

PROPERTIES OF MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS ON KÖTHE SPACES

RENÉ ERLIN CASTILLO, JULIO C. RAMOS FERNÁNDEZ,
AND MARGOT SALAS-BROWN

ABSTRACT. We make an exhaustive study of the properties of multiplication operator M_u acting on Köthe spaces. We characterize the multiplication operators, acting on Köthe spaces, which are: bounded, injective, onto, bijective, Fredholm, compact, with closed range and with range finite. We also study the spectrum, the n -power and calculate the essential norm of M_u and we study the algebra of multiplication operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space and let $L_0(\mu) = L_0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ be the space of all equivalence classes of μ -measurable real-valued functions endowed with the topology of convergence in measure relative to each set of finite measure. A real Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ consisting of equivalence classes modulo equality almost everywhere of locally integrable real-valued functions on Ω is called a *Köthe function space* if the following conditions hold:

- (i) If $|f(t)| \leq |g(t)|$ a.e. on Ω , with f measurable and $g \in X$, then $f \in X$ and $\|f\|_X \leq \|g\|_X$ (often this property is refer as the space is solid).
- (ii) For every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$, the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_A$ of A belongs to X .

A function f is said *locally integrable* if belongs to $L_0(\mu)$ and $\int_A |f| d\mu < \infty$ for each $A \in \Sigma$ such that $\mu(A) < \infty$. Clearly, every Köthe function space is a Banach lattice in the obvious order ($g \geq 0$ if $g(t) \geq 0$ a.e.). By (i), every Köthe function space is a σ -order complete (see [9]).

The Lebesgue function spaces $L_p(0, 1)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the classes of Musielak-Orlicz, Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces, etc. are examples of Köthe spaces. We refer the reader to [9] and [10].

A Köthe space X is said to have the *Fatou property* if whenever $\{f_n\}$ is a norm-bounded sequence in X such that $0 \leq f_n \nearrow f \in L_0(\mu)$, then $f \in X$ and $\|f_n\|_X \rightarrow \|f\|_X$. Similarly, a Köthe space is said to be *order continuous* if $\|f_n\|_X \rightarrow 0$ whenever $f_n \searrow 0$. The assumption that every $g \in X$ is locally integrable implies that for every finite measurable set $A \in \Sigma$, the positive functional $g \mapsto \int_\Omega g(t) \mathbf{1}_A(t) d\mu$ is well defined and thus bounded; i.e., it is an element of X^* , the (topological) dual space of X . In fact (see [11]), every $g \in X$ such that $fg \in L_1(\mu)$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B38; Secondary 46E30, 46B42.

Key words and phrases. Multiplication operator, Köthe spaces, Banach lattice.

for all $f \in X$ defines an element T_g of X^* by

$$T_g(f) = \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu \quad (f \in X),$$

which is called an *integral*. The set of all measurable functions \widehat{g} such that $f\widehat{g} \in L_1(\mu)$ for all $f \in X$ is denoted by X' and it is called the *Köthe dual* of X . The space X' is a Köthe space endowed with the norm

$$\|\widehat{g}\|_{X'} := \sup_{\|f\|_X \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} |f\widehat{g}| d\mu.$$

It is known (see [9]) that if X is order continuous then X^* is isometrically equal to X' . Also, it is known that

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_X = \sup \left\{ \left| \widehat{h}(f) \right| : \widehat{h} \in X' \quad \left\| \widehat{h} \right\|_{X'} = 1 \right\}$$

for every $f \in X$ (i.e. X' is a norming subspace of X^*) if and only if whenever $\{f_n\}$, f are non-negative elements of such that $f_n \nearrow f$ a.e., we have $\|f_n\|_X \rightarrow \|f\|_X$.

In particular, if (Ω, Σ, μ) is a finite measure space (i.e. $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$), then we have the following properties:

Proposition 1.1. *If $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ then:*

- (1) *The constants functions belongs to the Köthe space X ,*
- (2) *$L_{\infty}(\mu) \subset X \subset L_1(\mu)$, where, as is usual, $L_{\infty}(\mu)$ denotes the space of all measurable functions f such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\infty} &= \text{ess sup} (|f|) \\ &= \inf \{k \geq 0 : |f(t)| \leq k \text{ } \mu - \text{a.e.}\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) *X is order-continuous, that is $\|f_n\|_X \rightarrow 0$ whenever $f_n \searrow 0$ μ -a.e.*
- (4) *$T \in X^*$, the dual space of X , if and only if there exists a function $g \in X$ such that*

$$T(f) = T_g(f) = \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu$$

for all $f \in X$.

- (5) *X' is a norming subspace of X^* .*

We can observe from the relation (1.1) that

$$\left| \widehat{h}(f) \right| \leq \left\| \widehat{h} \right\|_{X'} \|f\|_X$$

for all $\widehat{h} \in X'$ and all $f \in X$. For more properties of Köthe spaces, we recommend the excellent books [10] and [9].

Throughout of this note, we assume that the space (Ω, Σ, μ) is finite, that is $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$.

Given a function $u \in L_0(\mu)$, we can define a relation $M_u : L_0(\mu) \rightarrow L_0(\mu)$ by

$$M_u(f) = u \cdot f, \quad (f \in L_0(\mu)).$$

Clearly this relation define a linear transformation, which is known as *multiplication operator with symbol u* . The multiplication operator, defined roughly speaking as the pointwise multiplication by a real-valued measurable function, is a well-studied transformation. This operator received considerable attention over the past several decades, specially on Lebesgue and Bergman spaces and they played an important

role in the study of operators on Hilbert spaces. Studies of certain properties of the multiplication operator acting on L^p space can be found in [7, 12], on Weak L^p in [5], on Orlicz space in [8], on Lorentz space in [1, 4] and on Lorentz-Bochner space in [2]. The idea is to obtain properties of the operator M_u acting on the Köthe function spaces X in terms of the properties of the measurable function u .

