

# CONGRUENCE COVERS OF TRIANGULAR MODULAR CURVES AND THEIR GALOIS GROUPS

LUIZ KAZUO TAKEI

ABSTRACT. This article computes the Galois groups of congruence covers arising in the context of certain hyperbolic triangle groups. As a consequence of this computation, the genera of the respective curves are deduced.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

The classical modular group  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  has been extensively studied. In particular, the Galois group of the cover

$$X(p) \longrightarrow X(1),$$

and the genera of the modular curves  $X(p)$  and  $X_0(p)$  are all well-known. This article answers the analogous question when the group  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is replaced by the triangle groups  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$ .

The main results are the computation of the Galois group of the cover

$$\varphi : X_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow X_{q,\infty,\infty}(1)$$

found in Theorem 2.24, which is used to deduce the genus of  $X_{q,\infty,\infty}(p)$  in Proposition 3.1 and finally the computation of the genus of  $X_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(p)$  in Proposition 4.5.

**Acknowledgements:** I am grateful to Henri Darmon, Eyal Goren and John Voight for helpful discussions and advice.

## 1. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

In this section, a particular family of triangle groups and some important subgroups are defined. For more details and a more general description, cf. section 2 of [Tak12].

For  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ , the *triangle group*  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$  is defined to be the subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  generated by

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \cos(\pi/q) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 + 2 \cos(\pi/q) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In what follows, whenever  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , the group  $\bar{\Gamma} \subseteq \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  will denote the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

It is a fact that  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$  is a Fuchsian group and, moreover,  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}} \backslash \mathcal{H}^* \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  where  $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H} \cup \{\text{cusps of } \Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}\}$ .

Note that  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ , where  $\mathcal{O} = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2q} + \zeta_{2q}^{-1}])$  and  $\zeta_n = \exp(2\pi i/n)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Given a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ , the *congruence subgroups* of  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$  with level  $\mathfrak{p}$  are defined to be

$$\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) = \left\{ M \in \Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty} \mid M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \right\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p}) = \left\{ M \in \Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty} \mid M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \right\}.$$

*Remark.* The classical modular group  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is also a triangle group (in fact, it is the triangle group  $\Gamma_{2,3,\infty}$ ) and its congruence subgroups as defined above are simply the well known congruence subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . For more details, cf. section 2 of [Tak12].

*Remark.* Note that, unlike  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , almost all of the groups defined above are not arithmetic (cf. [Tak77]).

In analogy with the classical case, the *triangular modular curves* associated to those groups are defined as follows:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{q,r,\infty} &:= \Gamma_{q,r,\infty} \backslash \mathcal{H}^*, \\ X_{q,r,\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) &:= \Gamma_{q,r,\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) \backslash \mathcal{H}^*, \\ X_{q,r,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p}) &:= \Gamma_{q,r,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p}) \backslash \mathcal{H}^*. \end{aligned}$$

As mentioned in the introduction, one of the goals of this article is the computation of the Galois group of the cover

$$\varphi : X_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow X_{q,\infty,\infty}.$$

Throughout this article, the following notation will be used:

$$\lambda_q = \zeta_{2q} + \zeta_{2q}^{-1} \text{ and } \mu_q = 2 + \lambda_q$$

so that

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_q & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover,

$$(2) \quad \rho : \Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty} \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$$

denotes the map which sends each matrix to the matrix with reduced entries. It is easy to see that the kernel of this map is exactly  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})$ . In particular, the group  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})$  is normal in  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$ .

## 2. GALOIS GROUP OF $\varphi$

**2.1. Special linear groups over finite fields.** In this section we will use the facts below. Their proofs can either be found in the given reference or be easily deduced.

**Fact 2.1.** *For any prime  $p$ , we have  $|\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})| = (p^m + 1)p^m(p^m - 1)$ .*

**Fact 2.2.** *A presentation for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$  is given by*

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) = \langle x, y \mid x^5 = y^3 = (xy)^4 = 1 \rangle.$$

(cf. Example 4, Section 6, Chapter 2 in [Suz82])

**Fact 2.3.** *Let  $\langle X \mid R \rangle$  be a presentation of a group  $G$ . If  $R'$  is another set of relations, then the group  $H = \langle X \mid R \cup R' \rangle$  is a homomorphic image of  $G$ . (cf. Result 6.7, Chapter 2 in [Suz82])*

We state a theorem due to Dickson (Theorem 6.17, Chapter 3 in [Suz82]).

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $F$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \geq 2$  and  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$  such that  $|G|$  is divisible by  $p$  and  $G$  admits at least two Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of order  $p^r$ . Then  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

- (i)  $p = 2$  and  $G$  is dihedral of order  $2n$  where  $n$  is odd
- (ii)  $p = 3$  and  $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$
- (iii)  $\mathrm{SL}_2(K)$
- (iv)  $\left\langle \mathrm{SL}_2(K), d_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 0 \\ 0 & \pi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$

where  $K$  is a field of  $p^r$  elements and  $\pi$  is an element such that  $K(\pi)$  is a field of  $p^{2r}$  elements and  $\pi^2$  is a generator of  $K^\times$ .

