# THE EIGENVECTORS OF GAUSSIAN MATRICES WITH AN EXTERNAL SOURCE

ROMAIN ALLEZ, JOËL BUN, AND JEAN-PHILIPPE BOUCHAUD

ABSTRACT. We consider a diffusive matrix process  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  defined as  $X_t := A + H_t$  where A is a given deterministic Hermitian matrix and  $(H_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a Hermitian Brownian motion. The matrix A is the "external source" that one would like to estimate from the noisy observation  $X_t$  at some time t > 0. We investigate the relationship between the non-perturbed eigenvectors of the matrix A and the perturbed eigenstates at some time t for the three relevant scaling relations between the time t and the dimension N of the matrix  $X_t$ . We determine the asymptotic (mean-squared) projections of any given non-perturbed eigenvector  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$ , associated to an eigenvalue  $a_j$  of A which may lie inside the bulk of the spectrum or be isolated (spike) from the other eigenvalues, on the orthonormal basis of the perturbed eigenvectors  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$ ,  $i \neq j$ . In the case of one isolated eigenvector  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$ , we prove a central limit Theorem for the overlap  $\langle \psi_j^0 | \psi_j^t \rangle$ . When properly centered and rescaled by a factor  $\sqrt{N}$ , this overlap converges in law towards a centered Gaussian distribution with an explicit variance depending on t. Our method is based on analyzing the eigenvector flow under the Dyson Brownian motion.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a diffusion process  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  in the space of  $N \times N$  symmetric or Hermitian matrices starting from a given deterministic matrix A and evolving with time according to a Hermitian Brownian motion. The matrix  $X_t$  at time t is

$$X_t := A + H_t \tag{1.1}$$

where  $(H_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a Hermitian Brownian motion, i.e. a diffusive matrix process such that  $H_0 = 0$ and whose entries  $\{H_t(ij), i \leq j\}$  are given by

$$H_t(ij) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} B_t(ij) \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j, \quad H_t(ii) := \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{N}} B_t(ii) \tag{1.2}$$

where the  $B_t(ij), i \leq j$  are independent and identically distributed real or complex (real if i = j) Brownian motions.

The matrix A is the external source of the title and should be seen as a signal that one would like to estimate from the observation of the noisy matrix  $X_t$ .

In this paper, we are interested in the effect of the addition of the noisy perturbation matrix  $H_t$  in the limit of large dimension  $N \to +\infty$ . More precisely, we investigate the relationship between the eigenvectors of the perturbed matrix  $X_t$  with those of the initial matrix A for some given t > 0, possibly scaling with the dimension N of the matrices. We will see that there are in fact several regimes to study depending on the scaling relation between t and N and on the positions of the associated eigenvalues which may be isolated or inside the continuous part (bulk) of the spectrum.

Date: May 5, 2022.

The evolution as t grows of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(t) \geq \lambda_2(t) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_N(t)$  of the symmetric matrix  $X_t$  has been investigated in tremendous details in random matrix theory (see [1, section 4.3] for a review). It was first shown by Dyson [2] in 1962 that the eigenvalues of the matrix  $X_t$ evolve according to the Dyson Brownian motion which describes the evolution of N positively charged particles (Coulomb gas) subject to electrostatic repulsion and to independent thermal noises. The dynamics of the Dyson Brownian motion were studied in many details for different purposes. The most striking applications of the Dyson Brownian motion are perhaps the proofs of the universality conjectures for Wigner matrices (see e.g. [3, 4] and references therein). The Dyson Brownian motion was also used in theoretical physics as a model to study disordered metals and chaotic billiards [5] (see also [6]). In this context, the authors compute the correlations between the positions of the eigenvalues in the bulk at a given time s with those at a later time t > s. The asymptotic correlation functions are described in terms of the extended Hermite kernel. The correlations between the positions of the eigenvalues near the edge of the spectrum at different times were later computed in [7] in terms of the extended Airy kernel.

The study of the associated eigenvectors denoted respectively by  $|\psi_1^t\rangle, |\psi_2^t\rangle, \ldots, |\psi_N^t\rangle$  is comparatively much poorer. A few authors were interested in some aspects of eigenvector fluctuations (see e.g. in [8, 9, 10, 11] on the statistics of Haar matrices, [12, 13, 14] for eigenvectors of covariance matrices and [15] for applications in finance) but yet very little is known about the cross correlation of the eigenvectors at different times s and t > s. It is a natural question to extend the results known for the eigenvalues [5, 7] by investigating the relation between the eigenvectors of the matrix  $X_s$  with those at a later time t > s (with possibly s = 0). This question was initiated in [16] and recently reconsidered in [17] where we investigate the projections of a given eigenvector  $|\psi_i^0\rangle$  at time 0 on the orthonormal basis of the perturbed eigenvectors at time t. Specifically, we consider the case where the associated eigenvalue  $\lambda_i(0)$  lies in the continuous part of the spectrum and use Stieltjes transform methods to compute the asymptotic (mean squared) projections of this vector on the orthonormal basis at time s = 0. In this paper, we propose another more powerful method based on analyzing the eigenvector flow under the Dyson Brownian motion. We are able to derive an autonomous equation (2.5) on the projections of a given non-perturbed eigenvector  $|\psi_i^0\rangle$  on the basis of the perturbed eigenstates  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  at time t. This permits us to analyze the relation between this non-perturbed deterministic and fixed vector  $|\psi_i^0\rangle$  (to be estimated in applications) with the perturbed (random) states, in a general setting for the locations of the associated non-perturbed eigenvalue  $\lambda_i(0)$  (inside or outside the bulk) and for the different relevant scaling regimes between the time t and the dimension N.

In order to explain more precisely the general extent of our results, let us make an assumption on the spectrum of the initial matrix A.

**Hypothesis 1.** We consider a sequence of symmetric matrices  $A := (A_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $A_N$  has size  $N \times N$ . We denote by  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_N$  the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A_N^{-1}$  and by  $|\phi_1\rangle, |\phi_2\rangle, \ldots, |\phi_N\rangle$  the respective associated eigenvectors. We will work under the assumption that the spectrum of A can be decomposed in the large N limit into a continuous and a discrete part. More precisely, we will suppose that

• The empirical eigenvalue density of the matrix A converges weakly to some limiting compactly supported density  $\rho_A(x)$  when  $N \to \infty$  i.e.

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_{a_i}(dx) \to \rho_A(x)\,dx\,. \tag{1.3}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To simplify notations, we do not use an additional superscript N.



FIGURE 1. Spectrum of a matrix A satisfying our hypothesis with a continuous triangular density and k = 2 spikes  $a_1 = 4, a_2 = 3$ .

The compactness of the support is not necessary for the results established below but we need this assumption in order to have some empty space left for additional isolated eigenvalues. We will also denote by a(r) the smooth function such that for any  $r \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$r = \int_{a(r)}^{+\infty} \rho_A(x) \, dx \,. \tag{1.4}$$

If  $r \in [0, 1]$ , a(r) is the r-quantile of the probability distribution  $\rho_A$ . To avoid technicalities, we will even work under a stronger assumption than the convergence (1.3) related to the local repartition of the eigenvalues of A. We will suppose that the eigenvalues of A are allocated smoothly according to the quantile of the limiting smooth <sup>2</sup> density  $\rho_A$  i.e. such that for any  $k = 1, \ldots, N$ ,

$$a_k = a(\frac{k}{N}).$$

• In addition to the compactly supported probability density  $\rho_A$ , we suppose that there is a fixed finite number  $\ell$  (independent of N) of isolated eigenvalues (with multiplicity one). For simplicity, we will suppose without loss of generality that those "spikes" are the largest eigenvalues  $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_{\ell}$  (see Fig. 1 for an illustration of a matrix A satisfying hypothesis 1).

Let us now dress a brief outline of the paper. In section 2, we review some known facts on the evolution of the eigenvalues of  $X_t$  when the dimension N is finite and then in the large N limit. We also establish in subsection 2.2 the autonomous equation (2.5) which describes the evolution of the projections of a given non-perturbed eigenvector  $|\psi_i^0\rangle$  on the basis of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The probability density  $\rho_A$  is supposed to be smooth at least on the interior of the compact support.

perturbed eigenstates  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  as a function of time t. This equation will be our main tool to analyze the eigenvector dynamics in the next sections. In section 3, we investigate the overlaps of a given vector  $|\psi_i^0\rangle$  with the perturbed eigenstates  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  at time t when the associated eigenvalue  $\lambda_j(0) = a_j$  lies in the bulk of the spectrum of the matrix A. We distinguish three different regimes for the values of t as a function of N. The first regime is perturbative and corresponds to values  $t \ll 1/N$ . The second intermediate regime, corresponding to values of t proportional to 1/N. establishes a smooth crossover between the first and the third regimes. A transition occurs in this regime: if  $t = \tau/N$ , the non-perturbed eigenvectors  $|\psi_i^0\rangle$  associated to  $\lambda_i(0) = a_i$  is localized in the basis of the perturbed states  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  for finite values of  $\tau$  while it becomes delocalized when  $\tau \to +\infty$ . The third regime corresponds to values of t which do not depend on N: t is fixed and  $N \to \infty$ . We provide many details on the overlap statistics when  $N \to \infty$  in the second and third regimes in subsections 3.1 and 3.2. We consider the general case where A satisfies the hypothesis 1 and also some special cases, including the case where the matrix A has a small rank  $\ell$  compared to N, which are analyzed explicitly. We also give a few interesting open questions for future research. In section 4, we fully analyze the overlap  $\langle \psi_1^0 | \psi_1^t \rangle$  between the non-perturbed eigenvector  $|\psi_1^0\rangle$  associated to the largest spike of the matrix A and the perturbed eigenvector  $|\psi_1^t\rangle$  associated to the largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(t)$  of the matrix  $X_t^3$ . More precisely, we rigorously prove that the overlap  $\langle \psi_1^0 | \psi_1^t \rangle$  properly centered and rescaled by a factor  $\sqrt{N}$  converges in law when  $N \to \infty$  towards a Gaussian distribution with an explicit variance depending on t and on the eigenvalues trajectories. We are also able to characterize the variance of the transverse components of the non-perturbed eigenvector  $|\psi_1^0\rangle$  carried by the perturbed eigenvectors  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$ associated to the eigenvalues in the bulk of the spectrum of  $X_t$ . We finally consider the special case where A has a small rank compared to N (factor model) in subsection 4.4. In this case, we can compute the overlaps between the perturbed and non-perturbed states analytically. In the last section 5, we explain how our ideas could be used in the context of covariance matrices to compute the asymptotic overlaps between the sample and population eigenvectors when the dimension N is very large.