The main goal of the present note is to extend the results obtained by the authors cited in the paragraph above, about certain properties of multiplication operators, to the framework of Köthe spaces. Furthermore, we do this study in a more general context than the presented by those authors and we obtain new characterizations of properties of $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ that were not considered by them (for instance, closed range, essential norm, spectrum, etc). In fact, in Section 2 we study the continuity and invertibility of the multiplication operator M_u , in this section we characterize the multiplication operators which are 1-1, onto, bijective, bounded below and, in the case that the measure μ is non-atomic, Fredholm. In section 3 we study spaces M_u -invariants, compactness and range finite of M_u . In section 4 we characterize the operators M_u having closed range on Köthe spaces. In section 5 the powers of M_u and its spectrum are studied. The essential norm of $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is studied in section 6. Finally, we devote section 7 to study the algebra of multiplication operators.

2. CONTINUITY AND INVERTIBILITY

The characterization of the continuity of multiplication operator M_u acting on the Köthe space X is well known and appeared in [6, Proposition 2.1 (a)]. They established the following result:

Proposition 2.1 ([6]). *Let $u \in L_0(\mu)$. Then $uf \in X$ for every $f \in X$ if and only if $u \in L_\infty(\mu)$; in this case, the multiplication operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$, given by $M_u f = u \cdot f$ is continuous and $\|M_u\| = \|u\|_\infty$.*

It is remarkable that in [6], the authors suppose that

$$\text{supp}(u) = \{t \in \Omega : |u(t)| > 0\} = \Omega.$$

However, in the following Proposition we show that this condition implies that the multiplication operator M_u is injective or 1-1 on $L_0(\mu)$. More precisely,

Proposition 2.2. *$M_u : L_0(\mu) \rightarrow L_0(\mu)$ is 1-1 if and only if*

$$\mu(\text{supp}(u)^c) = \mu(\{t \in \Omega : u(t) = 0\}) = 0.$$

Proof. Put $S = \text{supp}(u)^c$ and suppose that $\mu(S) > 0$, then the measurable function $\mathbf{1}_S$ is not the null function and satisfies $M_u \mathbf{1}_S = u \cdot \mathbf{1}_S = 0$. Which means that $\text{Ker}(M_u) \neq \{0\}$ and M_u is not 1-1 on $L_0(\mu)$.

Conversely, if $\mu(S) = 0$ and $M_u f = 0$, then $u \cdot f = 0$ μ -a.e. This implies that

$$A_f := \{t \in \Omega : f(t) \neq 0\} \subset S \cup \{t \in \Omega : u(t)f(t) \neq 0\}$$

and since the measure μ is complete, we can conclude that $\mu(A_f) = 0$; that is, $f = 0$ μ -a.e. and $\text{Ker}(M_u) = \{0\}$. This means that M_u is 1-1 on $L_0(\mu)$. ■

It is very interesting the fact that all surjective multiplication operators acting on Köthe spaces are also injective such as we show in the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *If $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is onto then is injective.*

Proof. If $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is not 1-1, then, by Proposition 2.2, the set $E = \{t \in \Omega : u(t) = 0\}$ has positive measure. Thus, the measurable and non null function $\mathbf{1}_E \in X$ does not belong to $\text{Ran}(M_u)$, because if $\mathbf{1}_E \in \text{Ran}(M_u)$ then there exists a function $f \in X$ such that $\mathbf{1}_E = u \cdot f$. Hence, for all $t \in E$ we have $1 = \mathbf{1}_E(t) = u(t) \cdot f(t) = 0$ which is a contradiction. This shows that $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is not surjective. ■

Now we can characterize the bijective multiplication operators acting on Köthe spaces. Recall that for $u \in L_0(\mu)$, the *essential infimum* is defined by

$$\inf_\infty(u) = \text{ess inf}(u) = \sup \{k \in \mathbb{R} : u(t) \geq k \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

With this notation, we have the following expected result:

Proposition 2.4. *$M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is bijective (with continuous inverse) if and only if $\inf_\infty(|u|) > 0$.*

Proof. $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is bijective if and only if there exists a transformation $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that $M_u \circ T = T \circ M_u = I$, where I denotes the identity operator on X . Thus, for every function $f \in X$ we have $u \cdot Tf = f$ μ -a.e. In particular, since $\mu(\text{supp}(u)^c) = 0$, we can conclude that $Tf = \frac{1}{u}f$ μ -a.e. that is, $T = M_{1/u}$ and by Proposition 2.1 we have $\|1/u\|_\infty < \infty$; which is equivalent to $\inf_\infty(|u|) > 0$. ■

An operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *bounded below* if there is a constant $L > 0$ such that $\|Tf\| \geq L\|f\|$ for all $f \in X$. It is known that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is bounded below if and only if $T : X \rightarrow X$ is 1-1 and it has closed range, but in the case that X is a Köthe space, we have the following result:

Theorem 2.5. *The following statement are equivalents:*

- (1) $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is bijective (with continuous inverse),
- (2) $\text{Ran}(M_u) = X$,
- (3) $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is bounded below,
- (4) $\inf_\infty(|u|) > 0$.

Proof. According to Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, it is enough to show that (3) implies (4). In fact, if $\inf_\infty(|u|) = 0$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $A_n = \{t \in \Omega : |u_n(t)| < \frac{1}{n}\}$ has positive measure. Hence, the measurable functions $f_n = \mathbf{1}_{A_n}$ are not nulls, belongs to the Köthe space X and satisfy $|u(t) \cdot f_n(t)| \leq \frac{1}{n}|f_n(t)|$ for all $t \in \Omega$. Then, by definition of Köthe space, we have that $u \cdot f_n \in X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|u \cdot f_n\|_X \leq \frac{1}{n}\|f_n\|_X$. This means that $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is not bounded below. ■

