal{G}}$  for the full universal group and denote by  $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$  its canonical generators. Note that the basic relations  $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^2 = bcd = 1$  hold in  $U$ .

**Theorem 2.**  *$U$  contains no nonabelian free subgroups, has uniformly exponential growth and is not finitely presented.*

*Proof.* Since all groups in  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  are amenable (and hence cannot contain nonabelian free subgroups), the first assertion follows from Proposition 2. By Theorem 1 part (4), the group  $\tilde{G}_\eta$  for  $\eta = 000\dots$  is an elementary amenable group of exponential growth, and hence of uniformly exponential growth by [Osi04]. Therefore  $U$  has uniformly exponential growth. By [BGdlH13, Theorem 1.10], any finitely presented group mapping onto the groups  $G_\omega, \omega \in \Omega$  must be large, i.e., has a finite index subgroup mapping onto a nonabelian free group. In particular, such group contains a nonabelian free subgroup. Therefore  $U$  cannot be finitely presented. □

#### 4.1. $U$ as an automaton group.

In this section we will realize  $U$  as an automaton group and explore further properties. Firstly, we will recall some basics.

Let  $T_d$  denote the  $d$ -ary rooted tree with vertex set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1\}^*$ . For an automorphism  $g \in \text{Aut}(T_d)$  and  $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ , the *section* of  $g$  at  $x$  (denoted by  $g_x$ ) is the automorphism of  $T_d$  defined uniquely by

$$g(xv) = g(x)g_x(v) \text{ for all } v \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}.$$

This gives an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(T_d) &\rightarrow S_d \rtimes (\text{Aut}(T_d) \times \dots \times \text{Aut}(T_d)) \\ g &\mapsto \sigma_g(g_0, \dots, g_{d-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_g$  describes how  $g$  permutes the first level subtrees and  $g_i$  describe its action within each subtree. (Here  $S_d$  is the symmetric group on  $d$  letters).

**Definition 4.** A subgroup  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T_d)$  is called self-similar if for all  $g \in G$  and  $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ ,  $g_x \in G$ .

For an overview of self-similar groups and related topics we refer to [GŠ07].

A standard way to construct self-similar groups is to start with a list of symbols  $S = \{s^1, \dots, s^m\}$  and permutations  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m \in S_d$  and consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} s^1 &= \sigma_1(s_0^1, \dots, s_{d-1}^1) \\ &\vdots \\ s^m &= \sigma_m(s_0^m, \dots, s_{d-1}^m) \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_j^i \in S$ . If  $\sigma_i = id$ , we will omit writing it. Such a system defines a unique set of  $m$  automorphisms of  $T_d$ . Clearly the group  $G = \langle S \rangle$  will be self-similar. Since in this case the generating set  $S$  is closed under taking sections, the action of the group can be modeled by a Mealy type automaton where each generator will correspond to a state of the automaton (see the figure below for an example). Such groups, i.e., groups generated by the states of a Mealy type automaton are called *automata groups*. We refer to [GNS00] for a detailed account on automata groups.


Consider the tree  $T_6$  determined by the alphabet

$$\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1, 2\} = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2)\}$$

whose elements are enumerated as  $0, 1, \dots, 5$ . Let  $V \leq Aut(T_6)$  be generated by the following elements:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} A &= (14)(25)(36) \quad (E, E, E, E, E, E) \\ B &= \quad \quad \quad (A, A, E, B, B, B) \\ C &= \quad \quad \quad (A, E, A, C, C, C) \\ D &= \quad \quad \quad (E, A, A, D, D, D) \end{aligned}$$

where,  $(14)(25)(36)$  is an element of the symmetric group  $S_6$  and  $E$  corresponds to the identity automorphism. Observe that  $A^2 = B^2 = C^2 = D^2 = BCD = 1$ . The corresponding automaton is as follows:





We will show that the group  $V$  is isomorphic to  $U$  (as a marked group).

Given  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $u \in \{0, 1\}^*$  let  $\omega^u \in \{0, 1, 2\}^*$  be the beginning of  $\omega$  of length  $|u|$ . Note that

$$\omega^{uv} = \omega^u (\tau^{|u|}\omega)^v$$

for all  $u, v \in \{0, 1\}^*$

For any  $\omega \in \Omega$  let  $T_\omega = \{(u, v) \in T_6 \mid u \in \{0, 1\}^*, v = \omega^u\}$ . Clearly  $T_\omega$  is a binary subtree of  $T_6$ . Denote  $\{0, 1\}^*$  by  $T_2$  and let  $\phi_\omega : T_\omega \rightarrow T_2$  be defined as

$$\phi_\omega(u, v) = u$$

which clearly is a bijection. For  $(u, v) \in T_\omega$  and  $(u', v') \in T_{\tau^{|u|}\omega}$  we have

$$(2) \quad \phi_\omega(uu', vv') = \phi_\omega(u, v)\phi_{\tau^{|u|}\omega}(u', v')$$

Given  $g \in V$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$  define a group homomorphism  $\psi_\omega : V \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_2)$  by

$$\psi_\omega(g)(u) = \phi_\omega(g(u, \omega^u)) \text{ for all } u \in T_2$$

It is straightforward to verify that  $\psi_\omega(g) \in \text{Aut}(T_2)$  and that  $\psi_\omega$  defines a group homomorphism.

**Lemma 2.** *For all  $u \in T_2$  with  $|u| = n$  have*

$$\psi_\omega(g)_u = \psi_{\tau^n \omega}(g_{(u, \omega^u)})$$

*Proof.* Let  $u, z \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,  $|u| = n$  and denote  $\omega^u = v$ ,  $(\tau^n \omega)^z = v'$

$$g(uz, \omega^{uz}) = g(uz, vv') = g((u, v)(z, v')) = g(u, v)g_{(u, v)}(z, v')$$

Hence, by Equation 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\omega(g)(uz) &= \phi_\omega(g(uz, \omega^{uz})) = \phi_\omega(g(u, v)g_{(u, v)}(z, v')) = \phi_\omega(g(u, v))\phi_{\tau^n \omega}(g_{(u, v)}(z, v')) \\ &= \psi_\omega(g)(u)\psi_{\tau^n \omega}(g_{(u, v)})(z) \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\psi_\omega$  defines a marked surjective homomorphism  $\psi_\omega : V \rightarrow G_\omega$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $\psi_\omega$  maps generators of  $V$  to the generators of  $G_\omega$ . Firstly, by definition of  $A$  we have

$$\psi_\omega(A)(u) = \phi_\omega(A(u, \omega^u)) = \phi_\omega((a(u), \omega^u)) = a(u) \text{ for all } u.$$