**Acknowledgments** We are grateful to Paul Bourgade, Antoine Dahlqvist, Laure Dumaz and Marc Potters for useful comments and discussions.

RA received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement nr. 258237 and thanks the Statslab in DPMMS, Cambridge for its hospitality.

#### 2. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors trajectories

2.1. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors diffusion processes. It is well known [1] that the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(t) \geq \lambda_2(t) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_N(t)$  of the matrix  $X_t$  evolve according to the Dyson Brownian motion

$$d\lambda_i(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta N}} \, dB_i(t) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{dt}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)} \,, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \,, \tag{2.1}$$

where the  $B_i$  are independent real Brownian motions, and satisfy the initial conditions

 $\lambda_i(0) = a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$ 

The eigenvalues of  $X_t$  may be seen as positively charged particles in a one-dimensional Coulomb gas with electrostatic repulsion between them and subject to a thermal noise  $dB_i(t)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Our study is also valid for the other eigenvectors  $|\psi_2\rangle, |\psi_3\rangle$  associated to the next spikes.

Conditionally on the eigenvalues paths, the trajectories of the associated eigenvectors  $|\psi_1^t\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_2^t\rangle$ , ...,  $|\psi_N^t\rangle$  can be realized continuously as a function of t. (We use Dirac's quantum mechanics bra-ket notation throughout this paper). Those continuous paths are determined using standard perturbation theory or stochastic analysis tools (see again [1] or [18]): in our case, we have, for all i = 1, ..., N,

$$d|\psi_i^t\rangle = -\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{j\neq i} \frac{dt}{(\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t))^2} |\psi_i^t\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j\neq i} \frac{dw_{ij}(t)}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)} |\psi_j^t\rangle, \qquad (2.2)$$

with 
$$|\psi_i^0\rangle = |\phi_i\rangle$$
, (2.3)

where the family of independent (up to symmetry) real Brownian motions  $\{w_{ij} : i \neq j\}$  is independent of the eigenvalues trajectories (i.e. independent of the driving Brownian motions  $B_i$  in (2.1)). We can therefore freeze the eigenvalues trajectories and then, conditionally on this eigenvalues path, study the eigenvectors evolution. The eigenvector process can thus be regarded as a diffusion process in a random environment which depends on the realized trajectories of the eigenvalues. This is an important fact that will be used several times throughout this paper. Most of the results derived in this paper concern the large dimensional statistics of the eigenvectors and hold almost surely with respect to the eigenvalues trajectories.

The evolution equation (2.2) for the *i*-th eigenvector contains two orthogonal terms. The first term, collinear to  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$ , pulls back  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  towards 0 in such a way that the eigenvectors remain normalized  $\langle \psi_i^t | \psi_i^t \rangle = 1$ . The randomness comes in the second interaction and transverse term. We see that the *i*-th eigenvector  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  trades more information with the eigenvectors  $|\psi_j^t\rangle$ ,  $j \neq i$ that are associated to the closest neighboring eigenvalues  $\lambda_j(t) \sim \lambda_i(t)$ . If the neighboring eigenvalues  $\lambda_j(t)$  are very close to  $\lambda_i(t)$  (typically at a distance of order 1/N in the continuous part of the spectrum for large N), we shall see that this singular interaction leads to unstable (discontinuous) eigenstates trajectories with respect to time t, in the large N limit (see below).

2.2. Evolution of the mean squared overlaps at finite N. In order to quantify the relationship between the perturbed eigenstates at time t and the eigenstates at the initial time, we consider the scalar products or overlaps  $\langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle$  for  $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$ . Specifically, we investigate the mean square overlaps  $\left[ \langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2 \right]$  where we use the notation [...] for the expectation over the Brownian motions  $w_{ij}, i \neq j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$  which appear in the eigenvectors evolution equation (2.2). Recall that those Brownian motions are *independent* of the eigenvalues so that this conditioning does not modify the law of the eigenvalue process. Note also that the variables  $\left[ \langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2 \right], 1 \leq i, j \leq N$  are still random, measurable with respect to the sigma field generated by the Brownian trajectories  $\{(B_i(s)), 0 \leq s \leq t, i = 1, \cdots, N\}$ .

A straightforward application of Itô's formula permits us to find an evolution equation for the mean squared overlaps  $\left[\langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2\right]$ . More precisely, if j is fixed, one can show that the (mean squared) projections of the non-perturbed eigenstate  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$  on the perturbed eigenvectors  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, N$  satisfy an *autonomous* evolution equation. It is convenient to index the overlaps by the associated eigenvalues  $\lambda_i(t)$  and  $a_j$  instead of the indices i and j by introducing the notation

$$u(\lambda_i, a_j, t) := \left[ \langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2 \right] . \tag{2.4}$$

The overlap equation for the (mean squared) projections of the state  $|\psi_i^0\rangle$  reads

$$\partial_t u(\lambda_i, a_j, t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{u(\lambda_k, a_j, t) - u(\lambda_i, a_j, t)}{(\lambda_k(t) - \lambda_i(t))^2} \quad \text{with} \quad u(\lambda_i, a_j, 0) = \delta_{ij} \,. \tag{2.5}$$

This evolution equation was discovered in 1995 by Wilkinson and Walker (see Eq. (4.7) in [16]) but (to the best of our knowledge) has not been used so far to analyze the eigenvector flow under the Dyson Brownian motion. Eq. (2.5) is the main tool used in the forthcoming sections to analyze the asymptotics of the overlaps in the large N-limit. Let us re emphasize the fact that the evolution equation (2.5) for  $u(\lambda_i, a_j, t)$  depends only on the projections of the *j*-th eigenvector  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$  on the perturbed eigenstates  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  and does not involve any other non-perturbed eigenstate  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$  and work out the system of closed equations (2.5) satisfied by its N projections on the perturbed eigenvectors  $|\psi_i^t\rangle, i = 1, \dots, N$ .

2.3. Density and spikes trajectories in the large N limit. In this section, we describe the evolution of the limiting eigenvalues density when  $N \to \infty$ . We consider the empirical spectral density of the matrix  $X_t$  at time t defined as

$$\mu_t^N(dx) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\lambda_i(t)}(dx) \,.$$

A classical method permits us to obtain the evolution equation for the empirical density  $\mu_t^N(dx)$ . The method simply consists in computing the infinitesimal increments over time of functional of the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\mu_t^N(dx) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N f(\lambda_i(t))$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth test function. This is done thanks to Itô's formula (see for instance [1, Subsection 4.3 page 248], [19] or more recently [20] in a slightly wider context). In the large N limit, this evolution equation becomes deterministic. Several formulations are possible.

The (perhaps) simplest one may be written in terms of the Stieltjes transform G of the limiting eigenvalues density  $\rho(\cdot, t)$  of the matrix  $X_t$  such that  $\mu_t^N(dx) \to \rho(x, t)dx$  when  $N \to \infty$ . The Stieltjes transform of the density  $\rho(x, t)$  is defined for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  as

$$G(z,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho(x,t)}{z-x} dx.$$

This analytic function characterizes the probability density  $\rho(\cdot, t)$  that one can compute from the imaginary part of G near the real axis thanks to the *Stieltjes inversion formula*  $\Im G(x + i\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow_{\varepsilon \to 0} \pi \rho(x, t)$ .

The dynamics of the Stieltjes transform G are governed by the following *Burgers* evolution equation

$$\partial_t G(z,t) = -G(z,t)\partial_z G(z,t), \quad \text{with} \quad G(z,0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho_A(x)}{z-x} dx.$$
 (2.6)

Interestingly, the solution of (2.6) is known [21] to satisfy the fixed point equation (see also [17, Proposition 4.1])

$$G(z,t) = \int_0^1 \frac{dr}{z - a(r) + tG(z,t)}$$
(2.7)

where  $a: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is the continuous function introduced in (1.4) mapping the index  $r \in [0,1]$  to the eigenvalue a(r) of the matrix  $X_t$  in the continuous limit  $N \to \infty$ . In the special case A = 0, a(r) = 0 for any  $r \in [0,1]$  and the solution G is fully explicit corresponding to the Wigner semi-circle density  $\rho(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi t}\sqrt{4t - x^2}$  with radius  $2\sqrt{t}$ .

One can also write the evolution equation directly in terms of the density  $\rho(x,t)$  itself by projecting the Burgers equation (2.6) on the real line thanks to the Stieltjes inversion formula: for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$\partial_t \rho(x,t) + \partial_x \left( v(x,t)\rho(x,t) \right) = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad v(x,t) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho(y,t)}{x-y} dy$$
(2.8)  
and with the initial condition  $\rho(x,0) = \rho_A(x)$ 

and with the initial condition  $\rho(x,0) = \rho_A(x)$ .

The spikes trajectories become also deterministic in the large N limit. We can compute them by sending  $N \to +\infty$  directly in the Dyson Brownian motion equation (2.1) for  $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ . The limiting path of the spike  $\lambda_j(t)$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$  is driven by the density  $\rho(\cdot, t)$  satisfying (2.8). For  $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$ ,

$$\dot{\lambda}_j(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho(x,t)}{\lambda_j(t) - x} dx \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_j(0) = a_i \,.$$
(2.9)

Notice that we use the same notation for the spike trajectories  $\lambda_j(t)$  for both the limiting case  $N \to \infty$  and the finite dimensional case  $N < \infty^{-4}$ . At the initial time t = 0, the spike  $\lambda_j(t)$  starts from a position  $a_j$  outside the bulk of the spectrum of A. As t increases, the spike  $\lambda_j(t)$  is pushed away with an electrostatic force exerted by the other particles. Each particle inside the bulk of the spectrum exerts a force which is proportional to the inverse of its distance to the spike. In such a way, the spike remains at a non-negative distance to the bulk at any time  $t \ge 0$ .

As illustrated in the next subsection, we shall nevertheless notice that the spike may be eventually caught back by the continuous part of the spectrum.

2.4. Factor model. In this subsection, we illustrate the results of the previous subsection by analyzing explicitly the special case where the matrix A is of low rank  $\ell$  compared to the dimension,  $\ell \ll N$ . Such factor models are used in applications in biology to study population dynamics [22] or in finance where the setting is nevertheless slightly different, see the discussion in section 5 or [23]. In most applications, the rank  $\ell$  is fixed independently of N. The matrix A has  $\ell$  spikes  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_\ell$  and 0 is an eigenvalue of A with multiplicity  $N - \ell \sim N$ . The structure of the matrix A is therefore very simple with only a few relevant factors that one wants to estimate.