An *atom* of a measure μ is an element $B \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(B) > 0$ such that for each $A \in \Sigma$, if $A \subset B$ then either $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$. A measure with no atoms is called *non-atomic* (we refer to [3] for properties of non-atomic measures). An operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called Fredholm if $\dim(\text{Ker}(M_u)) < \infty$ and $\text{codim}(\text{Ran}(M_u)) = \dim(X/\text{Ran}(M_u)) < \infty$. It is known that the above condition $\dim(X/\text{Ran}(M_u)) < \infty$ implies that $\text{Ran}(M_u)$ is closed. In the case of non-atomic measures, we have the following result:

Theorem 2.6. *Let μ be a non-atomic measure on Σ . For the operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$, the following statement are equivalents:*

- (1) $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is bijective (with continuous inverse),
- (2) $\text{Ran}(M_u) = X$,

- (3) $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is bounded below,
- (4) $\inf_{\infty}(|u|) > 0$.
- (5) M_u is Fredholm,
- (6) $\text{codim}(\text{Ran}(M_u)) = \dim(X/\text{Ran}(M_u)) < \infty$,

Proof. It is enough to show that (6) implies (2). If $\dim(X/\text{Ran}(M_u)) < \infty$, then $\text{Ran}(M_u)$ is a closed subspace of X and hence, there exists a finite dimension subspace N_1 of X such that $X = \text{Ran}(M_u) \oplus N_1$. Thus, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$N_1 \cong X/\text{Ran}(M_u) \cong (X/\text{Ran}(M_u))^* \cong \text{Ran}(M_u)^{\perp},$$

where the second isomorphism is due to $\dim(X/\text{Ran}(M_u)) < \infty$. Here, M^* denotes the dual space of M and

$$M^{\perp} = \{h^* \in X^* : h^*(f) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in M\}.$$

We go to show that $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is surjective. To see this, by way of contradiction, we suppose that there is a measurable function $h \in N_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Due to the isomorphism between N_1 and $\text{Ran}(M_u)^{\perp}$, there exists a bounded linear functional $h^* \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad h^*(M_u f) = 0$$

for all function $f \in X$. But, we are supposing that $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, and for this reason, X is order continuous and hence there exists $g_h \in X$ such that

$$h^*(f) = \int_{\Omega} f g_h d\mu$$

for all $f \in X$. In particular, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} u \cdot f g_h d\mu = 0$$

for all $f \in X$.

Since h^* is not the zero functional, then $g_h \in X$ is not the null function. Hence there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the measurable set $A_{\epsilon} := \{t \in \Omega : |g_h(t)| > \epsilon\}$ has positive measure. Thus, since μ is non-atomic, there exists a sequence $\{B_n\}$ of measurable sets such that $B_n \subset A_{\epsilon}$, $0 < \mu(B_n)$ and $B_n \cap B_m = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$. Hence, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define the bounded linear functionals $g_n^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g_n^*(f) := \int_{\Omega} f g_n d\mu,$$

where $g_n = g_h \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_n}$. Clearly, g_n^* is non-null since g_n is not the null function. Also, if $\alpha_{n_1}, \dots, \alpha_{n_m}$ is a finite collection of scalars and

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{n_k} g_{n_k}^* = 0,$$

then operating on the right side by $M_{\mathbf{1}_{B_{n_j}}}$ we have $\alpha_{n_j} g_{n_j}^* = 0$ and since $g_{n_j}^*$ is non-null, we conclude that $\alpha_{n_j} = 0$. This means that the sequence $\{g_n^*\}$ is linearly independent. Furthermore, if f is any function in X , then, by definition of adjoint

operators, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_u^*(g_n^*)(f) &= g_n^*(M_u f) = \int_{\Omega} u f g_n d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u \cdot (f \mathbf{1}_{B_n}) g_n d\mu = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.2) in the last equality since $f \mathbf{1}_{B_n} \in X$. Hence $g_n \in \text{Ker}(M_u^*)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\infty = \dim(\text{Ker}(M_u^*)) = \text{codim}(\text{Ran}(M_u))$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $N_1 = \{0\}$ and $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is onto. This shows the result. \blacksquare

3. SPACES M_u -INVARIANTS, COMPACTNESS AND RANGE FINITE

In this section we study the compactness of the operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$. Will be show that this operator is compact if and only if certain M_u -invariant subspaces of X have infinite dimension. It is a known fact that every compact operator is continuous, so in the course of this section it is assumed that $u \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$. By definition of Köthe spaces $\mathbf{1}_A \in X$ for all $A \in \Sigma$, for this reason $M_{\mathbf{1}_A}$ applies X into itself and in this case we have the following result:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A \in \Sigma$ be fixed, the set $X_A = \text{Ran}(M_{\mathbf{1}_A})$ is a closed M_u -invariant subspace of X .*

Proof. By definition, we have $X_A = \{f \cdot \mathbf{1}_A : f \in X\}$ which clearly is a M_u -invariant subspace of X , since if $h \in X_A$, then there is a function $f \in X$ such that $h = f \cdot \mathbf{1}_A$, thus, since $u \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$, we have $g = uf \in X$ and therefore $M_u(h) = M_{\mathbf{1}_A}(g) \in X_A$.

On the other hand, if $h \in \overline{X_A}$, then there exists a sequence $\{h_n\} \subset X_A$ such that $\|h_n - h\|_X \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $h, h_n \in X$ and since X is a vectorial space $h - h_n \in X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, the following relation

$$h = h \cdot \mathbf{1}_A + h \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A^c}.$$

holds. Thus, it is enough to show that $h \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A^c} = 0$, the null function in X . Indeed, since $h_n \in X_A$, then $h_n \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A^c} = 0$ and hence

$$\|h \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A^c}\|_X = \|(h - h_n) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A^c}\|_X,$$

but also is true that $|(h - h_n)(t) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A^c}(t)| \leq |(h - h_n)(t)|$ for all $t \in \Omega$ and since $h - h_n \in X$, then by definition of Köthe spaces, we have $\|(h - h_n) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A^c}\|_X \leq \|h - h_n\|_X$. Therefore,

$$\|h \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A^c}\|_X \leq \|h - h_n\|_X$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the result follows taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \blacksquare