This allows us to prove the following:

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $p \geq 3$  be a prime number and  $E = \mathbb{F}_p(z)$  be the field with  $p^m$  elements, where  $z \neq 0$ . Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$  generated by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then,

- (i)  $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$  if  $p = 3$  and  $z^2 = 2$
- (ii)  $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(E)$  otherwise.

*Proof.* Denote by  $v$  and  $u_z$  the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Note that  $\text{ord}(v) = \text{ord}(u_z) = p$ . We shall prove that  $v$  and  $u_z$  belong to two distinct Sylow  $p$ -subgroups.

*Claim.* Let  $U = \{u_a : a \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \subseteq \text{SL}_2(E)$  where  $q = p^m$  and  $u_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $U \cap G$  is a  $p$ -Sylow of  $G$ . More generally,  $U \cap G$  is the only  $p$ -Sylow of  $G$  that contains  $u_z$ .

Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -Sylow of  $\text{SL}_2(E)$  containing  $u_z$ . We will prove  $P = U$ . The claim would then follow by one of the Sylow theorems (namely the one which says that any  $p$ -subgroup is contained in a  $p$ -Sylow). In fact, by one of the Sylow theorems,  $P = \alpha U \alpha^{-1}$  for some  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  (because  $U$  is a  $p$ -Sylow of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ). So, there exists  $z' \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $u_z = \alpha u_{z'} \alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - acz' & a^2 z \\ -c^2 z & 1 + acz \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence,  $c = 0$ . Thus,  $P = U$ .

Hence,  $v$  and  $u_z$  belong to two distinct  $p$ -Sylows of  $G$ . Therefore, we can use 2.4.

Since we are assuming  $p > 2$ , there are only 3 possibilities for  $G$ :  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$  (this can only happen when  $p = 3$ ),  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$  or  $\langle \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}), d_\pi \rangle$ , where  $p^r$  is the order a  $p$ -Sylow of  $G$ .

*Claim.*  $G \not\cong \langle \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}), d_\pi \rangle$ .

Let  $H = \langle \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}), d_\pi \rangle$ . If  $G \cong H$ , then their respective abelianizations are also isomorphic:  $G^{\text{ab}} \cong H^{\text{ab}}$ . Since  $G = \langle v, u_z \rangle$  and  $\text{ord}(v) = \text{ord}(u_z) = p$ , every element of  $G^{\text{ab}}$  has order  $p$ . We claim that  $\overline{d_\pi}$  (the image of  $d_\pi$  in  $H^{\text{ab}}$ ) can't have order  $p$ .

In fact,  $\text{ord}(d_\pi) = \text{ord}(\pi)$ . We know that  $\text{ord}(\pi^2) = p^r - 1$ . On the other hand,

$$\text{ord}(\pi) = \begin{cases} \text{ord}(\pi^2) & , \quad \text{if } \text{ord}(\pi) \text{ is odd} \\ 2 \text{ord}(\pi^2) & , \quad \text{if } \text{ord}(\pi) \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

So,  $\text{ord}(\pi) = (p^r - 1)$  or  $2(p^r - 1)$ .

Since  $\text{ord}(\overline{d_\pi}) \mid \text{ord}(d_\pi)$  and  $p \nmid 2(p^r - 1)$ ,  $\text{ord}(\overline{d_\pi}) \neq p$ .

It remains to show that if  $p = 3$ , then  $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$  if and only if  $z^2 = 2$ .

*Claim.* If  $p = 3$  and  $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ , then  $z^2 = 2$ .

By the corollaries of Theorem 9.8, Chapter 1 in [Suz82], we have  $Z(G) = \{\pm I\}$  and, thus,  $|Z(G)| = 2$ . So, by the corollary of Theorem 9.9, Chapter 1 in [Suz82],  $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$  is a simple group of order 60. Therefore, by Exercise 9, Section 3, Chapter 3 in [Suz82],  $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong A_5$ .

Let  $\bar{v}$  and  $\overline{u_z^{-1}}$  be the images of  $v$  and  $u_z^{-1}$  respectively in  $A_5$ . Since  $v$  and  $u_z^{-1}$  are clearly not in  $Z(G)$  and their order is 3, we get that  $\mathrm{ord}(\bar{v}) = \mathrm{ord}(\overline{u_z^{-1}}) = 3$ . So,  $\bar{v} = (abc)$  and  $\overline{u_z^{-1}} = (def)$ . Obviously we need to have  $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Without loss of generality,  $\bar{v} = (123)$  and  $\overline{u_z^{-1}} = (145)$ . So,  $\bar{v}\overline{u_z^{-1}} = (12345)$ . So,  $(vu_z^{-1})^5 = \pm I$ . Thus,  $\mathrm{ord}(vu_z^{-1}) = 5$  or 10. Hence, looking at the Jordan canonical form of  $vu_z^{-1}$ , we get

$$z + 2 = \mathrm{tr}(vu_z^{-1}) = \pm(x + x^{-1})$$

for some  $x$  primitive fifth root of unity over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .

