

# Sweeping has no effect on renormalized turbulent viscosity

Mahendra K. Verma<sup>1,\*</sup> and Abhishek Kumar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India 208016*

We employ renormalization group techniques (RG) to the Navier-Stokes equation in the presence of constant mean velocity field  $\mathbf{U}_0$ , and show that the renormalized viscosity is independent of  $\mathbf{U}_0$ . Thus we demonstrate that the renormalized parameter in Eulerian field theory is Galilean invariant, and it is unaffected by the ‘‘sweeping effect’’, contrary to the results of Kraichnan [Phys. Fluids **7**, 1723 (1964)] on random Galilean invariance. Using direct numerical simulation, we show that the correlation functions for  $\mathbf{U}_0 = 0$  and  $\mathbf{U}_0 \neq 0$  differ from each other, but the renormalized viscosity for the two cases are the same. Our numerical results are consistent with the RG calculations.

PACS numbers: 47.27.ef, 47.27.Gs, 47.27.-i, 47.27.ek

The physics of turbulence remains an unsolved problem even after several centuries of efforts. There have been several major advances in understanding of homogeneous and isotropic turbulence, most notably by Kolmogorov [1], who showed that the energy spectrum  $E(k) = K_{K_o} \Pi^{2/3} k^{-5/3}$ , where  $\Pi$  is the energy flux, and  $K_{K_o}$  is the Kolmogorov constant. Another major direction of research in this field is field-theoretic treatment of turbulence. Kraichnan pioneered this field; he developed *direct interaction approximation* (DIA) [2] for turbulence analysis in which he derived equations for the correlation and response functions using perturbative techniques. Later, Wyld [3], Martin *et al.* [4], Yakhot and Orszag [5], McComb [6–9], Zhou [10, 11], Bhattacharjee [12], and others advanced the field, with significant efforts in renormalization group analysis (RG). Physically, the renormalized viscosity is scale dependent, and it is the effective viscosity at that scale.

One of the most important principles of classical physics is Galilean invariance, according to which laws of physics are same in all inertial frames (each moving with a constant velocity). Naturally, the Navier-Stokes equation, which is Newton’s laws for fluid flows, exhibits this symmetry, both in real space and in Fourier space [7]. As a consequence of this symmetry, the flow properties of the fluid in two inertial frames should be the same, as long as the mean flow velocity is subtracted from the flow.

Kraichnan [13], however, argued that the Eulerian formulation of fluid flow is unsuitable for field-theoretic computations due *sweeping effect*, according to which small-scale fluid structures are convected by the large energy-containing eddies. Kraichnan considered a fluid flow with a *random* mean velocity field, which is constant in space and time, but has a Gaussian and isotropic distribution over an ensemble of realisations. Then he employed direct interaction approximation to close the hierarchy of equations, and showed that  $E(k) \sim (\Pi U_{0,\text{rms}})^{1/2} k^{-3/2}$ , where  $U_{0,\text{rms}}$  is the rms value of the mean velocity. Consequently, Kraichnan emphasised the inadequacies of the Eulerian formalism for obtaining Kolmogorov’s spectrum for fully developed fluid turbu-

lence. Later, he developed Lagrangian field theory of fluid turbulence that is consistent with the Kolmogorov’s 5/3 theory of turbulence (see [14] and other related papers).

In this letter we perform renormalization group analysis of the Navier-Stokes equation in the presence of a constant mean velocity field  $\mathbf{U}_0$ . We adopt the RG procedure of McComb, Zhou, and coworkers [6–11]. We show that the renormalized viscosity is independent of the mean velocity, thus showing an absence of the sweeping effect on the renormalized viscosity. The energy spectrum is independent of the mean velocity, and it follows Kolmogorov’s spectrum. The mean velocity field has signatures on the correlation and Green’s functions, but its contributions to the renormalized viscosity get cancelled due to the constraints  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$  on the wavenumbers of the interacting triads.

Earlier, McComb [6, 8, 9], and McComb and Shanmugasundaram [9] argued that the local energy transfer (LET) model in the Eulerian framework produces similar result as that predicted in the Lagrangian framework of Kraichnan [14]. Yakhot *et al.* [15] argued that sweeping effect is asymptotically negligible for the parameter  $\epsilon = 0$ . Our computations are consistent with these results. However, our computations, described below, is more direct and explicit.