The few spikes do not bring any macroscopic contribution to the empirical density  $\rho(\cdot, t)$  of the particles and in the large N limit, we recover the Wigner semicircle density centered at 0 with radius  $2\sqrt{t}$ ,

$$\rho(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \sqrt{4t - x^2}, \quad -2\sqrt{t} \le x \le 2\sqrt{t}.$$

With such a simple form for the limiting density of particles, it turns out that the ordinary differential equation (4.2) can be solved explicitly thanks to elementary computations. We obtain for any  $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$ ,

$$\lambda_j(t) = a_j + \frac{t}{a_j} \,.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In order to avoid heavy notations, we omit to use an additional super script N for the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i^N(t)$  at finite N.



FIGURE 2. (Color online). Sample trajectories of the eigenvalues of the matrix  $X_t$  defined in (1.1) where A has only one non zero eigenvalue  $a_1 = 5$ , as a function of time t > 0. The grey lines represent the eigenvalues trajectories in the bulk. The blue dashed lines represent the trajectories of the edges  $\pm 2\sqrt{t}$ . The red plain line is the sample path of the spike  $\lambda_1(t)$  and the red dashed line is 5 + t/5. Beyond  $t_c = 25$ , the spike eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(t)$  is "swallowed" by the Wigner sea and disappears.

Comparing this value of the *j*-th spike with the value of the edges of the spectrum at time t, we easily check that for any  $a_j \neq 0$ , the bulk eventually catches up the isolated particle  $\lambda_j(t)$  at the critical time  $t_c^j = a_j^2$  at which  $\lambda_j(t_c^j) = 2\sqrt{t_c^j}$ , beyond which the spike is "swallowed" by the Wigner sea and disappears. See Fig. 2 for an illustration of a sample path of the eigenvalues of  $X_t$  when the initial matrix A has rank one.

## 3. EIGENVECTOR IN THE BULK OF THE SPECTRUM

In the bulk of the spectrum, the mean spacings  $\delta a$  between the eigenvalues of the matrix A is approximately of order 1/N and depends on the position a in the spectrum and the local density  $\rho_A(a)$  of particles near a as  $\delta a \sim 1/(N\rho_A(a))$ .

When one perturbs the initial matrix A by adding the matrix  $H_t$ , it is well known that one should compare the magnitude of the entries of the perturbation  $H_t(ij) \sim \sqrt{t}/\sqrt{N}$  with the mean level spacing  $\delta a$  of the non-perturbed matrix A.

There are therefore three distinct regimes of perturbation which lead to different asymptotics for the relation between the perturbed and non-perturbed eigenstates: • The perturbative regime corresponds to values of  $t := t_N$  depending on N such that

$$t_N \ll \frac{1}{N} \,.$$

For such values of  $t := t_N$ , the perturbation matrix  $H_t$  is in fact asymptotically small compared to A and for any fixed i, the eigenvector  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  of  $X_t$  converge to those of A when  $N \to \infty$  in the  $L^2$  norm,

$$||\psi_i^{t_N} - \psi_i^0||_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$
(3.1)

One can even obtain an asymptotic expansion for  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  around  $|\psi_i^0\rangle$  using (2.2). This regime is rather trivial and will not be further considered in this paper.

• The second regime establishes a smooth crossover between the first perturbative regime and the third non-perturbative regime. It corresponds to values of  $t := t_N$  which are inversely proportional to N i.e. such that there exists  $\tau > 0$  fixed such that

$$t_N = \frac{\tau}{N} \,.$$

Although the operator norm of the matrix  $H_{t_N}$  tends to 0 when  $N \to \infty$ , this regime is non-perturbative in the sense that we do not have the convergence (3.1) of  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  towards  $|\psi_i^0\rangle$ . This non trivial rotation of the eigenvectors may appear surprising at first sight (it is generated by the addition of a microscopic perturbation) but is in fact simply due to the small spacings  $\delta a$  between the eigenvalues of A in the bulk of the spectrum. We shall analyze this regime in details in subsection 3.1 thanks to the overlaps equation (2.5). We will see that, for any i, j such that  $\lambda_i, a_j$  lie in the bulk of the spectrum, the vector  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$  is *localized* in a finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (the dimension is proportional to  $\tau$  in the limit  $1 \ll \tau \ll N$ , see below) spanned by the perturbed eigenvectors  $|\psi_i^t\rangle, j \sim i$  associated to the finitely many closest neighboring eigenvalues of  $a_j$  such that  $N(a_j - \lambda_i(t)) \sim 1$ , as  $N \to \infty$ .

• The third non-perturbative regime corresponds to values of t = O(1) which do not depend on N. Even though the perturbation is macroscopic, we are still able to extract information on the non-perturbed eigenstate  $|\psi_j^0\rangle = |\phi_j\rangle$  from the observation of the perturbed eigenstates  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  for general i, j. Indeed we compute explicitly the asymptotic mean overlaps  $\left[\langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2\right]$  which are proportional to 1/N in the large N limit, using again the overlap equation (2.5). Our result reads (see below)

$$\left[\langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2 \right] \underset{N \to \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{N} u(x, y, t)$$

where the factor  $u(x, y, t) \sim O(1)$  is determined explicitly for any matrix A in terms of the trajectory of the limiting density  $(\rho(\cdot, s))_{0 \le s \le t}$  described in (2.8). The function u(x, y, t) can be computed explicitly in terms of elementary functions in some special cases.

3.1. Crossover regime. In this section, we consider the crossover regime  $t = \tau/N$  where  $\tau > 0$  is fixed.

General limiting random equation. Let us first fix a sequence of indices  $j := (j_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the eigenvalue  $a_j := a_{j_N}$  converges when  $N \to \infty$  to a fixed position y in the bulk of the spectrum. The sequence  $(j_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the asymptotic scaling relation when  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$a(\frac{j_N}{N}) \longrightarrow y$$
 (3.2)

where a is the quantile function associated to the probability density  $\rho_A$  defined in (1.4). In the following, we omit to write the dependence in N for the subscript j.

The overlap equation on the projections of the state  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$  on the perturbed eigenstates  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  at time t may be written

$$\partial_t \, u_{i|j}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{u_{k|j}(t) - u_{i|j}(t)}{(\lambda_k(t) - \lambda_i(t))^2} \quad \text{with} \quad u_{i|j}(0) = \delta_{ij} \,, \tag{3.3}$$

where we have switched to a simpler notation for the overlaps  $u_{i|j}(t) := u(\lambda_i, a_j, t)$  dropping the dependence in  $\lambda_i, a_j$ .

We first need to establish the convergence of the eigenvalues process  $(\lambda_k(t))_{t\geq 0}$  satisfying (2.1) on the microscopic time scale  $t = \tau/N$ . From the Dyson equation (2.1), we see that the re-scaled eigenvalues process converges weakly, when  $N \to \infty$ , towards an *infinite* random particle system  $(x_k(\tau))_{k\in\mathbb{Z},\tau>0}$  such that

$$dx_k(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}} \, dB_k(\tau) + \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{k\}} \frac{d\tau}{x_k(\tau) - x_\ell(\tau)} \quad \text{with} \quad x_k(0) = k \,. \tag{3.4}$$

Such diffusion processes in the space of real sequences indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}$  have been very recently defined [24] through a limiting procedure where the infinite sum over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is truncated. The process  $(x_k(\tau))_{k\in\mathbb{Z},\tau\geq 0}$  is invariant by translation at any time and stationary in time.

The convergence of the process  $(\lambda_k(\frac{\cdot}{N}))_{1 \leq k \leq N}$  towards the process  $(x_k(\cdot))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  reads

$$\left(N\rho_A(a_j)\left(\lambda_{j+k}(\frac{\tau}{N}) - y\right)\right)_{1-j \le k \le N-j, \tau \ge 0} \longrightarrow (x_k(\tau))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau \ge 0},$$
(3.5)

where y is the limiting position of the eigenvalue  $a_j$  at the initial time (see (3.2)). Note that we have to shift the indices by j lags before sending N to  $\infty$ .

The convergence (3.5) describes the joint local statistics in law of the eigenvalues process in the microscopic vicinity (i.e. in a region of width 1/N) of the *j*-th eigenvalue when one re-scales time by a factor 1/N. This convergence is usually written at a fixed time where one obtains the classical limiting Sine<sub> $\beta$ </sub> point process (for  $\beta = 2$ , it is the Sine kernel determinantal point process; see also [26] or [27] for a description of the law of the Sine<sub> $\beta$ </sub> point process for general  $\beta > 0$ ). The convergence (3.5) is valid for a sequence of indices j proportional to N as in (3.2) where y is the limiting position of the j-th eigenvalue at the initial time. There are no constraints on the index k and in the scaling limit the process ( $x_k$ ) is indexed on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that the eigenvalues standing at a macroscopic (or mesoscopic) distance away from the j-th eigenvalue at the initial time are ejected in  $\pm\infty$  in the scaling limit.

The convergence (3.5) at the initial time  $\tau = 0$  is obtained thanks to the smooth allocation of the eigenvalues  $a_k = a(\frac{k}{N})$  of A such that  $N\rho(a_j)(a(\frac{k}{N}) - a(\frac{j}{N})) \sim k - j$ . The re-scaling in space by the factor  $N\rho_A(a_j)$  is chosen to have a level spacing approximately equal to 1 at the initial time. At later time  $\tau > 0$ , the mean level spacing in between the  $x_k(\tau)$  remain macroscopic because of the electrostatic repulsion between the particles in the system (which is sufficiently strong to prevent any collision [1]).

Now we want to use the convergence of the eigenvalue process to study the overlap equation (3.3) in the double scaling limit  $t = \tau/N, N \to \infty$ .

Recall that the sequence j is fixed and let us introduce the finite family of rescaled overlaps (we drop heretoforth the explicit dependence on j which will only appear through a rescaling of time):

$$v_i(\tau) = N u_{j+i|j}(\frac{\tau}{N}), \quad i = 1 - j, \dots, N - j.$$

We denote by  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  the space of real sequences  $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} v_i < +\infty$ .

Using (3.3) and (3.5), we can now determine the limiting evolution equation governing the dynamics of the infinite sequence  $(v_i(\tau))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  in the space  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  as a function of the time  $\tau$  in the scaling limit  $N \to \infty$ . This evolution equation obtained from Eq. (3.3) may be written in terms of the diffusion process  $(x_k(\tau))_{k\in\mathbb{Z},\tau>0}$ , for  $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ , as

$$\partial_{\tau} v_i(\tau) = C_j \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{i\}} \frac{v_k(\tau) - v_i(\tau)}{(x_k(\tau) - x_i(\tau))^2} \quad \text{with} \quad v_i(0) = \delta_{i0} \,, \tag{3.6}$$

and  $C_j \equiv \rho_A^2(a_j)$ . Recall that the process  $(x_k(\tau))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau \geq 0}$  (satisfying (3.4)) is stochastic so that the process  $(v_i(\tau))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau \geq 0}$  of the overlaps is also stochastic (even though we took a limit  $N \to \infty$ ).