We will show by induction on  $|u|$  that  $B, C, D$  are mapped to  $b_\omega, c_\omega, d_\omega$  respectively. If  $|u| = 1$  it is straightforward to check this. Using Lemma 2 and induction assumption we have for  $u \in \{0, 1\}^*$

$$\psi_\omega(B)(0u) = 0\psi_\omega(B)_0(u) = 0\psi_\omega(B_{(0, \omega^0)})(u) = \begin{cases} 0a(u) & \text{if } \omega^0 = 0, 1 \\ 0u & \text{if } \omega^0 = 2 \end{cases} = b_\omega(0u)$$

Similarly one can check that  $\psi_\omega(B)(1u) = b_\omega(1u)$  for all  $u \in \{0, 1\}^*$  and hence  $\psi_\omega(B) = b_\omega$ . Repeating the argument shows that  $\psi_\omega(C) = c_\omega, \psi_\omega(D) = d_\omega$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *The group  $V$  is isomorphic to the universal group  $U$  (as a marked group).*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3, for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  there exists a marked surjection  $\psi_\omega : V \rightarrow G_\omega$ , and hence there exists a marked surjection  $\psi : V \rightarrow U$ . If  $g \in V$  is a nontrivial, let  $v \in T_6$  such that  $gv \neq v$ . Let  $\omega \in \Omega$  be such that  $v \in T_\omega$ . This shows that  $\psi_\omega(g) \neq 1$  and hence  $\psi(g) \neq 1$ . This shows that  $\psi$  is a marked isomorphism.  $\square$

From now on we will identify  $U$  with  $V$ .

Sidki in [Sid00] classified automata groups according to their "activity growth" and conjectured that automata groups of polynomial growth are amenable. Note that the automaton defining  $U$  has exponential activity growth in Sidki's classification. The question of amenability of the group  $U$  remains open. The note [Muc05] claiming amenability of this group unfortunately contains a mistake.

#### 4.2. Branch Structure of $U$ .

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a rooted  $d$ -ary tree  $T_d$ . For a vertex  $v$  of  $T_d$ , let  $T_v$  denote the subtree hanging down at vertex  $v$  and for an element  $g \in G$  let  $\text{supp}(g)$  be the support of  $g$  i.e., the set of vertices not fixed by  $g$ . The stabilizer of a vertex  $v$  is the subgroup  $\text{St}_G(v) = \{g \in G \mid g(v) = v\}$ . The rigid stabilizer of a vertex  $v$  is the subgroup  $\text{Rist}_G(v) = \{g \in G \mid \text{supp}(g) \subset T_v\}$ . The rigid stabilizer of level  $n$  is the subgroup  $\text{Rist}_G(n) = \langle \text{Rist}_G(v) \mid |v| = n \rangle$ . Since rigid stabilizer of distinct vertices of the same level commute, we have  $\text{Rist}_G(n) = \prod_{|v|=n} \text{Rist}_G(v)$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $G$  be group of automorphisms of a rooted tree  $T$ .  $G$  is said to be a near branch group (resp. weakly near branch group) if for all  $n \geq 1$ , the subgroup  $\text{Rist}_G(n)$  has finite index in  $G$  (resp. is nontrivial). If in addition  $G$  acts level transitively (i.e., transitively on each level of the tree) then  $G$  is called a branch group (weakly branch group) respectively.

The class of (weakly) branch groups is interesting from various points of view and plays an important role in the classification of just-infinite groups, i.e., infinite groups whose proper homomorphic images are all finite (see [Gri00] for a detailed account on branch groups and just-infinite groups).

Let us mention the following fact which will be used in the forthcoming sections. We will also give an alternative proof of this fact later.

**Theorem 4.** [Gri84b] *For  $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ , the group  $G_\omega$  is a branch group.*

Note that at the terminology "branch group" was not used in [Gri84b].

If  $G$  is a self-similar group, a standard way to show near branch property (resp. weakly near branch property) is to find a finite index subgroup  $K$  (resp. nontrivial subgroup) of  $G$  such that the image  $\phi(K)$  contains the subgroup  $K \times \cdots \times K$  where

$\phi : \text{Aut}(T_d) \rightarrow S_d \ltimes (\text{Aut}(T_d) \times \cdots \times \text{Aut}(T_d))$  is as defined in the previous section. This inclusion is denoted by  $K \succcurlyeq K \times \cdots \times K$ . In this case the group is said to be a regular ((weakly) near) branch group over the subgroup  $K$ .

**Definition 6.** Let  $G$  be a self-similar group of automorphisms of a  $d$ -ary rooted tree  $d$ .  $G$  is said to be *self-replicating* if for all  $g \in G$  and all  $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$ , there exists an element  $h \in \text{St}_G(1)$  such that  $h_x = g$ .

Regarding the action of  $U$  on  $T_6$  we have the following:

**Theorem 5.**  $U$  is a self-replicating weakly near branch group, regular branching over the third commutator subgroup  $U'''$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\text{St}_U(1)$  is generated by the elements  $\{b, c, d, aba, aca, ada\}$ . Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} b &= (a, a, 1, b, b, b) \\ c &= (a, 1, a, c, c, c) \\ d &= (1, a, a, d, d, d) \\ aba &= (b, b, b, a, a, 1) \\ aca &= (c, c, c, a, 1, a) \\ ada &= (d, d, d, 1, a, a) \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $U$  is self-replicating.

We claim that the derived subgroup  $U'$  is generated by  $(ab)^2, (ac)^2, (ad)^2$ . From the basic relations we have that  $a, b, c, d$  are of order 2 and  $b, c, d$  commute with each other. Hence  $U'$  is generated as a normal subgroup by

$$[a, b] = (ab)^2, [a, c] = (ac)^2, [a, d] = (ad)^2$$

Therefore it is enough to show that the subgroup generated by  $(ab)^2, (ac)^2, (ad)^2$  is normal in  $U$ . Clearly conjugation by  $a$  inverts the elements  $(ab)^2, (ac)^2, (ad)^2$ . For other conjugations we have (using the relation  $bcd = 1$ ):

$$x(ax)^2x = (xa)^2 = ((ax)^2)^{-1}$$

and

$$y(ax)^2y = (ya)^2(az)^2 = ((ay)^2)^{-1}(az)^2$$

where  $x, y, z \in \{b, c, d\}$  are distinct. Therefore  $U'$  is generated by  $(ab)^2, (ac)^2, (ad)^2$ .