Since  $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1 = 0$ ,

$$(z + 2)^2 = \mp(z + 2) + 1 \quad , \text{ i.e., } \quad z^2 = z + 1 \text{ or } z^2 = 2$$

If  $z^2 = z + 1$ , then  $G = \langle v, u_z \rangle$  has 720 elements<sup>1</sup>. Hence,  $z^2 = 2$ .

*Claim.* If  $z^2 = 2$ , then  $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ .

We also have<sup>1</sup> that  $|G| = 120$ . So,  $|G| = |\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)|$  (by fact 2.1). Let  $h = (vu_z)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 + 2z \\ z + 1 & 2 + 2z \end{pmatrix}$ . Notice  $h^5 = u^3 = (hu)^4 = 1$ . Moreover,  $\alpha = h^{-1}uh$ . Hence,  $G = \langle h, u \rangle$ . Then, since  $G = |\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)|$ , by facts 2.2 and 2.3 we obtain  $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ .  $\square$

**2.2. A bit of algebraic number theory.** Our goal in this section is to state some basic facts from algebraic number theory and give an explicit formula for  $f(\mathfrak{B}^+|p)$  that will be used later. Unless otherwise mentioned, the facts and definitions in this section can be found in most algebraic number theory textbooks like [Mar77] and [FT93].

Let us start fixing our notation:

- $e(-| -)$  := ramification index of one prime above another one,
- $f(-| -)$  := inertia degree of one prime above another one,

<sup>1</sup>Verified using the computer algebra system Sage [S<sup>+</sup>12].

- $r(- | -) :=$  number of distinct primes above a given one at the base field.

Moreover, in this section, we assume that

- $q$  is an odd number and  $p$  is a prime number,
- $L_q := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$  is the  $q$ -th cyclotomic field and  $L_q^+ := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q + \zeta_q^{-1})$ ,
- $\mathfrak{B}^+$  is a prime in  $\mathcal{O}_{L_q^+}$  above  $p$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a prime in  $\mathcal{O}_{L_q}$  above  $\mathfrak{B}^+$ ,
- $r$  is the number of primes in  $\mathcal{O}_{L_q}$  above  $p$  and  $r^+$  is the number of primes in  $\mathcal{O}_{L_q^+}$  above  $p$ ,
- $D(\mathfrak{B}) = D(\mathfrak{B}|\mathbb{Q}) = \{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L_q|\mathbb{Q}) : \sigma\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}\}$  is the decomposition group of  $\mathfrak{B}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $K^D$  is the respective decomposition field (i.e., the subfield of  $L_q$  that is fixed by  $D(\mathfrak{B})$ ).

*Remark.* Notice  $L_q|\mathbb{Q}$  is a Galois extension and  $L_q^+$  is the field fixed by  $H := \{1, -1\}$  (where  $-1$  is the complex conjugation). In particular,  $[L_q : L_q^+] = 2$ .

**Fact 2.6.**  $r(L_q|\mathfrak{B}^+) =$  number of elements in the  $H$ -orbit of  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

**Fact 2.7.** If  $p \nmid q$ , then

- (i)  $e(\mathfrak{B}|p) = 1$  (hence,  $e(\mathfrak{B}^+|p) = e(\mathfrak{B}|\mathfrak{B}^+) = 1$ )
- (ii)  $f(\mathfrak{B}|p) = f$ , where  $f$  is the order of  $\bar{p}$  in  $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q\mathbb{Z}}\right)^*$
- (iii)  $r = \frac{\phi(q)}{f}$ , where  $\phi$  is Euler's phi function.

**Fact 2.8.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain,  $K$  its field of fractions,  $L$  a finite Galois extension of  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_L$  the ring of integers of  $L$  and  $G = \text{Gal}(L|K)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_s$  the distinct prime ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_L$  above  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then

- (i)  $e(\mathfrak{B}_1|\mathfrak{p}) = \dots = e(\mathfrak{B}_s|\mathfrak{p})$  and  $f(\mathfrak{B}_1|\mathfrak{p}) = \dots = f(\mathfrak{B}_s|\mathfrak{p})$
- (ii)  $e(\mathfrak{B}_j|\mathfrak{p}) \cdot f(\mathfrak{B}_j|\mathfrak{p}) \cdot s = [L : K]$  (for every  $j = 1, \dots, s$ ).

**Fact 2.9.**  $[\text{Gal}(L_q|\mathbb{Q}) : D(\mathfrak{B})] = r$

**Fact 2.10.** The map  $\text{Gal}(L_q|\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_{L_q}}{\mathfrak{B}} \middle| \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p}\right)$  defined by  $\sigma \mapsto \bar{\sigma}$  is surjective.

**Fact 2.11.** If  $l$  is a prime and  $\alpha$  is a positive integer, then  $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{l^\alpha\mathbb{Z}}\right)^*$  is a cyclic group of order  $\phi(l^\alpha) = l^{\alpha-1}(l-1)$ .