Equation (3.6) describes the evolution in time of the sequence  $v_i(\tau)$  in the double scaling limit  $t = \tau/N, N \to \infty$ . Note that the limit point  $v(\tau) := (v_i(\tau))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfies  $\partial_{\tau} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} v_i(\tau) = 0$  and hence  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} v_i(\tau) = 1$  for any  $\tau \ge 0$  as it should be. The sequence  $(v_i(\tau))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  can also be seen as a probability distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . At the initial time  $\tau = 0$ , this probability distribution is a Dirac delta function (the perturbation is null and we measure the eigenvectors perfectly). As  $\tau$  grows, this random distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}$  broadens according to the dynamical equation (3.6).

As a conclusion of this paragraph, we conjecture (a few arguments used here are heuristical) the following convergence in law in the space of continuous process in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , T > 0, *j* scaling with N according to (3.2) and any  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\left(\left[\langle\psi_{j+i_1}^{\tau/N}|\psi_j^0\rangle^2\right], \left[\langle\psi_{j+i_2}^{\tau/N}|\psi_j^0\rangle^2\right], \dots, \left[\langle\psi_{j+i_n}^{\tau/N}|\psi_j^0\rangle^2\right]\right)_{0 \le \tau \le T} \Rightarrow (v_{i_1}(\tau), v_{i_2}(\tau), \dots, v_{i_n}(\tau))_{0 \le \tau \le T}$$

where the stochastic process  $(v_i(\tau))_{i\in\mathbb{Z},\tau\geq 0}$  taking values in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  satisfies the limiting equation (3.6). This convergence along the finite dimensional marginals can be translated in a convergence in law in the space of continuous process in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  of the sequence  $(\langle \langle \psi_{j+i}^{\tau/N} | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2 \rangle)_{i\in\mathbb{Z},0\leq t\leq T}$  towards  $(v_i(\tau))_{i\in\mathbb{Z},0\leq t\leq T}$  (the tightness is obvious as we work with bounded sequences in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ : the sum of the elements is 1).

It would also be interesting to obtain precise insights on the fluctuations of the stochastic process  $(v_i(\tau))_{i\in\mathbb{Z},\tau\geq 0}$ . In particular, the heat kernel associated to the stochastic equation (3.6) is very intriguing. A very nice result would be to compute the mean heat kernel in the stationary case where the initial distribution of the point process  $(x_k(0))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is the Sine<sub> $\beta$ </sub> law [25] (see [26] or [27] for a reminder on the Sine<sub> $\beta$ </sub> point processes).

In the following, we compute explicitly the deterministic sequence  $(v_i(\tau))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau \geq 0}$  associated to the non stochastic but most probable trajectory of the particle system  $(x_i(\tau))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau \geq 0}$ .

The most probable deterministic evolution associated to Fekete trajectories. The most probable path for the infinite dimensional diffusion process  $(x_k(\tau))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ , also called the *Fekete* trajectory, satisfies (3.4) where the noise terms  $dB_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  have been set to 0. One can check that the deterministic Fekete trajectories of the  $x_k$  are in fact constant in time such that, for all  $\tau \geq 0$ ,

$$x_k(\tau) = k$$

With those Fekete trajectories, the equation on the overlaps (3.6) becomes deterministic

$$\partial_{\tau} v_i(\tau) = C_j \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{i\}} \frac{v_k(\tau) - v_i(\tau)}{(k-i)^2} \quad \text{with} \quad v_i(0) = \delta_{i0} \,. \tag{3.7}$$

Setting  $v(\tau) := (v_i(\tau))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , Eq. (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$\partial_{\tau} v = -\mathcal{U} v(\tau) \tag{3.8}$$

where  $\mathcal{U}$  denotes the linear operator in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  such that for  $v := (v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$(\mathcal{U}v)_i := C_j \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{v_i - v_k}{(i-k)^2} \,. \tag{3.9}$$

To solve Eq. (3.8), we need to introduce the Fourier transform defined for a sequence  $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\hat{v}(\xi) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i2\pi\xi k} v_k \,.$$

We can easily compute the Fourier transform of the sequence  $\mathcal{U}v$  as a function of  $\hat{v}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{U}v}(\xi) &= C_j \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i2\pi\xi k} \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{v_k - v_j}{(k-j)^2} \\ &= C_j \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i2\pi\xi k} (v_k - v_{k-\ell}) \\ &= C_j \widehat{v}(\xi) f(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \frac{1}{\ell^2} (1 - e^{-i2\pi\xi\ell}) \,.$$

For  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ , we have the explicit form

$$f(\xi) = 2\pi^2 \xi (1-\xi) .$$

We can now solve (3.8) in Fourier space. For  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\hat{v}(\xi,\tau) = \exp\left(-2\pi^2\xi(1-\xi)C_j\tau\right)$$

where we have used the initial condition  $v_i(0) = \delta_{i0}$  (i.e.  $\hat{v}(\xi, 0) = 1$ ).

Now we recover the sequence  $v_n(\tau)$  through the inverse Fourier transform

$$v_n(\tau) = \int_0^1 \exp\left(-2\pi^2 \xi (1-\xi)C_j\tau\right) \cos(2\pi\xi n) d\xi \,. \tag{3.10}$$

Localization of the non-perturbed eigenstate  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$  in a cone of dimension  $\propto \tau$ . In order to estimate the dimension of the subspace containing  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$  (associated to the non-perturbed eigenvalue  $a_j$ ) generated by the eigenvectors  $|\psi_i^{\tau/N}\rangle$  associated to the neighboring eigenvalues  $\lambda_i(\frac{\tau}{N}) \sim a_j$  for  $i \sim j$ , we study the asymptotic of the overlap  $v_n(\tau) = \lim_{N\to\infty} N\langle \psi_{j+n}^{\tau/N} | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2$  in the double scaling limit  $\tau \to +\infty$  with n scaling with  $\tau$  as  $n = p\tau$  where p > 0 is a fixed parameter. In this regime, one has:

$$v_n(\tau) = 2 \int_0^{1/2} \exp\left(-2\pi^2 \tau \xi (1-\xi)C_j\right) \cos(2\pi\xi n) d\xi$$
  
=  $\frac{2}{\tau} \int_0^{\tau/2} \exp\left(-2\pi^2 x (1-\frac{x}{\tau})C_j\right) \cos(2\pi x \frac{n}{\tau}) dx$   
 $\sim \frac{2}{\tau} \int_0^{+\infty} \exp(-2\pi^2 C_j x) \cos(2\pi x p) dx = \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{C_j}{\pi^2 C_j^2 + p^2} = \frac{1}{C_j \tau} F\left(\frac{n}{C_j \tau}\right)$  (3.11)

where  $F(u) = 1/(\pi^2 + u^2)$  is the (normalized) Cauchy distribution. The equivalence in the last line holds in the double scaling limit  $\tau, n \to \infty$  with the scaling relation  $n = p\tau, p > 0$  fixed.

This computation proves that, if  $\tau > 0$  is large, the state  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$  is (almost) entirely contained in the subspace spanned by the eigenvectors  $|\psi_{j+n}^{\tau/N}\rangle$  with indices j + n such that n is proportional (or smaller) to  $\tau$ . The eigenvectors  $|\psi_{j+n}^{\tau/N}\rangle$  such that n is much larger than  $\tau$  have very small overlaps with  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$ .

The above "Ćauchy-flight" shape for the diffusion of the overlaps in the mesoscopic regime  $\tau \gg 1$  (i.e.  $1/N \ll t \ll 1$ ) was already found in [16] – see also [17]. The authors of [16] solve the general overlap equation (2.5) (see Eq. (4.7) in their paper) in the mesoscopic regime through a non rigorous computation. They use the rigidity of the eigenvalues which basically reduces to working with the Fekete trajectories as we do in this subsection, but they also need to approximate the overlap equation (2.5) with a continuous equation where the sum is replaced by an integral (this approximation is not true in the mesoscopic regime but only in the macroscopic regime  $t \sim 1$ , see the next section 3.2). They finally take an extra assumption, which is physically sound but not justified, on the kernel R (see Eq. (4.9) in [16]) involved in their continuous equation (Eq. (4.8) of [16]).

Our approach is (to our eyes) more transparent as we solve the overlap equation (3.7) in the microscopic regime  $t = \tau/N$  when the eigenvalues follow the Fekete trajectories. Our solution is explicit given in Eq. (3.10) and we finally re obtain the Cauchy shape (3.11) for the local density of the state  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$  going from the microscopic to the mesoscopic regime by sending the parameter  $\tau$  to  $+\infty$ .

3.2. Non-perturbative regime. We now consider the case where t > 0 is fixed independently of N. In this regime, we expect the distribution of the overlaps to be much more spread out compared to the other regimes: the non-perturbed eigenstates are delocalized in the basis of the perturbed eigenvectors. All the mean squared overlaps have the same order of magnitude of order 1/N for large N.

General result. The limiting continuous equation for the overlaps  $u(\lambda_i, a_j, t)$  is again determined from Eq. (2.5): in the limit  $N \to \infty$ , the function u satisfies the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t u(x, y, t) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(z, y, t) - u(x, y, t)}{(z - x)^2} \rho(z, t) dz, \quad u(x, y, 0) = \frac{\delta(x - y)}{\rho_A(y)}$$
(3.12)

where y is the limit point of the j-th eigenvalue  $a_j$  at the initial time and  $\rho(\cdot, t)$  satisfies (2.8).

If  $i := (i_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence such that  $a(\frac{i_N}{N}) \to x$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the *i*-th eigenvalue  $a_i := (a_{i_N})$  of the matrix A converges to x when  $N \to \infty$  and, for any such sequences i, j, we have the following convergence of the overlaps

$$N u(\lambda_i, a_j, t) = N \left[ \langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2 \right] \longrightarrow u(x, y, t)$$
(3.13)

where u is the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (3.12) and y is associated to j through (3.2).

The result (3.13) may be rewritten in terms of the local density of the state  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$ , which is the probability measure defined as

$$\nu_N^{(j)}(dx) = N \sum_{i \neq j} \left[ \langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2 \right] \, \delta_{\lambda_i(t)}(dx) \, .$$

Eq. (3.13) is equivalent to the almost sure weak convergence

$$\nu_N^{(j)}(dx) \Rightarrow u(x, y, t) \, dx$$

where u is the solution of (3.12) and y is related to the sequence j through Eq. (3.2).