Next we claim that  $U$  is near weakly branch over the third derived subgroup  $U'''$ , that is:  $U''' \succcurlyeq U''' \times U''' \times U''' \times U''' \times U''' \times U'''$ . Let

$$t = [(ab)^2, (ac)^2], \quad v = [(ab)^2, (ad)^2] \quad w = [(ac)^2, (ad)^2]$$

$U''$  is generated as a normal subgroup by  $t, v$  and  $w$ . Hence  $U''$  is generated by the set

$$\{t^{g_1}, v^{g_2}, w^{g_3} \mid g_i \in U\}$$

It follows that  $U'''$  is generated as a normal subgroup by the set

$$S = \{[t^{g_1}, v^{g_2}], [t^{g_3}, w^{g_4}], [v^{g_5}, w^{g_6}] \mid g_i \in U\}$$

We have the following equalities (this can best be checked with the GAP package

<http://www.gap-system.org/Packages/automgrp.html>):

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1 &= [[(ab)^2, b], [b, (ca)^2]] = (t, *, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\
h_2 &= [[(ab)^2, b], [c, (da)^2]] = (v, 1, 1, 1, *, 1) \\
h_3 &= [[c, (ca)^2], [b, (da)^2]] = (w, 1, 1, 1, 1, *) \\
h_4 &= [[b, (ba)^2], [d, (ca)^2]] = (1, t, 1, *, 1, 1) \\
h_5 &= [[d, (ad)^2], [b, (ba)^2]] = (1, v, 1, 1, *, 1) \\
h_6 &= [[d, (ca)^2], [b, (da)^2]] = (1, w, 1, 1, 1, *) \\
h_7 &= [[c, (ba)^2], [d, (ca)^2]] = (1, 1, t, *, 1, 1) \\
h_8 &= [[d, (ba)^2], [c, (da)^2]] = (1, 1, v, 1, *, 1) \\
h_9 &= [[c, (ca)^2], [d, (da)^2]] = (1, 1, w, 1, 1, *)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $*$  are elements of  $U$  not of importance. Clearly  $h_i \in U'''$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ . Given  $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6 \in U$ , due the fact that  $U$  is self-replicating, there are elements  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6 \in U$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1 &= (g_1, *, *, *, *, *) \\
\gamma_2 &= (g_2, *, *, *, *, *) \\
\gamma_3 &= (g_3, *, *, *, *, *) \\
\gamma_4 &= (g_4, *, *, *, *, *) \\
\gamma_5 &= (g_5, *, *, *, *, *) \\
\gamma_6 &= (g_6, *, *, *, *, *)
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
[h_1^{\gamma_1}, h_2^{\gamma_2}] &= ([t^{g_1}, v^{g_2}], 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\
[h_1^{\gamma_3}, h_3^{\gamma_4}] &= ([t^{g_3}, w^{g_4}], 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\
[h_2^{\gamma_5}, h_3^{\gamma_6}] &= ([v^{g_5}, w^{g_6}], 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
\end{aligned}$$

and clearly left hand sides are elements of  $U'''$ . Using the fact that  $U$  is self-replicating we see that

$$U''' \cong U''' \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1.$$

Doing same thing in second and third coordinates and using other  $h_i$  we see that

$$U''' \cong 1 \times U''' \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1$$

and

$$U''' \cong 1 \times 1 \times U''' \times 1 \times 1 \times 1$$

and finally conjugating with  $a$  we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
U''' &\cong 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times U''' \times 1 \times 1 \\
U''' &\cong 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times U''' \times 1 \\
U''' &\cong 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times U'''
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$U''' \cong U''' \times U''' \times U''' \times U''' \times U''' \times U'''.$$

Clearly  $U'''$  is non-trivial since  $U$  has non-solvable quotients.  $\square$

Note that  $U/U'''$  maps onto the group  $\tilde{G}_{000\dots}$  and hence is infinite. Also,  $U$  cannot have a branch type action (on any rooted tree) since all non-trivial quotients of branch groups are virtually abelian, a fact proven in [Gri00].

### 4.3. Branch structure of general universal groups.

In this subsection we will investigate the branch structure of arbitrary universal groups.

For  $\omega \in \Omega$  we have an injection

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\omega : G_\omega &\rightarrow S_2 \times (G_{\tau\omega} \times G_{\tau\omega}) \\ a &\mapsto (01)(1, 1) \\ b_\omega &\mapsto (\beta(\omega_0), b_{\tau\omega}) \\ c_\omega &\mapsto (\zeta(\omega_0), c_{\tau\omega}) \\ d_\omega &\mapsto (\delta(\omega_0), d_{\tau\omega}) \end{aligned}$$

For subgroups  $H \leq G_\omega$  and  $H \leq G_{\tau\omega}$  let us write  $K \times K \preceq H$  if  $K \times K \leq \phi_\omega(H)$ . Note that this means  $H$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $K \times K$ .

**Proposition 4.** *For  $\omega \in \Omega$  we have  $G_{\tau\omega}''' \times G_{\tau\omega}''' \preceq G_\omega'''$*

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $\omega_0 = 0$ . Define

$$\pi : U \times U \times U \times U \times U \times U \rightarrow G_\omega \times G_\omega$$

by  $\pi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = (\psi_\omega(u_1), \psi_\omega(u_4))$  where  $\psi_\omega$  is as defined in section 4.1. Let  $\phi : U \rightarrow S_6 \times U \times U \times U \times U \times U$  be the canonical map.

Then the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} St_U(1) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & U \times U \times U \times U \times U \times U \\ \downarrow \psi_\omega & & \downarrow \pi \\ St_{G_\omega}(1) & \xrightarrow{\phi_\omega} & G_{\tau\omega} \times G_{\tau\omega} \end{array}$$

By Theorem 5, we have  $U''' \times U''' \times U''' \times U''' \times U''' \times U''' \preceq U'''$ . Since  $\psi_\omega(U''') = G_\omega'''$  we see that  $G_{\tau\omega}''' \times G_{\tau\omega}''' \preceq G_\omega'''$ .