We compute the renormalized viscosity in the presence of a mean velocity  $\mathbf{U}_0$ . The incompressible fluid equation in the Fourier space is

$$(-i\omega + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} + \nu k^2)u_i(\hat{k}) = -\frac{i}{2}P_{ijm}(\mathbf{k}) \int_{\hat{p}+\hat{q}=\hat{k}} d\hat{p} [u_j(\hat{p})u_m(\hat{q})] + f_i(\hat{k}), \quad (1)$$

where

$$P_{ijm}(\mathbf{k}) = k_j P_{im}(\mathbf{k}) + k_m P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (2)$$

$\mathbf{f}$  is the external force,  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity,  $\hat{k} = (\omega(k), \mathbf{k})$ ,  $\hat{p} = (\omega(p), \mathbf{p})$ , and  $\hat{q} = (\omega(q), \mathbf{q})$ .

In this renormalization process, the wavenumber range  $(k_N, k_0)$  is divided logarithmically into  $N$  shells. The  $n$ th shell is  $(k_n, k_{n-1})$  where  $k_n = h^n k_0$  ( $h < 1$ ), and

$k_N = h^N k_0$ . In the first step, the spectral space is divided in two parts: the shell  $(k_1, k_0) = k^>$ , which is to be eliminated, and  $(k_N, k_1) = k^<$ , set of modes to be retained. The equation for a Fourier modes belonging to  $k^<$  is

$$\begin{aligned} [-i\omega(k) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} + \nu_0 k^2] u_i^<(\hat{k}) = \\ -\frac{i}{2} P_{ijm}(\mathbf{k}) \int d\hat{p} ([u_j^<(\hat{p}) u_m^<(\hat{q})] \\ + 2[u_j^<(\hat{p}) u_m^>(\hat{q})] + [u_j^>(\hat{p}) u_m^>(\hat{q})]) + f_i(\hat{k}) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\nu_0 = \nu$ .

The objective of the renormalization group procedure is to compute corrections to the viscosity,  $\delta\nu_0$ , due to the second and third term in the RHS of Eq. (3). To the first order, the second bracketed term of Eq. (3) vanishes, but the nonvanishing third bracketed term yields corrections to  $\nu_0$  [6–11, 16]. The resulting equation after perturbative expansion [6–11, 17] is

$$\begin{aligned} [-i\omega(k) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} + (\nu_0(k) + \delta\nu_0(k))k^2] u_i^<(\hat{k}) = \\ -\frac{i}{2} P_{ijm}(\mathbf{k}) \int d\mathbf{p} d\omega(p) [u_j^<(\hat{p}) u_m^<(\hat{k} - \hat{p})] + f_i(\hat{k}) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\nu_0(k)k^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{p}+\hat{q}=\hat{k}}^{\Delta} d\mathbf{p} d\omega(p) [S(k, p, q)G(\hat{q})C(\hat{p})] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{p}+\hat{q}=\hat{k}}^{\Delta} d\mathbf{p} d\omega(p) S(k, p, q)C(\mathbf{p}) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(-i\omega(q) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{q} + \nu_0(q)q^2)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(-i\omega(p) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \nu_0(p)p^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $G(\hat{q})$  is Green's function,  $C(\hat{p}) = C(\mathbf{p})/(-i\omega(p) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \nu_0(p)p^2)$  is the correlation function, and  $S(k, p, q) = kp((d-3)z + 2z^3 + 2xy)$ , where  $d$  is the space dimension. The integral is performed over the first shell  $\Delta = (k_1, k_0)$ . Using the fact that  $\omega(k) = \omega(p) + \omega(q)$  and  $i\omega(k) = i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} + \nu_0(k)k^2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\nu_0(k)k^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{p}+\hat{q}=\hat{k}}^{\Delta} d\mathbf{p} d\omega(p) \frac{S(k, p, q)C(\mathbf{p})}{[-i\omega(k) + i\omega(p) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{q} + \nu_0(q)q^2] [-i\omega(p) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \nu_0(p)p^2]} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{p}+\hat{q}=\hat{k}}^{\Delta} d\mathbf{p} d\omega(p) \frac{S(k, p, q)C(\mathbf{p})}{[-i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} - \nu_0(k)k^2 + i\omega(p) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{q} + \nu_0(q)q^2] [-i\omega(p) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \nu_0(p)p^2]} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{p}+\hat{q}=\hat{k}}^{\Delta} d\mathbf{p} \frac{S(k, p, q)C(\mathbf{p})}{[-\nu_0(k)k^2 + \nu_0(p)p^2 + \nu_0(q)q^2 + (-i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{p} + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{q})]} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\hat{p}+\hat{q}=\hat{k}}^{\Delta} d\mathbf{p} \frac{S(k, p, q)C(\mathbf{p})}{-\nu_0(k)k^2 + \nu_0(p)p^2 + \nu_0(q)q^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here the  $\mathbf{U}_0$  term gets cancelled because  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$ . Equation (6) shows that the correction  $\delta\nu_0(k)k^2$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{U}_0$ .