As a challenging open problem, we think it would be interesting to further characterize the fluctuations of the family of overlaps  $\{\sqrt{N} \langle \psi_j^0 | \psi_i^t \rangle, i = 1, \dots, N\}$  for a fixed value of j scaling with N as in (3.2), in the limit of large N. Denoting by  $i_1 < \dots < i_n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  a finite subset of indices such that  $a(i_k/N) \to x_k$  for some  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , we conjecture that the sub family of random variables

$$\left(\sqrt{N}\left\langle\psi_{j}^{0}|\psi_{i_{k}}^{t}\right\rangle\right)_{k=1,\cdots,n}$$

converge in law to a centered Gaussian vector  $(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$  whose entries  $g_k$  are independent with respective variance  $\langle g_k^2 \rangle = u(x_k, y, t)$  where y is related to the sequence j through (3.2). In section 4.3, we are able to solve a related problem on the limiting Gaussian fluctuations of the overlap between the perturbed and non-perturbed eigenvector associated to an isolated eigenvalue (standing away from the bulk density at the initial time) with a moment method.

Let us finally mention that, as a consequence of a previous work [17], we know an explicit solution to Eq. (3.12). This explicit solution was computed through a different Stieltjes transform approach in terms of the eigenvalue density path ( $\rho(\cdot, t)_{t\geq 0}$ ) satisfying (2.8). More precisely, the solution u(x, y, t) of the Cauchy problem (3.12) is given in terms of  $\rho(x, t)$  and of its Hilbert transform v(x, t) (defined in (2.8)) as

$$u(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{(y - x + t v(x, t))^2 + t^2 \pi^2 \rho(x, t)^2}.$$
(3.14)

It would be interesting to know whether this explicit function expressed in terms of the density of particles  $\rho(\cdot, t)$  and the velocity field  $v(\cdot, t)$  (which in the present case is equal to the Hilbert transform of the probability density  $\rho(\cdot, t)$ ) is still a solution in a large deviation regime where  $\rho(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)$  obey the Euler-Matytsin equations (see for example[28]). This would allow one to establish further interesting connections between the Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber integral and free probabilities (see [28] and [29]).

The stationary case. We now consider an interesting special case where the bulk of the spectrum of A has a Wigner semicircle density

$$\rho_A(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}$$

We can slightly modify the definition of the matrix  $X_t$  defined in (1.1) so that the limiting density of the eigenvalues is a Wigner semicircle at all time,  $\rho(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}$ . This can be done for instance by defining  $X_t$  as the solution of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation

$$dX_t := -\frac{1}{2}X_t dt + dH_t, \quad \text{with} \quad X_0 = A,$$

and where H is a Hermitian Brownian motion as defined in (1.2).

In this special stationary case, we can compute the solution u(x, y, t) of the evolution equation (3.12) explicitly. Indeed, as before, it may be written as

$$\partial_t u = -\mathcal{A} u \quad \text{with} \quad u(x, y, 0) = 2\pi \frac{\delta(x-y)}{\sqrt{4-y^2}}$$

$$(3.15)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the linear operator on the space of smooth functions  $f: [-2, 2] \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined as

$$\mathcal{A} f(x) := P.V. \int_{-2}^{2} \frac{f(x) - f(w)}{(x - w)^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{4 - w^2} \, dw$$

The operator  $\mathcal{A}$  already appeared in [4] in a different context.

It is easy to check (see appendix A) that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  has real eigenvalues  $\{n/2, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and admits an *orthonormal* basis of eigenfunctions  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ f : [-2,2] \to \mathbb{R} : \int_{-2}^{2} f(x)^2 \sqrt{4 - x^2} \, dx < +\infty \right\}$$

endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^{2} f(x)g(x)\sqrt{4-x^2} \, dx$$

The eigenfunctions  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. More precisely,

$$f_n(x) = U_n(\frac{x}{2})$$

where  $U_n$  is the *n*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind. Those polynomials are the orthogonal polynomials associated to the scalar  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

The operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is therefore self-adjoint in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and we can easily compute the "heat kernel"  $K_t(x, y)$  of the continuous equation (3.15)

$$K_t(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \exp(-\frac{n}{2}t) U_n(\frac{x}{2}) U_n(\frac{y}{2}) \,.$$

We can compute the sum of this latter series explicitly in terms of elementary functions

$$K_t(x,y) = \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t/2}xy + e^{-t}(x^2 + y^2 - 2) - xye^{-3t/2} + e^{-2t}}.$$

The heat kernel  $K_t(x, y)$  was also derived in [4] with a different method. It also appeared in [30, Page 462]. The solution of (3.15) is

$$u(x, y, t) = \exp(-t\mathcal{A})\frac{\delta(x-y)}{\rho_A(y)} = 2\pi \frac{K_t(x, y)}{\sqrt{4-y^2}}$$

This finally gives a nice convergence result for the overlaps towards an explicit limit when  $N \to \infty$  with t fixed independently of N,

$$N\left[\langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2\right] \to 2\pi \frac{K_t(x,y)}{\sqrt{4-y^2}} \,. \tag{3.16}$$

This formula was checked numerically for different values of t and N (see Fig. 3).

# 4. ISOLATED EIGENVECTORS

In this section, we study the projections of a given initial eigenstate  $|\psi_j^0\rangle$ , associated to an eigenvalue  $a_j$  lying outside the bulk of the spectrum of the initial matrix A, on the perturbed eigenvectors in the limit of large dimension N. If the eigenvalues  $a_i$  are indexed in non-increasing order, then j is a fixed finite integer which does not depend on N. To fix ideas and simplify notations, we will suppose that j = 1: the eigenvalue  $a_1$  is the largest spike (see Fig. 1) of the matrix A. Again we work with a sequence of matrices  $A := (A_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that Hypothesis 1 holds.



FIGURE 3. (Color online). The plain curves represent the function  $K_t(x,0)$  as a function of x for y = 0 for different values of t = 1/8 (red), t = 1/4 (blue), t = 1/2 (purple), t = 1 (green). One sees that the dispersion of the probability density  $\pi K_t(x,0)$  increases as t grows. The red points are computed using numerical simulations and represent the rescaled overlaps  $N\left[\langle \psi_i^t | \psi_j^0 \rangle^2\right]$  as a function of  $\lambda_i \in [-2,2], i = 1, \ldots, N$  and for j = 0, t = 1/8, N = 200. The agreement with the corresponding theoretical curve as predicted in (3.16) is excellent.

4.1. Principal component. From the eigenvector evolution equation (2.2), we easily check that

$$d\left[\langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle\right] = -\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{dt}{(\lambda_1(t) - \lambda_k(t))^2} \left[\langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle\right] \,.$$

This ordinary differential equation is easily solved and, using the initial condition, we obtain the following equality, valid for any finite N,

$$\left[\langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle\right] = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2N} \int_0^t \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{ds}{(\lambda_1(s) - \lambda_k(s))^2}\right)$$

Sending  $N \to \infty$ , we easily get, using the results explained in subsection 2.3, the almost sure (with respect to the eigenvalues) convergence

$$\left[\langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle\right] \to \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho(x,s)}{(\lambda_1(s) - x)^2} \, dx\right) \tag{4.1}$$

where  $(\lambda_1(s))_{0 \le s \le t}$  is the limiting trajectory of the first spike (already described in (2.9)) such that

$$\dot{\lambda}_1(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho(x,s)}{\lambda_1(s) - x} dx, \quad \lambda_1(0) = a_1.$$
(4.2)

The convergence (4.1) can of course be extended from j = 1 to any finite fixed value of j, with similar asymptotic formulas.

We see that, if t is small enough so that the spike is still isolated from the bulk at time t, then the overlap between the initial top eigenvector and its perturbed version does not vanish in the large N limit even though t and  $H_t$  have macroscopic sizes, in contrast with the bulk overlaps which were of order 1/N.

4.2. Transverse components. We now consider the overlaps between the initial top eigenvector  $|\psi_1^0\rangle$  and the perturbed eigenvectors  $|\psi_i^t\rangle$  for  $i \neq 1$ . The eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(t)$  is isolated from the other eigenvalues so that we expect the overlaps between the corresponding perturbed and non-perturbed eigenvectors to be microscopic of order 1/N.

To see this, we start again from the overlap equation (2.5). As before, we set  $u(x,t) := \lim_{N\to\infty} \langle \langle \psi_1^0 | \psi_i^t \rangle^2 \rangle$  where x (in the continuous part of the density  $\rho(\cdot, t)$ ) denotes the limit point of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i(t)$ . We also denote by f(t) the limit of  $\langle \langle \psi_1^0 | \psi_1^t \rangle^2 \rangle$  when  $N \to +\infty$ . It is easy to compute f(t) thanks to the overlap equation

$$f(t) := \exp\left(-\int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho(x,s)}{(\lambda_1(s) - x)^2} \, dx\right) \,.$$
(4.3)

This convergence together with (4.1) imply that  $\langle \psi_1^0 | \psi_1^t \rangle$  converges almost surely towards  $\sqrt{f(t)}$  when  $N \to +\infty$ .

We now easily derive the Cauchy problem satisfied by the limiting family of overlaps u(x,t): for any x in the continuous part of the spectrum of  $X_t$ ,

$$\partial_t u(x,t) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(y,t) - u(x,t)}{(y-x)^2} \rho(y,t) \, dy + \frac{f(t)}{(\lambda_1(t) - x)^2}, \quad u(x,0) = 0.$$
(4.4)

Note that the solution of (4.4) satisfies  $u(x,t) \ge 0$  for all  $t \ge 0$  and any x in the bulk of the spectrum, as it should be for a mean squared overlap.

We have the following almost sure convergence of the overlaps

$$N\left[\langle \psi_1^0 | \psi_i^t \rangle^2\right] \to u(x,t) \tag{4.5}$$

where  $x \sim \lambda_1(t)$  is the limit point of the *i*-th eigenvalue in the bulk of the spectrum at time tas  $N \to +\infty$ . This result (4.5) is equivalent to the almost sure weak convergence of the local density of the state  $|\psi_1^0\rangle$  in the bulk of the spectrum: when  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$N\sum_{i\neq 1} \left[ \langle \psi_i^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle^2 \right] \delta_{\lambda_i(t)}(dx) \Rightarrow u(x,t) \, dx$$

where  $u(\cdot, t)$  is the (unique) solution to the Cauchy problem (4.4).

4.3. Gaussian fluctuations of the principal component. Using the convergence (4.5) of the transverse overlaps, we can compute the higher order moments of the principal component and deduce that the random variable  $\langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle$  is asymptotically a Gaussian variable with mean value  $\sqrt{f(t)}$  as defined in (4.3) and variance of order 1/N that we are able to compute explicitly.