The case when  $\omega_0 = 1$  or  $\omega_0 = 2$  can be proven similarly by modifying  $\pi$ . □

**Corollary 1.** *For  $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ ,  $G_\omega$  is a branch group.*

*Proof.* It follows by Proposition 4 and an induction argument that for any  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\prod_1^{2^n} G_{\tau^n \omega}''' \preceq G_\omega'''$$

It follows that for any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\prod_1^{2^n} G_{\tau^n \omega}''' \preceq Rist_{G_\omega}(n)$ . Note that for any  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_0$ ,  $G_\omega'''$  is nontrivial (since  $G_\omega$  is not solvable) and also have finite index (since  $G_\omega$  are just-infinite.) It follows that  $Rist_{G_\omega}(n)$  has finite index for all  $n \geq 1$ . □

For a non-empty subset  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$ , let us denote the universal group corresponding to the family  $\{(G_\omega, S_\omega) \mid \omega \in \Lambda\}$  by  $U_\Lambda$ . Given  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  let  $T_\Lambda = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Lambda} T_\omega$  and note that  $T_\Lambda$  is a (not necessarily regular) subtree of  $T_6$ . Also note that  $T_\Lambda$  is  $U$  invariant (since each  $T_\omega$  is so) and the restriction of  $U$  onto  $T_\Lambda$  gives the universal group  $U_\Lambda$ .

**Proposition 5.** *If  $\Lambda \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_0$  then with the action onto  $T_\Lambda$ ,  $U_\Lambda$  is a weakly near branch group.*

*Proof.* Let  $v \in T_\Lambda$  and let  $v \in T_\omega$  for some  $\omega \in \Lambda$ . Let  $g$  be a non-trivial element of  $\text{Rist}_{G_\omega}(v)$ . Then by the proof of Proposition 4, there exists  $h \in \text{Rist}_U(v)$  such that  $\psi_\omega(h) = g$ . The restriction of  $h$  onto  $T_\Lambda$  gives a non-trivial element in  $\text{Rist}_{U_\Lambda}(v)$ .  $\square$

## 5. UNIVERSAL GROUPS OF INTERMEDIATE GROWTH

The aim of this section is to show that there exists a subset  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  of cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$  such that  $U_\Lambda$  has intermediate growth. This fact was first established in [Gri84a], we fix some inaccuracies in the proof of this fact.

First, let us briefly recall basic notions related to the growth of groups. We refer to [dlH00, Man12, Gri13] for a detailed account on growth and related topics.

Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group and  $S$  a finite generating set. The length of an element (with respect to  $S$ ) is given by  $\ell_S(g) = \min\{n \mid g = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n, i \in S^\pm\}$ . The growth function of  $G$  (with respect to  $S$ ) is  $\gamma_{G,S}(n) = \#B(G, S, n)$  where  $B(G, S, n) = \{g \in G \mid \ell_S(g) \leq n\}$  is the ball of radius  $n$ . For two increasing functions  $f_1, f_2$  defined on the set of natural numbers, let us write  $f_1 \preceq f_2$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $f_1(n) \leq f_2(Cn)$  for all  $n$ . Let us also write  $f_1 \sim f_2$  if  $f_1 \preceq f_2$  and  $f_2 \preceq f_1$ , which defines an equivalence relation. It can be observed that the growth functions of a group with respect to different finite generating sets are  $\sim$  equivalent and hence the asymptotic behavior of the growth functions of a group is an invariant of the group.

There are three types of growth for groups: If  $\gamma_G \preceq n^d$  for some  $d \geq 0$  then  $G$  is said to be of polynomial growth, if  $\gamma_G \sim e^n$  then it is said to have exponential growth. If neither of this happens then the group is said to have *intermediate growth*.

If we are talking about the growth of a marked group  $(G, S)$ , we will simply write  $\gamma_G$  for the growth function of  $G$  with respect to  $S$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $F = \{(G_i, S_i) \mid i \in I\} \subset \mathcal{M}_k$  be a non-empty subset. Denote by  $\gamma_F$  the growth function of the diagonal group  $(U_F^{\text{diag}}, S_F^{\text{diag}})$  of Definition 3. Then*

- (1) *For all  $i \in I, \gamma_F(n) \geq \gamma_i(n)$  for all  $n$ ,*
- (2) *If  $I$  is finite then,  $\gamma_F(n) \leq \prod_{i \in I} \gamma_i(n)$  for all  $n$ .*

*Proof.* In general, if  $(H, K)$  is a marked image of  $(G, S)$ , then  $\gamma_G(n) \geq \gamma_H(n)$  for every  $n$ . Since all  $(G_i, S_i)$  are marked images of the diagonal group, we obtain the first assertion. For the second assertion, observe that  $B(U_F^{diag}, S_F^{diag}, n) \subset \prod_{i \in I} B(G_i, S_i, n)$ .  $\square$

For a positive integer  $M$  let  $\Omega_M \subset \Omega_\infty$  be the set of all sequences for which every subword of length  $M$  contains all symbols  $0, 1, 2$ .

**Theorem 6.** [Gri84b, Theorem 3.3] *There exist constants  $C$  and  $\alpha < 1$  depending only on  $M$ , such that if  $\omega \in \Omega_M$  then*

$$\gamma_{G_\omega}(n) \leq C^{n^\alpha} \text{ for all } n.$$

Given natural numbers  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  let

$$\Lambda_{r_1, \dots, r_k} = \{(012)^{r_1} \eta_1 (012)^{r_2} \eta_2 \dots (012)^{r_k} \eta_k (012)^\infty \mid \eta_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}\} \subset \Omega.$$

where  $(012)^\infty$  stands for the periodic sequence  $012012012\dots$

For a sequence of natural numbers  $\mathbf{r} = \{r_k\}$ , let

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{r}} = \{(012)^{r_1} \eta_1 (012)^{r_2} \eta_2 \dots (012)^{r_k} \eta_k \dots \mid \eta_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}\} \subset \Omega.$$

Note that both  $\Lambda_{r_1, \dots, r_k}$  and  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{r}}$  are subsets of  $\Omega_4$ . Let us denote the universal groups  $U_{\Lambda_{r_1, \dots, r_k}}$  and  $U_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{r}}}$  by  $U_{r_1, \dots, r_k}$  and  $U_{\mathbf{r}}$  respectively. Let  $\gamma_{r_1, \dots, r_k}$  and  $\gamma_{\mathbf{r}}$  denote the growth functions (with respect to the canonical generating sets) of  $U_{r_1, \dots, r_k}$  and  $U_{\mathbf{r}}$  respectively.