Now we go to characterize the compactness of $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ in terms of certain M_u -Invariants subspaces of X having finite dimension. First, for $\epsilon > 0$ we define the measurable set

$$E_{\epsilon} = \{t \in \Omega : |u(t)| \geq \epsilon\}$$

and we set $X_{E_{\epsilon}} = \text{Ran}(M_{\chi_{E_{\epsilon}}})$. Then with this notation, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $u \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$. The operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is compact if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$ the space $X_{E_{\epsilon}}$ has finite dimension.*

Proof. Let us suppose first that $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is compact, let $\epsilon > 0$ and we consider the inclusion operator $i_{E_\epsilon} : X_{E_\epsilon} \rightarrow X$ given by $i_{E_\epsilon} f = f$. Clearly, i_{E_ϵ} is continuous and hence the composition $M_u \circ i_{E_\epsilon} : X_{E_\epsilon} \rightarrow X$ is a compact operator. We claim that $\text{Ran}(M_u \circ i_{E_\epsilon}) = X_{E_\epsilon}$. Indeed, if $h \in \text{Ran}(M_u \circ i_{E_\epsilon})$, then there exists $f \in X_{E_\epsilon}$ such that $h = u \cdot f$; however, if $f \in X_{E_\epsilon}$ then $f = g \mathbf{1}_{E_\epsilon}$ for some $g \in X$. Hence $h = ug \mathbf{1}_{E_\epsilon}$ and since $ug \in X$ because $u \in L_\infty(\mu)$ we obtain that $h \in X_{E_\epsilon}$. This shows that $\text{Ran}(M_u \circ i_{E_\epsilon}) \subset X_{E_\epsilon}$. On the other hand, if $f \in X_{E_\epsilon}$, then $f = g \mathbf{1}_{E_\epsilon}$ for some function $g \in X$. Thus, if we consider the function $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(t)}{u(t)}, & t \in E_\epsilon, \\ 0, & t \in \Omega \setminus E_\epsilon, \end{cases}$$

then $h \in X$ since $|h(t)| \leq \epsilon |g(t)|$ for all $t \in \Omega$. Furthermore, $h \in X_{E_\epsilon}$ since $h = h \mathbf{1}_{E_\epsilon}$ and also we have that $M_u \circ i_{E_\epsilon}(h) = u \cdot h = g \mathbf{1}_{E_\epsilon} = f$; which means that $f \in \text{Ran}(M_u \circ i_{E_\epsilon})$. This shows the claim.

Hence the operator $M_u \circ i_{E_\epsilon} : X_{E_\epsilon} \rightarrow X_{E_\epsilon}$ is compact and onto. The space X_{E_ϵ} is necessarily finite dimensional.

Let us suppose now that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the space X_{E_ε} has finite dimension. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the measurable function $u_n = u \cdot \mathbf{1}_{E_{1/n}}$. We claim that $M_{u_n} : X \rightarrow X$ has finite range and hence it must be a compact operator. Indeed, if $h \in \text{Ran}(M_{u_n})$, then there is a function $f \in X$ such that $h = u_n f = u f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{E_{1/n}} \in X_{E_{1/n}}$ since $u f \in X$ (recall that $u \in L_\infty(\mu)$). Thus, $\text{Ran}(M_{u_n}) \subset X_{E_{1/n}}$ and it has finite dimension by hypothesis. On the other hand, for any $t \in \Omega$ we have that

$$|u_n(t) - u(t)| = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |u(t)| \geq \frac{1}{n}, \\ |u(t)|, & \text{if } |u(t)| < \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$$

In all of the cases, we have $|u_n(t) - u(t)| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $t \in \Omega$. Hence we arrive $\|u_n - u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which implies that the operator $M_{u_n - u} : X \rightarrow X$ is bounded and $\|M_{u_n - u}\| = \|u_n - u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\|M_{u_n} - M_u\| = \|M_{u_n - u}\| = \|u_n - u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This means that $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is the limit of operators with finite range and therefore it must be a compact operator. \blacksquare

Remark 3.3. In the proof of the second part of the above theorem, we have used certain multiplication operators with finite range. In the case of non-atomic measure we have the following interesting result:

Theorem 3.4. *Let μ be a non-atomic measure. The operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ has finite range if and only if u is the null function in X .*

Proof. Suppose that u is not the null function in X , then there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that the measurable set $E = \{t \in \Omega : |u(t)| > \epsilon_0\}$ has positive measure. Since μ is non-atomic, there are measurable sets $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $B_n \subset E$, $\mu(B_n) > 0$ and $B_n \cap B_m = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define the non-null functions $g_n = u \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_n}$, which clearly belong to $\text{Ran}(M_u)$; furthermore, if $\alpha_{n_1}, \dots, \alpha_{n_m}$ is a

finite collection of scalars and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{n_k} g_{n_k} = 0,$$

then multiplying by $\mathbf{1}_{B_{n_j}}$ we have $\alpha_{n_j} g_{n_j} = 0$ and we can conclude that $\alpha_{n_j} = 0$. This means that the sequence $\{g_n\}$ is linearly independent and $\dim(\text{Ran}(M_u)) = \infty$. This shows the result. \blacksquare

As a consequence of the above result and Theorem 3.2 we have that the only compact multiplication operator acting on Köthe space when the measure μ is non-atomic is the null operator. More precisely,

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that $u \in L_\infty(\mu)$ and suppose that μ is non-atomic. The operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is compact if and only if $u = 0$ a.e.*

Proof. Suppose that $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is compact. Then by Theorem 3.2, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the spaces $X_{E_{1/n}} = \text{Ran}(M_{\mathbf{1}_{E_{1/n}}})$ has finite dimension. Thus, by Theorem 3.4, we have that $\mathbf{1}_{E_{1/n}} = 0$ a.e. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; that is,

$$\mu(E_{1/n}) = \mu\left(\left\{t \in \Omega : |u(t)| \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\}\right) = 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\mu(\{t \in \Omega : |u(t)| > 0\}) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{1/n}\right) = 0$$

and $u = 0$ a.e. The converse is trivial. \blacksquare

4. MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS WITH CLOSED RANGE ON KÖTHE SPACES

In Theorem 3.1, it was shown that the multiplication operator with symbol equal to a characteristic function acting on a Köthe space X always has closed range. Now come up naturally to ask, does the same will be true for any arbitrary symbol $u \in L_\infty(\mu)$? On the one hand we will show that if u is a measurable simple function, the operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ always has closed range. On the other hand it is defined a new Köthe space \tilde{X} and it will be used in Theorem 4.3 to characterize those symbols u which induces the multiplication operator M_u with closed range on X .

