

# A short proof of the existence of the solution to elliptic boundary problem

A. G. Ramm

Mathematics Department, Kansas State University,  
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA  
email: ramm@math.ksu.edu

## Abstract

There are several methods for proving the existence of the solution to the elliptic boundary problem  $Lu = f$  in  $D$ ,  $u|_S = 0$ , (\*). Here  $L$  is an elliptic operator of second order,  $f$  is a given function, and uniqueness of the solution to problem (\*) is assumed. The known methods for proving the existence of the solution to (\*) include variational methods, integral equation methods, method of upper and lower solutions. In this paper a method based on functional analysis is proposed. This method is conceptually simple and technically is easy. It requires some known a priori estimates and a continuation in a parameter method.

## 1 INTRODUCTION.

Consider the boundary problem

$$Lu = f \quad \text{in } D, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } S, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a bounded domain with a  $C^2$ -smooth boundary  $S$ ,  $L$  is an elliptic operator,

$$Lu = -\partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j u) + q(x)u. \quad (1.3)$$

Here and below  $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ , over the repeated indices summation is understood,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ ,  $a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x)$ ,  $\text{Im } a_{ij}(x) = 0$ ,

$$c_0|\xi|^2 \leq a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\bar{\xi}_j \leq c_1|\xi|^2, \quad \forall x \in D, \quad (1.4)$$

---

2010 Math subject classification: 35J05; 35J25

Key words: elliptic boundary problems; continuation in a parameter.

where  $c_0, c_1 > 0$  are constants independent of  $x$  and  $|\xi|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 |\xi_j|^2$ . We assume that  $q(x)$  is a real-valued bounded function and  $|\nabla a_{ij}(x)| \leq c$ . One may easily consider by our method the case of complex-valued  $q$ , see Remark 2.2 in Section 2. By  $c > 0$  various estimation constants are denoted. In this paper the Hilbert space  $H := H^0 := L^2(D)$ , the Sobolev space  $H_0^1$ , the closure of  $C_0^\infty(D)$  in the norm of the Sobolev space  $H^1 = H^1(D)$ , and the Sobolev space  $H_0^2 := H^2(D) \cap H_0^1$  are used.

We assume for simplicity that problem (1.1)-(1.2) has no more than one solution. This, for example, is the case if

$$(Lu, u) \geq c_2(u, u), \quad \forall u \in D(L), \quad (1.5)$$

where  $c_2 > 0$  is a constant, and  $D(L) = H_0^2$ . The norm in the Sobolev space  $H^\ell$  is denoted by the symbol  $\|\cdot\|_\ell$ . For example,

$$\|\cdot\|_2 = \left( \int_D (|u|^2 + |\partial u|^2 + |\partial^2 u|^2) dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1.6)$$

By  $|\partial u|^2$  the sum of the squares of the derivatives of the first order is denoted, and  $|\partial^2 u|^2$  is understood similarly.

There is a large literature on elliptic boundary problems (see [1], [2], [3], [5], [6], [7]) to name just a few books. Several methods were suggested to study problem (1.1) - (1.2): Hilbert space method, based on the Riesz theorem about bounded linear functionals ([5], [6]), integral equations of the potential theory ([7]), method of lower and upper solutions ([2]).

The goal of this paper is to suggest a method for a proof of the existence of the solution to problem (1.1) - (1.2), based on functional analysis. This method is simple, short, and does not require too much of a background knowledge from the reader.

The background material, that is used in our proof, includes the notions of closed linear unbounded operators and symmetric operators (see [4]) and second basic elliptic inequality (see [1], [2], [5], [6]):

$$\|Lu\|_0 \geq c_3 \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in D(L), \quad (1.7)$$

and the definition and basic properties of the mollification operator, see, for example, [1].

Let us outline the ideas of our proof. Let  $R(L)$  denote the range of  $L$ .

We prove that  $R(L)$  is a closed subspace of  $H^0$  and  $R(L)^\perp = \{0\}$ .

This implies that  $R(L) = H$ , that is, problem (1.1) - (1.2) has a solution. Uniqueness of the solution follows trivially from the assumption (1.5).

Let us summarize our result. This result is known (see, for example, [2], [5], [6]), but we give a short and essentially self-contained proof of it.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $S$  is  $C^2$ -smooth, inequalities (1.4), (1.5) hold, and  $q$  is a real-valued bounded function. Then problem (1.1) - (1.2) has a solution in  $H_0^2$  for any  $f \in H^0$ , and this solution is unique. The operator  $L$  is an isomorphism of  $H_0^2(D)$  onto  $H^0 = L^2(D)$ .*

**Remark 1.1.** *We are not trying to formulate the result in its maximal generality. For example, one may consider by the same method elliptic operators which are non-self-adjoint. In Section 2, Remark 2.2 addresses this question.*

In Section 2 proofs are given.