In this subsection, we work with a time t > 0 small enough so that the spike  $(\lambda_i(s))_{0 \le s \le t}$ has not yet been swallowed by the limiting bulk density  $(\rho(x, s))_{0 \le s \le t}$  of the Gaussian matrix process  $(X_s)_{0 \le s \le t}$ . This critical time  $t_c$  was explicitly computed in section 2.4 in the case of a small initial rank for the matrix A.

For such a time  $t < t_c$ , we shall now prove that, almost surely with respect to the eigenvalues path  $(\lambda_i(s))_{s < t}$ , i = 1, ..., N, the random variable

$$\sqrt{N} \left( \langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle - \left[ \langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle \right] \right)$$

converges weakly towards a centered Gaussian distribution with variance

$$g^{(2)}(t) := \int_0^t ds \exp\left(-\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho(x,u)}{(\lambda_j(u) - x)^2} \, dx \, du\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(y,s)}{(\lambda_j(s) - y)^2} \rho(y,s) dy \, ,$$

where

- $(\lambda_1(s))_{0 \le s \le t}$  is the limiting trajectory of the largest eigenvalue satisfying (2.9);
- $(\rho(x,s))_{0 \le s \le t, x \in \mathbb{R}}$  is the limiting bulk density trajectory satisfying (2.6);
- $(u(x,s))_{0 \le s \le t, x \in \mathbb{R}}$  is the function describing the limiting transverse overlaps satisfying the evolution equation (4.4).

If we denote by

$$g_N^{(2)}(t) := \left[ \left( \langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle - \left[ \langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle \right] \right)^2 \right],$$

we can easily check with the Itô's formula that

$$dg_N^{(2)}(t) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{dt}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_k)^2} g_N^{(2)}(t) + \frac{1}{N} h_N(t) dt$$
(4.6)

where

$$h_N(t) := \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{\langle \langle \psi_k^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle^2 \rangle}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_k)^2} \,.$$

It is straightforward to solve the ordinary differential equation (4.6)

$$g_N^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{N} \int_s^t \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{du}{(\lambda_1(u) - \lambda_k(u))^2}\right) h_N(s) \, ds \,. \tag{4.7}$$

The limit of the function  $h_N(s)$  is easily computed thanks to the results obtained in the previous subsection. We have the following almost sure convergence (with respect to the eigenvalues)

$$h_N(s) \to h(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x,s)}{(\lambda_1(s) - x)^2} \rho(x,s) dx$$

where u satisfies the Cauchy problem (4.4) and  $\lambda_1$  is the limiting trajectory of the first spike. From (4.7), we deduce the almost sure convergence of the rescaled function  $N g_N^{(2)}(t)$  when  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$N g_N^{(2)}(t) \longrightarrow g^{(2)}(t) := \int_0^t \exp\left(-\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho(x,u)}{(\lambda_1(u) - x)^2} \, dx \, du\right) h(s) \, ds \,. \tag{4.8}$$

With a similar method, we can check that the n-th moment

$$g_N^{(n)}(t) := \left[ \left( \langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle - \left[ \langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle \right] \right)^n \right]$$

satisfies

$$dg_N^{(n)}(t) = -\frac{n}{2N} \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{dt}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_k)^2} g_N^{(n)}(t) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2N} g_N^{(n-2)}(t) h_N(t) dt.$$
(4.9)

The ordinary differential equation (4.9) can be solved and we get for any  $t \ge 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$g_N^{(n)}(t) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2N} \int_0^t \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2N} \int_s^t \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{du}{(\lambda_1(u) - \lambda_k(u))^2}\right) g_N^{(n-2)}(s) h_N(s) \, ds \,. \tag{4.10}$$

Using this recursion relation (4.10), we can now prove iteratively on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that, as  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$N^{n/2}g_N^{(n)}(t) \longrightarrow g^{(n)}(t)$$

where the sequence  $(g^{(n)}(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the recursion relation

$$g^{(n)}(t) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \int_0^t \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho(x,u)}{(\lambda_1(u) - x)^2} dx du\right) g^{(n-2)}(s) h(s) \, ds \,. \tag{4.11}$$

To prove that the limiting distribution of the random variable

$$\sqrt{N}\left(\langle\psi_1^t|\psi_1^0\rangle - \left[\langle\psi_1^t|\psi_1^0\rangle\right]\right)$$

is indeed Gaussian with mean 0 and variance  $g^{(2)}(t)$ , it suffices to check that the sequence  $(g^{(n)}(t))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  corresponds to the moments of a Gaussian variable with mean 0 and variance  $g^{(2)}(t)$ , i.e. that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$g^{(2n+1)}(t) = 0,$$
  

$$g^{(2n)}(t) = (2n-1) g^{(2)}(t) g^{(2n-2)}(t).$$
(4.12)

It is straightforward to see that the distribution is symmetric with zero odd moments iteratively using the recursion relation (4.10) valid for finite values of N and the convergence to 0 of the first moment when  $N \to \infty$  obtained in Eq. (4.1) subsection 4.1.

The proof that the even moments satisfy the relation (4.12) for any n can be found in Appendix B.

An alternative proof can be done ad hoc with the characteristic function

$$F_N(\xi,t) = \left[ \exp\left( i\xi\sqrt{N} \left( \langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 - \left[ \langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle \right] \right) \right) \right].$$

It is plain to check thanks to Itô's formula that the function  $F_N$  satisfies the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F_N(\xi,t) = -\frac{\xi}{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}F_N(\xi,t)\sum_{k\neq 1}\frac{1}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_k)^2} - \frac{\xi^2}{2}h_N(t)F_N(\xi,t)\,.$$

In the scaling limit  $N \to \infty$ , this equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F(\xi,t) = -\frac{\xi}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}F(\xi,t)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\rho(x,t)}{(\lambda_1(t)-x)^2}dx - \frac{\xi^2}{2}h(t)F(\xi,t)$$

which is clearly satisfied by the Gaussian characteristic function  $F(\xi, t) = \exp(-\frac{\xi^2}{2}g^{(2)}(t))$ .

4.4. Estimation of the main factors. As an illustration of the results obtained in the previous subsection, we come back on the factor model. In section 2.4, we have seen that the limiting density of eigenvalues is the Wigner semicircle with radius  $2\sqrt{t}$  at time t and that the limiting trajectories of the spikes are  $\lambda_j(t) = a_j + t/a_j$ .

It turns out that the limiting mean square overlap between the first non-perturbed and perturbed eigenvectors (respectively  $|\psi_1^0\rangle$  and  $|\psi_1^t\rangle$ ) can also be computed analytically.



FIGURE 4. (Color online). Numerical simulation of the process  $\langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle$  as a function of time  $t \in [0, a_1^2]$  (blue line) together with the theoretical limiting curve  $\sqrt{1-t/a_1^2}$  for  $t \leq t_c = 25$  (red dashed line). The matrix A has only one non zero eigenvalue  $a_1 = 5$  and the dimension is N = 200. The horizontal green dashed line is  $1/\sqrt{N}$ . The agreement is good away from the right end point  $t_c = a_1^2 = 25$  where a phase transition must occur near the critical point. We see that the convergence holds almost surely as predicted in subsection (4.2) from the convergence of the second moment.

From (4.1), we obtain

$$\left[\langle \psi_1^t | \psi_1^0 \rangle\right] \to \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{2\pi s} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sqrt{4s - x^2}}{(a + \frac{s}{a} - x)^2} \, dx\right) = \sqrt{\max(1 - \frac{t}{a_1^2}, 0)}$$

We see that the information contained in the perturbed eigenvector is completely lost at the time  $t_1 = a_1^2$  when the spike  $\lambda_1(t_1)$  is swallowed by the Wigner sea.

From the results of subsection 4.3, we know that the random variable  $\sqrt{N}\langle \psi_1^0 | \psi_1^t \rangle$  has Gaussian fluctuations in the large N-limit around its mean asymptotic value  $1 - t/a_1^2$  for  $t \leq a_1$ .

The local density of the state  $|\psi_1^0\rangle$  converges weakly

$$N\sum_{i\neq 1} \left[ \langle \psi_1^0 | \psi_i^t \rangle^2 \right] \delta_{\lambda_i(t)}(dx) \Rightarrow u(x,t) \, dx$$

where the function u(x,t) (which contains the information the microscopic transverse components of the initial vector  $|\psi_1^0\rangle$ ) satisfies, for any  $t \ge 0$  and  $x \in [-2\sqrt{t}, 2\sqrt{t}]$ ,

$$\partial_t u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi t} P.V. \int_{-2\sqrt{t}}^{2\sqrt{t}} \frac{u(y,t) - u(x,t)}{(y-x)^2} \sqrt{4t - y^2} \, dy + \frac{\max(1 - \frac{t}{a_1^2}, 0)}{(a_1 + \frac{t}{a_1} - x)^2},$$
$$u(\cdot, 0) = 0.$$

# 5. Extension to Covariance matrices and conclusion

We now consider a similar problem motivated by applications in finance. We want to estimate a  $N \times N$  positively definite matrix C (covariance matrix) from the observation of a sequence of independent and identically distributed centered Gaussian vectors  $r^t := (r_1^t, r_2^t, \ldots, r_N^t), t \in \mathbb{N}$ whose covariance matrix is C, i.e. such that for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[r_i^t r_j^t] = C(ij)$$

In the context of finance, N is the number of stocks in the financial market under consideration and the Gaussian variable  $r_i^t$  is the return of the *i*-th stock on the *t*-th day. The covariance matrix C is unknown and an important issue for risk control is to estimate it from the empirical data. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that the matrix C is diagonal and denote by  $c_1 \ge c_2 \ge \cdots \ge c_N \ge 0$  its real non-negative eigenvalues.