Theorem 4.1. *Let u be a measurable and simple function in $L_\infty(\mu)$. Then the operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ has closed range.*

Proof. Suppose that there exist different non-null constants a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and measurable sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n such that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}.$$

If $h \in \overline{\text{Ran}(M_u)}$ then there exists a sequence $\{h_n\} \subset \text{Ran}(M_u)$ such that $\|h_n - h\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We set $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$, then for any $t \in \Omega$, we have

$$|(h_n(t) - h(t)) \mathbf{1}_E(t)| \leq |h_n(t) - h(t)|$$

and since $h_n - h \in X$, we obtain $\|(h_n - h) \mathbf{1}_E\|_X \leq \|h_n - h\|_X$ which implies $\|(h_n - h) \mathbf{1}_E\|_X \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But $h_n \in \text{Ran}(M_u)$ and hence $h_n \mathbf{1}_E = h_n$ for all

$n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by the uniqueness of the limit, we conclude that $h = h\mathbf{1}_E$. This last fact implies that

$$h(t) = h(t)\mathbf{1}_E(t) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{a_k} \mathbf{1}_{A_k}(t) \right) h(t) \cdot u(t)$$

for all $t \in \Omega$. Furthermore, for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and any $t \in \Omega$ we have $|\mathbf{1}_{A_k}(t)h(t)| \leq |h(t)|$ and since $h \in X$, we deduce that $\mathbf{1}_{A_k}h \in X$ and therefore, the function

$$f = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{a_k} \mathbf{1}_{A_k} \right) h \in X$$

and $h = f \cdot u \in \text{Ran}(M_u)$. This shows the result. \blacksquare

Before considering the more general case, some notations are introduced: Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be a measurable subset of Ω . We said that $B \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ if there exists $A \in \Sigma$ such that $B = \tilde{\Omega} \cap A$. Then $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a σ -algebra of subsets of $\tilde{\Omega}$ and we can define the measure $\tilde{\mu} = \mu|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Hence $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\mu})$ is a complete finite measure space. Furthermore, we can define the vector space

$$\tilde{X} = \left\{ \tilde{f} : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \tilde{f} = f|_{\tilde{\Omega}} \text{ for some } f \in X \right\}$$

and for $\tilde{f} = f|_{\tilde{\Omega}} \in \tilde{X}$ we put

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{X}} := \|f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}\|_X.$$

Clearly, $(\tilde{X}, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{X}})$ is a normed space. Also, this space is complete, because if $\{\tilde{f}_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\tilde{X}, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{X}})$, then $\{f_n \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ which is complete. Hence there is a function $g \in X$ such that $\|f_n \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}} - g\|_X \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, if we set $\tilde{g} = g|_{\tilde{\Omega}}$, then $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{X}$ and $\|\tilde{f}_n - \tilde{g}\|_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows the completeness.

Also, we have the following property:

Proposition 4.2. $(\tilde{X}, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{X}})$ is a Köthe space on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\mu})$.

Proof. Clearly every $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{X}$ is locally integrable since it is the restriction of a locally integrable function. If $|\tilde{f}(t)| \leq |\tilde{g}(t)|$ a.e. on $\tilde{\Omega}$, with \tilde{f} measurable and $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{X}$, then there is a function $g \in X$ such that $\tilde{g} = g|_{\tilde{\Omega}}$, hence if we set

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}(t), & \text{if } t \in \tilde{\Omega}, \\ 0, & \text{if } t \in \Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

then $f = f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ is measurable and $f|_{\tilde{\Omega}} = \tilde{f}$. The function $g \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}} \in X$ since $g \in X$ and $|g(t) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(t)| \leq |g(t)|$ for all $t \in \Omega$. Furthermore, we have $|f(t) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(t)| \leq |g(t) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(t)|$ a. e. on Ω ; hence $f = f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}} \in X$ and $\|f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}\|_X \leq \|g \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}\|_X$. This means that $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{X}$ and $\|\tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{X}} \leq \|\tilde{g}\|_{\tilde{X}}$. Finally, if $B \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ and $\tilde{\mu}(B) < \infty$, then $B \in \Sigma$ and $\mu(B) < \infty$. Therefore $\mathbf{1}_B \in X$ and $\mathbf{1}_B = \mathbf{1}_B|_{\tilde{\Omega}} \in \tilde{X}$. This shows the proposition. \blacksquare

Now we can show the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that $u \in L_\infty(\mu)$. The operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ has closed range if and only if there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $|u(t)| \geq \epsilon_0$ a.e. on $\text{supp}(u)$.*

Proof. We set $\tilde{\Omega} = \text{supp}(u) = \{t \in \Omega : |u(t)| > 0\}$, we consider the Köthe space \tilde{X} defined in Proposition 4.2 and we define the symbol $v = u|_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ on $\tilde{\Omega}$. Then $\text{supp}(v) = \tilde{\Omega}$ and by Proposition 2.2, the multiplication operator $M_v : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is 1-1. Hence $M_v : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ has closed range if and only if this operator is bounded below and, by Theorem 2.5, this last fact occurs if and only if there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $|u(t)| = |v(t)| \geq \epsilon_0$ a.e. on $\tilde{\Omega}$. Hence, it is enough to show that

$$M_v : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \text{ has closed range} \Leftrightarrow M_u : X \rightarrow X \text{ has closed range.}$$

To see this, let us suppose first that $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ has closed range and take $\tilde{h} \in \overline{\text{Ran}(M_v)} \subset \tilde{X}$, then there are functions $h \in X$ and $\tilde{h}_n \in \text{Ran}(M_v) \subset \tilde{X}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tilde{h} = h|_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ and $\|\tilde{h}_n - \tilde{h}\|_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, there are functions $h_n \in X$ such that $\tilde{h}_n = h_n|_{\tilde{\Omega}}$. By the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{X}}$, we can suppose that $h_n = 0$ and $h = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$ (in other case, we work with $h_n \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ and $h \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}$).