**Fact 2.12.** (Theorem 2.13 in [Was82]) If  $(p, q) = 1$ , then  $f(\mathfrak{B}|p)$  is the smallest positive integer  $f$  such that  $p^f \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** *Suppose  $(p, q) = 1$ . Then,*

$$f(\mathfrak{B}^+|p) = \begin{cases} f(\mathfrak{B}|p) & , \quad \text{if } -1 \notin D(\mathfrak{B}) \\ \frac{f(\mathfrak{B}|p)}{2} & , \quad \text{if } -1 \in D(\mathfrak{B}) \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since the inertia degree is multiplicative, we get that  $f(\mathfrak{B}^+|p) = f(\mathfrak{B}|p)$  if and only if  $f(\mathfrak{B}|\mathfrak{B}^+) = 1$ . By fact 2.8 and 2.7, we have  $f(\mathfrak{B}|\mathfrak{B}^+) \cdot r(L_q|\mathfrak{B}^+) = 2$ . So, it is enough to show that  $r(L_q|\mathfrak{B}^+) = 1$  if and only if  $-1 \in D(\mathfrak{B})$ . But this follows easily from fact 2.6.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.14.**  $D(\mathfrak{B}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{f\mathbb{Z}}$

*Proof.* We want to use fact 2.10 to show this.

Let us start by noting that  $|D(\mathfrak{B})| = f$ . In fact, by facts 2.9 and 2.7, we have that  $\frac{|Gal(L_q|\mathbb{Q})|}{|D(\mathfrak{B})|} = r = \frac{\phi(q)}{f}$ . Since  $|Gal(L_q|\mathbb{Q})| = \phi(q)$ , we obtain what we claimed.

Notice now that, by definition of inertia degree,  $\frac{O_{L_q}}{\mathfrak{B}} = \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ .

Hence, since  $Gal(\mathbb{F}_{p^f} | \mathbb{F}_p) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{f\mathbb{Z}}$ , we obtain what we wanted.  $\square$

Let us now prove a particular case of our main goal.

**Lemma 2.15.** *If  $q = l^\alpha$  is a prime power, then  $-1 \in D(\mathfrak{B})$  if and only if  $f$  is even.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  is odd. Since  $-1$  is an element of order 2, Proposition 2.14 tells us that  $-1 \notin D(\mathfrak{B})$ .

Now suppose  $f$  is even. So, Sylow's Theorem and Proposition 2.14 says that  $D(\mathfrak{B})$  has at least one element of order 2. But since  $Gal(L_q|\mathbb{Q}) = \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{q\mathbb{Z}}\right)^\times$  is cyclic (fact 2.11), it has only one element of order 2, namely  $-1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.16.** *If  $(p, q) = 1$  then  $f(\mathfrak{B}^+|p)$  is the smallest positive integer  $f^+$  such that  $p^{f^+} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{q}$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.13 and the previous lemma, we have that

$$f(\mathfrak{B}^+|p) = \begin{cases} f(\mathfrak{B}|p) & , \quad \text{if } f(\mathfrak{B}|p) \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{f(\mathfrak{B}|p)}{2} & , \quad \text{if } f(\mathfrak{B}|p) \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The result now follows from fact 2.12.  $\square$

We are finally ready to tackle the general case:

**Proposition 2.17.** *If  $p$  is any prime number, then  $f(\mathfrak{B}^+|p)$  is the smallest positive integer  $f^+$  such that  $p^{f^+} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{q'}$ , where  $2q = p^a q'$  and  $(p, q') = 1$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the previous proposition and the fact that  $p$  is totally ramified in  $L_p$  (Lemma 1.4 in [Was82]).  $\square$

**2.3. Computing**  $\left[\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}} : \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})}\right]$ . Let  $\rho$  be the map defined in (2). We define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho} : \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}} &\longrightarrow \text{PSL}_2(E) \\ \bar{g} &\longmapsto \overline{\rho(g)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $E = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]}{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  denotes the image in  $\text{PSL}_2$ . This map is well-defined because  $\rho(-g) = -\rho(g)$ .

Therefore, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SL}_2(E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} & \text{PSL}_2(E) \end{array}$$

**Lemma 2.18.**  $\ker(\bar{\rho}) = \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\ker(\rho) = \Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})$ , it is clear that  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})} \subseteq \ker(\bar{\rho})$ .

Now, take  $\bar{g} \in \ker(\bar{\rho})$ , i.e.,  $\rho(g) = \pm I$  ( $I$  is the identity matrix). Since  $\rho(-g) = -\rho(g)$ , we get  $\pm g \in \ker(\rho) = \Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})$ . So,  $g \in \pm\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Hence,  $\bar{g} \in \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})}$ .  $\square$

This shows that  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}} / \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})} \cong \text{img}(\bar{\rho})$ .