The renormalized viscosity after the first step is  $\nu_1(k) = \nu_0(k) + \delta\nu_0(k)$ . This process is iterated for all the shells that yields the renormalized viscosity at the  $n$ -th step,  $\nu_n(k)$ . Since the same step is iterated, we conclude that the renormalized viscosity  $\nu_n(k)$  is independent of  $\mathbf{U}_0$ , thus exhibiting Galilean invariance of  $\nu_n(k)$ . McComb [6–9], Zhou [10, 11], and Verma [16, 17] computed the renormalized viscosity iteratively, and showed that

$$\nu(k) = \nu_* \sqrt{K_{Ko}} \Pi^{1/3} k^{-4/3} \quad (7)$$

with  $\nu_*$  as a constant satisfies the RG equations. In 3D,  $\nu_* \approx 0.4$  and  $C(\mathbf{k}) = E(k)/(4\pi k^2)$ .

The above arguments demonstrate Galilean invariance of the renormalized parameter, and that the Eulerian framework is adequate for the RG treatment, at least

up to the first order. We also remark that the aforementioned arguments would work equally well for Kraichnan's DIA [2] and Yakhot and Orszag's RG procedure [5] for a constant  $\mathbf{U}_0$  since the equations (1-6) are common to all the RG calculations of fluid turbulence. Note that we use a constant  $\mathbf{U}_0$ , unlike Kraichnan [13] who employed random mean velocity field.

Using Eq. (4), we can deduce the dressed Green's function as

$$G(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{-i\omega(k) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} + \nu(k)k^2}, \quad \text{or} \quad (8)$$

$$G(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \begin{cases} \exp(-\tau/\tau_c) & \text{for } \mathbf{U}_0 = 0 \\ \exp\{-(1 + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}\tau_c)\tau/\tau_c\} & \text{for } \mathbf{U}_0 \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where  $\tau = t - t'$ ,  $\tau_c = 1/(\nu(k)k^2)$ , and  $\tau/\tau_c = \tau'$  is the normalized time. Note that the nonzero  $\mathbf{U}_0$  induces

both oscillations and damping in the Green's function. We define the normalised correlation function [18] as

$$R(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \frac{C(\mathbf{k}, \tau)}{C(\mathbf{k}, 0)} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}, t)u(\mathbf{k}, t + \tau) \rangle}{\langle |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k}, t)|^2 \rangle}. \quad (10)$$

The RG theory described above assumes that  $R(\mathbf{k}, \tau)$  has same form as  $G(\mathbf{k}, \tau)$  of Eq. (9) since  $C(\hat{p}) = C(\mathbf{p})/(-i\omega(p) + i\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \nu_0(p)p^2)$ . We will use this feature to compute the renormalized viscosity numerically.




FIG. 1. (Color online) A density plot of the vorticity component  $\omega_x$  for a vertical cross-section at  $t = 0.2$ : (a)  $\mathbf{U}_0 = 0$  and (b)  $\mathbf{U}_0 = 10\hat{z}$ . As illustrated by the boxed zone, the structures of (b) are shifted by  $\Delta z = U_0 t = 2$  units compared to (a).

To gain further insights into the phenomena, we perform numerical simulations of turbulent flow. We numerically compute the correlation function  $R(\mathbf{k}, \tau)$  using the numerical data, then compute the renormalized viscosity  $\nu(k)$  using the decay time scale (see Eqs. (9-10)). Using the pseudospectral method, we simulate fluid turbulence on a  $512^3$  grid with random forcing and  $\mathbf{U}_0 = 0$ . We employ the fourth-order Runge Kutta (RK4) scheme for




FIG. 2. (Color online) Plots of the normalized correlation function (a)  $\text{Re}(R(\mathbf{k}, \tau))$  and (b)  $\text{Im}(R(\mathbf{k}, \tau))$  vs.  $\tau' = \tau/\tau_c$  for  $k = 7, 8, 9, 15, 20$ . The real part shows exponential behaviour same as Eq. (9).

time stepping, 3/2 rule for dealiasing, and CFL condition for computing  $dt$ . After the system has reached a steady state, using the final state as an initial condition, we initiate two numerical runs with (a)  $\mathbf{U}_0 = 0$  and (b)  $\mathbf{U}_0 = 10\hat{z}$ . We carry out both the simulations for the non-dimensional time  $t = 0$  to 10. The Reynolds number of the runs are  $u_{\text{rms}}L/\nu \approx 1100$ , where  $u_{\text{rms}}$  is the rms value of the velocity fluctuations.