Now, since $\tilde{h}_n \in \text{Ran}(M_v)$, there is a function $\tilde{f}_n \in \tilde{X}$ such that $\tilde{h}_n = \tilde{f}_n \cdot v$. Hence, by definition of \tilde{X} and v , there is a function $f_n \in X$ such that $\tilde{h}_n = (f_n \cdot u)|_{\tilde{\Omega}}$. Thus, $h_n = f_n \cdot u \in \text{Ran}(M_u) \subset X$ and since $\|h_n - h\|_X = \|\tilde{h}_n - \tilde{h}\|_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $h \in \overline{\text{Ran}(M_u)} = \text{Ran}(M_u)$ and there is a function $f \in X$ such that $h = f \cdot u$ which implies that $\tilde{h} = \tilde{f} \cdot v$, where $\tilde{f} = f|_{\tilde{\Omega}}$. This shows that $\tilde{h} \in \text{Ran}(M_v)$ and $M_v : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ has closed range.

Conversely, if $M_v : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ has closed range and $h \in \overline{\text{Ran}(M_u)} \subset X$, then there are functions $h_n \in \text{Ran}(M_u) \subset X$ such that $\|h_n - h\|_X \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see that $h = h \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ and $h_n = h_n \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ because $\tilde{\Omega} = \text{supp}(u)$ and $h_n \in \text{Ran}(M_u)$. Also, it is clear that $\tilde{h}_n = h_n|_{\tilde{\Omega}} \in \text{Ran}(M_v) \subset \tilde{X}$. Thus $\tilde{h} = h|_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ satisfies $\|\tilde{h}_n - \tilde{h}\|_{\tilde{X}} = \|h_n - h\|_X \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $\tilde{h} \in \overline{\text{Ran}(M_v)} = \text{Ran}(M_v)$. Thus, there is a function $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{X}$ such that $\tilde{h} = \tilde{f} \cdot v$ which implies that $h = h \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}} = \tilde{f} \cdot u$ on Ω , where $f \in X$ is such that $f|_{\tilde{\Omega}} = \tilde{f}$. Hence $h \in \text{Ran}(M_u)$ and $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ has closed range. \blacksquare

5. THE POWERS OF M_u AND THE SPECTRUM OF M_u

In this section, we establish some results which involves the composition of the operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ with itself n -times. We first need to look at the formula

$$M_u^n = \underbrace{M_u \circ M_u \circ \cdots \circ M_u}_{n\text{-times}} = M_u^n.$$

Moreover is clear that $\|u^n\|_\infty = \|u\|_\infty^n$ and also that $\inf_\infty(|u|^n) = \inf_\infty^n(|u|)$. Therefore, following Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 we have the following properties:

Proposition 5.1. *Let n be a positive integer.*

- (1) $M_u^n : L_0(\mu) \rightarrow L_0(\mu)$ is 1-1 if and only if $M_u : L_0(\mu) \rightarrow L_0(\mu)$ is 1-1.
- (2) $M_u^n : X \rightarrow X$ is bijective (with inverse continuous) if and only if $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is bijective,

- (3) $M_u^n : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous if and only if $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous,
- (4) if μ is non-atomic then:
 - (a) $M_u^n : X \rightarrow X$ is Fredholm if and only if $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is Fredholm,
 - (b) $M_u^n : X \rightarrow X$ has finite range if and only if $u = 0$ a.e.
 - (c) $M_u^n : X \rightarrow X$ is compact if and only if $u = 0$ a.e.
- (5) the spectral radius of $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is $\rho(M_u) = \|u\|_\infty$,
- (6) $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is nilpotent if and only if $u = 0$ a.e.
- (7) $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is quasi-nilpotent if and only if $u = 0$ a.e.

Proof. We only need to show (5), (6) and (7). By Proposition 2.1, we have that

$$\rho(M_u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|M_u^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|M_{u^n}\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u^n\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{n}} = \|u\|_\infty.$$

From this relation it follows $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is quasi-nilpotent if and only if

$$\rho(M_u) = \|u\|_\infty = 0,$$

that is, if and only if $u = 0$ a.e. Finally, $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is nilpotent if and only if there exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M_u^n \equiv 0$ which means that $u^n \cdot f = 0$ for all function $f \in X$ and since the function $\mathbf{1}_\Omega \in X$, we conclude that $u = 0$ a.e. ■

The *spectrum* of $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ is defined by

$$\sigma(M_u) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : M_u - \lambda I : X \rightarrow X \text{ is not bijective}\}.$$

We can note that

$$(M_u - \lambda I)(f) = u \cdot f - \lambda f = (u - \lambda)f = M_{v_\lambda}(f)$$

for all function $f \in X$, where for $t \in \Omega$ the function v_λ is given by $v_\lambda(t) = u(t) - \lambda$. Therefore, according Proposition 2.4, we can write

$$\sigma(M_u) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \inf_\infty(|v_\lambda|) = 0\}.$$

Hence, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.2. *For the operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ with $u \in L_\infty(\mu)$ we have the following properties:*

- (1) $\sigma(M_u) \subset \overline{\text{Ran}(u)}$,
- (2) $\lambda \in \sigma(M_u)$ if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$ the set

$$\{t \in \Omega : |u(t) - \lambda| \leq \epsilon\}$$

has positive measure.