**Fact 2.19.** *The center  $Z(\text{SL}_2(F))$  of  $\text{SL}_2(F)$  (where  $F$  is any field) is equal to  $\{\pm I\}$ . (cf. Corollary 2 of Result 9.8, Chapter 1 in [Suz82])*

**Fact 2.20.** *If  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]$  is a non-zero ideal, then  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]}{\mathfrak{a}}$  is finite.*

**Fact 2.21.** *If  $n$  is odd, then  $Z(D_{2n}) = \{e\}$ .*

**Lemma 2.22.** *If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal lying above  $2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $q$  is odd, then  $\text{img}(\bar{\rho}) \cong D_{2s}$  (for some odd  $s$  dividing  $q$ ). Moreover, if  $q$  is a prime, then  $s = q$ .*

*Proof.* Notice  $\text{img}(\bar{\rho}) = \overline{\text{img}(\rho)}$ .

If  $p = 2$ , one can easily check that  $\text{ord}(\rho(\gamma_2)) = \text{ord}(\rho(\gamma_3)) = 2$ . Hence, by the first claim in the proof of corollary 2.5,  $\rho(\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty})$  has 2 distinct 2-Sylow subgroups. Hence,  $\rho(\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty})$  is one of the groups listed in Theorem 2.4.

One can check that  $\rho(\gamma_1)\rho(\gamma_3) = \rho(\gamma_3)\rho(\gamma_1)^{-1}$  and  $\rho(\gamma_3)^2 = \rho(\gamma_1)^q = I$ . Hence, since  $\rho(\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}) = \langle \rho(\gamma_1), \rho(\gamma_3) \rangle$ , fact 2.3 tells us that  $\rho(\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty})$  is a homomorphic image of  $D_{2q}$ . In particular,  $|\rho(\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty})| \mid 2q$ . Since  $q$  is odd, by Theorem 2.4,  $\rho(\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty})$  can only be  $D_{2n}$  (for some odd  $n$ ) or  $\text{SL}_2(2)$ . But, one can check that  $\text{SL}_2(2) = D_{2 \cdot 3}$ . So, in any case,  $\rho(\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}) \cong D_{2s}$  (for some odd  $s$ ).

Now, since  $\text{char}(E) = 2$ ,  $I = -I$  in  $\text{SL}_2(E)$ . Hence,  $\text{PSL}_2(E) = \text{SL}_2(E)$ . Thus,  $\overline{\text{img}(\rho)} \cong \text{img}(\rho) \cong D_{2s}$ . So,  $2s \mid 2q$ . Therefore, since  $q$  is odd,  $s \mid q$ .

Finally, since  $\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_q & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\rho(\gamma_1) \neq I$  (because  $0 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ ). So, if  $q$  is prime,  $\text{ord}(\rho(\gamma_1)) = q$  (because  $\text{ord}(\rho(\gamma_1)) \mid \text{ord}(\gamma_1) = q$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.23.** *Suppose  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal lying above  $p\mathbb{Z}$  with  $p \geq 3$ . Then,  $\text{img}(\bar{\rho})$  is isomorphic to*

- (i)  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ , if  $p = 3$  and  $\mu_q^2 - 2 \in \mathfrak{p}$
- (ii)  $\text{PSL}_2(E)$ , otherwise (where  $E = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]}{\mathfrak{p}}$ )

*Proof.* Notice  $\text{img}(\bar{\rho}) = \overline{\text{img}(\rho)}$ .

If  $p = 3$  and  $\mu_q^2 - 2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ , fact 2.20 and corollary 2.5 says that  $\text{img}(\rho) \cong \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ . We have to prove that  $\overline{\text{img}(\rho)} \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ . Notice that  $\overline{\text{img}(\rho)} = \frac{\text{img}(\rho)}{\{\pm I\} \cap \text{img}(\rho)}$ .

We can verify that  $-I \in \text{img} \rho$ . In fact, there are only two cases to consider and they were computed explicitly using Sage [S<sup>+</sup>12].

So,  $\overline{\text{img}(\rho)} = \frac{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)}{Z(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5))} = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ .

Otherwise, fact 2.20 and corollary 2.5 says that  $\text{img}(\rho) = \text{SL}_2(E)$ , i.e.,  $\rho$  is surjective. Hence,  $\overline{\text{img}(\rho)} = \text{PSL}_2(E)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.24.** *Let  $q \geq 3$  be an odd integer and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]$  lying above  $p\mathbb{Z}$  where  $p \geq 2$ . Moreover, let  $G$  denote the Galois group of*

$$\varphi : X_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow X_{q,\infty,\infty}.$$

- (i) *If  $p = 2$ , then  $G \cong D_{2s}$  (for some odd  $s$  that divides  $q$ ) and, hence,*

$$\left[ \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}} : \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})} \right] = 2s. \text{ Moreover, if } q \text{ is prime, then } s = q.$$

- (ii) *If  $p = 3$  and  $\mu_q^2 - 2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $G \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$  and, hence,*

$$\left[ \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}} : \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})} \right] = 60;$$

- (iii) *Otherwise,  $G \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]/\mathfrak{p})$  and, hence,  $\left[ \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}} : \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})} \right] = (p^m + 1)p^m(p^m - 1)/2$ .*

*Moreover  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]/\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , where  $m$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $p^m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{q'}$  ( $2q = p^a q'$  with  $\text{gcd}(p, q') = 1$ ).*

*Proof.* The theorem follows from Lemmas 2.22 and 2.23.