**Lemma 5.** *Given natural numbers  $r_1, \dots, r_k$ , there exists a natural number  $m$  such that*

$$\gamma_{r_1, \dots, r_k, x}(m) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^m \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\Lambda_{r_1, \dots, r_k, x} \subset \Omega_4$ , by Theorem 6 there exists  $C$  and  $\alpha < 1$  (not depending on  $x$ ) such that for all  $\omega \in \Lambda_{r_1, \dots, r_k, x}$  we have

$$\gamma_\omega(n) \leq C^{n^\alpha} \text{ for all } n.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4 (using the fact that  $|\Lambda_{r_1, \dots, r_k, x}| = 3^{k+1}$ ) we have

$$\gamma_{r_1, \dots, r_k, x}(n) \leq (C^{n^\alpha})^{3^{k+1}} = D^{n^\alpha} \text{ for all } n$$

where  $D = C^{3^{k+1}}$  does not depend on  $x$ . Therefore there exists a natural number  $m$  such that

$$\gamma_{r_1, \dots, r_k, x}(m) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^m \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 6.** [Gri84a, Lemma 3] *Let  $\mathbf{r} = \{r_k\}$  be a sequence of natural numbers. If for some  $k$*

$$k + r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_k \geq \log_2 2n$$

*then  $\gamma_{r_1, \dots, r_k}(n) = \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}(n)$ .*

**Theorem 7.** [Gri84a, Theorem 1] *There exists a sequence  $\mathbf{r} = \{r_k\}$  such that  $U_{\mathbf{r}}$  has intermediate growth.*

*Proof.* Let  $r_1 = 1$ . By Lemma 5, there exists a natural number  $n_1$  such that

$$\gamma_{r_1, x}(n_1) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{1}\right)^{n_1} \text{ for any } x.$$

Choose  $r_2$  such that  $2 + r_1 + r_2 \geq \log_2 2n_1$ . Again by Lemma 5 there exists  $n_2 > n_1$  such that

$$\gamma_{r_1, r_2, x}(n_2) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{n_2} \text{ for any } x.$$

Assume  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  has been already chosen. By Lemma 5, there exists  $n_k > n_{k-1}$  such that

$$(3) \quad \gamma_{r_1, \dots, r_k, x}(n_k) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^{n_k} \text{ for any } x.$$

Choose  $r_{k+1}$  such that

$$(4) \quad k + 1 + r_1 + \dots + r_{k+1} \geq \log_2 2n_k.$$

Continuing in this manner we construct sequences  $\mathbf{r} = \{r_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  for which Equations 3 and 4 are satisfied. Lemma 6 and Equation 4 shows that for all  $k$  we have

$$\gamma_{r_1, \dots, r_{k+1}}(n_k) = \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}(n_k).$$

Using this and Equation 3 we have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}(n_k)^{\frac{1}{n_k}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{r_1, \dots, r_{k+1}}(n_k)^{\frac{1}{n_k}} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right) = 1$$

□

**Corollary 2.** *There exists a finitely generated group of intermediate growth with  $2^{\aleph_0}$  non-isomorphic homomorphic images.*

As mentioned in the beginning of this section, this fact was established in [Gri84a] with a small inaccuracy. Our proof mainly follows the lines of [Gri84a] only difference being that one needs Lemma 5.

## 6. INVARIANT RANDOM SUBGROUPS OF UNIVERSAL GROUPS

The aim of this section is to show that there are universal groups with many invariant random subgroups.



### 6.1. Preliminaries About Invariant Random Subgroups.

Let  $G$  be a countable group and let  $S(G)$  be the space of subgroups of  $G$  endowed with the topology having sets of the form  $\mathcal{O}_{A,B} = \{N \leq G \mid A \subset N, B \cap N = \emptyset\}$  where  $A, B$  are finite subsets of  $G$ , as basis..  $S(G)$  can be identified with a closed subspace of  $\{0, 1\}^G$  supplied with by the topology induced from the Tychonoff topology. The group  $G$  acts on  $S(G)$  by conjugation and hence forming a topological dynamical system  $(G, S(G))$ . We are interested in dynamical system of the form  $(G, S(G), \mu)$  where  $\mu$  is a conjugation invariant probability measure on  $S(G)$ .

**Definition 7.** A conjugation invariant Borel probability measure on  $S(G)$  is called an invariant random subgroup (IRS in short).

The space  $S(G)$  is a compact, metrizable, totally disconnected space which (applying the Cantor-Bendixon procedure [Kec95, I.6]) consists of a perfect kernel  $\kappa(G)$  and its complement  $S(G) \setminus \kappa(G)$  which is countable. The perfect kernel  $\kappa(G)$  is either empty or is homeomorphic to a Cantor set, and it is empty if and only if  $S(G)$  is countable, that is  $G$  has only countably many subgroups. This is the case, for instance, for finitely generated virtually nilpotent groups, virtually polycyclic groups, some metabelian groups like Baumslag-Solitar groups  $B(1, n)$ , or Tarski monsters [Ol'80].

As  $\kappa(G)$  is an invariant subset of  $S(G)$  with respect to the action of  $Aut(G)$  and as the complement  $S(G) \setminus \kappa(G)$  is countable, it is clear that a continuous IRS has law  $\mu$  supported on  $\kappa(G)$ .

Given a subgroup  $L \leq G$ , let  $S(G, L) \subset S(G)$  be the set of subgroups containing  $L$ , which clearly is closed. Note that, if  $L$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $S(G, L)$  is invariant under the action of  $G$ .

Let  $\varphi : G \longrightarrow H$  be a homomorphism. It induces two maps

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varphi} : S(G) &\longrightarrow S(H) \\ N &\longmapsto \varphi(N) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi} : S(H) &\longrightarrow S(G, Ker(\varphi)) \\ K &\longmapsto \varphi^{-1}(K) \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 7.**

- (1)  $\bar{\varphi}$  is Borel.
- (2)  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is continuous.
- (3)  $\tilde{\varphi}(K^{\varphi(g)}) = \tilde{\varphi}(K)^g$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $K \leq H$ .
- (4)  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(C^g) = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(C)^{\varphi(g)}$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $C \subset S(G, Ker(\varphi))$ .
- (5) If  $\varphi$  is surjective, then  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a homeomorphism.