The basic idea is to form the empirical covariance matrix  $E_T$  from a sequence of T observations of the returns, defined as

$$E_T(ij) := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T r_i^t r_j^t \,.$$

If the number of stocks N is fixed and if we have access to a very long sequence of observations of the returns of length  $T \to +\infty$ , then  $E_T$  gives a perfect estimation of C. Indeed, the central limit theorem implies that

$$E_T(ij) \to_{T \to +\infty} C(ij)$$

Nevertheless, in practical applications, one only has access to finite length datasets and the number of stocks (or variables) N is often quite large, comparable to the length T of the time series. The relevant framework to be considered is the case when both N and T tend to infinity but with a fixed ratio q, i.e.

$$N \to +\infty, \quad T \to +\infty \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{N}{T} \to q.$$
 (5.1)

Let us exhibit the similarities of this present problem with the simpler one investigated in the previous sections. The matrix  $E_T$  can be decomposed as follows

$$E_T(ij) = C(ij) + \mathcal{E}_T(ij)$$
 with  $\mathcal{E}_T(ij) := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T r_i^t r_j^t - C(ij)$ . (5.2)

We easily check using the central limit theorem that

$$\mathcal{E}_T(ij) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} = \sqrt{\frac{q}{N}}$$

Therefore the matrix  $E_T$  may be seen as an additive random perturbation of the deterministic matrix C as in the previous case (1.1). The time parameter t is now replaced by the *quality* factor q. The covariance structure of the entries of  $\mathcal{E}$  is nevertheless slightly more complicated in the present case (the entries of the perturbation  $H_t$  in (1.1) are independent and identically distributed). A straightforward computation leads to

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}(ij)\mathcal{E}(kl)] = q \frac{C(ik)C(jl) + C(il)C(jk)}{N}$$

We can deduce from the latter formula that the variables  $\mathcal{E}_T(ij)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_T(kl)$  are (asymptotically in the double limit (5.1)) independent whenever the couple (i, j) is different from (k, l) and from (l, k). If (i, j) = (k, l) or (i, j) = (l, k) with  $i \neq j$ , the variance is

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}_T(ij)^2] = q \frac{c_i c_j}{N} \,.$$

If i = j,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}_T(ii)^2] = 2q\frac{c_i^2}{N}.$$

We conclude that the structure of covariance of the entries is very similar to the previous case  $X_t = A + H_t$  (the entries of  $\mathcal{E}$  are independent) but with a different non-homogeneous variance profile for the entries of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

The question is now whether one can construct a Hermitian matrix process going from C to  $E_{ij}(T)$ . As mentioned above, the time is q and we will now write  $E_q$  instead of  $E_T$ . At time q = 0 corresponding to  $T = +\infty$ ,

$$E_0 = C. (5.3)$$

The idea is now to see the matrix  $E_{q-dq}$  as an additive perturbation of the matrix  $E_q$ . Note that we do not proceed through the forward way but rather backward and the condition (5.3) at q = 0 should be seen as a final condition. The initial condition in  $q = +\infty$  is

$$E_{+\infty} = 0$$

and the dynamical evolution goes backward in time q. From (5.2), it is easy to see that, in the double scaling limit (5.1), we have the following evolution

$$E_{q-dq} - E_q = (C - E_q) \, dq + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} dG_q \,, \tag{5.4}$$

where  $(G_q)_{q\geq 0}$  is a Hermitian matrix process whose entries are independent Brownian motions with quadratic variations

$$\mathbb{E}[dG_q(ij)^2] = \frac{c_i c_j}{N} dq \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j ,$$
$$\mathbb{E}[dG_q(ii)^2] = 2\frac{c_i^2}{N} dq \quad \text{otherwise} .$$

The empirical matrix  $E_q$  performs a Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process around its asymptotic value C reached in the limit  $q \to 0$ . At the matrix level, the process is explicit and one could expect to take advantages of this simple description for the empirical matrix process  $(E_q)_{q \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ . Nevertheless, the evolution (5.4) is not isotropic if C is different from the identity matrix I as the empirical matrix is pushed in the direction of the matrix C. If  $C \neq I$ , this non-isotropy implies that the eigenvalues evolution equation is not autonomous and depends also on the eigenvector process.

Nevertheless, this new description of the empirical process  $E_q$  could be used to recover recent results obtained on the relationship between the sample eigenvalues/eigenvectors of the empirical matrix  $E_q$  with the population eigenvalues/eigenvectors of the matrix C in [12, 13, 14, 31, 32, 33].

A relevant choice for C used in practical applications is a factor model where one supposes that the spectrum of C contains a finite number of spikes  $c_1 \ge \cdots \ge c_k \ge 1$  together with an eigenvalue  $1 - \delta^{5}$  with multiplicity N - k. The spikes  $c_1 \geq c_2 \cdots \geq c_k$  are respectively associated to eigenvectors  $|\psi_1^0\rangle, |\psi_2^0\rangle, \ldots, |\psi_k^0\rangle$  where  $|\psi_1^0\rangle$  corresponds to the market mode while the  $|\psi_i^0\rangle, i = 2, \ldots, k$  usually contain the information on the economic sectors in the context of finance [23]. The statistics of the empirical estimation of the spikes were first investigated in [31] whose study was later completed in [32, 33]. The overlaps between the sample and population eigenvectors associated to eigenvalues lying in the bulk of the continuous part of the empirical spectrum (whose limiting shape is the Marchenko-Pastur density) were computed in [12]. The overlap between the population and sample top eigenvectors associated to the spikes were investigated in [13, 14].

We think it would be very interesting to recover those results following the lines of our present approach based on the evolution equation (5.4). We leave this challenging problem for future research.

## APPENDIX A. INTEGRAL RELATIONS FOR CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS

Denote by  $U_n$  the *n*-th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind such that  $U_n(\cos \theta) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta}$  for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . For z such that  $\Im z > 0$ , we shall prove that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathcal{I} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^{2} \frac{U_n(\frac{y}{2})}{z - y} \sqrt{4 - y^2} dy = \frac{n}{2} U_n(\frac{z}{2}) \,.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\sin\theta}{z - 2\cos\theta} \sin((n+1)\theta) \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{\zeta^2 - 1}{\zeta^{n+2}(\zeta^2 - z\zeta + 1)} (\zeta^{2(n+1)} - 1) d\zeta$$

We can compute this integral thanks to the residues Theorem. We have three poles  $p_0 = 0, p_1 = (z+\sqrt{z^2-4})/2, p_2 = (z-\sqrt{z^2-4})/2$  where we choose the branch of the square root with positive imaginary part. Noting that  $p_1p_2 = 1$ , we can check that in fact  $|p_1| > |p_2|$  and therefore  $|p_2| < 1$ . We set  $f(\zeta) = \frac{1}{1-z\zeta+\zeta^2}$ . The generating function of the  $U_n$  is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} U_k(\frac{z}{2}) \, \zeta^k = \frac{1}{1 - z\zeta + \zeta^2} \, .$$

We now have to compute the three residues. The residue at  $p_0$  is

$$\operatorname{Res}(p_0) = U_{n+1}(\frac{z}{2}) - U_{n-1}(\frac{z}{2}) = 2T_{n+1}(\frac{z}{2})$$

where  $T_k$  is the k-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. The residue at  $p_2$  is

$$\operatorname{Res}(p_2) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left( (z - \sqrt{z^2 - 4})^{n+1} - (z - \sqrt{z^2 - 4})^{-(n+1)} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left( (z - \sqrt{z^2 - 4})^{n+1} - (z + \sqrt{z^2 - 4})^{n+1} \right)$$
$$= -U_n(\frac{z}{2}) \sqrt{z^2 - 4}$$
$$= -v_n(z) \sqrt{z^2 - 4}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The parameter  $\delta$  is usually chosen such that the trace of the covariance matrix C is N i.e. such that  $(1 - \delta)(N - k) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i = N$ .

We finally obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^{2} \frac{U_n(\frac{y}{2})}{z-y} \sqrt{4-y^2} dy = T_{n+1}(\frac{z}{2}) - \frac{1}{2} U_n(\frac{z}{2}) \sqrt{z^2 - 4}$$

Differentiating with respect to z and using the relation  $T'_{n+1} = (n+1)U_n$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^{2} \frac{U_n(\frac{y}{2})}{(z-y)^2} \sqrt{4-y^2} \, dy = -\frac{n+1}{2} U_n(\frac{z}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} U_n'(\frac{z}{2}) \sqrt{z^2 - 4} + U_n(\frac{z}{2}) \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2 - 4}} \right) \, .$$

Similarly we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^{2} \frac{\sqrt{4-y^2}}{(z-y)^2} \, dy = \frac{1}{2} \left(-1 + \frac{z}{\sqrt{4-z^2}}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^{2} \frac{U_n(\frac{z}{2}) - U_n(\frac{y}{2})}{(z-y)^2} \sqrt{4-y^2} \, dy = \frac{n}{2} U_n(\frac{z}{2}) - \frac{1}{4} U_n'(\frac{z}{2}) \sqrt{z^2 - 4} \, dy$$

Setting now  $z = x + i\varepsilon$  and sending  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain keeping only the real part

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} P.V. \int_{-2}^{2} \frac{U_n(\frac{x}{2}) - U_n(\frac{y}{2})}{(x-y)^2} \sqrt{4-y^2} \, dy = \frac{n}{2} U_n(\frac{x}{2})$$

Appendix B. Proof of the Gaussian fluctuations announced in section 4.3

For the even moments, we start by proving the relation (4.12) for n = 2 i.e. that  $g^{(4)}(t) = 3g^{(2)}(t)^2$ . To simplify notations in the following computations, we introduce the function  $\varphi$  such that for  $u \ge 0$ ,  $\varphi(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho(x,u)}{(\lambda_1(u)-x)^2} dx$ . Using (4.11), we have

$$g^{(4)}(t) = 6 \int_0^t ds \exp(-2\int_s^t \varphi) \int_0^s dr \exp(-\int_r^s \varphi) h(r)$$
  
=  $6 \int_0^t ds \exp(-\int_s^t \varphi) \int_0^s dr \exp(-\int_r^t \varphi) h(r)$  (B.1)

where we have used the Chasles relation in the second line. Inverting the order of integration over s and r, we get

$$g^{(4)}(t) = 6 \int_0^t dr \exp(-\int_r^t \varphi) \int_r^t ds \exp(-\int_s^t \varphi) h(s)$$

The idea is now to use again the Chasles relation for the second integral to obtain

$$g^{(4)}(t) = 6 \int_0^t dr \exp(-\int_r^t \varphi) \left( g^{(2)}(t) - \int_0^r ds h(s) \exp(-\int_s^t \varphi) \right)$$
(B.2)

which may be rewritten

$$g^{(4)}(t) = 6 g^{(2)}(t)^2 - g^{(4)}(t)$$

where we have noticed from the expression (B.1) that the second term of (B.2) is indeed  $g^{(4)}(t)$ . The relation  $g^{(4)}(t) = 3g^{(2)}(t)$  follows.