The fact that  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field with  $p^m$  elements with  $m$  as in the statement of the theorem follows from Proposition 2.17.  $\square$

*Remark.* Recall that, in the classical setting, the Galois group of

$$X(p) \rightarrow X(1)$$

is always  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ . The previous theorem shows that is not always the case for a general triangle group and, in fact, establishes exactly when that happens for the triangle groups  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$ .

*Remark.* Note that item (iii) of the previous theorem was also deduced independently in Theorem 8.1 of [CV].

### 3. GENUS OF $X_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})$

The genera of the curves associated to the Hecke triangle groups (that is, the groups  $\Gamma_{2,r,\infty}$ ) were computed in [LLT00]. We deal in a similar way with the triangle groups  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$ .

The proposition below paired with Theorem 2.24 computes the genera of  $X_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})$  for many ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $q$  is an odd prime number and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]$  lying above  $p\mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose also that  $p \neq q$ . Then the genus of  $X_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})$  is*

$$1 + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right),$$

where  $\bar{\mu} = \left[ \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}} : \overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})} \right]$ .

*Proof.* To simplify notation, let us call  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$ .

We know that the map

$$\varphi : \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}) \backslash \mathcal{H}^* \longrightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}^*$$

is holomorphic and has degree  $\bar{\mu}$  (cf. Section 1.5 in [Shi94]). So we can use Riemann-Hurwitz formula to compute the genus  $g$  of  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}) \backslash \mathcal{H}^*$ :


$$\begin{aligned} 2g - 2 &= \bar{\mu}(2 \cdot 0 - 2) + \sum_{P \in \Gamma(J) \backslash \mathcal{H}^*} (e_P - 1) \\ &= -2\bar{\mu} + \sum_{P \in \Gamma(J) \backslash \mathcal{H}^*} (e_P - 1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_P$  is the ramification index of  $\varphi$  at  $P$ .

By Proposition 1.37 in [Shi94], we see that the only points  $P$  which may have  $e_P > 1$  are the points which are mapped to cusps or elliptic points.

By looking at a fundamental region of  $\Gamma$  (figure 1) we see that this group has:

- (i) 2  $\Gamma$ -inequivalent cusps: 1 and  $\infty$

FIGURE 1. A fundamental region for  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$ 

(ii) 1  $\Gamma$ -inequivalent elliptic point:  $z_0 = e^{i(\pi-\frac{\pi}{q})}$ .

Moreover, it follows from the proof of Theorem 10.6.4 in [Bea83] that  $\bar{\Gamma}_1 = \langle \bar{\gamma}_2 \rangle$ ,  $\bar{\Gamma}_\infty = \langle \bar{\gamma}_3 \rangle$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}_{z_0} = \langle \bar{\gamma}_1 \rangle$ . In particular,  $|\bar{\Gamma}_{z_0}| = q$ .

Consider  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{k^{(1)}}\} = \varphi^{-1}(1)$  and let  $e_1^{(1)}, \dots, e_{k^{(1)}}^{(1)}$  be their respective ramifications indices. Since  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \Gamma$ , Proposition 1.37 in [Shi94] says that  $e_1^{(1)} = \dots = e_{k^{(1)}}^{(1)} = \left[ \bar{\Gamma}_1 : \bar{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{p})_1 \right]$  and  $k^{(1)}e_1^{(1)} = \bar{\mu}$ .

$\bar{\Gamma}_1 = \langle \bar{\gamma}_2 \rangle$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{p})_1 = \bar{\Gamma}_1 \cap \bar{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Since  $\gamma_2^n = \begin{pmatrix} -n+1 & n \\ -n & n+1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{p})_1 = \langle \bar{\gamma}_2^p \rangle$ . So,

$$(3) \quad e_1^{(1)} = \dots = e_{k^{(1)}}^{(1)} = p \quad \text{and} \quad k^{(1)} = \frac{\bar{\mu}}{p}$$

Let us now compute the ramification indices of  $\varphi^{-1}(\infty)$ . For this we need a claim (recall that  $\mu_q = -2 - \zeta_{2q} - \zeta_{2q}^{-1}$ ):

*Claim.*  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2q})/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu_q) = q^2$ .

In fact, notice that  $\mu_q = -(1 + \zeta_{2q})(1 + \zeta_{2q}^{-1})$ . Since  $q$  is odd,  $-\zeta_{2q}$  is a primitive  $q$ -th root of unity. So, the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta_{2q}$

is  $\phi_q(-x)$ , where  $\phi_q$  is the  $q$ -th cyclotomic polynomial. So, the minimal polynomial of  $1 + \zeta_{2q}$  is  $h(x) = \phi_q(-(x-1)) = \phi_q(-x+1) = (-x+1)^{q-1} + \dots + (-x+1) + 1$ . Thus, the constant term of  $h$  is  $q$ . Hence,  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2q})/\mathbb{Q}}(1 + \zeta_{2q}) = q$ . Similarly,  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2q})/\mathbb{Q}}(1 + \zeta_{2q}^{-1}) = q$ . So,  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2q})/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu_q) = (-1)^{q-1} \cdot N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2q})/\mathbb{Q}}(1 + \zeta_{2q}) \cdot N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2q})/\mathbb{Q}}(1 + \zeta_{2q}^{-1}) = q^2$ .