## 2 Proofs

It follows from (1.5) that

$$\|Lu\| \geq c_2\|u\| \quad \forall u \in D(L), \quad \|u\| := \|u\|_0. \quad (2.1)$$

Therefore, if  $Lu = 0$  then  $u = 0$ . This proves the uniqueness of the solution.

To prove the existence of the solution it is sufficient to prove that the range of  $L$  is closed and its orthogonal complement in  $H^0$  is just the zero element. Indeed, one has

$$H = \overline{R(L)} \oplus R(L)^\perp, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $R(L)^\perp$  denotes the orthogonal complement in  $H = H^0$  and the over-line denotes the closure. Therefore, if

$$R(L) = \overline{R(L)}, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$R(L)^\perp = \{0\}, \quad (2.4)$$

then

$$R(L) = H, \quad (2.5)$$

and Theorem 1.1 is proved.

The closedness of  $R(L)$  follows from inequality (1.7). Indeed, if  $Lu_n \xrightarrow{H^0} f$  then, by (1.7) and (2.1),  $u_n \xrightarrow{H_0^2} u$ , so  $u \in H_0^2(D) := D(L)$  and  $Lu = f$ . A more detailed argument goes as follows. Let  $v \in D(L)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$(f, v) \xleftarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (Lu_n, v) = (u_n, Lv) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (u, Lv), \quad \forall v \in D(L). \quad (2.6)$$

Inequality (1.7) implies that  $u \in H_0^2 = D(L)$ . Therefore, formula (2.6) implies  $Lu = f$ . This argument proves that  $R(L)$  is a closed subspace of  $H^0$  and the operator  $L$  is closed on  $D(L)$ .

Let us now prove that  $R(L)^\perp = \{0\}$ . Assume the contrary. Then there is an element  $h \in H^0$  such that

$$(Lu, h) = 0, \quad \forall u \in D(L) = H_0^2. \quad (2.7)$$

Let us derive from (2.7) that  $h = 0$ . To do this, first assume that  $L = L_0 := -\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Dirichlet Laplacian, and prove that  $L_0$  is an isomorphism of  $H_0^2(D)$  onto

$H^0 = L^2(D)$ . This will prove Theorem 1.1 for  $L = L_0$ . Then we use continuation in a parameter method and prove that the same is true for  $L$ , which will prove Theorem 1.1.

Take an arbitrary point  $x \in D$ , choose  $\epsilon > 0$  so that the distance  $d(x, S)$  from  $x$  to  $S$  is larger than  $\epsilon$ , and set  $u = w_\epsilon(|x - y|)$ , where  $w_\epsilon(|x|)$  is a mollification kernel (see, for example, [1], p.5). This implies that  $w_\epsilon(|x|) \in C^\infty(D) \subset D(L)$ , and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left\| \int_D w_\epsilon(|x - y|)h(y)dy - h(x) \right\| = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \|w_\epsilon * h - h\| = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $w_\epsilon * h$  denotes the convolution. Then equation (2.7) yields

$$- \int_D \Delta_y w_\epsilon(|x - y|)h(y)dy = -\Delta_x w_\epsilon * h = 0, \quad x \in D. \quad (2.9)$$

Multiply (2.9) by  $\eta_\epsilon := w_\epsilon * h$ , integrate over  $D$ , and then integrate by parts, taking into account that  $\eta_\epsilon = 0$  on  $S$  if  $\text{dist}(x, S) > \epsilon$ . The result is

$$\int_D |\nabla \eta_\epsilon(x)|^2 dx = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.10) it follows that  $\nabla \eta_\epsilon = 0$  in  $D$ , so  $\eta_\epsilon = \text{const}$  in  $D$ . Since this constant vanishes at the boundary  $S$ , it is equal to zero. Thus

$$\eta_\epsilon(x) = w_\epsilon * h = 0 \quad \text{in } D. \quad (2.11)$$

Let  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  in (2.11) and get  $h = 0$  in  $D$ . Thus,  $R(\Delta)^\perp = \{0\}$ , so  $R(-\Delta) = H^0 = L^2(D)$ .

Let us now prove that  $R(L) = H^0$  for the operator (1.3). This is proved by a continuation in a parameter. Define  $L_s = L_0 + s(L - L_0)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ ,  $L_0 = -\Delta$ ,  $L_1 = L$ . We prove that  $R(L_s) = H^0$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$  and the map  $L_s : H_0^2 \rightarrow H^0$  is an isomorphism. For  $s = 0$  this is proved above.