We validate our results on Galilean invariance by computing the energy evolution and the flow fields for the two cases,  $U_0 = 0$  and 10. We observe that the global energy evolves in the same way, apart from the  $U_0^2/2$ . The flow field for the two cases also evolve identically apart from a shift due to the mean flow. To illustrate, in Fig. 1, we exhibit a density plot of the cross-sectional view of the vorticity component  $\omega_x = \partial_y u_z - \partial_z u_y$  at  $t = 0.2$ . The patterns for both the simulations are identical, except that the flow for  $\mathbf{U}_0 = 10\hat{z}$  is shifted by  $\Delta z = 10 \times 0.2 = 2$  units compared to that for  $\mathbf{U}_0 = 0$ .




FIG. 3. (Color online) Plots of  $\nu_* = \nu(k)k^2/(\sqrt{K_{K_0}}\Pi^{1/3}k^{2/3})$  for different  $\mathbf{k}$ 's. The straight line represents  $\nu_* = 1.1$  [16].




FIG. 4. (Color online) For  $U_0 = 10$  and  $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 10)$ , the real and imaginary parts of the normalised correlation function,  $\text{Re}(R(\mathbf{k}, \tau))$  (thick red) and  $\text{Im}(R(\mathbf{k}, \tau))$  (thin blue), exhibit damped oscillations.  $\text{Re}(R(\mathbf{k}, \tau))$  for  $U_0 = 0$  envelopes  $R(\mathbf{k}, \tau)$  for  $U_0 = 10$ , thus demonstrating the  $\nu(k)$  is same for  $U_0 = 0$  and 10.

Using the numerical data, we compute the normalised correlation function defined in Eq. (10) for  $U_0 = 0$  and 10. First we report the  $U_0 = 0$  results. The correlation function has both real and imaginary parts, which are displayed in Fig. 2 as a function of normalised time  $\tau' = \tau/\tau_c$  for  $k = 7, 8, 9, 15$ , and 20. The real part,  $\text{Re}(R(\mathbf{k}, \tau))$ , decays exponentially as in Eq. (9), consistent with the results of Sanada and Shanmugasundaram [18]. An approximate collapse of  $\text{Re}(R(\mathbf{k}, \tau))$  for various  $k$ 's validate expressions of Eqs. (9, 10) for the correlation and Green's functions. Using the decay time  $\tau_c(k)$ , we compute the renormalized viscosity as  $\nu(k) = 1/(\tau_c(k)k^2)$ . In Fig. 3, we plot  $\nu_* = \nu(k)k^2/(\sqrt{K_{K_0}}\Pi^{1/3}k^{2/3})$  as a function of  $k$ , and find it to be approximately 1.1, which is around three

times the  $\nu_*$  computed using RG calculations [6–11, 16]. Considering various approximations made in the RG calculations, we believe that the aforementioned agreement between the theoretical and numerical results is quite good. To best our knowledge ours is the first numerical computation of the renormalized turbulent viscosity.

For  $U_0 = 0$ , we obtain nonzero  $\text{Im}(R(\mathbf{k}, \tau))$ , which is smaller in amplitude compared to the real part. The non vanishing  $\text{Im}(R(\mathbf{k}, \tau))$  is probably related to the fluctuations in the large-scale velocity field.

For  $U_0 = 10$  and  $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 10)$ , the real and imaginary parts of the correlation  $R(\mathbf{k}, \tau)$ , plotted in Fig. 4, exhibit damped oscillations with  $\omega = k_z U_0$  and decay time scale of  $1/(\nu(k)k^2)$ . The numerical data is consistent with the prediction that the time period of oscillations  $T = 2\pi/(k_z U_0) = 2\pi/(10 \times 10) \approx 0.062$ . In the same plot, we also exhibit the corresponding plot for  $U_0 = 0$ , which acts as an envelop for the  $U_0 = 10$  curves. Hence, the decay timescale for  $U_0 = 0$  and 10 are the same. Thus we demonstrate that the renormalized viscosity  $\nu(k) = 1/(\tau_c k^2)$  for  $U_0 = 0$  and 10 are the same. In other words, the renormalized viscosity in Eulerian field theory is not affected by the sweeping effect, and it is Galilean invariant, though the correlation function is a function of  $\mathbf{U}_0$ .