*Proof.*

(1) We claim that

$$\bar{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{A,B}) = \bigcap_{a \in A} \bigcup_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(a)} \bigcap_{y \in \varphi^{-1}(B)} \mathcal{O}_{\{x\},\{y\}}$$

where  $A, B$  are finite subsets of  $H$ .

If  $\varphi(N) \in \mathcal{O}_{A,B}$  then  $A \subset \varphi(N)$  and  $B \cap \varphi(N) = \emptyset$ . This shows that for any  $a \in A$  there exists  $n_a \in N$  such that  $\varphi(n_a) = a$ . Also, for all  $y \in \varphi^{-1}(B)$  we have  $y \notin N$ . Hence  $N$  belongs to the right hand side.

Conversely, let  $N \leq G$  belong to the right hand side. This means that for all  $a \in A$  there exists  $n_a \in \varphi^{-1}(a)$  such that for all  $y \in \varphi^{-1}(B)$  we have  $N \in \mathcal{O}_{\{n_a\},\{y\}}$ . For any  $a \in A$ , we have  $\varphi(n_a) = a$  and hence  $A \subset \varphi(N)$ . Also, if  $B \cap \varphi(N)$  is nonempty, then the set  $N \cap \varphi^{-1}(B)$  is nonempty which is not true. Hence  $\varphi(N) \in \mathcal{O}_{A,B}$ .

Note that in general  $\bar{\varphi}$  is not continuous. For example, the sequence of subgroups  $(2n+1)\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  converge to the trivial subgroup, but their images in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  converge to the whole group.

- (2) We claim that  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C,D}) = \mathcal{O}_{\varphi(C),\varphi(D)}$  where  $C, D$  are finite subsets of  $G$ . In fact, if  $\tilde{\varphi}(K) \in \mathcal{O}_{C,D}$  for some  $K \leq H$ , then  $C \subset \varphi^{-1}(K)$  and  $D \cap \varphi^{-1}(K) = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $\varphi(C) \subset K$  and  $\varphi(D) \cap K = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $K \in \mathcal{O}_{\varphi(C),\varphi(D)}$ . Conversely, if  $K \in \mathcal{O}_{\varphi(C),\varphi(D)}$  for some  $K \leq H$ , then  $\varphi(C) \subset K$  and  $D \cap \varphi(K) = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $C \subset \varphi^{-1}(K)$  and  $D \cap \varphi^{-1}(K) = \emptyset$  and hence  $\tilde{\varphi}(K) = \varphi^{-1}(K) \in \mathcal{O}_{C,D}$ .
- (3) This can be verified directly.
- (4) This follows from part (2).
- (5) If  $\varphi$  is surjective, then clearly  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is bijective. Since  $S(H)$  is compact, it follows that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a homeomorphism, □

**Corollary 3.** *If  $\mu$  is an IRS of  $H$  then the measure  $\nu = \tilde{\varphi}_*(\mu)$  is an IRS of  $G$  supported on the set  $\{\varphi^{-1}(K) \mid K \in \text{supp}(\mu)\}$ . If moreover  $\mu$  is continuous, ergodic with respect to the action of  $H$  and  $\varphi$  is surjective, then  $\nu$  is continuous and ergodic with respect to the action of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* The first part is immediate consequence of Lemma 7 parts (1) and (3). Note that the measure  $\tilde{\varphi}_*(\mu)$  is defined on the closed subset  $S(G, \text{Ker}(\varphi))$  of  $S(G)$ , and hence can be considered as a measure on  $S(G)$  with support in  $S(G, \text{Ker}(\varphi))$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  is continuous, ergodic and  $\varphi$  is surjective. Since  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a homeomorphism the measure  $\nu$  is continuous. Let  $C \subset S(G, \text{Ker}(\varphi))$  be  $G$ -invariant. Given  $h \in H$ , pick  $g \in G$  such that  $\varphi(g) = h$ . By Lemma 7 part (3),  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(C)^h = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(C)^{\varphi(g)} = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(C^g) = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(C)$ . Therefore  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(C)$  is  $H$  invariant, from which it follows that  $\nu(C) = \mu(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(C)) \in \{0, 1\}$ . □

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $X$  be a metrizable Hausdorff topological space and let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $X$ . Suppose also that a group  $G$  acts on the Borel space  $(X, \mu)$  by measure preserving transformations. Then the map  $St : X \rightarrow S(G)$  given by  $x \mapsto St_G(x)$  is Borel. Moreover, the measure  $\nu = St_*(\mu)$  is an IRS supported on  $\{St_G(x) \mid x \in X\}$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $S(G)$  is generated by sets of the form  $\mathcal{O}_g = \{N \leq G \mid g \in N\}$ . Also observe that  $St^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_g) = Fix(\varphi_g)$  where  $\varphi_g : X \rightarrow X$  given by  $\varphi_g(x) = g.x$ . Therefore  $St^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_g)$  is a Borel set (see e.g. [Par05, Chapter 1] on sections of Borel maps). This shows that the measure  $\nu = St_*(\mu)$  is a Borel measure on  $S(G)$  with support  $\{St_G(x) \mid x \in X\}$ . The relation  $St_G(g.x) = St_G(x)^{g^{-1}}$  and the  $G$  invariance of  $\mu$  show that  $\nu$  is conjugation invariant.  $\square$

It is known (see [AGV12]) that every IRS of a finitely generated group arises from a measure preserving action on a Borel probability space  $(X, \mu)$ .