Consider equation (1.1) with  $L = L_s$  and apply the operator  $L_0^{-1}$  to this equation. The result is

$$u + sL_0^{-1}(L - L_0)u = L_0^{-1}f. \quad (2.12)$$

This equation is in the space  $H_0^2$ . The norm of the operator  $sL_0^{-1}(L - L_0)$  in  $H_0^2$  is less than one if  $s$  is sufficiently small. Indeed, inequality similar to (1.7) holds for  $L_s$  for any  $s \in [0, 1]$  with the same constant  $c_3$ , because this constant depends only on the bounds on the coefficients of  $L_s$  and these bounds are independent of  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Thus,

$$\|L_s u\|_0 \geq c_3 \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in H_0^2, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1. \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore,

$$\|L_0^{-1}(L - L_0)u\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{c_3} \|(L - L_0)u\|_0 \leq c'_3 \|u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in H_0^2, \quad (2.14)$$

because  $\|(L - L_0)u\|_0 \leq c\|u\|_2$ , where  $c > 0$  is a constant not depending on  $s$ ,  $c$  depends only on the bounds on the coefficients of  $L$ . Consequently, if  $sc'_3 < 1$ , that is, if  $s < (c'_3)^{-1}$ , then equation (2.12) is uniquely solvable in  $H_0^2$  for any  $f \in H^0$ , and  $R(L_s) = H^0$ .

Let  $s_0 := \frac{1}{2}(c'_3)^{-1}$ . Define  $L_{s_0+s'} = L_{s_0} + s'(L - L_{s_0})$ ,  $s' \in [0, 1]$ . One has  $L_{s_0+s'} = L_{s_0}$  as  $s' = 0$  and  $L_{s_0+s'} = L$  as  $s' = 1$ . Applying the same argument and using the fact that  $\|L_{s_0}^{-1}\|_{H^0 \rightarrow H_0^2(D)}$  does not depend on  $s_0$ , one gets

$$\|L_{s_0}^{-1}(L - L_{s_0})u\|_2 \leq c'_3\|u\|_2. \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore, for  $s' < (c'_3)^{-1}$ , one has

$$\|s'L_{s_0}^{-1}(L - L_{s_0})\| < 1. \quad (2.16)$$

Let  $s' = s_1 := \frac{1}{2}(c'_3)^{-1}$ . Then  $R(L_s) = H^0$  for  $s < s_0 + s_1$  and  $L_s : H_0^2 \rightarrow H^0$  is an isomorphism. Consequently, repeating the above argument finitely many times one reaches the operator  $L$  and gets both conclusions:  $R(L) = H^0$  and  $L$  is an isomorphism of  $H_0^2$  onto  $H^0$ .

Theorem 1.1 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** *The method of continuation in a parameter goes back to [8], see also [6].*

**Remark 2.2.** *Consider the operator  $L_1 = L + L'$ , where  $L'$  is an arbitrary first order differential operator and  $L$  is the same as in Section 2. The operator  $L_1$  is not necessarily symmetric. Problem (1.1) - (1.2) is equivalent to the operator equation*

$$u + Au = L^{-1}f \quad \text{in } H^0, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$A = L^{-1}L' \quad (2.18)$$

is a compact operator in  $H^0$ . This follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem ([1], [2]).

Therefore, the Fredholm alternative holds for equation (2.17). So, if the homogeneous version of the equation (2.17) has only the trivial solution (zero solution) then equation (2.17) is solvable for any  $f$ , and its solution  $u \in H_0^2$ .

**Remark 2.3.** *If  $L$  is symmetric on  $D(L) = H_0^2(D)$ , then Theorem 1.1 shows that  $L$  is self-adjoint on  $D(L)$ . Indeed, the definition of the adjoint operator  $L^*$  says, that  $(Lu, w) = (u, w^*)$  for all  $u \in D(L)$ . By Theorem 1.1 there exists  $z \in D(L)$  such that  $Lz = w^*$ . Thus,  $(Lu, w) = (u, Lz) = (Lu, z)$ . Since the range  $R(L) = H^0$ , it follows that  $w = z$ . So,  $w \in D(L)$ ,  $D(L^*) = D(L)$  and  $L = L^*$ , as claimed.*

## References

- [1] S. Agmon, *Lectures on elliptic boundary value problems*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1965.
- [2] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [3] L.Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators, vol. I-IV*, Springer- Verlag, New York, 1983-1984.
- [4] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer- Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [5] O. Ladyzhenskaya, N. Uraltseva, *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Acad. Press, New York, 1968.
- [6] O. Ladyzhenskaya, *The boundary value problems of mathematical physics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [7] C. Miranda, *Partial differential equations of elliptic type*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [8] J. Schauder, Über lineare elliptische differentialgleichung zweiter Ordnung, Math. Zeitschr., 38, (1934), 251-282.