We now have to treat the general case  $n \ge 3$  which amounts to prove that

$$g^{(2n)}(t) = (2n-1)(2n-3)\cdots 3 g^{(2)}(t)^n$$

We do the proof recursively on n. As a warm up, we first present the proof for n = 3. Using the relation  $g^{(4)}(t) = 3 g^{(2)}(t)^2$  and (4.11), we have

$$g^{(6)}(t) = 45 \int_0^t ds \exp(-3 \int_s^t \varphi) h(s) g^{(2)}(s)^2$$
  
=  $45 \int_0^t ds \exp(-3 \int_s^t \varphi) \int_0^s dr \int_0^s dv \exp(-\int_r^s \varphi) \exp(-\int_v^s \varphi) h(r) h(v).$ 

Using the Chasles relation as before, we get

$$g^{(6)}(t) = 45 \int_0^t ds \exp(-\int_s^t \varphi) \int_0^s dr \int_0^s dv \exp(-\int_r^t \varphi) \exp(-\int_v^t \varphi) h(r) h(v) \,. \tag{B.3}$$

Using symmetry properties, we can write

$$g^{(6)}(t) = 90 \int_0^t ds \exp(-3\int_s^t \varphi) \int_0^s dr \int_0^r dv \exp(-\int_r^s \varphi) \exp(-\int_v^s \varphi) h(r) h(v) \,.$$

Now we change the order of integration to obtain

$$g^{(6)}(t) = 90 \int_0^t dr h(r) \exp(-\int_r^t \varphi) \int_0^r dv h(v) \exp(-\int_v^t \varphi) \int_r^t ds h(s) \exp(-\int_s^t \varphi)$$

The idea is again to rewrite the last integral thanks to the Chasles relation

$$g^{(6)}(t) = 90 \int_0^t dr h(r) \exp(-\int_r^t \varphi) \int_0^r dv h(v) \exp(-\int_v^t \varphi) \left(g^{(2)}(t) - \int_0^r ds h(s) \exp(-\int_s^t \varphi)\right).$$
(B.4)

The second integral can be reckoned from the expression (B.3) while the first one is easily rewritten in terms of  $g^{(4)}(t)$ . Eq. (B.4) can thus be rewritten

$$g^{(6)}(t) = 15 g^{(2)}(t) g^{(4)}(t) - 2g^{(6)}(t) ,$$

and therefore  $g^{(6)}(t) = 15 g^{(2)}(t)^3$ .

The general case is in fact very similar to the n = 3 case up to an easy generalization but we write down the proof for completeness. If  $g^{(2n-2)}(t) = (2n-3)(2n-5)\cdots 3g^{(2)}(t)^{n-1}$ , then, using (4.11),

$$g^{(2n)}(t) = n(2n-1)(2n-3)\cdots 3\int_{0}^{t} ds \exp\left(-n\int_{s}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi\right)g^{(2)}(s)^{n-1}h(s)\,ds$$
  
=  $n(2n-1)(2n-3)\cdots 3\int_{0}^{t} ds \exp\left(-n\int_{s}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi\right)\int_{0}^{s} dr_{1}h(r_{1})\exp(-\int_{r_{1}}^{s}\varphi)$   
 $\cdots \int_{0}^{s} dr_{n-1}h(r_{n-1})\exp(-\int_{r_{n-1}}^{s}\varphi).$ 

Using the Chasles relation,

$$g^{(2n)}(t) = n(2n-1)(2n-3)\cdots 3\int_{0}^{t} ds \exp\left(-\int_{s}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi\right)\int_{0}^{s} dr_{1}h(r_{1})\exp(-\int_{r_{1}}^{t}\varphi)$$
  
$$\cdots \int_{0}^{s} dr_{n-1}h(r_{n-1})\exp(-\int_{r_{n-1}}^{t}\varphi).$$
 (B.5)

Using symmetry properties,

$$g^{(2n)}(t) = n (n-1) (2n-1)(2n-3) \cdots 3 \int_0^t ds \exp\left(-\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi\right) \int_0^s dr_1 h(r_1) \exp(-\int_{r_1}^t \varphi)$$
$$\int_0^{r_1} dr_2 h(r_2) \exp(-\int_{r_2}^t \varphi) \cdots \int_0^{r_1} dr_{n-1} h(r_{n-1}) \exp(-\int_{r_{n-1}}^t \varphi).$$

Now we change the order of integration to obtain

$$g^{(2n)}(t) = n (n-1) (2n-1)(2n-3) \cdots 3 \int_0^t dr_1 h(r_1) \exp\left(-\int_{r_1}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi\right) \int_0^{r_1} dr_2 h(r_2) \exp(-\int_{r_2}^t \varphi)$$
  
$$\cdots \int_0^{r_1} dr_{n-1} h(r_{n-1}) \exp(-\int_{r_{n-1}}^t \varphi) \int_{r_1}^t ds h(s) \exp(-\int_s^t \varphi).$$

The idea is again to rewrite the last integral thanks to the Chasles relation

$$g^{(2n)}(t) = n (n-1) (2n-1)(2n-3) \cdots 3 \int_0^t dr_1 h(r_1) \exp\left(-\int_{r_1}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi\right) \int_0^{r_1} dr_2 h(r_2) \exp\left(-\int_{r_2}^t \varphi\right) \cdots \int_0^{r_1} dr_{n-1} h(r_{n-1}) \exp\left(-\int_{r_{n-1}}^t \varphi\right) \left(g^{(2)}(t) - \int_0^{r_1} ds h(s) \exp\left(-\int_s^t \varphi\right)\right).$$
(B.6)

Comparing this expression with (B.5) for n and n-1, we can rewrite this later equation as

$$g^{(2n)}(t) = n(2n-1)g^{(2n-2)}(t)g^{(2)}(t) - (n-1)g^{(2n)}(t),$$

from which we easily derive the relation  $g^{(2n)}(t) = (2n-1)g^{(2)}(t)g^{(2n-2)}(t)$  at level n.

#### References

- G.W. Anderson, A. Guionnet and O. Zeitouni. An Introduction to Random Matrices, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press (2009).
- [2] F. J. Dyson. A Brownian-Motion Model for the Eigenvalues of a Random Matrix, J. Math. Phys. 3, 1191 (1962).
- [3] L. Erdös, S. Péché, J. Ramírez, B. Schlein, H.-T. Yau. Bulk universality for generalized Wigner matrices Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 63, 7, 895-925 (2010).
- [4] P. Bourgade, L. Erdos, H. T. Yau, J. Yin. Fixed energy universality for generalized Wigner matrices. arXiv 1407.5606 (2014).
- [5] C. W. J. Beenakker and B. Rejaei. Random-matrix theory of parametric correlations in the spectra of disordered metals and chaotic billiards. Physica A 203, 61-90 (1994).
- [6] P. J. Forrester. Some exact correlations in the Dyson Brownian motion model for transitions to the CUE. Physica A 223, 365-390 (1996).
- [7] A. M. S. Macedo. Universal parametric correlations at the soft edge of the spectrum of random matrices. Europhys. Lett. 26 (9), 641-646 (1994).
- [8] C. Donati-Martin, A. Rouault. Random truncations of Haar distributed matrices and bridges. Random Matrices: Theory Appl. 01, 1150007 (2012).
- [9] F. Benaych-Georges. Eigenvectors of Wigner matrices: universality of global fluctuations. Random Matrices Theory Appl. 1 4, 23 (2012).
- [10] P. Bourgade, H.-T. Yau. The Eigenvector Moment Flow and local Quantum Unique Ergodicty. arXiv:1312.1301 (2013).
- [11] T. Tao and V. Vu. Random Matrices: Universal Properties of Eigenvectors. Random Matrices: Theory Appl. 1 1150001(2012).
- [12] O. Ledoit and S. Péché. Eigenvectors of some large sample covariance matrix ensembles. Prob. Th. Rel. Fields, 151 233 (2011).
- [13] D. Paul. Asymptotics of sample eigen structure for a large dimensional spiked covariance model. Statistica Sinica 17, 1617-1642 (2007).

- [14] F. Benaych-Georges and R. R. Nadakuditi. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of finite, low rank perturbations of large random matrices. Advances in Mathematics 227, 494-521 (2011).
- [15] R. Allez and J.-P. Bouchaud. Eigenvector dynamics: general theory and some applications, Phys. Rev. E 86, 046202 (2012).
- M. Wilkinson and P. Walker. A Brownian motion model for the parameter dependence of matrix elements, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28, 6143, (1995).
- [17] R. Allez and J.-P. Bouchaud. Eigenvector dynamics under Free addition, Random Matrices: Theory Appl. 03, 1450010 (2014).
- [18] R. Allez and A. Guionnet. A diffusive matrix model for invariant  $\beta$ -ensembles. Electron. J. Probab. 18, no. 62, 1-30 (2013).
- [19] L.C.G. Rogers and Z. Shi. Interacting Brownian particles and the Wigner law. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 95, 555-570 (1993).
- [20] R. Allez, J.-P. Bouchaud and A. Guionnet. Invariant β-ensembles and the Gauss-Wigner crossover. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 094102 (2012).
- [21] D. Shlyakhtenko. Random Gaussian band matrices and freeness with amalgamation. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 20, 1013-1025, (1996).
- [22] J. Wishart. Generalized product moment distribution in samples. Biometrika 20 A 425 (1928).
- [23] J.-P. Bouchaud and M. Potters. Chapter Financial Applications of Random Matrix Theory: a short review in Handbook on Random Matrix Theory, Oxford University Press (2009).
- [24] H. Osada. Interacting Brownian motions in infinite dimensions with logarithmic interaction potentials. Ann. Prob. 41, 1, 1-49 (2013).
- [25] Paul Bourgade, private communication.
- [26] B. Valkó and B. Virág. Continuum limits of random matrices and the Brownian carousel. Invent. math. 177 463-508 (2009).
- [27] R. Allez and L. Dumaz. From Sine kernel to Poisson statistics. Electron. J. Probab. 19, 114, 1-25 (2014).
- [28] J. Bun, J.-P. Bouchaud, S. N. Majumdar, M. Potters. Instanton Approach to Large N Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber Integrals. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 070201 (2014).
- [29] R. Allez, J.-P. Bouchaud, J. Bun, M. Potters. In preparation (2015).
- [30] P. Biane. Free hypercontractivity. Comm. Math. Phys. 184, 2, 457-474 (1997).
- [31] J. Baik, G. Ben Arous, S. Péché. Phase transition of the largest eigenvalue for nonnull complex sample covariance matrices. Ann. Probab. 33 5 1643-1697 (2005).
- [32] A. Bloemendal and B. Virág. Limits of spiked random matrices I. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 156 795-825 (2013).
- [33] A. Bloemendal and B. Virág. Limits of spiked random matrices II. arXiv:1109.3704 (2011).

WEIERSTRASS INSTITUTE, MOHRENSTR. 39, 10117 BERLIN, GERMANY.

Capital Fund Management, 23-25, rue de l'Université, 75007 Paris

CNRS, LPTMS, BATIMENT 100, UNIVERSITÉ D'ORSAY, 91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE

LEONARD DE VINCI PÔLE UNIVERSITAIRE, FINANCE LAB, 92916 PARIS LA DÉFENSE, FRANCE *E-mail address*: romain.allez@gmail.com

*E-mail address:* joel.bun@gmail.com

E-mail address: jean-philippe.bouchaud@cfm.fr