Hence, there exists  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $\mu_q f(\mu_q) = q^2$  ( $f(\mu_q)$  is the product of all the Galois conjugates of  $\mu_q$  except for  $\mu_q$  itself). Since  $p \neq q$ , this implies  $\mu_q \notin \mathfrak{p}$  (in fact, if  $\mu_q \in \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $q^2 = \mu_q f(\mu_q) \in \mathfrak{p}$ , which is impossible because  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Now, since  $\gamma_3^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n\mu_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}_\infty = \langle \overline{\gamma_3} \rangle$ , we see that  $\overline{\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})}_\infty = \langle \overline{\gamma_3^p} \rangle$ . And, hence,  $\left[ \overline{\Gamma}_\infty : \overline{\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})}_\infty \right] = p$ .

Therefore, if  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k(\infty)}\} = \varphi^{-1}(\infty)$  and  $e_1^{(\infty)}, \dots, e_{k(\infty)}^{(\infty)}$  are their respective ramification indices, by Proposition 1.37 in [Shi94], we get

$$(4) \quad e_1^{(\infty)} = \dots = e_{k(\infty)}^{(\infty)} = p \quad \text{and} \quad k^{(\infty)} = \frac{\overline{\mu}}{p}$$

Now we shall compute the ramification indices of  $\varphi^{-1}(z_0)$ . We need to compute  $\overline{\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})}_{z_0}$ . Since  $\overline{\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})}_{z_0} = \overline{\Gamma}_{z_0} \cap \overline{\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})}$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}_{z_0}$  has only elliptic elements (in addition to the identity), the next claim tells us that  $|\overline{\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})}_{z_0}| = 1$ . Therefore,  $\left[ \overline{\Gamma}_{z_0} : \overline{\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})}_{z_0} \right] = q$ .

*Claim.*  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})$  has no elliptic element.

Since  $z_0$  is the only inequivalent elliptic point and  $\Gamma_{z_0} = \langle \overline{\gamma_1} \rangle$ , we see that any elliptic element of  $\Gamma$  is conjugate to some (non-trivial) power of  $\gamma_1$ . Since  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}) \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ , if  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})$  contains an elliptic element, it would also contain some (non-trivial) power of  $\gamma_1$ . But since  $\text{ord}(\overline{\gamma}) = q$  is a prime,  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})$  would contain  $\gamma_1$ . But  $\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and, hence,  $\gamma_1 \notin \Gamma(\mathfrak{p})$  ( $1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ ).

Hence, if  $\varphi^{-1}(z_0) = \{y_1, \dots, y_{k(z_0)}\}$  and  $e_1^{(z_0)}, \dots, e_{k(z_0)}^{(z_0)}$  are their respective indices, Proposition 1.37 in [Shi94] tells us that

$$(5) \quad e_0^{(z_0)} = \dots = e_{k(z_0)}^{(z_0)} = q \quad \text{and} \quad k^{(z_0)} = \frac{\overline{\mu}}{q}$$

Using the Riemann-Hurwitz formula with the information given by (3), (4) and (5) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 2g - 2 &= -2\bar{\mu} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{p}(p-1) + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{p}(p-1) + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{q}(q-1) \\ &= \bar{\mu} \left( -2 + 2 - \frac{2}{p} + 1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) \\ &= \bar{\mu} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$g = 1 + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

□

#### 4. GENUS OF $X_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p})$

In this section, the genus of  $X_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p})$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime above  $p$ , is computed. It is assumed that  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}}/\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p})} \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  (which is always true when  $q \geq 5$  according to Theorem 2.24) and  $p \neq q$  are prime numbers strictly greater than 2.

Using the Riemann-Hurwitz formula and the natural map

$$\varphi : X_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow X_{q,\infty,\infty}(1) = X_{q,\infty,\infty},$$

it suffices to compute the ramification indices of  $\varphi$ .

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}})_\infty &= \left\langle \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \\ (\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}})_1 &= \left\langle \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \\ (\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}})_{z_0} &= \left\langle \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_q & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown, with the help of Proposition 1.37 in [Shi94], that the monodromy of this map over  $\infty$  is given by the action of  $\gamma_3$  on the set of cosets  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}}/\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p})}$ . Similarly, the monodromy of this map over 1 (resp.  $z_0$ ) is given by the action of  $\gamma_2$  (resp.  $\gamma_1$ ) on  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}}/\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p})}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}$ . The action of  $\gamma$  on  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}}/\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p})}$  is equivalent to the action of  $(\gamma \bmod \mathfrak{p}) \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$  via fractional linear transformations, i.e., the cycle decomposition of  $\gamma$  (viewed as an element of the group of permutations of  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}}/\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p})}$ ) is the same as the cycle structure of  $(\gamma \bmod \mathfrak{p})$  (viewed as an element of the group of permutations of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ).*