If  $T_d$  is the rooted  $d$ -ary tree, its boundary  $\partial T_d$  is the set of all infinite rays emanating from the root vertex.  $\partial T_d$  is in bijection with infinite sequences over the alphabet  $\{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$  and hence homeomorphic to a Cantor Set. If  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of a rooted tree  $T_d$ , its action on  $T_d$  extends to an action onto the boundary  $\partial T_d$  and this action is by homeomorphisms. Let  $\mu$  be the uniform Bernoulli measure on  $\partial T_d$ , (i.e., the product of uniform measures on the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ ). Observe that  $\mu$  is continuous and invariant under the action of  $Aut(T_d)$  and hence invariant under the action of any subgroup  $G \leq Aut(T_d)$ . Regarding the the dynamics of such actions the following is known:

**Proposition 7.** [Gri11] *Let  $G$  be a countable group of automorphisms of a regular rooted tree  $T_d$ . Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *the group  $G$  acts transitively on the levels of  $T_d$ ,*
- (2) *the action of  $G$  on  $\partial T_d$  is minimal (i.e., orbits are dense),*
- (3) *the action of  $G$  on  $\partial T_d$  is ergodic with respect to the uniform Bernoulli measure on  $\partial T_d$ .*
- (4) *the action is uniquely ergodic.*

An action of weakly branch type on  $T$  gives a totally non-free action on the boundary  $\partial T$ .

**Proposition 8.** [BG02, Gri11] *Let  $G \leq Aut(T)$  be weakly branch. Then the map  $St : \partial T \rightarrow S(G)$  given by  $\xi \mapsto St_G(\xi)$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi, \eta \in \partial T$  be distinct elements and let  $u, v$  be distinct prefixes of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  respectively, of same length, say,  $n$ . Infinite sequences starting with  $u$  (respectively with  $v$ ) form a neighbourhood of  $\xi$  (respectively of  $\eta$ ) in  $\partial T$ . We will show that the stabilizer of the neighbourhood of  $\eta$  (which is a subgroup in  $St_G(\eta)$ ) is not contained in  $St_G(\xi)$ .

Let  $u$  and  $v$  be distinct prefixes of length  $n$  of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  respectively. Let  $g \in \text{Rist}_G(u)$  be nontrivial. Since  $v$  is not contained in the subtree  $T_u$ ,  $g$  fixes every infinite sequence starting with  $v$ . Since  $g$  is nontrivial it moves some vertex in  $uu_1 \in T_u$ , say  $g(uu_1) = uu_2$  for some  $u_1 \neq u_2$  of lengths  $m$ . Let  $uu'$  be the prefix of  $\xi$  of length  $n + m$ .

If  $u' = u$  or  $u' = u_2$ , then  $g(uu') \neq uu'$  and hence  $g \notin \text{St}_G(\xi)$ . If both  $u' \neq u_1$  and  $u' \neq u_2$ , by level transitivity let  $h \in G$  such that  $h(uu_1) = uu'$ . Then

$$(hgh^{-1})(uu') = (hg)(uu_1) = h(uu_2) \neq uu'$$

because  $u_1 \neq u_2$ . Therefore  $hgh^{-1} \notin \text{St}_G(\xi)$ . Since  $h(uu_1) = uu_2$ , we have  $h \in \text{St}_G(u)$  and hence  $hgh^{-1} \in \text{Rist}_G(u)$ . It follows that  $hgh^{-1} \in \text{St}_G^\circ(\eta)$ .  $\square$

As explained in Introduction, this readily provides us with a continuous ergodic *IRS* on  $G$ . See for example [DDMN10] for a detailed study of this and related measures on the space of Schreier graphs of the Basilica group.

Regarding the action of the Grigorchuk groups  $G_\omega, \omega \in \Omega$  on the boundary  $\partial T_2$  of the binary tree we obtain the following.

**Proposition 9.** *For  $\omega \in \Omega$  the action of  $G_\omega$  on  $T_2$  is level transitive and hence the action of  $G_\omega$  on  $(\partial T_2, \mu)$  is ergodic. Therefore, the induced *IRS* on  $G_\omega$  is continuous and ergodic.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 6 the action of  $G_\omega$  on  $(\partial T_2, \mu)$  induces an *IRS* on  $G_\omega$ . This *IRS* will be continuous by Proposition 8 and ergodic by Proposition 7.  $\square$

## 6.2. *IRS* on universal groups.

Given  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$ , let us write  $\omega_1 \sim \omega_2$  if there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\{0, 1, 2\})$  such that  $\omega_2$  is obtained from  $\omega_1$  by application of  $\sigma$  to each letter of  $\omega_1$ . Recall that by Theorem 1 part (7) we have that for  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_\infty$ ,  $G_{\omega_1} \cong G_{\omega_2}$  if and only if  $\omega_1 \sim \omega_2$ .

For a subset  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  let  $|\Lambda|_\sim$  denote the cardinality of the set of  $\sim$  equivalence classes in  $\Lambda$ .

**Proposition 10.** *For  $\Lambda \subset \Omega_\infty$ ,  $U_\Lambda$  has at least  $|\Lambda|_\sim$  distinct continuous, ergodic invariant random subgroups.*

*Proof.* Fix  $\Lambda \subset \Omega_\infty$ . Let  $\varphi_\omega : U_\Lambda \rightarrow G_\omega$  be the canonical surjection and let  $N_\omega = \text{Ker}(\varphi_\omega)$ . Note that if  $\omega \approx \eta$ , then by Theorem 1 part (7) and the fact that  $G_\eta$  is just infinite, we have  $N_\eta \not\subseteq N_\omega$ . For  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $\xi \in \partial T_2$  let  $W_{\omega, \xi} = \text{St}_{G_\omega}(\xi)$ . By Proposition 9, the canonical action of  $G_\omega$  onto  $(\partial T_2, \mu)$  induces a continuous, ergodic *IRS*  $\mu_\omega$  on  $G_\omega$ . Moreover,  $\mu_\omega$  is supported on  $\{W_{\omega, \xi} \mid \xi \in \partial T_2\}$ .

Let  $\nu_\omega$  denote the induced *IRS* on  $U_\Lambda$  obtained as described in Corollary 3 (i.e.,  $\nu_\omega = (\tilde{\varphi}_\omega)_*(\mu_\omega)$ ). Again by Corollary 3,  $\nu_\omega$  is continuous and ergodic. Let  $L_{\omega, \xi} = \varphi_\omega^{-1}(W_{\omega, \xi})$  and note that  $\nu_\omega$  is supported on  $Y_\omega = \{L_{\omega, \xi} \mid \xi \in \partial T_2\}$ . Observe that for all  $\xi \in \partial T_2$ ,  $L_{\omega, \xi}$  contains  $N_\omega$ .