Proof. First, allow us to show that $\sigma(M_u) \subset \overline{\text{Ran}(u)}$. Indeed, if $\lambda \notin \overline{\text{Ran}(u)}$, then since this last set is closed, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|v_\lambda(t)| = |u(t) - \lambda| \geq \delta$$

for all $t \in \Omega$. This means, by definition, that $\inf_\infty(|v_\lambda|) = \inf_\infty(|u - \lambda|) \geq \delta > 0$ and therefore $\lambda \notin \sigma(M_u)$. This shows (1).

Now, we go to show (2). If $\mu(\{t \in \Omega : |u(t) - \lambda| \leq \epsilon_0\}) = 0$ for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$, then $|u - \lambda| \geq \epsilon_0$ a.e. which implies that $\inf_\infty(|v_\lambda|) = \inf_\infty(|u - \lambda|) \geq \epsilon_0 > 0$ and hence $\lambda \notin \sigma(M_u)$.

Conversely, if for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have that

$$\mu(\{t \in \Omega : |u(t) - \lambda| \leq \epsilon\}) > 0,$$

then $\{k \in \mathbb{R} : |u - \lambda| \geq k \text{ a.e.}\} = (-\infty, 0]$. Hence

$$\inf_{\infty} (|v_{\lambda}|) = \inf_{\infty} (|u - \lambda|) = 0$$

and $\lambda \in \sigma(M_u)$. ■

Remark 5.3. In general, the sets $\sigma(M_u)$ and $\text{Ran}(u)$ are not comparable. For example, if we consider the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , then for $u = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}}$, we have $\sigma(M_u) = \{0\} \subset \{0, 1\} = \text{Ran}(u)$; while if $u(t) = t$, with $t \in (0, 1)$, then $\sigma(M_u) = [0, 1] \supset (0, 1) = \text{Ran}(u)$.

6. THE ESSENTIAL NORM OF $M_u : X \rightarrow X$

Motivated by the Theorem 3.5, we calculate the essential norm of multiplication operator $M_u : X \rightarrow X$ in the case that the measure μ is non-atomic. Recall that the essential norm of $M_u : X \rightarrow X$, denoted by $\|M_u\|_e$ is the distance from M_u to the class of compact operators, that is, $\|M_u\|_e = \inf \{\|M_u - K\| : K : X \rightarrow X \text{ is compact}\}$. The result we have found is the following:

Theorem 6.1. *If μ is non-atomic measure, then $\|M_u\|_e = \|u\|_{\infty}$.*

Proof. The result is obvious if $\|u\|_{\infty} = 0$. Thus, let us suppose that $\|u\|_{\infty} > 0$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ (fixed), the set $E = \{t \in \Omega : |u(t)| > \|u\|_{\infty} - \epsilon\}$ has positive measure. And since μ is non-atomic, we can find a sequence of measurable sets $\{B_n\}$ such that $B_n \subset E$, $\mu(B_n) > 0$ and $\mu(B_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; this last condition tell us that the sequence $\{\mathbf{1}_{B_n}\}$ converges to zero in measure. Hence, by a Riesz's theorem and by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can suppose that $\{\mathbf{1}_{B_n}\}$ converges to zero a.e. and consequently, since $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, $\|\mathbf{1}_{B_n}\|_{X'} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where X' is the Köthe dual of X which is also a Köthe space. Every characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{B_n} \in X'$ defines a bounded linear functional $\hat{h}_n \in X^*$ given by

$$\hat{h}_n(f) = \int_{\Omega} f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_n} d\mu$$

with $f \in X \subset L_1(\mu)$, which satisfies $\|\hat{h}_n\| = \|\mathbf{1}_{B_n}\|_{X'}$. Furthermore, we also have

$$\mu(B_n) = |\hat{h}_n(\mathbf{1}_{B_n})| \leq \|\hat{h}_n\| \|\mathbf{1}_{B_n}\|_X$$

and hence we arrive to the following relation

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{\mu(B_n)}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_n}\|_X} \leq \|\hat{h}_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the functions, $f_n = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_n}\|_X} \mathbf{1}_{B_n}$. Clearly, $\|f_n\|_X = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, since $B_n \subset E$, we can see that $|f_n(t) \cdot u(t)| \geq |f_n(t)| (\|u\|_{\infty} - \epsilon)$ for all $t \in \Omega$ and hence

$$(6.2) \quad \|u\|_{\infty} - \epsilon = \|f_n\|_X (\|u\|_{\infty} - \epsilon) \leq \|f_n \cdot u\|_X = \|M_u(f_n)\|_X.$$

Furthermore, if A is any measurable function in Σ , then

$$\hat{h}_{\mathbf{1}_A}(f_n) = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_n}\|_X} \int \mathbf{1}_{B_n} \mathbf{1}_A d\mu = \frac{\mu(A \cap B_n)}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_n}\|_X} \leq \frac{\mu(B_n)}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_n}\|_X} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since (6.1). The same is true for any simple and measurable function and by density, we can conclude that $\hat{h}(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any bounded linear

functional $\widehat{h} \in X^*$; that is, $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, if $K : X \rightarrow X$ is any compact operator, then since $\{f_n\}$ is bounded in X , we can suppose that $K(f_n)$ converges to $g \in X$. Then if \widehat{h} is any bounded linear functional in X^* , we have

$$\widehat{h}(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{h}(K(f_n)) = 0,$$

since $\widehat{h} \circ K$ is also a bounded linear functional on X . This implies that $g = 0$ and $K(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By definition of essential norm, there exists a compact operator $\widetilde{K} : X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_u\|_e &\geq \|M_u - \widetilde{K}\| - \epsilon \geq \|M_u(f_n) - \widetilde{K}(f_n)\|_X - \epsilon \\ &\geq \|M_u(f_n)\|_X - \|\widetilde{K}(f_n)\|_X - \epsilon \\ &\geq \|u\|_\infty - \|\widetilde{K}(f_n)\|_X - 2\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is due to the fact that $\|f_n\|_X = 1$ and we have used (6.2) in the fourth inequality. Thus, taking limit when n goes to infinity we have

$$\|M_u\|_e \geq \|u\|_\infty - 2\epsilon$$

and since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we obtain $\|M_u\|_e \geq \|u\|_\infty$. Finally, since the null operator is compact, we have $\|M_u\|_e \leq \|M_u\| = \|u\|_\infty$. The proof is now complete. \blacksquare

7. ALGEBRA OF MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS

In this section we set $\mathcal{M}(X)$, the set of continuous multiplication operators on X , form a sub-maximal algebra of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, the space of all linear transformations on X and bounded in the sense that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is such that $T\mathcal{M}(X) = \mathcal{M}(X)T$, then $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$.