*Proof.* The action of  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  via linear fractional transformations is transitive (since  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}}/\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ). Moreover, the stabilizer of  $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is  $\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Hence, by group theory, the action of  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is equivalent to the action of  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}}$  on  $\overline{\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}}/\Gamma_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *The monodromy over  $\infty$  is given by*

$$(0)(1 \cdots p)(p+1, \dots, 2p) \cdots (p^{f-1}+1, \dots, p^f),$$

where  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ . So,  $\varphi^{-1}(\infty) = \{w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{p^f-1}\}$  and

$$e_{w_0} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad e_{w_i} = p, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p^f-1.$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $(\gamma_3 \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\beta = (\mu_q \bmod \mathfrak{p}) \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} \setminus \{0\}$  (the fact that  $\beta \neq 0$  is part of the proof of Proposition 3.1).

Hence,  $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is fixed by  $(\gamma_3 \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ . Furthermore, since

$$(\gamma_3 \bmod \mathfrak{p})^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n\beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = p$ , all other points of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  generate an orbit of size  $p$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *The monodromy over 1 has the same cycle decomposition.*

So,  $\varphi^{-1} = \{w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{p^f-1}\}$  and

$$e_{w_0} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad e_{w_i} = p, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p^f-1,$$

where  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ .

*Proof.* Notice that  $(\gamma_2 \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

It is easily seen that the only point of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  that is fixed by  $(\gamma_2 \bmod \mathfrak{p})$  is the point 1.

Now, consider the natural map

$$\psi : X_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow X_{q,\infty,\infty}(1).$$

Since  $e_{v,g} = p$  for all  $w = \psi^{-1}(1)$  (this is part of the proof of Proposition 3.1) and  $\psi$  factors as

$$X_{q,\infty,\infty}(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow X_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} X_{q,\infty,\infty}(1),$$

we have that  $e_{w,f} = 1$  or  $p$  for all  $w \in \varphi^{-1}(1)$ .

The previous calculation says that there is only one point above 1 having ramification degree 1. Hence, the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$  as before. The ramification behavior above  $z_0$  is given as follows:*

$$\varphi^{-1}(z_0) = \{w_1, \dots, w_n, w'_1, \dots, w'_m\},$$

where

$$e_{w_i} = q \quad , \quad e_{w'_i} = 1 \quad , \quad p^f + 1 = qn + m \quad ,$$

$$m = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p^f \equiv -1 \pmod{q} \\ 2, & \text{if } p^f \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $(\gamma_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .

As in the proof of the previous lemma,  $e_w = 1$  or  $q$  for any  $w \in \varphi^{-1}(z_0)$ .

Let  $n$  denote the number of points whose ramification degree is  $q$  and let  $m$  denote those whose ramification degree is 1.

Then  $m$  is also the number of points in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixed by  $(\gamma_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Hence  $m \leq 2$ .

Since  $\deg(\varphi) = p^f + 1$ ,

$$p^f + 1 = nq + m.$$

Since  $q$  and  $p$  are distinct primes, it follows that  $m \neq 1$ . Taking the previous equality  $\pmod{q}$ , the precise value of  $m$  (in terms of  $(p^f \pmod{q})$ ) follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *The genus of the curve  $X_{q,\infty,\infty}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p})$  is given by*

$$g = \frac{(q-1)}{2}n - p^{f-1},$$

where  $n$  and  $f$  are as in the previous lemma.

*Proof.* Follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula applied to  $\varphi$ , the previous three lemmas and the fact that  $g(X_{q,\infty,\infty}(1)) = 0$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Bea83] Alan F. Beardon. *The geometry of discrete groups*, volume 91 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [CV] Pete Clark and John Voight. Algebraic curves uniformized by congruence subgroups of triangle groups. (preprint).
- [FT93] A. Fröhlich and M. J. Taylor. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 27 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [LLT00] Mong-Lung Lang, Chong-Hai Lim, and Ser-Peow Tan. Principal congruence subgroups of the Hecke groups. *J. Number Theory*, 85(2):220–230, 2000.

- [Mar77] Daniel A. Marcus. *Number fields*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Universitext.
- [S<sup>+</sup>12] W.A. Stein et al. *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 4.8)*. The Sage Development Team, 2012. <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [Shi94] G. Shimura. *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*. Princeton University Press, 1994.
- [Suz82] Michio Suzuki. *Group theory. I*, volume 247 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. Translated from the Japanese by the author.
- [Tak77] Kisao Takeuchi. Arithmetic triangle groups. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 29(1):91–106, 1977.
- [Tak12] Luiz Kazuo Takei. On triangle groups and representations of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2n+1}})$ . *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec*, 36(1):245–258 (2013), 2012.
- [Was82] Lawrence C. Washington. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, volume 83 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHN ABBOTT COLLEGE, SAINTE-ANNE-DE-BELLEVUE, QC, CANADA

*E-mail address:* `luiz.kazuotakei@johnabbott.qc.ca`