Equation (1) also yields  $\omega(k) = \mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} - i\nu(k)k^2$ . When  $\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} \gg \nu(k)k^2$ , we obtain  $\omega = U_0 k_z$ , and  $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-5/3}$  for the velocity field measured by a real space probe, consistent with the principle of Taylor Hypothesis. On the contrary, when  $\mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} \ll \nu(k)k^2$  (for zero or small  $U_0 = 0$ ), we obtain  $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-2}$  [19]. Thus, there is no contradiction in the Eulerian picture due to the sweeping effect [20].

Kraichnan [13] assumed a random mean velocity field with a gaussian and isotropic distribution, and observed that the resulting Green's and correlation functions contains  $U_{0,\text{rms}}$ , which is responsible for  $k^{-3/2}$  spectrum. In this paper, we work with a constant mean velocity field  $\mathbf{U}_0$ . We show that  $\mathbf{U}_0$  gets eliminated in the renormalization equation, thus we demonstrate that the RG equations are invariant under Galilean transformation.

In summary, our computation of renormalized turbulent viscosity in the Eulerian formulation is Galilean invariant. Thus, we show that Eulerian picture is adequate for the field-theoretic computations of fluid turbulence, at least up to the first order. Earlier, McComb [6–9] showed that their local energy transfer (LET) model, which is based on Eulerian framework, provides similar evolution for  $C(k, \tau)$  as the Lagrangian history theories of Herring and Kraichnan [21]. Yakhot *et al.* [15] argued that the sweeping effect is negligible when the parameter  $\epsilon = 0$ . Note however that our proof is explicit and direct compared to previous works. Our results are very encouraging for application of Eulerian field theory to field-theoretic computations of turbulence.

We thank Sagar Chakraborty for useful discussions.

Our numerical simulations were performed on *Chaos* clusters of IIT Kanpur. This work was supported by a research grant (Grant No. SERB/F/3279) from Science and Engineering Research Board, India.

---

\* [mkv@iitk.ac.in](mailto:mkv@iitk.ac.in)

- [1] A. N. Kolmogorov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **32**, 16 (1941).
- [2] R. H. Kraichnan, J. Fluid Mech. **5**, 497 (1959).
- [3] H. W. Wyld, Ann. Phys. **14**, 143 (1961).
- [4] Martin, P.C., E. D. Siggia, and H. A. Rose, Phys. Rev. A **8**, 423 (1973).
- [5] V. Yakhot and S. A. Orszag, J. Sci. Comput. **1**, 3 (1986).
- [6] W. D. McComb, *The Physics of Fluid Turbulence* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990).
- [7] W. D. McComb, *Homogeneous, Isotropic Turbulence: Phenomenology, Renormalization and Statistical Closures* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014).
- [8] W. D. McComb and V. Shanmugasundaram, Phys. Rev. A **28**, 2588 (1983).
- [9] W. D. McComb and V. Shanmugasundaram, J. Fluid Mech. **143**, 95 (1984).
- [10] Y. Zhou, G. Vahala, and M. Hossain, Phys. Rev. A **37**, 2590 (1988).
- [11] Y. Zhou, Phys. Rep. **488**, 1 (2010).
- [12] J. K. Bhattacharjee, Phys. Fluids A **3**, 879 (1991).
- [13] R. H. Kraichnan, Phys. Fluids **7**, 1723 (1964).
- [14] R. H. Kraichnan, Phys. Fluids **8**, 575 (1965).
- [15] V. Yakhot, S. A. Orszag, and Z. S. She, Phys. Fluids A **1**, 184 (1989).
- [16] M. K. Verma, Phys. Rep. **401**, 229 (2004).
- [17] M. K. Verma, Arxiv preprint [arXiv:nl/0510069](https://arxiv.org/abs/0510069) (2005).
- [18] T. Sanada and V. Shanmugasundaram, Phys. Fluids A **4**, 1245 (1992).
- [19] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1987).
- [20] H. Tennekes, J. Fluid Mech. **67** (1975).
- [21] J. R. Herring and R. H. Kraichnan, J. Fluid Mech. **91**, 581 (1979).