Theorem 7.1. $\mathcal{M}(X) = \{M_u : X \rightarrow X : u \in L_\infty(\mu)\}$ is a maximal commutative sub-algebra of $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is a vectorial space. Also, if $M_u, M_v \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ then $M_u M_v = M_v M_u \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. We go to show that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is such that $T\mathcal{M}(X) = \mathcal{M}(X)T$, then $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. With this end, suppose that $u = T(\mathbf{1}_\Omega) \in X$, then it is enough to show that

$$(7.1) \quad Tf = u \cdot f$$

for all $f \in X$.

Indeed, first we consider characteristic functions $\mathbf{1}_E$ with $E \in \Sigma$. In this case, using the fact that T commutes with $M_{\mathbf{1}_E}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{1}_E) &= T(\mathbf{1}_E \cdot \mathbf{1}_\Omega) = T \circ M_{\mathbf{1}_E}(\mathbf{1}_\Omega) \\ &= M_{\mathbf{1}_E} \circ T(\mathbf{1}_\Omega) = M_{\mathbf{1}_E}(u) = u \cdot \mathbf{1}_E \end{aligned}$$

and the formula (7.1) is valid for characteristics functions. By the linearity of T , it is clear that (7.1) is also valid for measurable and simple functions $\varphi \in X$.

Now, for any function $f \in X$, there exists a sequence of simples functions $\{\varphi_n\}$ such that $\varphi_n \nearrow f$ on Ω . Hence for $\epsilon > 0$ given we can find an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$|f(t) - \varphi_n(t)| < \epsilon$ on Ω for all $n \geq n_0$. Since the constant function ϵ belongs to the Köthe space X , we deduce that $f - \varphi_n \in X$ and

$$\|f - \varphi_n\|_X \leq \|\epsilon\|_X = \epsilon \|\mathbf{1}_\Omega\|_X$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. This means that $\|f - \varphi_n\|_X \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, for all $t \in \Omega$ we have

$$|u(t)| |f(t) - \varphi_n(t)| < \epsilon |u(t)|$$

and since $\epsilon u \in X$, the definition of Köthe space implies that $u(f - \varphi_n) \in X$ and

$$\|u(f - \varphi_n)\|_X \leq \epsilon \|u\|_X$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence $\|u(f - \varphi_n)\|_X \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, using the fact that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf - u \cdot f\|_X &= \|Tf - T\varphi_n + u \cdot \varphi_n - u \cdot f\|_X \\ &\leq \|T\| \|f - \varphi_n\|_X + \|u(f - \varphi_n)\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $Tf = u \cdot f$. This ends the proof. \blacksquare

Remark 7.2. We wish to conclude this notes by remarking that many of the results we have presented here are also valid for the case that (Ω, Σ, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, by adding additional hypothesis on the Köthe space X such as order continuous, Fatou's property and/or X' is a norming subspace of X^* .

REFERENCES

- [1] S.C. Arora, G. Datt and S. Verma, *Multiplication operators on Lorentz spaces*, Indian J. Math. 48 (2006), 317–329.
- [2] S.C. Arora, G. Datt and S. Verma, *Multiplication and composition operators on Lorentz-Bochner spaces*, Osaka J. Math. 45 (2008), 629–641.
- [3] C. Bennett and R. Sharply, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press INC, Boston, 1991.
- [4] R.E. Castillo, R. León and E. Trousselot, *Multiplication operator on $L_{(p,q)}$ spaces*, Panamer. Math. J. 19(1) (2009), 37–44.
- [5] R.E. Castillo, J.C. Ramos Fernández and F.A.V. Narvaez, *Multiplication and Composition Operators on Weak L_p spaces*, (accepted in Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.).
- [6] L. Drewnowski, A. Kamińska and P. Lin, *On multipliers and L - and M -projections in Banach lattices and Köthe function spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 206 (1997), no. 1, 83–102.
- [7] H. Hudzik, R. Kumar and R. Kumar, *Matrix multiplication operators on Banach function spaces*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 116 (2006), 71–81.
- [8] B.S. Komal and S. Gupta, *Multiplication operators between Orlicz spaces*, Integral Equations Oper. Theory 41 (2001), 324–330.
- [9] P. Lin, *Köthe-Bochner function spaces*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [10] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. II. Function spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.
- [11] G. G. Lorentz, *On the theory of spaces Λ* , Pacific J. Math. 1, (1951). 411–429.
- [12] H. Takagi and K. Yokouchi, *Multiplication and composition operators between two L^p -spaces*, In: *Function Spaces* (Edwardsville, IL, 1998). Contemp. Math. 232, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 321–338.

RENÉ ERLIN CASTILLO. DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA AP360354 BOGOTÁ.

E-mail address: `recastillo@unal.edu.co`

JULIO C. RAMOS FERNÁNDEZ. DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE ORIENTE, 6101 CUMANÁ, EDO. SUCRE, VENEZUELA

E-mail address: `jcramos@udo.edu.ve`

MARGOT SALAS-BROWN. DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE ORIENTE, 6101 CUMANÁ, EDO. SUCRE, VENEZUELA

E-mail address: `msalas@sucre.udo.edu.ve`