Suppose that for some  $\omega \approx \eta \in \Lambda$  and  $\xi, \rho \in \partial T_2$  we have  $L_{\omega, \xi} = L_{\eta, \rho}$ . Then  $N_\omega, N_\eta \leq L_{\omega, \xi}$  and hence  $L_{\omega, \xi}$  contains the subgroup  $N = N_\omega N_\eta$ . Since  $N_\eta \not\leq N_\omega$ ,  $N$  contains  $N_\omega$  as a proper subgroup. It follows that the group  $U_\Lambda/N$  is a proper quotient of the group  $U_\Lambda/N_\omega \cong G_\omega$ . Since  $G_\omega$  is a just infinite group it follows that  $N$  and hence  $L_{\omega, \xi}$  has finite index in  $U_\Lambda$ . This, in turn shows that  $St_{G_\omega}(\xi)$  has finite index in  $G_\omega$  which is a contradiction. Therefore if  $\omega \approx \eta$  we see that the measures  $\nu_\omega$  and  $\nu_\eta$  have disjoint supports and are in particular distinct.  $\square$

Combining this with results from Section 5 we obtain the main theorem:

**Main Theorem.** *There is a subset  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$  such that the corresponding universal group  $U_\Lambda$  has intermediate growth and has  $2^{\aleph_0}$  distinct continuous ergodic invariant random subgroups.*

### Acknowledgements:

The authors wish to thank Anatoly Vershik and Yaroslav Vorobets for useful discussions and the referee for the careful reading of the manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- [AGV12] Miklos Abert, Yair Glasner, and Balint Virag. Kesten’s theorem for invariant random subgroups, 2012. (available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.3399>).
- [BG02] Laurent Bartholdi and Rostislav I. Grigorchuk. On parabolic subgroups and Hecke algebras of some fractal groups. *Serdica Math. J.*, 28(1):47–90, 2002.
- [BGdlH13] Mustafa Gökhan Benli, Rostislav Grigorchuk, and Pierre de la Harpe. Amenable groups without finitely presented amenable covers. *Bull. Math. Sci.*, 3(1):73–131, 2013.
- [BGK12] Lewis Bowen, Rostislav Grigorchuk, and Rotislav Kravchenko. Invariant random subgroups of the lamplighter group, 2012. (available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.6780>).
- [Bow12] Lewis Bowen. Invariant random subgroups of the free group, 2012. (available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.5939>).
- [Cha00] Christophe Champetier. L’espace des groupes de type fini. *Topology*, 39(4):657–680, 2000.
- [DDMN10] Daniele D’Angeli, Alfredo Donno, Michel Matter, and Tatiana Nagnibeda. Schreier graphs of the Basilica group. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 4(1):167–205, 2010.
- [dlH00] Pierre de la Harpe. *Topics in geometric group theory*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2000.
- [GNS00] Rostislav I. Grigorchuk, Volodymyr V. Nekrashevich, and V. I. Sushchanskiĭ. Automata, dynamical systems, and groups. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 231(Din. Sist., Avtom. i Beskon. Gruppy):134–214, 2000.
- [Gri13] Rostislav I. Grigorchuk. Milnor’s problem on the growth of groups and its consequences, 20113. (available at <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1111.0512.pdf>).
- [Gri84a] Rostislav I. Grigorchuk. Construction of  $p$ -groups of intermediate growth that have a continuum of factor-groups. *Algebra i Logika*, 23(4):383–394, 478, 1984.
- [Gri84b] Rostislav I. Grigorchuk. Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 48(5):939–985, 1984.
- [Gri00] Rostislav I. Grigorchuk. Just infinite branch groups. In *New horizons in pro- $p$  groups*, volume 184 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 121–179. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.

- [Gri11] Rostislav I. Grigorchuk. Some problems of the dynamics of group actions on rooted trees. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 273(Sovremennye Problemy Matematiki):72–191, 2011.
- [GŠ07] Rostislav Grigorchuk and Zoran Šunić. Self-similarity and branching in group theory. In *Groups St. Andrews 2005. Vol. 1*, volume 339 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 36–95. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [Kec95] Alexander S. Kechris. *Classical descriptive set theory*, volume 156 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [LOS78] Joram Lindenstrauss, Gunnar Hans Olsen, and Yaki Sternfeld. The Poulsen simplex. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 28(1):vi, 91–114, 1978.
- [Man12] Avinoam Mann. *How groups grow*, volume 395 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [Mil68] John Milnor. Problem 5603, *amer. math. monthly* 75(, 685–686, 1968.
- [Muc05] Roman Muchnik. Amenability of universal 2-grigorchuk group, 2005. (available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0505572>).
- [Nek05] Volodymyr Nekrashevych. *Self-similar groups*, volume 117 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [Ol’80] Alexander Ju. Ol’sanskiĭ. An infinite group with subgroups of prime orders. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 44(2):309–321, 479, 1980.
- [Osi04] Denis. V. Osin. Algebraic entropy of elementary amenable groups. *Geom. Dedicata*, 107:133–151, 2004.
- [Par05] Kalyanapuram R. Parthasarathy. *Probability measures on metric spaces*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2005. Reprint of the 1967 original.
- [Sid00] Said Sidki. Automorphisms of one-rooted trees: growth, circuit structure, and acyclicity. *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*, 100(1):1925–1943, 2000. Algebra, 12.
- [Ver10] Anatoly M. Vershik. Nonfree actions of countable groups and their characters. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 378(Teoriya Predstavlenii, Dinamicheskie Sistemy, Kombinatornye Metody. XVIII):5–16, 228, 2010.
- [Ver12] Anatoly M. Vershik. Totally nonfree actions and the infinite symmetric group. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 12(1):193–212, 216, 2012.
- [Vor12] Yaroslav Vorobets. Notes on the Schreier graphs of the Grigorchuk group. In *Dynamical systems and group actions*, volume 567 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 221–248. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.

MUSTAFA GÖKHAN BENLİ, MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ANKARA, TURKEY  
*E-mail address:* [benli@metu.edu.tr](mailto:benli@metu.edu.tr)

ROSTISLAV GRIGORCHUK, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX, USA  
*E-mail address:* [grigorch@math.tamu.edu](mailto:grigorch@math.tamu.edu)

TATIANA NAGNIBEDA, SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE, C.P. 64,  
 CH-1211 GENÈVE 4, SUISSE.  
*E-mail address:* [tatiana.smirnova-nagnibeda@unige.ch](mailto:tatiana.smirnova-nagnibeda@unige.ch)